A DIGEST OF INDIAN LAW CASES;

CONTAINING

HIGH COURT REPORTS, 1862-1886,

ANI

PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA, 1836-1886,

WITH AN INDEX OF CASES.

COMPILED UNDER THE ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA


BŸ

JOSEPH VERE WOODMAN,

IN FIVE VOLUMES.


VOLUME V. T-Z, AND INDEX OF CASES,

CALCUTTA:



CONTENTS.

HIGH	COURT,	CAI	CUT	ΓA, 1	862-1886		٠	٠	. •	•	•		•		•	iv
HIGH	COURT,	MA	DRAS	, 186	2-1886								٠.			vii
HIGH	COURT,	BOI	IBAY	, 186	2-1886											viii
HIGH	COURT,	NO	RTH-	WES'	FERN PR	OVIN	CES,	1866	— <u>1</u> 88	6.				٠		ix
MEM	BERS OF	JUI	DICIA	L CO	OMMITTE	E OF	PRI	VY'C	ouno	CIL, 1	836-	1886				x
TABL	E OF H	EADI	NGS,	SUB	-HEADIN	GS, A	IND (cros	S-RE	FERE	NCE	з.				xii
CORR	IĢENDA													÷	•	хv
DIGE	ST OF C	ASES	į.				•					•			٠.	5987
TABL	E OF CA	SES	IN D	IGES	er .	٠.								٠.	٠.	6291



HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA, 1862-1886

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR BARNES PRACOCK, KT. SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.

SIR RICHARD GARTH, KT.

SIR W. COMER PETHERAM, KT.

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR CHARLES R. M. JACKSON, KT.

SIR MORDAUNT L. WELLS, KT.

H. T. RAIKES.

C. B. TREVOR.

G. Loca.

H, V. BAYLEY.

C. STEER.

J. P. NORMAN.

W. MORGAN.

F. B. KEMP.

W. S. SETON-KARR.

L. S. JACKSON, C.I.E.

EDWARD DE LATOUR (Offg.).

G. CAMPBELL. SUMBHOONATH PUNDIT.

E. P. LEVINGE.

A. A. ROBERTS (Offg.).

H. MILLS, Q.C.

E. JACKSON.

R. J. SCOTT.

A. T. T. PETERSON.

J. B. PHEAR.

A. G. MACPHERSON.

W. MARKBY.

DWARKANATH MITTER.

F. A. B. GLOVER.

SIR C. P. HOBHOUSE, BART.

G. C. PAUL (Offg.).

ONOCOOL CHUNDER MOOKERJEE.

C PONTIFEX.

W. AINSLIE.

E. G. BIRCE.

G. G. MORRIS. ROMESH CHUNDER MITTER.

J. S. WHITE.

H. S. CUNNINGHAM,

W. F. McDonell.

H. B. LAWFORD.

H. T. PRINSEP.

J. PITT-KENNEDY (Offy.).

A. WILSON.

L. R. TOTTENHAM.

L. P. D. BROUGHTON (Offg.).

JUDGES-continued.

- - W. MACPHERSON. C. J. WILKINSON (Offg.).
 - E. J. TREVELYAN.
 - Chunder Madrub Ghose. H. Bevertey.
 - W. F. AGNEW (Offg.). J. P. GRANT (Offg.). G. E. POBTER (Offg.).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. H. COWIE.

- J. GRAHAM.
- G. C. PAUL, C.I.E.

STANDING COUNSEL.

- J. GRAHAM.
- H. A. EGLINTON.
- J. PITT-KENNEDY.
- C. J. WILKINSON.
- A. PHILLIPS (Offg. A. G.). J. D. Bell (Offg. A. G.).
- W. C. BONNERJEE (Offy.).

HIGH COURT, MADRAS, 1862-1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR COLLEY HARMAN SCOTLAND, KT.

SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT.

SIR CHARLES A. TURNER, KT., C.I.E. ,,

ARTHUR J. H. COLLINS, KT. ••

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR ADAM BITTLESTON.

T. L. STRANGE.

H. D. PHILLIPS.

H. FRERE. W. HOLLOWAY,

L. C. INNES. C. COLLETT.

G. H. ELLIS (Offg.).

D. F. CARMICHAEL (Offg.) ..

J. KERNAN. ,,

J. R. KINDERSLEY.

L. Forbes.

MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, C.I.E.

T. M. BUSTEED (Offg.). H. J. TARRANT (Offg.). P. P. HUTCHINS.

,,

F. BRANDT.

,,

G. A. PARKER (Offg.).

J. W. HANDLEY (Offg.).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable T. SYDNEY SMYTH.

J. B. NORTON.

J. D. MAYNE.

22 H. S. CUNNINGHAM.

,, P. O'SULLIVAN.

•• H. H. SHEPHARD (Offg.).

HIGH COURT, BOMBAY, 1862-1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR MATHEW R. SAUSSE, KT.

SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT.

SIR MICHAEL R. WESTROPP, KT.

SIR CHARLES SARGENT, KT.

JUDGES.

Honourable SIR JOSEPH ARNOULD, KT.

H. HEBBERT.

A. K. FORBES. C. J. ERSKINE.

R. Couch.

H. NEWTON.

M. R. WESTROPP.

H. P. TUCKER. A. B. WARDEN.

James Hore (Offg.). Janardhan Vasudevjee.

T. C. ANSTEY (Offg.).

J. GIBBS.

SIR C. SARGENT, KT. L. H. BAYLEY.

F. LLOYD.

M. MELVILL.

C. G. KEMBALL. J. P. GREEN.

R. WEST.

R. H. PINHEY.

J. MARRIOTT (Offg.).

NANABHAI HABIDAS.

BARON DE H. LARPENT (Offg.).

G. ATKINSON (Offg.). F. D. MELVILL (Offg.).

F. L. LATHAM (Offg.).

J. SCOTT.

H. M. BIRDWOOD.

W. E. HART (Offg.). SIR W. WEDDERBURN, BART. (Offg.).

J. JARDINE.

C. F. FARRAN (Offg.).

ADVOCATES GENERAL.

Honourable A. J. Lewis.

L. H. BAYLEY,

J. S. WHITE.

C. J. MAYHEW (Offg.). A. R. SCOBLE.

J. MARRIOTT.

F. L. LATHAM.

B. LANG (Offe.)

C. F. FARRAN (Offg.).

H. M. STARLING (Offg.).

J. MACPHERSON (Offg.).

HIGH COURT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, 1866-1886.

CHIEF JUSTICES.

Honourable SIR WALTER MORGAN, KT., SIR ROBERT STUART, KT., Q.C.

SIR W. COMER PETHERAM, KT., Q.C. SIR JOHN EDGE, KT., Q.C.

JUDGES.

Honourable A. Ross.

- le A. Ross.
 W. Edwards.
 W. Roberts.
 F. B. Pearson.
 C. A. Turner.
 R. Spankir.
 W. Jardine (Offg.).

- - G. D. TURNBULL (Offg.). R. C. OLDFIELD.
- D. STRAIGHT.
- M. BRODHURST.
- W. DUTHOIT (D.C.L.) (Offg.).
- W. TYRRELL.
- - SAIYAD MAHMUD.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL WHO HAVE TAKEN PART IN THE DECISIONS CONTAINED IN THIS DIGEST, 1836—1886.

```
The Right Honourable LORD WYNFORD.
                    LORD BROUGHAM.
                    LORD DENMAN.
                    LORD LANGUAGE.
                    SIR JOHN NICHOLL, KT.
                    SIR LANCELOT SHADWELL, KT.
                    THOMAS ERSKINE.
        ٠.
                    SIR JAMES PARKE, KT. (afterwards LORD WENSLEYDALE).
        ,,
                    SIR JOHN B. BOSANQUET, KT.
                   SIR JOHN VAUGHAN, KT.
                   SIR HERBERT JENNER, KT. (afterwards SIR HERBERT JENNER FUST KT.). STEPHEN LUSHINGTON, D.C.L.
                    LORD WHARNCLIFFE (Lord President of the Council).
        *
                    SIR JAMES LEWIS KNIGHT-BRUCE, KT.
        12
                    SIR JAMES WIGRAM, KT.
                    T. PEMBERTON LEIGH (afterwards LORD KINGSDOWN).
                    SIR FREDERICK POLLOCK, KT.
                    LORD LANSDOWNE (Lord President of the Council).
                    DUKE OF BUCCLEUGH (Lord President of the Council).
                    LORD CRANWORTH.
                    LORD TRURO.
        44
                    LORD CAMPBELL.
                   SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.
                   SIR JOHN JERVIS, KT.
                   SIR JOHN ROMILLY, KT. (afterwards LORD ROMILLY).
                   SIR GEORGE JAMES TURNER, KT.
                   SIR JOHN PATTESON, KT.
                   SIR JOHN DODSON, KT.
                   LORD WENSLEYDALE.
                   SIR WILLIAM H. MAULE, KT.
                   LORD CHELMSFORD.
                   LORD KINGSDOWN.
                   SIR CRESSWELL CRESSWELL KT.
                   SIR JOHN TAYLOR COLERIDGE, KT.
                   SIR WILLIAM ERLE, KT.
                   LORD WESTBURY.
                   SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILE, KT.
                   SIR EDWARD VAUGHAN WILLIAMS, KT.
                   SIR FITZROY KELLY, Kr.
                   SIR WILLIAM PAGE WOOD, KT. (afterwards LORD HATHERLEY).
                   SIB-RICHARD TORIN KINDERSLEY, KT.
        ٠,
                   LORD CAIRNS.
        44
                   LORD ROMILLY.
                   SIR JOHN ROLT, KT.
                   SIR ROBERT PHILLIMORE, KT.
                   SIR CHARLES JASPER SELWYN, KT.
                   SIR JOSEPH NAPIER, BART.
                   SIR GEORGE MARKHAM GIFFORD, KT.
```

SIR WILLIAM MILBOURNE JAMES, KT.

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL.

The Right Honourable SIR GEORGE MELLISH, KT.

,,

55

SIR BARNES PEACOCE, KT.

LORD HATHERLEY.

SIR JOHN STUART, KT.

SIR JAMES SHAW WILLES, KT.

SIR MONTAGUE EDWARD SMITH, KT. SIR ROBERT PORRETT COLLIER, KT. (afterwards LORD MONKSWELL).

LORD SELBORNE.

SIR JOHN BARNARD BYLES, KT.

SIR HENRY SINGER KEATING, KT.

LORD BLACKBURN. LORD WATSON.

LORD FITZGERALD.

SIR RICHARD COUCH, KT. SIE JOHN MELLOR, KT.

SIR ARTHUR HOBHOUSE, KT. (afterwards LORD HOBHOUSE).

LORD MONESWELL.

LORD HOBHOUSE. LORD HALSBURY.

PRIVY COUNCILLORS, ASSESSORS.

The Right Honourable STR EDWARD HYDE EAST, BART. SIR ALEXANDER JOHNSTON, KT.

SIR EDWARD RYAN, KT.

SIR LAWRENCE PEEL, KT. SIR JAMES WILLIAM COLVILE, KT.

HEADINGS, SUB-HEADINGS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES.

The headings and sub-headings under which the cases are arranged are printed his table in capitals, the headings in black type, and the sub-headings in l capitals. The cross-references are printed in ordinary type.

TOLLS.

Torture.

g.
, Meaning of—
dar.
Order to destroy—
Maintaining ancient—

TION OF BILL OF COSTS. MANDI CHITTIS.

Suit for declaration of trusts of-

ER.

reated under Court of Wards.
al jurisdiction, Effect of cession on—
ITORIAL LAW OF BRITISH
IA

TORY, TRANSFER OF-

award.

efusal to produce light to appearance of defendant, Extension

appearance of defendant, Extension of—rain.

DENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE—GENERALLY.
LONG POSSESSION.
ELLARBOOS CASES.
it, Irregularity in—
3.
OHOUR.
1 had,

Tetal loss. Towage, Lien for-Towage contract. Towing, Rules for-TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY. Trade, Contract in restraint of-TRADE MARK. Trader. Tradesman's account. Transfer by endorsement. TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE. 1. GENERAL CASES. 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13. 3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER. TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE. 1. GENERAL CASES. 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29, 3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER. TRANSFER OF PROPERTY. TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882). - s. 2. - s. 10. - s. 52. ---- s. 54.

- s. 131.

- s. 135.

Transferable tenure.

Trans-shipment permit. Transportation.

Transfer of Shares, Registration of-

TRANSFER OF TENURE.

Transfer, Registration of—

TABLE OF HEADINGS.

Treason.

TREASURE TROVE.

TREATIES.

Trees.

TRESPASS.

- 1. GENERAL CASES.
- 2. House-trespass.

Trespasser.

TROVER.

TRUST.

Trust-deed for benefit of creditors.

Trust-property.

TRUSTEE.

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.

TRUSTEE ACT (XXIV OF 1841).

TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII OF 1866).

- s. 3.

- ss. 20 and 32.

- s. 35.

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT (XXVIII OF 1866, s. 43).

Turn of worship of idol, Right to-

Umpire, Incapacity to act as-

Unchastity.

Undertaking not to sue.

Under-tenure.

Underwriter, Liability of-

UNDUE INFLUENCE.

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.

Unliquidated damages.

Unprofessional conduct.

TINNAUTIRAL OFFENCE.

Unserworthiness.

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.

Unstamped documents, Admissiblity of, in evidence.

Upan chowki tenure.

TISER.

Usufructuary mortgage.

Vacation, Closing of Court for-

Vakalatnama.

Vakil.

Vakil and client.

Valuation of appeal.

Valuation of right, title, and interest created by

VALUATION OF SUIT.

- 1. Suits.
- 2. APPEALS.

Value of property, Statement in will as to-Variance between bought and sold notes.

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADI

AND PROOF.

- 1. GENERAL CASES.
- 2. SPECIAL CASES.
- 3. Admission of Part of Claim.

Vatan.

Vatandars Act (Bombay Act III of 1874).

Vendor, Petition by, to have document registered.

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

- 1. BILLS OF SALE.
- 2. BREACH OF COVENANT. 3. BREACH OF WARRANTY.
- 4. CAVEAT EMPTOR.
- 5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.
- 6. CONDITIONAL SALES.
- 7. Consideration.
- 8. FRAUD. 9. INVALID SALES.
- 10. LIEN.
- 11. Notice.
- 12. Possession.
- 13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY.
 - 14. PUECHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS B PURCHASER.
 - 15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-
 - 16. SETTING ASIDE SALES.
 - 17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF-

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

Verbal contract.

Verdict of Criminal Court.

VERDICT OF JURY.

1. GENERAL CASES.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICES.

Vested interests.

Vesting order.

Vicinage.

Village accountant.

Village cattle.

Village chowkidar.

Village Munsiff.

Village Munsiff's peou.

Voluntary assignment.

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.

Voluntary payment.

Voluntary settlement, Breach of covenants in -

Wagering contract,

Wages.

Waging war.

WAGING WAR. AGAINST QUEEN.

THE

WAIVER.

Wakf.

WARRANT.

RRANT OF ARREST.

- . CIVIL CASES. . CRIMINAL CASES.
- BRANT OF ATTORNEY.

RRANT OF COMMITMENT.

RRANT OF EXECUTION.

anty, Breach of-

anty of title.

STE.

STE LANDS.

r, Escape of, Liability for damage done by-

-course, Right to use of-

GHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUD-

ENT USE OF—

inger. PPING.

s inheriting jointly.

) ANIMALS.

EXECUTION,
LTTESTATION,
'ORM OF WILL.
LUNGUPATIVE WILL,
'ALLDIET OF WILL.
NSPECTION OF WILL.
NSPECTION OF WILL.
NENUMERATION BY EXECUTOR.
ONSTRUCTION.

S ACT (XXV OF 1838).

s or doors, Suit to close-wal from prosecution.

DRAWAL OF SUIT.

ESS-CIVIL CASES.

RESON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS, PAMONING WITNESSES, CEENESS OF WITNESSES, SECONDING WITNESSES, FEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES,

(a) GENERALLY.

(b) CROSS-EXAMINATION.

NSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES,

- 1. Person competent to be Witness.
- 2. Summoning Witnesses.
- 3. AVOIDING SERVICE.
 4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.
- 5. Examination of Witnesses-
 - (a) GENEBALLY.
 - (b) EXAMINATION BY COURT.
 - (c) Cross-examination.
- 6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE, Workman.

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT,

Wrongful conversion. Wrongful dismissal,

WRONGFUL DETENTION.

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.

Wrongful loss.

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Wrongful seizure in execution.
WRONGFUL RESTRATNT.

Year, Agricultural-

Zanzibar.

ZEMINDAR.

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF-

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF-

Zemindar and ryot.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

Zemindari dues and cesses, Suit for-

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

ABETMENT.

ACCOMPLICE.

Accumulations.

Act-1842-IV.

Act-1856-XV.

Act-1861-IX.

Act-1868-VI (N.-W. P. Municipal Improvement)

CORRIGENDA.

VOL. I.

Col. 22.—Under heading "ABSENCE BY REASON OF TRANSPORTATION" for "Li-MITATION ACT, 1877, s. 13" read "LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 7."

Col. 153.—Under heading "AGENT, AUTHORITY OF" for "B. L. R., 195" read "5 B. L. R., 195".

Col. 208.—Case 222, reference, for "8 B. L. R." read "7 B. L. R."

Col. 469.—Under heading "BENAMI TRANSACTION" insert reference, "See ESTOFFEL—ESTOFFEL BY CONUUCT, 19 W. R., 292."

Col. 722.-Line 12 from top, reference, for "10 W. R., 57" read "10 W. R., Cr., 7".

Col. 773 .- Case 2, under "s. 138" for "3 I. L. R." read "3 B. L. R.".

Col. 823 .- Line 23 from top, reference, for "53 All., 33" read "3 All., 533".

Col. 1860 .- Case 46, reference, for "7 W. R., 169" read "2 N. W., 169".

Col. 1463.-Line 24 from top, reference, for "I. L. R., 7 Bom., 11" read "I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11".

Col. 1488 .- Case 169, reference, for "2 W. R., 230" read "2 N. W., 230".

VOL. II.

Col. 1588.—Case \$9, reference, for "10 Moore's I. A." read "11 Moore's I. A.", and for "W. R., P. C." read "9 W. R., P. C.".

Col. 1621.-Under case 202, reference, for "16 W. R., 471" read "14 W. R., 471".

Col. 1785 .- Case 341, reference, before "11 W. R., P. C." insert "2 B. L. R., P. C., 85".

Col. 1850 .- Line 1 from top, after "EXCISE ACT" omit "1856".

Col. 1888 .- Line 8 from top, name of case should be "Balaji Ranchoddas, In Re."

Col. 2057.—Line 7 from top, for "12 W. R., 33" read "12 W. R., P. C., 33". Col. 2066.—Case 1, reference, for "5 I. L. R." read "5 B. L. R."

COL. 2075 .- Case 17, reference, for "Ind. Jur., N. S." read "Ind. Jur., O. S.

Col. 2003.—Case 7, reference, for "Calc." read "Bom."

Cob. 2221.—Case 18, reference, omit "16 W. R., 142".

Col. 2306.—Case 145, reference, for "12 B. L. R." read "14 B. L. R.", and for "29 W. R., 490".

Col. 2538.-Line 7 from top, for "7 Bom," read "1 Bom,"

Cor., 2559.—Case 73, immediately before name of case insert "Held also that the trust for maintenance and the religious trusts, as being mere perpetual trusts for the benefit of the family, were likewise void."

COL. 2768.—Case 21, reference, for "6 B. L. R." read "4 B. L. R."

Col. 2772.—Under case 15, reference, for "2 W. R., 142" read "2 N. W., 142".

Col. 2793-Line 9 from top, the name of case should be "Balaji Ranchoddas, In RE."

COL. 2795 .- Case 22, for "4 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R.", and for "634" read "434".

Col. 2855 .- Case 92, reference, for "4 B. L. R." read "6 B. L. R."

CORRIGENDA.

VOL. III.

Con. 3102 Line 15	from top.	reference, for "3	3 All." rea	d "2.Mad."
-------------------	-----------	-------------------	-------------	------------

COL. 3112 .- Case 3, name of case, for "Hyder Singh" read "Hyder Jung Bahadoor."

COL. 3515 .- Case 167, line 11, after "89" insert "followed."

Col. 3650 .- Case 7, reference, for "24 W. R." read "2 Hay".

COL. 3868 .- Case 1, name of case, for "MITTER" read "MOZUMDAR."

Col. 4100 .- Line 11 from top, reference, for "2 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."

COL. 4118 .- Case 1, line 4 of heading, for "defence" read " reference".

COL. 4126 .- Case, 6, reference, for "13 Agra" read " 3 Agra".

VOL. IV.

Col., 4398 .- Case 7, reference, for "8 B. L. R." read "7 B. L. R."

Col. 4615 .- Case 20, reference, for "2 A. C." read "2 B. L. R. A. C."

COL. 4853 .- Case 23, reference, for "2 B. L. R." read "3 B. L. R."

Col. 4907 .- Case 63, reference, for "4 N. W." read "5 N. W."

Col. 4913.—Case 93; reference, for "20 W. R." read "9 W. R." Col. 5951.—Line 18 from top, reference, for "2 All," read "7 All,"

VOL. V.

COL. 6144.-Line 13 from top, reference, for "10 Bom," read "9 Bom."

Col. 6240,-Line 23 from top, reference, after "2 Moore's I. A., 424" omit "6 W. R., P. C., 46".

Col. 6248 .- Case 1, reference, for "6 B. L. R." read "4 B. L. R."

REFERENCES OMITTED IN TABLE OF CASES.

Jivi v. Ramji, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207			. 3 Bom., 207	3373
Khemkor v. Umiashankar Ranchchor Leelanund Singh v. Hamidooddin	٠	•	. 10 Bom., 381 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 576	2436
Paluckdhary Roy v. Radha Purshad Singh	:		[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 50: 4 C. L. R.,]	987 204

A DIGEST

THE HIGH COURT REPORTS

1862 - 1886

AND OF

THE PRIVY COUNCIL REPORTS OF APPEALS FROM INDIA. 1836-1886

TACKING.

See Cases under Mortgage-Tacking.

TALOOK, MEANING OF-

See GRANT-CONSTRUCTION OF GRANT. [18 W. R., 469 22 W. R., 326

See LEASE-CONSTRUCTION. [22 W. R., 326

TALOOKDAR.

 Conduct of, as indicating his successor.

See Oudh Estates Act. 1869, s. 22. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626

- Registered, Interest of-See Oudh Estates Act, 1869. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522 See Cases under Oudh Talookdars' Re-

TANK, ORDER TO DESTROY-See NUISANCE-UNDER CRIMINAL PROCE-

DURE CODES . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 27

TANKS, MAINTAINING ANCIENT-See ZEMINDAR . 14 B. L. R., P. C., 209

TAX.

illegally levied.

LIEF ACT.

See Madras Towns Improvement Act. 1871, s. 85 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158

See STATUTE, CONSTRUCTION OF-[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158

8 Bom., A. C., 213

TAX-continued.

- Muhtarafa.-Trade tax, Zemidar's right to collect.—Mad. Reg. XXV of 1802, s. 4.— Mad. Reg. XXV of 1832.—The right of collecting the muhtarafa or trade tax from artisans in his zemindari has not been delegated by Government to the Zemindar of Karvaitnagar, and cannot be legally exercised by his assignees. Quære,-Whether it was competent for Government to delegate the collection of the muhtarafa to zemindars for their own use, VEDANTA v. KANNIYAPPA

I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14

[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 1

TAXATION OF BILL OF COSTS.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.

[I. L. R., 3 Cale., 473 See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 84 (1871, ART. 85) . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253

- Attendance before taxing officer .- Attorney .- Appearance for several parties .- Summons to attend taxation .- Practice .- Any work which an attorney does jointly for several parties together he can only make one charge for. and where he appears for any number of parties before the taxing officer at the taxation of the costs of a suit, he must be taken to represent them jointly. The taxing officer should not issue separate

summonses to different parties who appear by one attorney. KENNY v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF 7 B. L. R., Ap., 50 BENGAL

 Accountants employed not under order of Court. Useful and necessary expense .- In a suit to set aside a settlement, two accountants were employed at the plaintiff's instance, and not by order of Court, to examine the settlor's books and give evidence. Held that the investigation being most useful to the Court, and adapted to the ends of justice, the taxing master was right in allowing their expenses. MACNAIR v. Hogg

12 Hyde, 89

TAZI MANDI CHITTIS.

See Contract-Wagering Contracts.
[4 Moore's I. A., 339
5 Moore's I. A., 109
6 Moore's I. A., 251

 Principal and agent.—Gambling Act (XXI of 1848) .- Where the plaintiff had expended money at the request of the defendant in the purchase or settlement of tazi mandi chittis,-Held, he was entitled to recover notwithstanding Act XXI of 1848. KANAYALAL D. CHAGMAL BATTIA

[8 B. L. R., 412

BHAIRABNATH KHETTRI v. JUMANRAM DHAN-DARTA . 8 B. L. R., 415, note

TEHSILDAR.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 7 (1859, s.

1, cl. 2). [1 B. L. R., S. N., 20: 10 W. R., 260

TEMPLE, SUIT FOR DECLARATION OF TRUSTS "OF-

See ACT XX OF 1863.

TENANT.

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 55

See LANDLORD AND TENANT.

Suit against, for share of rent.

See Cases under Co-sharers-Suits by CO-SHARERS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY—KABULIATS.

See Cases under Co-sharers-Suits by Co-sharers with respect to the JOINT PROPERTY - ENHANCEMENT OF RENT.

See Cases under Co-sharers-Suits by CO-SHAREBS WITH RESPECT TO THE JOINT PROPERTY-RENT.

TENDER.

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46. [1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W. R., 101 16 W. R., 79 2 W. R., Act X, 88

 Validity of tender.—Contract Act, s. 38.—Tender of interest on mortgage-debt .-Under a mortgage-deed taken to secure the repayment within three years of a sum of R16,000 advanced by the plaintiff, with interest at 15 per cent. from the 2nd July 1874, the date of the mortgage, it was stipulated that interest should be paid every six months, but that if a year's interest should be unpaid, then the whole amount due for principal and interest should become payable at once; and also that the mortgagor might, after payment of interest, pay towards satisfaction of the principal any sum not less than R1,000. The first year's interest was allowed to get into arrear, but in September 1875 the defendant went to the plaintiff with R19,000, a greater sum than was due for principal and interest, and told him to repay himself from that sum. The

TENDER.-Liability of tender-continued.

offer was refused, and the plaintiff thereupon brought a suit on the 9th July 1877 for R16,000, with interest from the date of the mortgage to the date of the suit and subsequent interest. Held that the tender made by the defendant, although not valid according to English law, was valid under section 38 of the Contract Act. Per Wilson, J.—Section 38 of the Contract Act substantially requires that there should be a genuine and unconditional offer, in case of payment, to pay unconditionally at a proper place, made by a person in a position to pay.
KANYE LALL KHAN v. KHETTERMONEY DOSSER

[5 C. L. R., 105

- Unconditional tender .- Costs .- In a suit to recover #1,323-15-6, the balance of the price of goods sold, on which an ac-count had been come to between the parties, it appeared that the defendant had tendered before suit a sum of R1,043-5, stating in the letter of tender that the sum so tendered was the only sum due. At the trial, the plaintiff obtained a decree for the full amount claimed by him. *Held*, both in the Court below and on appeal, that the tender was bad, and therefore the plaintiffs were entitled to their costs. Held per KENNEDY, J., that the tender was bad, being a tender of part of an entire debt. Held per GARTH, C. J. (MARKEY, J., concerring), that the tender was also bad, as the plaintiffs could not have accepted the sum tendered without giving up the remainder of their claim. CHUNDER CAUNT MOOKER-JRE v. JODOONATH KHAN

[L. L. R., 3 Cale., 468:1 C. L. R., 470

- Agent. -- Cheque in payment of debt for rent.—Suit for rent.—Costs.— The landlord of a house through his agent sent in rent-bills to his lessee. The lessee gave the agent a cheque payable to her attorney for the amount de-manded. The attorney realised the amount of the cheque and gave the money to the agent, who tendered it to the landlord's attorney, who refused to accept, and the money was returned to the lessee's attorney. Held, in a suit for the rent, that, under the circumstances, the tender amounted to payment. Held, further, that although, as a general rule, the amount of a tender not accepted ought to be paid into Court in order to entitle the defendant to costs, yet that, as the tender in this case amounted to payment, the defendant was entitled to have the suit dismissed with costs. BOLYE CHUND SING v. MOU-. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 572 LARD

TENURE CREATED UNDER COURT OF WARDS.

See BENGAL ACT IV OF 1870. [15 B, L. R., 343

TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION, EF-FECT OF CESSION ON-

> See CESSION OF BRITISH TERRITORY IN India . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367 [10 Bom., 37

TERRITORIAL LAW OF RRITISH INDIA.

Nature of territorial law .- English law .- The territorial law of British India is a modified form of English law. SECRETARY OF STATE v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL

[1 B. L. R., O. C., 87

TERRITORY, TRANSFER OF-

- District of Kanara .- 16 and 17 Viet., e. 95; 21 and 22 Viet., c. 106.—Councils Act, 24 and 25 Viet., c. 67.—The power given by 16 and 17 Victoria, Cap. 95, to alter the distribution of territories among the presidencies, was vested by 21 and 22 Victoria, Cap. 106, in the Secretary of State for India, by whose order of 28th of February 1862 North Canara was annexed, the new arrangement of territory to take effect from such date as the Governor General of India in Council should by proclamation appoint for the purposes of the Councils Act, 1861, which Act has reference solely to the constitu-. tion and functions of the Legislative Councils, and does not purport to affect in any way the exercise of the general powers of Government, or the administrathe general powers of Government, or the administra-tion of justice, and the jurisdiction and authority of the Courts of Justice, the annexation for those pur-poses being made by the Secretary of State, and not being qualified or controlled by the proviso in section 47 of 24 and 25 Victoria clause 67, which cannot be construed as a substantive enactment, or as qualifying or restraining the power vested in the Secretary of State. REG. v. VYANKATSVAMI [2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 106

TESTATOR.

 Acknowledgment of signature bv-

See WILL-EXECUTION.

II. L. R., 1 Bom., 547

Application for probate of will of Hindu.

See PROBATE-OPPOSITION TO AND RE-VOCATION OF GRANT. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208

- Debts of Hindu.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER-NOTICE. [L. L. R., 4 Calc., 897

- Power of-

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-WILL-POWER OF DISPOSITION. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

See Mahonedan Law-Will. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 184

THAKBUST AWARD.

See ACT XIII OF 1848. [2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6

THEFT.

Sec Partnership Property.

[13 B. L. R., 307, 308, note; 310, note

THEFT-continued.

- committed out of British territory.
- See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT-OFFENCES COMMITTED ONLY PARTY IN ONE DISTRICT . I. L. B., 1 Mad., 171
- Penal Code, s. 378.-Definition of theft .- As to what constitutes theft as defined in the Penal Code. QUEEN v. MADARRE [3 W. R., Cr., 2
- Moving property and severing it .- The moving by the same act which effects the severance may constitute a theft. ANONY-5 Mad., Ap., 36
- Removal of property against visit of ostensible purchaser thereof.—Ap-parent title or colour of right to property.—To con-stitute theft it is sufficient if property is removed against his wish, from the custody of a person who has an apparent title, or even a colour of right, to such property. Cape v. Scott, L. R., 2 Q. B., 269, followed. Queen-Empress v. Gangaram Santram [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135
- 4. Giving up right of possession in property by owner.—A conviction for theft under the Penal Code is illegal if the owner has given up all property in and all possession of the subject of the alleged theft. ANONINOUS [4 Mad., Ap., 30
- Making away with property lawfully possessed. The making away with property of which a person has been put in lawful possession by superior authority is not theft, but criminal breach of trust. QUEEN v. BHARUT CHUN-
- Unexplained possession of rice .- Meaning of corpus delicti .- Where a prisoner was found in possession of rice not thrashed in the usual way, and having no paddy land of his own, he usual way, and having no pandy and or ms own, no failed to account satisfactorily for his possession of the rice,—*Held*, he could not be convicted of theft without more evidence. The meaning of the term "oorpus delicti" explained. ANONYMOUS

[7 Mad., Ap., 19

- Dishonest taking, Omission of allegation of.—The prisoner was convicted of theft on his own confession. The charge to which the prisoner pleaded did not allege the taking out of the possession of some person dishonestly, and there was no evidence of such taking. Held that the conviction was bad. Anonymous . 5 Mad., App., S7

- Abetment of theft .- Receiving stolen property.-Joint undivided Hindu family .- A Hindu, intending to separate himself from his family, emigrated to Demerara as a coolie. After an absence of thirty years he returned to his family, bringing with him money and other moveable family, bringing with him money and other moveance property which he had acquired in Demerara by manual labour as a coolie. On his return to his family he lived in commensality with it, but he did

11. Remoral of family jewels by mife and persons coming to commit adultery with her.—Two persons were committed for trial, the first prisoner for adultery, enticing away a married woman, and theft, and the second prisoner for abetherent of the enticing away and theft. The first prisoner as acquitted of the charges of adultery and enticing, away. The case for the prosecution was that the prosecutor's wife left her husband's house in company with the first prisoner, and that previous to her departure she, by means of false keys supplied to her by the second prisoner, opened the room where the family jewels and money were lept and removed them. The jewels were deposited with the second prisoner for abether the increase was landed to the first prisoner. Held that, notwithstanding the acquittal, the prisoners were not entitled to be discharged without trial on the charge of theft. Anonxymous

12. __eards. 114. __Foreibly carrying off crop. __Want of consent of counter. __Where a Court finds that parties came with a number of armed men, and carried off a crop, the finding amounts to that of a forcible carrying off without the consent of the owner. Even if they took no part in the actual taking, they must, with reference to section 114, Penal Code, be considered guilty of the sabstantive offence under section 378. Queen w. Shill Chrisder M. S. H. C. C. T. S. C. T. S

13. Person acting under a claim of right.—A person acting under a claim of right (however ill-founded such claim may be) is not guilty of theft by asserting it. QUEEN N. RAM CHUEN SINGH. 7W. R., Cr., 57

14.——Assertion of right by accused.—Defence to charge of the ft.—A bure assertion by an accused charged with committing theft of a proprietary right in the alleged stolen property

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued. is no reason for a Magistrate to refuse to entertain a charge of theft. QUEEN R. KALICHARAM MISSER. [7 78. L. R., Ap., 55

S. C. RUNNOO SINGH v. KALI CHURN MISSER [16 W. R., Cr., 18

See Khetter Nath Dutt v. Indro Jalia [16 W. R., Cr., 78

HURIS CHUNDRA DAS v. BOLAI AUDHICARBE [16 W. R., 75

15. Plunder of orops.—Plunder of orops.—Assertion of right to crops.—The mere assertion of a fair claim of property or right, or the mere existence of a doubt as to right, is not sufficient to justify an acquittal in a case of plunder of crops. The claim to the property must be proved by evidence to be fair and good, Nassin Chowburk v. Nannoo Chowbird V. W. W. Cr., 47.

16. and s. 442.—Boat.
Moreable property.—A beat may be the subject
of theth. Although, under section 442 of the
Penal Code, it is for certain purposes classed with
houses, it does not cease to be moveable property
under section 378. QUEEN v. MERAR DOWATIA
116 W. R. Cr. 63

17. Intention to convert to his own use, Went of -Temporary use.—When an accused charged with murder was alleged to have taken a boat from a place where it had been secured by its owner, and after proceeding some distance in it had abandoned it, and when he was charged with the theft of the boat,—Held that the charge was unsustainable, inasunch as it was evidently not his intention to convert it to his own use, and make it permanently his own property, but merely to make use of it for the purpose of aiding him in escaping. ADU SHIKDAR V, QUEEN-ENPRESS

II. L. R., 11 Calc., 635

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366: 3 C. L. R., 525

19. Possession of wood by forest inspector.—Removal of wood without payment offees.—Possession of wood by a forest inspector, who is a servant of Government, is possession of the Government itself; and a dishonest removal of it, without payment of the necessary fees, from his possession, albeit with his actual consent, constitutes theft within the meaning of section 378 of the Penal Code, if that consent was manufacrised or fraudulent Reg. o. HANMAYER J. L. R., J. Bom., 3(1)

THEFT.-Penal Code, s. 378-continued.

20.—and s. 95.—Valueless produce.—Property almost valueless.—Conviction and sentence by a Magistrate reversed, as the act of which the accused was convicted—taking pods (almost valueless) from a tree standing upon Government waste ground—came within the meaning of section 95 of the Pend Code, and did not therefore amount to theft. Res. v. Kasya Bir RAVII.

[5 Bom., Cr., 35

21. Retaining possession of mets of poachers.—The prisoner, acting bond fide in the interests of his employers, and finding a party of fishermen poaching on his master's fisheries, took charge of the nets, and retained possession of them, pending the orders of his employers. Held that the prisoner was not guilty of thete. Queen v. Noin OLYNDBE HODAR

6 W. R., Cr., 70.

22.

Taking fish in navigable river over which a right of julkur exists in another person does not fall within section 378 of the Penal Code. Hurri Mort Mondock v. Drivonarh Malo

19 W. R., Cr., 47

BHUSUN PARUI v. DENONATH BANERJEE [20 W. R., Cr., 15

23. Taking fish from creek is not theft. Queen v. Revu Pornadu [I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390

24. a sendosed tank.—Where the accused were found fishing without permission in an enclosed tank belonging to the municipality of the town of Sirsi, it was held that they could be convicted of thets, as the tank from which the fish were taken was apparently an enclosed tank, and the fish were, therefore, restrained of their natural liberty, and liable to be taken at any time according to the pleasure of the owner, and were, therefore, subjects of theft. Blussin Parit v. Denonath Bauerjee, 20 W. R. Cr., 15; and Queen v. Reve Pothada, I. L. R., 5 Mad., \$90, distinguished. Queen-Empress v. Adam value Shair Parit J. L. R., 10 Bom., 1938

25. — Hilgal seizure and impounding of cattle.—The illogal seizure and impounding of cattle is not theft within the meaning of the Penal Code, even if effected with the malicions intent of subjecting the owners to additional expense, inconvenience, and annoyance. ARADHUM MUNDUL v. MYAN KRAN TAKADGERE

[24 W. R., Cr., 7

 THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.
salt becomes liable to detention. Reg. v. Mansang
BHAYSANG 10 Born, 74

27.—Taking salfyrom sunsup surrounded by police.—Possession.—A swamp, the property of Government, having been surrounded with police guards by Government to prevent sult being removed,—Held that the taking against the will of Government, and with the intention of obtaining an unlawful gain, of salt which had been spontaneously produced on the swamp, was theft. QUEEN N. TAMMA GHARMYA

[L. L. R., 4 Mad., 228

28.—and s. 204.—Secreting document produced before arbitrator.—Intestion.—Remoteness of object.—Where the plaintiff in a suit referred to arbitration by consent, with a view to prevent a witness from referring to an endorsement on a bond (which tended to show that defendant had paid more than it was alleged had been paid by him) snatched up the bond which was lying beside the arbitrator, ran away, and refused to produce it.—Held that the offence committed was not theft, but secreting a document under section 204 of the Penal Code. SUBRAMANIA GRANAPATI v. QUENN

20. s. 380.—Theft in a building.—Requirites for offense.—All that is necessary in order to constitute the offence of theft in a building, is that the property should be under the protection of the building; it is not necessary to show unlawful entrance into the building. Queen a, lenker Pressar 24 W. R., Cr., 43

30. and s. 409.—Constables taking property from house under their charge.

—Theft by constables of property from the house they were employed to guard is punishable under section 380, and not section 409, Penal Code. QUIEN c. BOIDMAIL SINGH.

3 W. R., Cr., 29

31.—Theft by servant.—Hired boatman.—A lived boatman does not come within the definition of a clerk or servant under section 331 of the Penal Code. Theft by such a person on board a boat comes under section \$50. QUEEN J. BAWOOD MANJEE

[8 W. R., Cr., 32

32. 83. 841, 409.—Straling money in accused's charge.—Oriminal broach of trust.
—The prisoners were charged with having stolen a sam of money shut pp in a box and placed in the police treasury buildings, over which they, as burkundauzes, were placed on guard. Held that the charge should have been made under section 381 of the Fenal Code (theft by sevant in possession of property), and not under section 400 (criminal breach of brust by public servant). QUEEN B. JUGGERN B. JUGGERN

THEKADAR.

1. ____ Meaning of term.—The term "thekadar" is properly applicable to hereditary culti-

be) is not guilty of theft by asserting it. QUREN v.

accused.—Defence to charge of theft.—A bare assertion by an accused charged with committing theft

of a proprietary right in the alleged stolen property

Assertion of right by

THEFT.-Penal Code, s. 378-continued. THEFT.-Penal Code, s. 378-continued. not treat such property as joint family property but as his own property. Held that such property was his sole property, and his brother was not a joint owner of it, and could properly be convicted of theft in respect of it. It is irregular to convict and is no reason for a Magistrate to refuse to entertain a charge of theft. Queen v. Kalicharan Misser [7 B. L. R., Ap., 55 S. C. RUNNOO SINGH v. KALI CHURN MISSER [16 W. R., Cr., 18 punish a person for abetment of theft, and at the same time to convict and punish him for receiving See KHETTER NATH DUTT v. INDRO JALIA [16 W. R., Cr., 78 the stolen property. EMPRESS v. SITA RAM RAM .[I. L. R., 3 All., 181 HURIS CHUNDRA DAS v. BOLAI AUDHICARRE 16 W. R., 75 Mahomedan marrie woman .- Ausband and wife .- Taking property of Plunder of orops. husband .- A Mahomedan married woman may be Assertion of right to crops .- The mere assertion of a convicted of theft, or abetment of theft, in respect fair claim of property or right, or the mere existence of a doubt as to right, is not sufficient to justify an acquittal in a case of plunder of crops. The claim to the property must be proved by evidence to be fair and good. NASSIB CHOWDEY 2. NANNOO CHOW. of the property of her husbaild. REG. v. KHATABAI [6 Bom., Cr., 9 - Hindu woman removing stridhan from possession of her husband.—A Hindu woman who removes from the possession of her hus-band, and without his consent, her palla or stridhan, 15 W. R., Cr., 47 16. And s. 442.—Boat.
Moscoble property.—A beat may be the subject
of theft. Although, under section 442 of the
Penal Code, it is for certain purposes classed with cannot be convicted of theft, nor can any person who joins her in removing it be convicted of that offence. Reg. v. Natha Kalyan . 8 Bom., Cr., 11 11. Removal of family jewels by wife and persons coming to commit adultery with her.—Two persons were committed for trial, the nouses, it does not cease to be moveable property under section 378. Queen v. Mehar Dowalla [16 W. R., Cr., 68 first prisoner for adultery, enticing away a married woman, and theft, and the second prisoner for abet-- Intention to convert to woman, and thert, and the second prisoner for a berment of the enticing sawy and theft. The first prisoner was acquitted of the charges of adultery and enticing away. The case for the prosecution was that the prosecutor's wife left her husband's house in company with the first prisoner, and that previous to her departure she, by means of false keys supplied to her by the second prisoner, opened his own use, Want of .- Temporary use .- When an accused charged with murder was alleged to have taken a boat from a place where it had been secured by its owner, and after proceeding some distance in it had abandoned it, and when he was charged with the theft of the boat,—Held that the charge was unsustainable, inasmuch as it was evidently not his inkeys supplied to her by the second prisoner, opened the room where the family jewels and money were kept and removed them. The jewels were deposited with the second prisoner for safe enstody. Part of the money was handed to the first prisoner. Held that, notwithstanding the acquittal, the prisoners were not entitled to be discharged without trial on tention to convert it to his own use, and make it permainently his own property, but merely to make use of it for the purpose of aiding him in escaping. ADU SHIKDAE v. QUEEN-EMPRESS [I. L. R., 11 Calc., 635 the charge of theft, ANONYMOUS 18. - Property removed with criminal intent but with consent of owner. - A. [5 Mad., Ap., 23 sought the aid of B. with the intention of commitand s. 114 .- Forcibly ting a theft of the property of B.'s master. B. with the knowledge and consent of his master, and for carrying off crop.-Want of consent of owner.-Where a Court fluds that parties came with a numthe purpose of procuring A.'s punishment, aided A. in carrying out his object. On the proceeding of A. for theft,—Held that as the property removed was so taken with the knowledge of the owner, the offence ber of armed men, and carried off a crop, the finding amounts to that of a forcible carrying off without the consent of the owner. Even if they took no part in the actual taking, they must, with reference to section 114, Penal Code, be considered guilty of of theft had not been committed, EMPRESS v. TROY-LUKHONATH CHOWDERY the substantive offence under section 378. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 366: 3 C. L. R., 525 v. SHIB CHUNDER MUNDLE . 8 W. R., Cr., 59 Person acting under ill-founded claim of right.—A person acting under a claim of right (however ill-founded such claim may

19. — Possession of wood by forest inspector.—Removal of wood without payment offees.—Possession of wood by a forest inspector, who is a sorvant of Government, is possession of the Government is self; and a dishonest removal of it, without payment of the necessary foss, from his possession, albeit with his actual consent, constitutes theft within the meaning of section 378 of the Penal Code, if that consent was unauthorised or franduleut. Rev. b. HAMMAYA LL. L. R., 1 Born, 610

THEFT.-Penal Code, s. 378-continued.

20.——and s. 95.—Valueless produce.—Property almost valueless.—Conviction and sentence by a Magistrate reversed, as the act of which the accused was convicted—taking pods (almost valueless) from a tree standing upon Government waste ground—came wishin the meaning of section 95 of the Penal Code, and did not therefore amount to theft. Ref. v. KASYA BUN RATJI.

[5 Bom., Cr., 35

21. Retaining possession of note of pouchers.—The prisoner, acting bond fide in the interests of his employers, and finding a party of fishermen poaching on his master's fisheries, took charge of the nets, and retained possession of them, pending the orders of his employers. Held that the prisoner was not gailty of theft. QUERN W. NORIN CHUNDER HOLDAR 6 W. R., Cr., 78

22. Taking fish in navigable river.—The taking fish in that portion of a navigable river over which a right of julkur exists in another person does not fall within section 378 of the Penal Code. HURI MOTI MODDOCK 8. DENOMATH MATO 18 W. R., CX, 47

BHUSUN PARUI v. DENONATH BANERJEE [20 W. R., Cr., 15

23. Taking fish from creek is not theft. Queen v. Revu Porthabu

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390

- 24. 8. 379.—Possession.—Fish in a enclosed tank.—Where the accused were found fishing without permission in an enclosed tank belonging to the municipality of the town of Sirsi, it was held that they could be convieted of theft, as the tank from which the fish were taken was apparently an enclosed tank, and the fish were, therefore, restrained of their natural liberty, and liable to be taken at any time according to the pleasure of the owner, and were, therefore, subjects of theft. Bhasus Parst v. Denonals Banerjee, 20 W. E., Cr., 15 and Queen v. Rever Pothada, I. L. R., 5 Mad, 390, distinguished. Queen-Empress ADAM VALAD SHAIR FARID . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 193
- 25. Illegal seizure and impounding of cattle.—The illegal seizure and impounding of cattle is not theft within the meaning of the Penal Code, even if effected with the maticions intent of subjecting the owners to additional expense, inconvenience, and annoyance. Aradhum Mundule . Mann Klah Tarahogers

[24 W. R., Cr., 7

26. Removal of salt naturally formed.—Bow. Salt Acts, XXVII of 1850.—Dishonest removal of salt naturally formed in a creck which was under the supervision of an officer belonging to the Customs Department constitutes theft, the salt having been legally appropriated by such officer. Per Haxley and West, JJ.—But removal for one's own use from a creek of such salt not legally appropriated constitutes no offence under the Penal Code or the Salt Acts, though the

THEFT.—Penal Code, s. 378—continued.
salt becomes liable to detention. Reg. v. Mansang
BHAYSANG 10 Bom., 74

27. Taking saltfrom secump surrounded by poises.—Possession—A swamp, the property of Government, having been surrounded with police gunus by Government to prevent salt being removed,—Held that the taking against the will of Government, and with the intention of obtaining an unlawful gain, of salt which had been spontaneously produced on the swamp, was theft. QUEER v. TAMMA GHANTAYA

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 228

28.—and s. 204.—Secreting document produced before arbitrator.—Intention.—Remoteness of object.—Where the plaintiff in a suit referred to arbitration by consent, with a view to prevent a witness from referring to an endorsement on a bond (which tended to show that defendant had paid more than it was alleged had been paid by him) snatched up the bond which was lying beside the arbitrator, ran away, and refused to produce it.—Hold that the offence committed was not theft, but secreting a document under section 204 of the Peual Code. SUBRAMANIA GRANAPATI v. QUEEN

29.— s. 380.—Theft in a building.—All that is necessary in order to constitute the offence of thet in a building, is that the property should be under the protection of the building; it is not necessary to show unlawful entrance into the building; it is not necessary to show unlawful entrance into the building. QUBEN N. ISHMEN PLESHAD 24 W. R., Cr., 49

30. — Constables taking property from house under their charge,
—Theft by constables of property from the house
they were employed to guard is punishable under
section 890, and not section 409, Penal Code. QUEEN
v. BOIDMATH SINGH 8 W. R., Cr., 29

31.—Theft by seront.—Hired boatman.—A hired boatman does not come within the definition of a clerk or servant under section 381 of the Penal Code. Theft by such a person on board a boat comes under section 380. QUEEN v. BAWOOL MANJEE

[8 W. R., Cr., 32

32. s. 381, 408.—Stealing money in accused's charge.—Criminal breach of trust.
—The prisoners were charged with having stolan a sum of money shut up in a box and placed in the police treasury buildings, over which they, as brightness, were placed on guard. Hald that the charge should have been unde under section 381 of the Penal Code (theft by servant in possession of property), and not under section 490 (criminal breach of trust by public servant). QUERN v. JUGGGUNNATH SINGH

THEKADAR.

1. — Meaning of term.—The term "thekadar" is properly applicable to hereditary culti-

THEKADAR, - Meaning of term-continued.	TITLE-continued.
vators only when they have also a theka or lease of a share in, or the whole of, the profits of an estate. Ball Nath v. Mungles 2 N. W., 411	See Cases under Resistance or Ob- struction to Execution of Decree,
	Acknowledgment of-
2. Mode of creation of thekadar's interest.—Effect of accepting theka.—A thekadar is ordinarily a person who holds a theka or lease of the whole of a zemindar's interest in a	See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, , s. 19 (1871, art. 148)—Acknowledg- ment of other Rights.
village. There is nothing in the law which renders	Breach of covenant for-
a writing necessary to the creation of such an inter- est. It is not to be inferred from the mere circum-	See Damages-Suits for Damages-
· stance that persons accepted a theka that they fore-	Breach of Contract. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273
went their existing right. LEELA DHUR v. BHUG-	
TICKET, REFUSAL TO PRODUCE-	See Cases under Res Judicata—Com-
See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2.	PETENT COURT—REVENUE COURTS.
[I. I. R., 1 Bom., 25	Declaration of, Suit for-
See RAILWAY ACT, 1879, SS. 17, 31. [I. L. R., 1 Calc., 192]	See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR— DECLARATION OF TITLE.
TIMBER, RIGHT TO-	See Cases under Onus Probandi-DE-
See Custom—Evidence of Custom.	CLARATION OF TITLE. See Possession—Suits for Possession
TIME FOR APPEARANCE OF DE- FENDANT, EXTENSION OF-	-PROOF OF PARIOULAR TITLE. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 224 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699
See Negotiable Instruments, Summary	——— Denial of—
Procedure on— [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395 3 B. L. R., O. C., 83	See Cases under Estoppel—Landlord and Tenant, Denial of Title.
See PRACTICE—CIVIL CASES—LEAVE TO SUE OR DEFEND.	See Cases under Landlord and Tenant —Forfeiture—Denial of Title.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539	——— Disclaimer of—
TIME BARGAIN.	See Admission-Admission in State-
See Evidence-Parol Evidence-Vary- ing or Contradicting Written In-	MENTS AND PLEADINGS. [15 B. L. R., 10
struments . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791	Extinction of-
TITLE, Col.	See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 28.
1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE . 6000	See Relinquishment of Tenure.
(a) Generally	[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91, 208
2. Miscellaneous Cases	Investigation of-
See Chur Lands . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 4 [17 W. R., 78	See Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 236 (1859, s. 214) . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413
See CASES UNDER CLAIMS TO ATTACHED	Notice of
PROPERTY. See Cases under Onus Probandi-	See Cases under Vendor and Purchaser —Notice.
Possession and Proof of Title.	Obstruction to-
See Partition—Jurisdiction of Civil Court in Suits respecting Parti- tion . S.B. L. R., Ap., 73, note	See Declaratory Decree, Suit for— Suits concerning Documents, [I. L. R., 1 All., 688
See Cases under Possession—Evidence of Title.	
See Cases under Possession—Suits for Possession—Proof of Particular True.	See ESTOPPEL—ESTOPPEL BY DREDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS.
APKINS.	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397

TITLE,-Proof of-continued.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT-MIRASDARS. [L. L. R., 3 Bom., 340

See Cases under Possession—Evidence OF POSSESSION.

See Cases under Possession-Evidence OF TITLE.

Sec SANAD . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340 [12 B. L. R., 120

 Question of-See APPEAL-NORTH-WEST PROVINCES . I. L. R., 1 All., 366 See Cases under Bengal Rent Act, 1869, s. 102...

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION—PROCEDURE I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616 [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 303

See Insolvent Act, s. 26. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 434 See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT-

General Jurisdiction [2 N. W., 202

See JURISDICTION OF REVENUE COURT-BOMBAY REGULATIONS AND ACTS. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624

See PRODATE-OPPOSITION TO AND. RE-VOCATION OF GRANT.

II. L. R., 4 Cale., 1 See RES JUDICATA-COMPETENT COURT-GENERAL CASES.

II. L. R., 5 Calc., 832

See Cases under Res Judicata-Com-PETENT COURT-REVENUE COURTS.

See CASES UNDER RES JUDICATA-COM-PETENT COURT-SMALL CAUSE COURT CASES.

See Cases under Small Cause Court, MORUSSIL - JURISDICTION - TITLE, QUESTIONS OF-

See Cases under Small Cause Court, Presidency Towns—Jurisdiction PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION
—RECOVERY OF IMMOVEABLE PRO-TOTAL STOT

See Special Appeal—Small Cause Court SUITS-TITLE, QUESTION OF [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470

Slander of-

See DECLARATORY DECREE, SUIT FOR-DECLARATION OF TITLE. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65

Warranty of-See Sale in Execution of Degree-Purchasers, Title of Generally.

> [4 Bom., A. C., 114 6 Bom., A. C., 258 12 W. R., 41 I. L. R., 2 All., 108, 828

TITLE.-Warranty of-continued.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE-SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PUR-CHASERS—RECOVERY OF PURCHASE-I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258 MONRY

See Cases under Vendor and Purchaser.

- by long possession,

See ACCRETION-RE-FORMATION AFTER DILUVIATION . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 796 See Bombay Regulation V of 1827, s. 1. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352

See Cases under Possession-Evidence OF TITLE.

on sale of goods.

See CONTRACT-BREACH OF CONTRACT. [8 B. L. R., 581

to land between high and low water marks.

See SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE-SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE ALLOWED. 9 B. L. R., 128

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLÉ.

(a) GENERALLY.

Evidence of title, -Oral evidence.—Where the Principal Sudder Ameen, reversing the decision of the Munsif, dismissed a claim to the possession of certain land, on the ground that "mere oral evidence unsupported by any documentary evidence was not admissible to establish a man's title to landed property," the High Court on appeal reversed his decision and held that oral evidence, if believed, may be as good for proving a man's title as documentary evidence. DURBAN FAKEER v. NOBIN-CHANDRA MAZUMDAR

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. R., 217

- Documentary evidence in India .- The presumption in favour of the genuineness of documents offered in evidence in India is very weak, but it must not be held that the presumption is in favour of forgery, and when a long series of documents is produced showing a reasonable origin of title nearly a century ago, a regular deduction of that title and a possession consistent with it, confirmed by the fact of such possession existing at the time of the commencement of the respondents' title by purchase in 1833, the evidence of extrinsic improbability should be very strong indeed to counter-balance the weight of such testimony. Wise v. BHOOBUN MOYEE DEBIA [3 W. R., P. C., 5: 10 Moore's I. A., 165

Pottah granted by Collector .- A pottah of land in Calcutta granted by the Collector is not a muniment of title, but only evidence of a holding according to a local and fiscal regulation. FREEMAN v. FAIRLIE

[1 Moore's I. A., 305

- Forged documents. -If a party put in evidence in support of his title,

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

documents proved to be forged, but the other evidence adduced by him is not impeached, the Court, in rejecting the forged documents, will take the unimpeached evidence into consideration, and, if satisfied, adjudicate thereon. SEVVAJI VIJAYA RAGHUNADHA VALOJI KRISTNAN GOPALAR V. CHINNA NAYANA . 10 Moore's I. A., 151 CHETTI

5. Suit for possesboth the plaintiff and defendant claimed to have reclaimed from jungle and to have possessed many years, and for which each claimed to have obtained a posts, and nor mark each camera to have obtained as pottah from Government, the mere fact that the land was included in plaintiff's pottah was held to be insufficient, without going into the facts to ascertain possession, to entitle him to a decree. Goldan likza CHOWDERY v. CHANDOO MEAH LUSHKUR

115 W. R., 45

- Possession .- Presumption.—The ordinary presumption that possession goes with the title would be of no avail in the presence of clear evidence to the contrary; but where there is strong evidence of possession on one side opposed by evidence apparently strong also on the other side, the Privy Council held that in estimating the weight due to the evidence on both sides, the presumption might, under the circumstances of the case, be regarded, and that with the aid of it there is stronger probability that the case of the side that had possession was true than that of the party out of possession. RUNJEET RAM PANDAY v. GOBERDHUN RAM . 20 W. B., P. C., 25 PANDAY ..
- ~ Possession .-Limitation Act (XV of 1877), arts. 143, 144.—Conflicting evidence of possession.—Presumption of title.—Where two adverse parties are each trying to make out a possession of twelve years, and the evidence is conflicting and not conclusive on either side, Held that the presumption that possession goes with the title must prevail. DHARM SINGH v. HUB PER-BHAD SINGH . . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38
- Possession: Presumption of .- Waste lands .- In disputes as to the right to the possession of jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have the title has also possession. RAM BANDHU & KUSU BHATTU
 - [5 C. L. R., 481

- Suit for possession. Possession of title-deeds and receipts for rent.— In a case involving the alternative question of fact whether certain land belonged to R. or C., neither the one nor the other of the opposite party venturing to state who his opponent was, and the testimony of the witnesses on this point being doubtful,—Held that R., who was in possession of the title-deeds and

TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

of the receipts of rent, ought to succeed, unless there was something on the record to countervail such strong evidence. KHODA BUKSH KHAN v. CHOA

[19 W. R., 162

Suit for declaration of title. Onus probandi. Production of titledeeds .- The plaintiff sued for declaration of her title to property of which the defendant was in possession but of which she produced the title-deeds in favour of herself. *Held*, the onus was on the defendant to disprove the plaintiff's title, and the defendant was not allowed to raise certain fresh issues; but the plaintiff was, under the circumstances of the case, entitled to rely on the title given her by the produc-tion of the title-deeds in her favour. SWARNAMAYI entitled to rely on the block street Swarnamani tion of the title-deeds in her favour. Swarnamani Barnaman Serninash Koyal 6 B. L. R., 144

Possession .- Uninterrupted and undisputed possession .- Uninterrupted and undisputed possession for a long time constitutes sufficient prima facie evidence of title; but if this possession is admitted to be under an adoption, it will avail nothing if the adoption fails. HAINUN CHULL SINGH v. GUNNHEAM SINGH
[5 W. R., P. C., 69

12.—Suit for posses-sion.—Where, in a suit to recover possession of land, the plaintiff succeeded in proving that he had been in possession up to a recent date, and that he had been forcibly dispossessed by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court threw upon the defendant the burden of proving his title, and, on his failing to do so, decreed the case. Held that this was a fair inference of title and of a right to be replaced in possession without going further into the title,-that is, to the mode of its acquisition. Trilocutur Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmie Bhutta-chaeji . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. R., 175

- Proof of title .--Suit for possession .- In a suit to recover possession, on the allegation that plaintiff had been illegally ousted, though holding under a lease from defendant the latter urged that, though plaintiff had been allow ed to hold the tenure as a tehsildar or collector of rents, he had never been the ijaradar or farmer in possession. The Judge found that the estate was really let out in ijara to the plaintiffs by the defendant, who had recovered rents and granted him receipts on account of the ijara mehal. Held that this was a complete finding in favour of the plaintiff's title, and that it was not necessary for him to sue for the pottah which had been wrongfully denied him by defendant. JOHEEROODDEEN MAHOMED v. DABEE Pershad Singh , 13 W. R., 21

Proof of title. Evidence of possession.—In a suit to establish title, evidence of plaintiff's possession prior to the summary award under section 15, Act XIV of 1859.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

under which he was dispossessed, may be good evidence of his title, and must be considered. BULLUBER KANT BHUTTACHARJEE v. DOORJO DRUN SRIEDAR [7 W. R., 89

Possession.—Evi-15. Possession.—Evidence Act, s. 110.—Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 9.—In a suit for possession, where the plaintiff proved that he had been in possession of the lauds in dispute, and that he had been ousted by the defendants, who were unable to give any proof of their right so to oust him, or of a superior title.—Held (PRINSEP, J. dissentiente) that the prior possession of the plaintiff was primd facie evidence of title, and that he was entitled to a decree. Per PRINSER, J.—Proof of prior possession and of illegal dispossession are in themselves no evidence of title, except in a possessory suit under the Specific Relief Act (I of 1877). Section 110 of the Evidence Act applies only to actual and present possession, and does not declare generally that possession shall always be prima facie evidence of title. KAWA MANJI v. KHOWAZ NUSSIO [5 C. L. R., 278

- Possession .-Lands attached by Government as being disputed lands .- Disputes respecting the boundaries of the zemindaris of Yettiapooram and Ramnad in the district of Madura having led to acts of violence by the ryots, the Government, in the year 1836, to preserve the public peace attached the disputed lands and took possession for the benefit of the party to whom the lands should be judicially awarded. At and before the time of the Government taking such possession, the zemindar of Yettiapooram was in possession of certain lands adjacent to, and taken as a part of, the lands in dispute. The lands remained under attachment by Government for a period of nearly twenty years, no steps having been taken regard-ing them till the year 1855, when the zemindar of Yettiapoorum brought a suit against the Collector of Madura and the zemindar of Ramnad to recover possession of the land so formerly occupied by him, and for the mesne profits thereof while in the pos session of the Government. Although no clear title in this suit was proved by either zemindar, it was held by the Courts in India, and adirmed on appeal by the Judicial Committee, that the fact of possession of the lands by the zemindar of Yettiapooram before and at the time of the attachment by Government was, under the circumstances, evidence of title.

- Proof of possession.—Suit for possession.—In a suit to recover possession of two plots as parcels of the plaintiff's ancestral jammai lands, the Court of first instance found that one plot was parcel, but was not satisfied that the other was so also. The lower

and the Government was ordered to restore the lands to him. ZEMINDAE OF RAMNAD v. ZEMINDAR

. 10 Moore's I. A., 47

OF YETTIAPOORAM .

TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued,

Appellate Court agreed with the first finding, but further found that there was sufficient evidence of possession to show that both plots were parcels of the jammai. Held, reversing the decision of Field, J., that on second appeal the High Court was not entitled to question the sufficiency of that evidence; and, further, that one plot having been found to be parcel of the jammai, it was sufficient to give eviparcel of the jammat, it was summers to give ordence of possession and ownership to prove that the other plot was also parcel. Dadabhai Narsidas v. Sub-Collector of Broack, 7 Bonn, A. C., 82, distinguished. BARODA KANTO BEEPART P. JODINSTEER NATH 10 C. L. R., 99

- Registration after proclamation.—Evidence of assertion of title.

The act of registration after a proclamation under section 20, Regulation XXXVII of 1793, amounts to a public, open, and notorious assertion of title on the one side, and the omission to register, unexplained by proof of the ill-health of the claimant, or absence in a distant country, or ignorance, affords an equally strong presumption of the non-existence of any title on the other. Ustrooclarke, limanan [5 W. R., P. C., 28: 1 Moore's I. A., 19

- Proof of title .-Registration in Collector's books.—Registration in the Collector's books is not of itself a proof of title. GOBIND NATH SEIN v. GOBIND CHUNDER SEIN

AMEEROONISSA BIBEE v. WOOMAROODDEEN MA-. 14 W. R., 49 HOMED CHOWDHRY

20. Entry in Collector's books.—Proof of title.—The Collector's book is kept for purposes of revenue, not for purposes of title, and the fact of a person's name being entered in the Collector's book as occupant of land does not necessarily of itself establish that person's title, or defeat the title of any other person. FATMA KOM NUBI SAHEB v. DARYA SAHEB

[10 Bom., 187

COLLECTOR OF POONA v. BHAVANRAV BAL-. 10 Bom., 192

SANGAPA MALAPA v. BHIMANGOWDA MARIAPA 10 Bom., 194

Co-proprietors .-Registration of shares in land.—Registration of land under Bengal Act VII of 1876 is not only no conclusive proof, but no evidence at all, upon the conclusive title of question of title of a proprietor so registered. Such registration does not relieve a plaintiff from the onus of proving his title to land claimed by him. RAM BHUSAN MARTO v. JEBLI MARTO

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853

See also Saraswati Dasi v. Dhanput Singh. [I. L. R., 9 Cale, 431: 12 C. L. R., 12

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

documents proved to be forged, but the other evidence adduced by him is not impeached, the Court, in rejecting the forged documents, will take the unimpeached evidence into consideration, and, if satisfied, adjudicate thereon. SEVATA UTAZA RAGHIMADHA VALOJI KRISTNAN GORALBE W. CHINNA NAYANA CHETTI 10 MOOFES I. A., 151

5. Sait for possession—In a suit to recover possession that which both the plaintiff and defendant claimed to have reclaimed from jumple and to have possessed many years, and for which each claimed to have obtained a pottah from Government, the mere fact that the land was included in plaintiff so pottah was held to be insufficient, without going into the facts to ascertain possession, to entitle him to a decree. GOLAR REAL CHOWDHRY 6. CHANDOO MEAN LUSHINUE.

TP M. F

6. Peasession—Presumption—Presumption—The ordinary presumption that possession goes with the title would be of no avail in the presence of clear evidence to the contrary; but where there is strong evidence of possession on one side opposed by evidence apparently strong also on the other side, the Privy Council held that in estimating the weight due to the evidence on both sides, the presumption might, under the circumstances of the case, be regarded, and that with the aid of it there is stronger probability that the case of the side that had possession was true than that of the party out of possession. RUNNERT HAM PANDAY e. GORERDHUN HAM PANDAY — 20 W. R.P. C., 25

7. Possession—
Limitation Act (XV of 1877), arts. 148, 144.—Conflicting evidence of possession.—Presumption of title.—Where two adverse parties are each trying to make out a possession of twelve years, and the evidence is conflicting and not conclusive on either side,—
Held that the presumption that possession goes with the title must prevail. DIMANS INFORM. HUE PERSUMD STROFT.

I. L. B., 12 Cale., 38

8. Possession, Presumption of.—Weste lands.—In disputes as to the right to the possession of jungle lands, it is only in cases where neither party has exercised any acts of ownership over the lands in question that the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have the title has also possession. RAM BANDHU v. Kusu BHATTU

[5 C. L. R., 481

9. Suit for possession.

—Possession of title-deeds and receipts for rent.

In a case involving the alternative question of fact whether certain land belonged to R. or C., neither the one nor the other of the opposite party venturing to state who his opponent was, and the testimony of the witnesses on this point being doubtful.—Held that R., who was in possession of the title-deeds and

TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.

(a) Generally—continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

of the receipts of rent, ought to succeed, unless there was something on the record to countervail such strong evidence. Khoda Buksh Khan v. Choa

[19 W. R., 162

10. — Suit for declaration of title.—Onue probandi.—Production of title.
deeds.—The plaintiff sued for declaration of her title to property of which the defendant was in possession, but of which she produced the title-deeds in favour of herself. Held, the onus was on the defendant to disprove the plaintiff wille, and the defendant was not allowed to raise certain fresh issues; but the plaintiff was, under the circumstances of the case, entitled to rely on the title given her by the production of the title-deeds in her favour. SWARMAMAXI RAUR W. SEMINDARI KOYAL . 6 B. L. R., 124

11. Possession.—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession.—Uninterrupted and undisputed possession for a long time constitutes sufficient primā facie ovidence of title; but if this possession is admitted to be under an adoption, it will avail nothing if the adoption fails. HAIMUN CHULL SINGH. QUINSHEAM SINGH

[5 W. R., P. C., 69

12. Suif for possession.—Where, in a suit to recover possession of land,
the plaintiff succeeded in proving that he had been
in possession up to a recent date, and that he had
been forcibly dispossessed by the defendant, the
lower appellate Court threw upon the defendant the
burden of proving his title, and, on his failing to do
so, decreed the case. ** Held that this was a fair inference of title and of a right to be replaced in possession without going further into the title,—that is,
to the mode of its acquisition. **TRICORING HOSSES.**
v. KAILAS NATH SIDHANTO BHOWMIK BRUYTACHAREN J. S. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W. R., 175

13. Proof of title.—
Suit for possession.—In a suit to recover possession, on the allegation that plaintiff had been illegally ousted, though holding under a lease from defendant, the latter urged that, though plaintiff had been allowed to hold the tenure as a tehsildar or collector of rents, he had never been the ijaradar or farmer in possession. The Judge found that the estate was really let out in ijara to the plaintiffs by the defendant, who had recovered rents and granted him receipts on account of the ijara mehal. Held that this was a complete fluding in favour of the plaintiff's title, and that it was not necessary for him to sue for the pottah which had been wrongfully denied him by defendant. JOHERGOODEEN MAIOMED v. DABRE PERSHAD SKOH.

13 W. R., 21 W. R., 21 W. R., 22 W. R., 22 W. R.

14. Proof of title.— Evidence of possession.—In a suit to establish title, evidence of plaintiff's possession prior to the summary award under section 15, Act XIV of 1859,

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued,

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

under which he was dispossessed, may be good evidence of his title, and must be considered. BULLUBEE KANT BHUTTACHARJEE v. DOORJO DHUN SHIKDAR 77 W. R., 89

Possession.—Evidence Act, s. 110 .- Specific Relief Act, 1877, s. 9 .-In a suit for possession, where the plaintiff proved that he had been in possession of the lands in dispute, and that he had been ousted by the defendants, who were unable to give any proof of their right so to oust him, or of a superior title,—Held (PRINSEP, J., dissentiente) that the prior possession of the plaintiff was primd facie evidence of title, and that he was entitled to a decree. Per PRINSEP, J.—Proof of prior possession and of illegal dispossession are in themselves no evidence of title, except in a possessory suit under the Specific Relief Act (I of 1877). Section 110 of the Evidence Act applies only to actual and present possession, and does not declare generally that possession shall always be prima facie evidence of title. Kawa Manji v. Khowaz Nussio [5 C. L. R., 278

- Possession .-Lands attached by Government as being disputed lands.—Disputes respecting the boundaries of the zemindaris of Yettiapooram and Ramnad in the dis-trict of Madura having led to acts of violence by the ryots, the Government, in the year 1836, to preserve the public peace attached the disputed lands and took possession for the benefit of the party to whom the lands should be judicially awarded. At and before the time of the Government taking such possession, the zemindar of Yettiapooram was in possession of certain lands adjacent to, and taken as a part of, the lands in dispute. The lands remained under attachment by Government for a period of nearly twenty years, no steps having been taken regard-ing them till the year 1855, when the zemindar of Yettiapooram brought a suit against the Collector of Madura and the zemindar of Ramnad to recover possession of the land so formerly occupied by him, and for the mesne profits thereof while in the possession of the Government. Although no clear title in this suit was proved by either zemindar, it was held by the Courts in India, and affirmed on appeal by the Judicial Committee, that the fact of possession of the lands by the zemindar of Yettiapooram before and at the time of the attachment by Government was, under the circumstances, evidence of title, and the Government was ordered to restore the lands to him. Zemindar of Ramnad v. Zemindar OF YETTIAPOORAM . . 10 Moore's I. A., 47

- Proof of possession.—Suit for possession.—In a suit to recover possession of two plots as parcels of the plaintiff's ancestral jammai lands, the Court of stance found that one plot was parcel, but was not satisfied that the other was so also. The lower TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Evidence of title-continued,

Appellate Court agreed with the first finding, but further found that there was sufficient evidence of possession to show that both plots were parcels of the jammai. Held, reversing the decision of Field, J., that on second appeal the High Court was not entitled to question the sufficiency of that evidence; and, further, that one plot having been found to be parcel of the jammal, it was sufficient to give evidence of possession and ownership to prove that the other plot was also parcel. Dadabhai Narsidas v. Sub-Collector of Broach, 7 Bom., A. C., 82, distinguished. BARODA KANTO BEEFARI v. JODHIS-TEER NATH . 10 C. L. R., 99

 Registration after proclamation .- Evidence of assertion of title. —The act of registration after a proclamation under section 20, Regulation XXXVII of 1793, amounts to a public, open, and notorious assertion of title on the one side, and the omission to register, unexplained by proof of the ill-health of the claimant, or absence in a distant country, or ignorance, affords an equally strong presumption of the non-existence of any title on the other. UsuDoollan v. IMAMAN [5 W. R., P. C., 28: 1 Moore's I. A., 19

- Proof of title.-Registration in Collector's books .- Registration in the Collector's books is not of itself a proof of title. GOBIND NATH SEIN v. GOBIND CHUNDER SEIN

[10 W. R., 393 AMERROONISSA BIBEE v. WOOMAROODDEEN MA-

HOMED CHOWDHRY . 14 W. R., 49

20. Entry in Collector's books.—Proof of title.—The Collector's book is kept for purposes of revenue, not for purposes of title, and the fact of a person's name being entered in the Collector's book as occupant of land does not necessarily of itself establish that person's title, or defeat the title of any other person. FATMA KOM NUBI SAHEB v. DARYA SAHEB

10 Bom., 187

COLLECTOR OF POONA v. BHAVANRAV BAL-. 10 Bom., 192

SANGAPA MALAPA v. BHIMANGOWDA MARIAPA 10 Bom., 194

 Co-proprietors.-Registration of shares in land.—Registration of land under Bengal Act VII of 1876 is not only no conclusive proof, but no evidence at all, upon the question of title of a proprietor so registered. Such registration does not relieve a plaintiff from the onus of proving his title to land claimed by him. RAM BHUSAN MARTO v. JEBLI MARTO

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 853

See also Saraswati Dasi v. Dhanput Singh. [I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431: 12 C. L. R., 12

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE --continued.

(a) GENERALEY—continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

22. Mirasi title.—
Payment of rent.—Presumption.—Continuous payment of rent for about a hundred years held to give rise to a presumption that the tenant held under a mirasi title. Brajanarh, Kundu Chowdhey e. Lakh Narayan add . 7 B. L. R., 211

23. Title confirmed by decree,—Where a proprietary title is affirmed by a decree, the property is not subsequently held under the decree alone, but under the original title.
AMBIT KOORE P. ROOF KOOER

[2 N. W., 459; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240

(b) Long Possession.

24. Title by long possession.— Adverse possession.— Limitation.— Twelve years' continuous possession of land by a wrong-doer not only bars the remedy and extinguishes the title of the rightful owner, but confers a good title pron the wrong-doer. Semble,—Such title may be transferred to a third person whilst it is in course of acquisition, and before it has been perfected by possession. Gossain Dass Chundre v. Issue Chunder Nath. I. I. R., 3 Calc., 224

See Goluck Chunder Masanta v. Nundo Commar Roy [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699: 3 C. L. R., 450

25. — Adverse possession.— Limitation.— Grant made by wife during absence of husband.— A wife, during the prolonged absence of husband, who was erroneously supposed to be dead, acting in cross of the limited powers of a wife in possession of her absent husband's property, made a mirasi grant of a portion of her lusband's estate. The grantee entered into and remained in possession for upwards of twelve years. Held that the position of the grantee was not that of a lessee, and that his possession (although in its inception an act of trespusa against the husband having continued for upwards of twelve years, had perfected his title to the lands. One who holds possession on behalf of another during the possession and the title to the lands. One who holds possession on the his for another does not, by mere denial of that other's title, make his possession adverse, so as to give himself the benefit of the statute of limitation. BEJOY CHUNDER BANKRIES e. KALIY PROSONNO MOOKEN-JEE

26. — Declaration of title. — Adverse possession. — Variation between pleading and proof.—A declaration of title may be made upon proof of twelve years' adverse possession. Such declaration cannot, however, be given on a title not distinctly stated in the plaint or in the issues. SIIIRO KUMARI DBBI 70. GOVIND SHAW TANTI . I. I. R., 2 Calc., 418

TITLE-continued.

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE -continued.

(b) Long Possession-continued.

Evidence of title-continued.

27. Suit for declaration of title.—Failure to prove stated title.—Title by long possession.—In a suit for a declaration of title to a share of landed estate, although the plaintiffs fail to satisfy the Court that their title to the land has been acquired in the way they state, yet if it is admitted that they have been in possession for more than twelve years, the effect of such possession is to extinguish other titles, if these existed, and the plaintiffs ought to have the declaration sought. HAM LOCHUM CHOKERBUTTY N. RAM SONDER CHICKERBUTTY 20 W. R., 1024

JUGGUT CHUNDER v. BANEE MADHUE BANERJEE
[23 W. R., 205

Pass.

Proof of title.—
Passession for period of limitation.— Plaintiff stating that he was obstructed in the cultivation of certain land which belonged to him, asked that the obstruction be removed and damages granted. The damages were disallowed, but the Civil Judge made a declaration of title in the plaintiff's advour, basing that ontitlement on the statute of limitations. Held that where a man seeks a declaration of a title other than the possession which he has, mere possession for the period of the statute will not justiff whe declaration, which, sllowing it to be made, ought to be based upon a finding of the title alleged by plaintiff, and not upon the existence of a possession for the period required by the statute to bar the action of another. Accordingly, the lower Appellate Court was required to return a finding on the issue "whether the title asserted by plaintiff is proved." Tirel-Malasali REDDI c. RAM SAMI REDDI

[6 Mad., 420

28. — Proof of title.—Suit for declaration of title.—Adverse possession.—Clase wade in plaint.—Where a specific title has been alleged, but not proved, and the plaintiff endeavours to succeed in the first Court or second 'Court of Appeal upon's title by twelve years' adverse possession, he must be prepared to show that this other title by twelve years' adverse possession was raised in the Court of first instance with sufficient clearness to enable his adversary to understand that he claimed to succeed as well by twelve years' adverse possession as by the specific title alleged. KRISHNA CHURN BAISACE e. PROMAN CHURNE SEEMA, I.L. B., 7 CALC, 560

30. Tweepistered deed of sale. On the 18th January 1876 plaintiff beanne purchaser at a Court's sale of the right, title, and interest of 67. and N. in a shop, and having been obstructed by defendant in obtaining possession of it, sued to recover it from him. The plaint was filed on the 27th January 1877. Defendant answered that he purchased it from G. under a deed of sale dated 5th January 1865, and that he had been in possession since that day. The deed of sale was not admitted in oridence

1. EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE —continued.

(b) Long Possession-continued.

Proof of title-continued.

for want of registration, but it was found that defendant had been in possession as owner since 5th January 1865. Held that, although the defendant could not prove a title by purchase, it was open to him to establish his title without the aid of the deed of sale; that his possession of the premises for more than twelve years prior to the institution of the suit was adverse both to G. and N., and that the claim of the plaintift, who was assignee of their interest, was consequently barred. Balaram Nemchand v. Appa, 9 Bom., 121, explained; Some Garrakal v. Rangammal, 7 Mad., 13, referred to and followed. SAMBRUBHAM KABSANDASS v. SHIVLADASS SADASHIVDASS

31. Long possession.—Liability to assessment of revenue.—A title to
lold land free from assessment to revenue caunot be
acquired by any length of possession revenue-free.
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL C.
RAM UGRAH SINGH I. L. R., 7 All., 140

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

32. — Right to raise question of title.—Boundary dispute.—Suit for possession.—In a boundary dispute the title of the phaintiff is not, except under very peculiar circumstances, open to attack; but when the plaintiff sues for possession of property in the defendant's hands, not as forming part of another estate, but claiming a right thereto under a superior title, then the defendant has a right to call the plaintiff's title in question. RAMCHUNDER BARKERSE MUNDINGUINT TEWARES

[W. R., 1864, 355

Sit. — Suit for possession of land held under superior holders.—The plaintiff sued to recover possession of certain lands said to have been included in a talooka pottah given lim by the zemindars, alleging that the defendants were obstructing his possession. For the defence it was averred that these lands fell within a 9 annus share which belonged to one D, and that by process of sale they became the right of other parties under whom defendants held as lessees. *** **Zeld** that, not withstanding the parties to this suit held under a superior landholder, plaintiff was entitled to have his title put in issue and determined. **NAGUR CHAND D. DOOGAD DOSS CHOWDHEY**

[11 W. R., 137

See Dinomonee Banerjee v. Gyrutoollah Khan [2 W. R., 138

84. Onus probandi.—Proof of title.—Suit for confirmation of title and declaratory decree.—When a plaintiff sues for confirmation of possession and seeks a declaratory decree, he must make out his title affirmatively. If the Indian Courts agree in holding that he has not done so,

TITLE -- continued.

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES-continued.

Onus probandi-continued.

even though the High Court may not have attended to the depositions of material witnesses, the Judicial Committee will not disturb the decision of the High Court. TOBAR ALLY C. MAHOMED TURKEE

[19 W. R., P. C., 1

35. Claim. ander particular title.—Presumption.—Where a plaintiff claims, not under any general right of inheritance, but expressly under a doed, he must prove that deed: no legal presumption as to the contents of the deed can arise from a consideration of what the party, through whom he claims, would have been critical to by the law of inheritance, had there been such a deed. MOOAD MULLICK R. BRAIN MULLICK

79 W. R., 385

Possession .-Proof of title and possession.—Suit for injunction.— Hindu law.—K. C., a Hindu, died in March 1864, pos-sessed, among certain other property, of a house, and leaving three sous, E., B., and T. He also left a will, of he which appointed R. executor, and declared that "the whole of my estate, both real and personal, and the existing slop, you, R., are the proprietor of the whole." R. was directed to furnish the expenses of the household and carry on the shop, and pay for religious observances, &c. The testator then left legacies to his daughters and others, but made no mention of his sons B. and T. R. applied for probate of the will and a caveat was entered by B., but the opposition was withdrawn on a compromise, and the will was proved; the compromise, however, was never carried out. In August 1866 Z. died, leaving never carried out. In August 1869 M. died, leaving a sou, M., and his two brothers, B. and T., surviving him, and having made a will appointing T. excentor, and giving him the power of dealing with all the property. T. applied for probate, but was opposed by M.; but on 23rd May 1867 probate was granted. On 26th March 1867 Z. and T. mortgaged a twothird share in the house to the defendant, and on default in payment of the mortgage debt, the defendant obtained a decree for payment or sale on 6th January 1868. On 17th August 1867 T. mortgaged the whole house to the plaintiffs to secure payment of money borrowed to carry out A.'s will. The plaintiffs obtained a deerce for foreelosure on 15th July 1869, and subsequently a decree for possession. a suit brought by the plaintiffs in possession, alleging that the defendant's mortgage and decree threw a eloud on their title, and that they would be injured by the sale, the plaint prayed that the defendant might be restrained by injunction from proceeding to sale, and his mortgage be brought into Court and cancelled. Held that, to entitle them to relief, they must prove their title as well as their possession, and on failure to do so the suit must be dismissed. ROOPLALL KHETTRY v. MOHENDRO NATH ROY

[10 B. L. R., 271, note

37. — False deed set up in support of rightful claim.—A party is not precluded from succeeding upon a title established by a genuine

2. MISCELLANEOUS CASES-continued.

False deed set up in support of rightful claim—continued.

deed, because he sets up a false deed which, if treated as a conveyance and not as a mere confirmation, may be inconsistent with that title. PATTA-BURDANIER v. VENCATAROW NAIOKEN

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 136:15 W. R., 35 13 Moore's I. A., 560

38. Transfer of property— Relinquishment of dur-mokurrari lease.—Necessity for consegue.e.—Where a dur-mokurrari has been granted and then relinquished for valuable consideration to the grantors, no formal reconveyance is necessary to revest the title in the latter. IMAMBANDI BEGTME. KUNLESWARI PERSHAD

[L. R., 13 I. A., 160 ; I. L. R., 14 Calc., 109

TITLE OF SUIT, IRREGULARITY

See High Court, Jurisdiction of—High Court, Calcutta—Civil.

TITLE-DEEDS.

See Cases under Deposit of Title-

Delivery of, for specific purpose.

See Attorney and Client.

[15 B. L. R., Ap., 15

Possession of-

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MODE OF DEALING WITH EVIDENCE. [2 W. R., P. C., 167

8 Moore's I. A., 4

---- Production of-

See INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS.

[5 Bom., O. C., 152 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808

See ONUS PROBANDI-DECLARATION OF TITLE 6 B. L. R., 144

See TITLE-EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE-GENERALLY . 6 B. L. R., 144

Suit to recover-

See JURISDICTION-SUITS FOR LAND-GENERAL CASES.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322

TITLES OF HONOUR.

See PLAINT—FORM AND CONTENTS OF PLAINT—DEFENDANTS. [12 B. L. R., 443; 445, note

TODA GARAS HAQ.

See DUTIES.

[2 Bom., 253: 2nd Ed., 239 7 Bom., A. C., 50

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 144—
Interest in Immoveable Property.
[13 B. L. R., 254

See Pensions Act, 1871, ss. 3 and 4. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 443 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408

See Pensions Act, 1871, s. 11.

TODDY.

See Bombay Abrari Act, 1878, s. 14 and s. 24 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398 [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

See Bombay Revenue Jurisdiction Acr, 1876 . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462 See Jurisdiction of Civil Court—Revenue . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462

TOLLS.

1. Lessee of tolls,—Act VIII of 1851.—A lessee of tolls was held not to be a person employed in the management and collection of tolls within the meaning of Act VIII of 1851. IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BHRAIT GHOSE [2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17:11 W. R., 26

2. — Illegal collection of tolls,—
Act VIII of 1851, s. 6.—Public road.—To justify
a conviction under section 6, Act VIII of 1851, for
illegal collection of a toll on a public road, it was
necessary that the road should be a public road within
the meaning of section 2 of the Act. IN BE NARSHDROMARIN SINGH. — 8 W. R., Cr., 48

 Illegal demand of toll.—Act VIII of 1851, s. 6 .- Summary offence. - A charge of an illegal demand of toll under Act VIII of 1851, section 6, ought not to be dealt with summarily under Chapter XVIII of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872. The power of levying tolls under Act VIII of 1851 is vested in the Lientenant-Governor of Bengal, and is restricted to levying tolls only at the toll-bar: the establishment of a toll must be by some distinct resolution of the Government notified in some way or other by the Government. The word "extortionately" in section 6 of Act VIII of 1851 is not used in the same sense as it is used in the Penal Code, but as meaning an unlawful demand of toll accompanied by pressure, the pressure in this case being the exercise of the powers indicated in section 3 of the Act by seizing the complainant's horses and carts and detaining them until the toll was paid. UTTOM CHUNDER GANGOOLY v. ISSUR CHUNDER MOOKERJEE [22 W. R., Cr., 76

TORTS.

See Cases under Damages—Suits for Damages—Torts. TORTS-continued.

See Cases under Damages-Measure AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES-TORTS.

TORTURE.

See ABETMENT-TORTURE.

[7 W. R., Cr., 3 21 W. R., Cr., 11

See Police Officer . 7 W. R., Cr., 3

TOTAL LOSS.

See INSURANCE-MARINE INSURANCE. [6 B. L. R., 218: 7 B. L. R., 347 3 Bom., A. C., 1 Bourke, O. C., 17, 228

TOWAGE, LIEN FOR-

Nee BOTTOMRY BOND . 6 B. L. R., 323

TOWAGE CONTRACT.

See ACTION IN REM. [L. L., R., 10 Calc., 865

TOWING, BULES FOR-

See Steam-tugs . . 1 Hyde, 293
[2 W. R., P. C., 51: 8 Moore's I, A., 103

TOWN DUTIES, BOMBAY.

 Act XIX of 1844.—Suit to levy a taw on cotton and cotton seeds purchased in, and exported from, Broach.—Cess illegal.—Agency.—Trust.—The plaintiff, manager and part propriet or of a Valabhacharya temple at Broach, sued the defendant to establish the right of the temple to levy a cess on cotton and cotton seed purehased in Broach and exported from it. The defendant denied the plaintiff's right and contended (inter alia) that, even if the right existed until 1844, it was then abolished by Act XIX of that year, which "enacted that from the first day of October 1844 all town duties, kusubviras, mohtarphas, baluti taxes, and cesses of every kind on trades and professions, under whatsoever name, levied within the Presidency of Bombay, and not forming a part of the land revenue, shall be abolished." Held that Act XIX of 1844 applied to the cess claimed by the plaintiff. The expression "eesses of every kind" included the cess on cotton and cotton seeds, and absolutely put an end to the right, if any existed, of the Government or of any private individual of levying the same. Held, also, the suit could not be regarded as a suit for money had and received by the defendant to the plaintiff's use, or as one to recover money received by the defendant as trustee or agent. Gosvami Shri Purushotamji Maharaj v. Robe I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398

TRADE, CONTRACT IN RESTRAINT

See Cases under Contract Act, s. 27.

TRADE MARK.

See DAMAGES-MEASURE AND ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES-TORTS.

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 617

See Injunction-Special Cases-Trade MARK. . . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 4

- Injunction to restrain use of trade marks.—Combination of figures.—The plaintiffs, from 1872, imported and sold an article described as 71th grey shirtings, and marked as follows: "In the centre of each piece of cloth a stamp in blue colour of a turtle in a star, with the stamp in blue colour or a turtle in a star, with the words 'trade mark;' underneath, in a semi-eircular form, is the name 'Fleming, Galbraith, & Co., Manchester,' and under this the number 39 within a star, and at the bottom of each piece the number 2008." In 1877 the plaintiffs discovered that the defendants were importing from the same manufacturers, and selling eloth of a similar quality marked as follows: "A stamp in blue colour of a rose in a square; underneath are the words 'Ralli and Mavrojani' arranged in a semi-circular form, and under this the number 39 in a star, and at the bot-tom the number 2008." On the facts of the case the lower Court (Macpherson, J.) granted an interim injunction to restrain the defendants from so marking their cloth, on the ground that it was a colourable imitation of the plaintiffs' mark and calculated to imitation of the planntum mark and calculated to mislead the public; and on appeal the Court (GABER, C. J., and MARKEN, J.) upheld that decision so far as to continue the injunction. Held per GABER, C. J. — If the imitation of the plaintiffs' marks generally, or the use of the number 2008 in particular, would be calculated to deceive or mislead the public, the defendants ought to be restrained from such use or imitation. Under the circumstances the use of their marks by the defendants would be calculated to deceive the public into the belief that they were purchasing goods imported by the plaintiffs. Per MARKEY, J. The number 2008 was not part of the plaintiffs' trade mark proper: nor on the evidence was it so associated with the plaintiffs' name as to indicate to the public that the goods bearing that number came only from the plaintiffs' firm as importers; on the evidence it was merely a quality mark, and therefore not calculated to mislead the public into the belief that they were purchasing the plaintiffs' goods while in fact they were purchasing those imported by the In race they were pure using shose imported by the defendants. Semble,—There may be a right to exclusive use of a trade mark by traders who are importers only. RaLut. a. Fleshing [I, L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. L. R., 98

- Right to use of trade mark.-Rival traders .- Similarity of name .- No trader importing goods can lawfully adopt a trade mark which is calculated to cause his goods to bear in the market the same name as those of a rival trader. TAYLOR v. VIRASAMI . . . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108

3, Restraining use of trade mark. Evidence of fraud. The ground upon which a person is restrained from using another's trade mark is that he is gaining an advantage by the use of a particular trade mark which is the property

TRADE MARK.—Restraining use of trade mark—continued.

of another. It is not necessary to prove intentional fraud, or to show that persons have been actually deceived. It is sufficient if the Court be satisfied that the resemblance is such as would be likely to cause the one mark to be mistaken for the other. EWING F. GRANT, SMIPH, & CO. . 2 Hyde, 185

Balfour & Co. v. Kilburn & Co.

[1 Hyde, 270

 Possession and use of trade mark .- User in foreign market .- Abandonment .-Estoppel by conduct .- Such possession and use of a trade mark in one market as to constitute a right in it, establishes in the owner thereof an exclusive right to that trade mark in other markets, although the owner may not have used it in such markets. To constitute a mark a trade mark, it must have been adopted as a symbol devised to distinguish a particular class of goods as the goods of that class manufactured or selected by a particular manufacturer or merchant. Where the plaintiffs by their conduct led the defendant to believe that they claimed no right to a certain trade mark, and that it was open to the defendant to adopt it as his own, and the defendant did adopt it, and by his industry secured a wide popularity for it in the Indian market,-Held that the plaintiffs were estopped from denying the defend. ant's right to use the trade mark in the Indian market. LAVERGNE v. HOOPER

[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149

TRADER.

See Insolvent Act, s. 7.
[I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411
I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68

See Insolvent Act, s. 60.
[I, L. R., 5 Bom., 1
2 Hyde, 1, 177
7 Bom., O. C., 22

TRADESMAN'S ACCOUNT.

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, PRESIDENCY TOWNS—JURISDICTION—GENERALLY. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570

TRANSFER BY ENDORSEMENT.

"See STAMP ACT, 1869, SS. 34, 41. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347

____ Instrument of-

See Stamp Act, 1869, sch. II, art. 38. [I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE.

1. General Cases 601

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL 13 6019 3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER 6022

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. ——— Power to transfer.—Mad. Reg. IV of 1816, s. 26—Village Munsif.—Jurisdiction.—

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued. 1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

In a suit under Regulation IV of 1816, the defendant having objected to the Village Munsif trying the suit on the ground of personal hostility, the Munsif transferred the suit to another Village Munsif. **Held* that this transfer was illegal. **Per HUTOHINS, J-Semble,—*In such a case the Village Munsif should report the facts to the District Court, and the District Judge should transfer the case for briat to another Village Munsif. LAKSHMAKKA **DAIN [I. LAKSHMAKA **DAIN [I. LAKSHMAKKA **DAIN [I. LAKSHMAKA **DAIN

2. Transfer to Munsif of Small Cause Court suit.—A suit within the cognisance of the Small Cause Court cannot be lawfully transferred for trial to a Munsif's Court. JOODRAN CHOWKEEDAB v. WHEEDAN ...

3. Case remanded for local inquiry.—A case remanded to a District Judge for the purpose of a local inquiry cannot be transferred to a Subordinate Judge for disposal. CHOWDRY HAMEDOOLEAH v. MUUSEDONISSA BIBES [15 W. R., 874

4. Power of Judge to transfer case under Act XYI of 1888.—A Judge had no authority under Act XYI of 1888 to order a Subordinate Judge to try proceedings in execution of a decree which were a portion of the original civil suit tried by himself. AFTANOODDEEN ARMED v. MOINTEE MOINT DOSS

15 W. R., 48

5. Heng. Civil Courts
Act, VI of 1871, c. 19.—Though Subordinate Judge
may very properly, if he find the subject-matter of a
suit to be of the value of less than R1,000, transfer
it for trial to a Muusif, yet a Subordinate Judge is
curpowered under section 19, Act VI of 1871, jo try
causes of any value.

STEEROOLLAIT SIRGLE 9.
BROUN BIBER
25 W R, 2139

G. Civil Procedure
Oode, 1859, s. 6.—Withdrawal of suits from
subordinate Courts.—Remand by higher Court.—
Fresh suit.—The power given by section 6 of Act
VIII of 1859 to a Zillial Judge for the withdrawal of
suits from subordinate Courts should only be exercised upon causes shown, and ordinarily not without
opportunity given to the parties to the suit to be
heard upon the question. The terms of section 6 were
inapplicable to suits which the subordinate Court had
received by order of remand from a Court to which
the District Court was itself subordinate. A suit sent
by the High Court to a subordinate Court inder
a remand to the High Court by Her Majesty's order
in Council, and in which, under the Council's remand
order, the plaint has been amended, a new statement
filled, and new issues framed, is substantially a new
suit. MAROMED ZAHOOR AM KHAN v. RUTHA KUNWOOR.

2 N. W., 481

7. — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer of part-heard case to be

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued. 1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

completed in another Court.—Section 6 of Act VIII of 1850 did not authorise the taking a case in progress of trial off the file of a Subordinate Judge in order that it might be completed by the Judge binself, or some other Court. It is clear that such transfer must take place on the institution of the suit. RAM NATH A. GOWNER 2 N. W., 230

DUMBER SAHOO v. JUGDHAREE . 13 W. R., 398
ABDOOL HYR v. MACRAE . . . 23 W. R., 1

8. Civil Procedure
Code, 1859, s. 6.—Transfer after evidence has been
taken.—Queure,—Whether a case could be transferred
from one Court to another, under section 6, after the
evidence had been taken in the former Court. AsMEDH KOONWAB v. TAYLER. KHOBSHED ALT v.
TAYLER
W. R., 1864, 15

O. Civil Procedure
Code, 1959, s. 6.—Suit brought whist Court is
closed for vacation.—The Court of the Principal
Sudder Amen of Thans being closed during reaction, a plaint which under section 6 of the Civil
Procedure Code, ought to have been instituted in
that Court, was, by the order of the District Judge,
referred for trial to the Assistant Judge, entered in
the register of suits in the Judge's Court, and tried
by the Assistant Judge. Held, reversing the decree
of the District Court in appeal, that it was not lawful for the Judge to refer the suit, without its having first been instituted in the Principal Sudder
Ameen's Court. MOTILAI RAMDAS V. JAMNADAS
JAYEDAS 2. BORM, 42: 2 JAM EDA, 40

10. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—District Court.—Power to receive plaint when lowest Court closed.—Where a plaintif presented a plaint to the District Court, the Subordinate Judge's Court, in which he ought to have presented it, being then temporarily closed, it was held that the District Court could not be considered a Court of first instance, competent to receive the plaint. The decision in In re Ganesh Sadarshi, 5 Bom., A. C., 117, overruled; and Motital Ramdas v. Jamnadaus Javerdas, 2 Bom., A. C., 42, followed. RAMANA ELAPA v. MULAMADHAI I. O Bom., 495

11. — Civil Procedure Code, 1839, ss. 5 and 6.—Jurisdiction.—Held that though both suits were properly cognisable by the Court at Cawapore, yet the Sudder Court's order, which it was competent to pass under section 6, Act VIII of 1859, gave jurisdiction to the Principal Sudder Ameen of another district, whose decision was not liable to be set aside for want of jurisdiction, in reference to the provisions of section 5 of that enactment. HAM BUX P. (IRMPAREE LAIL)

[1 Agra, 178

12.—Evidence recorded by one officer and decision given by another.—A suit for cultancement was filed under Act X of 1859, in the Court of a Deputy Collector. The issues were TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

framed and the evidence recorded by an Assistant Collector, apparently not invested with the powers of a Deputy Collector, who wrote a report recommending the mode in which the suit should be disposed of It was then disposed of by another Deputy Collector who was probably acting at the time as Collector. Held that there was no power to transfer the case, and that the procedure by which the suit was heard by one officer and dechied by another was illegal. HURDHAL OOFUDHYA. E. MAHOMED NAESM [1 M. W. PART II, p. 19: Edd. 1873, 79]

13. — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 5 and 6.—Where a District Court had jurisdiction under section 5, Act VIII of 1859, to try a suit, and defendant made no application to the Judge, or communication to the plaintiff, with a view to its being tried in a different district, the case was held to be not one for the exercise of any special power by the High Court for that purpose. Kristo Dass Kondoo e. Issue Chunder Chowhers

14. — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 6.—Notification giving jurisdiction as Small Cause Court.—Power to trunsfer pending cases.—Where, on Srd March 1870, the Government issued a notification under sections 4 and 5, Madras Act IV of 1863, investing the Additional Principal Sudder Amen of Mangalore with exclusive jurisdiction to try Small Cause suits for sums under #500 within the jurisdiction of the District Munsif. —Held that the Munsif had up power after the notification to transfer to the Principal Sudder Amen an application pending before himself at the date of the notification under section 6 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859, the notification not being retrospective in its operation. NARAYANA MAIXA v. GOYIND SHEFTEY

15. · Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13. - Power of Sudder Courts .- Section 13, Act VIII of 1859, enacted that, where a suit was brought for immoveable property situated within districts subject to different Sudder Courts, the Judge in whose Court the suit was brought should apply to the Sudder Court to which he was subject for authority to proceed, and the Sudder Court to which the application was made, with the concurrence of the other Sudder Court within whose jurisdiction the property was partly situated, might give anthority to proceed. But no power was expressly given in the section cited, or elsewhere in the Act, to direct the transfer of a suit brought in a Court subordinate to one Sudder Court to a Court subordinate to another Sudder Court. Quære,—Whether Sudder Courts acting in concurrence had power to make such a transfer. SKINNER alias NAWAB MIBZA v. ORDE

[I. L. R., 2 All., 241

16. — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 13.—Pamily domains of the Maharaja of Benares.—Held, following S. A. No. 969 of 1877, decided the 14th December 1877, that the

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

provisions of section 13 of Act VIII of 1859 were not applicable in a case in which a portion of the immoveable property was situate within the limits of the family domains of the Maharaja of Benares, those domains not constituting a district within the meaning of that section. RAGHU NATH DASS v. KAKKAM MAL . I. L. R., 3 ALI, 5688

- Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 24 .- Place of suing .- grounds for transfer .- Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code does not empower a High Court to transfer a suit instituted within its own jurisdiction to the jurisdiction of another High Court, but only to declare in which Court a suit shall proceed, and, if necessary, to stay all further proceedings within its own jurisdiction. The defendants in a suit instituted at Mainpuri, who resided and carried on business at Surat, applied under section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code that the suit might be tried at Surat, on the ground that it would be tried with greater convenience to them at that place. Held that there being no balance in favour of either justice or convenience on the side of the Surat Court, the suit should proceed at Mainpuri. Tula Ram v. Harjiwan Dass

[I. L. R., 5 All., 60

18. — Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 25.—Power of High Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case under section 25 of the Code of Civil Procedure unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. PEARY LAIL MOZOOMDAR v. KOMAL KISHORN DASSIA [I. I. R., 8 Celle., 30

- Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 25 .- Transfer from Court in which a suit has been wrongly instituted .- A suit for the infringement of certain inventions, instead of being instituted in the Court having, by virtue of section 22 of Act XV of 1859, jurisdiction to entertain it, was instituted in a Court subordinate to such Court not having such jurisdiction. The Court having jurisdiction to entertain such suit, at the joint request of the parties, transferred it for trial to itself under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and tried it. Held in the High Court that, inasmuch as the parties had assented to the transfer of the suit, and its transfer brought it into the right Court, the fact that the suit had been originally instituted in the wrong Court did not render the transfer illegal, and the Court having jurisdiction had properly tried the suit. PET-MAN v. BULL . . I. L. R., 5 All., 371

But held by the Privy Conneil (reversing this decision) that under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code the superior Court cannot make an order of transfer of a case unless the Court from which the transfer is sought to be made has jurisdiction to try it. Peary Lall Moscomdar v. Konal Kishore Dassis, I. L. R., 6 Cales, 30, approved. A suit having been instituted in the Court of the Subordinate Judge, who was incompetent to try it, the case was transfer.

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

red by consent of parties to the Court of the District Judge for convenience of trial. Held that such transference was incompetent, and that such consent did not operate as a waiver of the plea to the jurisdiction which was taken in the defendant's written statement and subsequently insisted upon. LEDGARD

[L. R., 13 I. A., 134 : I. L. R., 9 All., 191

20. Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 231.—Claim below ordinary peemiary limit.—By virtue of section 647 of the Code of Civil Procedure, a superior Court may, for sufficient cause, transfer a claim, registered under section 631, to a subordinate Court for trial. Struklarsimat, v. VX-THILIMOA.

I. L. R., S. Mad., 548.

- Reasons for transfer .- Amending issues .- Procedure on transfer .- The mere transfer of a suit for the convenience of the public, or for the acceleration of business, from one subordinate Court to another, does not affect the authority of the Judge of the District Court to transfer it to his own file, or to another Court, or to retransfer it, if he see sufficient cause for so doing : nor would the circumstance that a case had been up on appeal to the High Court on a preliminary point, and been remanded for a trial on the merits, limit the authority of the District Court Judge to bring it upon his own file, or to transfer it to the file of a Court other than that in which it was instituted. The omission of the Judge to assign his reason for transferring the case does not vitiate his proceeding. When a Judge transfers a case to his own file, he is at liberty to amend the issues first laid down, and to raise additional issues, and to go into the whole case, except upon any question upon which there has been a judicial finding. TARUCKNATH MOOKERJEE v GOUREE CHURN MOOKERJEE 3 W. R., 147 . 3 W. R., 147

22. Procedure on transfer.—Evidence of witnesses.—Where a suit which was filled originally before a Principal Sudder Ameen, who had fixed the issues and recorded the evidence of witnesses, is transferred by a Judge to his own file, the Judge, his Court being a Court of original jurisdiction, ought to have the witnesses before him and take their evidence de none. UNNOFOONNA C. HURDILLOR SINGH.

23. Civil Procedure
Code, 1882, s. 25.—Court to which suit is transferred
not taking fresh exidence.—Where the trial of a
suit was commenced by a Subordinate Judge and
then transferred by the District Judge to his own file
under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code, and the
latter did not retake the evidence, but dealt with the
case as it came to him from the Subordinate Judge
and dismissed the suit,—Held that the District Judge
had not tried the case within the meaning of section
25 of the Code. BANDIU NAIK & LAKHI KUAR

[I. L. R., 7 All., 342

24. — Case referred to arbitration.—Power of Judge to decide after trans-

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE -continued. 1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Procedure on transfer-continued.

fer .- A case having been withdrawn by the Judge, for trial in his own Court, from the Principal Sud-der Ameen's Court, where it had already been referred to arbitration,—Held that the Judge was quite competent to decide the case himself, without necessarily being bound also to refer it to arbitration. ABOO MAHOMED v. KISHEN MOHUN SURMA

[6 W. R., 290

 Application for transfer.— Transfer of several separate suits.—Separate appli-cations.—Where it is desired to have a number of suits transferred, a separate application should be made in each case for transfer. KISHOREE LAL v. LUCHMUN DOSS . 2 N. W., 147

2. LETTERS PATENT. HIGH COURT, CL. 13.

- Transfer to High Court,-Jurisdiction of High Court, Calcutta.—Sessions Court, Allahabad.—The High Court at Calcutta had no jurisdiction over the Court of the Sessions Judge at Allahabad, such Court not being subject to the superintendence of the High Court under the 13th section of the Charter. GREAT EASTERN*HOTEL COMPANY v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219

27. -- Ground for transfer.—Prejudice to interests of party.—A suit will not be removed from a Zillah Court in which it was instituted, to the ordinary original jurisdiction of the High Court, nuless it be clearly shown that the interests of the party petitioning for such removal will be prejudiced by a non-removal. BORRADAILE v. GREGORY . Bourke, Ex. O. C., 1

 Power to transfer .- Grounds for transfer .- Inconvenience .- Expense.-The 13th section of the Letters Patent (1865) of the High Court at Fort William gives the Court power to order a suit to be transferred for trial only where the transfer is agreed on by the parties, or for the purposes of justice; and in the absence of agreement it must be made out that there will be inconvenience amounting to this, that, if the case be tried in the Court in which it was originally laid, the trial will be unsatisfactory. The mere fact that it would be less expensive to try the case in the High Court is not sufficient of itself for the Court to act upon and order the case to be transferred. OJOODERAM KHAN v. NOBINMONEY DOSSER

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396

-Ground for transfer .- Nature of questions for disposal .- Conduct of Judge,-On an application under the Letters Patent, 1865, clause 13, for the removal of a suit,-Held that, having regard to the whole circumstances connected with the case from the beginning, the questions to be disposed of, and the conduct of the Judge before whom the proceedings were, it was proper and necessary for the purposes of justice that the suit should TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE-continued. 2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13 -continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

be removed. THAKOOR KAPILNAUTH SAHAT DEO . 10 B. L. R., 168 v. GOVERNMENT . . .

- Ground for transfer.—Nature of guestions for disposal.—Local pre-judice.—The Court refused to transfer a case from the mofnssil, where there were, among other alleged reasons, suggestions that the plaintiff's case might be prejudiced by being tried in the mofussil, and that difficult and intricate questions of law would arise in the case, the Court not being satisfied by the evidence that such reasons existed. COURJON r. COUR-9 B. L. R., 10

- Ground for transfer .- Consent of parties .- Expense .- A suit for an account and for other relief relating to immoveable property situated without the local limits of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court, was instituted against several defendants in the Court of the Subordinate Judge of the district within which of the Subordinate dage of the district within which the property was situated. Upon a petition by one of the defendants, consented to by most of the other defendants and by the plaintiff, the High Court ordered the snit to be removed from the Court in which it had been instituted, to be tried and determined by the High Court as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, on the grounds that the parties and the witnesses resided in Calcutta, that it would be cheaper to try the suit in Calentta, and that all parties appearing on the motion desired a transfer. PAYN v. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL

I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766: 6 C. L. R., 221

- Ground for transfer .- Difficult questions of English law in case .-The Court will order a suit to be removed from the mofnssil, and tried in the High Court, when difficult points of English law arise, and when generally it uppears to be an unfit case to be tried in the mofussi DOUCETT v. WISE . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94

- Ground for transfer.—Questions of English law.—Parties.— British subjects and residents of Calcutta .- Where a case was originally tried by a Zillah Judge, and on appeal to the High Court on its appellate side the Judges of that Court remanded it to the Court below for a fresh trial, intimating that it was a proper case to be transferred under clause 13 of the Letters Patent constituting the High Court; and where it appeared that questions of English law were involved in the case, that the witnesses and parties were chiefly British subjects, and the plaintiff an officer of the High Court and resident in Calcutta, the Court ordered the case to be transferred for trial to the High Court, original jurisdiction. DOUGETT v. WISE

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227

- Ground for trans. fer.—Sale in execution of decree.—Order wind-ing up company.—On 25th October 1870 a petition for the winding up of the B. T. E. Company of TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued.

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13
—continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued,

Assam was presented to the Court of Chancery in England by one of the shareholders of the Company, and a provisional liquidator was appointed. On 5th November, at an extraordinary meeting of the Company, it was resolved that the Company should be wound up, and liquidators were appointed. On 12th November, the petition for winding up came on for hearing, and an order was made that the voluntary winding up should continue subject to the supervision of the Court. On 18th November, by deed under the hands and seals of the liquidators, M. was appointed their attorney in India. On 27th October certain immoveable properties in Assam belonging to the Company were attached in execution of decrees in certain suits in the Court of the Munsif of Debroghur. On 9th December the properties were put up for sale, and purchased at prices which it was alleged were considerably under their value. Ap-plications were made in the Munsif's Court at Debroghur by the purchasers for confirmation of the sales, which applications were opposed by M., and pending the Munsif's decision an application was made to the Deputy Commissioner of Luckimpore for an order to stay all proceedings in the decreesuits, on the ground of the order for winding up the Company of 12th November, which application was refused on 15th February 1871. On 16th February 1871 the Munsif made an order confirming the sales. M. thereupon petitioned the High Court for the removal of the suits from Assam to the High Court, to be tried in its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, on the ground that no appeal would lie against the order of 15th February, refusing to stay the proceedings in the suits; and that if an appeal should be preferred to the Deputy Commissioner from the order of the Munsif confirming the sales, his decision would be final. The application was opposed on behalf of the purchasers. Held, the Muusif not having had notice of the winding-up order of 12th November, had power to sell the property on 9th December, and the sale having actually taken place, and there being nothing to show that there was any irregularity in the proceedings, the High Court would have no power, if the cases were brought down, to set aside the sale. This, therefore, was not a proper case for the exercise of the power which the High Court possesses under clause 13 of the Letters Patent. In THE MATTER OF DECREE-SUITS IN THE COURT OF MUNSIF OF DEBROGHUR . . 7 B. L. R., 305

25.— Law goneraing case.—Where a suit was originally instituted in the Hooghly Court, and H. S., who was a defendant, and not subject to the jurisdiction of that Court, joined in an application to have the case tried by the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, which application was granted,—Held per PHRAR, J., that the suit must be treated as if the plaint had been originally filed in the High Court, the proceedings in the Hooghly Court being without jurisdiction, and the cause of action having

TRANSFER OF CIVIL CASE—continued

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 13
—continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

arisen wholly within the jurisdiction of the High Court. Held on appeal by Pracock, C. J., and MACPHERSON, J., that the defendant H. S., by joining in the application to have the sail removed to the High Court, admitted the jurisdiction of that Court to try the suit in the exercise of its extraordinary original civil jurisdiction, and could not afterwards dispute the jurisdiction, and could not afterwards dispute the High Court must be the same law and equity which ought to have been applied if the suit had been tried in the Court at Hooghly. For MACPHERSON, J.—The law which would have been applied to the case if it had been tried at Hooghly, is practically the same as the English law, whatever may be the nationality of the parties. GROSE #0. AMIRTAMANT DAST

[4 B. I. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 13

36. Application for transfer.—Before whom application should be made. An application to the High Court to remove a case

An application to the High Court to remove a case from a District Court, and to try it as a Court of extraordinary original jurisdiction, under section 13 of the Charter, should be made to a Judge sitting on the Original Side of the Court. DOUGETT o. WISE . 4 W. R., Mis., 7

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

37. — Expense, inconvenience, or other good reason. —Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), s. 23. —Practice.—Section 25 of Act XIV of 1882 is only intended to provide for those cases where, on the ground of appense or convenience, or some other good reason, the Court thinks that the place of trial onght to be changed. Parties desirous of obtaining the transfer of a case from one forum to another ought clearly to explain to the Court by petition and affidavit what is the nature of the claim and defence; they should further state what are the issues and the evidence required, and then satisfy the Court that, either on the ground of expense or convenience, or otherwise, the place of trial ought to be changed. Khatija Bibi's Tarke

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980: 13 C. L. R., 182

38. — Portion of property in another jurisdiction. — Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 23. — Procedure. — The fact that a portion of property, the whole of which is sued for in the Controf the Munisf of A, is of less value than the remaining portion which is within the jurisdiction of the Munsfof B, is no sufficient ground for an application under the Code of Givil Procedure, section 23, for a transfer to the latter Court. A party applying under section 23, Act X of 1877, must first of all give notice to the other party or side; the application should then be received by the Munsif and transmitted to the High Court through the District Court. PRERENSIOTE v. DEON PANDAY . 2 C. L. R., 352

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE.

			Col.
	GENERAL CASES		6023
2.	LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL.	29	6027
3.	GROUND FOR TRANSFER	٠	6028

See APPEAL IN CRIMINAL CASES-ACTS-BURMA COURTS ACT.

II. L. R., 4 Calc., 667 See CASES UNDER COMPLAINT-POWER

TO REFER TO SUBORDINATE MAGIS-TRATE.

1. GENERAL CASES.

· Power to transfer .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 178.—Reference to High Court.—Burma Courts Act (Act XVII of 1875), s. 80,—The Local Government has no power, under section 178 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to transfer for trial to the Court of a Commissioner a criminal case duly committed for trial to the Court of the Recorder of Rangoon; but the Local Government has the power to transfer a case from the district of Raugoon to the Sessions division of Pegu. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. NGA THA MOUNG

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643

- Criminal Proce dure Code, 1882, s. 526 .- District Magistrate and Civil and Sessions Judge (qua Magistrate) of Bangalore subordinate to High Court .- The District Magistrate and the Civil and Sessions Judge of the civil and military station at Bangalore are Magistrates subordinate to the High Court at Madras within the meaning of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The High Court, therefore, has power to transfer a ease from the Courts of those Judges to any other Criminal Court. Under the circumstances disclosed, the High Court transferred this case. Scott v. RICKETTS , I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356

Power of High Court, Bombay .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882. s. 526.—Ast III of 1884, s. 11.—Cantonment Ma-gistrate, Secunderabad.—The High Court of Bombay having been vested by notification of the Governor General of India in Conneil, No. 178, of 23rd September 1874, with original and appellate criminal jurisdiction over European British subjects, being Christians, resident, amongst other places, at Secundera-bad, outside the Presidency of Bombay and within the territories of His Highness the Nizam of Hyderabad, the Cantonment Magistrate of Scennderabad in his character of a District Magistrate is subordinate to the High Court in criminal matters relating to Christian European British subjects in Hyderabad within the contemplation of section 526 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Act X of 1882, as amended by Act III of 1884, section 11; and the High Court possesses, by virtue of the appellate jurisdiction so vested in it, the power of transferring a criminal case pending in the Cantonment Magistrate's Court either to itself or to any Criminal Court of equal or superior jurisdiction. The High Court by an order under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Power to transfer-continued.

(Act X of 1882) transferred the present case of defamation from the Court of the Cantonment Magistrate at Secunderabad to the High Court for trial, on the ground that no machinery for a trial by jury existed at Secunderabad. Queen-Empress v. Edwards . I. I. R., 9 Bom., 333

4. Power of High Court, Bombay. - Aden Act II of 1864. - Transfer of case from Court of Political Resident at Aden .-Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526 .- A prisoner charged with having committed murder at Perim was committed by the Magistrate there on the 26th August 1885, for trial before the Political Resident at Aden, by whom he was convicted and sentenced to death on the 14th September 1885. On the 26th January 1886, the High Court of Bombay reversed the conviction and sentence, on the ground that the Court of the Resident had no jurisdiction over the Island of Perim, and that the Resident, not having been appointed a Judge of a Court of Session for that island, was not competent to try the prisoner. The High Court ordered a re-trial before a competent Court. On the 10th February 1886 the Government of Bombay issued the notification (No. 823) above set forth. On the 11th March 1886 an application was made to the High Court of Bombay for the transfer of the case to another Court of Session or to the High Court for trial, Held that Perim is a Sessions Division, and that, after the establishment, under the Code of Criminal Procedure, of a Court of Session for the Perim Sessions Division and the appointment of the Resident at Aden as Sessions Judge of that Court, the accused stood properly com-mitted to a Court of Session. The High Court, therefore, could transfer the case from that Court, under section 526 of the Code, to any other Court of equal or superior jurisdiction, or to the High Court of Bombay. Per Birdwood, L.—The High Court cannot, under section 526 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), any more than under section 25 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882), direct the transfer of a case, which is not properly before a subordinate Court of competent jurisdiction, to receive and try it. Peary Lall Mozoomdar v. Komul Kishore Dossia, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30, followed; Queen-Empress v. Thaku, I. L. R., 8 Bom., 312, distinguished. Per JARDINE, J .- After the High Court had annulled the proceedings in the Court of the Resident at Aden as without jurisdiction, the case could not be treated as still pending in his Court; and as there was no Court of Session in existence at the time of the commitment, it necessarily followed that the case remained in the Magistrate's Court. But, whether the case was considered as pending in the Court of a Magistrate or of a Resident, or of a Sessions Judge, the High Court had the power to transfer it, and that under the circumstances the case should be so transferred to the High Court for trial. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. MANGAL TEK-. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274 CHAND .

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-con-

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

5. — Transfer to High Court. — High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act. X of *857.8. e147 (Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526) and s. 115.—
"Case" referred to High Court.— Reference to Police Magistrate.— Scable.— That the "case" mentioned in section 147 of the High Court's Criminal Procedure Act. X of 1875, butst refer to some question in the nature of a criminal proceeding, and not to a matter of a quast-civil character, such as the reference to a Police Magistrate contemplated in section 115. Rsc. RAMADAS SAMALDAS, EX PARTE MADAYI DHARBANSI 12 BOM., 217

6. High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, 8. High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1876, 187 (Crimital Procedure Code, 1882, s. 528)—" Other proceeding." -0. 104, ss. 12 and 15.—The words "or other proceeding." in section 147 Act X of 1875 tild not include a commitment, and an application to have a commitment quashed could be entertained under the provision of that section. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF CHARGO CHUNDER MULLICK. CHARGO CHUN-DER MULLICK. S. EMPRESS.

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397

7. Acquittal.—Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 181:—
Calentta Municipal Act (Bungal Act IV of 1878),
ss. 75, 79.—The powers of interference given to the
High Court by section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, were not intended to be excised in the case of an acquittal by the Magistrate,
but only in the case of convictions or other orders
whereby a defendant is aggraved or injured. CorFORATION OF CALOUTER V. BHEECUNIAM NAPT
LIKE, 2 CAGO, 2800

- High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 (Griminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 526.—Notice to prosecutor .- Penal Code, ss. 292 and 294 .- Specific charge,-Procedure on transfer to High Court .-In an application for the transfer of a case under section 147, Act X of 1875, in which the prisoner has been convicted and is undergoing imprisonment, it is in the discretion of the Court to order, for sufficient prima facie cause shown, that the case be removed without notice to the Crown. Semble,-A charge under sections 292 and 294 of the Penal Code should be made specific in regard to the representations and words alleged to have been exhibited and attered, and to be obscene; and the Magistrate, in convicting, should in his decision state distinctly what were the particular representations and words which he found on the evidence had been exhibited and uttered, and which he adjudged to be obscene within the meaning of those sections. Where no such specific decision has been given, the High Court, when the case has been transferred under section 147, Act X of 1875, may either try the case de novo, or dismiss it on the ground that the Magistrate has come to no finding on which the conviction can be sustained. QUEEN v. UPENDRONATH DOSS

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-continued.

GENERAL CASES—continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147 .- Transfer of case before Magistrate. - Power to issue mandamus. -A charge was made against the accused of using criminal force under section 141 of the Penal Code. The Police Magistrate heard the evidence for the prosecution, and, without disbelieving it, decided it did not amount to the offence charged. Held that, assuming that an error of law had been committed. the High Court had no power to issue a mandamus to the Magistrate to commit the defendants; it was not a case where the Magistrate had declined jurisdiction; he had exercised his inrisdiction and heard the case. Held, also, it was not a case which the Court could transfer under section 147 of the High Courts' Criminal Procedure Act. IN THE MATTER OF THE EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF MAL-COLM v. GASPER . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278

10. High Court's Criminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 142.—Case transferred to High Court.—Refund of fine on quashing connection.—Notes of evidence taken by Majustrate.—The High Court had no power, under section 147, Act X of 1875, to order a fine to be refunded on quashing a conviction. The Court in this instance decided whether the case should be transferred under section 147 on the notes of the evidence taken by the Magistrate at the trial. QUENN ON THE PROSECUTION OF MORAD AIR J. JEBEN BUSY.

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354

11. High Court's Oriminal Procedure Act, 1875, s. 147.—Costs.—Police Magistrates.—Notes of evidence.—In a case transferred to the High Court under section 147, Act X of 1875, the Court had no power to give costs. Sembls.—The case may be transferred after final determination by the Magistrate. Notes of the proceedings before them should be taken in all cases by the judicial officers of all Criminal Courts subject to the Act. IN THE MATTER OF ENGALACY UP 1866

[15 B. L. R., Ap., 14

12. Power of District Magistrate.—Power to call for case.—Procedure when, hearing called for it, he finds it out of his jurisdiction.—The Magistrate of the district has authority to call up to his own Court any criminal case without limitation as to the stage of proceeding at which it may be called. If the Magistrate, having in the exercise of his authority withdrawn any case, finds that it did not come within the jurisdiction of his Magistracy, he would not nerely be competent but bound to refuse to proceed further with the case, VILARTER KHANUX 8. MAHER ALI

724 W. R., Cr., 4

13. Held that, although the Magistrate of a district is competent to order the removal of any particular case from the

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-con- | TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-con-

1. GENERAL CASES-continued ..

Power of District Magistrate-continued.

file of a subordinate Court to his own, it is doubtful whether he can by general proceeding direct the transfer of cases which have no existence, and which are not pending before any of his subordinates, GOVERNMENT v. GIRDHAREE LALL

[I Agra, Cr., 24

 Application for transfer.— Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Power of Judge acting on English committee .- An application for the transfer of a case under section 64 of the Criminal Procedure Code should be made, not by letter to the English Department of the High Court, but before the Court in its judicial capacity, and should be supported by affidavits or affirmation in the usual way. QUEEN v. ZUHIRUDDIN
[I. L. R., I Calc., 219: 25 W. R., Cr., 27

 Notice of transfer.—Subordinate Magistrates.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 48.—Notice to the parties before the transfer is made .- Before a Magistrate of a district can transfer a case from a Conrt subordinate to him to any other subordinate Court, notice of such intended transfer should be served upon the parties, so as to enable any or either of the parties to come forward and show cause why such transfer should not be made. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF TEACOTTA SHEKDAR. TEACOTTA SHEEDAR v. AMEER MAJEE

[L. L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C. L. R., 239

 Transfer of partly-heard case,-Hearing of evidence.-Where a case which has been partly heard by one officer is transferred to another officer for trial, the latter should hear all the evidence in the case before deciding it. KOPIL NATH SAHI V. KONEBRAM . 14 W. R., Cr., 3 SAHI v. KONEERAM . .

QUEEN v. KULLIAN SINGH . 2 N. W., 468

The High Court, however, declined to interfere in a case of this sort, as the prisoners did not appeal or raise any objection to the trial on this ground. KOPIL NATH SAHI D. KONEERAM

[14 W. R., Cr., 3

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL. 29.

 Transfer to High Court. Power to transfer .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Section 29 of the Letters Patent of 1865 empowers the High Court to transfer for trial before itself an appeal to a Court of Session from the sentence of a District Magistrate, and this power was not affected by section 64 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, which authorised the High Court to transfer an appeal from one sub-ordinate Court of criminal jurisdiction to another. SITAPATHI NAYUDU v. QUEEN

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 32

- Power to transfer .- " Competency" to investigate case .- The con-

2. LETTERS PATENT, HIGH COURT, CL, 29 -continued.

Transfer to High Court-continued.

struction of clause 29 of the Letters Patent, 1865, is, that the High Court has power, if in its discretion it thinks right to exercise it, to transfer the investigation or trial of any criminal offence committed in Calcutta to a mofussil Court, which is otherwise competent to try it, or to direct the trial by the High Court of an offence committed in the mofussil. "Competent to investigate it" does not include competency as regards local jurisdiction, but only competency with regard to the offender, the nature of the offence, and the punishment. QUEEN v. Nabadwip Goswami

[L. B. L. R., O. Cr., 15: 15 W. R., Cr., 71, note

- Power to transfer .- Power of single Judge on original side of High Court .- On an application made for the transfer of a case from the Sessions Court at Patna for trial by the High Court at Calcutta, on the grounds mainly that all but one of the charges against the prisoners were for offences committed in Calcutta: that the selection of Patna as the place of trial was calculated to prejudice the prisoners; that the police at Patna were getting up the case against the prisoners by improper and illegal means; that by these means was created such a feeling of dread and insecurity among the witnesses and others in Patna as would prevent a fair trial from taking place there; that some of the witnesses for the defence, although willing to give evidence in Calcutta, refused to go to Patna to give evidence; and that many difficult points of law were likely to arise at the trial; but these allegations were denied by the affidavits filed in opposition to the application,—Held (MACPHER-SON, J., doubting), the High Court had power under clause 29 of the Letters Patent to transfer the case for trial by itself. The Court, however, refused the application, on the ground that a sufficient case had not been made out for the exercise of the power of the Court. Per Phear, J .- A single Judge, sitting on the original side of the Court, has power to entertain an application for the removal of a criminal case from a Court in the mofussil to the High Court in the exercise of its extraordinary original criminal jurisdiction. Queen v. Ameer Khan
[7 B. L. R., 240: 15 W. R., Cr., 69

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER.

- Nature of grounds for transfer .- Transfer from one Magistrate to auother .- The High Court will not, except on very strong and very clear grounds, transfer a case from one Magistrate's Court to that of another Magistrate. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SHAN-KAR ABAJI HOSHING. REG. v. SHANKAR ABAJI Hosning . 6 Bom., Cr., 69

 Probability of unfair trial. -Transfer from one Magistrate to another .- It is only when there is reason to suppose that the prisoner TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE-continued.

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER-continued.

Probability of unfair trial—continued.

will not have a fair trial that the High Court will
transfer a case from one magisterial officer to an-

transfer a case from one magisterial officer to another, Queen v. Kisto Chunder Ghose [2 W. B., Cr., 58]

92. Proof of grounds for transfer.—Grounds necessary to obtain transfer for when application is opposed by accused.—Before the transfer of a case from one Criminal Court to another can be made, in cases in which the accused objects to the transfer, the prosecution must bring forward the very best cridence to prove that a fair trial cannot be had in the district in which the case is ordinarily triable. In the MATTER OF THE PETITION OF THE LEGAL REMEMBRANCER, EMPLESS N. NOME GOFAL BOSE

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491

23. — Prosecution initiated by Magistrate,—Conviction before same Augistrate,—Transfer of appeal from Magistrate to Sessions Judge.—Where the Magistrate of the district had procured the initiation of a number of prosecutions against the same person, and one of them which had resulted in conviction came up before him in appeal, the High Court, considering that it was not altogether seemly that he should hear the appeal, ordered its transfer to the Sessions Judge. RAM—ZAN ALI R. DURFO KOMILLA. 24 W. R., Cr., 58

24. — Judge forming premature opinion.—Convenience.—Relieving judicial officer of case he wishes not to try.—The High Court does not exercise its powers of transfer in a case of forgery or perjury solely on the ground that the Judge who is to try the case has formed an opinion that the document has been forged or the perjury committed. But when the transfer can be made without risk of any improper interference with the course of justice, and without much inconvenience to the parties and witnesses, the transfer would be proper, not only as a fair concession to the accused person, but as a means of relieving the Judge from a position which he would himself dosire to avoid. In the matter of the parties of AUDACALA REDDI

25. — Illegal procedure by Magistrate.—Magistrate autogonists to accused.
—Power of High Court.—Where the procedure in the case of a person charged with an offence was found to be irregular and lilegal, and the Magistrate was prejudiced and antagonistic to the prisoner, the High Court made an order (as in the Basacorah case, 4 B. L. R., Ap., 1) to transfer the proceedings to be tried by another officer appointed or deputed by the Government of Bengal to try the case. Aldock Additional Court of the Court of

26. — Judicial officers interested in case.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 64.—Road Cess case.—Transfer of appeal for trial.—

TRANSFER OF CRIMINAL CASE—continued.

3. GROUND FOR TRANSFER-continued.

Judicial officers interested in case-continued.

Where it appeared that the only officers in the district of P. otherwise competent to hear an appeal from a conviction for theft of property alleged to have belonged to the Road Cess Committee of the district were, by reason of their connection with that committee, interested in the result of the appeal, the High Court directed that the petition of appeal, together with all papers connected therewith, should be forwarded to the Sessions Judge of the 24-Porgunnahs, to be dealt with as an appeal presented in his own Court. In the MATTER OF DWARKA NARIH BANENJEE.

6 C. L. R., 279

27. Magistrate expressing opimion unfavourable to accused. — Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 36. — Transfer by Maoistrate. — Although section 36 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not require a Magistrate to state his reasons for transferring a criminal case from a Court subordinate to him to his own or to my other subordinate to the first own or to my other subordinate to the first own to may other subordinate transferring a case after the Subordinate Magistrate transferring a case after the Subordinate Magistrate, before whom it was, had taken the evidence for the prosecution, and had expressed an ophilon unfavourable to the prosecution. Question of Subordinate Magistrate 14 W. R., Cr., 12

28. Jurisdiction.—Place of commission of offence.—Transfer of preliminary investigation.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 64 and 69.—The High Court, under sections 64 and 69 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, directed the preliminary investigation in this case, in which the accused was clarged with criminal breach of trust, to be held in Calcutta, the place where the offence charged was, if not wholly, at all events partly, committed. QUERN O. MADDONALD 22 W. R., Cr., 6

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—COMPLE-TION OF TRANSFER.

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554

Exection of building for school on land.—Gift of building for a school.—Rostito of managers of school.—Suit by managers for trover, and treepass.—Where a purity with, partly with his own funds and partly with R100 subscribed by the village, erected a building for a school, never gave the property to the school, and never even acquiesced in the managers of the school entering upon it.—Held that the managers entered upon it as trespassers, and that although the proprietor acquiesced in their having taken possession, he did not thereby convey any property in the school to the subscribers, and was not bound to repay that portion of the money which he expended himself in building the house, or to do more than return that portion of the funds which were subscribed by the village. Sizes-Hubber of the subscribed by the village. Sizes-Hubber of the subscribed by the village.

(6031) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY-continued. Erection of building for school on landcontinued. S. C. on reference on original trial [6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21 while transferor is out of possession. See VENDOR AND PURCHASER-BILLS OF . 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111 - Sale while vendor is out of possession.—Right of purchaser to sue for possession.—It is the practice of the Courts in this country to give effect to sales of property made by persons out of possession, and to recognise the title of the purchaser to maintain a suit. RUNNOO PAN-DEY v. BUKSH ALI . . . 3 N. W., 2 KUMUBOODDEEN v. BHADOO . 11 W. R., 134 AULOCK MONRE DOSSIA v. AULOCK MONEE DE-BIA 25 W. R., 48 PRANKRISHNA DEY v. BISWAMBHAR SEIN [2 B. L. R., A. C., 207 11 W. R., 81 2. Right of purchaser to sue for possession. Want of considera-DUTT BISWAS

tion.-Alleged purchasers whose vendors were not in possession, and who have paid nothing for what is said to have been sold to them, are not competent to maintain a suit for possession of the property in dispute. BISHONATH DRY ROY v. CHUNDER MOHUN . 23 W. R., 165 See TARA SOONDOREE CHOWDHRAIN v. COLLEC-

TOR OF MYMENSINGH [13 B. L. R., 495: 20 W. R., 446

- 8. Right of purchaser to sue for possession.—The current of High Court decisions on the question whether a purchaser from a party not in possession is competent to maintain a suit to recover the land, is in favour of the right to bring a suit. BISSESSUR Doss v. Joy-KISHORE DOSS .
- Wrongful dispossession of vendor.—Right of vendee to sue for posses-sion.—Where a conveyance of property was made by a person who had been in possession and enjoyment for years before she was wrongfully custed, the confor years defore she was wrongtuny outsien, one conveyance was held to give a right to sue for immediate possession. Bikan Singh v. Parbutty Kooff [22 W. R., 98]

NITTYANUND GOSSAIN v. SHAMA CHURN CHAT-. 23 W. R., 163

See Gunga Hurry Nunder v. Raghubram Nundee . 14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 131

5. Right of assignee to sue for possession.—Third parties.—Requisite proof.—An assignce of property, of which the assignor was not in possession when the assignment was made, can only recover, even from the hands of third persons, upon showing that he would have a right to enforce specific performance of his contract against TRANSFER OF PROPERTY-continued

Sale while vendor is out of possessioncontinued.

his assignor if the property were come back to the hands of the assignor. BOODHUN SINGH v. LUTEE-. 22 W. R., 535

6. Right to specific performance after purchase of right to sue. Where a purchaser of a right to sue for possession brings a suit for specific performance, and it is not shown that he has left undone anything necessary to entitle him to what he claims, it must be taken in special appeal that the plaintiff is entitled to insist on specific performance of his contract with his vendor. LALLA SABIL CHAND v. GOODUR KHAN

22 W. R., 187

- Suit by assignee for possession.—Effect of bill of sale.—The assignees, R., K., and G., of certain property brought against the assignor, L., and others, a suit to obtain possession of a portion of assigned property of which he, L., never had possession, and to obtain a declaration of right of ownership to the other portion already in the possession of one or more of themselves. Held that as L., at the time when the assignments were made, was not in either actual or constructive possession, he was unable thereby to pass the property, and that the bill of sale was only evidence of a contract to be performed in future upon the happening of a contingency. RAM KHELAWUN SINGH v. OUDE . 21 W. R., 101 . . .

And see BOODHUN v. BOODHUN SINGH [21 W. R., 156

Suit by assignee for possession .- Validity of transfer .- The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of sought to recover possession from the determines of certain land, claiming under a karernama executed to them by one Mutyawa. The defendants contend-ed that Mutyawa had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as Mutyawa was not in possession at the time when the kararnama was executed, the plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—Held, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Courte in possession at the time the kararnama was executed nd possession are the late of garathing was executed did not prevent the plaintiffs from recovering possession from the defendants. Kalidas v. Kaahaya Lall, L. L. R., 11 Cul., 121: L. R., 11 L. A., 212, referred to and followed. UGABCHAND MANACK-CHAND v. MADAPA SOMANA

[I. L. R., 9 Bom., 324

- Sale in execution of decree.—Assignment by purchaser who has not obtained possession .- Upon a sale in execution of a decree the property in the thing sold passes to the purchaser; and there is nothing in either the Hindu or the English law which debars a third person from taking an assignment of such property from the auction-purchaser, albeit it has not been reduced into possession by him. GOVIND RAGUNATH v. GO-VIND JAGOJI . . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 500 TRANSFER OF PROPERTY-continued.

10. Hypothecation of property without possession.—Incomplete title.—Held that the hypothecation of property to which a judgment-debtor had not nequired absolute title was incomplete and insufficient to create a valid and perfect lien in favour of the mortgagee enforceable by law regainst the actual possessor. HERCHUND SINGH.

1 Agra, 286

11. Lease granted while lesson is out of possession.—A valid lease cannot be granted by a person not in possess on of the lands leased. Tirky D. Kristo Mouth Bose. Hovenber J. Araba Air L. R., 1 L A., 78

 Rights of lessee. -Suit for possession .- A transfer of property of which the transferor is not at the time of the transfer in possession, is not ipso facto void. Where a putnidar, while out of possession of the putni estate, granted a dur-putni thereof, -Held that the dur-putnidar's suit against third persons, who were in possession of the estate, to recover possession, would lie, it appearing that the plaintiff had paid an adequate consideration for the dur-putni, and that the dur-putni pottah was not evidence of a contract to be performed in future on the happening of a certain contingency or that if it were so, that the plaintiff had done all he was bound to do to entitle him to specific performance of the agreement by the putnidar. LOKE-NATH, GHOSE v. JUGOBUNDHOO ROY

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 297

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882).

See LEASE-CONSTRUCTION.

See Mortgage — Foreclosure—Demand and Notice of Foreclosure. [I. L. R., 8 All., 388

___ s. 2.

See s. 10 . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

1. Mortgage executed before Act in Act came into force.—Assignment of after Act in Operation.—The provisions of the Transfer of Property Act apply to the assignment of a mortgage made after that Act came into force, although the mortgage may have been made before the commencement of that Act. Lala Jugdes Samai v. Brij Benari Lal. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

2. — and ss. 67, 36.—Suit for foreclosure of mortgage.—Beng, Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.—Procedure.—Act I of 1808 (General Clauses Act), s. 6.—A mortgagee by conditional sale, under an instrument executed, while Regulation XVII of 1806 was in force, and before the Transfer of Property Act, 1832, which repealed that Regulation, came into force, sned, after the repeal of that Regulation, came into force, sned, after the repeal of that regulation, the provisions of section 8 of that Regulation. Held (Stvart, C. J., dissenting) that the procedure of that section

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, and ss. 67, 28 -continued.

was not saved by clause (c) of section 2 of the Transfer of Property Act, but the provisions of that Act were applicable to the suit. GANGA SARAI v. KISHEN SAHAI . I. I. R., 6 All., 262

- and ss. 67, 99 .- Mortgage-decree, - Execution of decree, - A decreeholder, who had obtained a decree in the year 1880 against his judgment-debtor, declaring his title in certain mortgaged properties and authorising a sale, sought, after several previous applications keeping the decree alive, to execute his decree again on the 15th April 1885. The judgment-debtor objected, on the ground that no suit had been instituted or decree obtained under section 67 of the Transfer of Property Act as directed by section 99. Held that section 99 of that Act was not intended to apply to decrees already obtained declaring a lien and authorising a sale, but even assuming that it was so intended, section 2 of the Act saved the right of the decree-holder to obtain a sale of the mortgaged properties. Ganga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 262, distinguished. DINENDRA NATH SANNYAL v. CHANDRA KISHORE MUNSHI

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436

-cl. (c), and s. 86.-Mortgage.—Conditional sale.—Suit for possession on foreclosure.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806, ss. 7, 8.—The procedure laid down in the Transfer of Property Act may be applied to the case of foreclosure of a mortgage executed before the Act came into operation, provided it be so applied as not to affect the rights saved by section 2, clause (c) of the Act. Where, therefore, under the provisions of Regula-tion XVII of 1806, notice of foreclosure had been served on a mortgagor by conditional sale, the mortgage having been executed and the foreclosure proceedings taken before the Transfer of Property Act came into force, and after the expiry of the year of grace the money not having been paid, the mortgagee instituted a suit for possession on foreclosure, and when such suit was defended by a third party who had purchased the mortgaged property at an execution sale and obtained possession before the commencement of the foreclosure proceedings, and the necessary notice had not been served upon him,-Held that it was competent to the Court to apply the procedure prescribed by the Transfer of Property Act and grant the mortgagee a decree in the terms of section 86, substituting the period of "one year" for the period of "six months" therein mentioned. Ganga. Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I. L. R., 6 All., 622, referred to. PERGASH KOER v. MAHABIR PERSHAD NARAIN SINGH . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582

5. Mortgage.— Nove-closure, Suit for:—Mortgage by conditional sale.—Reng. Reg. XYII of 1806.—Procedure.—Statute, Construction of.—Where a suit is brought, face the date of the Transfer of Property Act, for the fore-closure of a mortgage dated previous to the Act, the procedure to be followed is that given by the Transfer of Property Act; the procedure of Regulation XVII, 1806, not being sured by section 2 cleanse of

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 2, cl. (c), and s. 86—continued.

of Act IV, 1882. Gauga Sahai v. Kishen Sahai, I.
L. R., 6 All., 262, approved. Per Witson, J.
— It is a general rule in construing statutes that in
matters of substantive right they are not to be so
read as to take away vested rights, but that in matters of procedure they are general in their operation.
There is nothing in the Transfer of Troperty Act
from which it can be beyond reasonable doubt
concluded that the Legislature intended to depart
from this settled principle of legislation. Per TraVELYAN, J.—There is a clear distinction between
"relicf" and the mode or procedure for obtaining
such relicf. The "relicf" remains maffected by a
clauge of procedure. The "rights and liabilities"
of a mortgagor and mortgage, and the "relicf" in
respect of such rights and liabilities, are the same
under Act IV of 1882 as they were before. A different procedure for enforcing such rights and obtaining such relief has, however, been adopted by the
Transfer of Property Act. BIODO SUNDARI DERI
RAKAL GRUNDER BOSS

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 583

1. — \$ 1.0.—Married Woman's Property Act (III of 1874), s.8.—Restraint on anticipation.—Section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act merely excepts from the general rule laid down in section 8 of the Married Woman's Property Act, III of 1874, the particular case of a married woman, and does not give to a restraint upon anticipation any greater force than it had before the passing of the Act, but merely preserves to it the effect it had previously, leaving the Married Woman's Property Act of 1874 and the decisions upon it untouched. Hipportrae of Sytuar I. I. L. R., 12 Cale, 522

2. and s. 2.—Condition against alienation.—Inheritance.—Deed of compromise .- Beng, Civil Courts Act, VI of 1871, s. 24 .-In a suit for possession of certain shares in certain villages, a compromise was effected between the plaintiffs and B., the defendant. The terms of the compromise were embodied in a deed, the terms of which were (inter alia) as follows: "The said B. will hold possession as a proprietor, generation by generation, without the power of transferring in any shape. The following shares recorded in B.'s name shall not be transferred or sold in auction in payment of any debt payable by the said B., and in the event of their being transferred or sold, such transfer will be invalid, and the plaintiffs will then be entitled to set aside that transfer, and to obtain possession." B. obtained possession of the shares allotted to him by the compromise. Subsequently, certain creditors of B. attached the shares referred to in the deed in execution of a decree obtained against the heirs of B. for money lent to B. on a bond, which he had executed while in possession of the shares, and in which he made a simple mortgage of them. The representatives of the plaintiffs in the suit in which the compromise was made objected to the attachment. Held by Oldfield, J., that the deed of compromise passed an absolute estate to B. and his heirs to which the law annexed a power of transfer, and that, in reference to section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act, TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 10 and s. 2-continued.

the stipulation against alienation on B.'s part, or against sale by auction in execution of decrees against him, was void. Per MAHMOOD, J .- That the rule contained in section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act was not binding upon the Court in this case, inasmuch as the question was one of succession or inheritance, to be governed by section 24 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act : that it was for those objecting to the attachment to show that, under the Hindu law, the rights of B. in the property ceased to exist at his death, or that his estate devolved upon them free of his debts: that, the Hindu law being silent on this subject, the principles of justice, camity, and good conscience must be applied, to which, so far as transfer was concerned, effect was given by section 10 of the Transfer of Property Act; that the restriction imposed by the deed of compromise upon B.'s powers of alienating the absolute estate which it conferred upon him were opposed to the policy of the law and could not be recognised; and that B. must be held to have had an absolute estate which would devolve upon his heirs, and which could be sold in execution of decrees for his debts. The Tagore Case, 9 B. L. R., 377, referred to. Bhairo v. Parmeshui Dayal . I. L. R., 7 All., 516

---- ss. 10, 11.

See RIGHT OF SUIT - CONTRACTS OR AGREEMENTS . I. L. R., 8 All., 452

---- ss. 41, 48.

See N.-W. P. RENT ACT, S. 7.

____ e 51

See DECREE-FORM OF DECREE-MORT-GAGE . I. L. R., 8 All., 502

--- s. 52.

See s. 135 . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297

Registered and surregistered documents.—Transfer of property "pendente lite."

Act III of 1877 (Registration Act), s. 50.—B. held a decree for the sale of property which had been mortgaged to him by an instrument which was not compulsorily registrable and was not registered. N. purchased the same property pendente lite by a registered deed of sale. Held that there was here no competition between a registered and an unregistered instrument to which section 50 of the Registeration Act could apply and that N's purchase was, by section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, subject to the decree passed in B's Tavour. BILAGWAN DAS s. NATUS SINGE. I. L. R., 6 ALI, 444.

--- s. 53.

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 50. [I. L. R., 8 All., 540]

- s. 54.

See PRE-EMPTION — CONSTRUCTION OF WAJIB-UL-URZ.
[I. L., R., 7 All., 482, 626

(6037) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 54-continued. Optional registration.-Per GARTH, C. J .- Section 54 of the Transfer of Property Act virtually abolishes optional registration. Roy . I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241 - s. 58. See Pre-emption - Construction of WAJIB-UL-URZ. I. L. R., 7 All., 258, 343 See COMPROMISE-COMPROMISE OF SUITS UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 103 See Mortgage-Redemption-Mode of REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORE-I. L. R., 8 All., 402 CLOSURE - s. 65. See LANDLORD AND TENANT-TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443 - s. 67. See s. 2 . I. L. R., 6 All., 262 I. L. R., 12 Cale., 436 - R AR See Mortgage-Possession under Mort-GAGE . I. L. R., 6 All., 298 See LANDLORD AND TENANT-TRANSFER BY TENANT . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443 See Mortgage-Accounts.

[I. L. R., 6 All., 303 · s. 84. See MORTGAGE-REDEMPTION-MODE OF REDEMPTION AND LIABILITY TO FORE-. I. L. R., 8 All., 502

s. 86. See 9 2

CLOSURE

[I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436, 505, 583 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582 I. L. R., 6 All., 262

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 135. [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE-SET-TING ASIDE SALE-IRREGULARITY-GE-NERAL CASES . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE-SET-TING ASIDE SALE-IRREGULARITY-GE-NERAL CASES . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 346 s. 88.

See EXECUTION OF DECREE-MODE OF EX-ECUTION-MORTGAGE.

II. L. B., 7 All., 194

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882)-continued.

s. 95.

See Limitation Act, 1877, ART. 148. [I. L. R., 8 All., 295

s. 99. . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436 See s. 2

~ s. 100.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 148. [I. L. R., 8 All., 295

ss. 106, 111.

See LANDTORD AND TENANT-EJECTMENT -Notice to Quit. [I. L. R., 7 All., 596, 899

a 118

See Pre-emption - Construction of WAJIB-UL-URZ . I. L. R., 7 All., 626

s. 123.

See ATTACHMENT-SUBJECTS OF ATTACH-MENT-ANNUITY OR PENSION. [I. L. R., 6 All., 634

1. — s. 131.—Transfer of debts.— Notice of transfer.—Assignment of mortgage.— Mortgagor, Liability of, to assignee of mortgagee when no notice of assignment given,-An assignment is perfectly valid though the notice referred to in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act has not been given, though the title of the assignee as against third parties is not complete until such notice has been given; the object of such notice being the protection of the assignee. Section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act makes no alteration in the law as it obtained in England previous to the passing of that Act and as laid down in the cases cited in the note to Ryall v. Rowles, 2 W. & T. L. C., 777, the first portion of the section merely fixing the time when the section comes into operation, and the latter providing for the protection of the debtor if he deals with the debt before that time. Where, therefore, an assignce of a mortgagee brought a suit on the mortgage against the mortgagor and the mortgagec, and no notice of the assignment had been given to the mortgagor under section 131. of the Transfer of Property Act,-Held that the Court was wrong in dismissing the suit merely on the ground that no notice was served, as after the suit was instituted the mortgagor became aware of the assignment, and the transfer accordingly came into operation on the date when he thus became aware of it. LALA JUGDEO SAHAI v. BRIJ BEHARI . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505

Decree. - Debt. - A decree is not a "debt" within the meaning of that word as used in section 131 of the Transfer of Property Act. A "debt" under that section means an actionable claim, and not a claim which has already passed into a decree. AFZAL v. RAM KUMAR BRUDRA

I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610

- s. 135.-Transferee of a claim for smaller value .- Recovery of full amount of debt .- It TRANSFER OF PROPERTY ACT (IV OF 1882), s. 135-continued.

is not the object of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act absolutely to prevent a transferee, who has purchased a claim at a smaller value, from recovering the full amount of the debt due from the debtor. GRISH CHANDRA v. KASHISAURI DEBI I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145

2. Right of Suit.—Suit to set aside a document.—Actionable claim.—The co-sharers of a Hindu family, one of whom was a minor, owned of a limit laminy one of wind was a miner owner certain immoveable property in Munshigange near Daces. In 1873 a perpetual lease of this property, executed by all the co-shavers except the minor, was granted to certain persons hereinafter called the lessees. On the minor's behalf the lease was executed by his elder brother as guardian of the minor. In May 1882, the minor, who had previously attained his majority, sucd the lessees and his co-sharers for a declaration of his right to and for possession of his share in the said property, alleging that the perpetual lease was not binding on him On the day after the institution of the suit the plaintiff sold all his interest therein to A. for R600. Held that A.'s purchase was an actionable claim within the meaning of section 135 of the Transfer of Property Act. RAJANIKANTH NAG RAI CHOWDHURI v. HARI MOHAN GUHA [I. L. R., 12 Calc., 470

and s. 52.—Sale of immoveable property by person out of possession.—Actionable claim .- A transfer of ownership of immoveable property is not a sale of an actionable claim, although the owner at the time of the sale may not be in possession. A. and B. being owners of an 8 annas share of certain immoveable property sold it under a kobala to C. and D. At the time of the sale X. and Y. were in adverse possession of the share. Held that the transaction was a sale under section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, to which the provisions of Chapter VIII of the Act, specially those of section 135, were ter virto in a rec, specinty into the like, although the inapplicable. Semble,—Section 135 refers to claims for money of some kind or the like, although the noney claim may be a charge on immoveable property. MUDUN MONUN DUT D. FUTTARUNNISSA

TRANSFER OF SHARES, REGISTRA-TION OF-

> See BANK OF BENGAL. [I. L. R., 3 Cale., 392

TRANSFER OF TENURE,

See BENGAL REGULATION VIII OF 1819. [3 B. L. R., P. C., 48 See Cases under Landlord and Ten-

ANT-TRANSFER BY LANDLORD. See Cases under Landlord and Ten-

ANT-TRANSFER BY TENANT. See CASES UNDER RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY

TRANSFER OF RIGHT. See STAMP ACT, 1862, s. 14.

[3 B, L, R., Ap., 30

[I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297

TRANSFER OF TENURE-continued.

 Grant for purpose of living on the land,—Per Peacock, C. J.—If one man grants a tenure to another for the purpose of living upon the land, that tenure, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, is assignable. Beni Madhub Banerjee v. Jai Krishna Mookerjee

[7 B. L. R., 152:12 W. R., 495

Upholding, on appeal, KEMP, J., in BANEE MA-DHUB BANERJEE v. JOY KISHEN MOOKERJEE

[11 W. R., 354

- Mokurrari tenure,-It is necessary that a tenure should be mokurrari in order to be transferable. HUROMOHUN MOOKERJEE v. LALUN-. 1 W. R., 5 MONRE DASSEE

3. —— Surburakari tenure in Cuttack.—Consent of zemindar.—The alienation of surburakari tenure in Cuttack is not practicable without the consent of the zemindar. DOORJODHUN DOSS v. CHOOYA DAYE. 1 W. R., 322

- Ryotwari tenure,-Consent of zemindar or talookdar .- Quære, - Whether a transfer of a ryotwari tenure can be effected without the consent of the zemindar or talookdar, as the case might be, the immediate successor in estate. SHIBES-SURÉE DEBIA v. MOTHOORANATH ACHARJEE

[13 W. R., P. C., 18: 13 Moore's I. A., 270

TRANSFER, REGISTRATION OF-

See BENGAL RENT ACT, 1869, s. 46. [1 B. L. R., S. N., 7 10 W. R., 101 8 W. R., 138

See Cases under Landlord and Ten-ant-Acknowledgment of Tenancy,

See Cases under Landlord and Tenant -Transfer by Tenant.

See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT-DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE 13 B. L. R., 146 . See SALE FOR ARREARS OF RENT—EFFEOT OF SALE 9 W. R., 161 [7 W. R., 409

Marsh., 212 1 W. R., 225

TRANSFERABLE TENURE.

7 B. L. R., 152 See ACQUIESCENCE [10 B. L. R., Ap., 5

See Cases under Landlord and Tenant -TRANSFER BY TENANT.

See PATNI TENURE. [3 B. L. R., A. C., 437

See Cases under Right of Occupancy-TRANSFER OF RIGHT.

TRANS-SHIPMENT PERMIT.

See SEA CUSTOMS ACT, S. 128.
[I. L. R., 4 Born., 447]

TRANSPORTATION.

See SENTENCE-TRANSPORTATION.

Absence by reason of—

See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 7.

[1 B. L. R., S. N., 25

TREASON.

See Waging War against the Queen. [7 B. L. R., 63

TREASURE TROVE,

Beng. Reg. V of 1817.—Hidden treasure.—Duty of flader of hidden treasure.—Rights of flader, zemidader, and Government.—Some persons while digging a field in a certain zemindar found an earthen pot containing money. The finder and the zemindar both claimed to be entitled to the treasure, but the provisions of Regulation, V of 1817, with regard to the finding of hidden treasure, were not complied with by them, and on this ground the Judge held they were not entitled to it. The Government claimed the money on the ground that the provisions of the Regulation had not-been complied with, and it was made over to the Collector, an appeal to the High Court being dismissed. In Res UMA GRABAN BANBEREE Z TB, L. R., Ap., 3

S. C. OMA CHURN BANERJEE v. COLLECTOR OF HOOGHLY . . . 15 W. R., 525

2.— s. 6 and s. 2.—Valuable property.—Ornament.—The owner of the house where an ornament has been found concealed may, under section 6, Regulation V of 1817, retain possession of it as a "valuable property" under section 2, if no one else has substantiated any claim thereto. PREM MOXEE BEWAIT 9. NOBIN CHUNDER CHOWDIEX [4 W. R., Mis., 8]

- Mad. Reg. XI of 1832.- Right to idols discovered .- Right of owner of property .-Right of trespasser.—Omission to give notice to authorities.—Certain idols and vessels of copper were discovered accidentally by one Shaik Mira and his brother, while digging for stones, in a masonry building underneath the ground in a rather elevated part of the bed of the tank of Anandur which be-longs to the zemindari of Shivaganga: No intimation of the discovery was given by the finders to any public authority, but the Subordinate Magistrate, being informed of it by the police, proceeded to the spot and recovered the idols on the third or fourth and the recovered the most of the billion of the sent by the Magistracy to the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Madura to be dealt with under the provisious of Regulation XI of 1832. Proclamation inviting elaimants was made and petitions asking for possession of the idols were presented by three parties-1st, by the Rani of Shivaganga, on the ground that she was trustee of the Devastanams on her estate, on which the idols had been found; 2nd, by the Stanikam of a temple in the village of Anandur; and 3rd, by the finder. The Principal Sudder Ameen adjudged the idols to be the property of the Rani, and directed that they should be delivered to her. The finder appealed to the Civil Court, which reTREASURE TROVE.-Mad. Reg. |XI of 1832-continued.

versed the decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen and directed delivery to the appellant. Against this order the Raui appealed to the High Court on the grounds-1st, that Regulation XI of 1832 only applies to cases in which the ownership of the property is undiscovered, and that, in the present case, the Rani was presumably the owner of the property found; 2nd, that a trespasser could not benefit by the finding. Held that the Rani had no title to what had been hidden in former times in the soil now belonging to her: that it had been found that these idols were hidden in a stone chamber specially appropriated to that purpose, and that she could not, therefore, claim a title as owner. As to the objection that the finder, being a trespasser, could not benefit,-Held that it was unnecessary to consider this objection unless the Raui had some right or title to the treasure, the same as she had in the soil of the tank: that she had not such right, and therefore that the contention as to the right to the property found lay between the finder and the State, which had made no claim. An objection, not before taken, was allowed to be argued at the hearing, -viz., that the formalities prescribed by the Regulation had not been complied with. Held that, though immediate notice had not been given by the finder, the property was within three or four days of its discovery in the hands of the authorities, who might be said, therefore, to have supplied the necessary notice. KATTAMA NATCHIAR (ZEMINDARNI OF SHI-VAGANGA) v. MUHAMMAD MIRA RAVUTAN 17 Mad., 150

TREATIES. [7 Mad., 150

1. — Tenture of territory in Bombay.—The nature and results of Governor Aungier's Convention stated, and the origin of "pension and tax" in Bombay traced. Treaty of the 23rd June 1601 between Charles II. and the King of Portugal considered. The treaty in 1664-55 by Mr. Humphry Cook with the Vicercy of Gos was entered into without authorisation by the Grown of England or the Crown of Portugal, was not ratified by either, was expressly repudiated by the former, and never was of any force. Doe d. de Sibetira V. Texeira, 2 Mor. Dig., 250, observed upon. Naonori Brasant R. Rogers S.

2. — Cession of Bombay and Sulsette to Portuguese.—The treaty made between Sultan Bahadur of Gejarat and the King of Portugal on the cession of Bassein and its dependencies (including Bombay and Salsette) to the Portuguese referred to. SECRETARY OF STATE IN CONVIL. BOMBAY LANDING AND SERFEFING COMPANY

[5 Bom., O. C., 23 See Lores v. Lores 5 Bom., O. C., 172 TREES.

> See Cases under Landlord and Tenant—Property in Trees planted on Land.

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 28 (1871, s. 29) . I. L. R., 3 All., 435

TREES-continued.

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL-JU-BISDICTION-MOVEABLE PROPERTY. [I. L. R., 5 All., 564

24 W. R., 394 3 Mad., 237 I. L. R., 3 All., 168

TRESPASS.

Col 1. GENERAL CASES . 6043 2. House-Trespass . 6045

> See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, 8, 244 -QUESTIONS IN EXECUTION OF DECREE. [3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 12 B. L. R., 208, note

See Cases under Criminal Trespass. See DAMAGES-SUITS FOR DAMAGES-

. 8 Bom., A. C., 177 [7 N. W., 47 25 W. R., 548 Torts

See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR. [2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7 See Execution of Decree-Liability FOR WEONGFUL EXECUTION.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 12 B. L. R., 208, note

See MASTER AND SERVANT. [2 B. L. R., A. C., 227 2 B. L. R., O. C., 140

See RAILWAY ACT, 1871, s. 2. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25 See RIOTING . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 245 10 C. L. R., 278

See WRONGFUL DISTRAINT. [3 B. L. R., A. C., 261

W. R., 1864, Cr., 21

- by cattle.

See Nuisance—Under Criminal Procedure Codes . 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45 9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

1. GENERAL CASES.

Landlord and tenant.—Damage to reversionary interests .- Right of landlord to sue for damage. English law, Non-applicability of .- Many of the tenures in India are in the nature of a partnership, in which he to whom the land belongs participates with the cultivators in the crop. Therefore the law of England, that a landlord who has parted with his possession to a tenant cannot sue in trespass for damage to the property, unless the wrongful act complained of imports a damage to the reversionary interests, does not apply to landlords in India. VENEATACHALAM CHETTI v. ANDIAPPAN AMBALAM I. L. R., 2 Mad., 232

 Wrongful distraint of erops. -Distraint without notice.-Penal Code, s. 79.-Resistance to wrongful distraint .- A zemindar was held to be justified in exercising his right of private distraint of crops, if he had served the defaulters

TRESPASS-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Wrongful distraint of crops-continued.

with written notices under Act X of 1859, section with written notices there are a to 1000, section 110; and, in such a case, ryots, who knowingly resisted the distraint, were held to be not protected by the Penal Code, section 79. But, if the zemindar's people enter upon crops with intention of distraining without notice, the ryot-owners are justified in considering such action as trespass. QUEEN v. KANHAI SHAHU [23 W. R., Cr., 40

3. — Land taken by Government without formality prescribed by Beng. Reg. I of 1825 .- Right of owner to maintain suit against Government for rent.—Lands were occupied by the Government for the purpose of making an embankment without the observance of the formality required by Regulation I of 1825. Held that the owner of the land was entitled to maintain a suit against Government for the rent of the land during the time he was kept out of possession. JOYNARAIN Bose v. Collector of 24 Pergunnans

[Marsh., 56 .

Collector of 24-Pergunnahs v. Joynarain Bose . W. R., F. B., 18:1 Hay, 122

- Suit to prevent trespass .-Suit to close doors.—Cause of action.—Possibility of injury.—No suit can lie to close doors opened by a person in his own wall, on the ground of a possibility of his committing trespass on the land of the plaintiff, or of his having actually committed such plantint, or or ins maying accurant committee such trespass. It will only lie when the opening of the doors is in itself such an irremediable injury that the plaintiff would not be sufficiently compensated by money damages. Gibbon v. Abdur Rahman . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

- Sale for arrears of rent .--Sale under defective notice.—Reversal of sale for irregularity.—A., a zemindar, sold the right of B., his putnidar, for arrears of rent under Regulation VIII of 1819. This sale was subsequently set aside at the suit of B. for irregularity. A. then sued B. for the arrears under Act X of 1859, and B. pleaded limitation. Held that A. was not guilty of a trespass in bringing the property to sale under a defective notice, and A. could not have sucd for arrears pending the proceedings to set aside the sale. SWAR-NAMAYI v. SHASHI MUKHI BARMANI

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 10

S. C. SURNOMOYEE v. SHOSHEE MOKHEE BUR-MONTA 12 Moore's I. A., 244 [11 W. R., P. C., 5

 Trespass on burial-ground. b. Trespass on burnary outer. A, B, ead Code, s. 297.—Trespass by co-owner.—A, B, C, and D. were co-owners of a plot of land in which they were accustomed to bury their dead. A, and B. opened a saw-pit close to the graves of D.'s relatives, but did not disturb any of the graves. Reid that they were wrongly convicted under section 297 of the Penal Code. IN RE MUHAMMAD HAMIN KHAN I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178 TRESPASS-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

7. Liability for trespass by defendants not actually committing it.—Committlee under Act XX of 1863.—Held, in a suit under Act XX of 1863, that where the evidence showed that certain acts of trespass by one of the defendants were for the benefit and on behalf of the members of the committee, and were afterwards adopted and taken advantage of by them when they had acquired a full knowledge of those acts, the defendants for whose benefit the acts were done were liable for the trespass. Venkatasa Nateria 24 Meach, 410 August 25 August 25 August 25 August 26 A

2. HOUSE-TRESPASS.

8. Breaking open chest in house by immate of house. Penal Code, s. 457.

—7, being an immate of his uncle's house, broke open a chest and took out property from it. Howas convicted of an offence under section 457 of the Penal Code. Held that he could not properly be convicted under that section. QUEEN v. TASUDUR HOSSEIN . 6 N. W., 301.

9. Breaking open door in execution of decree—Penal Code, a 5:6.—Where the accused persons, execution-creditors, in company with an authorised ballift, broke open complainant's door before surrise with intent to distrain his property for which they were convicted on a charge of lurking house-treashas by night or hones-breaking by night,—Hold that as they were not guilty of the offence of criminal trespass, there being no finding of any such intent as is required to constitute that offence, and that as criminal trespass is an essential ingredient of either of the offences with which they were charged, the conviction must be quashed. In the matter of Jotharam Dayay
[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 30

10. Cattle-yard.—Building used for custody of properly.—Penal Code, ss. 422, 457.—The Court inclined to hold that a cattle-yard which was originally walled on four sides, and in one side of which, fallen out of repair, there was a gap stopped with a thorn, was a building used as a place for the custody of property, within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. QUERS BUILDING.

11. — Entry into house with forged warrant of arrest.—Penal Code, s. 452.—Where A. goes with a forged warrant of arrest into a house, and takes away one of the immates against his will under the authority of such warrant, he is guilty of house-trespass, by putting such person in fear of wrongful restraint, under section 452 of the Penal Code. QUEEN c. NUNDMOUUN SIREAR

12. Right of wife to enter husband's house.—Wife excommunicated from caste, excommunication from caste per se does not deprive a Hindu wife of her right of joint enjoyment of her lushand's house, so as to make her a trespasser

TRESPASS-continued.

HOUSE-TRESPASS—continued.
 Right of wife to enter husband's house—

continued.

if she enters the house to claim maintenance. Queen v. Marimuttu . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 243

13. — Entering lock-up with intent to convey food to prisoner. —Penal Code, s. 442.—Where a person entered into a havalat with intent to convey or attempt to convey food to a prisoner under trial, such act on his part did not amount to honse-trespass within the meaning of section 442 of the Penal Code. ENTRESS v. LALAT [I. 1. 2 All. 301]

TRESPASSER.

Suit by, to recover money paid.

See Wrongful Possession.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566

Suit for mesne profits against—

See Mesne Profits—Mode of AssessMENT AND CALCULATION.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 518

TROVER.

Suit in-

See HUSBAND AND WIFE.
[L. L. R., 1 Calc., 285

-Right of stoppage in transitu. -Contract for goods free on board, -Insolvency. Goods contracted to be sold and delivered "free on board," to be paid for by cash or bills at the option of the purchasers, were delivered on board, and receipts taken from the mate by the lighterman employed by the sellers, who handed the same over to them. The sellers apprised the purchasers of the delivery, who elected to pay for the goods by a bill, which the sellers having drawn, was duly accepted by the purchasers. The sellers retained the mate's receipts for the goods, but the master signed the bill of lading in the purchasers' names, who, while the bill they accepted was running, became insolvent. In such circumstances, held by the Privy Council (reversing the decision of the Supreme Court at Bombay) that trover would not lie for the goods, for that on their delivery on board the vessel they were no longer in transitu so as to be stopped by the sellers; and that the retention of the receipts by the sellers was immaterial, as after their election to be paid by a bill the receipts of the mate were not essential to the transaction between the seller and purchaser. Framjee Cowasjee v. Thompson [3 Moore's I. A., 422

2. Conversion.— Assignment of goods in certain warehouses on advances.—Seizure of goods.—Advance and assignment not simultaneous.—Incomplete assignment.—A bill of sale and assignment of goods described as being in certain warehouses belonging to A. was given by him for the loan of a sum expressed to have been paid on the day of the date thereof. Upon an action of trover

TROVER .- Conversion-continued

brought against the assignee of A., who had seized the goods, it appeared in ovidence that a portion only of the goods was in the warehouse specified at the date of the sale, and that no part of the loan was paid on that day, the same being discharged by instalments a few days afterwards: whereupon the Judges of the Supreme Court held that there had been no valid transfer, and consequently no conversion, and gave an interlocatory judgment in accordance with such view. Held by the Judicial Committee on appeal from that decision, and from an order refusing a new trial, that the decision was not justified by the evidence, and nunst be reversed and a new trial granted. MUTITIOLE SEALE, O'DOWDA [4 MOORE'S I. A., 382]

 Suit to recover notes lost by gambling .- Act XXI of 1848 .- Illegal considergambing.—act AAI of 1825.—Regate consider-ation.—Bond fide holder for value.—Trust for spe-cific purpose.—The plaintiff, the manager of the Oriental Bank, placed in the hands of D., a broker, thirteen Government currency notes for R1,000 each on D.'s representation that there was some Company's paper at a certain place which he could procure at a more reasonable rate than in the Calcutta market, if the money were given him to purchase it. If the Company's paper was not procurable, the notes were to be returned to the plaintiff. D. did not go were to be returned to the plainth. D. da not go to the place stipulated to purchase the Company's paper, but, meeting the defendant and others, he went into a house hired for gambling, and lost at cards, and paid away to the defendant some of the notes he had received from the plaintiff. The plaintiff now sued the defendant to recover the notes so entrusted to D, on the allegation that they had been entrusted by him to D. for a specific purpose, and that the defendant was not a bond fide holder for value. He (the plaintiff) stated in evidence "that if the paper had been bought, he would either have taken the papers at the most favourable market price acted the pipers at the most involvable market piper for the bank, or have sold them and given D. the profit." Held, the plaintiff was entitled to recover. The defendant was not a bond fide holder for value. Per PAUL, J., in the Court below, and per NORMAN, J., on appeal.—The notes were especially entrusted of the purchase of the Company's paper. Per Phear, J.—Upon the case put forward by the plaintiff, the transaction was a short loan, and not a bailment, and did not bear the character of a trust. But upon the evidence the notes were the property of the bank, and remained so in D.'s hands, and therefore the plaintiff was entitled to recover on behalf of the bank. BULDEO NARAIN v. SCRYMGROUR [6 B. L. B., 581

TRUST.

See ECCLESIASTICAL TRUST.
[2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12

See English Law-Trust, Declaration of- 4 Mad., 460

TRUST-continued.

See Hindu Law-Will-Construction of Wills-Special cases of Construction-Perpetuities, Trusts, and Bequests to a Class.

[2 B. L. R., O. C., 11 4 B. L. R., O. C., 103, 231

See Cases under Limitition Act, 1877, s. 10.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 113 (1871, ART. 113) . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 323

See Will-Construction.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420
I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325

— Constructive—

See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, s. 10.

[I. L. R., 2 All., 361
I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91

---- Disavowal of-

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 144 (1871, Art. 145)—Adverse Possession.

- Notice of-

See Limitation Act, 1877, Art. 134 (1871, Art. 134). [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

---- Revocation of-

See Onus Probandi—Trust, Revocation of— . . . 10 B. L. B., 19

 Right to account of administration of—

See ACCOUNT, SUIT FOR-[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 343

- For specific purpose,

 See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10)
 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455, 897

 [I. L. R., 6 Med., 402

 See Trover
 6 B. L. R., 581

1. Creation of trust.—Owner of property constituting himself trustee.—Father opening an account in name of his son.—In order that the owner of a fund may constitute himself a trustee of it, he must either expressly declare himself a trustee, or must use language which, taken in connection with his acts, shows a clear intention on his part to divest himself of all beneficial interest in it, and to exercise dominion and control over it exclusively in the character of a trustee. From the single circumstance that an account has been opened by a father in his books in the name of his son, in which money is credited to the son, no presumption can be raised in India that the father intends to create a trust, in favour of his son, of the sums appearing in the account. ASHABAI v. TYER HAM RAHMYULA [I. L. R. 9 Bom, 118]

TRUST .- Creation of trust-continued.

- Subsequent disposition by settler .- Disposition out of income .- Held that where a trust has been once perfectly created, although there may have been no transmutation of possession, it cannot be defeated by any subsequent act of the settler, and apparent dispositions of portions of the property afterwards made by him to particular members of a family, the individuals constituting which have, as a class, a beneficial interest in the whole, must be regarded, not as gifts to them for creations of new trusts in their favour which he had no power to make, but as the acts of a trustee, and available only to the extent of the shares to which such persons may be entitled. applies only to dispositions out of the principal of the fund, and not to payments made out of its income to particular members of the family for their maintenance, or other expenses, as there may be circumstances which would render it inequitable to take an account of the latter, so as to charge such persons with what they may have received beyond their respective shares, JAMSETJI JIJIBHAI v. SONA-. 2 Bom., 130: 2nd Ed., 133

- Invalid declaration of trust.—Intended transfer of property.—
Incomplete gift.—Evidence of interested varties.— The plaintiff, H., was the daughter of one K., de-The plantiff, R., was the daughter of the R., deceased. K., some two years before his death in 1866, contemplated conferring a bounty to the extent of R5,000 on each of his daughters, M. and H. For M. he bought a house at Zanzibar and settled it on her by a formal deed of settlement with various limitations. For H., too, he at first intended to buy a house; but fluding houses in Bombay were too dear, he purchased a Government promissory note of the nominal value of R5,000. The note was purchased in his own name, and a separate account of it opened in his books, headed "The account of one promissory note bearing 5 per cent. interest." account he debited with all expenses over and above the R5,000 incurred in and about the purchase of the note, such as for premium, carriage hire, &c ,charging, moreover, 9 per cent. interest on these items of debit (which interest he carried as a gain to his general interest account) and he credited the account with the interest collected on the note from time to time, allowing interest at 6 per cent. on these items of credit. He kept also a separate account of the proceeds of the note, headed "The account of interest on one promissory note for \$15,000." The plaintiff stated that on the day when the note was bought her father K. brought it and showed it to her, saying, "This is your note; take it when you want it;" and that she left it in his custody, saying, "I will take the note when my sons grow up and do business." Corroborative evidence -which, however, differed in details from, and was in some respects inconsistent with, the evidence of the plaintiff -was given by the plaintiff's son and husband, as well as by a fourth witness not interested in the case. No interest was ever paid to the plaintiff by K. himself, although he lived for two years after the purchase of the note; but after K's death his son recognised some claim in the plaintiff TRUST.-Creation of trust-continued.

to the income of the sum of R5.000 set apart by K. and he paid her sums, equivalent to the proceeds of the note, with more or less regularity down to the date of his death. The note itself, however, he sold without communicating with the plaintiff, and appropriated to himself the sum realised by the sale, although he continued the account of interest on the note, and even headed that account in H.'s name. Later still, after the death of K.'s son, his grandsous, the defendants, made similar payments for some time, but irregularly, and finally they refused to pay anything further. The plaintiff sued for the note or its value, and for arrears of interest accrned due thereon, asserting that the evidence established a declaration of trust in respect of the note. Held that the evidence was insufficient to establish a valid declaration of trust, for while K.'s books of account might very well be held to corroborate the testimony of a trust which was itself of a satisfactory description, they were insufficient of themselves to establish such a trust; while the oral testimony-which, if taken together and accepted as reliable, might well suffice to establish the acknowledgment of a trustcontained such discrepancies and was so generally misty and uncertain in character that it ought not to be accepted unless corroborated by undisputed facts in the case incapable of being explained except on the hypothesis advanced by the plaintiff. Per SARGENT, C. J.—The equitable doctrine of the transfer of ownership by acknowledgment of trust, when it is sought to establish it by oral evidence, requires to be applied in this country with the greatest caution; and we cannot doubt that to allow an acknowledgment of trust to be established by the evidence of interested parties, speaking as to conversations which took place seventeen years ago without the corroboration derived from other evidence pointing irresistibly in the same direction, would be to introduce a most dangerous mode of appreciating evidence in this country, and would offer a direct enconragement to perjury. The suit dismissed, but without costs, K.'s intention that H. should have the benefit of the R5,000-to which, however, he had failed to give effect—being clear. Hirbai v. Jan Mahomed Khalakdina . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229

- Gift .-- Requisites to complete gift .- Donor constituting himself trustee for donee. - Enforcement of trust by representative of donee .- Trustee, Liability of .- The plaintiffs M. and R. were Parsis, and were married in the year 1851. The defendant was the widow of B. M., who was the father of the plaintiff R. The plaintiffs sued to recover from the defendant certain Government promissory notes which they alleged had been presented by B. to M. at her marriage for her sole and separate use. They alleged that the said notes, then of the nominal value of R1,500, were endorsed in the name of the said B., and had been deposited by him for safe custody with M.'s grandfather J. : that the said B. during his life used from time to time to receive the said notes from J. and draw the interest thereon for M.; that B. died in 1864, and that after his death the defendant, who was his widow and executrix, used to draw the interest for M.; that in 1869 she obtained possession of the said notes, and had

TRUST.-Creation of trust-continued.

ever-since continued in possession thereof, informing the plaintiffs that she was duly keeping them and collecting the interest for M.; that the plaintiffs had been living with the defendant until shortly before the present suit, and having then separated from her, had called upon her to hand over the notes and the accumulated interest, which she refused to do. The defendant denied that her husband B. had ever presented M. with Government notes for her separate use. She alleged that the notes which had been deposited by B. with J. were her own separate property, and not M.'s; that she and her husband had dealt from time to time with them, and that no interest was ever paid to the plaintiffs, or either of them, or for their benefit. She further stated that some of the notes which had been deposited with J. had been disposed of by B. in his lifetime with her consent; that in 1869 she obtained the remaining notes from J, and sold them, and applied the proceeds to her own benefit. At the hearing it was proved that, on the occasion of the plaintiff's marriage, presents were made to M. both by her own family and by that of the bridegroom R. Two accounts were then opened in the books of the firm of J. N. & Co., of which M.'s grandfather J. was a partner, one of which showed her acquisitions from her own family, and the other her acquisitions from the family of her husband. The latter account contained an entry (under date August 1854) to the effect that B., the father-in-law of M., had bought two Government notes for R1,500 in M.'s name, and had obtained the interest on them, which was duly credited to her. Other documents were produced, proved to be in the handwriting of B. and J., in which the said Government notes were alluded to as the property of M., and as having been purchased with her moneys. In 1864 B. died without having endorsed the notes over to M. or to any one in her behalf, and they remained in his name in the hands of J. until 1869, when the defendant got possession of them. Held that B, was liable to answer for the notes as a trustee, and after B. the defendant as his executrix and representative. In the documents put in evidence, B. alluded to the notes as M.'s property. His placing them, as he did, with M.'s grandfather, was itself an acknowledgment, according to the practice of the class to which he belonged, that the benefit was to be hers and her children's. thus sufficiently admitted an obligation as trustee. The legal ownership was his, but he had acknowledged with sufficient elearness an obligation to hold and use the ownership for the benefit of another, Such a purpose clearly manifested constitutes a trust, Shea a purpose creary mannesses constrained and burdened with a trust the property passed from B. to the defendant as his representative, and could be enforced against her. Held, further, that having regard to the general practice among Parsis, the conduct of B. in relation to the notes showed that it was his intention that the property should be enjoyed in sole and separate use by M. and her children. MERBAI v. PEROZEBAI

I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268

5. ——Suit for declaration of trust.

—Possession of trust property.—Breach of duty.—In a suit for declaration of trust, with reference to

TRUST.—Suit for declaration of trustcontinued.

lands, it must be shown that the party against whom the trust is prayed must have obtained a more or less rightful possession of the lands, but impressed with the obligation of a trust; that in a suit such as last mentioned, it must be shown that some duty prind farriefell on the defendants, of which they were committing a breach. MUZHUR HOSSAIN n. DINOUNDO SEN .

BOUTHS, O. C., S. C. COR, 34.

- Recognition of trust .- Deed of gift, Validity of .- Oudh Estates Act (I of 1869), s. 8 .- A talookdar, deceased before annexation, had provided by will for the succession of his five widows, one at a time, to his estate, with remainder to a son of his nephew. Settlement was made with the senior widow after the Mutiny, a sanad granted to her as talookdar, with full power of alienation, and her name was afterwards entered in the lists prepared under section 8 of the Oudh Estates Act, 1869. But certain of her acts were not explicable except on the understanding that she was abiding by the will. Held, in a suit by the widow next in order, that such senior widow had undertaken the trust of carrying out the provisions of the will, and that a deed of gift made by her transferred only her interest, which was an estate for life. RAMANUND KUAR v. RAGHUNATH KUAR. ANUNT BAHADUR SINGH v. RAGHUNATH KUAR

[I.L. R., 8 Calc., 769: 11 C. L. R., 149 L. R., 9 I. A., 41

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 295

8. Suit to enforce trust.—Suit for enforcing religious or charitable trust.—Eight of suit.—Pileading.—Security for costs.—The representatives of a testator, who has created trusts for religious or charitable purposes, in which the representatives are not personally interested, may institute proceedings to have abuses in the trust rectified, there being no officer in this country who has such power of enforcing the due administration of religious or charitable trusts by information at the relation of some private individual, as is possessed by the Attorney General in England. A suit for this purpose should not be admitted unless the plaintiff gives sufficient security for costs. In order that a decree for an account may be made in favour of the plaintiff in such a suit, he must allege substantially in his plaint that which must be a distinct breach of trust;

TRUST,-Suit to enforce trust-continued.

it is not sufficient for him to make out a case of mere suspicion, or to rely on particular passages in the defendant's written statement. BROJOMORUM DOSS v. HURROZOLL DOSS I. I. R., 5 Calc., 700

9. Transfer of property on trust.—Transfer of property by convict sentenced to transportation.—B having been sentenced to transportation.—B having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zeuindari estate, that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his mane might be removed from the revenue registers and that of P. be recorded in its stead. Held that the transfer of the property by B. to P. was in the nature of a trust. Durge Pressed v. Asa Rem, L. R., 241k, 361, referred to HATT RAM v. DURGA PRABAD.

1. I. R., 5 AM, 609

10. — Property held on trust.—
Assignment by trustees.—Limitation.—In 1870 the purchasers and recorded proprietors of a four biswas share of a certain village caused a statement to be recorded in the village record of rights to the effect recorded in the vinings record of rights to the cheese that B. claimed to be the proprietor of a moiety of such share, and that they were willing to admit his right whenever he paid them a moiety of the sum which they had paid in respect of the arrears of re-venue due on such share. In 1843 M. purchased such share and became its recorded proprietor. In 1877 K., the son of B., sued the representative of M., for possession of a moiety of such share, alleging, with reference to the statement recorded in the record of rights, that such moiety had vested in M.'s assignors in trust to surrender it to B. or his heirs on payment of a moiety of the sum they had paid on account of revenue, and paying into Court a moiety of such sum. Held that that statement could not be regarded as evidence of the alleged trust, and that, assuming that the alleged trust existed, the suit was barred by limitation, M. having purchased without notice of the trust and for valuable consideration. KAMAL SINGH v. BATUL FATIMA . I. L. R., 2 All, 460

11. Holder of missing person's estate.—Possession.—The possession by the wildow or some other member of the family, of a missing person's estate may, in the absence of an indication of its being adverse, be considered to be that of a trustee until the expiry of the term fixed for his return. NARAIN SAIM I - Pôsoo . 2 Agra, 78

12. — Absconding share-holder—Custom for his share to be considered as held in trust for a certain time.—Buildene to reclaim share.—The plaintiffs sued to recover a share in a village on the allegation that it had been taken by the other shareholders of the village in trust for their father, according to custom, on his absconding from the village by reason of his inability to pay his quotum of Government revenue. The only evidence of the custom was a provision in a wajih-ul-urz that the share of a person who absconded should be held in

TRUST.—Property held on trust—continued. trust for him for twelve years only. Held that, as the father of the plaintiffs did not reclaim his share within twelve years, the plaintiffs' right was forfeited. NAHANA 2. DYA RAM . . . 5 N. W., 170

18.— We jib...lwx.—Absconding co-sharer.—Where a clause of the wajib...lwx. of a village stated in general terms that absconders from such village should receive back their property on their return, and certain persons who absconded from such village before such wazb...lwx was framed sued to enforce such clause against the purchasor of their property from the co-shurer who had taken possession of it on their absconding, and who was no party to such wajib...lwx, alleging that their property had vested in such co-sharer in trust for them.—Held that before such co-sharer in trust for them.—Held that before such co-sharer in trust for them.—Held that before such co-sharer could be taken to have held their property as a trusteg, there must be evidence that he accepted such trust, and this fact could not be taken as proved by the wajib.ularx. Planex Lat. P. SALIGA.

[I. L. R., 2 All., 394

- Wajib-ul-urz,-Absent shareholders .- Held that a village administration-paper which provides for the surrender to absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them does not necessarily constitute a valid trust in their favour, although it may be evidence of such a trust. Where a village administration-paper provided for the surrender to certain absent shareholders on their return to the village of the lands formerly held by them, but did not contain any declaration of a trust as existing between such absent shareholders and the occupiers of their lands at the time such administration-paper was framed,-Held that the administration-paper could not be regarded as evidence of a pre-existing trust between such persons, nor as an admission of such a trust by such occupiers. HARBHAI v. GUMANI [I. L. R., 2 All., 498

- Absent co-sharer. -Wajib-ul-urz .- S. and his brother owned an 8 annas share of a village, and H. and D. owned the other 8 annas share, the parties being related to each other by blood. In 1865 (Sambat 1921), at the settlement of the village, the following statement was recorded by the settlement officer in the wajib-ul-urz at the instance of H. and D., with whom the settlement was made, S. and his brother being absent from the village and having been absent for some ten years: "We, H. and D., are equal sharers of one 8 annas and S. and (his brother) of the other 8 annas in the village according to descent; ten years ago S. and (his brother) went away into Orai; their present residence is not known: they have not left woman, child, or heir of any kind in the village : on that account the entire 16 annas of the village are in possession of us, H. and D. At the time of the preparation of the khewat we made a gift of 4 aunas of our own eight annas to P., and have given him possession of 4 annas of the 8 annas belonging to S. and (his brother), keeping the remaining four aunas in our own possession : when S. and

TRUST .- Property held on trust-continued.

(his brother) return to the village, we three who are in possession shall give up the 8 annas share of the aforesaid persons." In March 1880 S. sued P. for possession of the 4 annas mentioned in the wajibul-urz as having been made over to him by H. and D. out of the 8 annas share belonging to S. and his brother. He based his suit noon the wajib-ul-urz but did not expressly state that the share in suit had been entrusted to H, and D, on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it. The lower Appellate Court dismissed the suit as barred by limitation, on the ground that P.'s possession of the share in suit became adverse in 1866 or 1867, more than twelve years before the institution of the suit, when S., having returned to the village, had claimed the share and P. had refused to surrender it. On second appeal it was contended by S. that under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz P.'s possession was that of a trustee, and his possession could not be held to be adverse. Per SPANKIS, J .- That inasmuch as there was no direct evidence that the share in suit had been entrusted by S. to H. and D. on the understanding that it should be returned to him when he reclaimed it, and as such a trust could not be implied from the terms of the wajib-ul-urz, which amounted to nothing more than an acknowledgment of S,'s title and an offer to surrender possession when he returned, and as when he did return in 1866 or 1867 P. refused to surrender possession, S. was bound to have sued to recover the share in suit within twelve years from the date of such refusal, and as he had failed to do so, the suit was barred by limitation. Per Pearson, J .- That although no mention was made in the wajib-ul-urz of such a trust as was contended for, yet the terms of that document strongly suggested the creation of such a trust. Having regard to the terms of the Wajib-ul-urz, and to the fact that S. and his brother were not strangers to H. and D., nor merely co-sharers, but near blood-relations, probably residing together on the same premises and partners in agricultural labours, further inquiry should be made with the view of clucidating the nature of the acquisition of H. and D. of the share and of their subsequent possession. SIRDAR SAINEY v. PIRAN SINGH . I. L. R., 3 All., 453

16. — Retirement and disability of trustees.—Effect of, on trust.—Where property is assigned to trustees by an insolvent trader for the purpose of having it equally distributed among his creditors, such a trust does not become inoperative by reason of the retirement of two out of three trustees, and of the inability of the third to discharge his duties properly.

BAUMGARINER T. STEPHENSON
[3 AGTR, 321]

17. Creditor's trust-fund.—Unclaimed dividends, Suit for distribution of.—Where a creditor's trust-deed contained no provision for redistribution of unclaimed dividends, and a suit was brought by the representatives of one of the creditors, party to the deed, for the administration and distribution of funds in the defendant's possession allotted to other creditors by way of dividends, but

TRUST.—Creditor's trust-fund—continued. unclaimed by them for forty years,—Held that the plaintiff was not entitled to such relief. Wilde v. Banning, L. R., 2 Eq., 577, distinguished. MANIOKA-VELU MUDALU. A LERUPHNOT & Co.

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404

18. Resulting trust—Intention of party.—Implied trust, Presumption of.—Suit brought to recover possession of a talook, upon the alleged ground that the moneys with which the purclasse was made were not the moneys of the person in whose manne the property was bought, but of a lady with whom he was living as her husband, and that there was a resulting trust in her favour. The Privy Council considered that the very principle of a resulting trust was that the property had been purchased with money belonging to another, with an implied trust that it should belong to that other person to whom the money belonged that there should be no such trust, no such implied trust could arise by implication, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Anternoonness Kranyum & Assignment St. Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Anternoonness Kranyum & Assignment St. Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Anternoonness Kranyum & Assignment St. Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Alternoonness Kranyum & Assignment St. Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Alternoonness Kranyum & Assignment St. Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Alternoonness Kranyum & Assignment St. Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Alternoonness & Kranyum & Assignment & Assignment & Man, and the presumption would then be met by the facts. Alternoonness & Kranyum & Assignment & Man, and the presumption would be a supplied to the presumption

StatuteFrauds .- Stat. 29 Charles II., c. 3 .- The plaintiff, who was the widow of G., sued the defendant, the executrix of J., to recover a sum of R7,894-9-6, part of the purchase-money of a house which had been sold by J. in his lifetime, and which the plaintiff alleged had been, shortly before his death, conveyed by her husband G, to J. in trust to sell and hold the proceeds in trust for G.'s family. The defendant denied the trust and insisted that J. had purchased the house from G. for valuable consideration. Both J. and G. were Parsis. Held that, even assuming that no consideration was given by J. to G. for the house, the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed. In the absence of consideration, the trust of the house, which was admittedly conveyed by G. to J., would have resulted to G., unless, under the provisions of section 7 of the Statute of Frauds (29 Charles II., Cap. 3), he (G.) had declared in writing some other trust which was to supersede the resulting trust in his own favour. No such declaration of trust in writing was proved. If, on the other hand, the trust did result to G., he, no doubt, might, as equitable owner of the house, have disposed of his interest by will. If he did so, the plaintiff had not qualified herself to sue as his representative. Probate had not been obtained of the will, and until the will was proved it could not be said that G. had made a particular declaration of trust by it. Nor without probate could the plaintiff take up the position of legal representative of her deceased husband entitled to enforce his rights, and, amongst others, his rights under the supposed resulting trust. Except as executrix or as administratrix the plaintiff could not recover property, or enforce rights equitably vested in her deceased husband. Bai Mansok-Bai v. Bai Merbai . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363 TRUST-continued.

- Breach of trust.-Parties.-Defaulting trustees .- Breach of trust beneficial to trust-estate .- The Court will not, at the instance of one of two defaulting trustees, declare the liabilities arising from a breach of trust without having all the parties concerned before it. Nor will the Court pass an order which might in any way tend to be con-strued as an assent to a breach of trust already committed, even though the breach may have been beneficial to the trust-estate. BARRY v. STEEL

[1 C. L. R., 80

 Revocation of trust.—Volun tary settlement .- A., being at the time unmarried, executed a voluntary settlement by which he created trusts for himself for life, and after his death for his issue and widows (if any), with ultimate trusts over. The deed contained a provision empowering A, at any time, with the consent of the trustee, to revoke the trusts, and to declare any new or other trusts. A. subsequently married, and, after his marriage, executed a deed of revocation, declaring that the trustproperty should be held for himself absolutely. The trustees refused to hand over the trust-property, and A. therenpon instituted a suit to have the trust set aside. His wife was a minor, and there was no issue of the marriage. Held that, although there might be cases in which, where no other person but the settler was interested, the deed might be regarded as a mere direction as to the manner in which the settlor's property should be applied for his benefit, and as such revocable by the settlor, yet that, in the present case, there being an infant beneficiary, the deed could not be revoked. GOLAM YASSIN v. OFFICIAL TRUSTEE OF BENGAL

[I. L. R., 8 Cale., 887

TRUST-DEED FOR BENEFIT OF CRE-DITORS.

See BILL OF EXCHANGE.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 174 See DEBTOR AND CREDITOR.

[11 Moore's I. A., 317 3 Agra, 104, 321 8 Bom., A. C., 245 1 Bom., 233 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 101

TRUST-PROPERTY.

See COURT FEES ACT, SCH. I, ART. 11. [6 B. L. R., Ap., 138 11 B. L. R., Ap., 39 7 B. L. R., 57 14 B. L. R., 184

TRUSTEE

See COSTS-SPECIAL CASES-TRUSTERS. [13 B. L. R., 383

I. L. R., 11 Calc., 628 See EXECUTOR . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388 See HINDU LAW-ENDOWMENT-CREA-TION OF ENDOWMENT.

[14 B. L. R., 175 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 169 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56 TRUSTEE-continued.

See HINDU LAW-ENDOWMENT-SUCCES-SION IN MANAGEMENT.

5 B. L. R., 181 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 499

See HINDU LAW-ENDOWMENT-TRANS-FER OF RIGHT OF WORSHIP. [3 C. L. R., 112

See Insolvent Act, s. 40. [I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 10 (1871, s. 10; 1859, s. 2).

See MALABAR LAW-JOINT FAMILY.

[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 153

See OUDH ESTATES ACT. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522, 645

See Parties-Parties to Suits-Debtor AND CREDITOR, SUITS BETWEEN-[I. L. R., 3 All., 799

See CASES UNDER TRUST.

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER-VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF-[7 B. L. R., 113

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION. [4 B. L. R., O. C., 53 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228

- Constructive-

See INSOLVENCY-ORDER AND DISPOSI-. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542 TION .

Claim to immoveable property against-

See CERTIFICATE OF ADMINISTRATION-RIGHT TO SUE OR EXECUTE DECREE WITHOUT CERTIFICATE.

[I. L., R., 2 Calc., 45 See WILL-CONSTRUCTION. II. L. R., 2 Calc., 45

Distinction between trustee and director.

COMPANY-POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES OF DIRECTORS. [6 B. L. R., 278

of temple, Breach of trust by-See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT.

-GENERAL JURISDICTION. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 55

Suit by—

See HINDU LAW-WILL-CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS-SPECIAL CASES OF CON-STRUCTION-VESTED AND CONTINGENT . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

Relinquishment by one trustee.-Effect of relinquishment.-In a contest between three trustees or managers of an endowment, TRUSTEE.—Relinquishment by one trustee—continued.

each entitled to a third share in the profits of the property, if one of them withdraws from the contest, his share is held to have been relinquished in favour of the remaining partners, and to have merged in the general account to be rendered by the trustees or managers. BUZL RUMIN #. LUTARUT HOSSEN. KHODEJOONNISSA BIBES F. LUTARUT HOSSEN W. R., 1864, 171.

2. Breach of trustees' duty.—
Mixing trust funds with money of trustees.—Commission on trust moneys.—It is a grave breach of
duty in trustees, or administrators taking out letters
of administrators taking out letters
of administration, to estates in this country under
powers of attorney from excentors or next of kin
abroad, to mix the incomes raised by them from
trust properties, or the funds of the estate, in one
common fund with their own moneys, and such a
course of dealing may expose the trustees or administrators to criminal as well as civil liabilities. In the
MATTER OF THE PETTION OF COWE

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 70: 7 C. L. R., 19

 Appointment of new trus-5. Appointment of new trus-tees.—Probate.—Executors.—Executors alienating property of their testator's estate before obtaining probate.—Title of aliences to such property.—Right of holder of property to vote at election of trustee before obtaining probate. - Trustee elected by debenture-Josephan Meeting of debenture-holders to elect a trustee.—Exclusion from meeting of holders of debentures obtained from executors before probate.— Validity of election of trustee elected at meeting from which such debenture-holders were excluded.— In order to secure certain money which it had borrowed by the issue of debentures, the D. Company on the 23rd November 1883 conveyed certain lands, &c., to three trustees, K., G., and D., by way of mortgage. With regard to the appointment of new trustees in case any trustee should die, &c., the indenture of mortgage provided that, in certain events, the surviving or continuing trustees might convene a meeting of the debenture holders for the purpose of nominating a new trustee; and that at such meeting the election of such new trustee should be decided by a majority of votes of the debenture-holders present in person, each party having only one vote, and in case of an equality of votes, then the chairman of the meeting should have a casting vote. K., one of the trustees appointed under the deed, died on the 9th February 1886, leaving a will whereby he appointed three executors. At the time of his death K. was the holder of one moiety of the debentures, viz., 1,400 debentures of the value of R7,00,000. The two remaining trustees, G. and D., called a meeting of the debenture-holders for the 27th February 1886 to elect a trustee. Previously to the meeting and for the purpose of having the large interests of K's estate adequately represented, the executors of K. distributed some of the debentures in their hands, belonging to K.'s estate, among nominees for the purpose of voting at the meeting; and they also sold some of the debentures. Among the persons to whom debentures were sold were the first three plaintiffs. Pursuaut TRUSTEE.—Appointment of new trustees —continued.

to the notice convening the meeting, the plaintiffs and other persons to whom debentures belonging to the estate of K. had been given or sold, presented themselves and claimed to attend the meeting; but none of them, except the three executors (plaintiffs 4, 5, and 6) of K. were allowed to attend, and they were admitted only in their capacity as executors. Defendant No. 1 was chairman of the meeting, and he ruled that the three executors had a joint right, in their capacity as executors, to give one vote upon any proposition that might be submitted to the meeting. At the meeting it was proposed that the holders of the debentures, who claimed admission to the meeting, should be permitted to attend. The chairman ruled the motion irrelevant, and would not allow it to be put. The executors, therefore, withdrew from the meeting. After they had withdrawn, the third defendant, P., was elected a trustee. At the date of the meeting the executors had not obtained probate of K.'s will. On behalf of the defendants it was contended that P.'s election was valid; and that the persons to whom the executors had given or sold debentures belonging to K.'s estate had been properly excluded from the meeting of the 27th February, inasmuch as the executors had not at that time obtained probate, and, consequently, the title of their aliences to the debentures was still incomplete. Held that P. (defendant No. 3), had not been validly appointed a trustee to the indenture of the 23rd November 1883. Under that indenture, debenture-holders had the right to vote; and the debentures were payable to bearer. The fact that the executors had not at the date of the meeting obtained probate did not affect the rights of those to whom they had given or sold debentures, and such persons had, consequently, been improperly excluded from the meeting. Ma-THURADAS LOWJI v. GOCULDAS MADHOWJI

[L. L. R., 10 Bom., 468

4. Breach of trust.—Liability by passive trusts.—A trustee who, having accepted a trust, remains passive and takes no steps to see the trust carried into exception, is liable for losses arising from the breach of trust of his co-trustee. BAI JADAY v. TRIBHUYANDAS JAGSIYANDAS [BOM], 833 [BOM], 833

5.— Fiduciary relationship.—Acsignment by married woman.—L. M. died in 1856, having bequeathed certain personal property to J. S., who then and at the time of the subsequently-mentioned suit was a married woman, and who executed a power of attorney, atthorising O. G. § Co. to receive payment of the legacy, and to execute a settlement of a portion of the same according to articles contained in the power. This estiment was made, and under it a portion of the legacy was assigned to tractes, who did not execute the deed or undertake the trust, and no other trustee was substituted for them. O. G. § Co. at various times advanced money to J. S., and in acknowledgment received promisery notes from her for a portion of such advances; and in a suit by O. G. § Co. to recover the amount of these advances, it was held that

TRUSTEE .- Fiduciary relationship-conti-

O. G. & Co., standing in a fiduciary relation to J. S., before they could avail themselves of her acts, must show that she did them with a full knowledge of the circumstances of the case and of her own position with regard to it.

SMITH J. STEWART
[BOURE, O. C., 292

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.

1.— Cause of action.—Adverse possion.—Limitation.—When property is placed in the hands of another by way of trnst, no cause of action arises to the owner mult there has been a demand by the owner for the restoration of the property and a refusal by the trustee to give up the property. The period of limitation begins to run from the date of such refusal or distinct assertion of adverse right, and not from the date the trustee enters into possession. Rafialdas Madak v. Madhus Sudhan Madak v. Madhus Sudhan Madak v. Madhus Sudhan Madak v. Madhus Sudhan Madak v. Madhus v. Madhus Madak v. Madhus v. Madak v. Madak

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 409: 12 W. R., 319

 Suit to set aside alienations by trustee .- Bona fide purchasers .- A sait brought by a cestui que trust to set aside as fraudulent certain alienations made by the trustee was dismissed by the lower Appellate Court as barred by limitation, merely on the ground that more than twelve years had, at the commencement of the suit, elapsed since the execution of such deeds of alienation. Held (1) that this was not sufficient, and that the Court should have tried whether the purchasers were cognisant at the time of their purchase of a subsisting trust affecting the property, for if so they would have taken it subject to the trust, and would stand in the shoes of the original trustee, and would not be bond fide purchasers from trustees entitled to the benefit of the law of limitation; (2) that if the trustee had power to make valid grants, the grantees would have a perfectly good title, if they took for valuable consideration, without notice of the trust. LUTEEFUN v. Bego Jan. Bego Jan v. Chebag Ali 15 W. R., 120

- Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards,-Cause of action .- Fiduciary relationship. -Plaintiff, the zemindar of Shivaganga, sued to recover two villages which she alleged formed part of the Shivaganga zemindari. The villages originally belonged to P., mother of the present defendant, B., the ex-zemindar of Shivaganga. In 1856 they were purchased by the Court of Wards on behalf of B., who was then a minor, with part of the rents and profits of the zemindari, and in 1860 were given by him to his mother. In 1864 B. was onsted by a decree of the Privy Council, and became liable to the present plaintiff for the mesne profits of the zemindari. In the account taken of messe profits of the semmi-dari. In the account taken of messe profits due, the amount expended on the purchase of these villages was excluded by plaintiff's consent from the sum debited to the ex-zemindar. Plaintiff now sned P., and, she dying, the suit was continued against B. as her representative. Held that there being in the decree of the Privy Council nothing directly giving a

TRUSTEE AND CESTUI QUE TRUST.— Suit for mesne profits where estates had been under care of Court of Wards—continued.

right to maintain the suit, there was but one ground upon which the suit could be supposed to lie,—namely, the existence of the relation of trustee and beneficiary between the Collector and the plaintiff at the time of the purchase. Held, also, that such relation did not exist. Kattama Nachlar v. Bothagurasant Teyam.

TRUSTEE ACT.

(XXIV of 1841).—Application for a company in 1845, and the partnership was to last twenty years, which expired on December 31st, 1884. The shareholders thereupon appointed So, a new trustee, to sell the business, and he sold it to R. The old trustees had left the country. In an application, with the consent of all parties, under Act XXIV of 1841, that S. might sign the deed of transfer, the Court held that it was necessary to show that the old trustees had no lien on any other property in the concern before the order asked for could be made. IN THE MATTER OF FORT GIOTOGENER MILLES CO.

Bourke, O. C., 2800

 (XXVII of 1866), s. 3.—Hindu trusts.—Equitable jurisdiction of High Court.—Appointment of new trustee.—Supreme Court Charter, 1823.—The High Court may exercise the summary powers conferred upon it by the Trustee Act (XXVII of 1866) in the case of Hindu trusts. Section 3 of the Trastee Act, which provides that the power and authority given by the Act to the High Court shall be exercised only "in cases to which English law is applicable," cannot be intended to limit the operation of the Act only to cases to which, in their whole extent, the law prevailing in England applies without qualification or reserve, as this would virtually exclude the Act in any case on which an Act of the Indian Legislature has any bearing. The cases referred to in the section must be cases to which English law is in some measure applicable, but in what measure is not indicated in the Act. English law must be regarded as applicable in the sense intended if the principles recognised by the English Equity Courts are applicable. At the date of the grant of the Charter to the Supreme Court of Bombay in the year 1823, English equity had become a system which would deal with a body of quasi-common law in a scientific manner and in obedience to known and uniform rules. When it applied its method to the determination or the constitution of a right, even based on the Hindu or Mahomedan law, it administered English law. In this sense "English law was applicable" at the date of the passing of the Trustee Act of 1866 to all cases in which peculiarly equitable doctrines had obtained recognition in the relations between the native inhabitants of Bombay. Those doctrines could not be employed to subvert the native substantive laws, but they afforded a means of ameliorating them by a system

TRUSTEE ACT (XXVII of 1866, s. 3-

of rules borrowed from the English Court of Equity. Trusts are recognised in the Hindu as well as in the English system of law. But while the substantive Hindu law insists strongly on the suppression of frand and the fulfilment of promises, it fails to furnish the detailed rules by which effect is to be given to its principles in cases of trust. If the Court is called on to give effect to a trust in any given case, it looks to the Hindu law of property to determine the estate of the trustee, but with reference to the duties of trustee and the rights of beneficiaries it is governed by the rules of English equity. There are no others that it can apply. In meeting an exigency, or in taking cognisisence of a form of right not directly provided for in the Shastras, the Court, in exercising its jurisdiction under section 44 of the Chatter of 1828, may apply Hindu law. But, taking Hindu law as one of its data, it applies "English law" also in the form of equity to all or nearly alt the questions that arise. In RE Kahandas Marrasolas . I. I. E., 5 Bom., 154

person to convey property on behalf of persons out of the jurisdiction and under other disabilities.

—Where property has been, by an order of Court, directed to be sold, and where some of the parties interested in such property are either out of the jurisdiction, nurried women, or minors, and the place of abode of others of them is unknown, the Court will, on petition, under the Trustee Act, appoint a person to convey the interest of such persons to any purchaser, notwithstanding that, at the time the order is applied for, no contract for the sale of the property has been entered into. But the Court cannot make such an order with respect to the interest of a party who has not been served, and who has not entered uppearance. Lackersters v. Rostan

s. 35.—Application for removal of trustae.—Ground for removal.—Stat. 13 § 14 Fiot., c. 30, s. 32.—Where a petition was presented to the High Court praying for the removal, under section 35, act XXVII of 1866, of certain trustees of a will, on the grounds, inter alia, of misappropriation, waste, and breach of trusts, and for the appointment of new ones.—Held that the matters alleged were much too grave to be disposed of on a mere application, and that as the respondents opposed the appointment of new trustees, the petitioners should institute a regular suit. Act XXVII of 1866, section 35, is analogous to 13 and 14 Victoria, Cap. 60, section 32. The Courts in this country ought, in analogy to the rulings of the English Court of Chancery, to refuse jurisdiction under this section on a mere application alleging misconduct or any other cause, when the trustees whom it is sought to remove are willing to act, and refer the applicant to a suit. IN TIE GOODS OF POWELI.

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT.

(XXVIII of 1866), s. 43.—
Administrator General.—Taking opinion of Court
on question respecting the administration.—Question

TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGEES ACT (XXVIII of 1866), s. 43-continued.

affecting rights of parties inter se. — Refusal of Court to express opinion. — The Administrator General of Bombay having taken out letters of administration (having effect throughout the Bombay Presidency) to the estate of one A. B., deceased, and having a balance in his hands to the credit of the said estate after having fully administered the same, was applied to by G. B., the brother of the said A. B., deceased. who had taken out letters of administration in England to the estate of his deceased brother, to hand over to him, the said G. B., the balance in question, -the said G. B. claiming to be the administrator of the domicile of the deceased, and, as such, to be entitled to all the personal assets of his estate wheresoever situate. Being in doubt as to whether he might safely accede to the request, the Administrator General of Bombay, by petition under section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, submitted the question to the High Court for its opinion. advice, and direction. Held that the question being one of considerable difficulty and importance, and involving, moreover, in its decision questions which might seriously affect the rights of parties inter se, it was not a question such as was contemplated by section 43 of the Trustees and Mortgagees Act, XXVIII of 1866, nor one upon which the Court ought to give any opinion merely on an ex parts potition of this character. IN RE THE GOODS OF BRERETON. IN THE MATTER OF THE TRUSTEES AND MORTGAGES ACT, 1866 . I. L. R., 7 Born., 381

TURN OF WORSHIP OF IDOL, RIGHT

ττ

UMPIRE, INCAPACITY TO ACT AS—
See Arbitration—Appointment of Arbitrators and Umpires.
[4 B. L. R., O. C., S9

UNCHASTITY.

See HINDU LAW-MAINTENANCE-RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE-WIDOW.

[12 B. L. R., 238 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108

See HINDU LAW—STRIDHAN—EFFECT OF UNCHASTITY I. L. R., 1 All., 46
See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW—WIDOW
DISOGALIFICATIONS—UNCHASTITY.

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE.

See ARREST-CIVIL ARREST.
[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78

UNDERTAKING NOT TO SUE—continued.

See Warrant of Arrest—Criminal
Cases . 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17

UNDER-TENURE.

See JURISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—
REVENUE COURTS—ORDERS OF REVENUE
COURTS B. L. R., SUD, VOL, 625

See Cases under Sale for Arehars of
RETT—PORTION OF UNDER-TENUE,
SALE OF—

See Cases under Sale for Arreads of Rent-Sale of Under-tenure.

----- Avoidance of-

See Cases under Sale for Arrears of Rent-Incumbrances.

--- Suit to cancel-

See Limitation Act, 1877, abt. 121 (1871, art. 119) . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 860

UNDERWRITER, LIABILITY OF-

See Insurance—Marine Insurance. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 550 12 Bom., 23

UNDUE INFLUENCE,

See CHAMPERTY 13 B. L. R., 509
See VENDOR AND PURCHASER—INVALID
SALES 1 B. L. R., A. C., 95
[Cor., 57
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450

See WILL-VALIDITY OF WILL.
[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515

Onus of proof.—Third party not in confidential relationship.—A third person who, stands in no confidential relation to a grantor who is under age is not bound in the first instance to show that undue influence was used in a transaction. The subject of undue influence considered. RAJ COMAR ROY S. ALEWIZUDIN ARMED 8. C. L. R., 419

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.

1.—Penal Code, s. 141.—Rioting.— Assembly originally lawful.—An assembly, lawful in its inception, may become unhawful by its acts. If force is used, the higher offence of rioting is committed. Quent n. KIRMES SINGH.

[1 W. R., Cr., 19

2. Common object.—In order to convict of the offence of being members of an unlawful assembly, it must be shown that the accused were actuated by a common object, and that the acts done by them were of such a nature as to make them guilty under section 141 of the Penal Code. QUERN v. DINOSUMDO RAI

[9 W. R., Cr., 19
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF KOYLASH
CHUNDER DASS . 20 W. R., Cr., 78

3. and s. 154. - Owner of land on which unlawful assembly is held. - Com-

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY. - Pension Code, s, 141 and s. 154-continued.

mon object.—Held that the owner or occupier of land on which an unlawful assembly is held cannot be convicted under section 154 of the Penal Code, unless there is a finding that the riot was premeditated. Where two opposite factions commit a riot, it is irregular to treat both parties as constituting one unlawful assembly, and to try them together, insamuch as they do not have "one common object" within the meaning of section 141 of the Penal Code, QUEEN v. STREDOC CRUNDER PAUL

[12 W. R., Cr., 75

4. Affray and unlawful assembly.—There is no ground for the distinction between an unlawful assembly as a premeditated act and an affray as a sudden one; for according to section 141 of the Ponal Code, an assembly which was not unlawful when it assembled may subsequently become an unlawful assembly. IN THE MATTER OF THE PERTITION OF LOEENATH KAR

[18 W. R., Cr., 2

rights.—Intention of parties.—No charge of being members of an unlawful assembly under section 141, Penal Code, can be sustained, where the intention of the parties was not to enforce a right or supposed right, but to maintain undisturbed the actual subsisting enjoyment of, a right which was at that time being exercised. Shunker Singer b. Burmain Mairo [23 W. R., Cr., 26]

6. Person joining it and staying to prevent mischief to property.—It cannot be said that a person intentionally joins an unlawful assembly or continues in it, when it appears from the evidence that he went to the place where the members of the unlawful assembly were gathered, to prevent mischief being done to his own property which he had a right to protect. Birzoo Sirser e. KYUD LALL. 19 W. R., Cx., 63

7. ____Ryots carrying away or opp...Where the defendants, ryots of a portion of a zemindari sold in execution of a decree of the Civil Court, reaped and carried away their crops despite the purchaser's people to seal and mark grain which had been reaped, and the ryots were assembled in such numbers and so armed that nothing could be done against them...—Held by the High Court that the acts of the defendants did not amount to an offence under section 141 of the Penal Code. ANONYMOUS 4 MAG. AD. 65

8. — Ol. 4.—Interrupting procession as a nuisance.—Held that the act of the defendants in assembling and foreibly interrupting a procession was forbidden by clause 4 of section 141 of the Penni Code, although the defendants acted upon the ground that the procession was a nuisance or annoyance to them or their community. ANONX-MOUS.

5 MaCl., Ap., 6

9. s. 143.—Dispute as to possession of land.—Assembly going with armed

UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY.—Pension Code, s. 143—continued.

men to sow land.—On the tral of certain persons charged with being members of an unlawful assembly, it was proved that there was a dispute of long standing between the accused and certain other parties regarding the possession of certain land; that neither of the parties was in undisturbed possession of the land; that the accused went to sow the land with indigo, accompanied by a body of men armed with latties; that they were prepared to use force, if necessary; and that the lattihls kept off the opposite party by brandishing their weapons while the land was sowed. Held that the accused were rightly convicted of being members of an unlawful assembly, under section 143 of the Penal Code. Shauker Singh v. Burmah Haddelo, 23 W. R., Cr., 25, distinguished. In THE MATTER OF PEARY MOHINI SIROAR. PEARY MOHINI SIROAR. PEARY MOHINI SIROAR. PEARY

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639 S. C. In the matter of Peary Mohun Sirkar [13 C. L. R., 80

10. and s. 858.—Using criminal force to public servant in executions of duty.—Resistance to search-warrant.—Where the officer in charge of a police station required the officer in charge of another police station to cause a search to be made in a house within the limits of his station, and such officer, on being required, deputed two officers subordinate to him to make the search without delivering to them the order in writing required by section 379 of Act X of 1872, it was held that the persons resisting the search attempted could not be lawfully convicted under sections 353 and 143 of the Penal Code. Queen v. Naban

- s. 147 .- Rioting .- Abating nuisance .- A., a joint owner of a parcel of land, erected on it an edifice without the consent of B., another joint owner. A dispute arose and the Magistrate on inquiry ordered, under section 530 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, A. to be put in possession of the part of the land on which the edifice had been erected. B. subsequently brought a suit in the Civil Court to establish his title to joint possession of the whole parcel, and for a declaration that A. was not entitled to erect any edifice thereon; and he further prayed that such edifice should be removed. B. obtained a decree, whereupon his servants went on the land and pulled it down. They were charged before the Deputy Magistrate with having commit-ted mischief, and on this convicted and fined. On the 8th October the accused, who, were the servants of B., found the men in the employ of A. were putting up this erection, a nawbat-khana, again, and accordingly protested against its erection, pulled down the bamboos, thrust aside the servants of A., throwing to the ground one man who was clinging to the bamboos. On the 9th October 1877 these servants were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and convicted under section 147, Penal Code. Held, per JACKSON, J., that, as the occused were not on the land in question as members of an unlawful assembly, nor for any unlawful purpose, the UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY. - Pension Code, s. 147—continued.

conviction; as well as the procedure, was illegal. Held per CUNNINGHAM, J., that the accused were merely exercising the remedy of abating a private unisance, and were exercising a legal right of self-defence. EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF DENONATH GINATLACK OF RAJCOOMAN SYNGH

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 C. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF RAJ-

S. C. In the matter of the petition of Rajcoomar Singh . . . 2 C. L. R., 62

12. and s. 105. cl. 4.—Mischief.—Right of defence of property.—Penal Code, s. 105. cl. 4.—Where land in the possession of A. was encroached on by the screams of B., who committed mischief on the land, and the sevents of A. seembled and resisted the encroachments, the High Court declined to interfere with the Magistrate's order convicting the servents of A. of unlawful assembly, as there was error in law in the order of the Magistrate, who found as a fact that the right of defence of private property had ceased under clause 4, section 105 of the Penal Code. QUEKE O. BAJ KINFO DOSS

12 W. R., CT., 43

14. Riot in which men was killed.—Culpable homicide.—In a case of riot in which a man was killed, the whole of the members of the unlawful assembly, as well the victorious as the worsted, were lied equally guilty of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. Queen MANA SINGH 7 W. R., Cr., 108

15.

under s. 34, Penal Code.—Effect on others charged under s. 149.—Per FIELD, J.—Where a prisoner is constructively guilty of nurder under section 34 of the Penal Code, it is doubtful if he can be said to have committed the offence of nurder within the meaning of section 149 of some period of the penal penal

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS [12 C. L. R., 233

16. Common object.—
Where a person was killed by a member of an unlawful assembly, in prosecution of the common object of that assembly, the common object being the abduction of that person's mother,—Held that all those who were members of the assembly at the time such person was killed were guilty of the offence of killing her. IN THE MATTER OF GOLM ARPIN

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 47]

ASSEMBLY. - Pension UNLAWFUL Code. s. 149-continued.

S. C. QUEEN v. GOLAM ARFIN

13 W. R., Cr., 33

and s. 300, excep. 2 .- Common object .- Murder .- One member of an unlawful assembly, whose common object was to eject certain persons from a piece of land, the title to which was disputed, fired at and killed one of such persons. Held by COUOH, C. J., and JACKSON, PHEAR, and PONTIFEX, JJ. (AINSLIE, J., dissenting), that the act being sudden and unpremeditated, the other members of the assembly were not guilty of the offence of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code, but of rioting armed with a deadly weapon under section 148. Queen v. Sabed Ali [11 B. L. R., F. B., 347: 20 W. R., Cr., 5

Common object .-Murder,-A large body of men belonging to one faction waylaid another body of men belonging to a second faction, and a fight ensued, in the course of which a member of the first-mentioned faction was wounded, and retired to the side of the road, taking no further active part in the affray. After his retirement a member of the second faction was killed. Held (by NORMAN, J., whose opinion pre-vailed) that the wounded man had ceased to be a member of the unlawful assembly when he retired wounded, and that he could not, under section 149 of the Penal Code, be made liable for the subsequent murder. Held (by Jackson, J.) that he remained a member of the unlawful assembly. Queen v. Kabil Cazee v. Kabil Cazee v. B. L. R., A. Cr., 1

- Prosecution of common object .- If a body of men armed with latties, and under the leadership of one who to the knowledge of the rest is armed with a gun, assemble for the purpose of forcibly carrying off another man's property; and if in effecting that purpose, any one of the party, taking the gun, shoots and kills a person who is making a lawful resistance, the whole party may properly be convicted of murder under section 149 of the Penal Code. Queen v. Sabed Ali, 11 B. L. R., 847 : 20 W. R., Cr., 5, cited. HABI SINGH v. EM-PRESS 3 C. L. B., 49

-Acts taking place after unlawful assembly is over.—Where, after the object of an unlawful assembly had been accomplished, and the opposite party driven away, one of the members entered into an altercation with another and wounded him with a fish-spear, it was held that the act was not one done with a view to accomplish the common object of the assembly, or one which the rest knew would be likely to be committed in the prosecution of that object. Queen v. Binod Γ24 W. R., Cr., 66

 ss. 151 and 188.—Assembly of five or more persons.—Lawful command.
—Where the object of only three persons was to draw a crowd and their action was such as was calculated to and did draw a crowd of fifty or sixty persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace, UNLAWFUL ASSEMBLY, ss. 151 and 188 -continued.

-Held that the gathering constituted an assembly of five or more persons within the meaning of section 151 of the Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), and that a refusal to disperse after being lawfully commanded to disperse rendered every member of the gathering liable to conviction under the said section. An order given by an officer superior in rank to an officer in charge of police stations commanding an assembly of five or more persons likely to cause a disturbance of the public peace to disperse, is a lawful order within the meaning of section 480 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act X of 1872). EMPRESS v. TUCKER I. I. R., 7 Born., 42

UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

See Insolvent Act, s. 40. 713 B. L. R., Ap., 2 INTEREST-MISCELLANEOUS CASES-UNLIQUIDATED DAMAGES.

[7 Bom., A. C., 89 9 Bom., 7

UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT.

See Pleader-Removal, Suspension, AND DISMISSAL . 11 B. L. R., 312

UNNATURAL OFFENCE.

- Penal Code, s. 377,-Charge.-Particulars as to time, place, and person .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 222.—Held, where a person was tried for an unnatural offence and convicted on a charge which did not allege the time when, place where, or point to any known or unknown person with whom the offence was committed, and without any proof of these particulars, the facts proved against him only being that he habitually wore woman's clothes and exhibited physical signs of having committed the offence, that the conviction was not sustainable. Queen-Empless v. Khairati

[I. L. R., 6 All., 204

UNSEAWORTHINESS.

· See CONTRACT-CONDITIONS PRECEDENT. [2 B. L. R., O. C., 127

UNSETTLED POLLIAM.

- Hereditary tenure.—Evidence of possession or receipt of rent .- There is no long uniform current of decisions at Madras sufficient to show that every polliam, not permanently settled, is necessarily only a tenure for life, or at the will of the Government. Each case must depend upon its own particular circumstances. The existence of a proprietary estate therein, and the tenure by which it has been held, are matters judicially determinable on legal evidence. In India the proof of possession or receipt of rent by a person who pays the land revenue immediately to Government is prima facie evidence of an estate of inheritance in the case of an ordinary zemindari. The evidence is still stronger if it be proved that the estate has passed on one or more occasions from ancestor to heir. There is no difference in this

UNSETTLED POLLIAM. - Hereditary tenure-continued.

respect between a polliam and an ordinary zemindari.
OOLIGATPA CHETTY v. ARBUTHNOT. COLLECTOR OF
TRICHINOPELY v. LEKAMANI. PEDDA AMANI v.
ZEMINDAR OF MARUNCAPCRI

[14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358 L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282

S. C. in High Court. Arbuthnot v. Oolagappa Chetty . . . 5 Mad., 303 And Lekhamani v. Ranga Kristna Mutta

VIRA PUCHAYA NAIKAR. . 6 Mad., 208

UNSTAMPED DOCUMENTS, ADMISSIBILITY OF, IN EVIDENCE.

See Cases under Appellate Court— Rejection or Admission of Evidence admitted or rejected in Court Below—Unstamped Documents.

See Cases under Evidence—Civil Cases
—Secondary Evidence—Unstamped
or Unregistered Documents.

UPAN CHOWKI TENURE,

See Mesne Profits—Right to and Liability for— . 1 B. L. R., A. C., 167

USER.

See Cases under Prescription. See Cases under Right of Way.

Before the Limitation Act of 1871 no precise time had been laid down as sufficient to create a right of

See Mulliok Karim Barsh v. Habrihar Mardar . 5 B. L. R., 174: 13 W. R., 440 Kisto Mohun Mookeejee v. Jugguraath ko Jooge 11 W. R., 236

HURO SOONDUREE DEBIA v. RAM DHUN BHUTTA-CHARJEE . 7 W. R., 276

1. — Proof of right of user. —" All along" or "from before" does not necessarily prove a right. Its existence must be proved from a time from which the right would be gained or presumed to have been gained. MOGKTABAM BHUTTACHARJES P. HURBO GIUNDER ROY. 7 W. R., 1

2. Right to outlet for reader.—Easement.—In a suit to cleer up an ontlet of water opened by the defendant, the lower Appellate Court found that the "outlet, or sease." was used (Garabar) all along, and that therefore the defendant had a right of user. Hadd that an enjoyment for at least twelve years is necessary to create a right by user, and that user by the defendant for that period at least had been found. KARTIK CHANDRA DER SIBKAR F. KARTIK CHANDRA DER SIBKAR F. KARTIK C. 168: 11 W. R., 522

3. Use for many

3. Use for many years.—In a suit for a declaration of the right of

USER.-Proof of right of user-continued.

user over the water of a tank, which right was denied, the finding of the lower Appellate Court, from the cridence of witnesses adduced by plaintiff, that plaintiff had used the water for many years, was held to be sufficient to prove a continuous and uninterrupted user on the part of the plaintiff. TOOLSE DOSS KOEEEMAT B. BLYENGE LALL TEWARDE

[8 W. R., 311

4. Prescription.—Ancient and uninterrupted right.—Easement.—A party claiming the right of user by prescription over the property of another, must show not only that the right has existed from ancient days, but also that it has been excreised as of right, and has not been interrupted. MALLIK JAWAD-UL-HUO *, RAM PRASAD DAS . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 281

HEERALALL KOOER v. PURMESSUR KOOER [15 W. R., 401

5. Interruption of right of easement.—The mere fact of user for any number of years will not be sufficient to confer a right, if the user be from time to time interrupted by the owner resuming, as occasion may require, the exclusive use of his land. In such a case the user will be treated as permissive merely, and not as the exercise of a right. AUKHOY COOMAR CHUCKPERUTTY O. MOLLAH NOBER NOWAZ 1.3 W.R., 449

6. Wrongful interruption.—Acquiescence.—Wrongful interruption does not destroy a right of user where steps are immediately taken to assert the right; but if this is not done for a length of time, acquiescence may safely be presumed. HEERATALE KOOEE v. PURMISSUR KOOEE

15 W. R., 401

7. Letting house to tenant.—Where a right of user of a drain or passage is incidental to a house, that right is not affected by the owner of the house lotting the house to a tenant. AMJUDES BROUND. AMMED HOSSELD.

[6 W.R., 314

USUFRUCTUARY MORTGAGE.

See DECREE—FORM OF DECREE—MORT-GAGE . I. L. R., 1 All., 524
See CASES UNDER LEASE—ZUR-I-PESHGI
LEASE.

See Limitation Act, 1877, s. 19 (1859, s. 1, cl. 15)—Acknowledgment of other Rights . 13 B. L. R., 177 See Cases under Morgage.

USURY.

See BENGAL REGULATION XV OF 1793. [2 B. L. R., P. C., 44, 69

See HINDU LAW-ALIENATION-ALIENATION BY FATHER.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213

USURY-continued.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Usury. See Cases under Interest-Stipulations amounting to Penalties or otherwise.

See Cases under Mahomedan Law-Usury,

V

VACATION, CLOSING OF COURT

See Appeal to Prive Council—Practice and Procedure—Time for Appealing.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 128, 272 See Cases under Limitation Act, 1877, s. 5 (1871, s. 5).

VAKALATNAMA.

See Prisoner . . . 1 Bom., 16
See Stamp Act, 1869, son. II, art. 32,
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767

VARIL.

See ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.
[11 B. L. R., 60, note
See Pauper Suit—Suits*, 15 W. R., 198

See Cases under Pleader.
See Prisoner 6 Mad., 38

See Prisoner . 6 Mad., 38
See Stamp Act, 1879, sch. 11, art. 11.
[I. L. R., 8 Mad., 14

Right of, to plead on original side of High Court.

See Rules of High Court, Madeas.
[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 24

VAKIL AND CLIENT.

See CONTEACT ACT, S. 25.
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 362
I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258

VALUATION OF APPEAL.

See Cases under Bengal Civil Courts Acr, 1871, s. 22.

See Cases under Valuation of Suit-Appeals.

VALUATION OF RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST CREATED BY MORT-GAGE.

See Cases under Registration Act, 1877, s. 17.

VALUATION OF SUIT.

					Cor
	SUITS				. 5074
2,	APPEALS		•	• "	. 608

VALUATION OF SUIT-continued.

See Appeal.—Acts—Court Fees Act.
[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145, 219

See Affeal to Privy Council—Affealable Orders—Valuation of Affeal.

See CASES UNDER APPELLATE COURT— REJECTION OR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE ADMITTED OR REJECTED IN COURT BELOW—VALUATION OF SUIT.

 See
 Costs—Special
 Cases—Valuation

 of
 Suit
 14 W. R., 255

 [3 Agra, Rev., 5
 20 W. R., 206

See Cases under Court Fees Act.

See RECORDERS ACT, S. 27. [5 B. L. R., 305 8 B. L. R., Ap., 91

1. SUITS.

1. — Question of valuation.—*Procedure*.—Whether or not a suit has been properly valued is a preliminary question which ought to be disposed of before the case goes to trial. JOYTABA DASSEE V. MARONED MOBARUK

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975: 11 C. L. R., 399

2. Computation of value.—
Stamp duty.— Valuation of subject-matter for purpose of determining jurisdiction.—The valuation of a suit for the purposes of stamp duty, and the valuation of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of the Court in appeal, are two different things. The value of the suit for the purposes of stamp duty is fixed by certain rules which determine an artificial value for those purposes. The value of the subject-matter of a suit on appeal, on which depends the jurisdiction of the several grades of civil suits, is the actual value of the property in litigation. AuxHL CHUNDER SEN ROY of MORINY MORIN DASS

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 489: 4 C. L. R., 491

3. Valuation for purposes of jurisdiction.—Court Fees Acts.—The valuation of suits for the purpose of jurisdiction, is perfectly distinct from their valuation for the fiscal purpose of court fees. Therefore court Fees Acts, which are fiscal enactments, are not to be resorted to for construing enactments which fix the valuation of suits for the purpose of determining jurisdiction. DAYAGMAND. DHARMGHAND DHARMGHAND HARMGHAND

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 515

4. Jurisdiction of Mussif.— Mad. Reg. VI of 1816, s. 11, and III of 1833.—The valuation of the matters of litigation for the purpose of determining the jurisdiction of Munsifs is to be made in the mode prescribed by section 11, Regulation VI of 1816, and Regulation III of 1833, and not in that prescribed in the Stamp Acts. Thiagaraja Mudair v. Ramanuja Chare.

1. SUITS-continued.

Computation of value-continued. CHINNASAMI CHETTI v. NANJAPPASARY, JUNJLA VENKATABAYADU v. JUNJLA KAMAMMAH

16 Mad., 151

Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 12 .- Class of suit in which particular suit ranks,-Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, which makes the decision of a Court in which a plaint or memorandum of appeal is filed final on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of any fee chargeable, does not affect a question as to the class of suits in which a particular suit ranks. ANNAMALAI CHETTI v. CLOETE

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204

Court Fees Act. 1870, s. 12.—Non-payment of sufficient court fee.— Section 12 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, applies merely to the valuation of property for the purpose of calculating the court fee when there is no question as to the article of the schedule of the Act with reference to which the valuation is to be made, and does not apply to a case in which it is contended and does not apply to a case in which it is concenied that the property has been wrongly valued, but that the relief has been improperly estimated by putting it under a wrong article in the schedule of the Act. It does not contemplate a case on which the Court refuses to hear a suit on the ground that a sufficient court fee has not been paid. See *ajoadhya Pershad* Singh v. Gunga Pershad, I. L. R., 6 Cala., 249: 6 C. L. R., 567. OMBAO MIRZA v. JONES

12 C. L. R., 148

Jurisdiction. Market value of subject-matter, Mode of computing, -Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), ss. 6 and 12 .- For the purpose of determining the question of jurisdiction, the valuation of a suit should be computed according to the market value of the subject-matter of the suit, and not by the special rules applicable to valuation laid down in Act VII of 1870. NANHOON SINGH v. TOFANEE SINGH

[12 B. L. R., 113: 20 W. R., 33

JEEBRAJ SINGH v. INDERJEET MANTOON [12 B. L. L. R., 115, note: 18 W. R., 109 CHUNDER NATH BHUTTACHARJEE v. BRINDA BUN SHAHA . 25 W. R., 39

KALU BIN BHIWAJI v. VISHRAM MAWAJI [L. L. R., 1 Bom., 543

BAI MAHKOR v. BULAKHI CHAKU

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538

Market value. Valuation for stump purposes.—Where a Court is satisfied that the market value of the subject of a suit or appeal presented to it is of such an amount as to bring the suit or appeal within its jurisdiction, it is bound to receive it. The Court will generally assume that the value of the property in suit is that arrived at by the computation for the purposes of ascertaining the stamp duty where the Stamp Act prescribes arbitrary principles of calculation; but

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Computation of value-continued.

where it is asserted and shown to the satisfaction of the Court that the market value is in excess of the amount computed for such purposes, the Court must take notice of the actual market value. DHUNNOO v. . 2 N. W., 177 DAMODUR DOSS .

Cases in which revenue cannot be calculated .- Market value .- In cases where, for the purpose of the stamp on an appeal, it is impracticable to ascertain accurately what portion of permanent revenue has been assessed on the lands in dispute in a suit, the appellant should furnish to the Registrar a memorandum giving an estimate of the market value and the date on which it has been calculated. If the Registrar consider the estimate clearly insufficient, the Court will issue a commission to ascertain the proper market value. The provisions of schedule B of Act XXVI of 1867 considered. EX PARTE MOONEE RANGAPPEN

[3 Mad., 352

Dispute as to proper valuation .- On a dispute arising as to the proper valuation of a suit, the Court may, on the make an inquiry into the market value or the net profits of the property in dispute. The final decision as to the proper valuation is vested in the Court which hears the snit. UMA SANKAR ROY CHOW-DRY v. MANSUR ALI KHAN

[5 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 13 W. R., 327

See Wajid Ali Khan v. Lala Hanuman PRASAD

[4 B. L. R., A. C., 139:12 W. R., 484

mating the value of a suit, the costs must not be included in the amount in dispute. NILMADHAB DAS v. BISWAMBHAR DAS

[3 B. L. R., P. C. 27 : 12 W. R., P. C., 29 13 Moore's I. A., 85

- Character of suit. Valuation altering with wording of plaint.—A suit should be valued according to its real character. Where a plaint is so worded as that, taken strictly, the valuation would be such that the Court in which the plaint was filed would have no jurisdiction, the mere miswording of the plaint will not oust the Court of its jurisdiction. AJOODHIA LALL v. GUMANI LALL . . 2 C. L. R., 134

- Incorrect valuation .- Appellate Court .- Ground for dismissal of suit .- The valuathe plaint, and if, after going into the evidence, it is found that a particular item is improperly claimed, the Court has means of punishing the plaintiff by saddling him with costs or in any other way; but the whole suit should not be dismissed simply because, in the opinion of the lower Appellate Court, it ought to have been valued within the limit of the jurisdiction of the Small Cause Court. Mohee Lall v. Kheta-25 W. R., 76 RAM MARWARY

1. SUITS-continued.

 Valuation of amended plaint.—Valuation ascertained at dute of filing and at dute of amendment.—The proper valuation in the case of an amended plaint is that ascertained at the date of the amendment, and not at the date of the original filing of the plaint. Moro VISHVANATH v. GANESH VITHAL 10 Bom., 444

15. — Valuation of plaint presented again after return of plaint.—Return of plaint for want of jurisdiction.—Second presenta-tion under Court Fees Act, 1870.—Act XXVI of 1867, Plaint presented under .- Where a plaint in a suit was originally presented, when Act XXVI of 1867 was in force, in the Court of the Munsif, and being above the amount for which that Court had jurisdiction, was returned for presentation to the Subordinate Judge, and when presented there it was admitted and heard, and afterwards it was found that under Act VII of 1870, the Court Fees Act which was then in force, the lower standard of valuation necessary would have made it cognisable by the Munsif,— *Held* that by analogy to the cases which decide that the date of a suit for the purposes of limitation is the date when the plaint was originally presented, the suit must be assumed to have been brought when the plaint was filed in the Munsif's Court, and therefore was properly valued under Act XXVI of 1867.
KHELLAT CHUNDER GHOSE v. NUSSEEBUNNISSA 16 W. R., 47 BIBEE .

16. Account, Suit for.—Court Fees Act, 1970, s. 7, cl. f, and s. 11.—By section 7, clause f, of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, the plaintiff in a suit for accounts must state the amount at which he values the relief sought; but he is free to fix it as he thinks proper, subject to the provisions of section 11 which preclude the execution of the decree in case it exceeds such value until the execution fee has been paid, GOVINDAS v. DAYABHAI

[L. L. R., 9 Bom., 22

17. — Adoption, Suit to set aside —Suit by reversioner.—Jurisdiction.—For the purpose of determining the jurisdiction over a suit by a reversioner to set aside an adoption, the loss which would accrue to the adopted person, should the adop-tion be declared invalid, is the measure of the value of the subject-matter of the suit. KESHAVA SANA-BHAGA v. LAKSHMINARAYAN.

[L. L. R., 6 Mad., 192

18. — Annuity, Suit for declara-tion of right to.—Act XXVI of 1867.—Stamp Act, 1862, seb. 4, cl. 2.—In a suit for a declaration of right to an anunity (varshasan) it was held that the stamp for the petition of special appeal should be regulated by the market value of the annuity, and that prima facie ten times the amount of the annuity might be assumed to be its market value, as cuacted for analogous agreements by section 2, schedule A, Act X of 1862. NARSINVACHARYA v. SVAMI RAYA 5 Bom., A. C., 55 CHARYA .

 Attachment, Suit to set aside. - Suit by trustees' deed given by insolvent for benefit

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Attachment, Suit to set aside-continued. of ereditors.—The valuation for stamp duty of a suit brought by the trustees of an assignment by an insolvent trader for the benefit of his creditors to set aside an attachment by an execution-creditor, should be calculated on the value of the lieu claimed by the judgment-creditor. Stephenson v. Baumgartner [3 Agra, 104

Suit under Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 283 .- Stamp, - Possession. -Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1. When a party prefers a claim or makes any objection to the attachment of any property in execution of a decree, but fails to establish it, and brings a suit under section 283 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882) to establish his right to the property attached, his plaint is to be treated as falling under article 17, clause 1 of schedule II of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, and is chargeable with only a ten-rupee stamp, notwithstanding that the plaintiff may pray in such a suit to be awarded possession. Parvati v. Kisan Sing, Judgments for 1881, p. 121, followed; Gunpatgir Guru Bholagir v. Gunpatgir, I. L. R., 3 Bom., 230, distinguished, Dhondo Sakha-RAM v. GOVIND BABAJI . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 20

- Attachment, Suit to set aside order removing .- Court Fees Act, VII of 1870, ss. 6 and 12, and sch. II, art. 17, cl. 1. Valuation by subordinate Court.-Suit to reestablish judgment-debtor's right to property on removal of attachment .- Where, on the removal of an attachment at the instance of a third party, the judgment-creditor brought a suit to establish the right of his judgment-debtor to the property from which the attachment had been removed, and to get the summary order to remove the attachment set aside,-Held that the proper stamp on a plaint of that kind was £10 under section 6 and schedule, II, article 171 of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. Krishna v. Balkrishna Janardan

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 610

 Award, Suit to carry out. A suit to carry out an arbitration award need not be valued. Khoda Buksh v. Mowla Buksh 14 W. R., 255

 Award, Application to file. -Civil Procedure Code; 1882, s. 525 .- The proper court fee upon an application to file an award under section 525 is the court fee prescribed for applications, and not the court fee upon a plaint. DRUE BRUGUT v. MONORUR BRUGUT [I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11

S. C. PALUT BHAGUT v. MONOHUR BHAGUT.

24. — Charge on property, Suit to establish.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873.—Subject-matter of suit .- For the purposes of jurisdiction (Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873) the subject-matter of a suit to establish the validity of a charge upon property is, when the property is in excess of the charge, the amount of the charge; when the charge

1. SUITS-continued.

Charge on property, Suit to establishcontinued.

is in excess of the property, the value of the property. Keishnama Charyar v. Srinivasa Ayyan-Gar . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 339

25. — Damages, Suit for.—In determining the jurisdiction of the Court in a suit for damages, the amount claimed, and not that eventually found due, must be taken as the valuation, Jor Doorgea Dassee v. MANICK CHAND BABOO.

[16 W. R., 248

26. Declaratory decree, Suit for,
—Suit to establish right to attacked property.—
Held that, in the case where a person has preferred a chaim to property attached in the exceution of a decree, on the ground that such property is not liable to such attachment, and an order is passed against him, and he suse to establish his right to such property, the value of the subject-matter in dispute in such suit, for the purposes of jurisdiction, will be the amount of such decree. Second Appeal No. 320 of 1276, decided the 16th May 1876, followed. Guilard Larl Lar. Jalaun Rai 1. L. R., 2 All., 739

27. Suit to establish right by reversal of deeds.—When a plaintiff only sues for declaration of his title to certain lands on reversal of the kobalas said to have been illegally executed by his father, he need not be compelled to value the case at the total of the consideration mentioned in those deeds. Sied Giolam Singer e. Bejotram Photas Singer w. R., 1864, 317.

28. — Plaint insufficiently stamped.—Court Fees Act, VII of 1570, s. 12.—The law allows a plaintiff in some cases to rectify a mistake as to stamp duty, but this privilege is subject to qualification, and does not exist where the relief to be granted is altogether distinct from that originally sought. In such a case, the plaintiff should not be allowed to put an additional stamp on his plaint. Where a plaintiff seed on a stamp of R10 for a declaration of his title to land worth R19,000; in the possession of the defendant, it was held that the suit could not be maintained, and that the plaintiff was not entitled to put an additional stamp on the plaint and convert his suit into one for possession. CHOKALINGAPESHANA NAIOKER R. ACHITAR

29. Court Eves Act (VII of 1870), s. 4, and sch. II, art. 17, cls. 3 and 6.—Jurisdiction.—Bom. Civil Courts Act, XIV of 1889, s. 24.—A Subordinate Judge of the 2nd class has no jurisdiction to entertain a suit for the declaration of the plaintiff's title where the property in respect of which the declaration is songth exceeds 185,000 in value. The law may lay down, for purposes of revenue, certain rules for the valuation of suits; but such valuation cannot be accepted as a criterion of the actual amonat or value of the chaim, mon which the jurisdiction of a Court depends.

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Declaratory decree, Suit for-continued.

Whether a suit be merely to obtain a decree, declaratory of the plaintiff's title to, or whether it be to establish its title, coupled with a prayer for possession of, the rights of a deceased person, the inheritance is the object in dispute. The actual value of the estate to which the plaintiff claims to be entitled, and not the value which it may eventually represent to the plaintiff, is the value of the subject-matter. BAT MARKOR ®. BULKARIE (CHAKPE

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538

30. Court Fees Act, s. 12, and sch. II, art 17, cl. 3.—Consequential relief.—Appeal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 246.
—Section 12 of the Court Fees Act prohibits appeals on questions relating to valuation for the purpose of determining the amount of a fee, but does not prevent a Court of Appeal from determining whether or not consequential relief is sought in a suit, so that it may determine under what class of eases the suit falls for the purposes of the Court Fees Act. A suit by a person against whom an order has been made, under section 246 of Act VIII of 1850, dissillowing his claim to the attached property, need not be valued according to the value of the property, but can be brought on a stamp of RIO, under Act VII of 1870, selectule II, article 17 (III). CHINTA v. RAM DIAL

[I. L. R., 1 All., 360

31. Suit to stay butware proceedings under Beng. Reg. XIX of 1814, after partition by princte arrangement.—An allottee, under a private partition, sned to stay subsequent partition proceedings brought under Regulation XIX of 1814, and to have his possession confirmed. The defendants objected to the valuation of the suit and to the suit being heard by the Civil Courts no proceedings having first been instituted borton to proceedings having first been instituted before the revenue authorities. Held that such a suit should be considered to be one for a declaratory decree, or for something in the nature of an injunction, and that, therefore, the plaint should not be stamped according to the value of the entire estate, Joynafil Roy v. LALL Baltapour Singui

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 126: 10 C. L. R., 146

32. — Deed, Suit to set saide. Suit for cancellation of bond. — Value of subject-matter of suit. — The value of the subject-matter of a suit for the cancellation of a bond is to be determined with reference only to the principal amount, and not that amount together with the interest payable thereon when the suit is instituted. GULAR RAI o. MANGH LAL . I. I. R., 6 All., 7 II.

33. — Appeal. — Suit for concellation of a document.—Jurisdiction.—The plaintiffs sued for the cancellation of a bond for the payment of R6,000, togother with interest thereon ut the rate of 4 per cent. per measure, alleging that they had executed such bond under the impression that it was a bond for the payment of R3,000, together with interest thereon at the rate of 1½ per cent.

1. SUITS-continued.

Deed, Suit to set aside-continued.

per mensem, whereas the defendants had fraudulently caused them to execute the bond in suit. The plaintiffs paid into Court R3,000, together with interest at the rate of 11 per cent. per mensem. Held that the value of the subject-matter in dispute was the difference between R3,000 and R6,000 or thereabouts, and therefore an appeal from the decree of the Court of first instance preferred to the District Judge was cognisable by him. KALI CHARAN RAI v. AJUDHIA RAI . . I. L. R., 2 All., 148

 Deed prejudicing title to immoveable property.-In a suit to annul a sale-deed which prejudices the title of the plaintiffs to immoveable property, a stamp calculated on the consideration-money mentioned in the sale-deed is sufficient. THAKOOR PATHUK v. RAM SOOMRUN LAL [2 N. W., 433

- Suit to set aside sale-deed as being forged.—A suit to set aside a fulse sale-deed was held to be sufficiently valued at the sum mentioned in that sale-deed. THAROOE PATUCK v. RAMSOOMBUN LALL. 1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16

Suit to cancel document on ground of fraud .- The plaintiff executed a document whereby he created a charge of R4,500 upon certain immoveable property. In a suit to cancel the document upon the ground of fraud, -Held that the plaintiff valued his relief at £4,500, and that the District Munsif had no jurisdiction to try the suit. NARAINA PUTTER v. AVA PUTTER [7 Mad., 372

37. — Suit for posses-sion of property alienated.—Price stated in sale-deed. -In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, the plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala. AUGOPURA CHOWDHEY v. MEAH BIBER

- Ejectment, Suit for,-Market value of tenant-right .- Where a landlord claims to eject a tenant, he claims to recover the tenant-rights in the holding, and the stamp duty chargeable on the plaint should be determined with reference to the market value of that right only. AJOODHYA CHOW-BEY v. DAIBER SINGH . 3 Agra, Rev., 5

[10 W. R., 207

Suit to contest elaim of occupancy ryot.—Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cl. 11, and sch. II, cl. 5.—In a suit to eject a defendant as being a tenant at will, the court fee upon the plaint or memorandum of appeal is 8 annas under schedule II, clause 5 of Act VII of 1870. Clause 11 (d) of section 7 of that Act applies only to suits brought by a tenant to dispute the validity of his landlord's notice to quit. NURJAHAN v. MARFAN MUNDUL . 11 C. L. R., 91

Suit to have a lease set aside and buildings erected by lessess demo-

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued. 1. SUITS-continued.

Ejectment, Suit for-continued.

lished.—Suit for possession of land and demolition of buildings erected thereon .- Court Fees Act .- Beng. Civil Courts Act, ss. 20, 22 .- Certain co-sharers of a village sued to have a lease of certain land, the joint undivided property of the co-sharers, which the other co-sharers had granted, set aside, and to have the building erected on such land by the lessess demolished, on the ground that such lease had been granted without their consent. They valued the relief sought at R100. The value of the buildings of which they sought demolition was #3,000. B. sued N. claiming inter alia possession of certain land and to have certain buildings erected thereon by the defendant demolished. Held, with reference to the above-mentioned suits, that in estimating their value for the purposes of the Court Fees Act, 1870, or of the Bengal Civil Courts Act, 1871, the value of the buildings which might have to be demolished should not be taken into account. JOGAL KISHOR v. TALE SINGH. BINDESHRI CHAUBEY v. NANDU

[I. L. R., 4 All., 320

- Emoluments attached to office, Suit for .- Court Fees Act, 1870, s. 7, cls. 2, 4.—Claims for future emoluments.—Jurisdiction.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 12.—Portion of claim struck out and plaint returned for presentation to inferior Court. In a suit filed in the Court of a Subordinate Judge, the plaintiff prayed, inter alia, for a decree for the payment, annually, of the emoluments attached to a certain office. or their value at a rate stated in the plaint. This portion of the claim he valued, under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, at ten times the amount of the value claimed for one year. The value of the claim thus stated exceeded the pecuniary limit of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif. The Subordinate Judge held that this portion of the claim was not actionable, inasmuch as the right to the emoluments was conditional upon services to be rendered, and did not fall under clause 2 of section 7 of the Court Fees Act, not being a fixed sum payable periodically, and therefore he held that the plaint was improperly valued, that the suit was not within his jurisdiction, and that the plaint should be returned to be presented to the proper Court. Held that this order was right. KRISHNAN v. REVI VARMA [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384

- Instalment bond, Suit on. -The stamp on a plaint on an instalment bond should be estimated, not on the amount of the whole bond. but on the amount claimed in the suit. SUTTO BHAMA DOSSEA v. JAMEERUDDY KHAN

4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12

- Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of.—Act XXVI of 1867, sch. B, cl. 11.

Amount of assessment.—Held that a khoti estate is an estate paying revenue to Government upon which an assessment is temporarily settled, and that a suit for its recovery should be assessed at eight times the annual assessment under Act XXVI of 1867, schedule B,

1. SUITS-continued.

Khoti estate, Suit for recovery of-con-

article 11, note (a), Sp. Rule 1 for the Bombay Presidency. EX PARTE VITHAL alias GOPAL GO-MESH BIVALHAR 4 Bom., A. C., 148

44. Managen, Suit to remove.
Court Fees Act, 1870, e. 7.—Suit to eject trustee.—
Jurialistion.—Specific Belief Act, s. 42.—By an agreement between S, and M., members of the same. Hindu family, it was arranged that certain immoveable property dedicated to charitable uses by the family should be managed by M., subject to the supervision of S., and that M. should render accounts to S. and observe certain other conditions. S. sned M. in the Court of the District Munsift, and prayed for a decree for the removal of M. as manager and for the appointing of himself as manager of the property. M. objected that the Court had no jurisdiction, because the property exceeded in value the peaumary limits of the jurisdiction of the District Munsif's Court as fixed by section 12 of the Madres Civil Courts Act, 1878. Held that S. was not entitled to sue for the removal of M. without praying for his ejectment from the property, and that, as the property exceeded in value R2,500, the District Munsif had no jurisdiction. SOMOHAMA v. MANIKA [I. L. R., S. Mad., 518]

45. Karnavan of Malabar tarwad.—Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, s. 18.—For the purpose of jurisdiction a suit to remove the karnavan of a Malabar tarwad is not a suit for the jrecovery of the tarwad properties managed by the karnavan and to be valued as such, but a suit which asks for a relief that is incapable of valuation. NARANJOLI CHIRAKAL KUNII RAMAN NARDIAR ILLIANIS OF THE ALTHIN KUNIUNNI NARDIAR L. L. R., 4 MBGA, 314

46. Suit for removal of karnavam.—Court Fees Act, 1570, &b. II, art. 17, et. 6.—A suit for the removal of a karnavan of a Malabar tarwad on the ground of misfeasance is incapable of valuation and falls under section 6, article 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act, 1870. GOVINDAN NAMBIAR C. KRISHNAN NAMBIAR

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146

47. Mesne profits, Suit for.—
Denial of plaintiff's title.—In a suit for waslat,
the stamp on the plaint will be sufficient if it cover
the amount claimed for waslat, notwithstanding the
defendant may deny the title of the plaintiff to the
land. KADIR BURSH O, WISB

[Marsh., 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103 1 Hay, 370

V 48. Suit for passession and mesne profits.—Where a cuit for mesne profits is united with one for possession, no separate stamp-fee is necessary in respect of mesne profits. SYRDUR D. W. R., 1864, 527

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

49. Partition, Suit for.—Madras Civil Courts Act, s. 12.—Jurialistion.—Subjectmatter of sait.—In suits for partition, the value of the property of which the plaintiff claims a share, and not the value of the share chimue, determines the jurisdiction of the Court under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act, 1873. VYDINATHA 2. SUBBMANAXA I. L. R., 8 MAC, 285 MAC, 285

50. Hearing fee, Calculation of,—Market value of property.—The ordinary rule for assessing the hearing fee according to the market value of the property in suit is not applicable to a suit for partition, and the Court in cash case ought to fix the amount of such fee, Generally speaking, the value of the suit is the difference between the value after partition of the plaintiff's share which he requires to be partitioned and the value of the same share not partitioned. Kiefer CRUNDER MITTERS & ANNATH NATH DEB

[13 C. L. R., 253

 Suit for division of lands according to established custom .- A coowner of village lands sued in 1861 to have them divided among the villagers according to a custom (last observed in 1835) that at the expiration of every twelve years the lands should be re-distributed by lot among the eo-owners, and to have two of the shares delivered to him as one of such co-owners. In 1851 another co-owner had, in a suit to which some only of the present defendants were parties, obtained a decree for the periodical allotment of the lands; and in 1853 such decree, which clearly recognised the existence and validity of the custom, was affirmed on appeal. Held that the plaintiff need not pay an institution fee on the aggregate amount of the value of all the sharers in the village, and that the stamp on the plaint need only be proportioned to the value of the property actually sued for. VENKATASVAMI NAYAKKAN v. SUBBA RAU. SANKABA SUBBAIYAN v. SUBBA RAU . . 2 Mad., 1

Jurisdiction .-Subject-matter of suit.—Act XIV of 1869, s. 25.-What prima facie determines the jurisdiction of a Court is the claim, or subject-matter of the claim, as estimated by the plaintiff; and the determination having given the jurisdiction, the jurisdiction itself continues, whatever the event of the suit. And this is so notwithstanding a bond fide error in the estimate made by the plaintiff, but plaintiff cannot oust the Court of its jurisdiction by making unwarrantable additions to the claim which cannot be sustained, and which there is no reasonable ground for expecting to sustain. The subject-matter of a claim, within the meaning of section 25 of Act XIV of 1869, is the specific thing sought by the plaintiff. In a partition suit, where the plaintiff seeks for a division and separate possession of his share in joint property, it is the share so claimed which is the subject-matter of the claim, and not the whole of the joint property which is sought to be divided. LAKSHман Внаткав в. Вавал Внаткав

[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 31

1. SUITS-continued.

53. Possession, Suit for.—Suit by auction-purchaser.—Procedure.—In a suit for possession by an auction-purchaser, where plaintiff valued his claim at what he paid for the property.—

Reld that the valuation was prind facie not incorrect, and, until rebutted by evidence and the result of a proper inquiry, should be abeepted as correct. If the valuation was doubted, an inquiry should have been instituted under Act XXVI of 1867. SOODT-DRA J. RAM PROKASH SINGH. . . 16 W. R., 5

54. Suit after foreclosure.—Court Fees Act, s. 7, cl. 9.—Where a suit for possession is brought after a decree for foreclosure has been obtained, the valuation of such a suit, in so far as the jurisdiction of the Court is concerned, is not to be calculated according to the scale laid down in the Court Fees Act, section 7, clause 9. AHOLINA BAY DEBIA V. SHAMA CHUEN BOSE

[1 C. L. R., 473

55.

Code, 1559, s. 229, Procedure under,—Freeb suit.

—Jurisdiction.—For the purpose of jurisdiction a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit and not a continuation of the suit in which the claim is made: so that where, by reason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit ceases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court cannot try the claim. MUTTAMMALO. GINNMAN GUNDERS.

1. IL R. 4. Mad., 230

56. Suit for possession of share of estate and to set aside deed.—In a suit for possession of a share of an undivided estate, and to set aside a kobala by which the estate had been illegally alienated, plaintiff is not bound to value his claim according to the price stated in the kobala, AUGOPURA CHOWDHEY M. MEAR BIBER [10 W. R. 207]

57. — Suit for possession and declaration of title.—Where a suit is for recovery of possession (with mesne profits) of a cortain portion of land, and for a declaration of right in respect of the remainder, its valuation should not include the value of the latter, which is only nominal, and requires a stamp of RIO. HURINO NAPH BRUTTHORAGEE D. HAMVEY. 25 W. R., 23

58. Pre-emption, Suit, for.—In a suit for pre-emption, the valuation of the property sued for is to be calculated at the market value for which it would sell, and not at ten times the value of the sudder jumma. ANUD SINGH e. DEFUS SINGH

the sudder jumma. Anjud Singh v. Depun Singh [3 B. L. R., Ap., 143: 14 W. R., 238, note Naunhoo Singh v. Tofan Singh

[14 W. R., 228

59. Jurisdiction.— Beng. Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 20.—In a pre-emption suit, the subject-matter is the right of

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Pre-emption, Suit for-continued.

pre-emption, the value of which, and not that of the property itself, determines the question of jurisdiction under section 20, Act VI of 1871. NAUN SINGH & RASH BEHARY SINGH

Singh [I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255

60. Redemption, Suit for.—Value for purpose of jurisdiction.—The purchaser of the equity of redemption of certain land such to redeem the same. He made the mortgager and vendor of the land a pro-formá defoudant. Held that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was not the market value of the land, but the amount of the mortgage money. KURAIN SINGE N. ATMA RAW.

[I. L. R., 5 All., 332

61. _____ Mad. Civil Courts Act, 1873, ss. 12 and 14. Value of im-Civil provements.—Per curiam (TURNER, C. J., and MUTTUSAMI AYYAR, J., dissenting).—Where an instrument of mortgage does not expressly secure the amount to be allowed for improvements on redemption of the mortgage, the value of the improvements is not to be calculated in ascertaining the "value of the subject-matter of the suit" for the purposes of jurisdiction under section 12 of the Madras Civil Courts Act. Per Turner, C. J. (Muttusami Av-YAR, J., concurring) .- By the custom of Malabar a condition is attached to all kanam demises that the mortgagor shall pay the value of improvements made by the mortgagee during the term of the demise before he can redeem, and the repayment of the sums spent in improvements is thus secured by the mortgage in the same manner as the repayment of the principal advanced, and must be calculated in determining the value of the subject-matter of the suit for the purpose of jurisdiction. ZAMORIN OF CALICUT v. NARAYANA . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284

ANONYMOUS CASE

[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note

Jurisdiction of Munsif .- The integrity of a joint usufructuary mortgage having been broken in consequence of the mortgagee having purchased the right of several of the mortgagors, one of the mortgagors sued in the Munsif's Court to recover his share of the mortgaged property, alleging that the mortgage had been redeemed. The value of the mortgagee's right, qua such share, was under R1,000. The mortgagee set up as a defence to such suit that a bond, under which a sum exceeding R1,000 was due, had been tacked to the mortgage, and that until such sum had been satisfied the plaintiff could not recover possession of his share. Held, on the question whether the Munsif had jurisdiction, that the value of the subject-matter of the suit was the value of the mortgagee's right, quá the plaintiff's share; and as the value of such right did not exceed R1,000 even if it were held that the mortgaged property was further incumbered with such bond, such suit was cognisable in the Munsif's Court. The principle

1. SUITS-continued.

Redemption, Suit for—continued. laid down in Gobind Singh v. Kallu, I. L. R., 2 All.,

laid down in Gobind Singh v. Kallu, I. L. R., 2 All., 778, followed. Bahadur v. Nawab Jan [I. L. R., 3 All., 822

Joint mortgage. -Jurisdiction .- Court fee .- Valuation of suit. "Subject-matter in dispute." Act VII of 1870, s. 7, art. ix.—det VI of 1871, s. 20.—Statute, Construction of.—A deed of mortgage was executed by P., T., and S. for R4,000. A., the purchaser of the share of S., brought a suit for recovery of possession of one third of the mortgaged property against sion to the turn of the integrated property against the mortgagees who had purchased the shares of P. and T., the other mortgagors. Held by the Full Bench with reference to section 7, article is of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), that the defendantsmortgagees having bought up the equity of redemption of two of the mortgagors, and pro tanto extinguished their mortgage-debt, and so by their own act empowered the plaintiff to sue for redemption of one third of the property, the principal money now secured as between them and the plaintiff must now be regarded as one third of the original mortgage amount,-namely, £1,333-5-4,-more particularly as amount,—namely, Ki, 555-24,—more particularly as fiscal enactments should, as far as possible, be construed in favour of the subject. Balkrishna Dhondo v. Nagvekar, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324, veferred to. Held, also, with reference to the terms of section 20 of the Bengal Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), that the "sub-ject-matter in dispute" in suits of this kind was the amount of the mortgage-debt and the mortgagee's rights which were sought to be paid off; that from the terms of the plaint it was obvious that in the present case the subject-matter in dispute was R1,333-5-4, the one third of the original mortgage sum of R4,000; and that it was therefore beyond the limits of the Munsif's pecuniary jurisdiction. Per MAHMOOD, J .- It is a rule of construction that while in cases of taxation everything must be strictly construed in favour of the subject, in questions of jurisdiction the presumption is in favour of giving jurisdiction to the highest Court. Observations by Man-MOOD, J., as to the subject-matter of suits for the redemption of mortgages, and the mode in which the value of such subject-matter should be calculated for purposes of jurisdiction. AMANAT BEGAM v. BHA-. I. L. R., 8 All., 438 JAN LAL .

64. User/ructuary mortgage.—Overvaluation of suit, Eighet of,—Jurisdiation.—The more fact that a suit has been overvalued does not deprive the Court in which it is brought of jurisdiction, if the overvaluation was bond fide and had not the effect of altering the appellate jurisdiction,—that is to say, did not cause the appeal from the judgment of the Court of first instance to lie to a different Court from that to which it would have lain had the suit been instituted in a Court having a more limited jurisdiction. RAINENDO LAIL GOSSAM 0. SHAMA CHUEN LAHORY I. L. R., 5 Cale, 188:

65. Suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government.

VALUATION OF SUITS -continued.

1. SUITS-continued.

Redemption, Suit for-continued.

The stamp duty payable under section B of Act X of 1862, on a suit to redeem mortgaged land paying revenue to Government, should be calculated on the sun for which the land is mortgaged, and not on the market value of such land. NAMBAM SUNDAMI NAIK & BALMI VITHAL . 5 BOM, A. C., 153

66. Suit by kanam-holder against jenui and holders of prior kanam in possession.—A suit brought by a kanam-holder against the jenui and the holders of a prior kanam in possession, to recover possession of the lands, may be properly treated, for the purpose of jurisdiction, as a suit for land, although it results in a decree for redemption, and, if regarded as a redemption suit, would be ognisable by a Court of subordinate jurisdiction. Markar v. Paramsswaran

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140

67.—Bestitution of conjugal rights, Sult for.—Berna Courts Act, 1875, s. 49.—Appeal.—The proviso in section 49 of the Burma Courts Act amounts to an express declaration that it is a condition precedent to the right of appeal from the Recorder's Court that the suit shall be one which has an amount or value capable of being estimated in noney, and that that amount or value must fall within certain specified limits. A suit for the restitution of conjugal rights is incapable of being valued, and no appeal therefore in such a suit will lie under the Burnan Courts Act from a decision of the Recorder of Rangoon. GOLAM RAHMAN 8. FATIMA BBI [I. L. R., 18 Cale, 232 B.

68. Sale, Suit to set saide.—Sale in execution of decree.—Falue of property sold.—In a suit to set aside an auction sale, the plaint must be stamped as if the suit were for the recovery of the property. Deafu Chowdher v. Isaan Chunden Das 9 C. L. R., 231

69. — Share of land, Suit for,—
Suit relating to land.—Rental of shave.—In valning
a suit relating to a share of land, the runtal of the
share is to be the criterion of the stamp. RAM
BURSH THAKOOD v. ADODHYA LAD.

[2 W. R., Mis., 45

70. — Waste lands, Suit for.—Act XXIII of 1863 (Waste Lands), s. 5, Suit under.—In a suit under section 5, Act XXIII of 1863, by a claimant to waste land proposed to be sold or otherwise dealt with on account of Government, or by an objection to the sale or other disposition of such land, the plaint must be on a stamp of RIOO. GERESH CHYNDER ROY v. COLLECTOR OF SYLLET

[7 W. R., 349

2. APPEALS.

71. — Question of valuation.—Appellate Court, Power of.—Act XXFT of 1867.—An Appellate Court has no power to set aside a decision arrived at by the Court of first instance as to the

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued. 2. APPEALS—continued.

Question of valuation-continued.

valuation of the property in suit. MARIZUDDIN v. KARIMUNISSA BIBEE

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W. R., 381 Ishan Chandra Mookerjee v. Lokenath Roy [6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W. R., 451

72.

Appellate Court.—Where it appears on appeal that the suit has not been rightly valued, and if rightly valued the Court off first instance would not have had jurisdiction to try it, the Appellate Court may entertain the objection, though it had not been raised in the Court below. SHEO GODIND RAUT S. ABLAY NARLIN SINGH. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 17

73. — Undervaluation.—Ground, for dismissing appeal.—Insufficient stamp.—Where an appeal was brugglit on an insufficient stamp, the appeal was dismissed without prejudice to the appeal that bringing a fresh appeal within twenty days on a full stamp. WALI ALAM v. NASRAN [3 B. L. R., Ap., 104]

S. C. Wolee Alum v. Misrun . 12 W. R., 50

74. Ground for dismissing appeal.—When a suit has been admitted upon a certain stamp, tried, and decreed for the plaintif, "undervaluation" is no ground for dismissing the defendant's appeal. EMANUDDIN KHAN v. KAMKISHOER KOWAR 5 B. L. R., Ap., 30

75.

Lastificiantly-stamped appeal.—Deputy Registrar, Power of,—Civil Procedure Code, 1869, s. 81.—The Deputy Registrar has no authority to make an order rotarning a petition of appeal when the stamp fee paid upon is insufficient. The right course for that officer, if his requirements as to stamps are not compiled with, is to lay the matter before the Court. But if the appellant is ready to pay what is required, then, whether the time for filing the appeal has expired or not, the Deputy Registrar is bound to receive it if it was originally presented in time. AMBUR ALI v. KARI CHAND DOSS

24 W. R. 258

77.—Law applicable to valuation.—Law in force at presentation of appeal.—The valuation of an appeal must be according to the Act in force at the time of its presentation, and the original valuation under a law obsolete at the period of appeal can have no influence in the decision. Anonymous.

5 Mada, Ap. 48

BHUGOBUTTY KOOER v. KUSTOOREE KOOER
[15 W. R., 272

78. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 229. - Change of law between date of

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued. 2. APPEALS—continued.

Law applicable to valuation—continued.

original suit and date of claim, Effect of, on juvisdiction.—The subject-matter of an appeal should be valued for the purpose of jurisdiction according to the law in force at the date of the appeal, and not of the suit which has led to it. For the purpose of jurisdiction, a claim under section 229 of Act VIII of 1859 is a fresh suit, and not a continuation of the suit in which the chaim is made; so that where, by veason of a change in the law as to the mode of valuing suits for the purpose of jurisdiction between the date of the original suit and the claim, the Court that dealt with the original suit cases to have jurisdiction over the subject-matter of the claim, that Court eannot try the claim. MUTTUMMALE, CHIR-NAMA GOUNDEN

79. — Computation of value. — Valuation of appeal for jurisdiction.—Mad. Act III of 1873 (Madvas Civil Courts Act).—According to section 13 of Act III of 1873 (the Madras Civil Courts Act), it is the money value of the original suit that fixes the jurisdiction throughout the subsequent littigation in its several stages. Held, therefore, where the amount of the original suit was more than B.5000, and an appeal was preferred to the District Court, but the amount in dispute in the appeal did not exceed #85,000, that the District Court lad no jurisdiction to hear the appeal. MUNTHUSAMI PILLAI e. MUNTHU CHIDAMARA CHEFTI

[7 Mad., 356

80.—Appeals in measurement cases.—Miscellaneous petitions.—Potitions of appeal in cases to obtain an order for measurement may be written on the stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. Satter t. Nunuum Lat.

16 W. R., Act:X. 13

81. Right to measure valued at specified amount.—Where a zemindar values his right to measure at a certain amount, the petition of appeal must be written on a regular stamp according to such valuation, and not upon a stamp used for miscellaneous petitions. OGMA CHUEN BINMAN SHEMART BAGGIRE AS W. R. 14 & W. R. 14

83. — Appeal from order declaring party to have no locus standi.—Miscallamens appeal.—Petition.—An uppeal from an order of the lower Appellate Court, declaring that a party who claimed to be in possession of property taken in execution of a decree to which he was no party, and with which he had no concern, had no lowes stands in the execution case, is in the nature of a miscellamenus appeal, and should be on a stamp for an ordinary petition. MORISH CHUNDER BANKERTER OR CHUNDER MONES DAZERTER 9 W. R., 1389

83. — Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision.—Summary appeal.—The stamp required for a petition of appeal from an order rejecting an application to set aside an ex-parte decision under section

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

2. APPEALS-continued.

Appeal from order rejecting application to set aside ex parte decision—continued.

119, Act VIII of 1850, was a two-rupee stamp. Such an appeal was treated as a summary and not a regular appeal. PARRUTTY v. GREEDHAREE LALL

[4 W. R., Mis., 15]

84. Appeal from order rejecting plaint for misjoinder.—Miscellaneous appeal.—Slamp.—An appeal from an order rejecting a plaint for misjoinder is a miscellaneous appeal; and if it is rejected, an appeal from the order of rejection is also of the nature of a miscellaneous appeal, and is to be valued and stamped as such. Kossella Kose & Behlaker Patter . 12 W. R., 70

85. — Appeal by mortgagee on question of lien,—Where the appeal by the mortgagee was not with reference to the property, but to a mortgage lien,—Held that the valuation for the purpose of stamp in such appeals should be with reference to the value of the lien for the mortgage-debt of incumbrance, and not with reference to the value of the mortgaged property. MAROMED SIES-RUN KHAN 0, MISSIE KOONDUN LALL, BHEEKA 0. NOWED KISHORE.

[Agra, F. B., 158 : Ed. 1874, 119

86. Appeal in suit for profits in respect of several years.—Courtees.—Distinct enheets across of action.—Distinct subjects.—Act FII of 1870 (Court Fees Act), s. 17.—Civil Procedure Code, ss. 48, 44.—In an appeal in a suit for recovery of profits under section 93 (ā) of the N.-W. P. Rent Act in respect of several years, the proper court fee leviable on the memorandum of appeal is one calculated on the aggregate amount of the profits claimed, and not one calculated separately on the amount of profits claimed for each year MUHAMMAD MALIK KHAN e. NIEHAI BIHI

[I. L. R., 7 All., 761

87. Appeal from rejection of claim by forest settlement officer.—Mod. Forest Ast (V of 1852), s. 10.—Appeal to the District Court.—Court Frees Act, sch. II, ort. II (o); art. II, cl. ci.—An appeal to the District Court from the rejection of a claim by a forest settlement officer under clause 2 of section 10 of the Maturas Forest Act, 1882, falls under article 17, clause VI, and not under article 11 (a) of schedule II of the Court Frees Act, 1870. KAMARAJA v. SECHETARY OF STATE FOR HYDIA.

1. I. R., S. Mad., 22

88. — Appeal from order disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration.—Court fte, Mode of calculation of.—Per OLDFIELD, J.—The court fee payable on a memorandum of appeal from an order under section 523 of the Civil Procedure Code, disallowing an application to file an agreement to refer to arbitration, is an ad valorem fee computed on the value of the subject matter in dispute in the appeal, DAYA NAND. BARITAMAR SINGH

[I. L. R., 5 All., 333

VALUATION OF SUITS-continued.

2. APPEALS—continued.

89.— Appeal from order disposing of dispute under Civil Procedure Code, s. 322B.— Dispute as to extent of judgment-debtor's liability to olaim.— Nature of appeal.—Court Fees det, VII of 1870, sob. 11. No. 11.—An appeal from the decision of a dispute under section 322B of the Civil Procedure Code falls directly within the exception of article 11, schedule II of the Court Fees Act (VII of 1870), and the memorandum of appeal should therefore be presented as for a decree in a suit upon an ade alorems stamp. Srivineaa Ayyangar v. Pria Tambi Nayaker, I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329, dissented from. AINAD KHAN v. MADHO DAS

90. — Appeal in partition suit. —Court Fees Act, seb. III art. 17, ci. 6.—Stamp on memorandum of appeal in partition swit.—The stamp fee payable on appeals to the High Court in suits asking for "partition, the separation of a share, and for khan possession of that share after separation," is that leviable under article VI, clause 17, schedule II of the Court Fees Act. For the purpose of jurisdiction the Court should be guided by the value of the property in suit, but the amount of the stamp fee should be governed by a different principle. Kinty Churn Miyters v. Aunath Nath Deb. 1 Li, Ra, 8 Cale, 757: 11 C. L. R., 95

Appeal from decree for possession disallowing perpetual character of leases .- A suit for possession of certain lands having been decreed on the ground of plaintiff's right of occupancy, but the perpetual (mirasi) character of the leases under which the claim had been made having been disallowed, an appeal was preferred to have it declared that the leases were perpetual. Held that, as the value of the claim would be the difference in the value of the land as held under a mirasi tenure at a fixed rent, or an ordinary tenure at a fluctuating rent, and as this might be an extremely difficult calculation, the stamp fee upon the appeal would be properly fixed according to the valuation put by the appellant upon the subject-matter of his claim. KEBUL RAM MUNDUL . 24 W. R., 454 v. Wells

92. Appeal in suit to enforce a right of pre-emption.—Appeal by purchaser.
—Court fee.—Act VII of 1570 (Court Fees Act), s. 7, (i) and (vi).—Where, in a suit to enforce a right of pre-emption, a decree was passed against the vandess-defendants, and they appealed from the same on the grounds that they were entitled to receive from the plaintiffs-pre-emptors a sum larger than that found by the Court of first instance to have been the purchase-money, and also that the plaintiffs had estopped themselves from asserting the right by refusing to purchase,—Held that the nature of the suit was not changed in appeal, and that, on the contrary, the subject-matter of the dispute between the parties was the right of pre-emption, the value of which, for the purposes of court fee, was to be determined in manner directed by section

VALUATION OF SUITS—continued, 2. APPEALS—continued,

Appeal in suit to enforce a right of preemption -continued.

7, clause (n) of the Court Fees Act, VII of 1870. Rons Zachhar Rart v. Bandam Rard islatinguished. Where an appeal is preferred in a suit for pre-emption, on the ground that the right to pre-emption, on the ground that the right to pre-emption on the suit to the pleas may be taken, in the value of the subject-matter in dispute, for the purposes of the subject-matter in dispute, for the purposes of the court Fees Act, must be determined us in terms provided in article (n) of section 7 of the Act. Where the question in appeal relates solely to the amount to be paid by the pre-emptor, the court fee should be calculated an autoron on the difference between the amounts alleged as the sale price on the one side and the other, HATZ ARMID P. SORIA RAY.

93. — Appeal in suit for redemption of usufructuery mortgage, —Hean, Civil Courts Act (VI of 1871), s. 22.—The plaintiffs sued for the possession of certain immoveable property, alleging that they had mortgaged such property to the defendants, and that the mortgage-debt had been satisfied out of the profits of the property. The defendants set up a defence to the suit which raised the question of the proprietary right of the plaintiffs to the property. The value of the mortgages interests in the property was below R5,000; the value of the mortgaged property exceeded that amount. On appeal to the High Court from the original decree of the Sabordinate Indge in the suit, it was contended that the appeal from that decree lay to the District Court and not to the High Court. Heild that the "subject-matter in dispute," within the meaning of section 22 of VI of 1871, was the mortgage and the mortgagees' rights moder it, and that, the value of this being only R2,000, the appeal should have been preferred to the District Court. Second Appeal No. 1039 of 1877 dissented from, Gobind Singh e. Kallu [L. L. R., 2 All., 778]

VALUE OF PROPERTY, STATEMENT IN WILL AS TO-

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS, I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561

VARIANCE BETWEEN BOUGHT AND SOLD NOTES.

See EVIDENCE—PAROL EVIDENCE—VARY-ING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN IN-STRUMENTS . 9 B. L. R., 245

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF.

	COL
1. GENERAL CASES	. 6094
2. Special Cases	. 6096
3. Admission of Part of Claim	. 6114

See Cases under Estoppel—Estoppel by Deeds and other Documents.

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

See Cases under Issues—Fresh or Additional Issues,

See TITLE-EVIDENCE AND PROOF OF TITLE-LONG POSSESSION.

[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418

See Written Statement.
[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. Decision on point not raised in pleadings or issues.—A plaintiff must recover secundum altegata et probata, and no decree should be given in his favour on a point not raised in the pleadings nor embodied in an issue. JOYTARA DASSEE W. MATOMED MODARUCX

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 975: 11 C. L. R., 399 JANKEE v. JHANJOO . . 2 N. W., 407

MOOKTAKESHEE DEBEA v. COLLECTOR OF BURDWAN 12 W. R., 204

TARA CHAND ROY v. NOBIN CHUNDER ROY [21 W. R., 132

PROTAP CHUNDER BOROOAH v. COLLECTOR OF GOWALPARA 22 W. R., 216

2. Amendment of case.—Mistake or misapprehension.—A plaintiff can be allowed to amend his case only when be has an honset case, but either through mistake or some misapprehension he has not placed the real facts before the Court. But no Uttr v. Lekhrame Kooff

[16 W. R., 123

3. Code, 1859, Operation of, as compared with old procedure in equity,—Under the Civil Procedure Code parties not bound so strictly to the pleadings as in an equity suit under the old procedure, it their being so bound would work injustice. Dosses R. Tarracuters Coopnoo Chrowpher

[Bourke, A. O. C., 48

4. Variance in plaint.—Dismissal of suit, Ground for.—Held by a majority that the Code of Civil Procedure does not require the dismissal of a suit by reason of any variance in the plaint. MAHOMED REEZAOODEEN t. HOSEN'S EUKER KHAN 1 W.R., 300

5.— Raising issues after variance is shown.—A plaintiff will not be allowed to set up one case, and, having proved another, to ask for issues to be raised to suit the proof; but when a plaint and its proof necessarily lead to one or more particular issues, it is the duty of the Court, if these issues do not come by surprise on the Idefendant, to raise such issues, and to give the relief thereon to which the plaintiff is entitled. Onhorement Mullinger 8. WOOMERS CHUNDER PAUL . 2 Hyde, 263

6. — Proof of cause of action not alleged. - Dismissal of suit, Ground for. - Claim on one cause of action, evidence showing another. -

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

Proof of cause of action not alleged-continued.

Where a plaintiff sues on one cause of action and in support thereof gives evidence which, if it establishes anything, establishes a different cause of action, the Court acts properly in dismissing his suit. MUDHOO-GOODIN GOSSAME D. HILLS 10 W. R., 242

- 7. Amount proved exceeding amount claimed.—Decree.—Where the amount to which the plaintiff would be entitled on the evidence exceeds that specified in the plaint, plaintiff is restricted to the amount so specified. NATHOORAM v. JABDINS, SKINNER, & CO. . . CO., 118
- 8. Presumption from failure to prove allegations.—Cuare of proof.—An adversary is entitled to the benefit of such presumptions as naturally arise from a party's failure to prove his allegations, even though the onus was in the first instance on the former. GUNEE BISWAS F. SERE GOFAL PAUL CHOWDERY
- 9. Failure to prove precise case pleaded.—Devree, Right to.—A previous ruling in Beejoynath Chatterjee v. Lukhee Monee Dabee, 12 W. R., 229, explained not to mean that a plaintiff must either get the thing he claims on nothing at all, but that having come into Court upon one title, which he asks to have declared and fails to prove, a plaintiff cannot claim the declaration of another. GOIDUK CHUNDEE SINGAR o. ISHAN CRUNDEE DEE
- 10. Right to make party liable in different character.—Suit against party personally.—Representative's liability.—In a suit to recover advances made to the defendant to carry on an indigo factory under a karbarnamah, in which it was agreed that the advances should first be repaid out of the profits realized from the manufacture, where it was found that the sale of the indigo had yielded more than the amount advanced, but had been credited by the plaintiff to old debts owing him by the defendant's father instead of to the defendant's personal debt.—Held that the plaintiff had yielded the turns of the agreement, and had not in good faith attempted to make the defendant personally liable, and he could not be allowed to proceed against the defendant as representative of his father. FRENCUX P. LOGMMERPUT SINCH 12 W. R., 113
- 11. Unestablished defence.—
 Decree, Right to.—The Court should not necessarily decree the plaintiff's claim in full because the defence set up by defendant has entirely failed. Mutnoon Ant v. Kirsia. 1 Agra, 276
- 12. Defence not set up by defendant.—Inconsistent defence.—It is not competent to a Court to set up a defence not only not made by the defendant, but inconsistent with his own statement. Surbur SOONDIERE DABES C. PURESH NAMAIN ROY . 13 W. R., 494

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Defence not set up by defendant-continued.

RADHA BINODÉ DUTT v. KOOTABODE MUNDUL . 15 W. R., 368

CHITTRA COOMARY BEERE r. RAM LALL MOO-KERJEE . . . 18 W. R., 334

RAJARAM BANERJEE V. SONATUN ROY [23 W. R., 404

2. SPECIAL CASES.

- Account, Suit for balance of .- Failure to prove balance alleged .- Issues .- Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 141 .- Held, in contravention of various rulings of the late Sudder Court, that a suit brought on an alleged settlement of accounts, and balance struck and admitted, should not be dismissed merely on account of the plaintiff's failing to prove the alleged settlement and admission of balance by defendant; but that the Court, being competent under section 141 of the Civil Procedure Code to amend or frame additional issues that may be necessary to determine the real question or controversy between the parties, ought to enter into evidence regarding the items composing the account, and decree the claim regarding such items, if they are found to be due and not otherwise barred. KI-SHUN PERSHAD v. BHAWANEE DEEN

[Agra, F. B., 47 : Ed. 1874, 35

RAMSAHOV v. SEETHOO

[1 N. W., 28: Ed. 1873, 26 But where the issues had been framed solely on

But where the issues and been framed solely on the alleged adjustment, the suit was held to be rightly dismissed. NOBIN CHUNDER KOONDOO v. SREEDHUR BHUTTARCHARJEE . 15 W. R., 24

14. Alienation, Suit to set aside.
—Varience between ears is plaint and evidence.
Raiving ground not taken in plaint.—The plaintiff, a Hindu, sued to set aside a certain alienation, on the ground that the alienor was an illegitimate son of the plaintiff's grandfather, and therefore had no interest in the property. Not being able to substantiate this ground in the first Court, the plaintiff, on appeal, raised a new ground,—viz., that the alienation was bad, because, under the Mitakshara law, the owner of a share in a joint ancestral estate is not competent to alienate his slare without the consent of the other heirs. Itald that such variance could not be allowed, and that the plaintiff must prove his ease as laid in the plaintiff must prove his ease as laid in the plaintiff must prove his ease as laid in the plaintiff must prove his ease

[6 B. L. R., 555: 14 W. R., 386

15. Failure to prove case.—Raising fresh case on appeal.—In a suit to set aside a sale of ancestral property by a minor's father and guardian as made without necessity and for the father's profligate expenditure, and without inquiry by the purchasers as to whether it was for the infant's benefit, the defendants alleged that the sale was made under pressure of a foreclosure suit on account of a demand under a former mortgage

SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Alienation, Suit to set aside-continued.

for an ancestral debt. Plaintiff having failed to establish his case, sought to go back and open the consideration for the mortrage made so long as twenty years ago, but the Privy Council, agreeing with the High Court, refract to allow him to do so. HYMEEDA alias KHAJOO v. AMATOOL MERDER BROUN . 17 W. R. P. C., 106

16. Company. Contributories, List of .- Amendment of plaint. Where the holder of shares in a company was described in the list of contributories, against whom a balance order by the Court of Chancery had been made, as " Devii Bhanji, cotton merchant," and as being sued "in his own right,"

—Held that the plaintiffs' company could not be allowed to give evidence that the shares were in fact held by a firm consisting of two individuals named respectively Bhanji Zutani and Devji Hem-raj; nor could the plaintiffs be allowed, at the hear-ing of the appeal, to amend their plaint, originally framed against both partners, with a view to making the firm liable for the amount of the calls, so as to sue Bhanji Zutani only, who alone was alleged to have signed the articles and memorandum of association in the name of Devji Bhanji, and to make him personally liable as the holder of the shares. Weikersheim's case, L. R., 8 Ch., Ap., 831, distinguished. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean BANK, v. BHANJI ZUTANI . I. L. R., 2 Bom., 116

17. Compromise.—Failure to prone case.—Right to succeed on ground not alleged.
—Where the plaintiff sued to have a deed of compromise set aside as having been fraudulently entered into behind his back and without his knowledge, and failed to prove say fraud or collusion,—Reld that he was not entitled to a decree on the ground taken on appeal, that the document was invalid as being unregistered, declaring that it did not affect his interests. MADRUB ALI KHAN v. HOSSAIN REZA KHAN 4.C. I. R., 52

18. Contract.—Assumption of facts,
Devision on.—The determinations in a cause should be
founded upon a case either to be found in the pleadings or involved in or consistent with the case thereby made. Therefore, where the relief sought by
the plaint is grounded on a contract, the case must
not be determined upon an alleged equity resulting
from a different state of facts and inconsistent with
that alleged by the plaintiff. ESMAN CHUNDER
SINGH R. SKAMMOHUKN BROTTO

[2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87: 6 W. R., P. C., 57

Followed in Doss RAM Doss v. Mohendro Roy Decha . . . 18 W. R., 274

19. — Issues.—Amendament of plaint.—Variance between case in plaint and evidence.—The plaintiffs sued the defendants for damages for breach of contract, alleging in their plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defendant for the plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defendant for the plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defendant for the plaint that they had agreed to sell, and the defendant for the plaint that they had agreed to sell and the plaint that they had agreed to sell a sell a sell and the plaint that they had agreed the plaint that they had agreed the plaint that they had agreed to sell a se

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Contract-continued.

ants to purchase, certain indigo seed, but that the defendants had refused to take delivery, although the plaintiffs were ready and willing to deliver the same. Upon the evidence of the plaintiffs, it appeared that there was no contract as alleged in the plaint, but the contract, as stated by them, was that they (the plaintiffs) were to purchase seeds as agents for the defendants. The Judge dismissed the suit on the ground that the plaintiffs were bound to prove their case as stated in the plaint. Held that that the suit ought not to have been dismissed on that ground. The issues raised admitted of the true question being tried,-viz., whether, under the circumstances, the defendants were liable to pay the price of the seed; and if they did not, the Court ought to have amended the issues, or framed additional ones. The object of the plaint is merely to bring the matter in dispute before the Court, but it is for the Court, upon the statements before it, to determine the real issue between the parties. ARBUTHNOT v. BETTS . . . 6 B. L. R., 273: 14 W. R., 181

20. Ejectment, Suit for Fallure to prove lease. Beliance on general title, Eight of rock to the tonato on the expination of the latter's term, or for breach of the conditions of his lease, and fails to prove the lease, he is not ordinarily at liberty in the same suit, ignoring the lease, to fall back upon his general sitle as though he had not set up and failed to prove the alleged lease. A plaintiff must be limited to the case which he puts forward in his plaint, but he may put forward an alternative case in his plaint from the commencement, as the defendant them will know that he has more than one case to meet, and will not be taken by surprise. Laksemm. Bat v. Hark RIN RAVII 9 Bom., 1

21. Fraud.—Trailure to prove specific case of fraud.—Where the plaintiff in his pleadings pledged himself to prove a specific case of fraud, and made his cause of action entirely dependent on that, he was not allowed to succeed, when he failed to prove fraud, on a collateral matter. SABEB ROY o. GUJADRUR PERSHAD NABAIN SINGER

[22 W. R., 221

22. Mortgage.—Sait for redemption.—Decree on mortgage set up by defendants and not on that alleged by plaintiff.—In a suit to redeem, the plaintiff produced a mortgage the genuineness of which the defendants denied, but they produced a mortgage from the plaintiff's ancestors to their ancestors. The Principal Suddor Ameen made a decree for the restoration of the lands according to the terms of the mortgage produced by the defendants. The Civil Judge reversed the decision. Held, on special appeal, that the Principal Sudder Ameen was justified in making the decree which he gave, it not being inconsistent with the relief prayed for by the plaint. UNIORA KANDYIB KUNHI KUTTI NAIB v. VALIA PURGAIL KUNHAMEE KUTTI MARGO.

[4 Mad., 359

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Mortgage-continued.

- Suit for redemy tion.—Evidence given of other mortgage than the mortgage in respect of which suit brought.—Evidence Act, I of 1872, s. 35.—Statement of a survey officer as to entry as occupant how far admissible. - The plaintiff sucd to redeem certain lands alleged to have been mortgaged by his ancestor to the ancestors of the defendants in 1823. At the hearing the deed of mort-gage, in respect of which the suit was brought, was produced, but another mortgage of about the same date was produced and proved by the plaintiff. The lower Courts passed a decree for the plaintiff. defendants appealed. Held (reversing the decree of the lower Courts) that where a particular instrument is sued on as the basis of a right, it is incumbent on the plaintiff to establish his case on that particular cause of action, not on a cause of action merely bearing the same common name, or of the same description, and so included in the same class. Under section 35 of the Evidence Act, I of 1872, a statement by the survey officer that the name of this or that person was entered as occupant would be admissible if relevant. but it would not be admissible to prove the reasons for such an entry as facts in another case. GOVIND-RAV DESHMUKH v. RAGHO DESHMUKH

[I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543

· Change of nature of suit .- The plaintiff sued to redeem a mortgage, alleging that it was made in the year A.D. 1821 for R25. The defendant admitted the mortgage, but alleged that it was made in A.D. 1791 for R110, and contended that the suit was barred by limitation. Subordinate Judge held that the mortgage had been made for the amount and at the date alleged by the defendant, but that the suit was not time-barred, as the mortgagor's title had been acknowledged by the mortgagee within the period of limitation. He accordingly made a decree for redemption on terms consistent with the plea of the defendant, but opposed to that of the plaintiff. On appeal, the Assistant Judge agreed with the first Court as to the merits of the case, but reversed its decree on the ground that the plaintiff was not entitled to succeed on a state of facts inconsistent with the case set forth in the plaint, observing that a plaintiff ought not to be allowed to change his cause offaction. Held by the High Court, on second appeal, that the decree made by the first Court in favour of the plaintiff did not in any way proceed upon a cause of action different from that made in the plaint, and that the cause of action remained the same,-namely, the right of the mortgagor to redeem from a mortgagee. A plaintiff ought not to be allowed to alter his case so as to convert a suit of one character into a suit of another and inconsistent character. LAKSHMAN BHISAJEE HARI DINKAR DESAI . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 584

25. — Alteret on of case from that made in plaint.—Upon a mortgage of land made little less than sixty years before the present suit, a decree followed in 1825 to the effect that an

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Mortgage-continued.

account having been taken of what was due on the mortgage, the mortgage might at any time make a tender of such mortgage-money with interest up to date, and require that the land should be restored. The plaintiff, representing the interest of the original mortgager, such for redemption of the mortgager, treating the above decree as regulating the rights of the parties from the time when it was made. Held that the plaintiff not having sought by his plaint to redeem the mortgage, or alleged that there had been acknowledgment, could not in the present appeal fall back on a right to redeem such mortgage, although the latter might be within limitation, as that would be to make a case different from the one tried and decided in the Courts below. Accordingly, the suit had been properly dismissed. Hant RAVI CHIPLUNGAR S. SHAPURI HOMMANIS INTER

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 461

20.6 Suit for redemption of immoveable property brought as dones.—Title of plaintiff as reversioner.—In a suit for the redemption of immoveable property brought by the plaintiff as done from a fluid widow of the equity of redemption, the plaintiff's right to the property as reversioner cannot be inquired into, notwithstanding an allegation in the plaint that he was a near relative of the husband of the donor. Jagarnath virials v. Apan Vesinus. 5 Bomg. A. C., 217

27. Procedure.—
Where a mortgagor snes to recover possession of the mortgaged property on the ground that the loan has been paid off from the assets of the estate, and that he is entitled to recover surplus collections, and the Courf finds that a large balance in favour of the mortgage still exists, the plaintiff is not entitled to a conditional decree, but the suit should be dismissed. KUNDUN LAI W. SASTA KOOER. SASTA KOOER V. KYNDUN LAI. S. SASTA KOOER. SASTA KOUR V. R. SASTA KOOER.

But see Boistub Doss Koondoo v. Huro Na-RAIN HALDAR 17 W. R., 408

28. Usufructuary mortgage.—Failure of claim to enforce lien.—Compensation for breach of contract to give mortgage possession.—A usufructuary mortgagee, the mortgagor having broken his agreement to give him possession of the mortgaged property, sued the mortgagor to recover the principal mortgage-money and interest by enforcement of lien. The property was not hypothecated as security for the mortgagemoney. Held that it was inequitable to dismiss the suit for that reason, the defendant having been guilty of a breach of the contract of mortgage, for which the plaintiff was entitled to compensation; that although the plaintiff did not expressly claim such relief, yet, regard being had to the pleadings and evidence in the case, the suit might be treated as one for such relief; and that on estimating the compensation which should be awarded, the principal mortgages.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Mortgage-continued.

money with interest at the rate specified in the contract of mortgage might fairly be taken as a reasonable guide. Mahesh Singh v. Chauharia Singh (I. L. R., 4 All., 245

Usufructuaru mortgage .- Suit to enforce hypothecation .- Compensation for breach of contract .- Money lent .- Money had and received for plaintiff's use.—An instrument of mortgage provided that the mortgagors should deliver possession of the mortgaged property to the mortgagee, and the latter should retain possession, setting off profits against interest, until the former should redeem, by payment of the principal sum, which they were at liberty to do in the month of Jaith in any year they pleased. The mortgagors having failed to deliver possession of the mortgaged property, the mortgagee sued them for the principal sum and interest, asking for enforcement of lien. instrument of mortgage did not contain any hypothecation of the property. Held that, although the suit, so far as it sought enforcement of lien, wholly failed there being no hypothecation of the property, yet it was not equitable or proper that, as regards the money-claim, the mortgagee should be relegated to a fresh suit, inasmuch as a cause of action was dis-closed, whether the suit was regarded as one for compensation in damages for breach of contract, or for money had and received for the plaintiff's use, or for money lent, and the suit should be determined on its merits. SHEO NABAIN v. JAI GOBIND [I. L. R., 4 All., 281

30. Partition.—Failure of suit.— Right to declaration of share.—Where the main object of a suit framed and valued as a suit for partition of a portion of the estate fails, the plaintiff is

Affirming S. C. . . . 22 W. R., 11

- Possession .- Moveable property .- Making different case on appeal .- In a suit for delivery over to plaintiff of papers said to be in the possession of defendant, the answer of the latter was that he had made over the papers to the plaintiff's son. This plea was put in issue in the first Court, which found that some papers had been delivered as alleged, and made a decree ordering the delivery of certain other of the papers. On appeal, the attention of the Judge was principally directed to the point whether the receipt of the papers by the plaintiff's son was a receipt by him as plaintiff's agent. Held that this point was a departure wholly from the case made below, and ought not to have been entertained on appeal. PUNCHANUN ROY v. TROYLUCKHOMO-HINEE DOSSEE . . 14 W.R., 466

32. Immoveable property.—Separate acquisition.—Held that the question of possession was not a proper one for decision

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

SPECIAL CASES—continued.

Possession-continued.

when a plea of limitation.was overruled, and the claim was found to be based, not on the fact of possession, but of the claimant being a member of the joint family and the property acquired by joint funds. Nuvn Rax w. Chooroo . 1 Agra, 255

33. — Possession, Suit for,—docrewd of cause of action.—Limitation.—In a snit by an execution-purchaser to recover possession of landed property, where defendant pleads limitation and plaintiff proves facts from which the Court's unable to draw conclusions of law for itself, plaintiff ought not to be strictly bound to the accural of the cause of action alleged in his plaint, so long as that arose within twelve years before commencement of the suit. MABIAM BEGUM v. RYE CHUEN DUTT [13 W. R. 269]

34. — Misdescription as to situation of lands.—Identification.—Where lands claimed under a certificate of sale as being in one village are found to be in another, it is open to the plantiff to show that there has been a misdescription, and that, although, the name of the former was used, the intention was to convey the lands he claimed situate in the latter, RAMGOFAL BARKER #0. STRIP PRESEAD SIROAR ... 12 W. R., 483

35. Eailure to prose potath—In a suit for possession by two ryots chaining under different potaths from the same zemindar, when the defendant's potath fails, he still has a right to have a judicial determination of his claim to occupancy. BYDNATE SHAHA O. JADUE CHUNDER SHAHA O. JAVE SW.R. 208

36. Suit for possession on specific title.—Right of occupancy.—A plaintiff who succeeds in proving the facts stated in his plaint as necessarily implying a right of occupancy, may succeed in a suit for possession even though be does not prove the title on which he specifically relied. STERJO PERSIALO P. KASHER RAWTE.

37. Decree on ground not alleged in plaint.—The plaintiff used for a declaration of minus mokurrari rights to certain lands and for meane profits, alleging that he had been wrongfully ejected by the prodecessors in title of the defendants. Held that the lower Courts were wrong in giving the plaintiff a decree for possession on the ground of occupancy right, he not having claimed such relief in his plaint. Bigoga Debic v. Bydonath Deb, 24 W. R., 444, followed. Brindbaund Deb, Errandburnder Sterker v. Drunners Versikus v.

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246 : 4 C. L. R., 443 Defendant sued as

a trespasser.—Right to decree against him as a tenant.—Where a plaintiff brings a snit for possession, alleging that the defendant is a trespasser, the PLEADING :

VARIANCE BETWEEN AND PROOF-continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Possession, Suit for-continued,

moment it is shown that the defendant is not in possession as a trespasser, but holds as a tenant under the plaintiff, the suit must be dismissed, no matter what the character of that tenancy may be. RAM GOLAM SINGH v. HEET NABAIN SAHOO

[2 C. L. R., 292

- Failure to prove allegation of defendant's tenancy.-Right to treat him as a trespasser .- Where a plaintiff sued for khas possession on the ground that the defendant was his tenant and had forfeited his tenure by denying his landlord's title, and it was found there was no relation of laudlord and touant between the parties, the plaintiff was held not entitled to succeed on the contention that the defendant was a trespasser. Laljee Singh n. Bunwary Lall Roy [25 W. R., 448

 Failure to prove permanent character of tenancy.—Right to decree as tenants.—In a suit for possession of land on the strength of an alleged mirasi mokurrari, one of the main issues was whether the plaintiffs were or were not tenants of the land in dispute, and upon this issue it was found that the plaintiffs had acquired a title as tenants from long possession, although they failed to establish the mirasi mokurrari character of their tenure. Held that the plaintiffs were entitled to a decree for possession. Kales Comar Pattur v. Khettur Nath Baug, 17 W. R. 47; and Surjoo Pershad v. Kashee Bauvit, 21 W. R., 121; followed. Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb, 24 W. R., 424; and Britadobun Chunder Sircar V. Bauvit, 21 Bhomaint I. 240; 77 P. 27 v. Dhananjoy Luskur, I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246 : 4 C. L. R., 448, distinguished. SHIB CHUND LAHIRI v. . 7 C. L. R., 103 JOYMALA DASI

- Suit on ground of forcible dispossession where defendant's possession is found to be permissive .- A suit to recover possession of land on the ground of forcible dispossession, in which it was pleaded by defendant and found as a fact that the defendant's holding was of a permissive character, should be dismissed at once, the defendant's possession not being a wrongful one of the kind alleged by plaintiff. The right mode of action in such a case would have been for plaintiff to serve the defendant with notice to quit the land, and thereby put an end to the permission relied upon by him. PHILLIPS v. NUNDCOOMAR BANERJEE [8 W. R., 385

-A plaintiff's failure to prove dispossession on the particular date mentioned in the plaint is not a sufficient ground for

the dismissal of the suit. HURO CHUNDER CHOW-DHRY v. GOBIND CHUNDER MOITRO

[15 W. R., 178 Boga Kolita v. Thoolessur Kayasta [24 W. R., 357

TORAB ALI v. MAROMED AMEER HOSSEIN [3 C. L. R., 105 VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF-continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Possession, Suit for-continued,

- Suit for confirmation of possession.—Proof that plaintiff was out of possession.—Change in form of suit.—The plaintiff sued for an adjudication of his right to, and contirmation of possession of, certain lands, on the allegation that they had been conveyed to him by one of the defendants and that he was in actual possession thereof, and that his title thereto had been impeached by the subsequent sale of the same lands by his vendor to the other defendant. The Court of first instance found that the plaintiff's allegation of possession was false, and dismissed the suit. Held, on appeal, that the suit was rightly dismissed. for though a plaintiff who brings forward a bond fide case, which he proves in substance, though not in form, would be assisted by the Court, in the absence of such special circumstances no such assistance would be afforded. TERIETPUT SINGH v. Gos-SAIN SUDERSAN DAS . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 46

- Failure to prove case in plaint .- Right to decree on other grounds .-At a sale held under Bengal Act VIII of 1865, the defendant purchased a shikmi tenure, and obtained derending parenased a single centre, and obtained possession thereof. Subsequently he ousted the plaintiff from certain lands, and hence the suit by the plaintiff for recovery of possession thereof, on the ground that the property in dispute was a lakhiraj tenure created by the Raja of Tippera, and that the plaintiff was owner thereof, partly by purchase and partly by inheritance. The lower Appellate Court found as a fact that the late shikmidar, and court found as a face that the late shirkman, and not the Raja, had granted the lands in dispute as bramatan, but not in favour of the person through whom the plaintiff claimed. The Court, however, passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff, as he had been unlawfully dispossessed. Held that the plaintiff having failed to prove the case as set up by him, and upon which he claimed, could not be entitled to a decree upon grounds other than those stated in the plaint. ISWAR CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY v. BISTU CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTY

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 97: 12 W. R., 32

Failure to prove case .- Changing case on appeal .- Each of two proprictors, A. and B., separately mortgaged the whole of the joint property to different persons. mortgagee, who was prior in time, obtained a decree on his bond, sold and purchased the house. In a subsequent suit for confirmation of right and possession by A.'s mortgagee, he charged that the other bond and decree were fraudulent and collusive, and that B. had no interest in the property. All these allegations were found to be false by the lower Appellate Court. Held, on special appeal, that the plaintiff could not recede from the case he had made in the lower Courts, and claim to be entitled to a decree for A.'s interest in the house. DURSUN SAHOO v. 2 C. L. R., 538 PRYAG RAM

46. -- Failure to show alternative case .- Right to change case in special

2 SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Possession, Suit for-continued.

appeal .- Suit for possession of certain property as part of a joint-family property sold by a widow without authority. Plaintiff applied to appeal specially on the ground, but could cite no authority in support of it, that when the eldest member and manager of the family purchases out of his own separate funds, because the family is joint, the property must be considered as joint property. Having failed in this character, the Court declined to allow him in special appeal to come in as a reversioner, and ask for a decree declaring the widow's act void as against reversioner. Madno Pershad v. Lalla JEETUN LALL . 17 W. R., 98

Joint claim.-Right to succeed on proof of separate title.—Where the plaintiffs in a suit put forward a joint claim, it is not enough that one of them makes out his title ; the suit should be dismissed unless the joint claim is established. RAM COMUL CHUCKERBUTTY v. NUND RAM COOLAL . . 10 W. R., 262

SHEO NUNDUN PERSHAD v. MUKDOOM BUKSH 720 W. R., 364

Joint claim -Right to succeed on proof of title to less share separately.—A plaintiff suing on the ground that she was jointly entitled, was not allowed to succeed in the suit where it was shown she was only entitled to a less share in her own separate right. HURRO MONEE share in her own separate right. DOSSEA v. ONOOKOOL CHUNDER MOOKERJEE 18 W. R., 461

Claim to exclusive possession .- Proof of right to joint possession .-When a plaintiff in a suit asks for one thing (e.g., exclusive possession), a Court ought not to give him a decree because he proves that he is entitled to another thing (e.g., joint possession). BEEJOYNATH CHATTERJEE v. LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE

112 W. R., 248 SREENARAIN CHUCKERBUTTY v. MILLER

15 W. R., O. C., 7

- Claim to separate possession.—Proof of joint possession.—Alteration of claim .- When a plaintiff who claims property on the allegation that he purchased it from a person to whom it exclusively belonged, fails to prove that the property was the separate property of his vendor, he cannot have a decree for the share of the property to which his vendor was entitled as a member of a joint family. Gour Beharre Ram Bhug-gut v. Sheoruttun Koonwar . 10 W. R., 243

 Failure of proof of right to sole possession .- Decree on admission of defendant of joint possession.—Where a plaintiff such for sole possession and a declaration of sole title, and the defendant admitted that he was in joint possession, but the plaintiff went on with his suit in order to get a decree that he was solely entitled and in sole

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF-continued.

2, SPECIAL CASES-continued. Possession, Suit for-continued.

possession, and failed to prove his case, he was held not entitled to a decree founded on joint possession. LUKHUN SINGH v. NUFFUR SING . 6 W. R., 311

- Suit for possession of share .- Decree for joint possession .- In a suit to recover possession of a third part of a khanabari, where the first Court, considering that there never had been a partition in definite shares, ordered restoration to the sort of possession plaintiff had enjoyed previous to being dispossessed, -Held that there was no objection to the decree being in that form; and although a plaintiff does not prove the precise claim which he makes, if he is substantially right he ought to have a decree, and not be left to bring another suit. RAJKISHORE BRUDDUR v. HURBE MORUN BHUDDUR 19 W. R., 195

Dissenting from BEEJOYNATH CHATTERJEE LUCKHEE MONEE DABEE . 12 W. R., 248

- Claim to share of property as being partitioned .- Relief inconsistent with allegations on plaint. In a suit to recover a quantity of land alleged to have formed part of a joint estate which had descended to plaintiff and his brothers, but which was subsequently divided into separate shares,-Held that, upon failure of proof of the allegation of partition, plaintiff might obtain relief upon the first allegation; and the Court below was not debarred by law from framing an issue as to whether plaintiff was entitled to recover to the extent of the interest which he had in the land, if found to be joint property. FUKERE DASS POOROHEET 7.
GOPAL MOOKERJEE 12 W. R., 107

54. Claim to pro-perty on separate title.—Right to decree on joint title.-The plaintiff alleged in his plaint that the defendant had creeted a hut, or challa, upon ground to which he, the plaintiff, was separately entitled. The lower Appellate Court found that the land in dispute was the joint property of both parties, and that the defendant was not at liberty to crect the hut without the express permission of the plaintiff, and ordered the demolition of the challa. Held that the plaintiff was not entitled to a judgment upon a ground which was inconsistent with the case set out in his plaint. NABIN CHANDRA MITTER v. MAHRS CHANDRA MITTER [3 B. L. R., Ap., 111: 12 W. R., 69

Pre-emption, Suit for .-Claim to right in different ways .- In a suit to establish a right of pre-emption, where the plaint is framed on right of Shufeh Khuleet, the plaintiff ought not to be allowed to shift his ground and make out a new case as Shufeh Jah. GOBIND ROW v. GIRDHAREE SAHOO 24 W. R., 355

 Principal and agent.—Suit by principal against agent.—Failure of suit on grounds pleaded .- A bank sued H., its agent, who

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Principal and agent-continued.

had appointed N. to act in the matter of the agency, for money belonging to it which H. had paid N. for the purposes of the agency, and which was not accounted for by N., claiming the same on the ground that N. had been appointed to act as a sub-agent without anthority. The lower appellate Court found that N. had been appointed by H. to act in the matter of the agency with authority, but, instead of dismissing the suit with reference to this finding, gave the plaintiff bank a decree against H. on the ground that he had not exercised ordinary prudence in selecting N. as an agent for his principal. Held that, in-asmuch as the plaintiff bank had not claimed relief on the ground that H. had failed in his duty in maning N. as an agent for his principal, but on the ground that N. had been appointed without authority, and had failed to prove its case, the suit should have been dismissed. HAMILTON v. LAND MORTRAGE BANK OF INDIA

57. Rent.—Suit for arrears of rest.—Failure to prove contract.—Claim for use and occupation.—Where a plaintiff used for rent and failed to prove any contract, express or implied, to pay it, he was held not entitled to change his case and ask for compensation for use and occupation. LUCIMESPET DOSS. E. ENAF. ALI 22 W. R. 346.

- Suit for rent on unstamped lease. Failure to prove lease. Right to recover dumages for use and occupation.—The plaintiff alleged that he had given possession to the defendant of a certain estate, in consideration of the payment by the defendant of annual rent for a term of five years; that the defendant had paid the rent for the first three years of the term, but had neglected to pay any for the last two years, and that since the expiry of the term the defendant had remained in possession; and he claimed to recover possession of the property and a certain sum for its use and occupation by the defendant. He also claimed to recover the same sum as damages for the retention of the estate by the defendant, from the date up to which the defendant had last paid rent. The agreement be-tween the parties was contained in certain letters which were unstamped. *Held* that although the claim to relief made by the plaintiff on the basis of the contract must fail, because there was no evidence of the contract on which the Court could act, yet he could fall back on his claim to recover damages for the use and occupation of the land, as the defendant could not defend his possession, being equally incompetent with the plaintiff to rely on the terms of a contract of which he could not give proof, and as he did not deny the use and occupation alleged, he had no answer to the claim for damages. MACGI-5 N. W., 65 VERON v. WALLACE .

59. Suit for rent in kind.—Reidence of nugdi rent.—In a suit for a balance of rent on the allegation that defendants enliqued a portion of plaintiffs' jaghir as bhowli

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

tenants, where defendants denied that they were such tenants and pleuded a mokurrari pottah,—Held that even on the defendants failing to establish their pleu, the suit could not succeed, as the plaintiffs failed to make out their case, and it appeared that the defendants were holding the whole jagair at a nakdl rent. LUOIMBERHUEN PATTUCE v. RUGHORDEN SINGH . 24 W. R. 284 W. R. 284 W. R. 284

60. Suit for declaratory decree.—Suit under Beng. Rent Act, 1869.—
Where the plaintiff sued under Bengal Act VIII of 1869 for a declaration that certain land was mid, as well as for assessment of rent thereon and for arrears of rent at the rate assessed, and the suit was dismissed, and on appeal the plaintiff abandoned the two last points in his claim and asked merely for a declaratory decree,—Held that the lower Appellate Court ought, notwithstanding the plaintiff had elected to see under the Rent Act, to have proceeded with that part of the case, and disposed of the appeal as to that only. ANUND MOYEE DOSSEE e. RAYE MOYEE DOSSEE

C1.—Sait for kabulat on allegation of holding specific quantity of land.—Hailure to prose allegation.—In a suit for a kabulat, on the allegation that the defendant is holding a specific quantity of hand much rim, if the plaintiff's allegations are disproved, and the relation of landlord and tenual is not established, the plaintiff's suit must altogether fail, YAKOOB ALT o. KAENNOLIAM WARD OF THE ALERNOLIAM OF THE ALERNOLIA

63. Suit for rent.—Suit for rent.—Failure to prove kabuliat.—The plaintiff having sued for rent upon a kabuliat, and failed to prove it, is not entitled to a decree if he shows that the defendants had paid hin rent for a number of years, the Court observing that it would not be the exercise of a sound discretion to allow a party who relies upon a document to set up a fresh case when an issue as to the execution of such document is found against him, and there are good reasons for believing that the document is not genuine. NURROHUTERY MOHONTO N. NALAINEE DOSSEE

[W. R., F. B., 23:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 9: 1 Hay, 284 S. C. Nairainee Dossee v. Nurrohurey Mo-

[W. R., 1864, 187] RAM NAFFER KHABA v. DEGUMBER CHATTERJEE

[W. R., 1864, 259 Kureemooddren Biswas v. Hurochundeb Gooho [1 W. R., 305

GOVIND RAMCHANDRA GONHLE v. AHMED [5 Bom., A. C., 133

BHOYRUB CHUNDER CHOWDERY v. HARADHUN GHOSE Marsh, 561: 2 Hay, 666

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

Simroo Kareegur v. Anund Chunder Roy [Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130

See also JEETOO v. BERTUN [Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85:

1 Hay, 112 FATIMA BEBEE v. ARIF SOOKANEE

[Marsh., 263: 2 Hay, 106

So also in the case of a defendant, Gooroo DOSS GHOSE v. SRISTEE DHUR DEY [W. R., 1864, Act X, 39

- Suit for pottak at fixed rate of rent.—Eailure in proof.—In a suit brought by a ryot to obtain a pottah at a fixed rent, under section 3 of Act X of 1859, on the ground that the lands have been held at a fixed rent which has not been changed from the time of the permanent settlement, if the plaintiff fail in proving such a holding, he is not entitled in that suit to have a decree under section 5 for a pottah at a fair and equitable rate. DOORGA MARTOON v. KANHYR LALL AJHA [Marsh., 371; 2 Hay, 422

64. . Suit on kahuliat which plaintiff fails to prove .- Plaintiff sucd upon a kabuliat, and filed a pottah in support of it. pottah having been rejected, and the kabuliat not proved, he was held not entitled to fall back on a general statement that he has a jote pottah; that the lands in dispute are part of the same; and that the lands in dispute are part or one same, and he can oust the defendant, who was duly in possession. Gobund Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, 7 W. R., 163

- Failure to prove right to pottah .- Right to have fair and equitable rate of rent fixed as occupancy ryots.—The plaintiffs sued as ryots to obtain a pottal corresponding with a kabuliat which they said had been taken from them by the defendants, who were 12-anna shareholders in the land, and, according to an alleged promise, to give them a pottah. The plaintiffs failed to make out the ground on which they relied, but the lower Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaintiffs had made out a right of occupancy under the rent law and were entitled to obtain a pottah from the zemindar at a fair and equitable rate of rent, and finding no evidence as to what such a rate would be, gave them a decree at the old rate. Held that the decision was erroneous, as there was no evidence on which the question of a fair and equitable rate could be determined, and as it rested on a ground not taken by the plaintiffs, who came into Court on a special contract. If the plaintiffs' right to a pottah had rested on the ground of their being occupancy ryots, they might claim a pottah from all the 16anna shareholders, who ought to have been made parties and the case remanded for trial by the first Court. UTHUR HOSSEIN V. RAMPHAL ROY 120 W. R., 75 VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF-continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued. - Suit for rent .-

Failure to prove kabuliat .- Where a landlord sued a ryot for arrears of rent alleged to be due under a kabuliat, and the Court found that such kabuliat had not been executed by the ryot, although he had occupied the land, the landlord was held not entitled to have a further trial of the question whether any and what amount of rent was due on account of the ryot's occupation of the land, LUKHEE KANTO DASS CHOWDHEY v. SUMBERUDDI LUSKER

[13 B. L. R., F. B., 243 : 21, W. R., 208

- Suit for enhancement of rent .- Suit on kabuliat .- Amendment of plaint .- Decree for rent on failure to prove kabuliat .- In a suit on a kabuliat, where no alternative claim for rent at an old rate is in words expressly asked for in the plaint (although it is dis-closed by the plaint that the defendant had previously occupied the land in suit at a rate which the evidence proved to be lower than the rent mentioned in the kabuliat), and where the kabuliat is not proved, it is in the discretion of the Court to amend the plaint or the issues, and to allow an alternative claim to be tried; and when the omission to make the claim in the plaint appears to have been an inadvertence, it is right that the Court should do so. Lukkee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumeeruddi Lusker, 13 B. L. R., 243, commented upon. ROUSHAN BIBEE v. HURRAY KRISTO NATH

I. L. R., S Calc., 926

 Suit for enhanceof rent.—Statements in plaint.—Although in a suit for enhancement the plaintiff should not be tied down too strictly to his statements, yet he must to some extent be limited to the case made in the plaint. BONOMALEE CHURN MYTEE v. SHOROOF HOOTAIT . . 14 W. R., 60

 Suit for enhancement of reut .- Failure to prove rent as claimed ,-If the plaintiff is unable to show that he is entitled to the rent exactly as he claims it, the Court is not debarred from giving him a decree for such cu-hanced rent as it thinks ought to be paid,—e.g., to divide the land into different classes and assign a reparate rental to each description. Buugwan CHUNDER ROY CHOWDERY v. JEGUR KHAN [22 W. R., 456

- Suit for enhancement of rent .- Failure to prove notice .- In a suit originally treated by the plaintiff as a suit for enhancement of rent, he cannot, after failing to prove naticement of reals the cannot, after inting to prove notice, treat the suit as one for enhancement, and say no notice was necessary. RASH BEHARY MONERIEE v. KHETTRO NATH ROY . 1 C. L. R., 418

- Suit for enhance ment of rent.-Suppression of material fact .- A plaintiff must state clearly in his plaint the substance

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Rent-continued.

of his claim,—i.e., the particular mode in which his claim arose, as well as the amount of that claim. Thus, where a plaintiff allowed the Coart below to decide the case as if his contention was an ordinary case between a landlord sing to cultance and a tenant resisting his claim, and the statement of the defendant divulged the material circumstance of the case that the plaintiff's estate was let in farm, the High Court verifused to allow the plaintiff on appeal to rest upon an alleged stipulation in a farming lease, the existence of which he altogether suppressed in the Court below, reserving to him the right of collecting from the tenantry an enhanced rent during the currency of the farming lease. HURGO SONDERY v. MUDDUN MORUN DUTT

72. Right of suit.—Cause of action not shown in plaint but proved in course of case.—Where a plaintiff brought a suit for confirmation of his title to an estate, in consequence of the opposition obered by defendant to an application for partition by a vendee who had purchased a portion of plaintiff's share, and the Court of first instance ried the case on its merits and gave the plaintiff a decree,—Held that the lower Appellate Court was not justified in reversing the decision of the first Court on the ground that no cause of action had been disclosed, because, although the plaint itself disclosed no cause of action, yet, on the brial of the suit on its merits, a cause of action had been disclosed in the opposition which defendant had offered to the partition proceedings, and which had interfered with the enjoyment of his rights by the plaintiff. LALDAH MARTAR ROW. D. DEDE DUTT SINCH... 25 W. R., 204 W. R.

73. Specific performance.—
Swit to enforce contract of betrothal.—Railwe to prove complete betrothal.—The plaintiff, on behalf of her infant son, sued the father and guardian of M. B. to recover possession of M. B., alleging that M. B. lad been betrothed to her son, and that under the Hinda have betrothed set her son, and that under the Hinda have betrothed as the same as marriage and could not be repudiated, and that the defendant had on demand refused to give up M. B. Held that the suit having been brought on the allegation of a perfect betrothal equivalent to marriage, it could not be tried and decided by the Court as if it were a suit for damages on account of breach of contract.
NOWDET SINGER B. LAD GOODE 5 N. W., 102

74. Title, Setting up different title from that alleged.—The plaintiff cannot be allowed to set up a different title from that on which he sues and fails to prove. ISHAN CHUNDER CHOWDIRE V. SHARDOM GOOFFERM.

75. Suit for recognition of adoption.—Right to show title by inheritance.—A distinct suit for the recognition of an adoption having totally failed, the plaintiff is not entitled to fall back on his right by descent. Seregorind Singer v. Odit Narain Singer W. R. F. B., 4

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued.

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

'Title-continued.

76.

Title of separate acquisition by purchase.—Setting up inconsistent title by joint purchase.—The plaintiff having set up a title by sole purchase, was held not at liberty to change his case entirely, and to come in and set up another and inconsistent title, founded on inheritance or joint purchase. Doss RAM Doss v. MORIEDHO ROY DECHA 18 W. R., 274

TT. — Allegation of title by sprachase.—Failure to prove allegat title.—Possession, Title by.—In a suit for declaration of title to and possession of certain property on the allegation of purchase and subsequent forcible outer, it was held that the plaintiff, having failed to prove the purchase or the forcible dispossession, could not succeed on mere proof of twenty years' possession. A plaintiff who sues on one title cannot succeed on another entirely different, HUBO SOONDIRE DEBIA . UNNOPOORNA DEBIA . 11 W.R., 550 BIJOYA DEBIA v. BYDOYAFR DEB

[24 W. R., 444

78. Failure to establike particular title—Title by long possession—
Where a plaintiff brought a suit to establish his
title, and the lower Court, on a trial of the issue,
thought the title was not proved, yet gave plaintiff a decree on the ground of his being in possession for a long time—Held that the lower Court
ought not to have given a decree upon a ground not
suggested in the plaint or in the issues tried. BHAXGO MUTTLY BINESE N. MANOMED WASH.

[25 W. R., 315

79. — Enllure to prove particular title.—Title by right of occapancy.— Act X of 1859, s. 6.—In a suit for possession of land after purchase, where defendant pleaded that he lad long held under a miras pottal which both the Courts below found to be false.—Held that the defendant could not be allowed in special appeal to come in for the first time with an allegation of a new and separate title, —viz., a right of occupancy under section 6, Act X of 1859. SOOMO KOOMAR v. GUNGADHUR HOY.

80. Fullure to proceed the procession.—Form of plaint.—Where a plaintiff who fails to proce a specific title which he sets up, yet causes it to appear that he has had a clear boad fale possession from which the Court can infer a good title, the Court will not shat him out in consequence of the mere form of the plaint. KYLASH KAMINEE DOSIA v. JUDOO BASHINEE DOSSIA v. JUDOO EMBHINEE DOSSIA v. JU

81. Allegation of moisurrari right and failure to prove it.—In a suit to recover possession of land which defendant alleged himself to have held for more than twelve years under it mosturrari lease, where the lower Appellate

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Title-continued.

Court, finding that defendant failed to prove his nocurrari right, declared he had no title to hold as a squatter,—Held that, notwithstanding the failure of the defendant to prove his mokurrari lease, the lower Court ought to have found what was the nature of the occupancy, and how long it had subsisted. JORAWUR SINGH v. KHYRAT ALI

82. Suit is one capacity, proof of right to succeed in another.—A suit was brought by a Hindu widow to recover her share, as heiress to her husband, in certain family property, of which she claimed a portion in her absolute right, and a portion as one of the joint shebaits of certain idols. Among other properties plaintiff claimed one fifth share in a talook, not as a debutter property, but, in right of her husband, as her absolute property, The first Court found that this share was the property of a certain idol, and held that she had not maintained the allegation in her plaint, and even if entitled to it in her right of joint shebait; she could not recover in that capacity, as she had not framed her plaint in that way and had not sued as shebait. The Privy Council held the High Court to be right in treating this objection as one rather of form than of substance, and in giving the relief prayed for. RADHA MOHUN MUNDUL O. JADOO-MONEE DOSSEE

83. — Amendment of plaint.—Alternative relief.—Ejectment suit.—Exiture to prove lease.—General title.—Where, in an action of ejectment against a tenaut holding over, the lease sued on was inadmissible in evidence for want of registration, and the plaint was not amended to one containing an alternative claim for partition.—Held that the plaintiff could not be allowed to fall back upon his general title, and obtain a decree for partition. RAMCHANDRA BAFUJI GORILLE v. VASUDEY MOSEMAT KADE

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451

34. Right to easement in suit for right of ownership.—Decision on case not made in pleadings.—In a suit brought to establish a right of ownership over certain land,—Held, it was not competent to the Court to enter into and decide upon the plaintiff's right to an easement over the same. A question not raised by the plaint ought not to be decided by the Court. LALFI RYMANI RAYANI RAYANIAMAN TULLARIA

12 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176

85. Title by prescription.—Making case different from that is plaint.—In a suit for the removal of a pucea building recently erected by defendant upon land lying between the premises of the two parties to the dispute, where plaintiff's claim to use the land had been putipon his title as owner.—Held that, having failed to make out the case originally set forth in the plaint, plaintiff had no right to fall back upon VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF—continued,

2. SPECIAL CASES-continued.

Title-continued.

a title by prescription. BHOOBUN MOHUN MUNDUL v. RASH BEHAREE PAUL . 15 W. R. 84

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM.

And see Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumberuddi Lusker [13 B. L. R., F. B., 243: 21 W. R., 208

and Roushan Bibes v. Hurbay Kristo Nath
[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926

87. Dismissal of said or prove it—Right to decree on defendant's admission—Where the plaintiff brought a suit for rent for R185, as rent for two years, which he alleged was payable in produce, and the defendants alleged that the rent was only R29 a year, and that the plaintiff had sued them on a former occasion and obtained a decree at that rate, the Judge, finding the defendant's case proved, held that as the plaintiff had set up a false claim, he was not entitled to a decree, and dismissed the suit. Held, on special appeal, the plaintiff was entitled to a decree for rent at the rate admitted by the defendant. KISHEN MOHUN MONKERSER A RAJOO DEY

[13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W. R., 234 ROOKHINI KANT ROY v. SHARIKATUNISSA BIBI

[13 E. L. R., 246, note: 20 W. R., 64
RAJ COOMAR SINGH v. CHOTO RAJ COOMAR
SINGH . W. R., 1864, Act X, 12
HULODHUR SEN v. SERTUL CHUNDER BHOOMICK

128 W. R. 85

88. — Eather to prove case.—Eather to prove case.—Right to decree on admission of defendant.—Dismissat of suit.—In a suit for rent, based upon an alleged settlement, the plaintiff failed to prove such settlement. Held that no issue having been raised as to what was the fair and proper value of the land, the plaintiff was not entitled to have that question determined: his suit must either be decreed at the rate admitted by defendant, or dismissed. LUTHE ALI KRAN P. FIGHR SINGH

[6 C. L. R., 208

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM-con-

- Suit for arrears of rent.-Failure to prove rate. - Decree at admitted rate. In a suit for arrears of rent, where the plaintiff fails to prove the rate of rent claimed in the plaint, it is the duty of the Court to find the proper rate of rent payable by the tenant to his landford, and not to give a decree merely for the rent admitted by the tenant. PUNNOO SINGH v. NIRGHIN SINGH [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 298: 8 C. L. R., 310

- Suit on new agreement.—Failure to prove agreement.—Decree at admitted rate.—The defendant held lands under the plaintiff at a certain rate per bigha. The plaintiff brought a suit for arrears of rent on a new agreement alleged to have been entered into by the plaintiff and the defendant, whereby the latter agreed to pay a higher rate per bigha. The lower Appellate Court found that the new agreement had never, in fact, been entered into, and gave a decree for the old rate of rent without going into the question whether it was a fair rent or not. Held that the decision was correct. SUFDAR REZA v. AMZAU ALI . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703: 10 C. L. R., 121

- Failure to prove rent in kind .- Failure to prove case .- Decree on admission of defendant for money rent .- In a suit for arrears of rent, as bhowli rent, where the plaintiff failed to make out his title to bhowli rent or rent in kind, the first Court, finding that the evidence established a commutation of bhowli rent into rent in money, dismissed the suit, with a reservation of the plaintiff's right to sue again for nagdi rent. The lower Appellate Court, agreeing in the first Court's view of the facts, and finding that the defendant admitted that he owed rent in money, decreed the claim to the extent of the admission. Held that the lower Appellate Court was right, and that the reservation of right by the first Court was of doubtful operation. BIBBEJAN v. BHAJUL SINGH [21 W. R., 438

 Omission to make alternative claim.—Suit for rent.—Beng. Act VI of 1862, s. 10.—In a suit for rent, where the claim was at the rate fixed by the revenue officer acting under Bengal Act VI of 1862, section 10, and was dismissed on the ground that that officer had not the power to assess such rent as he thought proper,-Held that the plaintiff, whose claim was not in the alternative, was not entitled to a decree at the rate previously paid. DWARKANATH BOSE v. RAM LOCHUN BOSE 123 W. R., 465

93. — Suit for ejectment.—Entry under unregistered lease.—Holding over.—Landlord and tenant.—Proof of terms of lease.—Decree for rent upon admission of different tenure by defend-ant.—The plaintiff sued in 1881 to recover certain land and arrears of rent from the defendant, alleging that the defendant's ancestor entered on the land as a tenant in 1865, under a lease for five years, which

VARIANCE BETWEEN PLEADING AND PROOF-continued.

3. ADMISSION OF PART OF CLAIM-continued.

Suit for ejectment-continued.

was not registered. The defendant denied the lease of 1865, admitted that she was the tenant of the land, but denied that she could be ejected, and claimed to deduct from the rent certain emoluments. Held (1) that the plaintiff could not prove the tenancy alleged in the plaint, inasmuch as the lease of 1865 was not registered, and, therefore, could not eject the defendant; (2) that the plaintiff was entitled, upon the defendant's admission, to recover from the defendant, in this suit, the amount of rent admitted to be due, and no more. NANGALI v. RAMAN I. L. R., 7 Mad., 228

VATAN.

See Cases under Hereditary Offices ACT (BOMBAY).

See SERVICE TENURE. [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 198

VATANDARS ACT (BOMBAY ACT III OF 1874).

> See Cases under Jurisdiction of Civil COURT-OFFICES, RIGHT TO-

VENDOR, PETITION BY, TO HAVE DOCUMENT REGISTERED

See REGISTRATION ACT, 1877, s. 73 (1871, s. 73) . I. L. R., 1 All., 318

VENDOR AND PURCHASER.

Col.
1. BILLS OF SALE 6117
2. BREACH OF COVENANT 6118
3. BREACH OF WARRANTY 6119
4. CAVEAT EMPTOR 6120
5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER 6122
6. COMPLETION OF TRANSPORM. 6124
7. CONSIDERATION
10. Lien 6132
11. NOTICE 6134
12. Possession 6141
13. PURCHASE OF MOETGAGED PRO-
PERTY 6144
14 PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAY-
MENTS BY PURCHASER 6148
15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF 6151
16. SETTING ASIDE SALES 6154
17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES
0F
18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES
See Cases under Benami Transaction.
See CONTEACT ACT, S. 73.
a a A am a 109

See CONTRACT ACT, S. 108 [12 B. L. R., 42 VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

See ESTOFPEL—ESTOPPEL BY CONDUCT.

[4 B. L. R., P. C., 16 See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 134

(1871, ART. 134). [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 269

See Parties—Adding Parties to Suits
—Defendants

[I. L. R., 4 Cale., 355

See Sale in Execution of Decree— Person selling Property of which He is not, but afferewards becomes, Owner . . . I.L. B., 4 Calc., 677

1. BILLS OF SALE.

1. Effect of execution of bill of sale without delivery.—Specifo performance.—It is very questionable in any case whether the effect of the execution of a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor is to pass an estate, irrespective of the actual delivery of possession. Where the vendor sells an estate of which he is not in possession, in consideration of advances to enable him to sue for its recovery, it is not open to the purchaser, after failing to complete his part of the contract, to claim specific performance and delivery of the recovered estate on tendering the balance of the purchase-money. Plahlad Sen & BUDHU SING. KALIFBASAD TEWARI & PRAHLAD SEN &

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6 S. C. Peehlad Sein v. Budhoo Singh. Kalipershad Tewaree v. Peehlad Sein (12 Moore's I. A., 275, 282

2. Suit to compel transfer of property.—When a bill of sale, though signed and registered, has not been delivered, and no part of the purchase-money has been paid, the vendor cannot be compelled to complete the transfer.

LALLA INDUSTRET LALL alius GUIADHUUP PERSHAD V. JUNIONAL

5 W.R., 248

3. Incomplete contrat.—A hill of sale, though duly executed, was not delivered to the purchaser, but was deposited with a third party, to be held by him until the purchaser should perform certain acts, the performance of which was the consideration for the sale. The purchaser subsequently by a trick got possession of the bill of sale before he had performed all the acts in question. Held that, under such circumstances, no effect could be given to the bill of sale as against the vendor, so that a suit-for possession of the lands ecvered by it would not lie. RAJ CHUNDEN CHOWNDHEY v. RAJ NATH CHOWDINY

[W. R., 1864, 222

4. — Vendor under bill of sale

remaining in possession.—Allegation of fraud.—Suit to set uside bill of sale.—When a person grants a bill of sale to another person absolute in its terms, he cannot sue to have it set aside on the ground that he has all along remained in possession; and if he alleges fraud in the contract, and adduces

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

1. BILLS OF SALE—continued.

Vendor under bill of sale remaining in possession—continued.

the fact of non-payment of the consideration-money as evidence of fraud, he will be bound to show proof non-payment. Tekait Megrad Singa v. Joy-MUNGUL SINGH 1 Ind. Jun., N.S., 78

5.—Bill of sale as construed by intention of parties.—Right of purchase to me.

—Cases will often arise in which, though a bill of sale may in terms purport to convey the property itself, yet it is clear upon the face of the instrument that the intention of the parties was to convey the, right of cutry or the equity of redemption, and nothing more. In such cases the Court should not lay stress on the mere terms of the instrument, but give affect to the intention of the parties, and recognise the purchaser's right of action to eject the trespasser or to redeem the mortgage. Bat SURAY v. DALFAT-RAM DAYASHANKAM.—I. I. I. R., & BOMM, 380

2. BREACH OF COVENANT.

- Covenant to restore estate to original owner or heirs at fixed price before selling to another .- Sale under reservation to keep in actual possession or resell it to vendor at fixed price.—Subsequent alienation.— Right of reconveyance.—Where a share in an estate had been sold under a stipulation that the purchaser should possess it himself as landlord, or, if desirous of parting with it, should restore it to the original owner or his heirs at a fixed price; and the purchaser, having been restrained by this agreement from selling off this property to a third person, had, on his retirement to England, given other persons a farming lease of it for fifteen years,—*Held* that as the object of the original stipulation was to secure the constant possession of the share to some one with whom the original owner or his heirs, who still retained the residue of the estate, could keep up friendly relations, the grant of the farmer's lease was a violation of the covenant; and that the heirs of the original owner were entitled to have the share in suit conveyed to them at the stipulated price. Ramnath Sen Lushkur v. Wise . 25 W. R., 378

- Covenant repugnant to interest created .- Contemporaneous "ikrarnamah." - Condition restraining alienation .- M., a cosharer in a village, transferred to A., another cosharer, a 2 amus share by deed of sale. Upon the same date A, executed an ikrarnamah in which he agreed that he would not collect the rents of the 2 annas transferred to him, that he would not ever demand partition of that share, and that he would not alienate or mortgage it or otherwise exercise proprietary rights over it. It was further provided that in the event of A. committing any breach of covenant the sale should be avoided, and the proprietary rights in the 2 annas share should re-vest in M. that the deed of sale and the ikrarnamah must be regarded as recording one single transaction, -i.e., they

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 2. BREACH OF COVENANT-continued.

Covenant repugnant to interest created -continued.

must be read together as stating the nature of the transaction entered into upon that date between the plaintiff and the defendant, which on the face of it professed to be a sale of a 2 annas share to the other by the former; and that, in this view, it was clear from the ikrarnamah that the proprietary title created by the sale-deed was cut down to nil, and limitations placed upon it which rendered it useless as a proprietary right. Situl Purshad v. Luchmi Purshad, I. L. R., 10 Calc., 30, referred to. MAH-BAM DAS v. AJUDHIA I. L. R., 8 All. 452 RAM DAS v. AJUDRIA

3. BREACH OF WARRANTY.

 Suit on warranty.—Knowledge by purchaser of title being doubtful.—A purchaser, aware of the doubtful character of the title to the aware or the doubtful character of the title to the estate he is about to purchase, is justified in taking a guarantee from the seller, who cannot successfully plead to a suit on the guarantee that the purchaser was aware of the facts which induced him to stipulate therefor. PATHOO, LAL v. RADHIKA DOSS 73 N. W., 106

9. Sale of whole title.

-Failure of title.—Suit for money had and received.—A vendor legally conveying all his title cannot be sued for money had an interest to the suit of not be sued for money had and received, although the title prove defective. Accordingly, where the plain-tiff bought two kanam claims, and sued upon them unsuccessfully,-Held that he could not recover the

purchase-money from his vendor's representatives, on the ground that the consideration for the payment failed. MUHAMMAD MOHIDIN v. . 1 Mad., 390 - Implied warranty.-War-

ranty of title by vendor or mortgagor.—Right to sue for damages.—A seller or mortgagor must always be held impliedly to warrant the title of the property sold or mortgaged; and if it be found that the title is defective, the vendee or the mortgagee can sue for damages or loss on the breach of implied contract, although there may be no express agreement for title. DWARKA DASS v. RUTTUN SINGH

[2 Agra, 199

11. Description published in advertisement.—Warranty of title.—Mis-representation.—Fraud, Proof of.—A zemindar Ligave certain villages in putni to B. and received consideration-money and rent from him, but B. never got possession of them, nor derived any benefit from the putni, it having been found that the villages belonged to a third party as lakhirajdar, who obtained a decree against A, in a suit to which B, was made a party. A. had published an advertisement setting a party. A. and pursuent as active assertions of the forth a description of the property, and calling upon intending purchasers to come forward. Held that the advertisement published by A. setting forth a description of the villages was substantially an immigration of the villages was substantially an immigration of the property of title and would walk him were substantially and would walk him were substantially and walk a plied warranty of title and would make him respon-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 3. BREACH OF WARRANTY-continued.

Implied warranty-continued.

sible to purchasers deceived by such misrepresenta-tion; and fraud having been shown, the absence of a tion i and read naving been shown, the absence of a stipulation to refund would not protect A. from re-funding. Held that, in cases like this, it would be a sufficient proof of frand to show that the fact (of ownership) as represented was false, and that the

See KHELUT CHUNDER GUOSE v. KRISTO GOBIND . 18 W. R., 276

- Right to sue on warranty of title,-Right to refund of consideration,-A buyer may at once sue on a warranty of title if he can show that the seller has not a good title in accordance with his undertaking, and that he has sustained loss in consequence. Semble,—It does not follow as a matter of course that on proof of breach of warranty the buyer is entitled to receive back the whole of the consideration-money, or that on its being ascertained that the seller had no title the conditional sale is nullified. Savef Ali v. Mahomed Jowad Ali [7 W. R., 196

 Covenant against disturbance of possession.—Loss of property by third person enforcing right of pre-emption.—Disqualifica-tion of purchaser from buying.—Coneaut for good title to convey.—Construction of covenant.—An instrument of sale contained the following condition: "Should any person claim as a co-sharer or proprietor of the property, and assert his claim against the purchaser or raise any dispute of any kind, or if from purchaser or raise any dispute of any kind, or if from any unforescence cause the purchaser be deprived of the possession of the property or any portion thereof, or his possession thereof is disturbed in any way, then I (vendor), my heirs and assigns, shall be liable for the purchase-money, the profits of the property, and costs of litigation." The purchaser having lost the property by reason of a person having a right of pre-emption having sued him to enforce such right and obtained a decree, sued the vendor to recover the costs incurred by him in defending such suit, basing his claim upon the condition set forth above. Held that the suit was not maintainable, as such condition referred to flaws or defects in the vendor's title, and was not applicable to a loss accruing to the purchaser from his disqualification to buy. GOLAM JILANI v. IM-DAD HUSAIN . . I. L. R., 4 All., 357

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR.

Right of purchaser .- Warranty of title.-Hindu law .- Contract .- Sale of land in Bombay.—In England the law gives to the pur-chaser of land a right to have a good title to it shown by the vendor. No such rule appears to exist in the Hindu law and in contracts between Hindus for the purchase and sale of land in Bombay the intention of the parties must be ascertained from the terms of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—continued.

Right of purchaser-continued.

the agreement, without regard to any implication. Devsi Ghela v. Jivaraj Mukundas [2 Bom., 480: 2nd Ed., 406

15. Conditions of sale.—Defect in title previous to title shown by condor.—When it is provided by conditions of sale of land that the vendor shall not be bound to show any title prior to an instrument of a certain date, the purchaser may insist upon a defect of title appearing aliande and before that date, and if it, be proved to exist may reseind the contract and recover back earnest unoney, interest, and expenses. MANCHARMI PRETANIO. NARMYAN LAKSHUMANJI

[1 Bom., 77

16. Land sold without warranty.—Parchaser with invatid it lite.—Liability of vendor.—In the absence of fraud or express warranty of title in a sale of land, the vendee cannot recover from the vendor the expenses incurred in defending a suit for possession brought against him by a third party having a better title. NEELMONEE SINGH DEO 2. GOEDON, STUART, & GO.

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. R., 152

17. Liabilty of purchaser.—Ingrity as to title.—Evolution after purchase.—By the rule of caveat emptor, the buyer is bound by law to take care of himself and to see that he buys after satisfying himself that there is a good title. The purchaser is bound to look not only to his own title, but to see that he is properly indemnified by the covenants in his deed of purchase; and if he does not choose to protect himself in this manner, he has no remedy: for if a deed of purchase has been once executed, nules stere is an evection by the vendor or some person claiming under him, the purchaser has no right of action against the vendor. GODE KITSHOOM DARKEN OF CHUNDER KISHORE DUTT MOJOOMDAR.

18. Sale of shares deposited with bank for advance.—Depreciation of security.—Objection to disclose position of shares.—Where a contract has been made for the sale of shares deposited with a bank as security for an advance, the vendor is not bound to disclose the fact to the purchaser when there can be no reason to anticipate such a depreciation of value in the shares as would entitle the bank to refuse to transfer. NARAYAN SUCCARAM ©. BIRAWOO DAJEE 1 Ind. JUR., N. S., 154

19. Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title.—Absence in sale-deed as covenant for title of purchaser.—Right to damages.—In 1881 a Hindu exemted a sale-deed of a house in the mofussii. The deed contained no covenant for title. The purchaser having been ejected from a portion of the house under a decree, of which the vendor was aware at the time of the sale, sued the vendor was aware at the time of the sale, sued the vendor for damages. The Munsif decreed the elain on the ground that the vendor had fraudulently concealed the existence of the decree. On appeal the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

4. CAVEAT EMPTOR—continued.

Fraudulent concealment by vendor of defect of title-continued.

District Judge reversed this decree, holding that as the purchaser had not insisted on a covenant for title, he must be held to have accepted all risks. Held that, if there had been fraudulent concealment as alleged, the purchaser was entitled to damages. CAJA-PATHI J. ALAGIA I. I. R.-9 Mad., 89

5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER.

20. — Oral transfer. — Hindu wendor and purchaser. — Land may pass by mere parel between Hindu vendor and purchaser. Moiesie Chatteriee 2, Issue Chunder Chatteriee 2, Issue Chunder Chatteriee [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266

21. Want of registration.—Sale complete without payment of purchase-money on registration of deed.—A sale might be complete, and it still might be a condition of the contract that the purchase-money was to be paid afterwards, and the deed in evidence of the contract may not be completed. The bare fact of the deed not being registered would not annul a sale if, by mutual agreement, a sale had already been made. KALEE CHURN GIRES GOSSAIN E. LALIA MUDDIN KISHORE

7 W. R., 317

22. Transfer of ownership of property.—Decree for specific performance of contract of sale.—Conveyance.—In the mofussil of the Bombay Presidency, the transfer of the ownership of immoveable property to a vendee who has obtained a decree ordering the specific performance of the contract of sale to himself, does not wait for the execution of a conveyance,—even if the vendor is required, as he seldom is, to execute such a conveyance,—but is effected by the passing of the decree itself, coupled with the payment of the purchase-money. DRONDIA KISHMAIT PATEL R. RANGUNDIA BHAGWAT

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554

23. Possession given in execution of decree.—The formal possession; given by a Civil Court under an execution operates, in point of law and fact, as between the parties, as a complete transfer of possession from one party to the other, LOKESSUE KORE O. PURGUN ROY

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 418

24. Execution and registration of conveyance.—Failure to pay purchase, maney and return of conveyance.—D. sold a house to P., and acconcident decel of conveyance which was duly registered. The purchase-money, however, was never paid by P., who, consequently, hever obtained possession. Shortly after the conveyance had been registered, P. returned it to D. with an endorsement thereon to the effect that it was returned because P. was unable to pay the purchase-money. The right, title, and interest of P. in the house was subsequently attached and sold under a decree obtained against him by the plautiff. The plaintiff became the purchase-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—continued.

Transfer of ownership of property-tontinued.

and sucd D. for possession. The lower Courts threw out the claim, on the ground that the property had not passed to P., the sale to him being incomplete. Held, the sale of the house by D. to P. was not incomplete. The deed purported to make an immediate transfer of the ownership of the house to P., and P. accordingly became the owner of the house. The endorsement on the conveyance, not having been registered, could not affect the property. The plaintiff, therefore, as purchaser of the right, title, and interest of P., became legal owner of the house, but subject to all P.'s liabilities; and as D. had a lieu upon the house for the amount of the unpaid purchase-money, the plaintiff could not obtain possession without paying off this charge. Understand Wortham V. David Bar. Directions I. L. R., 2 Boom, 547 BAR.

26. Execution of deet of sale.—Faciliure of purchaser to perform preliminaries to possession.—The vendor of certain immorcable property agreed to sell such property, and the purchaser agreed to purchase it on the understanding that the purchaser should retain a part of the purchase-money, and therewith discharge certain bond-debts due by the vendor, for the payment of which such property was hypothecated in the bonds. On such inderstanding the vendor executed a conveyance of such property to the purchaser. Held, in a suit by the purchaser for the possession of such property in virtue of such conveyance, that, the purchaser not having paid such bond-debts or done anything to account for such part of the purchasermoney according to such understanding, the contract of sale had not been completed, and the suit was therefore not maintainable. IKBAL BEGAN v. GOBIND PRASAD ILLR, S. All., 77

26. — Default in completing contract of sale,—Partial performance.—In sitis arising out of the default on both sides to complete a contract for the purchase and sale of land in the mofusil, the Court should proceed as a Court of Equity, and should look to the acts and conduct of the parties subsequent to the making of the contract as well as the language of the contract itself; and where the contract has been partially performed and the purchaser put into possession of a portion of the land and allowed by the render so to continue long after the period fixed for completion of the contract has elapsed, further time should be given by the Court for the performance of the contract in specie. (Ticker, J., dissentiente.) BALA VALAD SANKIA C. GABALI BANYANK KUKARNI

[2 Bom., 175: 2nd Ed., 168

27. Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors."—Rescission—Registration Act (III of 1377), s. 17, et. (b).—An agreement for the purchase and sale of certain immoveable property provided that the completion of the contract should be "subject to the approval of the purchaser's soli-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.
5. COMPLETION OF TRANSFER—continued.

Conditional contract "subject to approval of title by purchaser's solicitors" —continued,

citors" (naming them), and that if they should not approve of the title, the vendor should refund the rannest-money and pay all costs incurred by the purchaser in investigating the title. The purchaser's solicitors disapproved of the title, and the purchaser rescinded the contract. The agreement was not registered. Held in a shit to recover the amount of the carnest-money and costs, that, assuming the objections to be reasonable, the purchaser was entitled to reseind the contract. Held, further, that the agreement did not require registration. Seef-ordal McLinex o. Raw Guerr M. Seef-ordal McLinex o. Raw Guerr M.

[I. L. R., 8 Calc, 856: 12 C. L. R., 125

C. CONDITIONAL SALES.

28. — Land sold on condition of re-purchase.—Absolute sale.—Where land was sold on a condition of re-purchase, and no time was mentioned in the instrument of sale.—Held that the sale had not become absolute, and that the plaintiff, having bought the original vendor's rights, was entitled to maintain a suit for recovery of the land. GURUSANY AIYAN v. SWAMINADHA ATYAN

[2 Mad., 450

29. Deed of conditional sale.

Beng. Reg. XV of 1793.—Beng. Reg. XVII of 1806 .- Usufruc' .- A deed of sale executed in 1201 (1794) was subject to the condition that if the vendors, "from the year 1202 to the year 1203, should repay the whole of the consideration-money, they should receive back the deed of sale, which shall then become null and void; and if within the said period they fail to pay the said consideration-money, this conditional sale shall become absolute, and be considered irrevocable." Held that Regulation XV of 1793 did not operate to prevent the assignment becoming absolute after the expiration of the time limited for repayment of the consideration, and that Regulation XVII of 1806 had not a retrospective effect, and therefore did not apply; and that even if the entire amount of the purchase-money were satisfied out of the proceeds of estate before the time for the conditional sale becoming absolute, the vendees would acquire a perfect title. Buldeo SINGH v. DHUKBUN SINGH . . Marsh., 632

30. Purchaser under conditional sale. —Incumbrances. —A purchaser under a conditional sale takes the property with all bond fide incumbrances created by his vendor previous to the sale. Radha Monus Den v. Nord Lal Der (7 W. R., 363

.

7. CONSIDERATION.

31. — Validity of contract of sale. —Agreement without consideration.—Right to sell afterwards to another.—A mere agreement to sell

Validity of contract of sale-continued.

a certain property, without any consideration passing, cannot har the right of the vendor on the same day to sell a portion of the property to a third party, or invalidate the third party's purchase. BRYDN-KUREE DABEE ** TARINEE CHURIN CHUCKERBUTTY [TW. R., 38]

32. Non-payment of purchase-money.—Intention to pass subject of sale.

—Failure to pay consideration, Effect of.—Although ordinarily, in a transaction of sale, it may be reasonable to suppose that the seller does not intend to pass the property to the purchaser until the purchasemory has been paid or secured, it is not an absolute rule of law that the non-receipt of the consideration-money in full entities a vendor to make void a sale which is otherwise complete. Monuy Sirsen v. Shie Koonwise

Heera Singh v. Ragho Nath Suhai. Bhurth Singh v. Ragho Nath Suhai . 3 Agra, 30

33. — Intention of parties.—Failure to pay consideration. Effect of, ofter execution and delivery of deed.—The intention of the parties from their acts should be ascertained; and when a deed is executed and delivered to the purchaser, as subsequent default by the purchaser in the due payment of the purchaser noney would not, in the absence of fraud, make void the sale, or give any other right to the vendor than a right to sue for the money. Further, if it be proved that the vendor intended to retain possession until full payment, the Court may pass a decree establishing the purchaser's right subject to execution or payment of consideration. MOHUN SINGH v. SHIB KOONWER

34. — Allegation of seisin of vendor and sale of absolute title.—A pleading setting up as a defence a purchase for valuable consideration should aver the seisin of the vendor and the sale of his absolute title for good consideration.

RADANATE DAS v. ELITOT [6 B. L. R., P. C., 530]

S. C. RADHANATH DOSS v. GISBORNE & Co. [15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's I. A., I

S5. — Failure of consideration.—
Bonus paid for talook not in existence.—Right to
refined of bonus.—When a bonus is paid for a putni
talook not in existence, there is an entire failure of
consideration, and the person paying the bonus is
entitled to a refund of it. The principle of caveat
emptor does not apply to such a case. KRISTO LAIL
MOTERO S. NOBE (COMAR ROY . 5 W. R., 232

36. ——— Proof of payment of consideration.—Non-payment of consideration.—Non-payment of consideration-money.—Burden of proof.—In a suit for possession of land alleged to have been purchased under a registered deed of sale, the defendant-vendor admitted the execution and registration of the deed, but denied receipt of consideration. The deed was dated in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 7. CONSIDERATION—continued.

Proof of payment of consideration-continued.

January 1876, and the snit was instituted in 1884. It was found that the vendor had been in possession during the whole of that period. The plaintiff produced no evidence in proof of the payment of consideration. Held that, although under ordinary circumstances the party to a deed duly executed and registered who alleges non-payment of consideration is bound to prove his allegation, the fact that the plaintiff and his predecessor had silently submitted to the withholding of possession for upwards of eight years, combined with the continuous possession of the vendor, favoured the allegation of the latter that possession had been withheld because of the non-payment of consideration, and raised such a counter-presumption as to make it incumbent on the plaintiff to give evidence that consideration had in fact passed. Held, therefore, that in the absence of such evidence, and of evidence to explain the fact of the plaintiff being out of possession, the suit failed, AGROBANDI KYABI v. MARABIR PASAD

[I. L. R., 8 All., 641

37. Part payment of consideration.—Right to sus for possession.—Held that non-payment of the consideration-money can be pleaded by the seller, and inquired into by the Court, the admission of the seller at the time of registration before the Registrar being no conclusive proof of payment of the consideration-money, with reference to the practice which obtains of preparing the sale-deed and registering it before payment. Under the ordinary rule of law, a purchaser has a right to sue for possession when a portion of the consideration-money has been paid, unless the contrary be shown to be the intention of the parties, and the seller has a right to sue for the balance of price. Good Parasand of Nunna Singer 12 Agra, 100

38. Right to refund of earnestmoney. —Agreement for sale of ship.—Failure of consideration.—Plaintiff and defendants entered into an agreement for the sale by the defendants, who were thereby stated to be the absolute owners of a certain ship, to the plaintiff of the said ship. The defendants agreed with the plaintiff that they would, immediately upon payment of the purchase-money, excente to the plaintiff and another a proper bill of sale of the ship. The defendants were unable to get a properly registered bill of sale of the ship made out, owing to infirmity of their own title, but were willing, so far as they could, to convey. The plaintiff had made part payment in respect of the price of the ship. Held that the consideration had failed, and that the plaintiff was entitled to a refund of the money paid by him in part payment, and of sums disbursed by him under the agreement on account of the expenses of the ship. Jassim Binsaff e. Esau Ahmed

39. — Valuable consideration, Question of.—Assignment of chose in action.—The question whether an assignment of any equity of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 7. CONSIDERATION—continued.

Valuable consideration, Question of—continued.

redemption admitted by the assignor was made for a valuable consideration or not, is no material in determining the rights of the assignee against a party who holds adversely to the assignor. KACHU BATAHI, KAGROBA VITHEBM. 10 BOM. 491

8. FRAUD.

40. Evidence of fraud-Jaadequacy of purchase-money.—In considering a case
of alleged fraud in the purchase of an estate, it is
material to inquire what relation the purchase-money
paid bore to the value of the estate. SeeeuxCHUNDER DET V. GOPAUT CHUNDER CHUCKERBUTTY
[7 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 MOOPe's I. A., 28

41. Notice of facts implying bad title.—Mala fides.—Questions of bona fides.—Notice of fact from which the infirmity of the vendor's title might be inferred is evidence of mala fides. but is not itself mala fides and the

mala fides, but is not itself mala fides, and the question of bond fide purchase is one of fact. SITHA UMMAL v. RUNGASAMI IVENGAR . 5 Mad., 385

42. Effect of fraud.—Goods obtained by fraud.—Right of vendor.—Where goods have been obtained by means of a fraudulent purchase, the vendor has a right to disaffirm the contract so as to re-vest the property in himself, and this even if the property had passed to the vendoe with the consent of the vendor. Where a vendee purchased cotton, with the preconceived design of not paying for it, the sale did not pass the property: although the cotton may have been, with the vendor's consent, allowed to be pinced on the vendoe's boat, still the vendoe must be considered as the agent of the vendor, and his possession as that of the vendor, as long as the price was not paid. Duesun Lall Pander of the Rapel Land Pander of W.R., 281

9. INVALID SALES.

43. Fraudulent concealment.—
Knowledge of defect in title, or of incumbrance.—
Where a vendor knowing that he had no right or
title to property, or being cognisant of the existence
of incumbrances, or of latent defects materially
lowering it in value, sold it and neglected to disclose
such defects to the purchaser,—Held that there was
a frandulent concealment vitiating the contract.
PEARER MORUN SOOR v. ABDOOL SOBHAN CROWDIENT

44. Misrepresentation.—Right to recover purchase-money.—With unisrepresentation by a vendor regarding property sold, practised in a matter within his knowledge, and concerning which the purchaser had no adequate means of knowledge, held to vitiate a sale, and to entitle the purchaser to recover the purchase-money actually paid by him. MAHOMED SHARE KHAN v. BAHOO BEGUM [1 N. W., Part II, p. 26: 12d. 1473, 84

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 9. INVALID SALES—continued.

45. Undue influence.—Fiduciary relationship.—Attorney and elient.—Our probabil.
—A contract of sale or conveyance entered into by any one with a person who stands relatively to him in a position of confidence or trust, is liable to be called in question by the vendor, and to be set aside at his instance, if it be found that the other party made an unfair use of his advantages. This rule of equity applies strongly in a case where any person, acting as an attorney, or as a legal advisor, enters into a contract with his client in respect of the subject of litigation or advice. Undue influence is presumed to have been exerted until the contrary is proved, and the purchaser is bound to show that all the terms and conditions of the contract are fair, adequate, and reasonable. Pusitong v. Munia Hallwahi

[1 B. L. R., A. C., 95: 10 W. R., 128

48. — Fiduciary relationship.—Trustee and centui que trust.—J and M. were named excentors of the will of H., who died in 1844. M. alone proved the will, but-J. did not renounce probate until nine years after the death of H. and the commencement of itigation. The only act as an executor of H. proved against J. was that, in a deed executed by him for the conveyance of the share of H. in a certain estate in which J. was also interested in another capacity, he was described as executor of H. and the deed recited that probate had been granted to him. Held that he was by this reason, as well as on the ground of having an unfair advantage in respect of certain property in litigation, precluided from purchasing the interest of H. sons under a decree. DROMENDER CHUNDER MOCKERJEE ON THE OUR MOCKERJEE ON MOCKERJEE ON MOCKERJEE ON.

- Purchase by agent or other person on fiduciary position.—Fiduciary re-lationship.— Onus probandi.—Consideration.—An agent or person in a fiduciary position towards the owner of property purchased by him, is bound to prove that the sale was made for good and sufficient consideration, and must not only prove that the agent had authority to sell, and that the consideration alleged was in fact paid, but also that the consideration paid was a fair price for the property. If the purchase be made by a stranger, such a purchaser need not show that the consideration paid by him is a consideration equal to the value of the property; it will be sufficient for the purchaser to show that the sale was made by a person who had authority from the owner to sell; and, nuless the seller can establish a fraudulent connivance between the agent employed to sell and the purchaser, the sale will be binding on the seller on proof of authority of the agent to sell. RUTTA BEBEE v. DUMBEE LAL . 2 N. W., 153

Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect,—Ground for setting aside sale by Court of Equity—A Court of Equity will not set aside the voluntary deed of a weak man who is not absolutely non compos, unless the weakness as well as the facts surrounding the transaction

9. INVALID SALES—continued.

Deed of sale executed by man of weak intellect—continued.

and the nature of the transaction itself, be such as to satisfy the Court that the person had not at the time a mind adequate to the business, and that he might have been imposed upon, or unless the Court is not satisfied of the good fath of all the purties to the transaction. RAIMENDER CHUNDER NEWSEE PROGNET KALES DEBLA. W. R., 1864, 65

- Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice.-Fraud. -Undue influence. - Inadequate consideration. -Terms on which deed will be set aside .- Purchasemoney declared a charge .- Funeral expenses of Hindu widow declared a charge. - No allowance for repairs and improvements.—C. was the widow of one R., deceased, and from the death of R. until her own death remained in occupation of a house and chawl which had belonged to him. D. was a sister of C.'s, and, shortly after R.'s death, D. and her son B., the first and second defendants, went to live with C. on the said property, and lived with C. and were her only companions until C's death. While so living with C., D. and B. advanced to C. at various times, on joint account, various sums of money, amounting to $\Re 3,500$, for purposes such as would have justified C, in pledging the property of her late husband to secure the repayment of the same. C. because very ill, and D. and B., fearing she might be going to die, requested her to take some steps to seeme to them the repayment of the sums they had advanced to her. C. thereupon offcred to give D. and B. an absolute deed of sale of the said house and chawl in consideration of the said sum of #3,500 already advanced to her, and of an additional sum of £500 then to be paid to her to defray her funeral expenses and the costs of the said conveyance. D. and B. consented, and called in their solicitor to take C.'s instructions and draw up the deed in question. which he accordingly did; and within three days of the said agreement the deed was executed. At that time C. was very ill, and twelve days after the execution of the deed C. died. C. was an illiterate woman, over sixty years of age, and had in this matter no independent professional or other advice. The additional sum of R500 agreed to be paid to C. was never so paid to her, but after her death D. and her son expended moneys in and about her funeral ceremonies, amounting, as they alleged, to upwards of R400. The property in question so pledged to them for R4,000 was worth at least R5,200. The plaintiff, one of the heirs of R., sued to set the deed aside and for possession of the said property. *Held* that the deed of sale must be set aside as obtained under circumstances which amounted to frand. Held, also, that the advances, amounting to R3,500, made to C. by D. and her son B., being made for purposes for which C. would have been justified in pledging the said property, the deed of sale should be set aside only on the terms that the property in question should stand charged with the repayment of the sums so advanced. Held, also, that the property must stand charged with the repayment

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.
9. INVALID SALES—continued.

Sale by old and illiterate woman without professional advice-continued.

to D. and B. of such a sum as, having regard to her position and station in life, should be found to be a reasonable sun for the funeral expenses of C. After C's death, D. and B. remained in possession of the said property under the deed of sale, and expended considerable sums of money in and about repairs and improvements to the same; and they now claimed that, if the sale was to be set asile, the sums so expended should be repail to them. Bill that no allowance could be made to D. and B. for sums so expended with them, such sums having been expended at a time when D. and B. must be taken to have known that they were fraudulently in possession of the property in question. Sadashur Bilaskar Josii C. Dhakubla D. Dhakubla D. Bom., 450 Bom., 450

- Inadequacy of consideration.—Actual or constructive fraud.—Cancelment of sale.—Sales by expectant heirs of reversionary interests .- In the case of a sale by a person, young indeed and in distressed circumstances, but not without advice or means of information, of an estate actually vested in him, but not to be obtained without litigation, the party seeking to set aside the sale must establish the fraud, actual or constructive, which entitles him to relief. It is not sufficient for him to show that he did not receive the full value of the estate to which the result of the litigation might ultimately show him to be entitled. The difference between that value and the purchase-moncy, if not too disproportionate, may be legitimately taken to represent the difference between certainty and im-mediate enjoyment on the one hand, and risk, worry, expense, and delay on the other. The exceptional equitable principles which, in a sale by an expectant heir of a reversionary interest, throw upon the purchaser the onns of showing that he gave a fair price, and which, on failure of such proof, entitles the expectant heir to have the sale set aside, have no application in the above case, or in that of every ignorant and improvident person. AZIMUDIN KHAN v. ZIA-UL-NISSA . I. E. B., 6 Bom., 309 n. ZTA-IIT-NISSA

51. Omission to register.—Fraud.—Registration Act, XVI of 1864, s. 18.—Where the sale-deed was executed, and consideration paid, but the deed was not registered within four months, owing to the seller's fraud,—Held that such fraudulent vendor could not benefit himself by pleading the provision of law (section 18, act XVI of 1864) as a bar to the purchaser's claim. Pursas Rat v. Juggun Singul. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 201.

52. Alienation to defeat execution of decree.—Rights of oreditor without specific lies against purchaser.—Fraud.—On the 3rd October 1865 the plaintiff lied a suit against D. to recover certain lands and money. While the suit was pending.—viz., on the 13th October 1866.—D. mortgaged part of his immoveable property to defendant R., and on 21st August 1871 excuted a deed of sale to defendant R. of all the immoveable property of

9. INVALID SALES—continued.

Alienation to defeat execution of decree

—continued.

which he (D.) was then possessed, for the price of R4,000. On 30th April 1872 the plaintiff obtained

a decree against D., and in execution thereof attached certain immoveable property other than the land mentioned in the decree. The defendant R. applied under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), and on the 21st August 1873 procured the removal of the attachment, whereupon the plaintiff brought the present suit to set aside the order of 21st August 1873, and to obtain a declaration that the attached property belonged to D. and was liable in execution, Held that inasmuch as neither the decree of the 30th April 1872, nor the plaint on which it was founded, established or sought to establish any claim against a specific lien upon the immoveable property, the subject of the present suit, it was perfectly competent for D., at any time previously to an attachment of the property, to alienate it, and the question for decision as to that property was whether D. had alienated it or not. If the decd of sale by which D. conveyed the property on the 21st August 1871 were merely colourable, and the change of ownership ostensible only and not real,-i.e., if it was the intention of the parties that the alienee should be merely a trustee for D. to shield the property from execution, and that D. should continue to be the beneficial owner of it,—there would not be any alienation, and the deed of sale would be void as against an attaching creditor of D. If, on the other hand, the sale were a real transaction,-i.e., if it was the intention of the parties that the full ownership should pass from the vendor to the vendee,-then the sale would be valid, even though it might have been in the contemplation of the parties that future attempts to attach the property by a creditor of the vendor (not having any specific lieu on the property) should be defeated by the sale. Until attachment the creditor has no right to interfere with the power of his debtor to deal with his property. RAJAN HARJI v. ARDESHIR HORMUSJI

[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70
SAKHARAM MAHIPAT v. DAWUD VALAD JAWABHAI : I. L. R., 4 Bom., 76, note

BALVANTBAV O. JIVANJI HORMASJI
[I. L. R., 4 Bom., 77

53. Sale to two successive purchasers.—Non-payment of purchase-money.—Right of first and second purchasers.—The proprietor of certain immoveable property conveyed it first to one person and then to another. The first purchaser sued the vendor and the second purchaser for the possession of the property, alleging that he had been put in possession of it, but had been onsted by the second purchaser. Held that the first sale was not void by reason of the non-payment of the purchasemency, and that the second sale being invalid as having been made by a person who had no rights and interests remaining in the property, the second purchaser was not a representative of the vendor and entitled to receive the purchase-money found to be

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.
9. INVALID SALES-continued.

Sale to two successive purchasers—continued.

still due to him from the first purchaser, and to retain possession of the property until the receipt of that purchase-money. RAM LAKIMAN RAIR. BANDAN RAI I. II. R., 2 All., 711

54.— Sale of property not belonging to vendor.—Loss sustained by purchaser on being disposessed.—Where a party sells property to others, and it afterwards appears that he had not the right to do so, and the purchasers are in consequence disposessed, the loss ought to fail on the vendor, and not on the vendees who put faith in his act of selling. AMMODIAN 28. MAGMED NASH

[22 W. R., 442

55. — Deed of sale set aside as being fraudulent and void.—Right of purchaser to compensation for improvements.—A party in possession under a deed of sale conveying real estate, the property of a defendant in a peuding suit, held not entitled to any allowance for sums expended by him for improvement upon the estate, when the deed was found to be fraudulent and void as against the creditors of the vendor, and to have been executed to defout a sequestration. MYSADEE MAHOMED CAZUM SHERAZEE ν. ALIX MAHOMED SILOSENY 6 MOOSTEY I. A., 27

10. LIEN.

- Creation of lien,-Title,-Notice of charge,-English law as to right of bond fide purchaser for value without notice.—By contract and deposit of title-deeds B. charged certain land in favour of A, as a security in respect of the nondelivery of the title-deeds of an estate bought by A. from him. After the creation of this charge the land was transferred by B. to C. The Sudder Court having decided that the contract was not operative as an hypothecation or pledge, even between the parties to it, and that A. had no right of suit against C., to whom the land had been transferred,—Held by the Privy Council, reversing that decision, that the agreement created a lien on the land, and that no positive law was shown to forbid the giving effect to such agreement. The owner of property subject to a lien or charge can in general convey to another no title higher or more free than his own; it lies always on a succeeding owner to make out a case to defend such prior charge. The law in India does not enable a purchaser of land to look only to the apparent title in the Collector's books, or the presumed title of the owner in possession; and it is beyond the province of a Court of Justice to give effect to the title of such a purchaser to the extent of defeating a prior lien or charge. Conceding that a purchaser for value, bond fide, and without notice of the charge, would have an equity superior to A.'s right,-Held that a purchase in good faith by C. had not been proved. If the English doctrine on this subject be adopted, as the rule prescribed by justice, equity, and good conscience, its

Creation of lien-continued.

qualifications and restrictions should not be rejected. VAEDEN SETH SAM v. LUCKPATHY ROYJEE LALLAH [Marsh., 461: 9 Moore's I. A., 303

57. — Purchaser, Right of. — Produce of lead, Sale of. — N. W. P. Reat Act, XVIII of 1878, 5. 56. — Bypotheation.— The purchaser of the unstorel produce of land in the occupation of a cultivator, with notice of the lieu created on such produce by section 56 of Act XVIII of 1873, takes undproduce subject to such lieu. S. A. No. 1393 of 1870, decided on the 4th February 1871, and Achait v. Gunga Pershad, 2 Agra, 73, followed. Kindoor. COLLECTOR OF ETAWAH. KINDOOR. COURT OF WARDS

58. Lieu by deposit of title-deeds.—Subsequent purchase by another—in 1865 C, gave H, a lieu on his property, by a deposit of title-deeds. In 1867 B, purchased the same property bond filed, and without notice of H.7s lieu. Held that B, took the property free of the lieu. BUNSEDHURE W. HERRA LAIL.

[1 N. W., Part VI, p. 74: Ed. 1873, 166

59. Priority.—Luchoate agreement to purchase.—Deposit of cernest-money.—The claimant entered into an agreement for the purchase of certain property, and on the execution of the agreement deposited R15,000 as earnest-money of the contract and in part payment of the purchase-money. The claimant was not satisfied at that time with the title-deeds supplied by the vendor, but afterwards entered into fresh negotiations for the purchase upon different terms. The vendor died, and the present claim was fled in a suit to administer his estate. Held that the claimant was entitled to be paid in full the R15,000 in priority to all other creditors; and that his lien was not lost by the failure either of the original contract or the subsequent negotiations. KENNY c. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL

60.— Lien, Concealment of respective the decree-bolder caused the right, title, and interest of the judgment-debtor in a certain property, which had been mortgaged to him by a registered bond, to be sold, but without notice of the existence of such lien. He afterwards obtained a decree upon the bond, and sold it to the defendants, who caused the same property, to be attached. The purchaser intervened under section 246, but without success. In a suit by the purchaser to establish his absolute right—Held that, as the defendants' vendor had suppressed the fact of the charge, and thereby induced the plaintiff to purchase as the absolute property of the judgment-debtor, they were now precluded from setting up his lien. DULIAB SIEKAE v. KRISHNA KUMAR BARKSHI

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 12 W. R., 303

61. — Right to enforce lien.—Sale subject to decree declaring lien on property.—If a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

10. LIEN—continued.

Right to enforce lien-continued.

decree declares a lien over A.'s property for a certain sum in favour of B., and subsequently A. sells part of this property to B. and part to C. B. cannot sue to enforce his lien against, C.'s purchase without bringing his own into contribution. RAM LOCHEM SIRGAR P., RAM NARAIN 1 C. L. R., 296

11. NOTICE.

62. Necessity of notice of title, — Equitable doctrine of secret ownership.—It is a rule of universal equity, and not one peculiar to English Courts, that, in order to enable the real owner of property to recover from a purchaser for value from a person allowed by the real owner to hold himself out as the owner, he must prove either direct or constructive notice of the real title, or that there existed circumstances which ought to have put the purchaser on an inquiry which, if prosecuted, would have let to a discovery of the real title, RANCOMAD KOONDOO, MOCUTEN

[11 B. L. R., 46: 18 W. R., 166 L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 40

63. — Punchaser without notice. —Secret ownership.—Fraud.—A vendee who punchases for valuable consideration, and without notice of benami, from the ostensible owner of the property held by him under an apparently good title, will be protected from subsequent acts of the owner or his heir, both of whom were puries to the fraud; and his purchase will hold good against any subsequent sale made by them. RENNIE 9. GUNGAMALIN CHOWDERLY

3 W. R., 10

64. Equitable relief against forfeiture.—Remarks on the detrine of equity as to the applicability of the defence of purchase for valuable consideration without notice. The defence does not apply where the Court of Chancery is exercising a jurisdiction concurrent with that of the Courts of law. Where A. sold land to B., reserving a right to re-purchase by payment of a certain sum at a specified time, and before such time had arrived B. re-sold to C. for valuable consideration without notice, and A. failed to make the payment and forfeited his right to re-purchase,—Held that he had no title unless relieved against the forfeiture, and that such relief could not be given as against C. SAMAKKAUNDAN v. PERUMAL CHRITT

65. Purchase from joint Hindu family.—Presumption.—Semble,—That considering the state of Hindu families a purchaser would be affected with notice by much slighter evidence than a purchaser in other countries. KONILOTHUTENFURATIL MANOKI KORAN NAYAR v. PUTUREN PURAYIL MANOKI CHANDA NAYAR

[3 Mad., 294

66. Bonâ fide purchaser.—Fraud in vendors.—A bonâ fide purchaser

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 11. NOTICE—continued.

Purchaser without notice—continued.

should not be deprived of the benefit of an honest purchase, even though the sale to his vendors was fraudulent, if he had no notice of the fraud. GOLAM AHEA V. DIGUMBUR SINGH . W. R., 1864, 225

6T — Sale of whole interest.—Subsequent purchase without notice by another.—The plaintiff purchase without notice by another.—The plaintiff purchased from the first defendant, who purchased from the person admitted to be the owner in 1856. The resisting defendants claimed under a subsequent sale by the same person. Held, reversing the decree of the lower Confr. that on the simple principle that after the conveyance to the first defendant the owner of the land had nothing more to convey, the resisting defendants took nothing and the plaintiff was entitled to recover. VIRA-BHADRA PILLAI o. HABI RAMA PILLAI

[3 Mad., 38 See contra, Chidambaba Nayinan v. Annappa Nayakkan 1 Mad., 62

68. — Bond fide purchaser.—Omission to make proper inquiries into title.
—In order that a purchaser of immoveable property from a Hindu in the Island of Bombay may be entitled, as against the beneficial owner of such property, to set up the defence of being a bond fide purchaser without notice, he must show that he has made all proper inquiries into the title and as to the state of the family of his vendor, and of his vendor's predecessors in title for a period of twelve years at least before the date of his purchase. Savaellak Karsandas O. Ga Nizandden. — 8 Boml, O. C., 77

 Purchaser, Obligation of. Joint Hindu family, Purchase from.—When a person has notice that another has or claims an interest in property for which he is dealing, he is bound to inquire what that interest is; and if he purchases without doing so, he will be bound, although the notice was inaccurate as to the particulars or extent of such interest. Where the notice is given by the person himself who claims an interest in the property, and it is afterwards proved that he had such an interest,-Quære, Whether any amonut of inquiry can discharge the purchaser from liability. A pur-chaser, therefore, from one member of a joint Hindu family is affected with notice of the claims of the other members. On the facts,—Held (reversing the decision of the Court below) that no sufficient inquiry had been made in this case. Gobii MOOKERJEE v. DOORGAPERSAD BABOO GOBIND CHUNDER [14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 248

brancer, purchases subject to such incumbrance, is that such purchaser is acting madé fide in taking away the right of the prior incumbrancer by getting the legal estate, while knowing that a prior purchaser has the right to it. But a purchaser for valuable con-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 11. NOTICE—continued.

Incumbrance-continued.

sideration, without notice of the prior right of a third person, is not guilty of or party to a fraud upon the rights of a prior purchaser. The Courts of Equity, therefore, will not interfere with his right to the possession, enjoyment, and disposal of the property; and though, subsequently to his purchase, he may become aware of the prior incumbrance, yet he has the right to convey to a subsequent purchaser, who, at the time of such subsequent conveyance, has notice of the prior right of the third person; and such subsequent purchaser will take the property free from the ineumbrance, for neither is he guilty of any fraud in accepting what his vendor had a right to convey, nor would the bona fide purchaser without notice be able otherwise freely and completely to dispose of the property which he innocently acquired. On the same principles, any subsequent purchaser, however remote, though having notice, must be protected. Where, therefore, the second defendant, having notice of the plaintiff's equitable mortgage, purchased from one who, also with such notice, had purchased from a bona fide purchaser for value without notice,— Held that the second defendant held the property free from the equitable mortgage. Carter v. Carter, 3 K. and Johns, 617, distinguished. DAYAL JIVRAJ v. JAIRAJ RATANSI I. L. R., 1 Bom., 278

71. — Bond fide transfere for value of mortgaged property.—Innorance of existing incumbrance.—Held that a statement in answer to interrogatories, which was made by the purchaser of mortgaged property, to the effect that at the time of the purchase he was aware of the mortgage and believed that it had been satisfied, was no proof of the purchase having been made after notice of a prior nortgage, insamuch as it was inconsistent with the knowledge of an existing incumbrance. SINDO DAYAL MAL & HARI RAM

[L. L. R., 7 All., 590

value .- Notice of prior mortgage .- The plaintiff in 1867 obtained a decree against one Ramzan Mohidin for payment of a debt by him personally, or in default entitling the plaintiff to recover the amount from the sale of certain immoveable property situ-ated in Gujarat on which the debt had been secured under a sankhat. On the attachment of the immoveable property in execution of that decree, the defendant objected under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, and alleged that he had purchased the property in 1865. The attachment having accondingly been raised, the plaintiff sued for a declara-tion of his right to sell the mortgaged property. Both the lower Courts threw out the plaintiff's claim. On special appeal the decrees of the lower Courts were reversed, and the case remanded for the trial of the issue whether the defendant was a bona fide purchaser for valuable consideration, without notice of the plaintiff's sankhat or lien on the property in dispute at or before the time of his purchase. GRIDHAE RANCHODDAS v. HAKAMCHAND REVACHAND [8 Bom., A. C., 75

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 11. NOTICE—continued.

73. Transfer of property subject to trust.—Purchaser for value.—Constructive notice.—Truant is possession as object of charitable trust.—If the purchaser of an estate for value and with notice, actual or constructive, of a trust, he is bound by such trust to the same interest and in the same manner as the person from whom he purchased. A person purchasing an estate where there is a tenant in possession is bound to inquire into the title of such temant, and if he neglects to do so he takes subject to such rights as the tenant may have. The equities are the same where there is a person in possession as the object of a charitable trust and under the trust. MANCHAEJI SORABJI CHULLA v. KONSEDO

Notice .- Right of purchaser .- B., having been sentenced to transportation for life, presented a petition in the Revenue Court, in which, stating that he owned a certain zemindari estate, and that he had been so sentenced, and that it was necessary to make arrangements for the payment of the Government revenue and the management of the estate, he prayed that his name might be removed from the revenue register and that of P, recorded in its stead. ' P. sold the property for consideration, his vendee purchasing without notice of any trust, and it was subsequently put up for sale in execution of a decree against P.'s vendee and was purchased without notice of any trust. Held that the property could not be followed into the hands of the purchaser at the execution sale. Durga Prasad v. Asa Ram, I. L. R., 2 All., 361, observed on. HAIT RAM v. DURGA PRASAD [I. L. R., 5 All., 603

75. Constructive notice,—Person in possession of subject of sale.—Where there is a person in possession of an estate other than the nominal owner,—i.e., the person in whose name the title-deed is,—a purchaser, although he may be a purchaser for value, is bound to inquire what is the nature of his possession. If he does not think fit to do so, he takes subject to the rights of the person in possession. HAKREM MEAH v. BERJOT PATMEE

Massim Mean v. Sham Doss

76. — Sale in execution of decree.—Sale of property on which there is a lien. — Per Kennedy, J.—An execution-purchase takes subject to all equities affecting the jadgment-debtor, and will be bound by constructive notice in the same way as an ordinary purchaser. Kinderly v. Jercis, 32 Bean, J. and Brewer v. Lord Oxford, 6 De Gr., M. & G., 507, cited and followed. RAM LOCHEM SIRGAE P. RAM NARAH. . 1. Cl. LR. 286

77. Doctrine of constructive notice.—Secrecy in transaction.—The Court will not apply the doctrine of constructive notice where the party seeking the benefit of that doctrine has been guilty of secrecy in the transaction with

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.

NOTICE—continued.
 Constructive notice—continued.

constructive notice of which he seeks to affect a purchaser. Hormasji Temulji v. Mankuvarbai (12 Bom., 262

78. Notice to a purchaser's agent was held to be constructive notice to his principal, so as to fix the latter with a trust, or a burden relative to the subject of purchase which without notice he would have escaped. SEEDEE NAZBER ALI KHAN v. OJOODHYA RAM KHAN. MUNSOOD ATA KHAN KHAN 8 W.R., 389 C.R., 389 C.R., 389 C.R., 380 C.R., 380

- Liability of land purchased from Hindu devisee for debts of his testator.—Onus probandi.—Per Pontifex, J.—The question how far lands purchased from a Hindu devisee are liable in the hands of the purchaser for the testator's debts, stands on the same footing as a similar question would under the present English law. The creditors of the ancestor or testator may follow his lands into the possession of a purchaser from the heir or devisee, if it can be proved that such purchaser knew (1) that there were debts of the ancestor or testator left unsatisfied, and (2) also that the heir or devisee to whom he paid his purchase-money intended to apply it otherwise than in the payment of such debts. But a purchaser ignorant on either of these points has a safe title, for no duty is cast upon the purchaser from the heir or devisee to inquire whether there are any debts of the ancestor or testator, or to see to the application of his purchasemoney, even when there is an express charge of debts by the testator on the devised estate-at least when the devisee is also executor; and in such a case the burden of proof is entirely on the creditor to show that the purchaser from the devisee had notice that the latter intended to misapily the purchase-money. For a purchaser to be affected with constructive notice through his solicitor, the latter himself must have actual notice. GREENDER CHUNDER GHOSE v. MACRINTOSH

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897: 4 C. L. R., 198

80. Fraud.—Registration state. Effect of.—When a person is proved to have had a knowledge of certain facts, or to have been in a position the reasonable consequence of which knowledge or position would be that he would have been led to make further inquiry, which would have disclosed a particular fact, the law fixes him with having himself had notice of that particular fact. There may be such wilful negligence in abstaining from inquiry into facts which would convey actual notice as may properly be held to have the consequences of notice actually obtained. But if there is not actual notice, and no wiful or fraudulent turning away from an inquiry into, and consequent knowledge of, facts which the circumstances would suggest to a prudent mind, then the doctrine of constructive notice may apply as against third persons from a nected to call for deeds and documents of title, but

11. NOTICE—continued.

Constructive notice-continued.

not to the same extent where a Registration Act is in operation, as it would where no Registration Act prevails. Agra Bank v. Barry, L. B., 7 H. L., 135, followed. If an agent authorised to sell property cominities fraud against his principal, the principal is the person who ought to suffer and not a stranger. DOORA NARAIN SEN v. BANEY MADHAB MOZUMBAR. L. L. R., T. Cale, 199

L. L. R., T. Cale, 199

L. L. R., T. Cale, 199

Registration .-Possession .- Registration Acts, Effect of .- English Registry Acts, Stat. 7 Anne, c. 20, s. 1; 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, s. 1; 6 Anne, c. 35, s. 1; 8 Geo. 2, c. 6, s. 1.— Irish Registry Act, 6 Anne, c. 2, s. 4 (Ireland).—Neither in England nor in Ireland has mere registration been held to amount to notice to subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. In Bombay the Courts have adopted the rule which prevails in America, and have held that registration does amount to notice to all subsequent purchasers of the same property. Possession has been deemed by Hindu and Mahomedan law, as interpreted in the Presidency of Bombay, to amount to notice of such title as the person in possession may have; and any other person who takes a mortgage or other charge upon immoveable property without ascertaining the nature of the claim of him who is in possession does so at his own risk. This is the rule in England also. The Indian Registration Acts prior to the year 1864, like the Middlesex Registry Act (Stat. 7 Anne, e. 20, sec. 1); the Yorkshire Registry Acts (Stat. 2 and 3 Anne, c. 4, sec. 1; 6 Anne, e. 35, sec, 1; 8 Geo. II., c. 6, sec. 1), and the Irish Registry Act (Stat. 6 Anne, c. 2, sec. 4, Ir.), gave priority of rank to priority of registration. The later Indian Registration Acts—viz., tration. Act XVI of 1864, XX of 1866, VIII of 1871, and III of 1877-proceed upon a different principle. Under them a registered instrument operates from the time at which it would have commenced to operate if no registration had been required or made, and not from the time of its registration, which rule applies both to compulsory and optionally registrable instruments. The earlier decisions, by which registration has in India been permitted to supply the want of possession, may be attributed to this absolute preference so accorded by the earlier Registration Acts to priority of registration. In the reported cases under the Indian Registration Acts passed in and subsequently to 1864, which have not (like the previous enactments) given priority of rank to priority of registration, the Courts have also regarded registration as an equivalent for possession where the instrument earlier in date has been registered, but unaccompanied by possession. The Courts have gone a step further, and have held registration under Act XVI of 1864 and the subsequent Acts to amount to notice, and therefore to atone for the absence of, and to be a sufficient substitute for, possession in the validation of title. The rule, however, that registration is equivalent to possession, cannot be applied to cases where the registration of the instrument earlier in date has been effected subsequently to the execu-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued.
11. NOTICE-continued.

Constructive notice-continued.

tion of the instrument set up against it. LAKSHMANDAS SARUPCHAND v. DASKAT

[I. L. R., 6 Born., 168

session .- Vendor and purchaser .- Purchaser withsession.—Fenon and parenaser.—Interneur with one possession.—Subsequent purchaser with possession and without notice of prior purchase.—The plaintiff purchased the land in dispute on the 28th February 1878, and on the same day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 29th April 1878. He was not put in possession of the property. The defendant purchased the same property on the 1st April 1878, and on the following day lodged his deed of purchase with the Registrar together with the registration fee. It was registered on the 26th May 1878. His purchase was accompanied with possession. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against the vendor and the subsequent purchaser for possession of the property,—Held that the registration of the plaintiff's deed of purchase, not having been effected until after the execution of the defendant's deed, could not have operated as notice of the plaintiff's deed to the defendant, and, therefore, could not be equivalent to possession. Held, also, that as the defendant was a purchaser without notice, either actual or constructive, of the plaintin's prior purchase, and had taken the pro-caution of obtaining possession, both parties being Hindus and innocent purchasers, the defendant could not be deprived of the benefit of his possession. Назна у. Васно . I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165

83. Priority.—Notice of prior contract.—Specific Relief Aci, 1877, s. 27.—Oral agreement.—Sale to third person in contravention of agreement.—Citil Procedure Code, 1852, ss. 261, 262.—Where a bond fide contract, whether oral or writton, is unde for the sale of property, and a third party afterwards buys the property with notice of the prior contract, the title of the party claiming under the prior contract, the revails against the subsequent purchaser, although the latter's purchase may have been registered, and although he has obtained possession under his purchase. Chunder Kant Roy e. Krahna Sunder Roy

[I. L. R., 10 Cale., 710 See Nemai Chaban Dhabal v. Kokil Bag

8 [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 534: 7 C. L. R., 487
84...

84...

84...

San-mortgage in
84...

San-mortgage in
84...

San-mortgage in
84...

San-mortgage in
94...

San-

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 11. NOTICE—continued.

Priority-continued.

the established and judicially recognised custom of Guiarat that possession is not necessary in the case of a san-mortgage to validate it as against subsequent mortgagees or purchasers. The necessity of possession being thus dispensed with, it seems to follow that a san-mortgage, in other respects good, is valid as against a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser, whether or not such mortgagee or purchaser has notice of the san-mortgage. To hold that a subsequent mortgagee or purchaser for valuable consideration and without notice of a san-mortgage is entitled to priority over it, would be tantamount to depriving the san-mortgagee of the benefit of the custom that possession is unnecessary. Per Melvill, J.—Such perfect security is now afforded by registration that there appears to be hardly room for the plea of purchase without notice. Seeing that a purchaser may secure himself against all unregistered mortgages without possession by simply taking possession or registering his conveyance, he is, if he omit to do so, in part delicto with the prior mortgagee, and it is difficult to see how he is entitled to any relief. Sobhagchand Gulab Chand v. Bhai-. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193

12, POSSESSION.

85. — Vendor remaining in possession.—Presumption.—Where a deed was executed conveying a man's entire property to his son, only two years old, and reserving to himself one rupes a day for his subsistence, and after execution the conveying party remained in possession.—Held that, in the absence of explanation, no other inference could be drawn than that the deed was merely intended to be used as a blind. Seeenath Singl Chowder 1.

[10 W. R., 449

86.—Condition of severity by vendor.—Suit to realise security.—The defendant purchased certain jewels at a sale by auction, subject to a condition that, if not paid for in three days, the goods should be sold at the risk of the purchaser. Being unable to pay within the time stipulated, he gave a promissory note for the price, upon an agreement that the vendor should retain the jewels for him, but should not exercise the power of sale within three days. Held that the vendor could sue on the note, though he retained the jewels in his possession under the lien so created. ALERY, HAYES, & Co., n. ANTHON CHUNDER MUNDER. BOURFA. O. C., 156

SI. — Absence of change of possession.—Hindu law, a change of possession is necessary to complete a sale of corporal property, in order to prevent successive purchasers from being cheated by successive sales of the same property, and to obviate disputes as to what was really sold. A purchaser from, a Hindu vendor, who buys corporal property without possession, does not thus obtain a

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continue d 12. POSSESSION—continued,

Absence of change of possession—conti-

Absence of change of possession—continued.

title which, in a suit for specific performance against the vendor, he can enforce against a person actually in possession under a title adverse to the vendor by joining that person as a defendant. Kacup Baxait F. Kachoba Vyfroba 10 Bom, 461

88. — Necessity of change of possession.—Hindu and Mahomedan laws.—Priority.— It is a general but not an invariable rule that possession in the grantee or assignee is deemed essential amongst Hindus and Mahomedans to the complete transfer of immoveable property, either by gift, sale, or mortgage. Exceptions to the above rule pointed out. LAKSHMAN DAS SARUFOHANO 4. DAVRAT

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168

SOBHAGCHAND GULABCHAND v. BHAICHAND [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193

89. Hindu law.—

belivery of possession.—Notice.—Delivery of possession of property sold is, under the Hindu law, essentiel to complete the title of the vendee against a third party purchasing with possession from the same vendor without notice of the prior transaction. The rule prevails as between competing conveyances both of which have been registered. Authorities and Hindu law texts on the subject reviewed. LAID-BHAI SUGRIAND P. BAI AMBIT

[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299

80. ———— Sale when vendor is not in possession.—Hindu law.—Necessity of possession.—Eljectment.—A Hindu, whose estate is in the possession of a trespessor or a mortgagee, may sell his right of entry as snde, or his equity of redemption as such, and the purchaser may thereupon sue to eject the trespesser or to redeem the unortgage; but a bill of sale by a Hindu vendor, purporting to convey the estate itself, executed by a person who is not in possession, cannot operate as a present conveyance, nor enable the purchaser to sue in ejectment. Prahlad Sea v. Budhu Singh, 2 B. L. R., P. C., 111; and Bhobosondwee Dasseed, v. Issur Chunder Dutt, 11 B. L. R., 36, followed. Bikan Singh v. Parbutty Korr, 23 W. R., 39; Gungaharry Nunder v. R.; ghubram Nundee, 14 B. L. R., 307; and Lobenath Ghose v. Jugopoundhoo Roy, I. Z. R., 1 Calc., 297, referred to, Bat Suras v. Darlatram Daya-

91. — Possession, Delivery of.— Hindu law.—Sale.—Possession is not essentially necessary by Hindu law to give validity to a transfer by sale of immoveable property, BHUKAR BIAT-BYAY A. BHATI PRAG

92. Title.—Hindu law.— Observed to the transfer of ownership among Hindus. Fer Markey, J.—As a general rule of law, when a vendee has got a document which in terms professes to make over property, and the document is registered (in case

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.
12. POSSESSION—continued.

Possession, Delivery of—continued,

registration is necessary), he becomes at once the owner without actual delivery of possession. Gunga-HURBY NUNDER & RAGHUBRAM NUNDER

[14 B. L. R., 307: 23 W. R., 131

93. — Hindu law.— Per curiam.—Delivery of possession is not, under the Hindu law, essential to complete the title of a purchaser for value. NAMAIN CHUNDEE CHUCKEE-BUETY 2. DATABAM ROY.

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. R., 241 Nagubai v. Motigie Guru . . . 1 Bom., 5

94. Hindu law current in the Madras Presidency, possession is not necessary to complete a sale. VASUDEVA BHALLU D. NABASLAMA

I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6

95. — Want of possession.—Hisdu law.—Sale before Transfer of Property Act.—Possesion.—Under the law administered in the Madras Presidency in the case of sales of laud between Hindus made before the date of the Transfer of Property Act, 1852, where all has been done that the parties contemplated to complete a sale, the title of the purchaser cannot be defeated in favour of a second purchaser merely by reason that the latter obtained and the former did not obtain possession. RAMASAMI AYXINGAE & MAINIMUTU BRATIAN

[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 404

Sale of land by a Hindu .- Vendor without possession .- Conveyance of right of action .- Where a Hindu vendor sold his share in certain land, but expressly stated in the deed of sale that he was out of possession; that the land was in the hands of a third party, to whom it had been mortgaged without the vendor's authority; and that he (vendor) empowered the purchaser to bring a suit against the person in possession in order to recover the vendor's share in the land, with mesne profits,-Held that what the deed contemplated was nothing more than the transfer of the right of entry, although, according to the invariable mode of expression in such documents, the vendor professed, in terms, to convey the property itself. Held, further, that the purchaser acquired the same right of action which his vendor possessed, notwithstanding that the vendor was not in possession at the date of the sale. VASUDEY HARI v. TATIA NARAYAN

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387

97. Transfer of property by a person not in possession.—Validity of such transfer,—Hindu law.—The plaintiffs sought to recover possession from the defendants of certain land, claiming under a kararnama executed to them by one M. 'The defendants contended that M had never been in possession of the land. The lower Appellate Court held that as M, was not in possession at the time on the kararnama was executed, the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 12. POSSESSION—continued.

Want of possession-continued.

plaintiff's claim was not maintainable. On appeal to the High Court,—Held, reversing the decree of the lower Appellate Court, that the circumstance of M.'s not having been in possession at the time the kararman was executed did not prevent the plaintiff's from recovering possession from the defendants. Kalidas v. Kanhaya Lall, I. L. R., 11 Calc., 121: L. R., 11 I. A., 219, referred to and followed. UGARCHAND MANAKCHAND **, MADAFA SOMANA** I. L. R., 10 D Bom., 324

98. — Hinds law.—Salo of fand.—Though, by Hindu law, on a sale of hand it is not absolutely necessary that the purchaser should be put in possession, it is requisite that the vendor should at the time of sale be in possession of the property sold. GIRDHAR PARJARAM v. DAYI DULABHAM . 7 BOM, A. C., 24

99. Mahomedan law.—Sale when vendor is out of possession.—A sale among Mahomedans, unlike a sale between Hindus, is valid as against a third party; even though the vendor was not at the time of the sale in possession of the property sold. ADAMKHAN D. ALABAHHI

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645 See also Mohinudin v. Manchershah

[I, L, R., 6 Bom., 650

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY.

100. Bonå fide purchase without notice of prior charge. Fér Parocce, C. J., Norman, and Pendir, J.J., Baxer and Campella, J.J., dissenting).—The fact of a purchase of land under a deed of sale being bonå fide and without notice of a prior charge, does not pass the land free from the prior charge. Mahleswar Bax Sing v. Bhirha Chowdher.

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 403 S. C. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122: 5 W. R., 61

101.—Obligation of purchaser—

Inquiry by intending purchaser.—An intending purchaser of property which has been previously unortgaged, who has no reason to suppose it to be joint
family property, or the vendor to be a member of
a joint family, and who has inquired of and learnt
from the mortgages that there was no fraud, is not
bound to make any further inquiry. KYALSH

KAMINER DOSSER & TARIESE CHUKY BOSS

120 W. R., 100

102. Priority.—Mortgage.—Possesion.—Registration.—A registered mortgage, though without possession, is entitled to priority over a subsequent purchaser. Sundar Jasiyuan v. Goral Eshvart . 4. Bom., A. C., 68

But an unregistered mortgage without possession is not valid against a purchaser with possession. GANPAT BAJASHET v. KHANDU CHAUGSHET

[4 Bom., A. C., 69

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY -continued.

- Mortgage by member of ioint Hindu family .- Surrender of equity of redemption .- Purchaser for valuable consideration .-Pleading .- A member of a joint Hindu family granted a usufructuary mortgage: he subsequently, without the knowledge of the co-partners, released the equity of redemption. On hearing of this, the copartners contested the validity of the release. Held that the parties claiming from the person to whom the release was made, took, so far as the co-partners were concerned, a title only as mortgagees. RADHA-NATH DAS D. ELLIOTT . 6 B. L. R., 530

S. C. RADHANATH DAS v. GISBORNE & Co. [15 W. R., P. C., 24: 14 Moore's I. A., 1

 Purchase by mortgagee. Possession.—Priority.—Registration.—A registered mortgage without possession has priority over a subsequent registered sale and conveyance with possession. By a duly-registered deed, D. mortgaged land to the plaintiff with power of salc. . On default made by D., the plaintiff brought a suit for a sale of the mortgaged land; but, pending the suit, D. sold the land to the defendant, who registered his convey-ance and entered into possession. The plaintiff sub-sequently obtained a decree, and at the execution sale became himself the purchaser. In the present suit he sought to recover possession from the defend-ant. Held that the plaintiff was entitled to recover. ant. Heta that the pinnian was entitled to recover. His rights as mortgagee included the right of bringing to sale the property as it subsisted at the date of the mortgage. The property having been so brought to sale, the purchaser acquired a right free from any created subsequently to the mortgage and subject to it. SHRINGARPURE v. PETHE

I. L. R., 2 Bom., 662

- Rights of mortgagee, -Mortgage, Sale without disclosing.—Estoppel.—The three senior members of an undivided Hindu family—the remaining members of which had disappeared-setting forth a ground of necessity, executed to the plaintiff, in November 1870, a mortgage, duly registered, of a piece of land which formed part of the family estate. Certain judgment-creditors of the absent member subsequently attached and sold his share in the said land under their decree. The plaintiff's undivided son purchased it, and in 1872 re-sold his right, title, and interest in it to the defendant's father, without disclosing the fact of his father's mortgage, but without any active fraud on the part of himself or his father to suppress the fact from the knowledge of his purchaser. In 1874 the plaintiff obtained a decree upon his mortgage, and attached the land. In a suit by the plaintiff to establish his right as against all the land included in his mortgage,-Held that the mortgage being, under the circumstances, a valid one, the sale of the absent son's share was subject to the lien created thereby, which lien was not disturbed by the purchase and subsequent sale of the share by the son of the mortgagee. The origin of the son's title was stated in the deed of sale to the new purchaser, who, by the fact of its being a sale of

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued 13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY -continued

Rights of mortgagee-continued.

a share, was put upon inquiry. The mere want of disclosure, by the plaintiff's undivided son, of his father's mortgage, was not enough to create an estoppel against his father seeking to establish his claim under the mortgage. Joshi v. Joshi [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650

- Sale of equity of redemption .- Right of purchaser .- Parties .- By two deeds, dated respectively the 22nd February 1868 and 7th September 1872, and duly registered, A. mortgaged the lands in dispute to B. for a term of years which expired in 1880. On 10th October 1873 A, executed a razinama in favour of B, relinquishing all his right in the said lands, and B, next day executed a kabuliat to Government for the lands, which thenceforward were entered in B.'s name. Previously to the second mortgage and razinama to B.,—viz., on 21st March 1870.—A. had, by a duly-registered deed, mortgaged the same lands to the plaintiff, who in 1874 brought a snit against A. upon his mortgage and obtained a decree, under which he sold the mortgaged property, and became himself the purchaser thereof. Before and at the time of the institution of this suit, B. was in possession of the mortgaged land, but was not made a party to the suit. In 1877 B. sold the land to C. by a duly registered deed. In a suit brought by the plaintiff against B. and C. to recover possession of the land so purchased by him as above mentioned, at the sale in execution of his own decree,—Held that B.'s possession at the date of the plaintiff's suit upon his mortgage was sufficient to put the plaintiff on inquiry, and to constitute legal notice to him that the equity of redemption was at that time vested in B., and it was therefore the plaintff's duty to have made B. a party to the suit brought by him against A., who had then alienated the equity of redemption to B.; and not having done so, the plaintiff could not rely, in support of his own title, upon a purchase under his own irregularly-obtained decree, and could not, therefore, stand in a better position as against B. than if his original suit had been properly constituted,—i.e., he was bound to give B. an opportunity of redeeming his mortgage. NARU v. GULABSING [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 83

 Purchase subject to mortgage .- Right to redeem .- Good title at time of hearing of suit .- Certificate of sale .- The property nearing of suit.—Certificate of saie.—The property in dispute was mortgaged by its owner to the defendant with possession on the 3rd October 1847. On the 3rd December 1861 A. obtained a moneydecree against the son and heirs of the mortgagor. In execution of that decree the property was sold subject to the mortgage, and purchased by B. on the 12th August 1864. Before confirmation of the sale, B., on the 1st September 1864, sold it to C., who, on the 30th March 1877, conveyed it by deed to the plaintiff. On the 27th September 1877 the plaintiff brought a suit for redeeming the property, and at the hearing produced a certificate of sale, dated the 27th VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.

Purchase subject to mortgage-continued. October 1877. The certificate was applied for in May 1877, and issued to C., reciting the sale to B., and the sale by B. to C. The Court of first instance allowed the plaintiff to redeem on payment of a certain sum of money to the defendant. The Assistant Judge, on appeal, reversed the decree of the first Court on the ground that the certificate of sale was not in existence at the date of the institution of the suit, and that, therefore, the plaintiff had then no complete title. On appeal to the High Court,—Held that the plaintiff, having purchased and paid for the equity of redemption, was cutitled to redeem, al-though the certificate of sale was not issued until after the suit had commenced. If a party, whose title is to some extent imperfect, seeks to redeem, and is able to prove a perfect title at the hearing of his cause, he should have a decree for redemption. Harkisandas Narandas v. Bai Ichha, I. L. R., 4 Bom., 155, and Lalbhai Lakhmidas v. Naval Mir Kamaludin Husen Khan, 12 Bom., 247, explained and distinguished. Krishnaji Ravji v. Ganesh Bapuji IL L. R., 6 Bom., 139

 Purchaser of mortgagor's interest,-Priority,-Purchaser of value without notice of a prior san-mortgage.—Suit by mortgagee against purchaser to establish right to attack property.—Right of purchaser to redeem.—Parties.— Form of decree.—On the 23rd March 1869 a house was mortgaged by its owner, P., to J. by a san-mortgage. After the death of P., his heirs, D. and T., on the 9th July 1869, executed to the plaintiff a sanmortgage of the same house for R62. That mortgage was neither registered nor accompanied with possession. On the 27th July 1869~D. and T. sold the house to the defendant. The deed of sale was not registered. A part of the purchase-money was applied to the payment of the first sun-mortgage, which was then delivered up to the defendant, with a receipt on it by J., who acknowledged to have received from the defendant the amount due on his mortgage. The defendant, however, omitted to take an assignment of that mortgage to himself. The plaintiff sued D. and T. on his san-mortgage of the 9th July 1869, and in 1872 obtained a decree for the recovery of the mortgage-debt out of the mortgaged property. The defendant was not made a party to that suit. The plaintiff attached the house in execution of his decree, but the attachment was raised on the application of the defendant under section 246 of the Civil Procedure Code, Act VIII of 1859. The plaintiff then sued the defendant to establish his (plaintiff's) right to attach and sell the house under his san-mortgage. The defendant answered that he was a purchaser for value, without notice of the plaintiff's mortgage. The plaintiff's claim was dismissed by the first Court, but allowed by the Appellate Court. special appeal,—Held that the defendant's plea that he was a purchaser for valuable consideration, and without notice of the plaintiff's san-mortgage, would not avail to defeat that mortgage under the established usage of Gujarat in favour of san-mortgages. Held, further, VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

13. PURCHASE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—continued.

Purchaser of mortgagor's interest-continued.

that the defendant, having become entitled by his purchase at least to the equity of relemption in the house, ought to have been made a party to the plaintiff's original suit on his mortgage, and was not bound by the decree in that suit, and was entitled to a reasonable time to redeem the house from the plaintiff's mortgage, Sobbagedand Gulabeland v. Babichand, J. L. R., 6 Bon., 193, followed. NAMAN PURSINDAN A. DOLATERAN VIRGUAND

[I. L. R., 6 Bom., 538

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAY-MENTS BY PURCHASER.

109. Non-payment of purchase-omoney.—Tender.—Payment into Caustro-Suit for specife performance.—Plaintiff lad entered into a contract with one of the defendants for the purchase of certain immoveable property, and after he made a small advance the contract was written out and registered. The purchaser refusing to pay up the purchase-money unless the vendor paid the costs, or lad if the costs, of registration, the latter resold the property to a third person. The present suit was to compel the completion of the contract and delivery of the property. Held that the Court was bound to see whether it was or was not the intention that a complete and binding sale should take place, although the purchase-money was not paid. Held, also, that in bringing such a suit, the plaintiff was bound, if he had not previously tendered the money to the defendant, to pay it into Court. Mahadoo Beutm.

Hussenoot Hossens. 15 W. R., 44 W. R., 1940.

110. — Advance of purchasemoney.—Lieu on purchase.—Repayment.—Sulf for possession.—B. advanced money to A. for the purchase of an estate. The estate was purchased by A. but it was conveyed to B. Held that hefore A. could maintain a suit to obtain possession of the hand, he was bound to pay or tender the money advanced by B. BHOYRUB CHUNDER SEIN *8. ANUNDMOYE CHOWDIELIN ... MAYSH., 494 E.

111. — Right to refund of purchase-money.—Right to refund of purchase-money.—A purchase of property of which possession was contracted to be given, but the vendor is unable to fulfil the contract, is at liberty to sue for repayment of the purchase-money, and is not obliged to sue for possession of the property. Mo-HUN LALE, BEHAREE LAL. — 3 N. W., 386

112. Bonâ fide purchaser — Refund of purchase-money.— A bonâ fide purchaser was held to be entitled to a refund of the purchase-money in a case where some dispute having arisen as to the purchase, the matter was referred to arbitration; and it was held that the vendor hud no authority to sell. The principle of careat enpior VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAY-MEN'IS BY PURCHASER-continued.

Right to refund of purchase-money-con-

does not apply to such a case. KISHEN MOHUN SHAHA v. RAM CHUNDER DEY . 3 W. R., 28 . 3 W. R., 28

- Refusal to perform contract .- Omission to repudiate sale .- Suit for recovery of purchase-money.—The defendants had sold certain property to the plaintiff. They after-wards refused to effect mutation of names in favour of the plaintiff, on the ground that he had not paid off a certain mortgage on the property, which he had promised in the contract of sale to do. They did not repudiate the sale or the plaintiff's title under it. Held that the refusal was not tantamount to a rescission of the sale, and that a suit for the recovery of the purchase-money would not lie. SIRAJ-OOD-DOWLAH v. 5 N. W., 194 NOOR AHMUD

Suit to recover deposit of purchase-money .- Obligation to tender conveyance for execution. - In a suit by a purchaser of immoveable property to recover a deposit, paid by him on account of the purchase-money to the auctioneer, the vendor having refused to convey to the purchaser, save by a deed which should describe the premises by reference to another deed, not shown to the purchaser at the auction, and of the contents of which he had not then any notice,—Held that the purchaser was not bound to have tendered a conveyance engrossed to the vendor for execution, together with the residue of the purchase-money, before sning to recover the deposit. ESSAJI ADAMJI v. BHIMJI PURSHOTAM . 4 Bom., O. C., 125

Illegal sale,-Sale by coparceners without assent of others .-Where a sale by two coparceners in favour of another was set aside, on the ground that the sale by a coparcener without the consent of the others was illegal,-Held, on the suit of the vendee to recover the purchase-money from the descendants of the vendors, that the purchase-money was like a debt, and payable by the heirs, in proportion to the shares inherited by each. COMEDEE v. CHEDA LALL [2 Agra, 264

Refund ofpurchase money by heir taking after widow .- Held that a party succeeding as heir to an estate, the sale of which, by the widow of the person from whom he inherits, has been set aside, is bound to refund the mneries, has been set usues, is sound to retain an opportune money paid to the widow for the purpose of discharging liabilities on the estate, Roostum Singh v. Alum Singh 1 Agra, 291

- Failure to register .- Suit for refund of purchase-money .- Set-off .-The plaintiff agreed to purchase land and paid down the purchase-money, taking from the vendor an agreement that if he did not register the conveyance he would return the purchase-money. The plaintiff entered into possession, but the vendor failing to register the conveyance, he sued to recover back his VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAY-MENTS BY PURCHASER-continued.

Right to refund of purchase-money-con-

purchase-money. Held that he was entitled to a refund of the purchase-money. The purchaser who had obtained possession might or might not, according to the particular circumstances of the case, be liable to pay the vendor a reasonable amount for the occupation of the land; but when no set-off is pleaded, the vendor could only claim such amount by a separate action. Court of Wards v. Nitta Kall Debi . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 353: 12 W. R., 287

See GURU PRASAD ROY v. DHANPAT SINGH [5 B. L. R., Ap., 46: 14 W. R., 20

PBABUHBAM HAZRA v. ROBINSON [3 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 11 W. R., 398

Purchaser at revenue sale afterwards set aside .- Suit to recover purchase-money.-Voluntary payment.-A person who, with notice, buys property subject to a contin-gency, which may defeat or destroy the interest which is the subject of the sale, is not entitled to be relieved from his bargain and to recover the purchasemoney, merely because the contingency contemplated actually happens, and the property either does not become, or ceases to be, available for his benefit, RAMTUHUL SINGH V. BISSESSUR LLI SAHOO [15 B. L. R., 208: 23 W. R., 305]

L. R., 2 I. A., 131

Reversing the decision of the High Court in BISSESSUR LAL SAHOO v. RAMTUHUL SINGH [11 B. L. R., 121; 19 W. R., 351

 Voluntary payment.—Payment to prevent sale .- A payment of money to prevent a sale about to be effected in execution of a decree cannot be called a voluntary payment, whether it is made by the judgment-debtor or by a third party claiming the property. OMRITO LALL SIRCAR v. , 18 W. R., 503 BAMDHUN CHAKER .

Payment by purchaser at execution sale .- Purchaser looking to application of money to pay debts on estate.—A purchaser was held entitled to recover the amount paid by him on account of previous mortgages, when, in making these payments, he merely acted for the debtor who had borrowed the money from him, and what he did was to see that that money so borrowed was properly applied in clearing off the debts which rendered his own purchase unsafe, and of the existence of which he was at that time cognisant. WAJED HOSSEIN v. AHMED REZA

117 W. R., 480

121. Sale whilst under attachment .- Caveat emptor .- Fraud .- T. sold a mouzah, of which he was owner, to Z. At the time of sale the mouzah was under attachment in execution of a decree obtained against T. by R. Z. paid the amount of that decree to prevent the property which she had purchased being sold in execution. Z. was VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

14. PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PURCHASER—continued.

Voluntary payment-continued.

under no obligation otherwise to pay the amount of the decree. Held that Z. was entitled to recover against T. the amount so paid. Zahuban v. Tayler [2 B. L. R., A. C., 86: 10 W. R., 380

122. Purchase from Hindu window.—Alienation set avide by heir.—Suit by purchaser to recover unney paid on mortgage.—
The plaintiff purchased an estate from a Hindu widow in possession, and after his purchase he paid a debt for which the property sold had been mortgaged by the late husband of his vendor. Subsequently the daughter of the vondor claimed the property as heir of her father, and recovered possession of it from the purchaser by suit. The purchaser then such the heir for a refund of the amount of the mortgage-debt paid by him. Held that the purchaser was entitled to recover. Poblam Misra o. Harsaham Misra.

8 B. L. R., AD, 55

15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-

123.——Purchaser from Hindu executor.—Inquiry by purchaser.—Semble,—A purchaser from a Hindu executor is not bound to see to the exact amount of the debts which the testator has directed the executor to pay, or even to inquire if any such debts actually existed; he need not look further than the will itself. ROOPLALE KIPETERY v. MOHIMA CHURN ROY. 10 B. L. R., 271, note

124.— Specific performance, Right to.—Sale bond fide but not of final character.—Priority over attaching oreditor.—A deed of sale, though not strictly of a complete and final character, yet, if genuine and duly attested, may be sufficient to bind the property and to give the purchaser the right to demand a specific performance of the contract and the execution of such further assurances as might be deemed necessary to invest him with a complete title to the property. Such a deed would necessarily prevail over any intermediate attachment of the property for debts due from the original proprietor. LALLA CHOONEELAL NACINDAS v. SAWAECHUND NAMEDAS [5 W. R., P. C., 11]

125. Validity of sale.—Sale for valuable consideration.—Linestion to transfer.—
Lield that the mere fact that the sale to the plaintiff was instigated by some discharged mortgages over of necessity make void the plaintiff sight as purchaser, if it be found that the vendor to the plaintiff had some right or interest in the property by inheritance, and transferred it for valuable consideration, with the intention that it should take effect as a transfer of his rights as heir. MANOMED FARMAL KIMN **O. GUNGA RAM 1. 1 Agra, 112

126. — Conveyance by mortyagee with power of sale.—Absence of confirmation by mortgagor.—B. & Co., mortgagees with power to sell, sold the mortgaged property to the

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF—continued.

Validity of sale-continued.

defendants. No deed was executed until some years afterwards, when the unortgagor was dead. The deed was in the form followed when a mortgages is the vendor, and the mortgagors join in the conveyance; but the words of conveyance were by the mortgagors alone, and without any confirmation by the mortgagor. Held that the purchaser did not by the deed acquire an indefensable estate. Dorgett r. Wise S. W.R., 157

- Effect of sale. - Purchase of rights of Mahomedan widow .- Failure to take ac tual possession .- By an order passed under Act XIX of 1841, A. was declared entitled to take possession of a fourth share of her deceased husband B.'s estate which devolved upon her according to Mahomedan law. B.'s nephew C. sued to recover this share on the ground that A. had been divorced and this suit was pending when the present suit was brought by the purchasers of A.'s rights. It being found that A. never took actual possession of her share under her decree and that C. was in possession of the whole estate, - Held that A,'s vendees could not be placed in a higher position than their vendor was when C.'s suit was brought against her, and all that they were entitled to was the right to represent her in the pending suit. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. AZEEMOODDEEN. MAHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. GOOL BEGUM. MOHOMED GOWHUR ALI KHAN v. SHURUFUNISSA BEGUM W. R., 1864, 93

128. — Purchaser of fractional strate of rest.—Right to cultivate land.—Rate of rest.—In the absence of any reservation or restriction, the purchaser of a fraction of a share of an estate acquires a right either to cultivate a propritionate share of the lands cultivated by his vendors on the same conditions as for favourable rents as those under which they, as proprietors, cultivated it, or to claim his share of the rents of these lands just as he would from any ryot of the estate, but without any other sum as meane profits. CHYTTO SINGH O. KAYESUR KOONWIB. . . . 5 W. R., 117

129. — Specification of land sold. — Purchase of specified land with description of amount. — A party who buys a specified talook with the additional description that that talook contains so much land, gets the whole land which the specified too of his vendor covers and which was intended to be sold, although it may be more than was contained in the description. AMERICONISSA KUMTON F. KYMOLA KAYE ROY. — 14 W. R., 117

180. — Omission to specify area sold.—Misdescription.—Compensation for smaller area.—The specification in a deed of sale of land of the area of the tand sold primā facie implies that the area was regarded as material by the parties, and, unless it is clear that the precise area was not regarded as material, proportional compensation will be awarded to the purchaser of land the real area of which is found to fall short of the area specified in

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. 15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-continued.

Specification of land sold-continued.

the deed of sale, SULEMAN VADU v. TRIKAMJI , 12 Bom., 10

 Specification in sale certificate. - Sale by purchaser at execution sale who has obtained possession under a certificate of sale more extensive than the decree.—Where a decree-holder obtains an order for the sale of his judgment-debtor's interest in certain property, and, becoming purchaser at the sale which follows, receives a sale certificate going beyond the order, he cannot avail himself of anything in the certificate beyond the order. If, however, he obtains possession according to the certificate, and sells to a bond fide purchaser without notice of the difference between the certificate and the order of sale, the latter has a good title. GOWERE KUMUL BRUTTACHARJEE v. SURUT CHUN-. 22 W. B., 408 DER DOSS BISWAS .

Non-registration, Effect of .- Proof of actual contract of sale and possession on payment of purchase-money .- Held that it does not follow from the non-registration within the time fixed for registration of a deed which was executed before the Registration Act came into operation, that the purchaser has acquired nothing by his purchase, or that the vendor is to resume possession of the property, if it be shown that there was a contract of sale, and that in pursuance of that contract the purchaser paid the money and obtained possession.
RAM SURUN DASS v. RAM CHUND . 1 Agra, 283

133. — Non-registration.—Sale of decree.—Decree on mortgage-bond.—Registration.— Right to execute decree. - A decree-holder purported to sell to A, by private sale, all his right, title, and interest in a mortgage-decree obtained by him in a suit on a mortgage-bond against the mortgager. The deed of sale was not registered. Afterwards, by a registered deed of sale, A. conveyed all his right, title, and interest in the same decree to B. Held that the right to execute the decree as a mortgage-decree did not pass to B. KOOB LALL CHOWDERY v. NIT-TYA NUND SINGH . . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839

S. C. Hub Lal Chowdery v. Nittyanund Singe . . . 12 C. L. R., 393

— Assignment of indigo factory .- Right to rent and to indigo manufactured .-Where a plaintiff sued on the alleged purchase by him of the rights and interests of certain parties in an indigo concern, it was held that the rents collected and appropriated, and the indigo manufactured and taken away before the date of the purchase. could not form part of the stores and assets sold to the plaintiff, unless the sale of the assets, &c., had been as from some date prior to the date of purchase. CHUNDER COOMAR ROY v. WILKINS

110 W. R., 311

– Assignee, Liability of, to creditor of the factory .- Creditor, Rights of .- Dena powna, Contract to take over

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. . 15. PURCHASERS, RIGHTS OF-continued.

Assignment of indigo factory-continued.

—A., by deed duly registered, assigned his interest in an indigo factory to B. In the deed was a recital that it had been agreed that B, should take over the dena powna account of the factory as the same stood on the 30th September 1856. sued A. and B. jointly to recover rent in respect of lands which had been occupied under a lease from C. with and for the use of the factory, and which was due on the 30th September 1856. B. raised the defence that the debt was not included in a schedule, dated 30th September 1856, signed by A., and which he alleged had been furnished to him by A. as containing a list of the liabilities of the factory. Held, if a trader or other person in this country assigns his stock in trade and effects to another, and such other person enters into a contract with the first to pay the debts of the concern, or a certain portion of such debts, the contract and assignment create a liability to the ereditors in whose favour such contract is made, which they may enforce by suit; nor is the creditor bound to elect between his original debtor and the assignee, but he may join them as co-defendants in the same suit. Held, also, per PRAGOCK, C. J., and NOEMAN and KEMP, JJ. (STEER and SETON-KARR, JJ., dissenting), the case must be remanded to the lower Court to try what was the agreement between A. and B. as to B. taking over the dena powns account of the factory, whether the schedule was an essential part of the contract or not. Kearnes v. Bhawani Charan Mitter B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W. R., F. B., 167

PHOOL KOONWAR v. CHARDON [6 W. B., Act X, 89

Liability of assignee to creditor.—Bond given by former proprietor .- When the holder of a bond from the former proprietor of an indigo factory had made no demand on it for twelve years, nor apprised the assignee of its existence as a debt due by the factory, it was held that he could not come down on the present proprietors, but must look to the obligor of the bond personally for satisfaction. HUBBEBUNISSA v. COX TW. B., 1864, 266

16. SETTING ASIDE SALES.

- Ground for setting aside sale .- Stipulation to have mutation of names .-Refusal of revenue authorities to register name of purchaser .- Where a person purchased certain lands under a deed of sale, in which the vendor undertook to apply to the revenue authorities for the transfer of the lands to the name of the vendee, and did so, and both persons clearly understood what they were doing, -Held that the refusal of the revenue authorities to enter the purchaser's name in the mutation register did not constitute a ground for cancelling the sale and recovering the purchase-money. GEDEERA KOLITA v. DEBENDRO NARAIN KONWAR [25 W. R., 352 VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued. 16. SETTING ASIDE SALES—continued.

Ground for setting aside sale-continued.

138. Bond fide purchasely for production acting collusively.—The plaintiff was entitled, in right of her deceased husband, to the equity of redemption in a mortgaged estate. Her guardian, in collusion with the mortgagee, instituted a foreclosure suit, in which she was represented by the guardian, who submitted to a decree; and under that decree the property was sold, and the defendant became the purchaser. Held that the defendant being a bond file purchaser, the Le was not liable to be set aside. Kheteremoner Dasser e, Kishenmonum Mittel.

S. C. ss ehen Mohun Mitter v. Khettermoner Dassee . . . 2 Hay, 196

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF-

139. Unpaid vendor.—Refusal to deliver ander payment.—Right after delivery.—A party selling land may refuse to give delivery until the consideration is paid; but having given delivery, the has no right to retake possession and pay himself the purchase-money out of the usuffnet.—PREN SOONDUBBE DOSIA C. GRIER CHUNDER BRUTTA-CHARSES.—10 W. R. 194

140. Failure to pay whole of consideration-money.—When a vendor of land is not paid a portion of the consideration-money, he cannot wholly disaffrur the contract, but he can establish his lieu on the land as an unpaid vendor. MOINSUM ADLE V. BALASSO KOEE. 2 HAY, 576

141. Fendor's lies for uspaid purchase-money.—In a suft claiming possession of land purchased by the plaintiff from the defendant, the Munist flave on the claim for want of consideration; but the District Judge found that the plaintiff was entitled to have the land, and that the defendant might sue for the purchase-money. Belt that the equitable doctrine of the vendor's lies for unpuid purchase-money applied to the case, but as the District Judge land not decided whether the defendant had succeeded in proving that the purchase-money had not been paid, the suit should be remanded for a finding by him on that issue. YELLAPPA BIN BISAPPA e. MANTAFPA BIN BASAPPA [3]

142. Etilure to pay portion of purchase-money.—The vendees of certain land, a portion of which only was in their possession by virtue of the sale, the rest being in the possession of mortgages, such for a declaration of their right to such land, and to have a sale of a portion of such land, made after it had been sold to them, set aside. Held that, inasmuch as the sale to them had taken effect, they were entitled, notwithstanding the whole of the purchase-money might not have been paid, to a decree as claimed, and the vendors, if they had any claim in respect of the purchase-money, should be

VENDOR AND PURCHASER—continued.

17. VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF—continued.

Unpaid vendor-continued.

left to seek their remedy. KESRI v. GANGA PRA-SAD . I. I., R., 4 All, 168

 Stoppage in transity.- Lien of unpaid vendors.- Agents for purchase of goods, -Insolvency, - Right of carriers. -A firm at Cawnpore sent an agent to Saran, plaintiff's residence, to effect purchases in cotton, and the plaintiff, at the instance of the person so deputed, made purchases and supplied funds, both for purchase and for their carriage and insurance, the agent doing nothing but consenting to the arrangements and giving handis on his employer's correspondents in payment. The goods were despatched and insured, but before reaching their destination the firm because insolvent, and the plaintiff proceeded to take possession of them, but was prevented on account of the goods being previously attached by the defendant, a judgment-creditor. It was held on plaintiff's suit that the plaintiff was an unpaid vendor, and had a lien on the goods for the price, and might detain the goods till he received or was satisfied about the payment for the said goods, a completed contract for the sale of the goods not withstanding. An unpaid vendor, in case of the vendee's insolvency, may stop the goods sold in transitu. Agents for the purchase of goods have a lien on the goods when purchased for the moneys paid and liabilities incurred by them in respect to such purchase, and are not bound to deliver the goods until they are reimbursed or secured for such advances and liabilities, and an agent in this character is in the position of an unpaid vendor. Where the vendor is not otherwise paid than by having received the insolvent's acceptance, he may in the event of the purchaser's insolvency stop the goods, though he have negotiated the bills, and they are still outstanding and not yet at maturity. Whilst the goods sold remain in the hands of the carrier employed to convey them to their original destination, as between buyer and selier, no case of constructive possession arises, unless when the carrier enters expressly into some new agreement, distinct from the original contract for carriage. So also the mere acts of making or sampling the goods, or giving notice to the carrier to hold the goods for the buyer, though done with intention to take possession, do not establish a constructive possession, or affect the right to stop in Where the right of stoppage in transitu transitu. vests in the consignor, it cannot be defeated by the claims of other creditors of the consiguee, the unpaid vendor having an elder and preferential lien. BHO-LANATH v. BAIJ NATH . ·. 2 Agra, 11

1.44. — Sale of immovecoble property.—Non-payment of purchase-mossey.—
Fendor's remedy.—A vendor of immoveable property
who has given possession to the purchaser is not
entitled to rescind the contract of sale and recover
possession because the purchase-money is not paid.
His remedy is to sue for the sum due, and he has a
lien on the property for the amount. TRIMADEA

VENDOR AND PURCHASER-continued. | 17, VENDOR, RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF-continued.

Unpaid vendor-continued.

RAGHAVENDRA v. MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONERS OF . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172

of vendor, Right of, to lien.—Mortgage.—Although an unpaid vendor holds a lien upon property sold for the consideration-money, yet a creditor of that vendor cannot claim the same right. HARI RAM v. DENA-PUT SINGH

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 167: 11 C. L. R., 339

 Conditions of sale,—Sale by Government.—Auction sale of confiscated pro-perty.—Ground for setting aside sale.—Where it was made a distinct condition of sale that the property should be sold to the highest bidder, without any restriction of the purchaser being a rebel or not. -Held that the Government may, like any other seller, impose any condition it pleases in reference to the property which it offers for sale, prior to sale, but is not at liberty subsequently to the sale to disoffered the property for sale. Seva Lall v. Ma-. 2 Agra, 160 MOMED

- Vendor keeping vendee out of possession .- Suit for partition .- Trustee. -Mesne profits.-Where, in a suit for partition, it appeared that the vendor of the portion sued for had kept the vendee out of possession, the vender, though liable for mesne profits, was not in the position of trustee of the rents for the party kept out of posses-NILKAMAL LAHURI D. GUNOMANI DEBI

[7 B. L. R., P. C., 113: 15 W. R., P. C., 38

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

148.-- Deed of sale, Proof of .- Suit for possession under deed of sale .- Delivery of deed of sale .- In a suit for possession of land on the ground of title nuder a kobala, it is not enough for the plaintiff to prove the writing and signature of the kobala; he must also prove that it was delivered

- Fictitious sale.-Mortgage. -Suit by purchaser for confirmation of possession.

-Issues.—Where a sale by A. to the plaintiff had taken place shortly before a mortgage of the same property by A. to the defendant, the defendant is entitled to have raised, in a suit brought for confirmation of possession and to declare the sale valid, an issue whether the sale was bond fide and for consideration, and whether possession passed under it to the plaintiff. The proper issue is not whether the deed of sale was genuine or not. GARBHU BHAGAT v. RUNGLAL SING . . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 33

- Owner standing by and seeing property sold,-Right to have sale set VENDOR AND PURCHASER -- continued. 18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES—continued.

Owner standing by and seeing property sold-continued:

aside.-The rule that one who, knowing his own title, stands by and encourages a purchase of property as another's, will not be allowed to dispute the validity of the sale, implies a wilful misleading of the purchaser by some breach of duty on the owner's part. In this case there was nothing more than mere quiescence. BASWANTAPA SHIDAPA v. RANU [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 86

- Purchaser from husband. -Acquiescence of wife .- Suit to set aside purchase as being wife's property.—Where a husband was alleged to have given a share in some property to his wife, and the husband subsequently sold the whole property to another party, and put the said party in possession, without any objection from the wife, who for years behaved as though she had no interest in the property other than that arising from her husband's possession of it in his own right,—Held that a person afterwards claiming to have purchased the wife's share, and seeking to be put in possession, could not displace the bond fide purchaser from the husband, for a person in the position of the wife in this case, who chooses to stand by for years not asserting her rights, but allowing another to deal with her property as his own, has no equity to come into Court and eject any one who has purchased in ignorance of her title. SOOJAT MAHOMED v. MAHOMED . 25 W. R., 281 TORAB

- Grant of estate when having bad title.—Vendor afterwards obtaining good title.—Specific Relief Act (I of 1877), s. 18.—A. holding a certain mehal as a ghatwal, mortgaged it to B. by way of a zur-i-peshgi lease for twenty-one years. Shortly after the granting of the lease the zemindar got a decree against A. by which A.'s ghatwali right was extinguished. In execution of that decree, the zemindar ousted and took khas possession of the mehal. Some years afterwards, the zemindar granted to A. a perpetual mokurrari lease of the same mehal. Held, in a suit against A. instituted by the assignee of B.'s rights in the zur-i-peshgi, that under section 18, Act I of 1877, A. must, out of his present estate in the mehal, make good the zur-i-peshgi. LOOT NARAIN SINGH v. SHOWKEE LALL [2 C. L. R., 382

 Separate agreement by purchaser.—Subsequent exercise of pre-emption.— Co-sharers.—Where a vendor in selling his property got the vendee to execute another deed in his favour for certain bighas of land for his maintenance, and the pre-emptor, who could not elaim to have the bargain made with him on more favourable terms than those offered by the stranger and accepted by the vendor, the fact that he was no party personally to the agreement notwithstanding. KHAIT SINGH v. HEERA DASS 1 Agra, 75

18. MISCELLANEOUS CASES-continued.

- Right of pre-emption,-Option of getting estate re-transferred.—Morigage.
—In July 1870, R., the owner of a share of a village, executed in favour of M. an instrument whereby he transferred by sale the share to M. absolutely. November 1870 M. agreed to re-transfer the share to R., if R. desired, at any time within thirteen years, to re-purchase it, on payment of the sum which M. had paid for it. During the term mentioned in the agreement of November 1870, R. not having taken advantage of the agreement, M. sned, as owner of the share, to enforce the right of pre-emption in respect of the sale of another share of the village. Held that, M. having become under the transfer of July 1870 the out-and-out proprietor of the share, until R. availed himself of the option given him by the agreement of November 1870, the full estate of an owner, carrying with it the right of pre-emption, vested in M., and it was competent for him to enforce such right by suit. Ramsaran Lal v. Amirta Kuar, I. L. R., 3 All., 369, distinguished. Bhajan v. MUSHTAR AHMAD . I. L. R., 5 All., 324

Conditionagainst alienation .- The co-sharers of a certain estate sold it to R. On the same day as the vendors executed the conveyance of such estate to R., the latter executed an instrument whereby he agreed that the vendors might redeem such estate or any portion thereof, within a certain term, on repayment of the purchase-money or a proportionate share thereof, and in such case the sale would be considered cancelled; provided that the vendors paid the money out of their own pockets and did not raise it by a transfer of the property, and not otherwise. The heir of one of the vendors sold his share of such estate to A., and A. sued E. to redeem such share. Held by the Full Bench (STUART, C. J., doubting) that the nature of the transaction between R. and his vendors must be determined by looking at both the conveyance and the agreement, and, both those documents being regarded, the transaction between them was one of mortgage, and the vendors had a right of redemption, and the proviso in the agree-ment was inequitable and incapable of enforcement against them or their representatives in title. Held, also, by Pearson, J., that the agreement was not of the nature of a personal contract enforceable only by the original vendors and not by their representatives; that, assuming that a transfer of the property was prohibited by the agreement, R. could not, as im-plied by the Full Bench ruling in Dookhehore Rai v. Hidayut-oollah, Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 5, treat as a nullity the sale which had been made to A., and A.'s right to redeem could not be reasonably denied and resisted; and that a transfer was not positively but only implicitly prohibited by the agreement, R. merely declaring that he would not recognise the transferees as having acquired the equity of redemption or cancel his own sale-deed, and such a declaration was beyond his competence and had no legal effect. RAM SARAN LAL v. AMIRTA KUAR

[I. L. R., 3 All., 369

VERBAL CONTRACT.

See Limitation Act, 1877, art. 75. [L. L. R., 3 Calc., 619

VERDICT OF CRIMINAL COURT.

See EVIDENCE—CIVIL CASES—MISCELLA-NEOUS DOCUMENTS—CRIMINAL COURT, PROCEEDINGS IN—

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 2 2 B. L. R., A. C., 31 10 W. R., 56 9 W. R., 77 14 W. R., 493 11 W. R., 171

See Cases under Res Judicata-Competent Court-Chiminal Courts.

VERDICT OF JURY.

> See Cases under Revision—Criminal Cases—Verdict of Jury and Misdi-Bection.

1. GENERAL CASES.

1. — Verdiet of majority. — Went of intependent opinion.—The law requires a juryman to exercise his own understanding on the case submitted to him, and to decide on evidence, and not to follow blindly the opinion of his fellows. Where one out of three (in a jury of five) depends on the inspection and inquiries of the other two, the verdiet of the three is not that of a legal majority. Perambur JUGF or NASARUDDY. 25 W.R., Cr., 4

2. — Ground for refusing to accept verdict.—Verdict based on voluntary confessions.—Wherever trial by jury exists, the verdict of the jury must be accepted, unless it is manifestly and certainly wrong. A verdict based on voluntary confessions is just as good as a verdict based on the testimony of reddilhe witnesses. It is the province of a jury to decide as to the credibility of witnesses, Queen v. Wurm Mundu. 25 W. R., Cr., 25

3. — Unanimous verdict.—Further consideration of case by juvy.—Dissent by Judge from snamimous verdict.—Procedure.—It is only in a case where the jury are not unanimous that a Court may require them to retire for further consideration. Where a verdict is unanimous, it must be received by the Judge, unless contrary to. law. Where a Judge dissents from the unanimous finding of a juvy given in accordance with the law, the only procedure open to him to follow is that laid down in the fifth clause of section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Government of Bernal w. MALADDI. . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 871
S. C. EMPRESS v. MARUUDDI. 6 C. L. R., 343

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued.

4. Dissent from verdict, Criminat Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263, cl. 4.—The "dissent" referred to in the 4th clause of section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) must be such a complete dissent as to lead the Judge to consider it necessary for the ends of justice to submit the case to the High Court. EMTRESS e. BHAWANI . I. L. R., 2 Born, 525

5. Reference to High Court.—Statement by Judge of offence committed.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, ss. 263, 464.—It is the duty of a Judge of in sending up a case to the High Court under sections 263 and 464 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, when he disagrees which, in his opinion, has been committed. EMPRESS of SAHAE RAE [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623: 2 C. L. R., 304.

6. Questioning jury as to their verdict.—Questions to member of jury as to reasons for verdict.— Judge onght not to put questions to any of the jury as to his reasons for the verdict he has given. QUEEN v. MEJJAN SHEKER 20 W. R. Cr. 50

7. Questions as to grounds for verdict.—Power of Sessions Judge—Per Garth, C. J., and Prinser, J. (Markey, J. control.)—The rule laid down in Queen v. Wuzir Mandad, 25 W. R., Cr., 25, goes too far. Prinser, J. (Markey, J. control.)—The law does not prevent a Sessions Judge from asking a jury regarding the grounds for their verdict, and such a course is desirable in the ends of justice. See Queen v. Sustiram Mundad, 21 W. R., Cr., I. Express v. Muxifum Kumar.

Kumar.

8. Ambiguity in verdict.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, a. 268.—Under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872, a. Court was authorised to ask the jury such questions as were necessary to ascertain what their verdict really is; but where the verdict, although perhaps erroneous, is not ambiguous, it is the duty of the Judge to record it without further question. IN THE MATTER OF DHINKUM KAZEE. EMPLESS 7. DHINKUM KAZEE. ANDERSS 7. DHINKUM KAZEE. ALL, R., 9 CALC, 58:11 C. I. R., 169

9. Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 303.—Although section 303 of the Criminal Procedure Code empowers a Judge to ask the jury such questions as are necessary to ascertain what their verdiet is, it was never contemplated that, on ascertaining that the jury are not unanimous, the Judge should make minute inquiries to learn the nature of the majority and its opinion, so that he should have the opportunity of accepting or refusing that opinion as a verdiet according as it coincides with his own opinion or not. Whatever may be the opinion of the Judge, if he goes so far as to ask the jury what is the exact majority, and what is the opinion of the majority, he ongult to receive that verdiet without hesitation, and if he differs from

VERDICT OF SURY-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES-continued,

Questioning jury as to their verdict-

it he should proceed as directed by section 307. HURRY CHURN CHUCKERBUTTY v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 10 Cale., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358

· Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263 .- In a case in which the accused was tried on charges of murder, culpable homicide, and causing grievous hurt, the jury acquitted him of murder, but convicted him on the other counts. This verdict was recorded by the Sessions Judge, who theu, in accordance with section 263, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, questioned the jury as to the grounds for their verdiet, and the jury even-tually intimated their willingness to convict of murder. The Sessions Judge differed from the first verdict of the jury, but as he had recorded that verdiet, he doubted whether he could accept the second verdict, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263. Held that section 263 did not apply to such a case as this. There could be no verdict delivered, and no verdict flually recorded, until the last of the questions put by the Judge to the jury was answered; and as it appeared from the answers of the jury that their findings of facts disclosed that the verdict ought to have been one of guilty on the charge of murder, the Judge should have entered the verdict of the jury as one of guilty of murder. The case was accordingly returned to the Judge to enable him to do that, and to pass such sentence as the law directed. It is only when it is necessary, in order to ascertain what the verdict of a jury really is, that a Judge is justified under section 263 in putting questions to the jury. QUEEN v. SUSTITAM MANDAL . 21 W. R., Cr., 1

Cuestion put by Judge to jury after special verdict.—Penal Code, s. 330.—The prisoners were tried under section 330 of the Penal Code (for voluntarily causing lunt to a gril), and under section 343 (for wrongfully confining her). Circumstances of aggravation were alleged, as lifting up and using a sword, of lowering the girl into a well, and of pricking her with thorus. The jury in their verdict stated that they disbelieved these allegations, and also the charge of illegal confinement, but that they believed that some slaps had been given. The Judge then asked the jury whether they convicted on eithor, and if so, which head of charge. They answered that they believed the prisoners had beaton the girl, and that they convicted them under section 330. Held that the question put by the Judge to the jury was a proper one, and not one of law. The conviction upheld. Such a case is not governed by the rules of English law as to special verdicts. Queen such as the such as

[8 B. L. R., 557:14 W. R., Cr., 59

12. — Form of verdict.—Culpable homicide.—Murder.—Illegal finding.—The finding of a jury that, although the accused killed the deceased, the crime was not nurder because the accused

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

1. GENERAL CASES—continued.

Form of verdict-continued.

had no object in killing him, is not a legal finding, and does not amount to a conviction of culpable homicide not amounting to murder. QUEEN v. UCKOOR GROSE . 1 W. B., Cr. 50

- 13. Penal Code, e., 323 and 335.—Verdict of guilty under section not in charge.—Grievous hurb with proposation.—Where a prisoner was charged under the Penal Code, sections 304, 325, and 323, and the jury brought in a verdict of guilty under section 335.—Held that he was not acquitted of grievous hurt, but found guilty of the offence described in section 322 with the extenating circumstance which would confine the punishment within the limits specified in section 335. QUEEN v. LUXHINARIAN AGORI. 23 W. R. Cr. 81
- 14. Offence proved, though not independently charged.—Code of Criminal Procedure (Let X of 1872), s. 457.—Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), ss. 143, 325.—The accused were charged under section 149, coupled with section 252, of the Penal Code, with, while being members of an unlawful assembly, committing grievous hurt. The jury disbelieved the evidence as to the unlawful assembly, burthing or the accused guilty of grievous hurt under section 325. Held that such verdict was, under section 325. Held that such verdict was, under section 325. Held that such verdict was, under section 325 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, legally sustainable, although that offence did not form the subject of a separate charge. Section 457 enables a verdict to be given on some of the facts which are a component part of the original charge, provided that those facts constitute a minor offence. GOVERNENEN OF BENGAL v. MA-HADDI . L. L. R., 5 Cale, STI

S. C. EMPRESS v. MAHUDDI. 6 C. L. R., 349

15. Objections to verdict.—Ground for thinking verdict erroneous.—Where a party objects to the verdict of a jury, he ought to give the Magistrate reasonable prima facie ground for the opinion either that the jury did not in fact apply a judicial discretion to the case, or that the verdict was such as the jury could not have arrived at by a proper exercise of their discretion upon the materials before them. BINDABUR CHUNDER DUTT v. DWAREA NATH SEN 23 W. R., Cr., 15

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS.

16. General principle regulating interference,—Buglish law.—Position of Judge in India.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1879, s. 263:—The Code of Criminal Procedure, section 263, casts upon the High Court the duty both of Judge and juy; but, notwithstanding this difference, which clothes it with greater powers and responsibilities than the superior Courts in England, it will, as far as may be, be guided by the principle of English law, that the verdict of a jury will not be set aside unless it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by an error of the Judge. In a proper

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

General principle regulating interference —continued.

case, however, the High Court will rectify the verdict of a jury. Reg. v. Khanderay Bajiray
[L. L. R., 1 Born., 10]

17. Eaglish 'law.—
Acquittal by farp.—Disagreement by Judge.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 157.9 x. 265.—Not-withstanding the large discretionary powers vested in the High Court under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the Court will adhere generally to the principle of the Courts in England,—viz., that the Court will not set aside the verdict of a jury unless-it be perverse and patently wrong, or may have been induced by the error of the Judge; and when the Court is asked to do so not the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence, the question is, not whether the learned Judge who tried the case was or was not dissatisfied with the vordict, or whether le would have come to the same conclusion as the jury, but whether the verdict was such as reasonable men ought to have come to. In The MATERE OF DHYNIM KAZER. Empress & DHUNIM KAZER.

[L. L. R., 9 Calc., 53 : 11 C. L. R., 169

18. Exercise of powers of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1572, s. 263.—The Court should exercise the powers vested in it by section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code (X of 1872) only when it finds the verdict of the jury clearly and patently wrong, and only set such verdict aside, even if the Sessions Judge disagrees with it, when it is found unsustainable by the evidence. Quert 9. SIAM BAODI

[13 B. L. R., Ap., 19:20 W. R., Cr., 73

QUEEN v. NOBIN CHUNDER BANERJEE

[18 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 20 W. R., Cr., 70 QUEEN v. ISWARYA 14 B. L. R., Ap., 1

QUEEN v. HURRO MANJI

[14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note: 21 W. R., Cr., 4

- 19. Incomistencies in evidence otherwise sufficient for conviction.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Where there are reasons sufficient to warrant a jury in disbelieving the witnesses and in giving the prisoner the benefit of the doubt raised by inconsistencies in that evidence, although another jury might have come to a different conclusion, the High Court will not interfere. It must be shown that the verdiet of the jury is certainly unreasonable and perverse. Queenv. Sham Bagdi; 18 B. J. E., 2, p. 19. 20 W. E., 73, cited and followed. In the MATTER OF HUBERS NABAIN MOGREERIEM. 2. C. L. R., 518
- 20. Exercise of power of interference.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—Ferdict contarry to Judge's charge to jury.—Where a jury convicted a prisoner contrary to the charge of the Sessions Judge, which charge was held by the High Court to have been a proper charge, the High

VERDICT OF JURY-continued. 2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS-continued.

Exercise of power of interference-conti-

Court refused to interfere, although it concurred with the Sessions Judge in thinking that the verdict of the jury was not correct. The case was one in which an application could be made to the Government; but as regards the Court the petitions were rejected. Queen v. Ninheeraak Bardee 18 W. R., Cr., 45

Contra, Queen v. Shib Chunder Mundle [18 W. R., Cr., 46

21. Omission to sum up properly.—Ground for setting aside verdict.—The omission of the Judge to sum up the case properly to the jury is an error in law sufficient to justify the setting saide of the verdict. No general rule can be laid down as to when a prisoner is prejudiced by a defective summing up, but in general, if the finding of the jury in such a case is one that an Appeal Court would set aside if the trial had taken place with assessors, the Court will interfer and set the verdict aside. Reg. v. Fattershand Vastachand [5 Bom., Cr., 85]

22. Criminal Procedure Code, 1579, s. 263.—Ground for setting aside nerdict.—Misdirection.—The High Court set aside the vertilet of a jury in this case, because the Judge in his direction to the jury omitted to point out the absence of evidence very material to the case of the prosention, and because he directed the jury to attribute an undue importance to the statements or excuses made by the prisoner in the explanation of certain documents, QUEEN v. GUNGA GOVIND PALTE [23 W. R., Cr., 21]

23. — In consistent verdict. Verdict of guilty.—Where a jury found an accused person guilty of murder, but refused to convict him because there had been no eye-witness of his crine, and on a second charge from the Judge refused to find him guilty at all.—Held by the High Court, to whom the case was referred, that the Judge ought to have explained to the jury that the testimony of eye-witnesses was not necessary to the establishment of a charge of murder, and that the cataly iry; if they had no doubt of the guilt of the accused, were bound to give effect to the conclusion at which they had arrived. Queen r. Goroot Kahar Which they had arrived. Queen r. Goroot Kahar

24. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Discretion of Court.—Setting caside verdict of acquittat of mayder.—A very large discretionary power is vested in the High Court by section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. No fixed rules can be laid down for the exercise of that discretion in every instance, and the decision in each case submitted must depend upon its own peculiar circumstances. In this case the Court set aside a verdict of acquittal of murder. EMPRESS A MUKHUN KUMME 1 Cl. LR. 275

VERDICT OF JURY—continued. 2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

25. Judge disagreeing with nerdick.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 283.—Ground for setting aside verdick.—On a trial by jury before a Sessions Judge, the jury returned a verdict of guilty. The Judge disagreed with the verdict, and submitted the case to the High Court. Held that the High Court had power to set aside the verdict of the jury, and to direct an acquitted. Section 263 of the Chiminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872) explained. QUEEN v. KOONJO LETH.

[11 B. L. R., 144: 20 W. R., Cr., 1

26. Judge differing from verdict.—Acquittal by majority of jury.—
Oriminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Where Jury are not unanimous in their finding, and the Judge dissents from the opinions expressed by them, on the case being referred under section 263 of Act X of 1872, the High Court is competent to find the prisoner guilty, notwithstanding an acquittal by the majority of the jury. EMPERSS o. SAHAE RAT

[I. L. R., 3 Cale, 628.; 2 C. L. R., 304

27. — Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Verdict of acquittal.—Power to reverse verdict of acquittal.—Where the just acquitted the prisoners on the charges framed, but found certain facts which amounted to another offence, an provided by section 457 of the Criminal Procedure Code.—Held that the High Court could, on the case coming before them under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, find the prisoners guilty of such offence. EMPERS v. HARAL MIEDHA [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 1839]

28. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquital by jury.—The High Court, acting under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, convicted the accused in this case on the facts, notwithstanding the veriliet of acquital come to by the jury. QUEEN S. SIDHAM SIROAR 20 W. R., Cr., 16

29. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by jury.—Confession.—Evidence Act, s. 29.—The Cont on a consideration of the evidence set aside the vertice of acquittal come to by a majority of the jury, holding that a confession made by the accused before the Assistant Magistrate was good, such confession, even if obtained by deception, being admissible under section 29 of the Evidence Act, 1872. QUENT ORDING CHURN GROSE

20 W. R., Cr., 38

30. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Acquittal by Jurg.—The prisoner, who was charged with having committed nurder, was found by the jury who tried him to have been of unsound mind at the time he committed the offence. The Sessions Judge differing on that point from the jury referred the case to the High Court.

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Hold that in a case of this kind the High Court will not interfore without the very clearest proof that the jury were mistaken, and that the interests of justice imperatively required the Court to take action under the extraordinary powers conferred upon it by section 263, Code of 'Criminal Procedure. On a consideration of the medical evidence, the Court declined to interfere with the verdict of acquittal which the jury came to. Queen v. Doorsoders Simkostro alias Describe.

31. Ferdiet of acquitted by jury.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Judge disagreeing from verdiet of majority.—A majority of the jurys (four out of five) acquitted the prisoner on a charge of attempt to commit rape. The Sessions Judge disagreed with that verdiet, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, because in his opinion the offence charged was proved. The High Court found that the evidence for the prosecution was fully worthy of belief and consistent with probabilities, and sentenced the prisoner. In the matter of Tiluckhihabes

32. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Interference with verdict of majority of jury where Sessions Judge differed.—The Sessions Judge, differing from a uniquity of the jury, who acquitted the accused, referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to be dealt with as an appeal. Before proceeding with the case, the High Court considered it fair to the accused to give him notice to bring forward any objections he might have to the Sessions Judge's recommendation. On a consideration of the evidence, the High Court convicted the accused of the offence with which he had been charged in the Court below. Queen s. Corrum Droga

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263.—Differing from verdict of acquittat by jury.—Where the Sessions Judge did not consider a confession to have been induced by illegal pressure, the High Court, upon a reference under section 263 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, held it to have been properly admitted, and finding it to be full and clear, and supported by reliable evidence, acted upon it by convicting the person who made it, notwithstanding his retraction of it in the Court of Session, and his being found not guilty by the jury. Reg. v. Balvant V. Pendharkar

34. — Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 263. — Trial on different charges. — Discharge on some charges on which jury and Sessions Judge agree. — Riprence of whole case to High Court. — In a case in which the accused were charged with

VERDICT OF JURY—continued.

2. POWER TO INTERFERE WITH
VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference—continued.

murder (section 302, Penal Code), culpable homicide not amounting to murder (section 304), and voluntarily causing grievous hart (section 325), the Sessions Judge at the trial added a further charge of house-breaking by night in order to the commission of an offence (section 457). The jury unanimously ac-quitted the prisoners of the three original charges, and a majority of the jury (four out of five) acquitted them also of the last charge. The Sessions Judge agreed with the verdict of the jury as regarded the three original charges, and recorded a formal order acquitting and discharging the prisoners on these three charges. He differed from the majority as to the fourth charge, and referred the case to the High Court under section 263 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Held that where (as in this case) the Sessions Judge had approved of a verdict on certain charges, and finally acquitted and discharged the accused as to these charges, the High Court could not under section 263 convict on the facts on these very charges. That section seems to contemplate only a case in which, without recording any order of acquittal or conviction, the Sessions Judge refers the whole case. conviction, the desirable adapt refers the whole case. As there was nothing in this case to show on what grounds the majority of the jury acquitted the prisoners on the additional charge, and as the Sessions Judge agreed with the unanimous verdict as to the three original charges, the High Court presumed that the reason which weighed with the majority of the jury in finding the prisoners not guilty on the additional charge must have weighed with the whole jury in finding them not guilty on all the three other charges, and accordingly the Court could not set aside the verdict of the majority on the last count, without practically finding directly in the teeth of the verdict of the unanimous jury on the first three counts. QUEEN v. UDYA CHANGA

[20 W. R., Cr., 73

- Verdict in accord. ance with charge.-Verdict disagreed with by Judge. —Penal Code, ss. 302, 304, 325.—Reference under s. 307, Act X of 1882.—A prisoner was charged under sections 302 and 304 of the Penal Code, and the Judge at the trial added a further charge under section 325. The Judge in his charge to the jury directed them that in the event of their finding the charges under sections 302 and 304 unsustainable, they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. The jury unanimously acquitted the prisoner on the charge framed under section 302, and a majority of them acquitted him on the charge framed under section 304; but a majority of them found him guilty on the charge framed under section 325. The Judge disagreed with their finding as regarded the charge framed under section 304, and referred the case to the High Court under section 307 of the Criminal Procedure Code. The High Court refused to interfere with the verdict, on the ground that the verdict could not be said to be manifestly erroneous, the Judge having heard the evidence and having expressed his

VERDICT OF JURY-continued.

POWER TO INTERFERE WIT VERDICTS—continued.

Exercise of power of interference-continued.

opinion to the jury that they might find the prisoner guilty under section 325. Queen-Empress v. Jacquiet . . . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 85

38. Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 269.—Jury wrongly treated as assessors by Judge.—Unanimous opision of jury treated as assessors accepted as formal verdict.—L. and N. were tried by a Sessians Court on charges of decoity and murder. The jury returned a verdict of guilty on both charges. The Judge, contrary to the provisions of section 269 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treated the jury as assessors in respect of the charge of murder, and, convicting L. and N. of dacoity, acquitted them of murder. Held that the irregular procedure of the Judge could not deprive the verdict of the jury of its proper legal effect. QUEEN-EMPRISE S. LARSHMAN I. L. R., 9 Mad, 42

VESTED INTERESTS.

See Cases under Hindu Law—Will— Construction of Wills — Special Cases of Construction—Vested and Construgent Interests.

See Succession Act, s. 98. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304]

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION.
[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304

VESTING ORDER.

See Cases under Insolvency—Claims of Attaching Creditors and Official Assignee.

See Insolvent Act, s. 7.

ENT ACT, S. 7. [5 B. L. R., 309 2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 147 1 Bom., 251 11 C. I. R., 389 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438

VICINAGE.

See MAHOMEDAN LAW-PRE-EMPTION—RIGHT OF PRE-EMPTION—CO-SHAREES

[2 B. L. R., A. C., 68, 390
6 B. L. R., 41
4 W. R., 2, 418
2 N. W., 100, 287
2 W. R., 261
9 W. R., 261
9 W. R., 455

VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT.

See Ceiminal Procedure Code, 1882,
 s. 45 (1872, s. 90).
 [I. L. R., I Mad., 266

VILLAGE CATTLE.

See Pasturage, Right to-[I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110

VILLAGE CHOWKIDAR.

See BENGAL REGULATION XX OF 1817, s. 21 18 W. R., 298

VILLAGE MUNSIF.

See Munsif . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220 [I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500 I. H. R., 5 Bom., 180

VILLAGE MUNSIF'S PEON.

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. (45 1872, s. 90). [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 266

VOLUNTARY ASSIGNMENT.

See Cases under Insolvency-Volun-Taby Conveyances.

VOLUNTARY CONVEYANCE.

See CONTRACT ACT, S. 25.
[I. L. R., 2 All., 891

See Cases under Debtor and Creditor.
See Cases under Insolvency-Voluntary Conveyances.

See Insolvent Act, s. 26. [I. L. R., 3 Cale., 434

Subsequent sale for value.—
Avoidance of gift or settlement volunterily made.—
Stat. 27 Blin. c. 4.—Where a person who has
made a voluntary gift or settlement of an estate
solls the same to another for value, the conveyance
operates as a conveyance of the estate which the settlor had before the voluntary settlement, the Statute
27 Elizabeth, Cap. 4, putting the settlement out of
the way, so that it shall not affect the conveyance
which is made to the purchaser: words showing an
intention on the part of the person who made the
voluntary gift to convey to the purchaser all the interest or estate that he had, are sufficient to avjoid
such gift. Judah v. Arboon Kurrem

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT.

See Cases under Contract Act, ss. 69 and 70.

See CONTRACT ACT, S. 72.

See MONEY PAID .

[I. L. R., 7 Cale., 573

See Cases under Contribution, Suit for-Voluntary Payments.

See MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED.

[8 B. L. R., 418 W. R., 1864, 205 3 N. W., 162 5 N. W., 1 . 7 N. W., 154 [10 W. R., 400

VOLUNTARY PAYMENT-continued.

See Money Paid under Process of De-CREE . I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586 See Cases under Payment into Court.

See RES JUDICATA-ADJUDICATIONS.
[13 B. L. R., 146

See Cases under Sale for Arrears of

RENT-DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE. See Cases under Sale for Arrears of

REVENUE-DEPOSIT TO STAY SALE. See Sale in Execution of Decree-Set-TING ASIDE SALE - RIGHTS OF PUR.

[15 B. L. R., 208

See VENDOR AND PURCHASER-PURCHASE-MONEY AND OTHER PAYMENTS BY PUR-2 B. L. R., A. C., 86 [11 B. L. R., 121 15 B. L. R., 208 18 W. R., 503

17 W. R., 480 8 B. L. R., Ap., 55

VOLUNTARY SETTLEMENT, BREACH OF COVENANTS IN-

See Damages - Suits for Damages-BREACH OF CONTRACT. [I. L. R., 2 Bom., 273

W

WAGERING CONTRACT.

See Cases under Contract-Wagering CONTRACTS.

See EVIDENCE-PAROL EVIDENCE-VARY-ING OR CONTRADICTING WRITTEN IN-STRUMENTS . I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791

WAGES.

See ATTACHMENT-SUBJECTS OF ATTACH-MENT-WAGES . 1 B. L. R., S. N., 15 [I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132

See Cases under Master and Servant.

of labourers.

See BENGAL ACT VI OF 1865. [3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 39

- Suit for-

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL-JURISDICTION-WAGES. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 91

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, RANGOON.
[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878

WAGING WAR.

See SENTENCE-TEANSPORTATION. [3 W. R., Cr., 16

WAGING WAR-continued.

See JURISDICTION OF CRIMINAL COURT-OFFENCES COMMITTED ONLY PARTLY IN ONE DISTRICT-ABETMENT OF WAGING WAR . 9 B. L. R., Ap., 36

WAGING WAR AGAINST THE QUEEN.

- Conspiracy to wage war.—Treason.—Misprision of treason.—Limitation of period for prosecution.—Penal Code, s. 121.—7 Will. III., c. 3, s. 5 .- The offence of engaging in a conspiracy to wage war, and that of abetting the waging of war, against the Queen, under section 121 of the Penal Code, are offences under the Penal Code only, and are not treason or misprision of treason; and therefore the provisions of the Statute 7 William III., Cap. 3, section 5, as to placing a limitation on the period for prosecution, are not applicable. QUEEN v. AMIR-. 7 B. L. R., 63: 15 W. R., Cr., 25 UDDIN

WAIVER.

See CASES UNDER ACQUIESCENCE.

See BOND . . L. L. R., 1 Bom., 45 See LIMITATION ACT, 1877, ART. 75.

[I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577, 583 6 N. W., 88 11 Bom., 155

I. L. R., 3 All., 514 I. L. R., 5 All., 289 I. L. R., 2 All., 857 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 857

See Limitation Act, 1877, ART. 179-OR-DER FOR PAYMENT AT SPECIFIED DATE.

[I. L. R., 5 All., 289 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 56 13 C. L. R., 243 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73

- by prisoner.

See CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.
[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 28 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83

- of irregularity.

See Limitation Act, 1877, aet. 179 (1871, Att. 167)—Joint Decrees—Joint Decree-Holders . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 605

of objection.

See Cases under Appellate Court-Ob-JECTION TAKEN FOR FIRST TIME ON APPEAL.

See Cases under Jurisdiction-Ques-TION OF JURISDICTION-CONSENT OF PARTIES AND WAIVER OF JURISDICTION,

Waiver by conduct.—Appeal. -Irregularity.—Substitution of parties.—Consent.
-Where the purchaser of a plaintiff's rights was substituted for the plaintiff, the irregularity was held to be cured by the consent of the defendant, implied in his offering no opposition, but appealing WAIVER.—Waiver by conduct—continued. from the jndgment on the merits, making the substituted plaintiff one of the respondents, BEER CHUNDER ROF V. TUMEEZOODDERN 12 W. R., 87

2. Appeal.—Right of objection to proceedings taken in accordance with appeal to High Court.—Where a party, dissatisfied with the decision of the lower Court, appeals to the High Court and reopens the whole case, he must acquiese in the result finally arrived at by the Court below in accordance with the instructions of the High Court in his appeal. GUNGARAM DUTT O. CHOWDIRY JUMNAJON MULLIOK: 1 C. I. R., 144

3. Withdraual of objection.—Raising same objection subsequently.—Where parties have, before the Doputy Collector, withdrawn their objections to an Ameer's report, the lower Appellate Court should not allow the same objections to be revived before it. BRUGOBUSTY BURMONED 9, GOUR CHUNDER MUNDUL.

4. Objection to nonservice of notice of execution. Appearance. Where
a judgment-debtor appears and contests the decreeholder's right to execute his decree, he cannot object
that no notice was served as required by law, having
impliedly waived the objection. GRISH CHUNDER
BANERSEE V. BHANOO MOTES CHOWDHRAIN
[11 W. R., 329

The debtor of objection.—Bight to deduct mesue profits.—A judgment-debtor claiming a deduction on account of mesue profits decreed against him should make good his claim when called upon by the Court executing the decree. Failing to do so he loses his remedy. Nubo Cooma CRATTERIFE v. RAMDHUK CHATTERIFE . 15 W.R. 266

6. Onission to take objection.—Remand.—Held that the defendant, not having taken an objection to the suit on the ground of the minority of the plantiffs, whilst it was pending in appeal to the High Court, were precluded from raising it on remand. BENI RAM BHUTF #. RAM LAL DRIVERIS. I. L. R., 18 Calc., 189

7. Effect of waiver.—Landlord and tenant.—Waiver of right of forfeilure for non-pagment of rent.—A landlord who has waived his right to sue for the cancelment of a lease on the ryot's failure to pay aix successive instalments, is not barred by limitation from suing for cancelment on further breaches of the covenant. Dulli Chand c. Mehre Chand Samol 8 W. R., 138

8. Wainer of vendor binding on purchaser.—Sale for arrears of rest.—The Government, as auction-purchaser of the zemindar right of a pergunnal, having waived all right to cancel the tenures of the talookdars, and having admitted them to settlement and otherwise recognised

WATVER.—Effect of waiver—continued, their rights, it was held that the defendant, a purchaser, could not put in force against the talookdars

chaser, could not put in force against the talookdars any rights which his vendor had waived. Obnox Churn Roy v. Asanoollah

[2 W. R., Act X, 81

9. Waiver of rights under mortgage.—Resumption by Government of mortgage thand under Land Acquisition 4ct, and re-sale to mortgager.—Omission of mortgages to claim compensation.—Government having under the Land Acquisition Act taken possession of portion of certain land which had been mortgaged by the owner subsequently, while the mortgage was still in force, re-sold the portion taken, to the mortgager, who sold it to a third person bond fide for value. In a suit by the mortgage (who had taken no steps to obtain any portion of the money paid by the Government for the land) praying for the sale under the mortgage of the land resumed by Government,—Held that the plaintiff as mortgage, and that the purchaser from the mortgage had acquired a tile free from the plaintiff; incumbvance. Quarr,—Whether the mortgage claim might not, but for the waiver, lave re-attached, on the lands resumed by the Government again coming into the possession of the mortgager. RAM AWYLE SINHE T. TUSE HAM 5. 5 C. H. R. 227

10.—Waiver of grounds of enhancement.—Retiance on one ground oulg.—Presumption.—In a suit for enhancement of rent upon different grounds, the fact that at the hearing the plaintiff relies on one of the grounds only, and that in the judgment of the first Court the whole case was rested on that ground only, is not a safe warrant for the inference that the other grounds were waived. BONOMALEE CHURN MYTHE V. SHOROOP HOOTAIT

11. Waiver of right to execute decree. — Agreement to give time to debtor.—New contract.—The granting of a judgment-debtor the indulgence of a temporary stay of the warrant of execution issued to enforce the decree, does not prejudice the judgment-creditor's right to execution at a subsequent time. BUTCHENNER 7. RAYEDT

122. Waiver where decree-holder was allowed to perform act under decree in case judgment-debtor failed to do so.—H. C. obtained a decree against G. H. for the reconstruction in the family lones, within one month, of a verandah which had been improperly pulled down by the latter; on failure of G. H. to rebuild it in the specified time, the decree-holder was to be allowed to rebuild it himself at the cost of G. H. Abouta month after the reconstruction was begun, but after the hapse of the month allowed to the judgment-debtor H. C. applied for an injunction to stop the work as not being according to the decree, and for permission to rebuild it himself. Held, notwithstanding G. E. had made alterations and contravened the decreed order, the judgment-eveditors' conduct in looking on without remoustrance for nearly a month while the

WAIVER .- Waiver of right to execute decree-continued.

judgment-debtor incurred considerable expense amounted to a waiver of his right to take matters into his own hands. Gopfee Kishen Gossain v. Hem Chunder Gossain 16 W. R., 38

18. ——— Waiver of right to interest on arrears of rent.—Receipt of arrears of rent for long time without interest.—By the terms of a kabulat, rent not paid when due was to bear interest. The zemindar received rent for a period of ten years without making any demand of interest in respect of arrears, and without claiming to apply any portion of the payments towards the discharge of interest. Having subsequently brought a suit for interest, the Courts below were of opinion that the zemindar had waived his claim to interest and dismissed his suit. Held that there were facts justifying such an inference, and that their finding could not be reviewed in special appeal. DINDOVAL PORMAINICE OF PRANKISHEN PAUL CHOWDIEN [MATSH. 394: W. R., F., B., 117: 2 Hay, 423

14. Omission to enforce interest under kabuliat.—Variation in contract.
—In order to establish variation in a written contract, it must be distinctly pleaded and proved when and how the variation took place, the mere fact of a kabuliat not having been enforced in the most stringent manner does not take away from the lessor the right to enforce it. PEAREE MONUN MONERBIRE O. BROJO MONTH BOSS.

21 W. R., 36

Pearee Mohun Mookerjee v. Brojo Mohun Bose 22 W. B., 423

15. Omission to claim interest.—Pleading.—Both parties stipulated for payment of rent on certain dates, and, if not so paid, of a certain rate of interest until paid. The rent not having been paid at the time agreed on.—Held that the landlord's omission to claim interest, instalment by instalment, for the fractional time that the rent was not paid after it became due, did not justify the plea that the interest stipulated for was not due, or warrant the belief that the plaintiff had waived his claim to interest. RUTTY KAYT BOSE V. GUNGA-DUTUR BISMAS

[W. R., F. B., 13: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6: Marsh., 40

16. — Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement.—Omission to appeal from decision finding notice properly as red.—Question of law and fact.—Plaintiff such to recover rents at enhanced rates after notice, and got a decree. Defendants appealed on the merits, tacity accepting the finding of the lower Court that notice had been duly served. On appeal, the Subordinate Judge of his own motion took up the question of notice, decided that it had not been duly served, and reversed the decree of the lower Court. ** *Leld** that the Subordinate Judge was wrong, for seeing that the defendants had not appealed from the finding of the first Court which declared that there had been good service, it might fairly be presumed that they had due notice of the claim to enhance, until ordence sufficient to rebut

WAIVER.—Waiver of objection to service of notice of enhancement—continued.

that presumption should be shown. An objection that notice of enhancement has not been properly served is not an objection purely of law, but a mixed objection of law and fact, which may be impliedly waived by the conduct of the parties. Chandler Monee Dosses v. Dhuronsedhur Luhory, 7 W. R., 2, cited and distinguished. Suusness BHOSEN v. MEDDEN MOHEN CHOTTOPADHIXA. 2 C. L. R., 2967

17. Agreement come to under mistaken belief.—Agreement to accept provision in satisfaction of claim to maintenance.—Mutual mistake, Effect of.—Suit by son for partition.—Reliaquishment of claim.—The planitiff's father, a member of an undivided Hindu family, signed an agreement by which he agreed to accept a provision in satisfaction of his claim for maintenance. The agreement was signed by reason of a mistaken belief entertained by the planitiff's father and the other members of the family that there existed an established custom in the family that there existed an established custom in the family maintenance of the family properly liable to partition, that the agreement having been come to under a mutual mistake, it was no bar to the plaintiff's father at the planitiff's father if he had chosen to insist upon a partition. Soobbamania Telauer Sokka Telauer (5 Mad. 437 Mad.)

 Agreement to accept portion of property for maintenance. Suit for full share of property on partition.—In a suit to recover a share of family property, the Civil Judge found that the plaintiff in 1856 waived his right to a share of the family property by accepting a small portion, and dismissed the suit. The plaintiff shared with other members of the family the belief that by established family usage the property was impartible and passed at each succession to the eldest of the coheirs according to the ordinary law, the other co-heirs being entitled only to a portion for maintenance. Under that belief the plaintiff accepted the allotment made to him in 1856 by the then eldest co-heir of a smaller portion of the property than he would be entitled to on a partition, as a sufficient provision for his maintenance. The plaintiff's younger brother instituted a suit in 1861, and succeeded in resisting the alleged custom, and obtained a decree for his full share. Held (reversing the decision of the Civil Judge) that the plaintiff was entitled to the relief asked for, it not appearing from the arrangement of 1856 that the parties intended the allotment to be in satisfaction and discharge of every right of the plaintiff as a coparcener. Subbiem Pillay v. Arnachala 5 Mad., 444 IRUNGOL PILLAY

19. Waiver by renunciation of rights.—Renunciation of rights.—Law of waiver.—Privileges of office.—It is not haw that every right may be renounced. The general rule is power of renunciation, but there are two marked classes of exceptions: There can be no renunciation of rights and consequent destruction of relative duties prescribed by an absolute law; nor of things inherent in man as

WATVER - Waiver by renunciation of rights-continued.

mau. A man may renounce a concrete right, but not one resulting from a natural condition. Semble, -A karnavan cannot part, by contract, so as to be unable to resume them, with the privileges and duties which attach to his position as a karnavan. Cherukomen . 6 Mad., 145 alias GOVINDEN NAIR v. ISMALA

APPUNI v. AYANEPALLI EKANATHA THAVAI VA-BIKARNAVAN SHANGUNI. APPUNI v. VETTU-THADATHA SHAMA . , 6 Mad., 401

- Effect of signing document in which there is an omission.-Omission in vajib-ul-urz of interest in property.—Imperfec-tion in settlement proceedings.—The mere signature by an agent of a wajib-ul-urz from which the record of an important interest in property was omitted, cannot be construed as a waiver of such right or claim. Still less can the imperfection or inaccuracy of settlement proceedings operate to extinguish or disallow existing rights. IMAMBUNDEE v. BRUGWAN . 1 N. W., 41: Ed. 1873, 38

- Effect of acceptance of mortgage-money on right of purchase.—Condition in favour of purchase by mortgages .- A. mortgaged land to B., the mortgage instrument providing that B. should be entitled to purchase the land if it were not redeemed by 12th July 1843. In 1845 B. accepted from A. one pagoda in part payment of the mortgage-money. Held that this was a waiver by B. of his right to purchase. Veneralari v. Amantachari 11 Mad., 69

 Refusal to receive rent in kind.—Effect of refusal on right to sue for rent.—
A refusal by a landlord to accept rent in kind when it is tendered, on the ground that he is suing for a money rent, is a waiver of his right to sue his tenant (on the dismissal of his suit for a money rent) for the value of the rent in kind. NARAIN GEER v. GOUR SURUN . 23 W. R., 368

- Withdrawal of objection to sale in execution of decree. Effect of, on subsequent right to sue to set it aside. The plaintiff purdefendants. The property was subsequently put up for sale by order of the Civil Court in execution of a decree against the first and second defendants, and was pur-chased by the third defendant. When the property was about to be sold under the decree, the plaintiff presented to the Court a petition objecting to the sale, but his vakil withdrew the petition with his consent before the sale. In a suit by the plaintiff for the recovery of the land,—Held that the plaintiff was not precluded from recovering the land by reason of his having withdrawn the petition, as he could not thereby be considered to have waived his right to sue. Ku-MARASAMY REDDI v. PANNA SOONA MOOROOGAPPA . 7 Mad., 359

- Relinquishment by Hindu widow.-Relinquishment of title to property by widow .- Petition .- A mere petition by a widow to the effect that she has relinquished her title in certain WAIVER.-Relinquishment by Hindu widow-continued.

property in favour of parties sning the lessees of the property for possession, is not a legal relinquishment of her share therein. Ooma CHURN KOONDOO v. . . 10 W. R., 98 BHOOBUN MOHUN PAL

WAKE.

See ACT XX OF 1863, S. 18.

[15 B. L. R., 167 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324

See Cases under Mahomedan Law-ENDOWMENT.

WARRANT.

1. — Warrants made by Lieute-nant-Governor of Bengal,—Seal of Court,— The Court will order its seal to be impressed on any warrant made by the authority of the Lientenant-Governor of Bengal, even if not actually signed by him. ANONYMOUS . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106 him. ANONYMOUS

2. — Search warrant. — Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 114, 115.—Requisites of warrant.—It is essential to the legality of a search warrant, under section 114 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, that the production of some specified and particular thing is desired; that the Magistrate alone shall determine that such production is necessary; and that a specified house or place only is to be searched. The warrant must, under section 115 of that Code, be directed to some other person only when a police officer is not forthcoming. QUEEN v. Hossain Ali Chowdhey . . 8 W. R., Cr., 74

WARRANT OF ARREST.

Col.

1. CIVIL CASES

. 6178 2. CRIMINAL CASES . 6181 of Governor General in Council.

See BENGAL REGULATION III OF 1818. [6 B. L. R., 392, 459 9 B. L. R., 36

See HABEAS CORPUS. [6 B. L. R., 392, 459

1. CIVIL CASES.

1. — Absence of warrant. — Discharge from custody of Sheriff. — The Court will discharge a prisoner from custody when the jailor holds no warrant for his detention, although he has been properly in the custody of the Sheriff. IN THE MAT-TER OF SHAH SAHIB 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19

2. Informality of warrant.—Application for discharge.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 273.—Delay in bringing up prisoner.—B. M. and several other prisoners in the custody of the Sheriff of Calcutta for debt, without having been brought up to have an order for their allowance made, on being produced for that purpose by the Sheriff, applied for their discharge under section 278

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued. 1. CIVIL CASES-continued.

Informality of warrant-continued.

of Act VIII of 1859. Preliminary objections were taken to the validity of the warrants on which the Sheriff arrested them, on the grounds that the time for execution was not specified in them; and that even had they been originally valid their authority had expired, owing to the delay in bringing up the prisoners. Both objections were overruled. Held that a mere informality in a warrant, such as the omission of the time for execution, only renders it irregular, and does not invalidate it: that advantage having been taken of such irregularity to prejudice the prisoner, affords grounds for an application to the Court to set the warrant aside: and that a mere delay in bringing the prisoner before the Court after his arrest, if not for a considerable period, does not render his detention illegal. IN RE BHOLANAUTH MULLICK Bourke, O. C., 96

3. Form of warrant.—Sufficiency of warrant.—Where a person had been taken in execution under a ca. sa. directed to the Sheriff under the old procedure, it was held to be sufficient to empower the jaint to detain him. The works "ordinary civil jurisdiction" are only used to distinguish the civil from the criminal jurisdiction. In RE ANWAR BISWAS.

1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106

BISWAS.

 Writ of Calcutta Small Cause Court, Form of.—Act XII of 1865.—Fixing sub-sistence-monen.—A writ of the Calcutta Small Cause Court commanding its "Bailift to take the body of A., and have him before the Court on the—day of -to satisfy B. in the sum of --- debt and costs, ordered and decreed by the said Court on theof --- to be paid to the said B. with costs of execution, and by virtue thereof to take and convey the said A. to the common jail of the said Court, there to be detained in safe custody for-weeks, or until he shall sooner perform the said order of the Court," is in point of form a sufficient warrant to the jailor to receive and detain 4, notwithstanding Act XII of 1865. It was not necessary, in the case of commitment of a debtor to prison by the Calentta Court of Small Causes, to bring him in the first instance before the Court, as under the provision of Act VIII of 1859, in order to have his subsistence-money fixed. MATTER OF MEER NAWAUB

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315

5. Warrant directed to Nazir.

—Arrest of judgment-debtor.—Indorsement to peon.

—Civil Procedure Code, 1852, s. 343.—Indorsement of particulars of arrest by Nath Nazir.—Where a warrant issend by a subordinate Court, directing the Nazir to arrest a judgment-debtor in execution of a decree, was entrusted by the Nazir to a subordinate for execution by indorsing his name upon it.—Held that there is nothing in the Civil Procedure Code to prohibit a Nazir from authorising a deputy to execute a warrant of arrest for him, and that his indorsement must be regarded as primal facie evidence of the authority of the person to whom the warrant is delivered to execute it. Held, also, that it is most desired

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued. 1. CIVIL CASES—continued.

Warrant directed to Nazir-continued.

able, when the Nazirs of the subordinate Courts delegate the duty of executing warrants of arrest, that they should confer the authority in more clear and explicit terms than are expressed by a mere indersement, and that they should be careful in selecting proper persons to discharge that duty, bearing in mind, as far as circumstances permit, the position and easte of the party to be arrested, so as to avoid, through the medium of Court process, subjecting any such party to personal indignity or offence. Held, further, that it is important that the person chosen should be made acquainted with the contents of the warrant in order that he may be able to inform the judgment-debtor at whose suit and for what amount he is being taken into custody. Where a warrant for the arrest of the judgment-debtor had been executed, and an indorsement thereon, professedly under section 343 of the Civil Procedure Code, was irregularly made by the Naib Nazir, he not having been "the officer entrusted with the execution of the warrant,"-Held that such irregularity did not invalidate the arrest. AB-DUL KARIM v. BULLEN . I. L. R., 6 All., 385

- Irregularity in warrant,-Warrant of arrest in execution of a decree only initialled by proper officer.—Civil Procedure Code, 2882, ss. 2, 251.—A warrant issued for the arrest of a debtor under the provisions of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, was initialled by the Munsarim of the Court, scaled with the scal of the Court, and delivered to the proper officer for execution. The debtor foreibly resisted the officer, and was tried and convicted, under section 353 of the Penal Code, of assaulting a public servant in the execution of his duty as such. In revision, it was contended, with reference to the requirements of section 251 of the Civil Procedure Code, that the warrant of arrest, having been initialled only, was bad, and the officer could not legally execute it, and consequently no offence under section 353 of the Penal Code had been committed, Held that this contention could not be allowed, and although it was proper that the person signing a warrant should write his name in full, it could not be said that because the signature was confined to the initials of the name, it was not the duty of the officer to execute the warrant. Queen-Empress v. Janki Prasad . I. L. R., 8 All., 293

7. Velidity of Warrant.—Linbility of Nazir.—Exappe of judgment-debtor.—The plaintiff sued out a warrant for the arress of his judgment-debtor on the 4th December 1876. The warrant was lodged with the Nazir on the 16th December and was to be in force till the 4th January 1877. On the 22nd December 1876 the Nazir was informed that the judgment-debtor was already in the civil jail under a writ of execution issued by another creditor. The Nazir then returned the warrant to the Subordinate Judge who had issued it. On the 29th December the Subordinate Judge again sent it to the Nazir's offee, where it was duly received by the Nazir's karkun (defendant No. 2). This fact was not reported by the Rakun to the Nazir' (defend

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued. 1. CIVIL CASES-continued.

CIVIL CASES—continued
 Validity of warrant—continued

ant No. 1) until the 4th January 1877. On the 1st January 1877 the judgment-debtor's debt was paid by Government, and he was released in honour of Her Majesty's assumption of the title of Empress of India. The judgment-debtor thereupon left the district and could not be found, and the plaintiff's warrant remained unexecuted. The plaintiff such the Nazir and his karkun for allowing for his judgment-debtor to eacepe. **Lield* that the Nazir ought not to have sent the warrant back to the Subordinate Judge, and that there was no necessity for a fresh order on tuntil the time for which it had to run had expired, **Held also that, according to Act VIII of 1859, as it stood at the end of 1876 and until October 1877, the batta for the maintenance of a debtor could not become payable until he was arrested and brought before the Court, and the latter made the order for his committal to the civil jail. Kasyukonand a RAYJI Sanashiy .** I. L. R. 4 Born, 65

2. CRIMINAL CASES.

8. Arrest in pending case.—
Power of Magistrate.—Oriminal Procedure Code,
1881, s. 68.—Section 68 of the Code of Criminal
Procedure gave a Magistrate jurisdiction on proper
evidence to issue a warrant for the arrest of persons
in a pending case. IN THE MATTER OF SIDSHUBLY
CHOWDHEAIN . 16 W. R., Cr., 50

- Warrant on non-appearance to summons .- Lessee of tolls .- Disobedience of summons to appear.—Undertaking not to suc.—A., the lessee of a toll, was in arrear to Government in respect of the rent. The Magistrate issued a summons to him, whereby it was recited that a plaint had been preferred against him (A.) for not paying the sum of R262 for arrears of rent, and A. was summoned to appear before the Magistrate to answer the charge. A. did not appear on the day appointed, but had an application presented for postponement of the demand for arrears of rent, on the grounds therein stated. On the following day the Magistrate passed the following order: "Whereas the debtor, defendant, has not appeared in person, the summons has not been obcycd; therefore it is ordered that a warrant be issued for the arrest of the defendant." Proceedings were afterwards taken upon the warrant. Held that all the proceedings taken by the Magistrate were irregular and must be set aside, the defendant undertaking not to take legal proceedings for anything done under the order or warrant. IN THE MATTER OF BANKA BIHARI GHOSE [2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17:11 W. R., Cr., 26

10.— Issue of warrant.—Complaint on oath.—Report of police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, ss. 68 and 155.—In cases in which the police cannot arrest without a warrant, a warrant cannot legally be issued by a Magistrate except on a complaint made on oath (or under section 63 of the Criminal Procedure Code), whether such Magistrate is authorised to entertain cases either on complaint

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued.
2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.
Issue of warrant—continued.

directly to himself or on the report of a police officer. Reg. v. Jafar Ali . . 8 Bom., Cr., 113

11. Arrest on report of policeman for offence for which arrest without warrant might be made.—Where a policeman in whose sight a theft was committed arrested the thief, and being himself unable to take or send the accused to a Magistrate, sent a report, on which the Magistrate issued a warrant,—Held that, under these circumstances, the accused was legally brought before the Magistrate REG. v. MAHIFYA VALAD BOMYA MAMAR 5 BOM, CR. 39

12. Palidity of warrant.—Oriminal Procedure Code (X of 1872), s.
187.—Magistrate out of jurisdiction.—Extradition.
—It was not essential to the validity of a warrant
issued under section 187 of Act X of 1872 that the
Magistrate issuing it should be, at the time he issues
it, within the local limits of his jurisdiction. He
might issue such a warrant from a place in foreign
territory. Reg. s. LOCHA KADA
[I. L. B., 1 Bom., 840

18. Procedure on warrant.—
Act XII of 1887.—When a prisoner was arrested by
the Sheriff under a writ of cc, zc, it was necessary to
bring him before the Court without delay, under section 14 of Act XII of 1867. In RE RANCOGMAE
DUTT. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S, 340

14. Operation of warrant.— Detention of prisoner.—The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate. MUZHOOBA NATH CHUCKER-DUXTY v. HERRA LALI-DOS. 17 W. R., CY., 55

A Magistrate, therefore, is not at liberty to retain an accused person in eustody, except upon a proper remand made after taking sufficient evidence given on oath or solemn affirmation. IN THE MATTER OF ZHURGHDDER HOSSEIN . . . 25 W. B., Cr., 8

15. Warrant issued to unofficial person.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 161.—Act XXV of 1891, s. 77.—Under section 77 of the Criminal Procedure Code, a Magistrate ought not to issue a warrant to an unofficial person, except when he is without the assistance of competent police officers, and unless the urgency is imminent. The force of a warrant of arrest is at an end when the prisoner is brought before the Magistrate, and the prisoner cannot lawfully be committed to prison or remanded without sufficient grounds; and in the absence of evidence there can be no grounds. In Full MATTER OF THE FERTITION OF SUBENDRONATH ROY. QUEEN v. SUBENDRONATH ROY. QUEEN v.

[5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R., Cr., 27

16. — Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 68.—Act X of 1872, ss. 142 and 150.—Detention of accused.—A warrant issued under section 68, which was a warrant

WARRANT OF ARREST—continued. 2. CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

Operation of warrant-continued.

of arrest as described under section 76 (Form B), is only for the purpose of hiraging an accused person before the Magistrate. It was not a warrant for commitment, and did not authorise the detention of a person longer than is necessary for his production before the Magistrate. To detain him further there must be a fresh warrant under section 222, charging the prisoner with some offence, on evidence taken on oath or affirmation, and in the presence of the accused. In THE MATTER OF MIAHES GIANDER BAREIFE. QUEEN c. KALI SHKAR

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

17. — Detailion of accused. — Detailion of accused. — Order sanctioning detailion for indefinite period. — Remand of accused. — Held that the order of a Magistrate sanctioning the detailine period is illegal. At the expiration of twenty-four hours from the time of arrest, the accused must be brought before a Magistrate, who could then remand for a period not exceeding fifteen days, under section 224 of the Griminal Procedure Code, 1861. No remand without a hearing can last for a longer period. Ilzo. v. Surkya valab Dhaku. . . 5 Borm, Cr., 31

18. Form of warrant.—Omission to seal warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, 8. 76.—Regulaties of good warrant.—A warrant issued under section 76 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should be sealed, should describe the person to be apprehended under it with ressonable particularity, so that there may be no difficulty in establishing his identity, and should be subscribed with the name and full official title of the Mugistrate issuing it. Where a warrant was defective in all the above particulars, the prisoner apprehended under it was released by the High Court. In ER HASTINGS. 9 Bom., 154.

19. Act XIII of 1856, s. 58.—Error in warrant not affecting conviction.—A warrant issued under section 53 of Act XIII of 1856 should be addressed to some one or more inspectors, and not generally to "all constables and peace officers." Where a warrant in the latter form was executed under the direction of an inspector, it was held that the error in the form of the warrant was merely an error of procedure, and did not affect the validity of the conviction, under section 57, of persons apprehended in pursuance of the warrant so executed. Reg. v. Nana Monor. In Re Madhan Monale.

8 Bom, Cr., 1

20. Warrant not containing specification of offence.—A warrant which did not specify a punishable offence, and which had been issued upon a statement not sufficient to make out any offence, quashed. In RE BIHHMUNKII DEBI 6 B. L. R., Ap., 129

S. C. BIDHOOMOORHEE DABEE v. SREENATH HALDAE . . . 15 W. R., Cr., 4

WARRANT OF ARREST-continued.

2. CRIMINAL CASES-continued.

21. Informality in warrant.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1509, a 404.—Power of High Court.—Irregularity in process of arrest and attachment.—The High Court was not empowered to interfere under the provision of section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1809, until there has been a judicial proceding by a Magistrate. A person complaining of irregularity of process issued for his arrest and for the attachment of his property, before applying to the High Court under section 404 of the Criminal Procedure Code, shoult make application to the Mugistrate issuing such process for his discharge and the release of his property, on the ground of the informality of the warrants. Queen p. Bisensum Persenal

[2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 236

22. Mode of arrest in foreign territory or out of jurisdiction. "Forenat of arrest for contempt of Court.—The High Court of Bombay will not send a special bailift into the territories of the Gaikvar of Baroda to arrest a defendant who has been guilty of a contempt of Court, but the Court will send a special bailift for such purpose beyond the local limits of the High Court to a place within the Presidency of Bombay. Heartmalastmades KULLIANDAS v. UTAMOMIAND MANIKCHIAND [7 BOMD., O. C., 172

WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.

L.— Extent and operation of 40.—Acceptance of service and opperature. Act of 43.—Acceptance of service and opperance.—Act XX of 1852, s. 7.—A warrant of attorney to the attorney of a defendant to receive a declaration or plaint, &c., in any action or suit to be brought for the recovery of certain moneys, and to confess the same action or suit, or else to suffer or consent to a judgment or decree in the said action or suit by default, or in any other way to pass or be pronounced against the defendant, enpowered the attorney to accept service and appear for the defendant within the meaning of sections 17 and 49 of Act VIII of 1859. Held that section 7 of Act XX of 1862 referred only to warrants of attorney for the entering up of judgments in the High Court which were in existence before the 1st July 1862. Kialut Chunde 10038

2. Limitation Act, 1859. Entering up judgment.—The statute of limitation is no answer to a rule nist to enter up judgment on a warrant of attorney. SOOJAN MULL 8. HYDER JUNG BAHADOOE 1 Ind. JUR., O. 8, 58

WARRANT OF COMMITMENT.

Signature of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1873, s. 303.—The signature of a Magistrate to a warrant of commitment under section 303 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872 should not be affixed by a stump. Sudbamanaya r. Queba.

I. L. R., 6 Mad., 39

WARRANT OF EXECUTION.

 Extension of time for operation of warrant .- Act X of 1859, s. 88 .- Jurisdiction .- Where a warrant of execution under Act X of 1859, section SS, was extended for four days after a particular day, when the original warrant was not sixty days old, in order that more moveable property might be pointed out,-Held that, until the time so extended had clapsed, an order for sale of immoveable property was without jurisdiction. NABI BAX v. DIDAR BAX SHAR

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 10: 11 W. R., 326

- Return of warrant.-Public servant.—Resistance to public servant.—Penal Code, s. 183.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 251.— A person was convicted under section 183 of the Penal Code for offering resistance to the attachment of property by a public servant. The offence was committed on the 4th of February 1883, but the warmint under which the public servant acted was returnable on or before the previous day. Held that the conviction was bad. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ANAND LALL BERA. ANAND LALL BERA v. EMPRESS ON THE PROSECUTION OF AZIM PEON . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13 C. L. R., 209

3. Irregularity in warrant.— Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 222.—Civil Pro-cedure Code, 1877, 1882, s. 251.—An execution sale of the right, title, and interest in land was set aside by the Court, on the ground that the warrant for the execution of the decree and order of attachment of the property sold had not been signed by the Judge, but by the Munsarim of the Court; and at a second sale the property was sold to other purchasers, who, as well as the judgment-debtor, were sued by the purchaser at the first sale for a declaration of his right to have the first sale confirmed. The High Court having held that, with reference to section 222 of Act VIII of 1359, the first sale had been rightly set aside, an appeal to the Judicial Committee was dismissed with costs. RAM DAYAL v. MAHTAE . I. L. R., 7 All., 506

WARRANTY, BREACH OF-

See CHARTER-PARTY

[8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 136

See Confract—Breach of Contract. [8 B. L. R., 544: 23 W. R., 186 See CONTRACT ACT, S. 78.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801 See Cases under Vendor and Pur-CHASER-BREACH OF WARRANTY.

WARRANTY OF TITLE.

See Cases under Sale in Execution of DECREE-PURCHASERS, TITLE OF-GE-NEBALLY.

See SALE IN EXECUTION OF DECREE-SETTING ASIDE SALE—RIGHTS OF PUR-CHASERS . I. L. R., 2 Born., 258

See Cases under Vendor and, Pur-CHASER-BREACH OF WARRANTY.

WARRANTY OF TITLE-continued.

See Cases under Vendor and Pur-CHASER-CAVEAT EMPTOR.

WASHERMAN.

See MADRAS TOWNS IMPROVEMENT ACT, . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174 1871. s. 1

WASTE.

See HINDU LAW-ALIENATION-ALIENA-TION BY WIDOW-SETTING ASIDE ALIEN-ATIONS, AND WASTE.

[1 B. L. R., A. C., 27 5 B. L. R., 508 6 B. L. R., 747 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48 8 W. R., 273 1 W. R., 256 2 N. W., 78 24 W. R., 86 3 B. L. R., 362 10 B. L. B., P. C., 1 9 W. R., 362

See HINDU LAW-REVERSIONERS-WHO . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198 MAY SUE [6 Moore's I. A., 433 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 817 Marsh., 622

See Limitation Act, 1877, Art. 125 (1859, s. 1, cl. 16) . 7 B. L. R., 131

 Limitation.—Allegation of waste. -Prayer for protection from contemplated waste.-Held per PHEAR, J., that where a suit was one to prevent contemplated waste, it was not barred by lapse of time. Grose v. Amirtamayi Dasi

[4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. R., O. C., 18 BISWANATH CHUNDER v. KHANTAMANI DASI 7 B. L. R., 131

- Liability for waste,-Hindu widow; liability for waste committed by her husband as administrator .- In a suit against a widow individually, and not in her representative capacity, to recover plaintiff's share of property alleged to have been in her possession, the suit being one wherein defendant was charged with devastation in respect of such property only,—Held that defendant was not liable in that suit to be made answerable out of her husband's assets for any devastation which he might have committed. STAVES v. DIAS . 10 W. R., 444

WASTE LANDS.

See LANDLORD AND TENANT-MIRASIDARS. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205

See SETTLEMENT-RIGHT TO SETTLEMENT, [4 Mad., 429

See SETTLEMENT—SUBJECTS OF SETTLE-MENT . . 1 Mad., 12, 407

See VALUATION OF SUIT-SUITS. 7 W. R., 349

- Presumption from land lying waste. - Evidence as to possession. - The fact of WASTE LANDS,—Presumption from land lying waste—continued.

land lying waste does not of itself show that no one is in possession. Mahomed Ali v. Shurum Ali [8 W. R., 422

2. — Ownership of waste land,— Presumption as to possession.—Where land is waste and there is no visible sign of occupation, the possession must be taken to go with the right, and the right is prind face in the zemindar of the estate to which the waste land belongs. Woodwarf Man-TOOS t. HONOMAN PERSHAD SINGH

[22 W. R., 419

3. — Possession of waste land.— Limitation.—Presumption.—Proof of titla.—There may be such possession of waste lands as to protect a suit from being barred by limitation; and where the question of possession is doubtful, a presumption will arise in favour of the party who proves title. Mano-MED BASER D. KUREEN BUXEN . 11 W. R., 288

4. Possession, Presumption of, from evidence of title.—In disputes as
to the right to possession of waste and jungle lands,
it is only in cases where neither party has exercised
any acts of ownership over the lands in question that
the Court may resort to evidence of title, and presume that the party proved to have title has also possession. RAM BANDUR O. KUST BHATCH.

[5 C. I. R., 481

Title to unculticuted or jungle lands.—Adverse possession.—Limitation.—Acts of ownership.—If adverse possession for
a sufficiently long time is proved, the title of a person
to uncultivated or jungle land may be barred by
limitation in the same numer and to the same extent
as in the case of cultivated land; the evidence of
possession being the exercise of such acts of ownership as would ordinarily be exercised over property
of that mature. MITTERJEEN SINGH 0, RADIA PERSIMD SINGH 23 W. R., 368

23 W. R., 368

See Watson v. Government [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3 W. R., 73

- Right to use of waste land.-Permissive use of, by tenants .- Right of landlord to erect building on.—Works of permanent character executed by licensee.—Easements Act, V of 1882, vs. 60, 61.—In a suit by a zemindar to have his right de-clared to build a house on some waste land in the mouzah, the defendants, who were tenants in the mouzah, resisted the claim, on the ground that they had built wells and water courses on the land, and had a right also to use it as a threshing-floor and for stacking cow-dung. Held that the defendants having acquired no right adverse to the plaintiff as owners, by prescription or otherwise, in the land, their right of use could only be as licensees of the plaintiff; and although he could not interfere with their right to the wells, which were works of a permanent character, and on which the defendants had incurred expenses, he could revoke the license as to the other use claimed of the land, and his claim to build the house should therefore be decreed. LAND MORTGAGE BANK OF INDIA v. MOTI

[L. L. R., 8 All., 64

WASTE LANDS-continued.

7. — Act XXIII of 1863, s. 5.—
Sait to contest sale.—Where the Collector failed
to give notice of his intention to dispose of the
estates, it was not incumbent on the plaintiff to contest the sale within the period prescribed by section
5, Act XXIII of 1883. Himmer Singh v. ColLECTOR OF BISTOYD. — 2 Agra, 258

8. Act to reclaim waste lander—Suit to contest amond by Board of Revenue.—Extension of time.—Institution of suit.—The Court cannot extend the period of thirty days allowed by section 5, Act XXIII of 1893, for pre-ferring a suit to contest an award by the Board of Revenue. The filling of a vakalutamanh is not an institution of such a suit. TARANATH DUTT e. COLLECTOR OF SYLHET

[5 W. R., Waste Land Court Ref., 1

9. sion.—Statute, Interpretation of.—Where an Act expressly takes away one particular remedy which would otherwise have been open for enforcing a right of property, or in any other particular interferes with proprietary rights, but does not, in express words or by necessary implication, declare that those rights shall cease, the method of interpretation which ought to be adopted is to give effect to the Act exactly so far as its words extend, and no further. There is nothing in Act XXIII of 1863 to prevent a person who has a good title and has throughout been in possession, or who has a good title, and at any time succeeds in peaceably getting possession, and is not ousted in a possessory suit, or who for any other reason is in the advantageous position of a defendant, from defending his rights, notwithstanding any sale which the Government may have professed to make under the Waste Lands Act. Queere,-Whether the terms of the Act are not suffiof Government, and by understanding the claims and objections mentioned in the Act as claims in respect of Government land, and objectious with the same limitation. KRISTO CHUNDER DASS v. STEEL

[L.L. R., 12 Calc., 279

10.

s. 18.—Suit for compensation for land wrongly sold as waste.—A purchaser of land sold as waste land under Act XXIII of 1883 cannot be compelled to grant a pottal to a person alleging himself to have been in occupation of the land before the sale. If the claimant has omitted to come in in due time to stay the sale, and the land has actually been sold, his only remedy is by a suit under section 18 for compensation, making the Collector a defendant. Magur Follar & Money Collector a defendant. Magur Follar & Money Collector a defendant.

[7 W.R., 474

WATER, ESCAPE OF, LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE DONE BY-

See EMBANEMENTS.

[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 776

WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF— See Cases under Prescription—Easements—Right to Water. WATER-COURSE, RIGHT TO USE OF

See Cases under Right to use of Water.

WATER-SUPPLY, CAUSING DIMINU-TION OF-

See Mischief . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262 [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES, FRAUD-ULENT USE OF—

Penal Code, s. 266.—Fraudulent intention.—The nare possession of weights in excess of the authorised standard will not support a conviction under section 266 of the Penal Code; a fraudulent intent must be charged and proved. Res. v. Dano Dhab Dalbi [I Born, 181].

GOVERNMENT v. KANGALES MUDUK [18 W. R., Cr., 7

WHARFINGER.

See BILL OF LADING. [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 736

WHIPPING.

See SENTENCE-WHIPPING.

[Bourke, O. C., 269 5 Mad., Ap., 18 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158

2. First conviction of adults.—Substituted punishment.—In the case of adults on a first conviction, or in the case of juvenile offenders whether for a first offence or otherwise, whipping can only be in lieu of, and not addled to, any other punishment. QUEET ABDOOL. [W. R., 1864, Cr., 38

QUEEN v. KANTIBAM . 1 W. R., Cr., 24
QUEEN v. TONAOKOCH . 2 W. R., Cr., 63
QUEEN v. AMARUT . . 4 W. R., Cr., 20

3. — Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act), a juvenile offender means a person under the age of sixteen years, Reg. v. Muhammad Am Valad Abdul Ali [8 Bom., Cr., 9

4. Act XI of 1894.
ss. 5, 10.—Criminal Procedure Code, s. 892.—By
the term "invenile offender" in section 5, Act VI
of 1894 (Wilpping act), is meant an offender under
the age of sixteen years. Reg. v. Makomad Ati,
8 Bom., Cr., 9, referred to. Empriss c. Dir Alzi
LI. L. R., 6 All., 482

5. —— Sentence of whipping when allowable.—Act VI of 1864, s. 4.—Offence after previous conviction.—The punishment of whipping

WHIPPING.—Sentence of whipping when allowable—continued.

under section 4, Act VI of 1864, can only be inflicted on a second conviction of a person who, having served a sentence of imprisonment, again commits a crime. Queen v. Udai Patnatk

[4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. R., Cr., 68

6. Offence after previous conviction not shown—
On a reference by a Sessions Judge under section 434
of the Criminal Procedure Code, a sentence of whipping in addition to one of rigorous imprisonment in
the case of an offence specified in section 2 of Act
VI of 1864 was annulled, as the offence was not
committed after previous conviction. Reg. v. Suena
BIN Keishina Mandayara. 3 Bom., Cr., 38
Reg. v. Badiy value Bapt. 4 Bom., Cr., 5

7. Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Second consiction for offence committed before first conviction.—Section 3 of Act VI of 1864 (the Whipping Act) does not apply to cases in which the second conviction is for an offence committed previously to the first conviction. Rec. e. Kvsa VALAD LAKSHMAN . 7 Bom., Cr., 70

8. Previous conviction.—A sentence of whipping founded on a previous conviction of the prisoner is only warranted where the subsequent conviction is for the same specific offence as that in respect of which the previous conviction applied. ANONYMOUS. 5 Mad., Ap., 1 ANONYMOUS.

5 Mad., Ap., 30.

9. Theft is diveiling-house.—Act VI of 1864, s. 3.—Previous consistion of theft.—A prisoner convicted of "theft in a dwelling-house" who has previously been convicted of "simple theth" is not thereby rendered liable to whipping, under Act VI of 1864, section 3. Rec. o. CHANGIA VALDA SILVIA 7. Born, Cr., 68

10.— Act VI of 1864, s. 7.—Conviction of dishonestly receiving stolen property.—Previous conviction for theft.—P. was cunvicted by a Magistante of the first class of dishonestly receiving stolen property. He confessed on his trial that he had twice previously been convicted of theft. He was sentenced to be whipped, to be rigorously imprisoned, and, on the expiration of the term of imprisonment, to furnish security for good behaviour. Held that the offence of theft not being the same offence as that of dishonestly receiving stolen property, the punishment of whipping was illegal. EMPRESS v. PLETAP

[I. L. R., I All., 666

11. Conviction
of separate offences.—"House-breaking to commit
theft," and "theft,"—Whipping Act, VI of 1884,
s.2.—Where a prisoner convicted of "house-breaking
in order to commit theft," and of "theft," both
offences being portions of one continuous criminal
act, was sentenced on the first head of charge to
one year's rigorous imprisonment, under section 457
of the Penal Code, and on the second head of charge
to the penal Code, and on the second head of charge

WHIPPING.-Sentence of whipping when allowable-continued.

to receive twenty stripes, under section 2 of the Whipping Act (VI of 1864), the separate sentences (though not illegal) were disapproved of, as contrary to the spirit and intention of the Whipping Act. REG. v. GENU BIN AKU . . 5 Bom., Cr., 83

- Act VI of 1864, s. 7 .- Conviction of theft .- A sentence of whipping cannot, with reference to Act VI of 1864, section 7. be passed on a conviction for theft under section 379, Penal Code, as the former section only provides for sentences of imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years. QUEEN v. ESAN CHUNDER DEX [21 W. R., Cr., 40

Attempt at house. breaking with view to theft .- In the case of a conviction of attempting to commit house-breaking by night with intent to commit theft, a sentence of whipping was annulled as being illegal. Reg. v. YELLA VALAD PARSHIA . 8 Bom., Cr., 87

14. Substitution of whipping for other punishment, - Sentence. - Theft. Whipping may be substituted for any other punishment for the offence of theft in a dwelling-house.
Oursen v. Junghoo Khan 3 W. R., Cr., 36 QUEEN v. JUNGHOO KHAN .

Act VI of 1864, s. 7 .- Whipping in addition to other sentences .sentence of whipping passed on a person who is al-ready under sentence of death, or transportation, or penal servitude, or imprisonment for more than five years, is illegal. If the sentence of whipping precede, instead of follow, the other sentence, the passing of the latter sentence renders the infliction of the whipping illegal. ANONYMOUS CASE

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 56

- Act VI of 1864. -Power of Magistrate.-When a Magistrate, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 46 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, passed a cumulative sentence against a person convicted at one and the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,—Held per Peacock, C. J., and Phear and Seton-Karr, J J.; that he could not, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, sentence the prisoner to whipping under Act VI of 1864; nor could he exceed twice the extent of his ordinary jurisdiction as defined by section 22 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861. Held, further, per SETON-KARR, J., that, in the case of hardened offenders, a Magistrate can award whipping in addition to the maximum of imprisonment which he is competent to award. Held per MACPHERSON and JACKSON, JJ., that the Magistrate may, in such case, in addition to awarding double the punishment which may be awarded for a single offence, award the punishment of whipping; but only one whipping can be awarded. NASSIE v. CHUNDER

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951: 9 W. R., Cr., 41

RUTTUN BEWA v. BUHUR. JHOWLA v. BUHUR [14 W. R., Cr., 7 WHIPPING.-Sentence of whipping when allowable-continued.

- Act VI of 1864 .-Penal Code, ss. 325, 342, 378 .- Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 46.—Cumulative sentences.—Where the prisoner was convicted by the Magistrate of three distinct and separate offences, and was sentenced to a month's imprisonment for the offence of wrongful confinement under section 342, six months' imprisonment for the offence of voluntarily causing grievous hurt under section 325, and to whipping with twenty stripes for the offence of theft under section 378 of the Penal Code, it was their mater section 970 of the remaindance, it was held (Kerr and Phear, JJ., dissenting) that the sentence was legal. Where a person is convicted at the same time of two or more offences punishable under the Penal Code,—Held (KEMP and PHEAE, JJ, dissenting) that it is lawful for the Court, in addition to the penalties prescribed by the Penal Code, to sentence the prisoner to whipping. Nassiv. Chunder, B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951, not followed.

MANIEUDDIN v. GAUR CHANDRA SHAMADAR

[7 B. L. R., F. B., 165: 15 W. R., Cr., 89

- Magistrata of second class under Criminal Procedure Code, 1872 .-Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1882), 88. 2 and 32.—A person appointed a Magistrate of the second class under Act X of 1872 is incompetent, since the coming into force of Act X of 1882, to pass a sentence of whipping, unless he is specially empowered so to do according to the provisions of section 32 of the latter Act. EMPRESS v. BHAGVANTA RAVJI

[L. L. R., 7 Bom., 303 dition to imprisonment.—Criminal Procedure Con-1872, 8s. 305, 310.—In passing a sentence of whip-ping in addition to six months' imprisonment, a Deputy Magistrate ordered that the prisoner should Whipping in adbe brought before him at the termination of the imprisonment, and that the sentence of whipping should then be carried out. On the recommendation of the Sessions Judge (who referred to sections 305 and 310, Act X of 1872), the High Court cancelled the sentence of whipping as having become inoperative and incapable of being earried out. HUR CHUNDRA KULLL V. JAFER ALI . . 20 W. R., Cr., 72

 Grounds for sentence of whipping.—Statement of grounds in judgment.— When a sentence of whipping is imposed the grounds for that punishment should be stated on the judgment. Badiya v. Queen. I.L. R., 5 Mad., 158

 Previous convictions, Proof of .- Kyfeut .- As a rule, before flogging is given as an additional punishment, there ought to be formal evidence upon the record of the previous convictions relicd on. The conviction and identity of the prisoner ought to be proved in the regular way: a mere

- Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping.—Stay of sentence, Grounds for.—Act VI of 1864, ss. 11 and 12.—Meaning of the WHIPPING .- Mode of infliction of sentence of whipping-continued,

words "execution shall be stayed," in Act VI of 1864, section 11. Sections 11 and 12 together mean that a man sentenced to whipping is not to be whipped unless in a fit state to bear it; the whipping should not be commenced, but if it be commenced it is not to be continued longer than the man is fit to bear it; and then the sentence has been satisfied, for it cannot be executed by instalments. ANONYMOUS

[3 Mad., Ap., 1

- Time after sentence within which whipping may be given.—Act VI of 1864, s. 9.—A sentence of flogging cannot be carried out after the expiry of the limit of fifteen days from date of sentence provided in section 9 of Act VI of 1864. Anonymous . 6 Mad., Ap., 38

This ruling was held to be applicable to section 310 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1872. ANONY-MOUS 7 Mad., Ap., 30

WIDOW.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Aliena-TION-ALIENATION BY WIDOW.

See HINDU LAW-INHERITANCE-SPECIAL HEIRS-FRMALES-WIDOW.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Mainten-ANCE-RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE-WIDOW.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Partition -RIGHT TO PARTITION-WIDOW.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Partition -SHARES ON PARTITION-WIDOW.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Rever-STONERS. See Cases under Hindu Law-Stridhan.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Widow. See PRE-EMPTION-RIGHT OF PRE-EMP-. I. L. R., 1 All., 452 [I. L. R., 6 All., 17 I. L. R., 7 All., 860

- Power of alienation.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Widow-Power of Widow-Power of Disposi-TION OR ALIENATION.

Power of disposition of-

See Cases under Hindu Law-Widow -POWER OF WIDOW-POWER OF DIS-POSITION OR ALIENATION.

- Purchase by-See BENAMI TRANSACTION - ONUS OF 6 B. L. R., 303

- Refusal or omission to adopt.

See HINDU LAW-WILL-CONSTRUCTION OF WILLS - SPECIAL CASES OF CONSTRUCTION - OMISSION OR REFUSAL TO . 3 B, L, R., O, C., 85 ADOPT .

WIDOW-continued.

- Rights of-

See Mahomedan Law-Inheritance.
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702
17 W. R., P. C., 108
11 Bom., 104

WIDOWS INHERITING JOINTLY.

See HINDU LAW-INHERITANCE-SPECIAL Heirs-Females-Widow.

[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290 1 Bom., 66 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59 3 Mad., 268, 424 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194 I. L. R., 7 All., 114

See HINDU LAW-PARTITION-RIGHT TO PARTITION-WIDOW. [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290

3 Mad., 424 6 B. L. R., 134 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194

SEST TOO

See CASES UNDER HINDU LAW-CONTRACT -HUSBAND AND WIFE.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Partition -RIGHT TO PARTITION-WIFE.

See Cases under Hindu Law-Partition -SHARES ON PARTITION-WIFE.

See HUSBAND AND WIFE.

- Action for harbouring-

See RESTITUTION OF CONJUGAL RIGHTS. [I. L. R., 1 Bom., 164

- Custody of-

See. HABEAS CORPUS . 13 B. L. R., 160 See HINDU LAW-GUARDIAN-RIGHT OF GUARDIANSHIP . . 23 W. R., 178 See MARIOMEDAN LAW—CUSTODY OF ... 5 B. L. R., 557 [18 B. L. R., 160

- Evidence of, against husband, See EVIDENCE-CRIMINAL CASES-HUS-BAND AND WIFE.

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11 7 Bom., Cr., 50

- Maintenance of-

See Cases under Hindu Law-Mainten-ANCE-RIGHT TO MAINTENANCE-WIFE.

separated from husband, Liability of, for debts.

See HINDU LAW-CONTRACT-HUSBAND AND WIFE . I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121

WILD ANIMALS.

1. -- Animals feræ naturæ. - Escape of wild animals kept in confinement .- Return

WILD ANIMALS.—Animals fere natures —continued.

or pursuit of such animals.—Wild animals are no longer the property of a man than while they continue in his keeping or actual possession; but if they regain their natural liberty, his property ceases until they have a mind to returning, which is only to be known by their usual custom of returning, or are instantly pursued by their owner, for during such pursuit his property remains. CRITTN CRITIN DOSS s. COLLECTOR OF SYLHEY.

2. Capture of wild clephant.—Right of owner of land where captured. —Right of finder.—A wild clephant having false into a pit made by K. Ni nhis own land, was secured, removed, and tamed by U. M., without the leave of K. N. Held that K. N. was the captor, and that U. M. acquired no property in the clephant. Market Unni Mont c. Malabar Kandapunn Nair [T. L. R. 4. Mad., 268

3. — Excepted elephant.—Ownership.—Recapture.—A tame female elephant excaped from her master's field in company with a herd of wild elephants and resumed her natural wild habits. The owner-plaintiff abandoned his search after two months, and then offered a reward of R200 to any person who should recapture her. At the end of four months she was recaptured by the defendant, who was compelled to tame her in the same way as if she had been an ordinary wild elephant. Plaintiff offered the reward of £200 to the defendant and demanded the elephant, but the demand was refused. Held that under the circumstances the plaintiff had lost all claim to the animal. Palt v. Campelled.

WILL.

I.L.	1	
	Col.	
	6196	
	6196	
3. FORM OF WILL	6199	
4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL	6200	
5. VALIDITY OF WILL	6200	
	6201	
	6201	
	6202	
G. COMBINGOTION	0202	
See CHARITABLE BEQUEST. [I. L. R., 1 Calc., L. R., 3 I. A 26 W.	., 32	
I, L, R., 11 Calc.,	591	
See DOMICILE . I. L. R., 4 Calc.,		
See Cases under Hindu Law-Wi	LL.	
See LAND TENURE IN CALCUTTA. [1 Moore's I. A., 305, 399		
See Cases under Mahomedan L.	AW	

See MORTGAGE-FORM OF MORTGAGES

See CASES UNDER PROBATE.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 753

WILL-continued.

—— Exemplification of— See Succession Act, s. 237, [8 B. L. R., Ap., 76

---- Revocation of-

See HINDU LAW-WILL-NUNCUPATIVE WILLS I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626 See Succession Act, s. 56.

[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 158

Suit by person claiming under— See Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 50. [I. L. R., 6 Born., 73

Statement of value of property in—

See EVIDENCE-CIVIL CASES-RECITALS IN DOCUMENTS . I. L. R., 1 Born., 561

1. EXECUTION.

1.—Succession Act, s. 50.—Signature of testator.—Nature of execution required.—To entitle the executor to probate, the signature of the testator must be that of a conscious person, and not the results of mere mechanical movement of the hand, KALEE TARA DOSSIA . NORM CHUNDIR KUR. 2.1W R. 8.4

2. ATTESTATION.

2. Directions as to attestation, —Succession Act, s. 50.—Probate.—An unprivileged will will not be recognised by the Court and admitted to probate unless executed in accordance with the directions ocutained in Part VIII, Act X of 1865, such directions being imperative and not merely declaratory. Held that the words "in the presence of the testator," in clause 3 of section 50 of the Succession Act, may receive the same construction that his been put upon them in the English Courts, but cannot receive larger interpretation. ESLIAS v. GABRIEL

3. — Presence of witnesses,—Succession Act (Xof 1865). s. 30.—Where the testator does not himself sign the will, but some other person signs it in his presence and by his direction, then, besides this other person, there must be two witnesses who must sign the will in the presence of the testator. In the goods of Roymony Donses, I. L. R., 2 Calc., 150; and Hurro Sundari Dabia v. Chuader Kant Bhutchapteje, I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17, cited. IN THE MATTEE OF THE FETTITON OF HEMIOTA DABBE

S. C. GRISH CHUNDER BANERJEE v. HEMLOTA DEBI 11 C. L. R., 359

4. Attesting witness.—Succession Act, s. 50.—Signature made for testator by party afterwards attesting.—The person making the signature of a will for the testator is not competent as an attesting witness of its execution under the provisions

WIT. I .- continued.

2. ATTESTATION-continued.

Attesting witness-continued.

of the Succession Act. In the goods of Bailey, 1 Curt., 914; and Smith v. Harris, 1 Rob., 262, distinguished. In the Goods of Nanabhai Sorabii Mertin. Ayabai v. Pestanji Nanabhai

[11 Bom., 87

 Mode of attestation.—Execution of will.—Wills Act, XXV of 1838, s. 7.—Section 7 of the Wills Act, XXV of 1838, enacts "that no will shall be valid unless it shall be in writing and executed in manner hereinafter mentioned : (that is to say) it shall be signed at the foot or end thereof by the testator or by some other person in his presence and by his direction, and such signa-ture shall be made or acknowledged by the testator in the presence of two or more witnesses present at the same time; and such witnesses shall subscribe the will in the presence of the testator, but no form of attestation shall be necessary." A testator signed his will in the presence of a witness who subscribed it in his presence, and some time afterwards, upon the arrival of another witness, the testator, in the joint presence of the former witness and the other subscribing witness, asknowledged his subscription at the foot of the will. The second witness then subscribed the will, and the first witness in his and the testator's presence acknowledged his subscription but did not re-subscribe. Held by the Judicial Committee (affirming the decision of the Supreme Court at Calcutta) that the requirements of the Act had not been sufficiently complied with; it being necesary that both witnesses should be jointly present at the same act of the testator and jointly subscribe it in his presence. CASEMENT a, FULTON

[3 Moore's I. A., 395

6, Acknowledgment of signature by testator.—It is a sufficient acknowledgment by a testator of his signature to his will if he makes the attesting witnesses understand that the paper which they attest is his will, though they do not see him sign it, or observe any signature to the paper which they attest, provided that the Court is satisfied that the testator's signature was on the will when the witnesses attested it. MANICKBAI v. HORMASH BOMANYI I. I. R. R. I BOM. 547

7. Sufficiency of attestation—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Probate.—Hindu Wills Act (XXI of 1870), s. 2.—Py the Succession Act, section 50, no particular form of attestation is necessary: therefore, where, to a document purporting to be her last will and testament, the name of the testatrix was written by A., and the testatrix then in his presence affixed her mark, and A. in her presence wrote beneath it "by the pen of A.," and the testatrix was then identified to the Registrar, who was present, by B., who had seen her affix her mark to the document, and who in her presence put his signature as having identified her,—Held a sufficient attestation; and probate was granted. INTHE GOODS OF ROXMONEY DOSSEE. I. L. B., I Calc., 150

WILL-continued.

THE GOODS OF WYNNE .

ATTESTATION—continued.
 Sufficiency of attestation—continued.

8. Grant of probate.

Signature.—1 Vict., c. 26 (Wills Act), s. 9.—

Succession Act (Act X of 1885), s. 50.—To the will of A., a British-born subject and a member of the Bengal Civil Service, who died in India possessed of personal property only, a native severant of the testator, purporting to attest the will, appended words in the Persian character signifying "this is A's signature." Held, on an application for probate, that this was not a sufficient subscription of the will. Semble,—A signature by mark would be a sufficient signature

to a will by a witness under the Succession Act. IN

. 13 B. L. R., 392

9. Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Hindu Wills Act (XX of 1870), s. 2.—Section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865), early intends that the two attesting witnesses to a will shall sign their names after the restator or testatrix shall have excetted the will. Bissonath Dinda v. Dogaram Jana, I. Z. E., 5 Calo., 785 x and Bernander v. Alvas, I. R. B., 5 Bom., 582, followed. If a testatrix athatis a signature on a will to be here before a Registrar of Assurances, and is identified before him by one of the witnesses to the signature, and both the Registrar and the identifier sign their names as witnesses to the admission made, —Hid that such an attestation would be sufficient to satisfy section 50 of Act X of 1865. In the goods of Roymoney Dossee, I. E. R., 1 Calo., 150, followed. In THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURBE SUNDARN DABIA DABIA. HURBE SUNDARN DABIA O, CHUNDER KAN BRUTTLACHARDE

[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L. R., 303

10. Attesting witness, when he should sign.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—The signatures of two or more attesting witnesses to a will required by section 50 of the Succession Act (X of 1865) must be attached to the will after, and not before, the testator's signily or affixing his mark to it. Quere,—Whether a will can be properly attested by a marksman. BissonArth Dinba v. DONARAM JANA

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738: 5 C. L. R., 565

11. Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—Witness.—Signature.—Mark.
—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3, of the Succession Act (Xo. X of 1865) as to the signature of witnesses attesting an unprivileged will, is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks. It is necessary for the validity of a will that the actual signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses should appear on the face of the will. FERMANDEZ D. ALYES

[I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382

12. Will attested by marksmen.—Witness.—Signature.—Mork.—Succession Act (X of 1865), s. 50.—The direction contained in section 50, clause 3 of the Succession Act, as to each of the witnesses signing the will,

2. ATTESTATION-continued.

Sufficiency of attestation-continued.

is not satisfied by the witnesses affixing their marks, and it is necessary for the validity of a will that the signature, as distinguished from a mere mark, of at least two witnesses, should appear on the will. Formandez v. Alexes, I. E. R., 3 Bom., 852, followed; I at the goods of Wynne, 15 B. L. R., 593, dissented from. If a testator, on presenting his will for registration, admits a signature on the will to be his before a Registrar, and is identified before him by a witness, and both the Begristrar and the identifier sign their names on the will as witnesses to the admission of the testator, such attestation is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of clause 3 of section 50, Act X of 1865. In the matter of Harro Sundard Dadia, I. L. R., 6 Calca, 17, followed. NITTE GORAL SERGAR &

18.— Repudiation of signature by attesting witness.—The mere fact of an attesting witness to a will repudiating his signature does not invalidate a will, if ic an be proved by the evidence of other witnesses of a reliable character that he has given false evidence. If he does repudiate tin uncontradicted, the mere fact of there being two witnesses purporting to have witnessed the testator's signature is not a compliance with section 50 of the Succession Act. NURO KISHORE DOSS. JOY DOGREM DOSSEE 22 W. R., 189

14. Forged attestations, Effect of.—Effect on title given wader genuive portion of will.—C, under a power given to her by the will of L, her husband, a Hindu, sold certain land to R. After the sale certain forged attestations were added to the will. In a suit brought by the heir of L, to recover the property sold by C, to R., R. relied on the will which was produced by other defendants in the suit. Held that R's title could not be siftered by the forgery. PARAMMA v. RAMACHANDRA

Lat and arth a macross,

3. FORM OF WILL

15. Buddhist will.—Probate.—
Succession Act (X of 1865), a.831.—Probate may be granted of the will of a Buddhist made after the 1st January 1866. It is not necessary that the will of a Buddhist should be executed according to the formalities prescribed by the Succession Act. IN THE MATTER OF KOKYA DINE

[2 B. L. R., A. C., 79: 10 W. R., 417

16. Testamentary document.—
Will to have effect or contingency.—Probate.—A, being ill and away from home, wrote to his brother B. certain directions as to the management of his property, and concluded: "Brother, if I die of this sickness and C. survive me, then whatever property I have you will give one half to C.," &c. In another and subsequent letter he wrote to B.: "I don't think that the illness I am now suffering from will terminate fatally; but in case I should die, then you will give to C. one half of my Company's papers," &c.

WILL-continued.

3. FORM OF WILL-continued.

Testamentary document-continued.

"I appoint you carney (executor) in all matters relating to \$C_2\$ "Sec. \$A\$, recovered from the fover, but died studienly a year later, without having made any other testamentary disposition of his property. In a suit brought by \$B\$, as executor of \$A\$, according to the tenor of these documents, against the wilow of \$A\$, for the purpose of having probate of them granted to him as of the will of the deceased.—Held (reversing the judgment of Macpursons, \$T\$) that the documents were only intended to have a testamentary effect in the event of \$A\$. Is aving died of the sickness he was suffering from at the time of writing, and therefore probate which had been granted by the Court at the original hearing was ordered to be brought in and cancelled, KAMEENER DOSER \$e\$. BISSOMARI (MIOSS) \$\subseceen 2\$ Ind. Jury, N. \$S\$, \$\subseceen 2\$ Ind. Jury, N. \$\sub

17. — Codical. — Probate, Application for. — Document referring to citil.— After letters of administration with the will annexed had been granted, the administrator found a book containing memoranda in the testator's handwriting, made after the date of the will, and directing certain dispositions of his property. One entry referred in express terms to the will. The testator was a domiciled Scotchman. Held, on a petition by the administrator, asking that the memoranda might be administrator, asking that the memoranda were not restamentary documents, and the petition was therefore dismissed. IN THE GOODS OF WENTERS

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721

4. NUNCUPATIVE WILL.

18. — Validity of nuncupative will.—Roman Catholics of Portuguese extraction.—
Intestate succession.—Quare,—Whether a Roman Catholic of Portuguese extraction can, under the law current amongst members of that cluuch in Chittagong, take under a nuncupative will; and if not, to what is a wife entitled under the law regulating succession of intestates amongst members of that church? REMETRO N. EMPERGO N. S. W. R., 68

5. VALIDITY OF WILL.

19. — Military testamentary document.—Application for probate.—Laps of time.
—Invalidity of will.—A military testament valid in its inception may be deprived of its privilege by lapse of time. In RE GODEN . I Hyde, 198

20. Will of Cutchi Memon.—
Will dipasing of ancestral property.—Wills unale
by members of the Cutchi Memon community, whereby the testators disposed of property which was
proved to be ancestral.—Held to be invalid. ManMED SIDIOK v. AHMED. ABBULA HAH ADBRATAR
v. AHMED. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1

21. — Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court. — English law.—The provisions of the English law

5. VALIDITY OF WILL-continued.

Will of East Indian testatrix out of civil jurisdiction of High Court—continued.

as to the administration of and succession to the estate of a British subject dying testate apply to the will of an East Indian testatrix (the lifegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died without the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the High Court, Hogg c. GREENWAY. GREENWAY, 4, HOGG

[Cor., 97: Bourke, A. O. C., 111

22. Will procured by importunity of wife.—Succession Act, s. 48.—Under inspitence.—The wife of the testator persuaded him to execute a will in supersession of a former will less favournable to her, but the indinence which she exerted was not such as to deprive the testator of the exercise of his judgment and volition. Held that the conduct of the wife did not amount to undue influence. MORISON s. ADMINSTRATOR GENERAL OR MADNAS I. I. R. 8,7 MAGA, 515

23. Proof of genuineness of will.

—Registration and attestation.—When a document
propounded as a will is proved to have been executed
and registered by the alleged testator, it is still
essential to inquire into the circumstances connected
with its execution and registration, when the will is
inofficious and there are other suspicious mattors
connected with it. Kispo Churen Moroombar e.

DWARKA NATE BISWAS

6. INSPECTION OF WILL.

24. Practice.—Application by next of him of deceased.—The Court, on the application of one who is next of kin of a deceased Hindu, or dered a person in possession of an alleged will of the deceased to bring in and deposit the same with the officer of the Court for the purpose of being inspected, and a copy thereof taken by such applicant. IN THE GOODS of BALKHISHNA GANYATHI . IN THE GOODS of BALKHISHNA GANYATHI . ISOM, 114

7. RENUNCIATION BY EXECUTOR.

25.—Procedure after renunciation.—Procedure of will is Court.—Administration accounts.—A Hindu testator empowered his executor to lay out such portion of his estate as the executor might think fit towards charitable purposes, and did not dispose of the residue of the estate. The executor renounced, and no probate of the will or letters of administration, with the will annexed, was granted. In a suit by the testator's sole heiress for construction of the will to be proved in Court, declared that it was void for uncertainty, and directed the usual administration accounts to be taken. Surroutsgood Darber v. Momentagovaru Naru . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION.

26. -- Rules of construction .--Intention of testator.—Meaning of "purchase."— The rule of construction applicable to a will is that words in general are to be taken in their ordinary and grammatical sense unless a clear intention to use them in another can be collected. If the language of a will is perfectly unambiguous and precise, it cannot be strained for the purpose of giving effect to what possibly might have been the intention of the testator, but is not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament. G., by a clause in his will, gave his wife a life-interest in the house in his possession, and in those which he might afterwards "purchase," In G.'s lifetime his younger brother died, and G. thereby acquired a house by inheritance. Held, there being nothing to show that G. had used the word "purchase" in any other than its ordinary sense, that the language of the will could not be strained in order to give effect to what possibly might have been the testator's desire had he foreseen the death of his younger brother in his own lifetime, but was not expressed or implied in the terms of the testament, and that the house did not pass, under the will, to the testator's widow. George v. George

[6 N. W., 219

- Effect of words excluding from inheritance. - Heir-at-law. - A., a Parsi inhabitant of Surat, died there on the 13th February 1879, leaving him surviving the following relations, viz.: A daughter J. (the respondent) by his first wife, who had predeceased him; his second wife Dhanbai, who lived apart from him; his third wife, who had been divorced by him, and whose son A. he did not recognise as his own; and his three sisters D., S., and G., the first-named of whom had been married to K., and whose son E. was the appellant. By his will A. expressly directed that neither his daughter J. nor his widow Dhanbai should take any share of his property, the whole of which he bequeathed to his brother R., who, however, predeceased him. Held that the use of mere negative words, unaccompanied by any effective disposition of his property, could not exclude his daughter J. or his widow Dhanbai from succeeding to their shares of the estate. Erasha Kaikhusru v. Jerbai [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 537

28.— Commission of manager of estate how calculated.—Intention of testator.— Other questions disposed of in the Court of first instance having remained undeolded by the High Court, which dealt with the question of jurisdiction alone, were considered with reference to whether there had or had not been shown any good reason for reversing or varying the order of the original Court. Among these, the question whether the manager's commission was to be calculated on the gross rental of the estate, or on the income divisible among the sharers, was held to be settled by the indication of the latter mode of calculation in the will. ORDE O. SKINNER

[I. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295 L. R., 7 I. A., 197

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Armenian will,-Devise. Absolute estate. Estate for life. - An Armenian, by his will in the Bengali language, made a gift to his son in the following terms: "I bequeath to A. as salamati my talooks (which he named) and \$6,000 in cash. He shall enjoy the profits of the aforesaid talooks. On his demise his sons shall get. The mooktears shall make over to the satisfaction of A." Held that the will was to be construed according to equity and good conscience, and not according to English law. The rule applicable was that, unless a contrary intention appeared, the estate given was an absolute one. A. took an absolute estate under the devise. BROUGHTON v. POGOSE

[12 B. L. R., 74:19 W. R., 181

- Superstitious uses.-English law against .- Application of English law to India. -Semble, -The English rule of law which prohibits the bequest of money for superstitions uses has no application in India. JUDAH v. JUDAH [5 B. L. R., 433

- Bequest for performance of Masses.—Validity of bequest.—A bequest in a will of a sum of money for the performance of masses in Calcutta is valid. ANDREWS v. JOAKIM

[2 B, L, R,, O. C., 148

- Validity of bequest.—Gift to superstitious uses.—A bequest by a Roman Catholic of Portuguese descent, born and domiciled in Calcutta, for the performance of masses, is not a gift to superstitious use. Das MERCES v. CONES [2 Hyde, 65
- Legacy to attesting witness. -Succession Act, s. 54.-A legacy to the attesting witness of a will is void under section 54 of the Succession Act, whether or not the attestation of the witness is indispensable to the validity of the will. ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL v. LAZAR

[I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

34. ____ Legacy to minor.—Absolute gift.—Discretion of executor.—Where there is an absolute bequest and power to executor to delay making over the legacy at discretion,-Held that, on attaining majority, the legatee should at once be put in possession. DE SILVA v. DE SILVA

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 16: Bourke, O. C., 281

- Domestic servant.-Legacy. Suit for.—Strang—The testator, a Hindu, made a will in the English form and language, in which he bequeathed (inter alia) as follows: "To each of my domestic servants in Calcutta who shall have been in my service ten years and upwards at the time of my death R100 for every rupee of monthly salary drawn by them from me respectively." The plaintiff had been in the service of the testator for about forty years as sirang on board a steamer which the testator kept on the river, and in which he used to visit his zemiudaries and perform other journeys by water. The plaintiff was in the habit of daily attending at the

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Domestic servant-continued.

testator's residence, and there obeying any orders that might be given him. If the steamer was not needed, the plaintiff used to attend at the testator's residence from early in the morning to about one in the afternoon, returning to take his meals and stop on board the steamer. Held that he was entitled to take under the legacy as a domestic servant of the testator. DHANNO SIRANG v. UPENDRA MOHAN . 8 B. L. R. 244

Washerman. -Held on the evidence, that the plaintiff had failed to prove he was a domestic servant of the testator, so as to entitle him to take a legacy under this clause. Buim Das v. Upendea Mohan Tagore [9 B. L. R., Ap., 4

- Husband and wife .- Trustee. St. — Eusband and Wile.— Praces.
—Sole use and benefit. A testator made the following bequest in his will: "I give, devise, and bequeath to my dearly-beloved wife all the stock-intrade, furniture, mourning coaches, horses belonging thereto, stones, marbles, tools, implements, and materials connected with my trade and business, and all my right and interest therein; and after payment of my debts and other expenses, I give, devise, and bequeath the rest and residue of my outstandings and collections for her sole use and benefit, with liberty to continue and carry on such trade and business." The testator's widow married a second husband, and they carried on the husiness of the de-ceased together. They afterwards separated, and she brought a suit against her husband for a declarasne bronger a san against her massam for a declara-tion of her right nuder the will, and for an account from her husband of the profits, &c., of the business during their marriage. *Held* (reversing the decision of the Court below) that, on the true construction of the will, the stock-in-trade, &c., was not bequeathed to the wife for her sole and separate use independent of any future husband; her husband did not become a trustee for her in respect of such stock-in-trade or the profits of the business, and he was not bound to render an account. ORD v. ORD [4 B. L. R., O. C., 53

Charitable bequest.-Bequest for spiritual benefit.—Uncertainty.—Supersti-tions uses.—N. E. J., a Hebrew merchant domiciled in Calcutta, and possessed of both real and personal property, died, leaving a will, by which, after appointing his mother, K. E. J., and his brother, J. E. J., executrix and executor thereof, and making various bequests and provisions, he made the following bequest of the residue of his property: "And what may remain after payment of the above-mentioned sums, as well as the debts, shall remain under the control of my brothers, S. E. J., and J. E. J., for the purpose of defraying therewith the expenses for the year, and making charitable distributions as commanded, and giving alms for my spiritual benefit according to their judgment." Held, assuming that the High Court should act in conformity with the English Court of Chancery in carrying out charitable

S. CONSTRUCTION—continued. Charitable bequest-continued.

bequests, that, as far as the bequest related to giving of ahms for the testator's spiritual benefit, it was void for uncertainty. The "defraying expenses and making charitable distribution" were limited by

the bequest to the year within which the testator died. JUDAH v. JUDAH . . 5 B. L. R., 433 biting alienation.-A testator left his personal property to trustees in trust to pay thereont certain annuities to his son and daughter, and, after be-

queathing some pecuniary legacies, devised certain immoveable property to the trustees in perpetuity in trust for the support of hospitals in the North-West Provinces, with directions that the surplus income (if any) from his personalty during the lives of his children, and on the death of either of them, his or her annuity, and on the death of both of them, the whole income of the personalty, should be applied in support of the hospitals. The will also contained a provision that the property should never be sold. In a suit for the construction, and for declaration of the trusts, of the will, it appeared that the income of the personalty was not more than sufficient, after payment of the legacies, to pay the annuities to the testator's children, and that the immoveable property was greatly in need of repairs and did not produce enough for the support of the hospitals, or to enable the trusts of the will relating thereto to be carried out. Held that the devise for the support of the hospitals was a valid devise, and one to which the Court would give effect, as being a charitable trust within the scope of 43 Elizabeth, Cap. 4. The Statutes of Mortmain not appliying to India, the Court will carry out such a trust when the subject is immoveable property, just as it would if it had been personal property. Held also that, if the prohibition against sale were a valid one, the Court could not order a sale merely because it would be advantageous to the charity that the property should be sold; but held that the prohibition against sale was void as being repugnant to the devise, and, notwithstanding such prohibition, the trustees had power to sell, or otherwise alienate, the property for the purpose of maintaining the hospitals. BROUGHTON v. MERCER

[14 B. L. R., 442 Void bequests.--Uncertainty.- "Surplus." - General residuary bequest.—A testator by his will directed as follows:
"I do hereby direct my trustee to feed the really needy and poor at Gopeenathjee out of a separate expense out of my estate, to be contributed to the worship of Lukeejonardunjee, my ancestral goddess. I do direct my trustee to spend suitable sums for the annual sradhs or anniversaries of my father, mother, and grandfather, as well as of myself after my demise, for the performance of the ceremonics and the feeding of the Brahmins and the poor; to spend suitable sums for the annual contri-bution and gifts to the Brahmins, Pundits holding tolls for learning in the country at the time of the

WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Charitable bequest-continued.

Doorga Pooja; to spend suitable sums for the perusal of Mohabharat and Pooran and for the prayer of God during the month of Kartick. Should there be any surplus after the above expenditure, then I do hereby direct my trustee to spend the said surplus in the contribution towards the marriage of the daughters of the poor in my class and of the poor Brahmins, and towards the education of the sons of the poor amongst my class, and of the poor Brahmins, and other respectable castes, as my trustee will think fit to comply." Held that the gifts were valid testamentary bequests, and that the words "should there be any surplus after the above expenditure" ereated a general residuary bequest. Held, on appeal (affirming the decision of the Court below), that a general residuary bequest was created by the concluding words of the clause, which would absorb any of the preceding bequests, if they should happen to be invalid. Quare,—Whether the bequests to pundits holding tolls, and for the reading of the Mohabharat and Pooran and for prayer to God, were valid.

DWARKANATH BYSACK v. BUBRODA PERSAUD BYSACK . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443

· Cyprès, Doctrine of .- A testatrix bequeathed the interest of a Government promissory note to "The Calcutta Armenian Orphans' College Funds for the Relief and Enjoyment of the Poor Families, Widows, Orphans, and Schools of the Armenian Nation," to be received half-yearly by the wardens of the funds for the time being. Although there was a charity in Madras, called "The Armenian Orphans' College," there was none in Calcutta or elsewhere answering the description of the Calcutta Armenian Orphans College, but there were two, and only two, charitable institutions in Calcutta which provided for the relief and enjoyment of the poor families, widows, orphans, and schools of the Armenian nation. Of these, one, the Church of St. Nazareth, distributed money amongst, and gave relief to, the poor families, widows, and orphans of the Armenian community; and the other, the Armenian Philanthropie Academy, educated gratuitously the poor and orphans of the same commu-The note was invested by order of the Court, and there had been a large accumulation of interest thereon. The governors of the two institutions concurred in asking that each should receive a moiety of the accrued and future interest of the fund. Held that the cypres doctrine applied; that the accumulated interest should remain invested; but that the accruing interest on the accumulated fund should be paid half-yearly, one moiety to the wardens of St. Nazareth's Church, and the other to the managers of the Armenian Philanthropic Academy. Longвоттом с. 1 Mad., 429

Gift .- Cyprès, Doctrine of .- Lapse of legacy .- Costs .- Under the will of A., who appointed the Administrator General of Bengal his executor, B. had a life-interest in the residue of the testator's estate. B. brought a suit against the Administrator General to have it declared

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Charitable bequest-continued.

that a pecuniary legacy, given under the will, had lapsed and fallen into the residue. Prior to the hearing it was agreed between B. and the Administrator General that the costs of the suit should come out of the testator's estate; this agreement was embodied in a consent order obtained on the application of the plaintiff. The suit was dismissed, and this decision was affirmed on appeal. On the question of costs,-Held that the estate of the testator not being before the Court, the agreement as to costs could not be carried out, and that the plaintiff must pay the costs of all parties to the suit. Malchus v. Broughton . I. L. R., 13 Calc., 193

Appointment of trustee.—Failure to carry out wishes of testator.— Where a testator had made a bourst for charitable purposes, and had made no express provision for the management of the charitable trust so created, except by directing that, in the event of his heirs failing to carry out his wishes in respect of the trust fund, the Civil Court should take the fund and the management of the trust summarily into its own hands,-Held that, in the absence of misconduct, the widow, and not the Collector, was the proper person to be appointed trustee. HORI DASI DABI v. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL [L. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77

 Children,—Domicile,—Rules for interpretation .- Accretions to property from rents .- Where a testator has an ascertained domicile, the construction of his will must depend on the law of that domicile; but if no particular law is applicable, the will is to be interpreted by principles of natural justice. In such cases, in applying the rules of Hindu, Mahomedan, or English law to the wills of Hindus, Mahomedans, or East Indian Christians respectively, their particular habits and modes of life may be looked to as a guide to the interpretation. From the context of the will and surrounding circumstances, "children" may be interpreted as illegitimate children. Where by the will the income of estates was left to devisees for life, with a gift over of the corpus on their death, and a portion of the income, instead of being divided among the tenants-for-life, was applied to the purchase of other estates, -Held, those estates did not pass to the remaindermen, but formed the absolute property of the tenantsfor-life, and passed to their devisees. BARLOW v. . 5 B. L. R., 1: 13 W. R., P. C., 41 [13 Moore's I. A., 277

- Contingent gift,-Puttro poutradi, Meaning of.—Absolute estate.—A Hindu, B. L. M., died in 1874, leaving a widow, K. K. D., a daughter's daughter, H. D. D., and a brother, R. L. M., with whom he was on bad terms. By his will, which was made on the 9th of August 1870, and at a time when there was no reason to abaudon all expectation of his leaving male issue of his own, B. L. M. directed that, in the event of his dying without leaving a son, grandson, or son's grandson, his widow WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Contingent gift -continued.

K. K. D. should take the whole of his estate according to the shastras, and enjoy the profits thereof for her life, and that on her death, in the event of a daughter or daughters having been born to him, then she or they, and on the death of her or them, then her or their son or sons (the testator's daughter's sons) should in like manner take and become the owner or owners of the estate according to the shustras : and that in the event of there being no dangleter or daughter's son of the testator living at the time of the death of his widow, then his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) H. D. D. should take the whole estate absolutely from generation to generation (puttro poutradi); and that, in the event of no son or daughter being born to the testator after the execution of his will, and of his grand-daughter (daughter's daughter) H. D. D. dying childless, or being a barren or childless widow, or otherwise disqualified, then the whole of his property should go to the Government, to be employed by it for charitable and philanthropic purposes. The main object of the testator B. L. M. in making this disposition of his property was admittedly to exclude R. L. M. from the inheritance. Held that H. D. D., if she survived the testator's widow K. K. D., and was not then a barren or childless widow or otherwise disqualified, would take, not a life-interest, but an absolute estate, to the exclusion of R. L. M. Held, also, that the words "puttro poutradi" had generally the effect of defining the estate given as an estate of inheritance, and did not by themselves necessarily denote that the estate given was to be one descendible to heirs male only. Held, also, that in case of H. D. D. not surviving K. K. D. or of her being at the time of the death of K. K. D. for any reason disqualified from taking the estate, then upon the death of K. K. D. the gift to the Government of the reversion to the exclusion of R. L. M. would take effect, and was a good and valid gift. HORI DASI DABI V. SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228: 4 C. L. R., 77

- Gift to children on their attaining 21 .- Where words of contingency form part of the description of the class of persons to take, as in the case of a gift to those "who shall attain the age of 21," the words must receive their natural construction, and no estate vests in any one till he attains the prescribed age. In such a case there must be something in the context pointing to a different construction, or something in the will inconsistent with the literal construction, to justify a Court in adopting any but the literal construction. In the case of words of contingency occurring in the description of the class of persons to take, a mere gift over is not sufficient to change their meaning. Ballin v. Ballin [I. L. R., 7 Calc., 218: 8 C. L. R., 28

Period of distribution .- Survivorship .- A., a Hindu, made the following provisions by his will: "I have two sons living, B. and C.; they, and an infant son of my

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Contingent gift-continued.

eldest son, the late D., and my wife E. (four persons), shall succeed to the whole of my estate: these four persons will receive equal shares. If any of these four persons happen to die, which God avert, the survivor of them will receive this estate in equal shares; but if there be a son or a grandson surviving as the heir and representative of the party dying, such survivor shall succeed to his share: if there be a daughter or grand-daughter in the female line surviving, such survivor shall receive a share of the property; the expense of the marriage of such female child only shall be defrayed out of the estate:" and also provided that "so long as my infant grandson shall not have attained his majority, the whole of my estate shall remain undivided." All the persons named survived the testator. Held that they took absolute interests in the shares named, and that the estate became divisible on the infant son of D. attaining majority. ELLOKASSEE DOSSEE v. . L. L. R., 5 Calc., 59 DURPONABAIN BYSACK

 Vesting of estate in executor .- Directions to executors, Effect of .- A testatrix, after appointing certain persons to be executors of her will in respect of the whole of her property, directed that they should "take possession of the whole of her property, and keep the same under their protection; "that they should pay out of her estate the charges of interment, &c.; that they should repair four houses annually out of the income thereof, having let them out to hire, and, after paying taxes and ground-rent, divide the proceeds every three months between the testatrix's two sons; that the executors should not give the rents to the creditor, because the bequest of the income to the sous was "not an entire gift to them, but a mere provision for their support." The will proceeded as follows: "Should my son M. happen to die before the decease of his wife, then I give the share of M. to the wilder M. M. to and five the class to E. M. his widow H. M., &c., and after the death of H. M., should my son M. not have left any legitimate male child, then I give the above share to my son J., &c. After the death of M. (and his wife), should be have left legitimate male children, such male children shall in the same manner receive the income once in every three months till they attain the age of 21 years, and then the amount of their share shall be divided into equal portions, and each of them having become the owner of his portion shall receive the same from my executors; but if H. M. die before M., and M. die without having had legitimate male children, then I give and bequeath the shares of my son M. to my son J. as a provision for his support, &c. If my sons M. and J. die without having male issue, and if their wives -that is to say, H. M. and C. J .- die without having male issue begotten by my sons, then I give my garden, &c., actually and entirely to the sons and daughter of my daughter G., begotten by her first husband, G. A., -that is, to A. M. B. and N., or in case of their death, to their sons and daughters lawfully begotten, or to such of them as shall survive at the time. My said garden shall be divided into equal shares, and each of WILL-continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION -continued.

Contingent gift-continued.

them having received his share in equal proportion as a larger from me. shall enjoy the same." M., and H. M. his wife, died without having left any children; J. died in the lifetime of M. A., and one of the sons of G. died without leaving children in the lifetime of H. M. Held, firstly, that the direction to the executors to lease the property indefinitely and out of the income to make repairs, pay taxes and ground-rent, and apply the rent to the maintenance of the sons, was sufficient to vest the legal estate in the trustees. Secondly,—that such estate was an estate in fee. Thirdly,—that the children of G, took equitable estates in remainder in fee, defeasible in case of their death in the lifetime of the first taker for life, in which event there was substituted a devise to their children in fee. Fourthly,-that the children of G. took as tenants in common, and not as joint tenants, and therefore, that as there was nothing from which cross remainders between the children of G. could be implied, the share of A. reverted to the heir-at-law of the testatrix. Fifthly, -that wherever any estate in fee is devised to a trustee in trust without any limitation of the estate of the cestui que trust, the latter takes the beneficial estate in fec. Shircore v. Administrator Gene-. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50 RAL

- Gift to children. —A testator, after providing for payment of debts, &c., directed that the whole of his property should be disposed of and the proceeds placed in the Oriental Bank, with power to the executors to invest the same in mortgages, and to leave existing mortgages untouched. The will then contained this direction: "That a monthly stipend of R15 be paid to my daughter E. S. for her own benefit, and R20 for the benefit of her two children (during their minority), and in the event of the demise of any of the said children occurring, the sum of R10 to cease rateably as being the allowance for each child; that on each of the children attaining their age of majority, I request that my executors pay to each of them severally and proportionably the full amount of interest accruing from my estate (the existing provision for my two daughters to continue during their natural life), and after their demise the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession." Held, firstly,-that a direction to pay a monthly stipend to E. IS. and M. D. respectively was simply a charge upon the testator's estate to pay the said stipends to E. S. aud M. D. for their respective lives. Secondly,-that E. S. and M. D. were severally entitled during the lifetime and minority of their children to a monthly stipend of R10 in respect of each child, such payment to cease upon the death of each child or on its attaining majority, till which latter event the said children took no interest under the will. Thirdly,-each child upon attaining its majority took a share of the residue, proportioned to the number of children then living, and a contingent proportionate interest in the shares of each of the other children which would become vested on the death of each one dying under twenty. Fourthly,-

S. CONSTRUCTION-continued,

Contingent gift-continued.

the limitation of the gift "during their natural life and after their demise, the said interest in like manner to revert to their heir or heirs in succession," did not prevent the children from taking their several shares absolutely under the will. Semble, The rule in Wild's case, 6 Rep., 17, is not applicable to personalty. AGNEW v. MATHEWS

1 Ind, Jur., O. S., 74: 1 Mad., 17

50. — Absolute gift.—Restriction on legatees.—Enjoyment.—Residuary estate.—Where a testator leaves a legacy absolutely as regards his estate, but restricts the mode of the legatee's enjoyment to secure certain objects for the benefit of the legatee, and where such objects fail, the absolute gift prevails, and does not fall into the residue of the testator's estate. Therefore, where a testator gave legacies to certain of his grandsons and grand-daughters, but nevertheless declared that such lega-cies should be held upon trust (as to the legacies to the grandsons) to invest the same and to apply the income during the minority of the legatee towards his maintenance and education, and upon his attaining the age of 21 years to pay him the income during his lifetime, and after his death to pay such income into the widow of such grandson, and after the death of both of them to transfer the capital unto the child or children of such grandson as being a son or sons should attain the age of 21 years, or being a daughter should attain that age or marry, in equal shares as tenauts-in-common; and where the testator especially provided as to the legacy left to one grandson that upon the happening of certain events it should be paid to his other grandchildren, -Held that the gift to the grandsons were absolute, and that the subsequent provisions were simply a qualification of the gifts for the benefit of the legatees; and that, therefore, upon the death of one of the grandsons unmarried, his legal representative was entitled to the legacy left to him. ADMINIS-TRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL v. APCAR
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 553

51. — Proviso for cesser. — Condition. — Conditional limitation. — Breach of condition .- Residence .- P. C. T., a Hindu, died leaving an only son, G. M. T., and having first made his will in the English form, whereby, after declaring that he had already made sufficient provision for his son G. M. T., and that G. M. T. was to take nothing under the will, he gave all his property to trustees, upon trust, as to the personal estate, "to collect and get in the same" with certain specified exceptions, and thereout to pay his funeral expenses and debts, "and such legacies as were not by the will postponed in payment;" and to invest the residue, and out of the annual proceeds of such investments, so far as the same would extend, to pay certain annuities and postponed legacies as they became due, and to pay such surplus income as might from time to time exist to the person entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus rents or profits thereof, with an ultimate trust, after all the WILL-continued.

S. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Proviso for cesser-continued.

legacies and annuities had been satisfied, for the person or persons entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property. And as to the realty, upon trust, until all the debt and legacies had been paid, and all the annuities had fallen in, to receive the rents, and thereout in the first instance to pay the unsatisfied legacies and annuities, and to pay the surplus rents to the person or persons for the time being to whom the real estate (subject to the devise to the trustees) was given by the will. And as a first charge on the net income of the real property (after satisfying the expenses of establishments), the testator directed the trustees to pay R30,000 per annum to the person for the time being entitled to the beneficial enjoyment of the real property or the surplus income thereof. He further directed them, after all the ununities and legacies had fallen in and been satisfied, to convey the real estate, so far as the theu condition of circumstances would permit, unto and to the use of the person entitled, under the limitations contained in the will, to the beneficial interest therein. The first limitation was to J. M. T. for life. At the end of the limitations of the real estate, the will contained the following proviso: "Provided always, and I hereby declare that, if any devisee, or tenant-for-life . shall permit or suffer the said property so devised and limited as aforesaid, or any portion thereof, to be sold for arrears of Government revenue, or shall, after attaining his majority, cease to keep up in a due state of repair, and to use as his residence in Calcutta, the said baithakhana house and premises where I now reside, and make use of and eujoy my library, horses, carriages, farmyard, furniture in the said house, and jewels, gold and silver plates, &c., in my use or possession, then, and immediately thereupon, the devise and limitations in this my will contained and declared shall wholly cease and determine as to him. and the person next in succession to him under the limitations aforesaid shall at once succeed," as if the person committing a breach of such conditions had then The testator died in August 1868. In December 1868 his son G. M. T. instituted a suit for the purpose of avoiding and setting aside the trusts and limitations of the will, except so far as they were for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities. suit was dismissed on the 1st of April 1869. T. appealed, and on the 1st September 1869 the Appeal Court declared him to be absolutely entitled to the personalty, subject to the trusts for payment of debts, legacies, and annuities, and entitled, on the death of the defendant J. M. T., the tenant-for-life, to the realty. J. M. T. and others claiming under the limitations in the will, appealed to the Privy Council; and G. M. T. filed a cross-appeal, in which he claimed that the gift of the life-estate to J. M. T. ought to be declared void. By the order of Her Majesty in Council, which was dated the 9th August 1872, and which arrived in Calcutta in September 1872, all the limitations after the limitation to J. M. T. were declared void and inoperative, and it was further declared that J. M. T. was beneficially entitled to a life-interest in the realty, and also in the personalty directed to be conveyed or converted into a fund,

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Proviso for cesser-continued.

(6213)

subject to the payments in the will directed to be made, and to the provisions in the will not thereby declared to be void; and also, until the legacies and annuities fell in and were satisfied, to R2,500 a month out of the net rents of the realty, and also to the surplus rents of the same and the surplus interest of the personalty; and that, upon the failure or determination of J. M. T.'s life-interest, G. M. T. was entitled as heir-at-law to the real and personal property. The proviso for cessor was not among the provisions of the will which were declared void. J. M. T. was one of the trustees under the will. After the testator's death the business of the estate continued, as theretofore, to be carried on in a portion of the baias an executors, to be carried on in a portion of the bat-thakhana house: and J. M. T., who had a family dwelling-house of his own, used, up to November 1869, to attend at the batthakhana daily for the transaction of business. In November 1869 J. M. T. quarrelled with his co-trustees, and ceased to go to the baithakhana. In April 1870 he demanded from the other trustees that possession of the baitakhana should be given to him, and upon their insisting on the right to occupy the portion of the baithakana nsed for the purpose of the estate business, sued them for possession. In July 1870 a decree for possession was made in his favour. The trustees appealed, and ultimately, in July 1871, the Appellate Court made a declaration that it was consistent with the trusts of the will that J. M. T. should enter into possession; and the trustees were ordered to deliver to him possession of the baitbakhana, except the portion of the ground-floor occupied for the business of the estate. After obtaining his decree, J. M. T. found that the baithakhana was in a very bad state of repair, and called upon the trustees to have proper repairs exe-On their refusal to do so, except under direction of the Court, J. M. T., in December 1871, brought a suit to compel them to effect necessary repairs; the trustees contested the suit, but in March 1872 a decree was passed directing them to make the repairs. Subsequently repairs were begun, which were completed in October 1872. In a suit by G. M. T., alleging that J. M. T. had committed a breach of one or more of the conditions contained in the proviso for cessor, by not residing in the baitakhana house and by neglecting to keep it in repair, and had thereby incurred a forfeiture of which the plaintiff was entitled to take advantage,-Held, that the clause containing the provisions for cesser and shifting of the estate was intended to come into operation as a whole and not piecemeal, and, therefore, that until J. M. T. came into full beneficial enjoyment of the lifeestate given him by the will, or at all events until he became entitled to the surplus rents, the time had not arrived when that clause was intended to apply. Held, further, that, assuming that such time had arrived, the action of the plaintiff in contesting the right of J. M. T., under the will, to occupy the baitakhana house and premises, debarred him from claiming that effect should be given to the clause of forfeiture for non-residence. Even apart from any action by the plaintiff, the conduct of the trustees in disputing the right of J. M. T. to possession of a WITI .- continued.

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Proviso for cesser-continued.

portion of the baitakhana house, and refusing to repair, would suspend the operation of the forfeiture clause until October 1872, inasmuch as it prevented him until that time from obtaining such a possession as was contemplated by the forfeiture clause. The forfeiture clause was not brought into operation by the judgment and order of the Judicial Committee of 9th August 1872. Held, on the evidence, that J. M. T, had complied with the conditions as to residence. GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. JUTTENDRO MOHUN . 12 B. L. R., 1

On appeal to the Privy Council, - Held that as the clause provided for the cesser and determination of the life-interest of J. M. T. in the event of the conditions in it not being performed, his interest, notwithstanding the conditions over had been declared to be void, would cease when that event happened. Held that the clause could not be construcd so as virtually to defeat it, and therefore it must be held to be operative before the trusts of the will were at an end, and J. M. T.'s estate perfected by a conveyance.

But held on the evidence that there had been no breach of the condition contained in the clause. The delay in not residing before October 1872 was not unreasonable. Where, in a condition of residence, no manner or period of residence is prescribed, but residence simply, and without definition, exclusive residence is not supposed to be meant; in such cases the occasional use of a house and keeping an establishment in it with the intention of again using it as a residence, is a sufficient compliance with the condition. GANENDRO MOHUN TAGORE v. JUTTENDRO MOHUN 14 B. L. R., 60: 22 W. R., 377 L. R., 1 I. A., 387 TAGORE

- Power of appointment .--Execution of power. - Marriage settlement. - A testator, after giving certain specific bequests, disposed of his property as follows: "I request that the inof his property as follows: terest of my property, invested in Government securities, be disposed of from time to time as follows:— First,-to my dear son A. two shares; to my two dear daughters B. and C. each one share; the interest to be paid to them quarterly or half yearly as may be most convenient. Second,-I request that these shares shall not be transferable during their lifetime. Third,-at the demise of any of my children without issue, any sneh share to be divided in the above proportion to the survivors. Fourth,-in the event of portion to the surrivers. Fourte,—in one even of issue, they may be queath their share to any one of their chikiren they may select, subject to the above conditions." C. married in 1874, and, by a settlement made in consideration of the marriage, her share was assumed to be assigned to trustees upon certain trusts. In 1875 C. and her husband made the following joint will: "We do hereby constitute the survivor of us to be executor or executrix in our estate and sole heir of the same, together with the child or children begotten in our marriage." died shortly after the execution of the above will leaving one child. In a suit by C.'s husband and the trustees of the settlement of 1874 for the administra-

8. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Power of appointment-continued.

tion of the testator's estate and for the construction of his will,-Held that the settlement of 1874 could not operate upon C.'s share in consequence of the direction of the testator, that it should not be transferred in the lifetime of C, and that the plaintiffs took nothing under the settlement. Held, also, that the power of appointment given by the will of the testator had not been properly exercised by the joint will, and that the child of C, took the whole of her mother's share. Fehrsen v. Simpson

f I. L. R., 4 Calc., 514

- Gift in remainder expectant on termination of estate for life.—Devise of talook.—The Oudh Estates Act, I of 1869.—Registration. -- Acceleration of remainder on failure of life estate. -- A gift in remainder expectant on the termination of an estate for life, does not fail, but is accelerated, by reason of the gift of such prior life estate not taking effect. The principle of the decition in Lainson v. Lainson, 5 De Gex, M. & G., 754: 18 Beav., 1, held applicable to a will made by a Hindu testator. A talookdar, whose talook was entered in the third of the six lists prepared in conformity with section 8 of "The Ondh Estates Act," of 1869, devised his estate by a will, which was not registered, to one of his wives for life, and after her death to his younger son by her. Held, as a consequence of the above rule, that it was not necessary to decide, upon a claim by the elder son as heir-at-law, whether the widow, as a person "who would have succeeded to an interest" in the talook, if the talookdar had died intestate, would have been within the exception, in reference to the effect of non-registration of will contained in section 13 of the same Act. AJUDHIA BAKSH v. RAKMAN KUAR [I. L. R., 10 Cale., 462 : L. R. 11 I. A., 1

 Vesting of interest.—Direct. ing.—Executory trust.—II., by his will, bequeathed to his daughter A. M. H. "on her attaining her 18th year, the sum of Company's R10,000, with any interest that may have accrued thereon, if she marries, to be settled upon herself and children solely; should she die unmarried, her money to be equally divided between her brothers; and if either of them die, the whole of deceased's money to go to the survivor." Held that A. M. H. (who had attained her 18th year) had a vested interest in the legacy subject to be divested upon her dying at any time numarried, and further, subject to an executory trust in favour of her children in the event of her marrying at any time, and therefore that she was not entitled to have the capital of the legacy paid to her. IN THE MATTER OF THE WILL OF HUNTER. IN THE MATTER OF ACT XXVIII OF I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420

- Interest not subject to be divested.—A testator nominated A. B., &c., "to be executors and trustees of this my will, and eventually guardians of my dear children and estate, until such time as my children shall severally attain WILL-continued.

S. CONSTRUCTION-continued.

Vesting of interest-continued.

the age of 25 years, when I request the aforementioned gentlemen (my wife being dead), their heirs or executors, will divide, or cause to be divided, into shares agreeably to the number of our surviving children, giving to the boys two shares and to each of the girls one, or to their lawful issue or husband, the whole of my estate, each child to be put in possession of his or her share when they shall respectively attain the age of 25 years; and whenever either of my daughters shall enter into the hely state of matrimony, I request that a proper settlement may be made upon her and her children, and in the event of either of my children departing this life without leaving husband, wife, or lineal descendants, his or her share shall be divided equally amongst our other children or their lawful issue; but on no account shall any division of the principal of my estate take place till after the death of their mother. Held (reversing the decision of Phear, J.) that after the mother's death, each child took a vested interest on attaining the age of 25 years,—that is, at the time when possession is to be given,—and not an interest subject to be divested in the event of the child dying without husband, wife, or lawful issue. TAYLOR v. PHILLOTT. PHILLOTT v. MORRIS [1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375

56. Divesting clause;

-Gift over on legatee's death "prior to division" of the estate.—Gift not void for uncertainty.—Aut X of 1865 (Succession Act) ss. 75, 91, 106.— Λ testator directed his trustees and executors to hold his real and personal estate upon trust, to sell the real estate either together or in parcels, and either by public auction or private contract, and to call in, sell, and convert into money such part of his personal estate as should not consist of money, and to divide the said moneys, and the ready money which might belong to such estate, amongst the several persons named in the schedule to the will, and to pay the same to them in the shares and proportions therein mentioned, as and when they should respectively attain the age of 21 years in the case of males, or, in the case of females, when they should respectively attain that age or marry. . He directed that, in the event of any of such persons dying in his life-time, or at any time thereafter "prior to the said division," leaving lawful issue, such issue should be entitled to the share which their deceased parent would have taken. One of the legatees who had attained the age of 21 years at the testator's death, died five months after him, before payment of the legacy, and left lawful issue. Held that the legacy vested in interest in the legatee at the testator's death, but that the legatec having died prior to the division of the estate, it became divested; that the "division" of the testator's estate meant, in this will, the ascertainment of the amounts allottable to the share of each legatee, after the conversion of the estate into money; and that the gift over in favour of the legatee's issue was not void for uncertainty, but took effect. Johnson v. Crook, L. R., 12 Ch. D., 639; Collison v. Barber, L. R., 12

S. CONSTRUCTION -continued.

Vesting of interest-continued.

Ch. D., 834; Bubb v. Padwick, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 517; Chaston v. Scayo, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 218; Spencer v. Duckworth, L. R., 18 Ch. D., 634; referred to. Bachman v. Bachman J. L. R., 6 All., 583

57. Bequest to orphan in Military Orphan Asylum.—Direction to trustees .- A special case was stated for the opinion of the Court, as to whether S. M. took a vested interest in the sum of R6,325, under the following chause of will: "I paid to the M. O. Society R6,000 for S. M., and invested R6,826, the interest on which I directed to be paid to the mother of S. M. Now I direct my trustees, after the death of the mother of S. M., to realise the latter sum and pay it to the M. O. Society, for S. M., in terms of the regulations of the Society." Held that the bequest was prima facie for the benefit of the daughter, That, having regard to the regulations of the M. O. Society, the bequest was a gift for the benefit of the Society generally. That if the will had given the mother the interest for life, instead of saying it had been given, it would have vested. That the interest vested in S. M. at once, and formed part of her estate. In the matter of the goods of Collins Bourke, O. C., 104

58. Trust,—Creation of trust— Uncertainty.—A Hindu by his will, after appointing certain persons executors for the purpose of managing his estate after his death, gave them the following directions: "You should give my brothers, their wives and children, according to your wishes." Hold that no trust was created by these words. Kumanasam v. Subbaras.

[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325

59. Validity of trust.

—Direction as to debt due from attesting witness.—
Where a testator directed that a debt due to him by
an attesting witness of his will should not be claimed,
demanded, or enforced, but that his wish was that
the sun should be specially devoted to the education
of the children of such attesting witness,—Held that
there was no release of the dobt or legacy to the
attesting wifness, but a valid trust in favour of the
children, ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL P. LAZAR

[L. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

60. Precetory trust.
—W. R., by his will, made the following gift to his wife, M. A. Z.: "I give to my dearly beloved wife the whole of my property both real and personal (described) feeling confident that she will act justly to our children in dividing the same when no longer required by her." M. A. Z., by her will, left to their children certain poxitons of such property, leaving to their child. A. C. R., amongst other things, certain banking shares. These shares were attached in the execution of a decree ngainst the executors to her estate as belonging to such estate. Held by the High Court that she took under her husband's will a life interest only in his property with a power of appointment in fravour of the children, and that the

WIT. I .- continued.

CONSTRUCTION—continued.

Trust-continued.

shares belonged to A. C. R., and could not be sold in execution of the decree as part of the estate of M. A. R. RAYNOR v. MUSSOORIE BANK

[I. L. R., 2 All., 55

Held by the Privy Connell, reversing the decision of the High Court, that the widow took an absolute interest in the property, and that no trust for the benefit of the children was created. In order to create a precatory trust the words must be such that the Court finds them to be imperative on the first taker of the property; and the subject of the gift over must be well defined and certain. Mussoorie Bank v. RAYNOR

NOR [I. L. R., 4 All., 500 : L. R., 9 I. A., 70

Expression of wish.-Bequest .- A testatrix, entitled to Government notes under a gift, coupled with the condition that she was to receive only the interest during her life, and that, after her death, the notes were to be held in trust for all her heirs, gave the following directions to S. K., whom she made her principal legatee: "I direct 8. K., under this will, to pay every month R644-1-7 (being one third of R1,983-5-4, my monthly pay allowed by the Government for notes, which are deposited) to my dependants and personal servants, be continued for ever; and also the pay of G. K. and M. A. will be defrayed for ever, i.e., generation after generation. The rest of the servants will be paid for life only." Held that these words constituted a bequest, and were not merely the expression of a wish. Also, that the bequest was not one of legacies payable out of a specified sum and no other; the statement that the monthly payments to be made amounted to one third of the sum received monthly by the testatrix, not limiting the source of the legacies. Suleman Kadr v. Dorab Alt Khan

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1: L. R., 8 I. A., 117

- Will confirming trust-deed. -Construction of deed .- Forfeiture .- S., being desirous of securing and settling his property, executed a deed of trust whereby he conveyed and assigned all his real and personal property unto trustees, upon (among others) the following trusts; that immediately after his death the trustees should convey, assign, transfer, and make over all the premises mentioned in the deed unto such person or persons as S. should, by his last will, attested by two witnesses, direct and appoint, and in default of such direction and appointment, unto the next heirs of S., their heirs and assigns, for ever, and in the meantime to pay the Government revenue out of the rents and profits of the real property, and employ the residue and accumulations as well as the produce and seenunlations of the personal property "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship of the household idols" mentioned in the deed, and pay what they considered a fair and proper sum to the wives and family of S. living at his death and resid-ing in the family dwelling-house. By his will, dated

8. CONSTRUCTION -continued.

Will confirming trust deed-continued. the same day as the deed, S. declared that he ratified and confirmed the deed, subject to such provisions as were contained in his will, which were that the trustees should not charge the fund for the maintenance of those who should not live in the family dwelling-house and for the appointment of new trustees, Held, first, upon the authority of Wilson v. Pigott, 2 Ves. Jun., that the powers of the trustees (under the deed) did not cease on the death of S., and that the directions in the will, although not strictly within the words, amounted to a good appointment in equity. so that instead of the trustees of the deed conveying the property on the death of S. to his son, they should contrive to hold subject to the trusts of the deed as modified by the will. Secondly, -that the words "in such a manner as may procure the daily worship," &c., meant in such manner as shall be

the trustees were not authorised to apply such portion of the trust funds as they in their discretion might think fit, but only such portion as was reasonably sufficient for those purposes. General debts and liabilities are not charges against property forfeited upon conviction of felony. HURRYDOS BONDRIES HAGG (ADMISSIPATION GENERAL OR

sufficient to procure the daily worship, &c., and that

BONERJEE v. HOGG (ADMINISTRATOR GENERAL OF BENGAL) 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86

- Gift of residue to a class.-Postponement of period of distribution.—Vested interests.—Succession Act, ss. 98, 101, 103.—A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust to invest and "to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto, between, or among the children of my brothers A. and B. respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,—that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively upon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the share of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or previously marrying, with benefit of survivor-ship between and among all the said sons and daughters." The testator left him surviving his two brothers and a sister, C. A. and B. both died before the eldest of the testator's nephews or nieces attained 21 or married. In a suit instituted by the widow and executrix of A, to have it declared that the above bequests were void under sections 101 and 102 of the Succession Act, and the testator died intestate as to the residue of his estate, and that she as executrix of A. was entitled to receive a one-third share of the said estate, and the accumulations thereof,-Held that the legatees took vested interests subject to be divested on death before the contingencies mentioned in the will happened; that the period of distribution alone was postponed; and that the bequests were valid. Semble,—Section 98 of the Succession Act applies only to vested interests. Maseys . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304 v. FERGUSSON . .

64. Postponement of period of distribution,—After-born child, Share of

WILL-continued

CONSTRUCTION—continued.
 Gift of residue to a class—continued.

-Lapsed bequest .- Succession Act, s. 98 .- A testator gave his residuary estate to trustees upon trust to invest and "to pay, transfer, or divide the same unto. between, or among the children of my brothers .1. and B. respectively, to be paid, transferred to, and divided among them in the proportions and at the times hereinafter mentioned,-that is to say, the share of each and every son of my said two brothers shall be double that of each and every daughter, and the shares of each son shall be paid to him or them respectively unon his or their attaining the age of 21 years, and the then his or their actioning the age of 21 years, and the shares of each daughter to be paid to her or them on her or their respectively attaining that age or pre-viously marrying, with benefit of survivorship hetween and among all the said sons and daughters." After the death of the testator, and before the period of distribution arrived, a son was born to B., and one of the sous of A, died intestate and unmarried. Held that the after-born son of B. was entitled to a double portion as one of the male children of the testator's brother, and that the share of the son of A. was divisible among the surviving male and female children in equal shares. MASEYE v. FERGUSSON . . . I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670

65. Residuary estate of moveable and immoveable property.—Claims to, against executors and trustees.—If a testator appoints persons to be his executors and trustees, and directs them to do certain acts which can only be done by the owners of his residuary estate, the trustees will take that estate, though there be no express devise to them. Theeloomasoonnery Dosese v. DEBENDROATH TAGOBE . I. L. R., 2 Cello., 45

WILLS ACT (XXV OF 1838).

s. 3.—Application of Act.—East Indians domiciled out of the jurisdiction of High Court.—The Wills Act, XXV of 1838, applied to the wills of East Indians, whether domiciled within or beyond the testamentary jurisdiction of the High Court. Hoog a Gerenwax 2 Hyde, 3

Held, on appeal, the Wills Act only applied where the High Court had an exclusive jurisdiction analogous to that of the Keckesiastical Court in England. It did not apply in the case of a person who was not entitled by birth or domicile to have applied to him the actual law of England. Therefore is did not apply to the case of an East Indian testartix (the illegitimate daughter of a Mahomedan woman) who resided and died outside the limits of the ordinary civil jurisdiction of the Court. GREENWAY 9. Hoog. Hog of WEENWAY

Bourke, A. O. C., 111: Cor., 97

WINDOWS OR DOORS, SUIT TO CLOSE-

See Cases under Jurisdiction of Civil Court—Privacy, Invasion of—

See TRESPASS-GENERAL CASES.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 411

WITHDRAWAL FROM PROSECUTION. See PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

I. L. R., 8 All., 291

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.

See Appellate Court—Objection taken FOR FIRST TIME ON APPEAL-SPECIAL CASES-WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT. [I. L. R., 3 All., 528

See COMPROMISE-REMEDY ON NON-PER-FORMANCE OF COMPROMISE.

[Agra, F. B., 1

See DIVORCE ACT, 8, 35. [9 B. L. R., Ap., 6

See PRACTICE-CIVIL CASES-AFFIDAVITS. [3 Bom., O. C., 55

See PRACTICE-CIVIL CASES-WITHDRAW-AL OF SUITS OR APPEALS . Cor., 67 [I. L. R., 7 Bom., 287

See RELINQUISHMENT OR OMISSION TO SUE FOR PORTION OF CLAIM. [I. L. R., 1 All., 324

It was formerly held in some cases that the power to allow withdrawal of suits given by the Civil Procedure Code (section 97 of Act VIII of 1859) was not applicable to suits under the Rent Act, 1859.

DOYAL CHUNDER GHOSE v. DWARKANATH MISSES [Marsh., 148: W. R., F. B., 47 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 41: 1 Hay, 347

MODHOO SOODUN MULICE v. PANCH COWRER MULICK 7 W. R., 302 BEER CHUNDER JOOBBAJ v. TARINEE CHURN Roy 11 W. R., 46

RAMANATH DUTT v. JOYKISHEN MOOKERJEE [11 W. R., 3

In other cases rent suits were held not to be excluded. RAM CHARAN BYSAK v. HARVEY

[2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. R., 373 WOOMANATH ROY CHOWDERY v. SREENATH . . . 15 W. R., 260

Since the Bengal Rent Act, 1869, however, the procedure in rent suits has been, and is now, the same as in any other suits.

- Sanction for fresh suit .-Act VIII of 1859, s. 97 .- Civil Courts had no power to sanction the bringing of a fresh suit, except under section 97, Act VIII of 1859. ARGOON SINGH v. HUREE HUR SINGH . . 14 W. R., 472 .

Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhry v. Ram Kishen PAUL CHOWDREY. GOBIND CHUNDER PAUL CHOWDERY v. RAMKISHEN PAUL CHOWDERY [2 W. R., 297

 Leave to one of several coplaintiffs to withdraw.—Consent of co-plaintiff.
—Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 373.—The proviso in the third clause of section 373 of the Code of Civil Procedure does not deprive the Court of power to permit one of several co-plaintiffs to withdraw unconditionally from a suit even though his co-plainWITHDRAWAL OF SUIT .- Leave to one of several co-plaintiffs to withdrawcontinued.

tiffs do not consent to his withdrawal. MOHAMAYA CHOWDHRAIN v. DUBGA CHURN SHAHA · [9 C. L. R., 332

 Withdrawal with consent of defendant,-Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Right to bring fresh suit.—A plaintiff filed a plaint for an account to be taken. The plaintiff withdrew the plaint, without the permission of the Court to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit. This was done for the purpose of a submission to arbitration under a deed mutually executed between the plaintiff and defendant. The deed was not acted upon. Held, reversing the decision of Macpherson, J., that the plaintiff was not debarred by section 97 of Act VIII of 1859 from bringing a fresh suit to establish the agreement for reference to arbitration, and also for the account, which was a relief to which he was entitled. section only applied to eases where the plaintiff with-draws from the suit without the consent of the defendant. Juggobundo Chatterjee v. Watson . Bourke, A. O. C., 162 & Co. .

S. C. in Court below . Bourke, O. C., 250

- Withdrawal of claim under s. 230, Act VIII of 1859 .- Right to bring subsequent suit .- In a suit to recover the possession of land of which the plaintiff had been dispossessed in execution of a decree against the first defendant, it appeared that the plaintiff had applied within one month from the date of his dispossession to the Court from which the process of execution had issued under section 230 of the Code of Civil Procedure, setting up his title, and it was numbered and registered as a suit under the section. Before the claim came on for hearing, the plaintiff was allowed by the Court to withdraw the proceeding with liberty to bring a fresh suit upon the claim set up. The plaintiff subsequently brought the present suit. Held that the former proceeding was a suit within the meaning of section 97 of the Code, and liberty having been given on its withdrawal before decree to bring another suit. the present suit was well brought. SUBBARAMIEN v. PONNUSAWMY CHETTY 5 Mad., 298

 Substitution of assignee of plaintiff's rights and allowing him to withdraw .- Power of Court .- Civil Procedure Code. 1859, s. 97 .- Where the Court allowed the purchaser of the plaintiff's rights to be substituted for him and then permitted him to withdraw the suit,-Held it was an order not within section 97, and one which the Court had no power to make. JUDOOPUTTER CHATTERJEE V. CHUNDER KANT BRUTTACHARJEE [9 W. R., 309

- Withdrawal after issue joined .- Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97 .-Failure to support claim .- A plaintiff cannot be permitted to withdraw with liberty to bring a fresh suit after issue has been joined and he has failed to WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal after issue joined—continued.

produce evidence to support his claim. MUDDUN RAM DOSS v. ISBAIL ALE CHOWDERY

[21 W. R., 291

7. Discretion of Court.—Power to interfere with discretion on appeal.—Where, after issue joined, the plaintiff was permitted to withdraw his suit, with liberty to sue again,—Held that to allow withdrawd and fresh suit at that stage was a discretion to be exercised with great caution, but the discretion having been exercised it could not be interfered with on appeal by the Judge. ORIGHT CHUNDER MENDER, F. THALOGOR DISS MONERMER.

23 W. R., 345 2 W. R., 345 3 W. R., 345

8. Withdrawal before final judgment.—Discretion of Gourt.—Power to *atorfore with discretion on appeal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1839, s. 97.—A lower Appellate Court had no power to interfere with the discretion of a first Court under section 97. Act VIII of 1859, in allowing a plaintiff, whether before or after the settlement of issues and before or after the seceptance of evidence upon the issues, at any time before final judgment, to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit in the same matter. RAM KANYE DUTT 9. HAROO CHENDEE MOTOOMARE 17 W. R., 223

9. Section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, applied only to cases where a plaintiff, before final judgment, is permitted by the Court to withdraw from his suit, with liberty to sue again. ANNND MORUW PAUL CHOWDHEW P. ARM KISHEN PAUL CHOWDHEW. GORNYD CHUNDER PAUL CHOW-DHEW O. RAMKISHEN PAUL CHOWDHEW.

[2 W. R., 297

10. Leave to bring fresh suit.— Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s 97.—Permission under section 97 to bring a fresh suit could not be given after final judgment has been pronounced. SIRGBLA NUNDUN SIRGH v. RAJCOOMAR BABOO DEO NUN-DUN SIRGH v. RAJCOOMAR BABOO DEO NUN-DUN SIRGH v. RAJCOOMAR BABOO DEO NUN-

11. Failure to proce case.—Dismissal of suit.—Procedure.—The power to dismiss a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one for the same matter, is limited to cases where the suit fails by reason of some point of form: such liberty should not be given where, after issue joined, the plaintiff has failed to make out his case. Warson v. Collection of RASHAYE.

[3 B. L. R., P. C., 48: 12 W. R., P. C., 43 13 Moore's I. A., 160

See Mona Biber v. Oomed Ali [16 W. R., 276

12. Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 373.—Ground for allowing withdraw-ad.—Quare,—Whether, under section 373 of Act X of 1877, the Court ought to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit on the ground that the defence to the suit was such that the suit must fall if proceeded with. Zahura-Uninses e. Khuda Yar Khan

[I. L. R., 3 All., 528

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT-continued.

13. Ground for allowing with-drawfal.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859. a. 97.—Lachilly to prove claim.—Where a plaintiff caked for permission to withdraw his plaint saying that it would be out of his power to adduce the cridence, which he pointed ext as existing in certain records, which the period fixed by the Court for hearing the case, the Court was competent, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to grant thus permission to withdraw the suit, Pointsi NARAIN ROY 2. SCHUY SOONDRING DEREE . 16 W. R., 100

1d. Insufficiency of residence,—In a suit by one of several sharers of cortain mortgaged property for contribution on account of payments unde by the plaintiff to present foreclosure, the Court on appeal thought the plaintiff might have produced better evidence, but his claim being a good one, it allowed him to withdraw the suit with leave to bring a fresh one. KRATOON KOONWAR B. HEMDOOT NARAN SINCH.

[20 W. R., 163

15. — Compromise of suit on appeal — Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97. — Suboquent suit on compromise. — A suit founded on a compromise, which was ontered into when special appeal may withdrawn, was not barred by section 97, Act VIII of 1859, as it was not a suit for the same matter within the meaning of that section, but if the compromise was duly made by the parties thereto, and if its terms have been broken, a party to it is entitled to maintain a suit to enforce it. Golam Singin 9. CIEDA SINGI . 3 Agra, 185

16. — Private agreement.—Restoration of swit.—Where a plaintiff filed a petition withdrawing his claim unconditionally, the suit should
be at once struck off the file. If the defendant
had entered into some private agreement and did
not fulfil the same, it might give a new right of
action to the plaintiff for enforcing that agreement,
but was no reason for setting aside the petition for
withdrawal of the suit as null and void. Survasura
BARADOR S. ARINGER ART BEG. 2. AGRA, 158

17. Suit for possession.—Subsequent swit for reat.—Civil Procedure Code, 1850, s. 27.—There was nothing in section 97, Act VII of 1859, to prevent a suitor from instituting a claim for possession, and afterwards bringing one for rent, Parkitshole Mundle Monda Monda Mundle.

[W. R., 1864, Act X, 67

18. — Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit.—Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 97. — A claim to attached property made under Act VIII of 1859, section 246, was dismissed, and the claimant, in the year 1875, instituted a regular suit against the decree-holder under the provisions of that section. The decree-holder then released the property from attachment, and the plaintiff withdrew his suit. The same property was afterwards, in the year 1875, attached again and sold in execution of the same decree. ** **Leid** that a subsequent suit for possession**

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Suit for possession after release from attachment in execution in another suit—continued.

of the property against the purchaser at the execution sale was not barred under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859. Exten Gauder Sight v. Shama Churn Bhutto, 11 Moore's I. A., 7, cited. MUKHODA SOON-DUEY DAST e. RAM CHUNN KARMOKAR

[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 871 9. Suit withdrawn under Re-

19. Suit withdrawn under Regulation law,—Civil Procedure Ood, 1859, 297.—A plaintiff without leave of the Court withdraw from a suit in 1853. He filed a fresh suit in the same cause of action in 1866. Held that he was not deburred from doing so, as the provisions of section 197 of the Code of Civil Procedure did not apply VINAYAE JOSHI v. JAMABDAY JOSHI.

[7 Bom., A. C., 23

 Nature of fresh suit.—Fresh suit filed upon a different title in existence at date of former suit .- Civil Procedure Code (XIV of 1882), s. 373 .- Practice .- The plaintiffs, who were an English joint stock company registered under the English Companies Act of 1862, sued the defendant as a past member of the bank, upon a balance order of the High Court of Justice in England, dated 24th February 1881, to recover the sum of £678-3. In February 1881, to recover the sum of 20000. An August 1882, the plaintiffs had filed a previous suit against she defendant to recover the said sum of £678-3. That suit was based upon a call order, dated 11th November 1880, which it sought to en force. By an order made in that suit on 7th April 1883, the plaintiffs were permitted to withdraw it. with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same cause of action. The present suit to enforce a balance order, dated the 24th February 1881, was filed on 11th February 1885. It was contended on behalf of the defendant that the present suit being based upon an order which was in existence at the date of the previous suit, the plaintiffs could not now sue the previous sut, the plaintiffs could not abandon the upon it; that the plaintiffs could not abandon the title upon which they claimed in the first suit, and set up a different title in the second. Held that the plaintiffs were not precluded from bringing the second suit upon the balance order, and that the suit was properly framed. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. BURJOBJI SOBABJI LYWALLA . I. L. R., 9 Bom., 346

21. — Withdrawal of suit by next friend.—Suit on behalf of a minor.—Civil Procedure Code (Act VIII of 1859), a. 37.—Frand.—
Where a Court has reason to believe that a suit is a lawfully brought by a party who has a right to bring it on behalf of a minor, any withdrawal of the suit by that party would have precisely the same effect as the withdrawal of a suit by a person of full age. But where a person acting for a minor has fraudulently withdrawn the minor's suit under section 97 of Act VIII of 1859, without obtaining leave to bring a fresh suit, and by such withdrawal an absolute statutory prohibition is imposed on the minor from bringing a fresh suit, it is open to the minor to relieve himself from the consequences the fraud in one of three ways.—tiz, (1) by an ap-

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT.—Withdrawal of suit by next friend—continued.

plication to the Court in the suit in which the withdrawal took place; (2) by a regular suit to set aside the judgment founded upon the withdrawal; or (3) by bringing a fresh suit for the same purpose, and setting up the fraud as an answer to the statutory bar. ESHAN CHUNDRA SAFOOT **. NUNDAMONI DASSEE** L. L. R., 10 Collo, 387

Withdrawal wrongly allowed .- Arbitration .- High Court's powers of revision .- Civil Procedure Code, ss. 2, 373, 588, 622. -Practice. - Notice to show cause, - Amendment of plaint .- An order under section 378 of the Civil Procedure Code permitting the withdrawal of a suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, not being made appealable by section 588, or being a "decree" within the meaning of section 2, is not appealable. When the plaintiff in a suit applies for permission to withdraw it, with liberty to bring a fresh one, such permission should not be granted without the defendant being served with notice to show cause why such permission should not be granted. L_0 , elaiming as her to H_0 , a deceased Hindu, sucd K_0 , his widow, and H_0 , a minor represented by his mother and guardian B., to have the adoption by K. of G. set aside and for certain other reliefs. The matters in difference in the suit were referred to arbitration, and an award was made in favour of the defendants. The plaintiff preferred objections to the award. Before these were disposed of K. died. The Court of first instance subsequently allowed the objections and set aside the award. The minor defendant then applied to the High Court for revision of the order setting aside the award. This application was rejected, on the ground that the order might be impugued on appeal from the deeree in the suit. The plaintiff sub-sequently applied for permission to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh one, on the ground that, K. having died, he was cutitled to possession of the immoveable property loft by H. This permis-sion was granted. The minor defendant applied to the High Court for revision. Held that it might have been a very good ground for allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit that K_{**} , the adoptive mother of the minor defendant, had died pendente lite, had no arbitration proceedings taken place in the course of the suit; but when the parties had referred their differences to arbitration, and an award had been made in favour of the defendant, and had been set aside, and an application for revision of the order setting it aside had been refused, on the ground that the matter could be made the subject of appeal from the final decree in the suit, permission to withdraw the suit and bring a fresh one should not have been granted. The minor defendant might be seriously prejudiced by such a course, and the suit had not abated against him by the death of K., while on the other hand a decree in the suit, if in his favour, would decide the litigation, and if in favour of the plaintiff, would not prevent his bringing a suit for possession on the separate cause of action which had arisen. Stahlschmidt v. Walford, L. R., 4 Q. B. D., 217, referred to: The High Court refused to allow the plaint in the suit to be amended, by the addition

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT .- Withdrawal wrongly allowed -continued.

of a claim for possession of the property left by H. KALIAN SING v. LEKHRAJ SINGH

[L. L. R., 6 All., 211

 Costs.—Power to award costs.— Withdrawal without leave. The High Court has no power, under the Civil Procedure Code, to award costs to the defendant when the plaintiff withdraws not having asked leave to do so with liberty to bring another suit for the same matter. BRASS v. TIRUVEN-GADA PILLAI . 1 Mad., 247

Power to award costs.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Where the plaintiff applied, under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, to be allowed to withdraw from the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter, the Court refused the application. Another application for leave, simply to withdraw from the suit, was granted, the Court dismissing the suit with costs. Brass v. Tiruvengadu Pallai 1 Mad., 247, dissented from. Hossaini Bibi v. Peri Khanum

[1 B. L. R., O. C., 45

Form of order. -Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97 .- A plaintiff who is permitted to withdraw from his suit under section 97, Act VIII of 1859, must pay the defendant's costs. On such withdrawal, the proper order to be recorded is not one of dismissal, but one simply permitting the plaintiff to withdraw the suit, with liberty to bring a fresh suit for the same matter ou payment of costs or otherwise as the Court may direct. DOUGETT v. WISE . . 1 W. R., 322 . 1 W. R., 322

 Payment of costs not made condition precedent to fresh suit .- Power to stay suit .- A., having brought an action against B., was allowed to withdraw, with leave to bring a fresh suit, and was also ordered to pay the costs. Held, that the payment of the costs not having in terms been made a condition precedent to bringing a fresh suit, the Court had no power to stay proceedings in the fresh suit, on the ground that the costs had not been paid. CHITTO v. MUZZUR HOSSAIN [2 Hyde, 212

Small Cause Court,-A Small Cause Court is not bound to allow a plaintiff to withdraw a suit, on the ground that he has received payment from one of the defendants in the suit, that attempt to withdraw having been made after the plaintiff had succeeded in getting a judg-ment against two defendants which had been set aside by the Court on various grounds, and a new trial ordered. In such a case the Court may permit the withdrawal of the suit upon the terms of plaintiff paying the first defendant's costs. RAMA CHAN DRA SHASTRY v. PAPU AIYAN . 3 Mad., 27

- Withdrawal of appeal.-Power of Appellate Court .- An Appellate Court has authority to permit an appeal to be withdrawn. Ram Pershad Ojha v. Bhurosa Koonwar

9 W. R., 328

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT .- Withdrawal of appeal-continued.

Permission of Court .- Notice of withdrawal .- An appellant has no right to withdraw an appeal, which has been regularly registered, without the permission of the Court. Where the appellant had given notice of the with-drawal of the appeal before the day of the hearing, and notice of withdrawal had been given to the respondent, but not until costs had been incurred,-Held that the appellant was not at liberty to withdraw the appeal, and the Court ordered that the appeal be set down for hearing. KARREN BEE E. BEEGAM BEE . 3 Mad. 268

- Withdrawal of suit on appeal.—Act XXIII of 1861, s. 37.—Power of Appellate Court.—Under section 37, Act XXIII of 1861, the High Court, upon appeal from a Judge sitting in the exercise of the ordinary original jurisdiction of the Court, had power, before pronouncing final judgment in appeal, to permit the plaintiff to withdraw from the suit with liberty to bring a fresh suit. GREGORY v. DOOLEY CHAND

[14 W. R., O. C., 17

 Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—Exercise by Appellate Court of powers under s. 37, Act XXIII of 1861.—Where application was made for leave to withdraw a suit. with leave to bring a fresh one, it being contended that the fact of a notarial protest on inland bills, and of their being in the hands of the holder without signature, was proof of dishonour; and further, that defendant being a Hindu, there was no necessity for notice of dishonour,—the Appellate Court, reversing the decision of the Court below, granted the application under the power given by section 37, Act XXIII of 1861. BOMBAY CITY BANK v. MOONJEE HURRY. . Bourke, A. O. C., 99

- Civil Propedure Code, 1859, s. 97.—The plaintiff having sued, and the issues having been laid down as though the suit was for separate possession, the decree of the lower Court for joint possession was set aside, with leave to plaintiff, under Act VIII of 1859, section 97. to bring a fresh suit for joint possession. Juggun-NATH DEB NAZIR v. MOHEBOOLLAH

117 W. R., 164

- Appellate Court, Powers of.—Discretion, Exercise of.—Civil Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 373, 582.—Where, on appeal from a decree dismissing a suit, the Appellate Court, being of opinion that the plaint was informally drawn and its allegations regarding the cause of action not sufficiently specific, gave the plaintiff permission, under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code, to under section 373 of the Civil Procedure Code, to withdraw the suit, with leave to institute a fresh one, —Held, per Straight, J., that with reference to the terms of section 582 of the Civil Procedure Code, the Appellate Court had power to avail itself of the provisions of section 373, and therefore had a discretion to make the order allowing the plaintiff to withdraw the suit and institute a fresh one. Gregory v. Dooley Chand, 14 W. R., O. C., 17; and Khatoon Koonwar v. of suit on appeal-continued.

Hurdoot Navain Singh, 20 W. R., 163, referred to. Also per Straight, J., that it could not be said that the Appellate Court in this case had exercised its discretion so unreasonably or erroneously as to compel the cretion so intreasonably of erroncously as to compete the interference of the High Court with it in appeal. Per Tyrrell, J., that it might be taken that the Appellate Court, though not so stating in express terms, meant to set aside, and did set aside, the decree of the Court of first instance, regarding it as a decree which could not have been rightly made and must be set aside, by reason of the radical defect in the plaint, the basis of the suit and the decree; and that, in this view, there was no legal objection to the exercise by the Appellate Court of the discre-tionary power of Chapter XXII of the Code. GANGA RAM v. DATA RAM . I. L. R., 8 All., 82

 Applications for execution of decree. - Civil Procedure Code, s. 374. - Withdrawal of application.-The rule laid down in section 374 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882)-that where a suit is withdrawn with leave to bring a fresh snit, the plaintiff shall be bound by the law of limitation in the same manner as if the first suit had not been brought-does not apply to applications for execution. Pirjade v. Pirjade, I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681, dissented from. TARACHAND MEGHJI v. KASHINATH TRIMBAK

[I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62

19 W. R., 14

 Revocation of withdrawal. -Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 97 .- A plaintiff who has withdrawn from his suit is at liberty to rescind the act of withdrawal at any time before final judgment, Section 97 of the Civil Procedure Code was held to be inapplicable to a case where the plaintiff reseinded after two days, a petition he had presented of withdrawal from his claim. The last clause of that section contemplated cases in which the withdrawal is not revoked. RAMBHUEOS 6 N. W., 66 LALL P. GOPER BREBER

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES.

	Col.
	6230
	6231
	6235
	6236
5. Sweaking or Affirmation of Wit-	
	6238
6. Examination of Witnesses	6238
(a) Generally (b) Cross-Examination	6238 6243
7. Consideration and Weight of Evi-	
	6244
8. Privileges of Witnesses	6246
See Ameen . 1 B. L. R., S. N.	
[9 W. F	., 83
11 W. R.,	
17 W. R.,	282

WITHDRAWAL OF SUIT .- Withdrawal | WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

See ARREST-CIVIL ARREST.

[14 B. L. R., Ap., 13 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78 4 Mad., 145

See CASES UNDER CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, s. 177 (1859, s. 170).

See CASES UNDER COMMISSION-CIVIL

See DIVORCE ACT, s. 52. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 6

See Cases under Evidence-Civit Cases -MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS - DE-POSITIONS.

See Cases under Evidence Act, 1872, s 33

See Cases under Will-Attestation.

See WILL-CONSTRUCTION. [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244

-Refusal of party to attend as-See Superintendence of High Court-CHARTER ACT, s. 15-CIVIL CASES. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

 Arbitrator,—Suit after award is set aside.-If an arbitration award is set aside and the matter is tried as a suit before the Court, the arbitrator cannot be examined as a witness as to the grounds of his decision, but only to prove any admission which may have been made before him in the eourse of the arbitration and which might be material evidence. NILMONEE BOSE v. MOHIMA CHUNDER DUTT . 17 W. R., 516

2. Attorney.—Advocate.—Competent witness.—An attorney who has acted as advoeate for one of the parties, and pleaded his case in Court, can be examined as a witness in the case. Ramfal Shaw v. Biswanath Mandal [5 B. L. R., Ap., 28

- Magistrate. - Suit for malicious prosecution,-Magistrate who held preliminary enquiry into criminal charge.—Magistrates are not incapacitated to give evidence of matters which have come before them in the course of a preliminary enquiry into a criminal charge. Held that in a suit for a malicious prosecution the defendant had a right to the evidence of the Subordinate Magistrate, who held a preliminary enquiry into a charge of forgery preferred by the defendant against the plaintiff, RAMASAMI AYYAN v. RAMU MUPAN . 3 Mad., 372

- Munsif,-Witness as to facts judicially before him .- A Munsif ought not to be called on to depose as to what took place before him in the course of a trial which he was conducting as Munsif, and he is entitled to exemption. ANONY-. 6 Mad., Ap., 42

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.

5.—Duty of Court.—Securing attendance of witnesses.—Every Court is bound to render all reasonable assistance to a party to enforce the attendance of his witnesses. NIMMONE BANKERE F. STURBO MONGOLA DEBER. 14.

6. Application for summons to cite witnesses.—A party is entitled at any stage of the case before hearing to apply for a summons to cite witnesses without reference to the number of such applications which he may have previously made, and it is the duty of the Court to comply with such application, if any time he left before the hearing of the cause. ANURCIP CHANDRA MUKHO-PADINIA. HURMANN DAS: 3 B. L. R., Ap., 38

S. C. Onogroof Chundre Mockerjee v. Heera Monee Dossee . . . 11 W. R., 418

Hari Das Baisarn v. Moazam Hossein [8 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 15 W. R., 447

7.—Power to summon witnesses.—Settlement of issues.—Act VIII of 1859 conferred no authority upon a Judge to issue summonses to witnesses to attend on the settlement of issues. The written statements must be prepared with great care and deliberation, so as to dispense altogether with parol evidence at the settlement of issues. ANUND CHUNDER BAYEMBE P. WOOMBER CHUNDER ROY

[1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15: 1 Hyde, 147 The subsequent Codes, however, expressly provide for the attendance of witnesses at a settlement of issues: see section 159, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

8. — Discretion of Court to summon witnesses.—A Judge's discretion in not compelling the attendance of witnesses named by one of the parties, must be exercised on reasonable grounds distinctly stated in the judgment. OZEEE MAHOMED E. BYDNATH DOSS CHOWNEY

[5 W. R., Act X, 6

HARA CHAND PORAMANICK v. KRISHTO MONEE GIREE 1 W. R., 298

Matungunee Dabea v. Kalee Dabea [2 W. R., 4

See NEEM CHUND DEV v. ANUND COOMAR ROY CHOWDHRY . . . 7 W. R., 147

9. Selection of suitmesses by Court.—It is not right for the lower Court
to select five out of twenty witnesses tendered for
examination. It is the bounden duty of the Judge
to receive all the evidence tendered, unless the object
of sunmoning a large number of witnesses clearly
appears to be to impede the adjudication of the case,
or otherwise to obstruct the ends of justice.
RAMDHAN MANDAL v. RAJBALLAB PARAMANIK
[6 B. L. R., Ap., 10]

10. Power to refuse to summon witness.—A Court has no power to refuse to summon witnesses when expressly requested by a party to do so unless the witnesses are required to be summoned in such a manner, or in such numbers, as

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Discretion of Court to summon witnesses —continued.

11. Refract to summon witnesses.—Reasons for refusing application to apprece attendance.—It is not incumbent on a Court to give detailed legal reasons for its refusal to comply with an application to enforce the attendance of a party to a suit as a witness. SIDDIESSIBLEE DEMM F. DETABLEMBER OF W. R., 65 CM. R., 65 CM.

12. — Preliminaries to summoning witness.—Meterialty of evidence.—Before the Court makes an order compelling the attendance of a party to the suit, it must be satisfied that his evidence will be material. GODAL CHUNDER HAZEAR P. MOMESH CHUNDER HAZEAR P. MOMESH CHUNDER BANEAUER 21 W. R., 24

18. Summoning plaintiff as witness.—Reasons for summons.—Into of Court.—Atlateriality of evidence.—A Court is bound, before summoning a plaintiff to give evidence, to record the reasons of its being satisfied that the evidence of the plaintiff is essential to the defendant's case. Where, however, the Court does not give reasons for being satisfied that the presence of the plaintiff is necessary, it does not follow that the defendants had failed to satisfy the Court that there was smiticient ground for the application. Makoond Addr c. Suttoomgatum Addr. (17 W. R., 507

14. Application to summon witnesses,—Witnesses declared unnecessary by Court,—Where on a case coming on for hearing before a Court to which it had been remanded, the Judge observed that the evidence of witnesses would be unnecessary, the declaration was held to have sufficiently justified the plaintiffs in making no further application for a summons on their witnesses. RAM JEWEN SIYME 19. RADMA PERSHAD SINGE

[16 W. R., 109

15. Undertaking to bring voitnesses.—Practice.—On the 12th October 1870 the plaintiff applied to the Court for subpaces to his witnesses. The Court refused to grant them, on the ground that the plaintiff land hinself originally undertaken to bring his witnesses. (The Court had fixed the 28th October 1870 for the final hearing of the plaintiff's case.) Held that the plaintiff stillure to bring his witnesses was no sufficient reason for depriving him (the plaintiff) of his right to have subpenus issued, if he found hinself unable to bring his witnesses or to detain them till they could be examined, although it might possibly be, under certain circumstances, a reason for not waiting for them, if the plaintiff's case had been in other respects finished before they could be examined. PANDURANG ANPAT C. KESHANYI JADIAY-II. L. B., 6 Bom., 742

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

16. — Time for summoning witnesses.—Daty of Court.—The Civil Procedure Code neither expressly nor implicitly declares that witnesses must be summoned before the day fixed for the first hearing of the suit. So long as the party who wishes to summon witnesses has not closed his case, the Court is bound to summon them, unless it appears that the application is made so late that the witnesses cannot be reasonably expected to attend in time to be examined before that party's case closes. INDIG CHUNDER BAROO & DUNNOF

[9 W. R., 530

17. Ground for refusal to summon witnesses.—Obligation of Court to assist party.—Delay in giving names of intended witnesses.—Where an appellant delayed to give in the names of his witnesses, but would yet larve been within reasonable time to secure their attendance on the day of hearing if aumonases had been sent through different peous by the railway.—Held that the lower Appellate Court was bound to have directed the issue of the summonses, and to have directed the issue of the summonses, and to have given every assistance to the party asking for them, all additional expenses being paid by such party. PRABES MORUN MOOKERSES WARDES GROSSINE G

[9 W. R., 489

18. Omission of proper steps to obtain attendance of witnesses. Where some of the witnesses (defendants) in a suit had been examined, and plaintiff petitioned the Court to have the remaining defendants examined as witnesses, he was held not to have taken the necessary steps required by law to enforce their attendance, because he did not make any special application to the Court, or show sufficient grounds in support of his petition. RAM TURUL THAROOR 8. ODDIT NARIM STRUIT.

18. Procedure under Dekkan Agriculturists Act, XVII of 1879, s. 7.— Right of defendant to call witnesses.—The plaintiff sucd, nuder section 3, clause (a) of Act XVII of 1878, for more due on a bond dated the 8th September 1877. The defendant, though duly summond, did not appear on the day fixed in the summons, which was for the fluid disposal of the suit. The Court, therefore, proceeded with it exparts. The defendant, being subsequently summoned and examined as a witness under section 7 of the Act, admitted the band sucd upon, but pleaded part-payment of the plaintiff's claim. He then applied to the Court that his witnesses should be summoned, and that their evidence be taken in support of his allegation. The Subordinate Judge was of opinion that he (defendant) was not entitled to offer the evidence. On his referring the case to the High Court.—Held that it was his duty to summon the witnesses named by the defendant. DUILICHAND N. DIGONIT

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 184

20. — Civil Procedure Code, 1877, s. 187.—Summons to produce documents, WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Ground for refusal to summon witnesses —continued.

—In all cases in which parties apply for a summons to compel the attendance of witnesses, by a summons to produce documents, or apply to have a document sent for nuder section 187 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the Court ought not to refuse such application merculy because in its opinion the witnesses cannot be present, or the documents cannot be produced, before the termination of the trial. KRISENA CHURN BAISAGE O. PROTAD CHUNDER SURBLE

[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 560

21. — Ground for adjournment of suit.—Delay in making application to summon witnesses.—Discretion of Court.—If a party applies for summons to witnesses so late that he cannot bring the witnesses on the day of hearing, it still remains in the discretion of the Court to decide whether or no the case should be adjourned. A Munsif is bound under the Procedure Code to issue summonses to witnesses when asked for. ABDOOL KADIR v. ABIN MINDIA. — 24 W. R., 280

22.

Code, 1882, ss. 159 and 167.—Summoning voltnesses.
—Delay in serving summonses.—Under section 159 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Act XIV of 1882), parties are entitled to summonses for their witnesses at any time before the final hearing, but if there has been delay and want of diligence in consequence of which witnesses, not having been served in good time, are not present, the Court may properly refuse to adjourn the hearing. KATI ARMED e. KATI MATAMAD [I. L. R., 9 Bom., 808 Bom.

 Power to dismiss suit.—Dismissal of suit on ground of there not being time after filing of list to summon witnesses. - Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 149; 1877, s. 159. - The 20th of March 1877 having been fixed for the final hearing of a suit, the plaintiff, on the 17th of March, and the defendant on the 19th, filed their lists of witnesses to be summoned. Both lists were ordered merely to be put up with the record. When the suit came on for hearing, it was dismissed on the ground that, when the plaintiff filed his list there was not sufficient time left to summon the witnesses. Held that the Judge was not justified in dismissing the suit on this ground, unless he found that it would have been absolutely impossible to secure the attendance of the witnesses had the summonses been granted on the 17th instant. Section 149 of Act VIII of 1859, and section 159 of Act X of 1877, discussed. RAJENDRO NARAIN NEOGI v. KUMUD NARAIN BHUP

[3 C. L. R., 569

24. Issue of fresh subponas to witnesses.—Re-heaving of ex parte case under s. 119, Civil Procedure Code, 1559.—Quere.—Where, either under section 119, Code of Civil Procedure, or in the exercise of a power of preview, a suit is restored to its original position, is the plaintiff bound to obtain and issue fresh subponas? BISHEN PERKASH SYMON. SYMON. SYMON. SCHIMA 20 W. R., 3

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

25. — Service of subpoenas.—
Liability for non-service.—After a list of witnesses has been filed and the tullubana paid, the Court's officers, not the applicant, are responsible for the service and return of notice. MUSSIVEE KHANUM v. HOOKOOM BIBEE . . 15 W. R., 88 .

- Form of summons .- Omission to state place of attendance.—A summons should state the place of attendance. ANONYMOUS 7 Mad., Ap., 14

. 7 Mad., Ap., 43 RUOMYMOUS See Section 163, Civil Procedure Code, 1882.

27 _ Summoning public officer as a witness. - In fixing the time for the attendance of a public officer as a witness, or in granting an adjournment for that purpose the fullest consideration must be given to the exigencies of the public duties of the officer summoned, ANONYMOUS 6 Mad., Ap., 6

- Issue of warrant on nonattendance of witness .- Warrant of arrest for witnesses not attending .- Verbal order to attend .-A verbal order of the Court to witnesses requiring them to attend on a future day would not justify the issuing of a warrant for the apprehension of such witnesses in case they failed to attend in obedience to such verbal order. VENKATAPPAH v. PA-. 5 Mad., 132 PAMMAH

Anonymous case 6 Mad., Ap., 10

See, however, ANONYMOUS CASE [5 Mad., Ap., 15

3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES.

 Right to be paid expenses. - Omission to apply for expenses before giving evidence. A witness is entitled to be paid his expenses by the party at whose instance he has been summoned, although he has not applied for them before giving his evidence. LONDON, BOMBAY, AND MEDITERRANEAN BANK, v. MAHOMED IBRAHIM PAR-. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619

- Suitable expenses. -Persons of rank and wealth .- People of rank and wealth when summoned as witnesses to a distance from their place of residence, are entitled to travelling and other expenses suitable to their circumstances. CHUNDER SEKHUR DEE v. JADUB CHUN-DER SETT . . 19 W. R., 78

- Payment of expenses into Court.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 151.—Under section 151, Act VIII of 1859 (extended to Revenue Courts by section 67, Act X of 1859), the defendant was not bound to pay into Court the costs of summoning and defraving the expenses of the witnesses, until the Court had fixed what was reasonable, MOUUN MUNDUR v. BRIJ BHOOKUN SINGH 19 W. R., 128 WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

3. EXPENSES OF WITNESSES-continued.

- Power to order evidence to be taken .- Omission to tender expenses .- Evidence of tender of expenses,-Where there was no proof that a defaulting witness's expenses were tendered to him by the party at whose instance he was summoned, the Court on appeal declined to order that witness's evidence to be taken or to take it itself. Ishen Chunder Sen v. Onath Nath Deb. COWELL v. ISHEN CHUNDER SEN . 18 W. R., 16

- Amount of expenses .- Compensation for loss of time.—Act VIII of 1859 made no provision for compensation to witnesses for loss of time. NAWAR NAZIM OF BENGAL T. PROSONO NA-RAIN DEB . . . 2 Hyde, 236

- Provision for expenses .-Suit for expenses.—Cause of action.—No action for the expenses of a witness will lie. Explanation of the mauner of providing for the payment of such expenses. DE SARAN v. HURRISH CHUNDER BISWAS [5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES.

 Non-attendance of witnesses on summons.—Duty of parties.—Commission. -When witnesses do not appear after service of summons, it is the duty of the party requiring their evidence, and not of the Court, to move for further mensures to be taken to secure their attendance; and when a Commission is issued for the examination of witnesses, the Court must be moved to wait for the return. NUND MORUN CHOWDRRY v. GOLUCK NATH NEOGRE . 11 W. R., 99

- Duty of parties. —Issue of attachment.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.—Where witnesses do not appear on summons, it is for the parties to move the Court, not for the Court to proceed, suo motu, to further the production of the witnesses, though the Court may issue attachment under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, if it is shown that the witnesses are absconding or keening out of the way. BACHMAN v. LALL BRHAREE PANDEY 13 W. R., 324 .

- Order for arrest of witness. -Cinil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168.-Proceedings against witnesses absent who have been summoned. Where a lower Appellate Court, by the terms of its order on a petition for the apprehension of witnesses, under section 168, Code of Civil Procedure, undertook to see that proper orders should be passed, it was bound to pass such orders as might, in its judicial discretion, be necessary under that section. MOHADER SHARA v. SHEO STROY GEER . 9 W. R., 359 SHAHA v. SHEO SUHOY GEER .

→ Witness making default in appearing .- Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 168 .- Ground for issue of warrant .- Section 168 of the Civil Procedure Code required that there should appear to the Court to be satisfactory ground for believing that the default on the part of witnesses summoned to give evidence was without lawful excuse

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES-continued.

Order for arrest of witness-continued.

before issuing a warrant for the arrest of such wit-But it was not necessary for this purpose to institute a formal investigation and come to a determination on the evidence adduced. PERIVANNA . 5 Mad., 104 CHERTY v. GOVINDA GOUNDEN

- 89. _____ Issue of proclamation against absent witness,—Materiality of evidence .- Ground for non-attendance .- A Court was held to be not bound to issue a proclamation against absent witnesses in a case where it was not satisfied that the witnesses were material, or that they had really abscouded to avoid attendance. Виоовим MOYEE DOSSEE v. KISHOBEE DOSSEE 6 W. R., 235
- Application for process against absconding witness.— Ground for not granting application.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159, 168.—On application being made under sections 159 and 168 of Act VIII of 1859 for issue of process against an absconding witness, the Court if satisfied (as it was bound to be) that the witness had absconded, and that he was a material witnessought to grant the application nuless the applicant had placed himself in such a position by his conduct that it would be inequitable to grant it. RAJOO SINGH V. LALLA BALGOBIND LAL 1 W. R., 26
- Notice of proclamation.— Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 159 and 168 .- Service of proclamation.—The proclamation issnable under section 159, Act VIII of 1859, could not be legally section 105, its virto is 105, count not be regardy affixed to the mal cutcherry of a defaulting witness. Before the provisions of that section can come into play, personal service of summons must be attempted. In the absence of process of legal service, the Magistrate's order of imprisonment for contempt, under section 174 of the Penal Code, and section 168 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, was quashed, QUEEN v. HURYNATH CHOWDHRY 7 W. R., Cr., 58

- Discretion of Court as to issue of proclamation,—Proclamation against absent witness.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159. -Section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, gives a Civil Court a discretion as to the issue of proclamation and subsequent orders for attachment; but such Court is bound to exercise a reasonable discretion. PORAN CHUNDER GHOSE v. GOPEE NATH SINGH

[8 W. R., 505

Ground for issue of proclamation .- Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159. -A Court was not authorised to issue the proclamation and attachment mentioned in section 159, Code of Civil Procedure, unless it was proved to its satisfaction that the evidence of the witness was material. and that he was avoiding the summons; and after these circumstances have been shown, it was a matter of discretion to issue the proclamation and attach-ment, and after issue to let the case stand over. KALEE DASS CHUCKERBUTTY v. ESHAN CHUNDER CHATTERJEE . . 13 W. R., 416 WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.

4. ABSCONDING WITNESSES-continued.

- Ground for postponement of case.—Application for process against absent witness made at late stage of case.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 159 .- Where an application was made at a very late stage of a case to enforce the provisions of section 159 of the Code of Civil Procedure, without proffer of any proof that the witness was abscouding or keeping out of the way for the purpose of avoiding the service of the summons, the lower Appellate Court was held to have been justified in not postponing the case to secure the attendance of the witness, although material. AJOODHYA Doss v. MISRUN . 15 W. R., 176

Fine for avoiding service of summons.—Act XIX of 1853, s. 28.—Act X of 1861.—Section 28 of Act XIX of 1853 baving been repealed by Act X of 1861, a Judge had no jurisdiction, under Act VIII of 1859, to inflict a fine for the purpose of punishing a witness who absconded, or kept out of the way, to avoid service of summons. IN BE GAJADHAR PRASAD NARAYAN SINGH 1 B, L, R., A, C., 186

S. C. GUJADHUB PERSHAD NABAIN SINGH v. JUG-. 10 W. R., 233 DEO NARAIN

5. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

 Objection to take oath. Member of Church of England.—Stat. 17 and 18 Vict., c. 125.—A member of the Church of England is not exempt by law from taking an oath in a Court of Justice in India, although he may entertain sincere objections against taking an oath on the Bible, and is willing to make an affirmation binding upon his conscience. The English Statute 17 and 18 Victoria, Cap. 125, does not apply to India. . . 2 Mad., 246 MUDALI v. SOWERBY .

Where a Mahomedan witness stated that he had no objection to oaths in general, but that he was suffering from a disease which disqualified him from taking an oath on the Koran until purification,-Held that the witness must be sworn in the regular way or not at all. Ano-NYMOUS CASE . 1 Mad., 99, note

6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

(a) GENERALLY.

- Selection of witness,-Duty of parties .- It is not the business of a Court to determine what witnesses shall be examined. The parties must select their own witnesses, and call upon the Court to examine such of them as they may offer for examination, and it is their own fault if they do not take the necessary steps to have the witnesses examined, or to compel them to be present for examination at the proper time. MORNO MOYEE DEBRE v. BREEM KOOMAR CHOWDERY . 6 W. R., 231

DEEN DYAL SINGH v. DANEE ROY [13 W. R., 185

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

49. Right to have witnesses examined.—Ground jew regions of bear witness.—Opinion of Court as to materiality of evidence.—Every party to a suit is entitled to harve all the witnesses whom he desires to call, and is ready at the trial to produce, heard by the Court, whatever opinion the Court may form by anticipation as to the probable value of the evidence when it shall be given. LOGIOO SIGHU. R. RAJINDEL LAMA.

[8 W. R., 364

PORAN CHUNDER GHOSE v. GOPEENATH SINGH
[8 W. R., 505
CHOWDHEY KHOORGO ROY v. SHIR TOURS, POY

Chowdhey Khoongo Roy v. Shib Tohul Roy [17 W. R., 172

50. Ground of special appeal.—Omission of Court to examine witness.

—As a general rule, all the witnesses brought forward by a party ought to be examined. But when an objection is made in special appeal that the Judge below has omitted to examine certain witnesses, it ought to be shown that the evidence of those witnesses would have been material to the case, NILLANTH STHEMAH OR SOUSELA DEBIA. 6 W R., 224 W.R., 224 W.R.,

51. Went of opportunity to adduce evidence, Proof of.—Tender and rejection of witness.—In order to establish such a plea as that he was not allowed an opportunity to adduce evidence a party must show that he tendered witnesses or other evidence, and that his tender was rejected on the ground alleged. BUKSHALI SOWDAGUE S. JOYANUT KHAN . 11 W. R., 248

Chunder Nath Sein v. Anundmoyee Dossee [11 W. R., 289

QUEEN v. TOTABAM . 11 W. R., Cr., 15

52. Refusal to examine witness.—Ground for rejacat—Omission from list of witnesses.—The fact of a witness not having been named in the plaintiff's list of witnesses is no ground for refusing to examine him when produced at the proper time. RAKHAL DOSS MENDEL 9. PROPAR CHYNDER HAZZAH . 12 W. R., 455

53. Refusal of scholl request of salvil.— Ground of special appeal.—
Where a lower Appellate Court's refusal to examine witnesses in a suit for damages for assault is made a ground of special appeal, it is not sufficient to put in an mildavit to the effect that a verbal request of the vakil to examine the witnesses was refused by the Judge. RAMESSUR BRUTTACHARMER e. SRIB NARALN CHROKERBUTTY. 1.4 W.R., 4.19

54. Additional witnesses to facts already in evidence.—Tender of large
number of witnesses.—Ground for remand.—In a suit
for possession of zemindari and other estates claimed
by the plaintiff as son and heir of the deceased zemindar, the defendants denied the title of the plaintiff,
alleging that hewas a spurious and suppositions child.

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.
6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.
(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Refusal to examine witness-continued.

and tendered fifty-eight witnesses to prove that fact, The Zillah Court having taken the depositions of thirty of these witnesses refused to permit the remaining twenty-eight to be examined, on the ground that as they were going to prove the facts deposed to by those already examined, it was unnecessary to take their depositions, and ultimately decided in favour of the plaintiff. The defendants appealed to the Sudder Court, which refused to examine the witnesses rejected by the Zillah Court, and affirmed the decree of that Court. On appeal to Her Majesty in Council, the Judicial Committee remitted the case back to the Sudder Court, being of opinion, that the refusal by that Court to permit the examination of the witnesses tendered was irregular, and that no decision could be come to upon the merits under such circumstances. JESWUNT SINGJEE UBBY SING-JEE v. JET SINGJEE UBBY SINGJEE

[2 Moore's I. A., 424: 6 W. R., P. C., 46

55.— Ground for remand.—A lower Court having allowed some of the
witnesses of the plaintiff to depart without taking
their evidence, the plaintiff objected to its taking
the evidence of more of the defendant's witnesses
than of his own. Upon this the Court allowed some
of the defendant's witnesses to leave the Court without examining them. The case on coming up to the
High Court was remanded for examination of all the
remaining witnesses and a fresh decision. GOPER
OJITA V. HUR GORNED SIXWI. 12 W.R., 229

56. Application to recamine after consent to allow evidence in one sait to be evidence in others.—Five suits lawing been brought to recover a balance of accounts from defendants, who were alleged to be partners of a trading concern, and as such liable, certain witnesses were examined in four of the cases in which the plaintiff in one of the suits was not a party, and at his request the evidence taken in those cases was allowed to be used as evidence in his case, and then the vitnesses were discharged. Two days after this he applied to have the witnesses reexamined, giving no reason for his application, which was refused. Held that the refusal was justified in the absence of any new reason for the re-examination. Simplement

57. — Death before delivering legal judgment.—Obligation to hear witnesses again.—Consent of parties.—A suit was dismissed by a Deputy Collector, who died before recording a legal judgment, whereupon it was made over by the lower Appellate Court for trial to the deceased officer's successor, who decided the case in favour off the plaintiff upon the evidence as it stood on the record without any objection by either party. Held that it was not the duty of the second Deputy Collector to remand the witnesses or to take additional evidence, unless requested to do so by the parties. GOUE CHUNDEE SEN v. MANICK RAM

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.
6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.
(a) Generally-continued.

58. Escall of witness.—Witness for plaintiff recalled for defendant.—Leave of Court.—When a witness has been examined on behalf of the plaintiff he caunot be recalled as a witness for the defendant without leave obtained at the end of the first examination. MAGNIFYOSH s. NO-DINNOSEY DOSSEE 2. Ind. Jur., N. S., 160

50. Examination of witnesses by Appellate Court.—Courts should in all cases exercise the powers with which they have been entrusted by the law in the examination of witnesses, if they see that they are not properly examined through the incompotency of those who have the management of the suits. If the Munisf fails to take proper evidence, the Appellate Court should not decide the case on such evidence as there is, but having the power to call for further evidence under section 355, Act VIII of 1359, it should take proper means for making the evidence complete. RAM-GATI c. IMITABLI BANES. 1 B. L. R., S. N., 20 (10 W. R., 380 (10 W. R., 380 (10 W. R.))

60. Mode of taking evidence.— Observations on the improper manner in which the evidence in cases is generally taken in the subordinate Courts, and in which it was taken in this case. PHUL KEAR 6. SERAAN PANDEY

[I. L. R., 4 All., 249

 Irregularity in examination of witness.—Witness for plaintiff examined in absence of defendant or his pleaders.—Irregularity.— Objection not taken in time. - Evidence Act, s. 167 .-The examination of a material witness of the plaintiff, in the absence of the defendant, his vakil having been removed, and no other vakil then acting for him, is such an irregularity as, if objected to at the proper time, would be fatal to the reception of such evidence. But where no objection was urged during the trial, or until an appeal was interposed, the Judicial Committee held that the objection came too late and could not be sustained, as, notwithstanding such irregularity and miscarriage, that fact did not taint the whole proceedings so as to prevent the plaintiff recovering upon the other evidence which was sufficient to establish his case. But although the other evidence rendered the evidence improperly admitted immaterial, the Judicial Committee, us there had been an irregularity in the Court below in affirming the judgment, refused to give the costs of the appeal. BOMMARAUZE BAHA-DUB v. RANGASAMY MUDALY

[6 Moore's I. A., 232

62. Evidence given without cross-examination and without opportunity of cross-examination.—Evidence given when a party never had the opportunity either to examine or to cross-examine the witnesses, or to rebut their testimony by fresh evidence, is not legally admissible for or against him, unless he consents that it should be so used. Gobachiand Sirkar v. Ram Nahain Chowdhirk.

WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued.
6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) Generally—continued.

63. Evidence to contradict witness.—Contradictors of witness to collateral questions.—Right to call evidence to contradict.—The rule limiting the right to call evidence to contradict witnesses on collateral questions excludes all evidence of facts which are incapable of affording any reasonable presumption or inference as to the principal matter in dispute, the test being whether the fact is one which the party proposing to contradict would have been allowed himself to prove in evidence. Gellam Alli Din Kazi Ismail 2. Aga Khan 6 Bom, O. C. 93

64. Evidence of experts.—Proof of signatures.—In a suit for arrows of rain for 1273 at an enhanced rate, plaintiff relied upon an agreement sail to have been executed by defendant in that year. The Assistant Collector found that the agreement had not been executed by defendant. On appeal, the Judge called an expert who proved that the signatures of the attesting witnesses were not all genuine, and the decision of the Assistant Collector was affirmed. Held that the Judge was wrong in calling for and acting upon the evidence of the expert. BINDÉSUBLE DUTT SINGH v. DOMA SINGH

65. Consent to be bound by particular witness,—Evidence not legally admissible.—An à priori consent to abide by the testimony of a certain witness caunot bind the consenting party to hearsay testimony, but only to such cyidence as is legally admissible.—i.e., evidence as to such facts as the witness can directly speak to. LUCKEEMONEE DOSSEE v. SHUNKURREE DOSSEE

[2 W. R., 252

MUNNOO SINGH v. AMBUT LALL . 5 W. R., 234

66, Witness sworm in particular manner.—Where the plaintiff rested her claim solely on the deposition of the defendant to be taken by his placing his hand on a particular text of the Koran, and the defendant not being examined in that way but in the usual way, did not prove the claim,—Held that the Court was not right in allowing the plaintiff to examine further witnesses and to reopen her case. MAROMED SALER v. MURLIMONINISA.

10 W. R. 284 C.

67. Plaintiff agreeing to be bound by defendant's evidence.—Statements
obviously untrue.—Where the defendant on examination makes statements which amount to nothing
and are manifestly untrue, the plaintiff cannot be
bound by them, even though he had agreed to be
bound by what the defendant said. GOORODOSS
ROY R. GREEDURE SITS 11 W.R., 110

68. Subsequent refusal.—Duty of Court.—Where a defendant, after asking the lower Appellate Court to summon plaintiff as a witness, and consenting to abide by his deposition, land again petitioned the Court that the plaintiff

(a) GENERALLY -continued.

Evidence of experts -continued.

should not be examined,—Held that defendant should not have been bound solely and absolutely by the plaintiff's deposition, but that the other evidence on the record should also have been considered. JUG-DEO SINGH C. MOLAZIM HOSSEIN . 13 W. R., 108

(b) CROSS-EXAMINATION.

69. Right to cross-examine. Witness called by the Court. A witness called by the Court is liable to be cross-examined by any of the parties to a suit. TARINI CHARAN CHOWDERY v. SARODA SUNDARI DASI

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 145: 11 W. R., 468

Witness called by Court .- A party summoned by the Court to give evidence is not only required to give answers to the questions put to him by the Court, but the opposite party has a right to cross-examine him. The statement of any person examined is not admissible unless the opposite party has had the opportunity of cross-examining him. Gooroodess Roy v. Greedhur . 11 W. R., 110 SEIN .

SHURFURAZ MOLLAH v. DHUNOO 716 W. R., 257

parately represented .- One co-defendant, whose interests are separately represented, may cross-examine another. NARASIMMA v. KISTNAMA . 1 Mad., 456

Recall of witnesses .- Omission to give opportunity for cross-, examination .- A Court of first instance decreed a case ex parte in favour of the plaintiff, and at a rehearing did not recall the plaintiff's witnesses, whom, therefore, the defendant had no opportunity to crossexamine, and again gave a decree for the plaintiff. The lower Appellate Court rejected the evidence of plaintiff's witnesses, and reversed the decree. Held that the Court of first instance should have recalled the plaintiff's witnesses, and given the defendant an opportunity of cross-examination. RAM BAKS LALL v. KISHOBI MOHAN SHAHA [3 B. L. R., A. C., 273: 12 W. R., 130

- Refusal to allow eross-examination .- Act VIII of 1859, s. 170 .- A

defendant failed to appear when ordered to attend under section 170, Act VIII of 1859. The Judge did not at once pass judgment against him, but called the plaintiff's witnesses, and refused to allow the defendant's vakil, who was present, to cross-examine them. Held that the Judge ought to have allowed the defendant's vakil to cross-examine the plaintiff's witnesses. PAKAKTAR v. JAKRIRAM BHAKAT . . . 2 B. L. R., Ap., 12

 Refusal of witness to answer questions on cross-examination .- Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 169, - "Lawful excuse." - WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued. 6. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(h) CEOSS-EXAMINATION -continued.

Refusal of witness to answer question on cross-examination -continued.

A party to a suit tendering himself as a witness, and declining without lawful excuse to answer questions put on cross-examination, was liable to be dealt with under section 169 of the Civil Procedure Code.
"Without lawful excuse" means such an excuse as would in law justify the refusal to give evidence. LEKH RAJ v. PALEE RAM

[1 N. W., 162 : Ed. 1873, 241

7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

- Credibility of witnesses.-Power to set aside decision on evidence. The cradibility of witnesses is a matter altogether for the Court of first instance and the Court which hears a regular appeal; and if these Courts are satisfied that the witnesses are not to be believed, their decision cannot be set aside by the High Court on special appeal. even though upon a general view of the case it should think that, if it had tried the case originally, it might have come to a different conclusion. GOURER PER-SHAD KOONDOO v. PRANNATH SURMAH

10 W. R., 365

- Mode of testing credibility .- Several witnesses to same facts .- In examining evidence, with a view to test whether several witnesses who bear testimony to the same facts are worthy of credit, it is important to see whether they give their evidence in the same words, or whether they substantially agree, not, indeed, concurring in all the minute particulars of what passed, but with that agreement in substance, and that variation in unimportant details, which are usually found in witnesses intending to speak the truth, and not tutored to tell a particular story. NANA NARAIN RAO v. HURREE PUNTH BRAO [Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96

- Witnesses called to support case giving evidence contrary to it .- A* party who calls a witness to give evidence on his behalf is not necessarily bound by his evidence; but if the evidence is at variance with the truth of his case (e.g., if a witness called to prove execution of a document swears that it was not executed and has the means of knowing the fact), it throws a suspicion on the case which renders the clearest testimony necessary to establish its truth. FUZERLUN BEEBEE v. OMDAH . 10 W. R., 469 BEEBEE .

- Credit on other matters of witnesses supporting a false case .- Although it does not necessarily follow that where a witness gives evidence on a particular fact in a case and that fact is found against his evidence, he is to be entirely disbelieved on the other parts of the case he has spoken to, yet where witnesses who were not merely giving an opinion upon an isolated fact in the case, but came into Court to prove the whole case WITNESS-CIVIL CASES -continued. 7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE-continued.

Credibility of witnesses -continued

made by the plaintiffs, and that a very special case and it is shown to be a case false in its material features, much reliance cannot be placed on their evidence as to any particular questions in the case. HABDEBOOLLAH v. GOUNUB ALLY KHAN 118 W. R., P. C., 523

 Ground for refusal to consider evidence.-Non-production of best evidence.-The principle that a plaintiff is bound to produce the best evidence in his power was held not to justify a Judge in omitting to consider the weight and legal effect of the remaining witnesses, when plaintiff had failed to produce the most important witness. LATORE MISTREE v. AGAMUDDEE NUSHYO 14 W. R., 482

Mode of weighing evidence. - Consideration of motives for bringing a suit. —Where the evidence in support of a case is doubtful, the Court, in weighing that evidence, may properly take into consideration the motives imputed to the plaintiff as having induced him to sue. . 3 N. W., 303 v. FURZIND ALI .

- Estimating value of evidence. Witness swearing affirmatively to fact. —In estimating the value of evidence, the testimony of a person who swears positively that a certain conversation took place, is of more value than that of one who says that it did not. CHOWDIRY DEBY PERSAD v. CHOWDERY DOWLUT SINGH

[6 W. R., P. C., 55: 3 Moore's I. A., 347

· Credit of witness, a servant or dependant of plaintiff .- The circumstance of a witness being a servant or a dependant of the plaintiff does not of itself disentitle him to credit. SHOOBUL CHUNDER KULLEAR v. KOYLASH CHUNDER MAL . . 14 W. R., 23

83. Rejection of evidence unnecessarily and unjustifiably. Held by NORMAN, J., that the Judge was not at liberty to reject, as matters which he could wholly leave out of consideration, any of the evidence before him in a case where the witnesses were unimpeached in their general character and uncontradicted by any testimony on the other side, and where there was no improbability in the facts which they related, and that the probative force arising from concurrent testimony was the compound ratio of the probabitestimony was the compound rame of the problem of the testimonies taken singly.

RADHA KANT
Turn n. KHERGA DOSSEE . 7 W. R., 105

Evidence of witnesses found unreliable in criminal case .- A Judge was held to have done wrong in throwing out the evidence of witnesses tendered by the defendant in a civil action merely because they have been found antrustworthy when examined with reference to a charge of breach of trust against the same defendant in a criminal case. LALL CHAND ROY v. BRINDA-BUN CHUNDER ROY . . . 13 W. R., 226 WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued. 7. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE -continued.

Estimating value of evidence-continued.

- Evidence of person who has been convicted of perjury or other offence .- The evidence of a person who has been punished for perjury or of a person who has been convicted of a criminal offence can hardly be entitled to the credit that would be given to the testimony ofa person against whom no such imputation can be brought. Doongun Bal v. Doorga Rai . 2 N. W., 97

- Evidence of truth of witness .- The observation that the evidence of a witness proves too much is not rebutted by the suggestion that it cannot be supposed that the witness was suborned, for, if he was possessed of common shrewdness, he would not have overdone the thing and then have given rise to such an objection. SOORIAH Row v. Cotaghery Boochiah [5 W. R., P. C., 127: 2 Moore's I. A., 113

- Credibility of witnesses. - Professional witness. - Witnesses in former cases .- The Privy Council, referring to the generality of the Principal Sudder Ameen's observations as to certain witnesses having given evidence in other cases, observed that, though it was a legitimate objection to a man's credit that he was a professional witness, yet to state broadly and generally that a witness had given evidence in other cases, and therefore became unworthy of credit, could only tend to increase the indisposition of respectable persons to come into Court as witnesses, which was one of the social evils of India. LALL BEHAREE LAIL v. . 18 W. R., P. C., 285 GOPEE BEEBEE

- Discrepancies in statements of witnesses .- Discrepancies in an account of what took place in a conversation are not a suffieient ground for disbelieving statements made by different witnesses. BHAJU SING v. KAIFNATH TEWARI . . . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 382

- Ground for discrediting witness .- A bare allegation by a defendant in his written statement, without any proof in sup-port of it, that a certain person is his inveterate enemy, is not sufficient to discredit that person's testimony. Kasinath Shaha v. Dwarkanath . 9 B. L. R., 215: 17 W. R., 550 SIRKAR ,

8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES.

- Exemption from appearance in Court. Natives of rank, Prejudices of to appear in Court .- The prejudices of natives of rank to appear as witnesses in a Court of Justice will not be allowed to relax the rule that the best evidence must be produced of which the case is susceptible. Ram Mohun Mookerjee v. Nursing Deb . 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 63: W. R., F. B., 54

NURSING DEB v. RAM MOHUN MOOKERJEE [Marsh., 176: 1 Hay, 379 WITNESS-CIVIL CASES-continued. 8. PRIVILEGES OF WITNESSES-continued, Exemption from appearance in Courtcontinued.

See MANICKBAM v. RAMYAD RAM

72 W. R., 63 RADHA KISTO SINGH DEO v. GUDADHUR BAN-. . . . 8 W. R., 453

KALEE CHUNDER CHOWDERY v. SPRUT SOON-DUREE DEBIA . . 18 W. B., 45

Exemption from suit in respect of evidence,-Action for damages. False evidence,--Witnesses cannot be sued for damages in respect of evidence given by them in a judicial proceeding. If their evidence be false, they should be proceeded against by an indictment for perjury. Gunesh Dutt Sing v. Mugneeram CHOWDHEY

[11 B. L. R., P. C., 321: 17 W. R., 283

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES.

		Col.
	PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS .	6248
		6250
		6259
4.	SWEARING, OR AFFIRMATION OF WIT-	
_	NESSES	6259

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES . . 6259 (a) GENERALLY . 6259

EXAMINATION BY COURT . 6267 (c) CROSS-EXAMINATION . 6267

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVI-. 6272

See CASES UNDER ACCOMPLICE.

See Cases under Approvers.

See Cases under Commission-Crimi-NAL CASES.

See Cases under Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 288, 289,

See CASES UNDER EVIDENCE-CRIMINAL CASES.

See Cases under Evidence Act, 1872, s.

See CASES UNDER PALSE EVIDENCE.

See HOLIDAY . 8 B. L. R., Ap., 12 See JUDGE-DUTY OF JUDGE.

TL. R., 3 I. A., 259

See JUDGE-QUALIFICATIONS AND DISQUA-LIFICATIONS . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23

See MAGISTRATE, DUTY OF-[I. L. R., 8 All., 672 See OATHS ACT, 1873, S. 13.

[14 B. L. R., 54, 294, 295, note

See PARDANASHIN WOMEN. WOMEN. [1 B. L. R., S. N., 5 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20 24 W. R., 375 WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued. Cross-examination of— See RECOGNISANCE TO KEEP PEACE-FOR-

FEITURE OF RECOGNISANCES.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865

Person appearing as, Statement

See CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE, 1882, 8. 164 (1872, s. 122). I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643

I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15

1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS.

 Judge.—Competent witness,—A Judge is a competent witness, and can give evidence in a case being tried before himself, even though he laid the complaint, acting as a public officer, provided that he has no personal or pecuniary interest in the subject of the charge, and he is not precluded thereby from dealing judicially with the evidence of which his own forms a part. Queen v. Murra Sing. 6 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15:13 W. R., Cr., 60 See ROUSSEAU v. PINTO . . 7 W. R., 190

2. ____ Magistrate.—Evidence Act, s. 121.—Power of Sessions Judge to compel Magistrate to give evidence.—Privilege of witness.—A Sessions Judge finding, in the course of a trial, as regards the examination of the accused person taken by the committing Subordinate Magistrate, that the provisions of section 346 of Act X of 1872 had not been fully complied with, summoned the committing Magistrate and took his evidence that the accused person duly made the statement recorded. The Magistrate of the district objected to this proceeding of the Sessions Judge, contending that it was "contrary to law." The Sessions Judge referred the question, whether or not his proceeding was contrary to law, to the High Court. Per STUART, C. J., PEARSON, J., OLDFIELD, J., and STRAIGHT, J.—That the privilege given by section 121 of Act I of 1872 is the privilege of the witness,—i.e., of the Judge or Magistrate of whom the question is asked: if he waives such privilege, or does not object to answer such question, it does not lie in the mouth of any other person to assert the privilege: the reference, the objection not having been taken by the Subordinate Magistrate but by the Magistrate of the district, should be answered accordingly. Per Spankie, J.—That a Sessions Judge, while trying a case, cannot compel a committing Magistrate to answer questions as to his own conduct in Court as such Magistrate. EMPRESS OF INDIA v. CHIDDA KHAN . I. L. R., 3 All., 573

 Judge trying case. -Magistrate witness of facts. In a case in which a Deputy Magistrate took an active part in the capture of parties charged with having been members of an unlawful assembly,—parties whom he himself tried on that charge,-it was held that he was bound to state to the accused, so far as he could, what were the facts he himself observed, and to which he himself could bear testimony; and the prisoner in such

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued. 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS -continued.

Magistrate-continued.

situation had a right, if he thought it desirable, to cross-examine the Judge, whose evidence should be recorded, and form part of the record in the case. The proper course, however, for the Deputy Magistrate to have taken in this case would have been to decline to try the case, and to ask that it should be undertaken by some other Judge. In the MATTER OF THE PETITION OF HURBO CHUNDER PAUL [20 W. R., Cr., 76

Conviction, Illegality of .- A Magistrate cannot himself be a witness in a case in which he is the sole judge of law and fact. Per MARKRY, J.—Where in such a case he has given his evidence and convicted the accused, his having so acted makes the conviction bad. Per PRINSEP, J .- The conviction is not absolutely bad. It is open to the Court to uphold the conviction, if it is of opinion that, after rejecting the Magistrate's evidence, there is other evidence sufficient, if believed, to support the conviction. EMPRESS v. DONNELLY . . I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405

- Magistrate sitting on Bench in Appellate Court.—Liability to be exa-mined as witness.—It is undesirable that Magistrates, whose decisions are under appeal, or who have been engaged in promoting the prosecution as police officers concerned in a case, should sit on the Beuch beside or converse privately in Court with the Judge who is engaged in trying the prisoner's appeal. If the Appellate Court wishes to ascertain any facts relating to the case from the Magistrate who convicted the accused, he should examine the Magistrate on oath or solemn affirmation in the same manner as an ordinary witness. REG. v. KASHINATH DINKAR . 8 Bom., Cr., 126

Examination of Magistrate trying case.—Case in which the High Court permitted a Deputy Magistrate to be examined on behalf of a petitioner whose case was investigated by the Deputy Magistrate. QUEEN v. MUDHOO. SOODUN ROY . 16 W. R., Cr., 49 . .

See s. 121 OF THE EVIDENCE ACT, 1872.

- Prisoner .- Tendering pardon to prisoner .- Procedure as to tendering a pardon to a prisoner before examining him as a witness, discussed. QUEEN v. GAGALU

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 50:12 W. R., Cr., 80

Co-defendants, Examination of, as witnesses.—Where there is no community of interest, any one of a number of prisoners jointly indicted may be called as a witness either for or against his co-defendants. QUEEN v ASHEUFF SHEIK . 6 W. R., Cr., 91

Prisoners tried together jointly.-Examination of one as witness against another.-Where two prisoners are tried together for different offences committed in the same WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued. 1. PERSON COMPETENT TO BE WITNESS -continued.

Prisoner-continued.

transaction, it is improper and illegal to examine one prisoner as a witness against the other. IN THE MATTER OF DAVID . 5 C.L.R., 574

- Person brought up with accused and not discharged. - A person apprehended by the police and brought before the Magistrate with the accused, is, though not discharged by the Magistrate, a competent witness against the accused, provided he be not charged along with the accused, REG. v. NARAYAN SUNDAR

[5 Bom., Cr., 1

Evidence of woman on charge of adultery .- A person may call the woman with whom he is accused of having had sexual intercourse as a witness on his behalf. IN RE BECHOO

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES.

- Dispensing with personal attendance of witnesses.—Deposition.—Trial before Sessions Court.—It is only in extreme cases of delay or expense that the personal attendance of a witness before the Court of Session should be dispensed with, and the cyldence given by him before the committing Magistrate referred to. EMPRESS v. Mulu . I. L. R., 2 All., 646

 Application to enforce attendance of witnesses.—Witnesses for defence.
—Examination of accused.—In a case under Chapter XV, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, it was incumbent on the accused either to produce their witnesses or to apply beforehand for a summons to enforce the attendance of any witness who was not likely to appear without a summons; it was not necessary in such cases to record the examination of the accused with the same formulities as in cases under Chapters XII and XIV. QUEEN v. CHEDEE KOONJRA

[14 W. R., Cr., 76

 Discharge of witness from attendance.—It is incumbent upon a Court when it discharges a witness from the duty of attendance before the trial is ended to ascertain from the accused whether he has, or is likely to have, any need of the whereas testimony; and if he has such need, then to take such steps for insuring the presence of the witness at the required time as may be necessary. KHURRUCKDHAREE SINGH v. PERSHADEE MUNDUL [22 W. R., Cr., 44

- Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 192 .- Discretion of Magistrate as to examining voitnesses.—It is entirely within the discretion of a Magistrate conducting a trial in a warrant case to admit evidence on behalf of either side at any stage of the trial, section 192, Act X of 1872, applying to such a case; but the Magistrate, in

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Discretion of Court as to summoning witnesses -continued.

exercising the discretion conferred on him by this section, ought to have good reason for allowing witnesses on the part of the prosecution to be interposed in the midst of the case of the accused. QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKOBEE SINGH [21 W. R., Cr., 61

- Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses .- Criminal Procedure Code. 1872, s. 359,-Adjournment for appearance of witnesses for defence .- Certain persons were charged before the Magistrate with rioting, and being called upon for their defence, named several witnesses, and summonses on the following morning were issued for their appearance, but they were not found. The accused then applied for further time for the appearance of the witnesses. This the Magistrate refused to grant, and convicted the accused. Held, per JACKSON, J., that this being a warrant case it was the duty of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses that might be offered by the accused, and that he might at his discretion have adjourned the case. Held, further, per JACKSON, J., that the meaning of section 359 of the Criminal Procedure Code is, that if among the persons named by the accused us witnesses, the Mugistrate considers that any witness is included for the purpose of vexation and delay, he is to exercise his judgment and enquire whether such witness is material; but that the section is not intended to enable the Magistrate to enquire into what the defence of the accused person is to be, and to consider whether, on learning the nature of the defence, he is absolutely to abstain from summoning the whole of the witnesses cited by the accused; and further, that in the present case there was not any purpose of weation or delay, and that by the refusal to grant further time the accused had been probably preju-diced in their defence. EMPRESS ON THE PROSE-CUTION OF DENOMATH GHATTAGE v. HAJCOOMAR . I. L. R., 8 Cale., 573

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF RAJ . 2 C. L. R., 62 COOMAR SINGH

Obligation summon witnesses .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XIV .- In a case tried under the provisions of Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused were entitled to have their witnesses summoned, and a Magistrate had no power to refuse to summon them. QUEEN v. DOORGAGUTTY

111 W. R., Cr., 55

Discretion Magistrate .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, 262 .- Held by BAYLEY, J. (MARKEY, J., dubitante), that a Magistrate had a discretion, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to summon a witness when he was likely to give material evidence on behalf of the accused. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF AMEER CHAND NOBATTA. QUBEN 4.
AMEER CHAND NOBATTA . 13 W. R., Cr., 63 WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses -continued.

19. — Forcibly rescuing cattle.—Act III of 1857, s. 13.—Griminal Procedure Code, 1801, s. 262.—Summoning witnesses.—In a case of forcibly rescuing cattle under section 13, Act III of 1857, in which the accused did not summon any witness, it was held that, even if the accused wanted their summoned, the Magistrate, under section 262 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, next not have summoned them, unless persuaded that they were likely to give material evidence and that they would not attend voluntarily. AKBAR TAGUTH-GEER V. PUNCHOO BISWAS . 10 W. R., Cr., 42

20. Duty of parties. -Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 261, 262. Attendance of witnesses .- In a case under Chapter XV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, it was expected that parties would bring their own witnesses with them. If they required the attendance of any witness they should apply to the Magistrate to cause his attendance; and where they did not so apply, it was sufficient if the Magistrate recorded in his judgment the substance of the defendant's answer. BAGDEE MANJEE v. MOHINDBO NARAIN

[10 W. R., Cr., 16

- Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 186.—In the case of a charge of an offence triable by the Court of Sessions alone, the Magistrate was bound, under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon the complainant's witnesses. Queen v. Zakir Ally

[8 W. R., Cr., 4

- Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 375 .- Accused person, Right of .-An accused person is cutitled to have examined as a witness any person named in his list of witnesses delivered to the Magistrate: and the Magistrate should take measures to enforce the attendance of such person. Queen v. Ishan Dutt

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 88: 15 W. R., Cr., 34

- Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), ss. 188, 207, 227, and 228.—Arrest and detention of witnesses.—Section 207 of the Criminal Procedure Code gave no power to the Magistrate to call up and examine witnesses for the defence whose names have been given in a list, under section 227, when the prisoners reserve their defence for the Court of Session; but under section 228 he was bound to summon them to give evidence before the Court of Session. IN THE MATTER OF MARESH CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. PURNA CHANDRA BANERJEE. QUEEN v. KALI SIRKAR

[4 B, L, R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., Cr., 1

Credibility of witnesses.—It is the Magistrate's duty to summon witnesses for the accused who can speak to the facts of the case, and he ought not to determine beforehand what credit he will give to their evidence. In WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses-continued.

THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF MARIMA CHAN-DEA SHAH

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 15 W. R., Cr., 15

25. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 186, 262.—Section 186 of the Code of Criminal Procedure referred to cases under Chapter XII, which were triable by the Court of Session, and not to cases under Chapter XV, which were triable by a Magistrate. To the latter cases section 262 applied. BOIDDONATH BANIAR. BHERDOO DOSS 9 W. R., Cr., 3

26. Right of accessed to have witnesses summoned.—Right of accessed to have witnesses summoned.—Criminal Procedure, a prisoner was entitled, as a natter of right, to have any witnesses named in the list which he delivered to the Magistrate, summoned and examined. Queen v. Prosundo Coomar Moyroco. [28 W. R., Cr., 56

27. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 253.—Under section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, it was imperative on the Magistrate to summon the witnesses named by the prisoner. QUEEN v. MUDSQDDEEN

- Summonin witnesses for accused.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 253.—Per AINSLIE, J.—In a trial under Chapter XIV of the Criminal Procedure Code, the Magistrate was not bound, under section 253, to summon any witness whom the accused might require. It was only discretionary with him to do so, and in the circumstances of the present ease, he and in the circumstances of the present ease, he exercised his discretion rightly in refinsing to snamon the witnesses asked for. Per Paul, J. (differing).—The right of an accused to have witnesses for his defence summoned during the pendency of the trial is an ordinary and natural right, and this right was not taken away, but affirmed, by section 253; the Magistrate was bound to summon the wituesses, though it was discretionary with him to adjourn the trial. In the present case, treating it as a matter of discretion only, the Magistrate was wrong in refusing to summon the witnesses required. QUEEN v. BHOLANATH MOOKERJEB

[7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R., Cr., 28

28. Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 253, 262, 263.—Section 253 of the Criminal Procedure Code did not apply to eases triable under Chapter X of that Code; and sections 252 and 263 were applicable when the offence was not punishable with more than six months' imprisonment; and it was in the discretion of the Magistrate to summon the witnesses for the defence, if he considered their ordence essential to the just decision of the case, and incumbent on him to summon them only if it appeared to him that they were likely to give materia

WITNESS—CRIMINAL CASES—continued, 2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses—continued.

evidence on behalf of either party, and that they would not voluntarily appear for the purpose of being examined at the time and place appointed for the bearing of the complaint. QUEEN v. MOHUREE [2 N. W. 398

30. Discretion of Magistrate,—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, ss. 227, 228.—Where a prisoner, under section 227, Code of Criminal Procedure, gave in a list of the witnesses he wished to summon, after his case had been committed, the Magristrate was bound to exercise his discretion upon the point, and to state whether he would summon the witnesses or not, and he ought to state his reasons for not doing so. If he thought the witnesses were included in the list for the purpose of delay, he should proceed under section 228 of the Code. QUEEN R. RACOMMA MOOREFIE

[16 W. R., Cr., 14

31. Discretion of Magistrate.—Criminal Processure Code, 1872, ss. 215, 362.—It was not incumbent on a Magistrate to summon every person named as a witness by the complainant. Section 215, explanation 8 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, must be read with section 362, which vested a discretionary power in the Magistrate. JELDHARI SINGH #0. SHUNKUE DOYAL [23 W. R., Cr., 9]

See, however, EMPRESS v. HEMATULIA
[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 389]

EMPRESS OF INDIA v. KASHI
[I. L. R., 2 All., 447

Queen v. Purasurama Naikar [I. L. R., 4 Mad., 329

Anonymous Case . . 8 Mad., Ap., 5

32. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, Ch. XII'.—In a case of an offence (such as hurt, under section 323, Penal Code) punishable with imprisonment exceeding six months, and therefore falling mader Chapter XIV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate was bound to summon all the winesses required by the aceused. QUEEN v. BOOLAKEE . 14 W. R., Cr., SI.

33. Criminal Procedure Code, 1869, s. 131.—Claims to stoken property.
—Petitioner was charged with the theft of certain money found in his house and acquitted. Proclamation having been made for claimants to come in and claim the property, no one appeared, whereupon petitioner preferred his claim and asked the Assistant Magistrate to summon certain witnesses, but the Assistant Magistrate to appeal declining to interfere. On reference by the Judge, the High Court held that the Assistant Magistrate was bound to summon the witnesses named by the petitioner, set aside that officer's order, and directed him to dispose of the case after taking due steps for securing the

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses-continued.

attendance of the witnesses in question. Sookhan Sahoo v. Government . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 5

34. Issue of summons.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s.
318.—Although there was no mention in Chapter
XXII of Act XXV of 1861 of any particular provisions
under which witnesses might be summoned, yet it was
the duty of the Court, if parties could not procure the
attendance of their witnesses, to issue summonses for
their attendance. IN THE MATTER OF THE FEITHON
OF SHAMASANAEM MAZUMDAR

[9 B. L. R., Ap., 45

SHAMASUNKUR MOZOOMDAR v. ANUNDMOYEE DASSYA . . . 18 W. R., Cr., 64

35.— Ground for postposement of oses.—A Magistrate was held to be right,
under the circumstances, in not postponing the case
for the purpose of summoning witnesses for one
of the parties. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF
CABINDA CRANDEA GROSE. 9 B. L. R., AD, 39

36. Non-attendance of witnesses.—Oriminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 269.
—Ground for adjournment of triat.—In a trial held under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, it was not an irregularity to adjourn the trial, under section 269, for the purpose of allowing the accused to secure the attendance of his witnesses. As a general rule, a prisoner should have his witnesses present on the day of trial. Queen 9. DINOS ROY.

[16 W. R., Cr., 21

37. Refusal of a Mapistrate to summon prisoner's witnesses.—Crunical Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), s. 359.—A Magistrate was not at liberty to refuse to summon a witness tendered by an accused person, except on the grounds specified in section 359 of the Criminal Procedure tode; and if he did refuse, he was bound to proceed under that section. The fact that the accused declines to examine a witness in or reason for refusing to summon him to meet fresh evidence given subsequent to the defence being closed. In THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DEELA MAITON 8. SINDO DALA KOREN. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714.

S. C. In the matter of Deela Manton
[8 C. L. R., 70

38. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 359.—Witness for the defence.—Failure to attent.—Refusal to re-summon.—On the 30th March 1881 an accused person on his trial before a Magistrate asked that a certain witness night be summons to be issued for the attendance of such vitness on the 18th April, to which day the further hearing of the case was adjourned. There was some delay in the service of the summons, and such witness did not attend on that day. The Magistrate refused an application by the accused for

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued, 2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses-continued.

the issue of a second summons to such witness, with reference to section 350 of Act X of 1872, on the ground that such application was not made in "good faith." Held that the provisions of section 359 of Act X of 1872 were clearly inapplicable to the case as it stood before the Magistrate on the 18th April, and he was bound to make a further attempt—the first attempt seemed to have been nominal merely—to scene the attempte of the absent witness, EMPRESS e. BYEKT-UD-DIN . I. L. R., A. 411, 5

- Witness for defence. -Refusal by Magistrate to summon witness under Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 216 .- Witness summoned by Sessions Court .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, ss. 291, 540 .- Upon the committal of certain persons for trial before the Sessions Court for offences under the Penal Code, each of the prisoners, under section 211 of the Criminal Procedure Code, gave in a written list of the persons whom he wished to be summoned to give evidence at the trial. On each of these lists the name of a particular person was entered, who objected under section 216 to being summoned, on the ground that the summons was desired for vexatious purposes only, and that there were no reasonable grounds for believing that any evidence he could give would be material. Upon this objection, the committing Magistrate passed an order requiring the prisoners to satisfy him that there were reasonable grounds for believing that the objector's evidence was material, and, having heard arguments on both sides, passed an order refusing to issue the summons. The only ground stated by the Magistrate for this order was that he thought the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient. Subsequent to the order, and before the trial in the Sessions Court had began, the Sessions Judge, upon an application filed on behalf of the prisoner, passed an order directing that the objector should be summoned to give evidence. The order assigned no reasons, and was passed in the absence of the objector or of any person re-presenting him, and without notice to show cause eing issued to him. The objector applied to the High Court for revision of the order on the ground that the Sessions Judge had no inrisdiction to make it. Held that when a Magistrate refuses, under section 216 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to summon a witness included in the list of the accused, he must record his reasons for such refusal, and such reasons must show that the evidence of such witness is not material; that the ground stated by the Magistrate, viz., that the reasons assigned for the application to have the objector summoned were insufficient, did not show that the evidence was not material; that the Sessions Judge had jurisdiction to make the order complained of ; and that, even if he had not, it would not, under the circumstances, be desirable to interfere with his order in revision. Per STRAIGHT, J., that section 540 is not the only provision of the Criminal Procedure Code which confers on a Sessions Judge powers of the kind exercised by him in this

2. SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses-continued.

case. Under section 291, though the summoning of witnesses by an accused through the medium of the Sessions Judge is not a matter of right, yet the Judge lass an inherent power, if he thinks proper to exercise it, to sauction the summoning of other witnesses than those named in the list delivered to the committing Magistrate. In THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF THE RAJAN OF KANTUR [I. I. R., 8 All., 668

40. Refusal to summon witnesses not declared material unless expenses are paid.—A prisoner who was about to be committed to the Sessions Court presented to the Magistrate a list of witnesses whom he desired to have summoned to give evidence on his behalf at the trial, and on being asked by the Magistrate why he desired to summon the witnesses, the prisoner declined to state his reason. Held that the Magistrate was at liberty to decline to summon the persons named in the list on the prisoner declining to satisfy him that they were material witnesses; but the Magistrate ought to have fixed the amount which he considered necessary to defray the cost of the attendance of the persons named, and intimated to the prisoner his readiness to issue summonses on that amount being deposited.

The High Court called for the record for the purpose

of seeing whether any of the persons named in the

list were likely to be able to give material evidence.

SUBHARAYA MUDALI v. QUEEN

. 4 Mad., 81

41. Omission to take steps to summon evitnesses.—A complainant in a case who mentioned the names of several witnesses on his behalf was requested to produce them on a certain date. Instead of doing that he produced only two witnesses, who were examined. Held that, as the complainant did not apply to the Magistrate to issue summonses on the other witnesses, or ask him to proceed under section 262, Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, the Magistrate was not wrong in law indeciding the case on the evidence which was before him. QUEEN N. NOTORUE BERS. 15 W. R., Cr., 87

42. Refusal to summon estimes for accused.—Participation is charge.
—Illegal consistion.—A refusal to summon witnesses ested by an accused, on the ground of their being implicated in the charge, vitiates the trial and conviction. RAM SRAHAI CHOWDHY ©. SANKER BAHA-DUE & G. B. L. R., Ap. 65: 15 W. R., C., T.

48. Refusal to summon vitnesses named for the definee.—Where the Subordinate Magistrate convicted certain persons without allowing them a proper opportunity for the summoning and attendance of witnesses named for the defence, the High Court quashed the conviction and directed the Subordinate Magistrate to re-hear the case. ANONYMOUS . 5 Mad, Ap., 27

44. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 362. Warrant case. Refusal of

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
2. SUMMONING WITNESSES-continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses-continued.

Magistrate to summon witness named by accused.— Error or defect in proceedings.—Where the Magistrate trying an offence rejected an application by the accused person that a certain person might be examined on his behalf either in Court or by commission, without recording his reasons for refusing to summon such person, as required by section 862 of the Criminal Procedure Code,—Held that the conviction of the accused person must be set aside, and the case he reopened by such Magistrate, and the application by the accused for the examination of such person be disposed of according to law. In The MATTER OF THE PETITION OF SAT NARAIN SINGH

45. — Compelling attendance of witnesses:—Evidence. — Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1888), s. 287.—Certain witnesses who had been summoned for the accused failed to appear on the day of trial, and the Deputy Magistrate refused to adjourn the hearing, or to issue fresh processes for the attendance of the defendant's witnesses, on the ground that they were all friends of the accused who would come to Court if the accused desired it. The prisoners were convicted. Held, the conviction must be set asider the Magistrate having once granted processes he was bound to assist the scussed in enforcing the attendance of his witnesses. Quence-Emperss v. Dha-Namot Crowdenz . I. L. R., 10 Cale, 383

46. Non-attendance of witness. Enquiry into reasons for.—Oriminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 221.—It was held that an enquiry should be made into the excuse given by a person for his non-attendance as a witness before enforcing a fine for such non-attendance, in order that the Sessions Judge, or other authority, might fairly exercise the discretion given him by section 221 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Queen v. Americ Khan, In he Brugwan Doss 2 N. W., 113

47. Mode of summoning witnesses.—Recognisances to appear.—A Subordinate Magistrate cannot bind over witnesses by recognisances to appear before himself. The proper course to enforce attendance is by summons, and, if that fails, by warrant. ANONYMOUS

[4 Mad., Ap., 6 See Anonymous . . . 4 Mad., Ap., 17 Venkatappah v. Papammah . 5 Mad., 132

48. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 191.—Warrant to enforce attendance of witnesses.—A Magistrate was not bound, under section 191 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enforce the attendance of witnesses by warrant except upon proof of due service of summons. In the matter of the petition of Addoor Ruhman TW. R., Cr., 37

Queen v. Sutherland. Queen v. Narain Singh . . . 14 W. R., Cr., 20

SUMMONING WITNESSES—continued.

Duty of Court as to summoning witnesses-continued.

49. Issuing summons to evitnesses out of jurisdiction.—Magistrates may, under the Criminal Procedure Code, issue summonses for service upon witnesses beyond the limits of their districts. (COLLETT, J., dissenting.) ANONYMOUS CASE 3 Mad., AD., 5

50.— Service of summons to door of home.—
Service of summons to door of home.—
Service of summons on a witness by affixing it to the door of his house was held to be no evidence of his having received it, in a charge brought against him of disobeving the summons. ANONYMOUS.

[6 Mad., Ap., 29

51. Service of summons.—The mere showing to a witness of a summon issued under section 186 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1861, is not sufficient service. Either the original should be left with the witness, or it should be altibited to him, and a copy of it delivered or tendered. Ref. or Karsanla Danatham

[5 Bom., Cr., 20

3. AVOIDING SERVICE.

52. Warrant for apprehension of witness.—Committed of witness in Advant of appearance.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 188.
—Section 188 only empowers a Magistrate to issue a warrant for the apprehension of a witness when he has reason to believe that the witness will not attend to give evidence without being completed to do so, and it does not empower a Magistrate to commit a witness. In The MATTER OF MARIESH CHANDEA BANEBHE, QUEEN C. RALI SHKAR

[4 B. L. R., Ap., 1:13 W. R., Cr., 1

4. SWEARING OR AFFIRMATION OF WITNESSES.

53. Oath or affirmation.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 199.—Memorandum of deposition.—A witness may be examined either on oath or on solemn affirmation, but he cannot both be sworn and put on solemn affirmation at the same time. The memorandum required by section 199 of the Code of Criminal Procedure should always be appended to the depositions. Queen r. Hossein Stranz 13 W. R. Cr. 17

5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES.

(a) GENERALLY.

54. Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 382.—Section 362 of the Code of Criminal Procedure did not give a Magistrate discretion to dispense with the examination of witnesses summoned by the prosecution. Quers s. PRABSURAM NATLAR I. I. B., 4 Mad, 3280

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) Generally—continued.

Power of Court to dispense with examination of witnesses-continued.

55. Committeen withcress.—Where a Magistrate committee is present energed with perjury in a trial before himself to the Sessions without examining the withmost for the presention.—Held that the commitment was illegal. Queen v. Chinna Verbaum Cherri. J. L. R., 4 Mid., 227

QUEEN v. SREENATH MOOKHOPADHYA
[7 W. R., Cr., 45

DINONATH GOPE v. SARODA MOOKHOPADHYA [7 W. R., Cr., 47

56. Power of High Court.—Criminal Procedure Colf., 1861, 8, 368.—Where it was not shown that there were any witnesses forthcoming for examination other than those whom the Sessions Judgo did examine, the Court refrased, with reference to section 303, Code of Criminal Procedure, to interfere with the Sessions Judgo's proceedings. QUEEN C. JUST.-DEM SINGU. 12 W. R., Cr., 73

57. Duty of defence as to calling witnesses.—Inference from failure to callwitnesses.—A prisoner or his counsel is at liberty to offer evidence or not as he thinks proper, and no inference unitarourable to him can be drawn because he takes one course rather than another. Hurry Cheen Chuckerstyle, Empress.

[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358

58. — Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses. — Inference to be drawn on failure to call oiluseses. — Inference to be drawn on failure to call oiluseses. — Misdirection— It is private drawn on the prosecution to call all the witnesses who prove their connection with the transactions connected with the prosecution, and who must be able to give important information. If such witnesses are not called without sufficient reason being shown, the Court may properly draw an inference adverse to the prosecution. The only thing that can relieve the prosecution. The only thing that can relieve the prosecution from calling such witnesses, is the reasonable belief that, if called, they would not speak the truth. No such corresponding inference can be drawn against an accused. In the MATTER OF THE PETITION OF DIVINNO KAZI. EMPRESS C. DRUNNO KAZI. L.I. R., S Calc., 121.

[10 C. L. R., 151

59. Obligation to call witnesses examined before Magistrate.—Where a Sossions Judge gave it as a sufficient reason for the non-production of certain witnesses in Court on the part of the presention, that they had been examined by the committing Magistrate against the express wish of the police officer in charge of the prosecution,—Held that that was not a valid ground for the non-production of the witnesses in the Sossions Court. In conducting a case for the prosecution,—If the property of the non-production of the witnesses in the Sossions Court. In conducting a case for the prosecution, all.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Duty of prosecution as to calling witnesses-continued.

the persons who are alleged or known to have knowledge of the facts ought to be brought before the Court and examined. Queen-Empress v. RAM . I. L. R., 10 Calc. ,1070 SAHAI LALL

- Trial in Sessions Court .- Non-production of material witnesses for Crown.—Duty of public prosecutor.—It is the duty of the Public Prosecutor at a trial before the Court of Session to call and examine all material witnesses sent up to the Court on behalf of the prosecution, and the Judge is bound to hear all the evidence upon the charge. The Public Prosecutor is not bound to the charge. call any witnesses who will not, in his opinion, speak the truth or support the points he desires to establish by their evidence; but in such circumstances he should explain to the Court that this is his reason for not calling those witnesses, and he should offer to put them in the box for cross-examination by the accused at their discretion. In the absence of any such explanation, or of other reasonable grounds apparent on the face of the proceedings, inferences unfavourable to the prosecution must be drawn from the non-production of its witnesses. Quern-Empress., Tulla . . . I. L. R., 7 All., 904

61. Revival of pro-secution.—Presidency Magistrates Act (IV of 1877), s. 87, expl. 2.—A "revival of a prosecution," as mentioned in explanation 2 of section 87 of Act IV of 1877, is not a continuation of the original prosecution from which the accused has been discharged. On the revival of the prosecution, all the witnesses on whose evidence the prosecution intend to rely must be examined before the Magistrate; and if any of them were examined at the time of the original prosecution, they must be examined de novo. Em-PRESS v. CHUNDER NATH DUTT
[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 121: 4 C. L. R., 305

- Witnesses for prosecution. -Witness examined by prosecution after defence.-It is irregular to allow a witness to be examined on behalf of the prosecution after the prisoner has made his defence, when the witness is not one to contradict any new case set up by the prisoner. QUEEN n. CHOTEY.LAL . 3 N. W. 271

QUEEN v. SHAMKISHORE HOLDAR ' [13 W. R., Cr., 36

Where, however, the prisoner had full notice of the evidence which was to be given by such witness, and made his defence, in allusion to the evidence of the witness, the High Court refused to set aside the conviction, having regard to section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. QUEEN v. SHAM KISHORE HOLDAR . 13 W. R., Cr., 36

 Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—Recalling witness for prosecution.—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued. 5 EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Witnesses for prosecution-continued.

Procedure an accused should be called upon to enter upon his defence and to produce his evidence when the case for the prosecution has been brought to a close. Where, therefore, one witness for the prose-cution was recalled after the prisoner had made his defence, and the prisoner had no opportunity of calling evidence with reference to the evidence of that witness, the High Court quashed the conviction and ordered a new trial. QUEEN v. ASSANOOLLAH [18 W. R., Cr., 15

- Witnesses for defence.-Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 372.—Duty of Court as to witnesses for defence.—Under section 372 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the accused should be asked, at the end of the case for the prosecution, to produce his evidence, and it is at that point the duty of the Court of Session to ascertain who the witnesses are whom the prisoner desires to examine in his defence. QUEEN v. MOOKUN

[12 W. R., Cr., 22

Omission to examine witnesses for accused .- The Court quashed the sentence which was passed upon a prisoner who had not been asked if he had any witness to call, although he was tried at the same time with others who had been so asked. BHUGWAN v. DOYAL GOPE

[10 W. R., Cr., 7

Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266 .- Witnesses attending voluntarity.—In cases coming under Chapter XXV of Act XXV of 1861, to which section 266 applied, and not section 252, the Magistrate was not obliged to call on the accused to produce his witnesses, but he was bound to hear them if they witnesses, but he was bound to hear them it they attended voluntarily, as by section 266, read with section 262, they were supposed to do. In he Bhika Roy 7 B. L. R., 568, note

S. C. BRIKHA ROY v. DHOTUN ROY [10 W. R., Cr., 36

Obligation 'of Magistrate to hear witnesses .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 266.—Section 266 of the Code of Crimi-nal Procedure only required the Magistrate to hear such witnesses as the accused shall produce in his defence. ANONYMOUS . . 4 Mad., Ap., 29 QUEEN v. AMEER CHAND NORATTA

[13 W. R., Cr., 63

- Refusal of Court to allow witness for defence to be examined .- Illegal conviction .- Criminal Procedure Code (Act XXV of 1861), s. 266 .- Conviction set aside on the ground of the Magistrate's irregularity in refusing, in a trial before him under Chapter XV of the Criminal Procedure Code, to allow the examination of a witness who had been tendered on behalf of the accused. QUEEN v. MAHIMA CHANDRA CHUCKERBUTTE [4 B. L. R., Ap., 77: 12 W. R., Cr., 77

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

69. Recording evidence of witness,—Obligation to record evidence of witnesses.—If a person is before the Court as witness his evidence must be recorded as the law directs; if he is not a witness, and is not examined as such, the Judge has no right to allade to his laving made any statement. QUEEN P. PRODUCIAND alias PROLETA MILE.

SW. R., CR, 11

70. Note of deposition.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1851, a. 195.—A separate note of each witness's deposition was required to be taken by section 195 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1861, which was not satisfied by a statement that a witness "deposes as last witness." Reg. E. BYHA YAJAD SURITM.

72. Examination is absence of accused.—Where witnesses are not examined in the presence of the accused, the conviction will be quashed. Queen v. Lalla Chowers [2 N. W., 49]

[11 W. R., Cr., 35

QUEEN v. RUSSICK DOSS . 24 W. R., Cr., 76 ALI MEAH v. MAGISTRATE OF CRITTAGONG

[25 W. R., Cr., 14

73. Evidence not taken in presence of accused.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 194.—When the accused has been arrested, the evidence of a winness for the prosecution ought, under section 194 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to be taken in the presence of the accused. QUEEN v. HOSSAIN ALI CHOWDHEY [S W. R., Cr., 74

74. Orde, 1872, s. 337.—Evidence takes in absence of accused.—Under section 327, Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, the witnesses for the prosecution should be examined in the presence of the accused when practicable, notwithstanding that their statements have been previously recorded in his absence. QUEEN B. BOGHA CHOWKEEDAR.

[22 W.R. Cr., 33

75. Evidence takes in absence of accused.—Warrant cases.—It is not irregular in a warrant case for a Deputy Magistrate

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(a) GENERALLY—continued.

Mode of examination—continued.

to take the evidence of the complainant and certain witnesses on behalf of the presention in the absence of the accused. All that the accused has a right to expect after the charge has been framed is that the complainant and witnesses who had been examined in his presence before the charge was framed should be recalled for the purposes of cross-examination.

be recalled for the purposes of cross-examination.

QUEEN v. KASSY SINGH. QUEEN v. HULKOREE
SINGH . 21 W. R., Cr., 61

76. Depositions taken in absence of accused.—Criminal Irracedure Code, 1872, s. 827.—Section 327 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, which permitted the depositions of a witness to be taken in the absence of an accused person who had absconded, did not apply to a deposition taken before that Code was passed. Where section 327 did apply, it was necessary to show that when the former deposition was taken the accused had absconded and after dup pursuit could not be arrested. QUEEN R. ENWARE DIAMERE 21 W. R., Cr., 12

77. Duty of committing Magistrate.—Examination on acth in absence of accused.—Statements of witnesser.—The Magistrate to whom a complaint was made, examined certain persons on each in the absence of the accused, merely for the purpose of ascertaining whether there was any, and what, case against the prisoners; and he did not take down in writing the statements of the persons occamined. He'd that the Magistrate was wrong in examining the witnesses on each in the absence of the accused, or for the purpose of finding out whether there was a case; but that, having done so, he was not bound to take down their statements in writing. In the MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ASCUR HOSSEIN.

[I. L. R., & Gale, TM. & C. C. [I. L. R., & Gale, TM. & C. [I. L. R., & Gale, TM.] & C. [I. L. R., & Gale, TM. & C. [I. L. R.,

S, C. In RE ASSUR HOSSEIN . S C. L. R., 124

- Examination of, in absence of accused .- Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 327 .- Power to quash commitment .- An accused who was charged with murder not being found, the witnesses were examined under section 327 of Act X of 1872 in his absence. The accused was subsequently arrested and committed on the strength of the evidence taken in his absence. Be-fore the Sessions Court he pleaded not guilty. Held fore the Sessions Court he pleaded not guilty. Held that the prisoner having been put upon his trial and having pleaded, the commitment could not be quashed. Held, further, that if, in the course of a trial, the Sessions Judge should be of opinion that the prosecution has not laid a proper basis for the reception of evidence in the absence of the accused, his proper course is to adjourn the trial under section 264 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and then under section 351 summon such witnesses as he may deem material. Semble,-The mere absence of questions in the record of a prisoner's statement does not render it inadmissible. IN THE MATTER OF EMPRESS v. SAGAM-. 12 C. L. R., 120

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Mode of examination-continued.

79. Reading deposition of witness.—In every Sessions trial, no matter how often the case has been before the Court, the winnesses must be examined de zovo in the same manner as if the case were entirely new and the winnesses had not been examined before. To read to a witness his deposition on a former trial is not an examination of the witness in the presence of the accessed. QUEEN E. KYAMUT W. R., 1864, Cr., 1 QUEEN E. AFFAZUDDEEN W. R., 1864, Cr., 18

QUEEN v. KANYE SHEIKH

Queen v. Kanye Sheikh [W. R., 1864, Cr., 38

QUEEN v. KALUNDAR DOSS . 2 N. W., 100

See also Queen v. Mohun Banfor [22 W. R., Cr. 38

80. Reading depositions instead of examining witnesses de novo.—The High Court refused to interfere when the evidence of winnesses given on a previous trial was read over and need in a subsequent trial at the express request of the prisoners, instead of the witnesses being exanined de novo Purmessue Sixon a. Sonoor Audunt Kares . 13 W. R., Cr., 40

- Criminal Procedure Code, 1882, s. 288 .- Trial before Court of Session .- Evidence given before committing Magistrate used at trial to contradict witnesses .- Section 288 of the Criminal Precedure Code was never intended to be used so as to enable a Court trying a cause to take a witness's deposition bodily from the committing Magistrate's record, and to treat it as evidence before the Court itself. Queen v. Amanulla, 12 B. L. R., Ap., 15, referred to. A Judge is bound to put to the witnesses whom he proposes to contradict by their statements made before the committing Magistrate, the whole or such portions of their depositions as he intends to rely upon in his decision, so as to afford them an opportunity of explaining their meaning, or denying that they had made any such statements, and so forth. In a case in which the Sessions Court had neglected to apply the above rules, STRAIGHT, J., quashed the conviction. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. DAN . I. L. R., 7 All., 862

QUEEN v. KALI CHURN GANGOOLY
[7 W. R., Cr., 2

83. Refreshing memory of witness.—Ground for inspecting document to refresh memory of witness.—Right to inspect docu-

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES—continued.

(6266)

(a) GENERALLY-continued.

Refreshing memory of witness—continued.

ments.—Per Field, J.—The grounds upon which
the opposite party is permitted to inspect a writing,
and to refresh the memory of a witness, are threefold; (i) to scure the full benefit of the witness's
recollection as to the whole of the facts; (ii) to
check the use of improper documents; (iii) to compare his oral testimony with his written statement.
Per FIELD, J.—The opposite party has a right to
look at any particular writing before or at the
moment when the witness uses it to refresh his
memory in order to answer a particular question;
but if he then neglects to exercise his right, he can
not continue to retain the right throughout the
whole of the subsequent examination of the witness.
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF JIUBBOO
MARTON. EMPRISSS O. BUDBOO MARTON.

[L. L. R., 8 Calc., 739

S. C. JHUBBOO MAHTON v. EMPRESS [12 C, L. R., 283

84. Memorandum made by police officer.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 119.—In giving evidence a police officer may refresh his memory by referring to documents in which he has, under, section 119 of Act X of 1872, reduced into writing statements of persons examined by him during an investigation, but the documents themselves cannot be used as evidence, and a Judge should not read such documents to a jury in order to point out discrepancies between the evidence and previous statements of the winesses. Rochum Simer v. Extrass S. I. L. W., & Osale, 485: 11 C. J., R., 563

S5. Memorandum made by police afficer.—Criminal Procedure Code (Act X of 1872), is. 119 and 126.—A prisoner on his trial is not entitled to insist that a memorandum made by a police officer under the provisions of section 119 of the Code of Criminal Procedure shall, in the course of the examination of such officer, be referred to by the latter for the purpose of refreshing his memory. Rep. v. Utlanekand Kapurchand, 111 Bows, 128, distinguished. In the matter of the pretition of Kall Chunn Chunnal. Empless v. Kall. Chunn Chunnal.

1. L. R., 8 Calc., 154.
S. C. In the matter of Kall Chunn Chunnar.

[10 C. L. R., 51

86. Medical witness, Exidence of -- Experts. -- Examination of wedical witness examines before Magnistrate. -- Crimital Proceedure Code, 1872, s. 323. — The ovidence of a medical man who has seen, and has made a post-morten examination of the corpse of the person touching whose death the inquiry is, its admissible, firstly, to prove the nature of injuries which he observed; and, secondly, as evidence of the opinion of an expert as to the manner in which those injuries were inflicted, and as to the cause of death. A medical man who has not seen the corpse is only in a position to give evidence of his opinion as an expert. The proper mode of eliciting

(a) GENERALLY -continued.

Refreshing memory of witness—continued-such evidence is to put to the witness hypothetically the facts which the evidence of the other witnesses attempts to prove, and to ask the witness's opinion on those facts. Section \$23 of Act X of 1872 did not in any way preclude the Judge at a Sessions trial from calling and examining the nedical witness who had been examined before the Magistrate, and in every case in which the deposition taken by the Magistrate is essentially deficient or requires further clucidation, such witness should be called and examined by the Sessions Judge. A medical man in giving evidence may refresh his memory by referring to a report which he has made of his post-mortem examination, but the report itself cannot be treated as evidence, and no facts can be taken therefrom. ROGHUNI SINGH e. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569

87. — Treatment by Court of witnesses for defence,—When a prisoner makes a distinct defence, and calls witnesses to prove it, instead of dismissing the witnesses at once on their saying they know nothing in the prisoner's favour, a Judge should put a few questions to them in detail to see if there is any truth in the prisoner's statement or any part of it. QUEEN D. BUTGERE PTTWA

[11 W. R., Cr., 9

(b) Examination by Court.

 Examination of witness by Judge .- Evidence Act, s. 138 .- Duty of Court in examining witnesses.—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the Judge, on the examination-in-chief of the witnesses for the prosecution being finished, questioned the witnesses at considerable length upon the points to which he must have known that the crossexamination would certainly and properly be directed. Held that such a course of procedure was irregular, and opposed to the provisions of section 138 of the Evidence Act It is not the province of the Court to examine the witnesses, unless the pleaders on either side have omitted to put some material question or questions; and the Court should, as a general rule, leave the witnesses to the pleaders to be dealt with as laid down in section 138 of the Act. Noor Bux KAZI v. EMPRESS

[I. L. R., 6 Cale., 279: 7 C. L. R., 385

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION.

89. — Duty of Court as to allowing cross-examination. — The Judge ought, if requested, to allow the accused an opportunity of cross-examining all witnesses whose depositions have been taken for the prosecution by the committing Magistrate, but whose evidence is dispensed with by the prosecutor at the trial. His refusal to do so is, however, not an error in law. Ref. p. FATREMAND VASTACHAND. . 5 BORM, Cr., 85

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued.
5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION-continued.

90. Right to cross-examine.—Right of accased to cross-examine witnesses.—The right of an accused party to cross-examine witnesses is limited to a right to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution called against him. If the visites to avail himself of evidence which has been given, by a witness called for another of the parties accused, he must call him as his own witness. Quenn a SURMOPPHENDE PATE.

* 91. Evidence Act, v. 165.—Witness called by the Court.—Witnesses sunnamed on behalf of the prosecution, and not called, ought to be placed in the box for cross-examination, in order that the defence may have the opportunity of exercising this right, and a fortiori, if such a witness is called and examined by the Court under section 165 of the Evidence Act, the prisoner should be allowed to cross-examine. IN THE MATTER OF EXPRESS C. GRISH CHUNDER TAUTURE

[I. L. R., 5 Calc., 614: 5 C. L. R., 364

[12 W. R., Cr., 75

92. Hostile suitess.

—Eridence Act, s. 154.—The mere fact that at a Sessions trial a witness tells a different story from that told by him before the Magistrate does not necessarily make him hostile. The proper inference to be drawn from contradictions going to the whole texture of the story is not that the witness is hostile to this side or to that, but that the witness is one who ought not to be believed unless supported by other satisfactory evidence. KAIAGHAND SIREAR (QUEBN-EMPRESS . I. L. R., 13 Calo,, 53

93. Medical witness.

—As to cross-examination by accused of medical witness called in a professional capacity, see QUEEN v. ISHAN DUTT

[6 B. L. R., Ap., 88:15 W. R., Cr., 34

94. Evidence Act (II of 1855), s. 34.—Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.—The complainant's pleader
was held to be at liberty before the Deputy Magistrate to cross-examine they witnesses for the defence
on points respecting which they had made statements
before the Joint Magistrate, and he might do so as
regards previous statements which were reduced to
writing, without showing the writing. Section 34,
Act II of 1855, explained. Turnera Rate. Turser
Kore

95. Evidence .Act, 1855, s. 28.—Cross-examination on previous statements reduced to writing.—A witness when under examination-in-chief before the Court of Sessions should not have his attention directed to his deposition before the Magistrate. He might, under section 23, Act II of 1855, be cross-examined as to previous statements unde by him in writing, when his attention might be drawn to the parts of the former writing which were to be used for the purpose of contradicting him. QUEEN 0. RANCHUNDER SISCAR

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION-continued.

- Right of witness on crossexamination.—Right to qualify statements.—A witness ought to be allowed on cross-examination to qualify or correct any statement which he has made in his examination-in-chief. QUEEN v. TULSI Do-18 W. R., Cr., 57
- Right to recall witnesses for cross-examination.-Cross-examination of, by accused .- Witnesses for defence .- Record of evidence .- The charge having been read to the accused person, he stated his defence to the same, upon which the Magistrate, the witnesses for the prosecution being in attendance, called upon the accused to crossexamine them. The accused refused to do so until he had examined the witnesses for the defence who were not in attendance. The Magistrate then discharged the witnesses for the prosecution and adjourned the trial for the production of the witnesses for the defence. Held per Spankes, J., that the accused was not entitled to have the witnesses for the prosecution summoned, in order that they might be cross-examined by the accused on the date fixed for the examination of the witnesses for the defence. Held, also, per SPANKIE, J., that the Magistrate was empowered to record both oral and documentary evidence after the witnesses for the defence had been examined. EMPRESS OF INDIA v. BALDEO SAHAT [I. L. B., 2 All., 253
- Cross-examination after reading depositions.—Irregularity in examina-tion.—Criminal Procedure Code, ss. 286, 288.—At a trial before a Sessions Court, the attorncy who appeared for the prisoner suggested to the Court that, to expedite the trial, certain depositions of witnesses for the prosecution, taken before the Magistrate, should he read, and that he should be allowed to cross-examine the witnesses thereupon: to this course the Government Prosecutor and the Court consented. Held that this procedure was illegal, but that, inasmuch as it had not occasioned a failure of justice; a new trial should not be granted. Sub-BA v. Queen-Empress I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83
- Cross-examination of witness after his examination by the Court.— Evidence Act (I of 1872), s. 155.—The principle that parties cannot, without the leave of the Court, cross-examine a witness whom, the parties having already examined or declined to examine, the Court itself has examined, applies equally whether it is intended to direct the cross-examination to the witness's statements of fact, or to circumstances touching his credibility, for any question meant to impair his credit tends (or is designed) to get rid of the effect of each and every answer, just as much as one that may bring out an inconsistency or contradiction, section 155 of Act I of 1872. REG. v. SAKHARAM MUKUNDJI 11 Bom., 166
- Recalling witnesses for cross-examination, - Refusal to recall witness .-

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued. 5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION-continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination-continued.

When the charge had been framed and the defendant put on his defence, he had a right, under section 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, to have the prosecutor's witnesses recalled for the purpose of cross-examination. The claim to recall the witnesses for the prosecution was very different from the request made by the accused person to summon a witness under section 362, Act X of 1872. In the matter OF THE PETITION OF BELILIOS. BELILIOS v. QUEEN ON THE PROSECUTION OF KALI DOSS BANERJEE

19 W. R., Cr., 53

101. Criminal Procedure Code, 1861, s. 252 .- A Magistrate could not refuse to allow witnesses whom he allowed to be crossexamined by the accused previous to the preparation of a charge to be recalled and cross-examined after the accused had been put upon his defence, under section 252 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, treating them as witnesses for the prosecution. IN THE MATTER OF THAKOOR DYAL SEN

17 W. R., Cr., 51

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF NOBIN 25 W. R., Cr., 32 CHAND BANERJEE

- Warrant cases. Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, Ch. XVII.-In the trial of warrant cases the accused may, after the charge is drawn up and the witnesses for the defence have been examined, recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution. Talluri Venkayya v. Queen . . . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 180

 Right of accused to cross-examine witness.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218.—An accused person had, under section 218 of Act X of 1872, the right to recall and crossexamine the witnesses for the prosecution at any time while he was engaged on his defence and before his trial was concluded. He is not precluded from asserting and exercising the right, by reason of his having cross-examined them before he was put on his defence, or by reason of his not having, suo motu, expressed his wish to do so at the time he was called upon to enter on his defence, and when the witnesses were in attendance in the Court and did not require to be re-summoned. QUEEN v. LALL SINGH [6 N. W., 270

Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218 .- Recall of witnesses for rosecution .- Under section 218 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a Magistrate is not competent to refuse to recall the witnesses for the prosecution to be cross-examined by the accused, and it is not necessary for the accused to show that he has reasonable grounds for his application. QUEEN v. AMIRUDDIN FAKEER . 21 W. R., Cr., 29

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION-continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination-continued.

- Cross-examination previous to framing of charge. - Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 218 .- An accused person was held to be not deprived of the right given him by section 218, Act X of 1872, to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution after the charge had been drawn up against him, by reason of the witnesses having been cross-examined before the charge was framed. A Magistrate should not of his own motion discharge the witnesses for the prosecution until the necused person has exercised or waived the right of cross-examination given him by the section. it becomes necessary to adjourn the hearing the Magistrate should in all cases enquire of the accused if he desires to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, or consents to the dis-charge of all or any of them. If the accused consents to their discharge, and they are discharged accordingly, he is not entitled to have them re-summoned as a matter of right. Where it became necessary to adjourn the hearing, and the Magistrate did not call upon the accused to exercise his right under the section, and there was no sufficient proof that the accused consented to the discharge of the witnesses for the prosecution, it was held that the accused was entitled to have the witnesses, whom he desired to cross-examine at the further hearing, re-summoned. Quere.—If the Magistrate before granting an ad-journment called upon the accused to exercise his right of recalling the witnesses for the prosecution, and the accused refused to do so at that time, whether the Magistrate would therenpon be at liberty to discharge the witnesses. Queen v. Lall . 6 N. W.,1284 MAHOMED .

106.

Right of accused to cross-examine witnesses.—Examination of accused.—Discretion of Magnistrate.—An accusal should be allowed, at preliminary inquiries before a Magnistrate, to cross-examine the witnesses; but whether the accused insight shall be examined upon the matter of the charge by the Magnistrate is left entirely to the discretion of the Magnistrate and such discretion should not be exercised when the Magnistrate thinks that the evidence for the presention does not disclose any proper subject of ordinary accusing the prisoner. Querker, Shaka Sux-Eus Biswas.

10 W. R., Cr., 25

107. Right of accessed to recall witnesses for prosecution.—Criminal Procedure Code (£4: X of 1872), ss. 217, 218.—Reading sections 217 and 218 of the Criminal Procedure Code together, it appears that, if an accused person desires to revall not cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, the time at which he should express such desire is when the charge is read over to him and he is called upon to make his defence; and atthough it is in the discretion of the Magistrate to recall the witnesses at a nabequent stage of the case,

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES-continued. 5. EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES-continued.

(c) CROSS-EXAMINATION-continued.

Recalling witnesses for cross-examination-continued.

the accused has no right to insist upon the witnesses being recalled. FAIZ ALI v. KOROMDI

[I. L. R., 7 Cale., 28

S. C. IN THE MATTER OF FAIZ ALI [S C. L. R., 325

103. Cross-examination of witnesser for the prosecution.—As a rule the proper and convenient time for the purpose of crosscamination of the witnesses for the prosecution is at the commencement of the accused person's defence; but it is in the discretion of the Criminal Court to allow the accused to recall and cross-examine the wifnesses for the prosecution at any period of the defence when the Court may this such a step right and proper. KHURRUCKDHARES SINGH v. PEDSHADER MUXDUL. 122 W. R., CT., 44 MUXDUL. R., CT., 45

- Refusal to allow arcused to recall witnesses for prosecution .- Waiver of right by accused .- Where certain accused persons, who were convicted of using criminal force, had not been allowed to recall and cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, because the trying officer believed that such witnesses could only be recalled imme-diately after the framing of the charge, -Held that accused persons always had a right to recall prosecution witnesses, which ceased only when they themselves waived it; that Magistrates could waive all inconvenience to witnesses by asking accused persons, on the drawing up of charges, whether they required the further attendance of the witnesses; and that the conviction must be set uside because the accused had not enjoyed the protection provided by the law. QUEEN v. RAM KISHAN HALWAI 125 W. R., Cr., 48

A THE THIRD CH

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE.

110. — Weight of evidence, —Single veilness, —Evidence of fuct.—The evidence of one witness, if reliable, is sufficient to prove a fact. ZALEM MISSER C. KUNDUN KOOER [1] W. R., 194.

Balindur Narain v. Kalla Messoo Koos [18 W. R., 341

PROSONNO NABAIN DEB v. ROMONEE DOSSEE [10 W. R., 236

111. — Discrepancies in evidence of witnesses.—Eiffect of discrepancies.—Discrepancies in the evidence of witnesses are not the less destructive of their testimony because agraeter sugacity on the part of the witnesses would have avoided them. Reg. e. Kalu Pattl. . 11 Bom., 146

112. Consideration of evidence.

—Assumption of bad character of prisoner.—A

Judge cannot properly weigh evidence which starts

WITNESS-CRIMINAL CASES—continued.

6. CONSIDERATION AND WEIGHT OF
EVIDENCE—continued.

Consideration of evidence-continued.

with an assumption of the general bad character of the prisoners. QUEEN v. KALU MAL

[7 W. R., Cr., 103

113. — Value of evidence. — Value of evidence. — Value of evidence of medical withess. — In trying a prisoner charged with giving false evidence, a Sessions Judge rejected facts which were proved by the evidence of certain witnesses, because a medical officer gave it as his opinion that what the witnesses deposed to could not be true. Held that it was not the proper way to try a case to rely on mere theories of medical men or skilled witnesses of any sortagainst facts positively proved. QUEEN v. AIMMED ALIX

[11 W. R., Cr., 25

WORKMAN.

See ACT XIII OF 1859.
[2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32
I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100
I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96
I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379

WRITTEN STATEMENT.

See Admission — Admissions in State-MENTS AND PLEADINGS. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904 1 B. L. R., A. C., 133

[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904 1 B. L. R., A. C., 133 9 W. R., 83, 130, 290 22 W. R., 220 16 W. R., 257

See False Evidence—Generally. [I, L, R, 6 All, 626

Form and contents written statement.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, s. 123,-Defendant's written statement.-Variance between pleading and proof.—Section 123 of the Civil Procedure Code contemplated that a defendant should, in his written statement, set forth the case he intends to make at the trial. The rule followed in Eshenchunder Singh v. Shamachurn Bhutto, 11 Moore's I. A., 7; Mohummud Zahoor Ali Khan v. Rutta Koer, 11 Moore's I. A., 468; and Narainee Dossee v. Nurrohurry Mohuto, Marsh., 70, that a plaintiff must be held to the state of facts and equities alleged and pleaded by him in his plaint, or involved in or consistent therewith, applies also to the case made on the pleadings by a defendant. Therefore, where the defendant in a suit in ejectment averred in his written statement that the land in dispute was in fact his, but had previously to 1865 been encroached on by the plaintiff, who, in 1865, was about to erect a building thereon, and that the defendant then, in order to avoid litigation, compromised the dispute by payment to the plaintiff of a sum of money, and purchased the land, and had since then remained in possession of it,—Held that the only defence open to the defendant was that of purchase, and that he could not be allowed at the trial to prove a case of continuous user and possesWRITTEN STATEMENT. — Form and contents of written statement—continued. sion adverse to the plaintiff commencing before 1865. CHOVA KARA v. ISA BIN KHALIFA

[I. L. R., 1 Bom., 209

2.— Argumentative statement.—A written statement should not be argumentative. Bishen Sahane Singh v. Beer Kr. shore Singh. 8 W. R., 296

3. Offer without prejudice.—Irrelevant matter.—Act VIII of 1889, s. 124.—An offer without projudice should be omitted from the pleadings. In a suit where the written statement of the plaintiff contained letters relative to an offer made by the defendants without prejudice, the Court ordered, on the application of the defendant, that the paragraphs of the written statement relating to the offer should be struck out. HAEFORD 9. EAST INDIAN RAINWAY COMPANY

[12 B. L. R., Ap., 19

4. Irrelevant matter.

—Application to take written statement off the file.—Where there was in a written statement matter irrelevant and improper, the Court, on application, ordered it to be taken off the file, with leave to file a fresh one in a week. Written statements should set out the bond file nature of the defence, and nothing else. KASUBALD DEF O. TREMEARS

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 12

fle for irrelevancy.—Relevant matter.—Trander of written statement.—The Court has jurisdiction to take a written statement off the file, for irrelevancy, until it is "tendered," which is when it is produced at the heaving of the suit. "Relevancy" is to be judged by what the defendant believed to be material to his case, and not whether it did in fact disclose a good defence to the action. Keshavit Naik Naskavani Arbersie Wadla. 10 Bom., 425

6. — Court fee on written statement. — Code of Givil Procedure (Ast PIII of 1559), s. 120. — Act X of 1877, s. 110. — Court Fees Act (FII of 1870), s. 120. — A written statement of his case, tendered by a party to a suit at any time before or at the first hearing of the suit, is not liable to any court fee, and may be written on plain paper (section 110 of Act X of 1877). A written statement called for by the Court after the first hearing, is also exempt from stamp duty (section 19 of Act VII of 1870). NAGW. V FERNATURE.

[I. L. R., 5 Bom., 400 CHERAG ALI v. KADIR MAHOMED

[12 C. L. R., 367

7. Verification of written statement—Admission on record without verification.—A written statement filed by the defendant should be verified, but if admitted on the record without verification its allegations should be noticed and issues framed accordingly. RADHACHURE ROY v. MORAN & CO.

13 W. R., 342

WRITTEN STATEMENT. - Verification of written statement-continued.

8. Application to verify.—Notice.—Practice.—Where an application is made that a written statement be verified by a person other than the plaintiff or defendant, it is always desirable that notice, be given to the other side, although not absolutely necessary. Finlar, CAMPBELL, & CO., e. STEBLE

[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39

9. Application to verify by agent.—Notice.—The Court will allow a written statement to be getified by the constituted attorney of the party without notice to the other side. OVERNED, GUENEY, & CO., N. N. TREBE [1] Ind. Jur., N. S., 40

10.— Filing written statement,— Time for filing.—Under the Code of Civil Procedure a plaintiff cannot file a written statement after having seen that of the defendants, and by way of rejoinder thereto. Jadus Ram Den dine Jadus CHUNDER DER DE MENGENT MEDDER

[5 W. R., 56

11. Filing and verifying written statement on behalf of plaintiff—Crivil Procedure Code, 1553, ss. 29, 123.—The plaintiff in a sult went on a pilgrimage after he had been ordered to lite a written statement, but without having filed it. Not having returned when the case was on the board, his son applied, under sections 28 and 123 of Act VIII of 1850, for leave to verify and file a statement, alleging biaself to be interested as a reversioner. The application was refused. Held that a third party will not be allowed to verify and file a written statement for a plaintiff who has culpably neglected to file one himself. DENOMOVE DOSSED W. TARRAGIURIN CONYDO CHOWNERY

[Bourke, O. C., 153

12. — Admission of written statements.—Civil Procedure Code, 1859, ss. 120, 122.

—The admission of written statements of the parties on various dates, nuless expressly called for by the Court, was held to be contrary to the provisions of sections 120 and 122 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1859. ALI NUTBE dias EMIDLA ALI. TORAN ALI alias MIEZA NAVAD W. E., 1864, 44

13. — Written statement by third party.—Power of Court.—A Court has no authority to receive a written statement in a suit from one who is not a party, or to permit such a person to appear at the hearing. Stenomoyee v. Bykung Chunder Mustoffe ... 25 W. R., 17

14. — Defendant neglecting to put in statement,—Adjournment of case.—Costs.—
In the event of a defendant neglecting to furnish a written statement, the Court will examine him as to the grounds of his defence; and should it appear desirable that a written statement should be put in, the case will be adjourned for that purpose at the expense of the defaulting party. RAMBUTTON C. OMIENTAL INLAND STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY C. BY HYGE, 89

WRITTEN STATEMENT-continued.

15. — Additional written statement.—Practice.—Act FIII of 1839, s. 122.—An application by the defendant for leave to file an additional written statement allowed on condition of the defendant paying the whole costs and furnishing a copy of the additional statement to the plaintiff free of charge. Distinction made between such an application by a plaintiff and one made by a defendant. Desmant Das C. SENATH GHOSE

[3 B. L. R., Ap., 11

16. Supplemental ctatements, Etting of.—Supplemental written statements cannot be filed after the parties have entered upon their case at the hearing. MINGHERSHAW BEZONJI v. NEW DHUBUMSEY SPINNING & WEAVING COMPANY I. L. R., 4 Bom, 576

17. Civil Proceeding
Code, 1859, s. 122.—A Court was held not to lave
done wrong in admitting a supplemental written
statement which it had eathed for under section 122,
Civil Procedure Code, 1859, which did not add to or
vary the plaintiff's claim. JAHAGEDE BUSSH P.
BHICKARES LALL ... 11 W. R., 71
W. R., 71

18. Statement explaining plaining plaining plaining plainit—A written statement which was in explanation of the plaint and not starting a new case was allowed to be put in by the plainting after evidence was taken, and the defendant not being prejudiced by its alunission. LALL MAHOMED v. DHOOLES RAM DOSS

22 W. R., 377

22 W. R., 377

19. Objections to written statement.—Sunday.—Four ever days."—Civil Procedure Code, 1539, s. 124.—A written statement has been "four clear days" upon the file in compliance with the rule 28, although the hat of such days is a Sunday. Objections to the written statement on the ground stated in section 124 of Act VIII of 1839 cumon be taken when the suit is ripe for hearing. SMALEWOOD v. PAREY

20. — Raising question not vaised in written statement. — Omissis not raise equitable defence in written statement.—A defendant is not precluded from availing himself of any equity which might arise out of the facts proved at the trial, merely because he has not raised that equity on the face of his written statement. Gour Chunder Biswas e greens countries have been as the property Biswas e greens countries have been as the property of the Biswas e greens countries have a superior of the property of

[7 W. R., 120

21. Raising question of jurisdiction.—Fresh issue.—Practice.—Where a question of jurisdiction had not been raised in a written statement, the defence therein being limited to a statement of the merits of the case, an application to raise an issue as to jurisdiction was granted on payment of the costs of the adjournment to enable the plaintiff to meet the case set up. ROIDENDIAL & PALMER.

WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT.

1. — What amounts to imprisonment.—Suit for damages.—The detaining of a WRONGFUL CONFINEMENT. - What amounts to imprisonment-continued.

person in a particular place, or the compelling him to go in a particular direction by force of an exterior will overpowering or suppressing in any way his own voluntary action, is an imprisonment on the part of the person exercising that exterior will. Paran Eusam Nadasaya Pantulu e. Stuart 2 Mada, 396

2. Nature of confinement,

Penal Code (Act XLV of 1860), 8.346.—In an
order to render a person hibbe under section 346 of the
Penal Code, it must be shown that the wrongful confinement was of such a nature as to indicate an
intention that the person confined should not be
discovered. IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF
SHEENATH BANKBAFE. EMPRISS OF SHEENATH BANKBAFE.

I. LR. 9 (2016, 221

3. —— Unlawful commitment by person in authority.—Hiegal arrest.—Penal Code, s. 220.—Pressupption of malice.—Proof of an unlawful commitment to confinement will not of itself warrant the legal inference of malice. Knowledge that such commitment is contrary to law is a question of fact and not of law, and must be proved in order to satisfy the requirements of section 220 of the Penal Code. Heg. c. Narran Barati 19 Born. 346

4. — Obtaining arrest of wrong person.—Liability of person setting Court in motion.—Where a wrong person is arrested and imprisoned under a decree to which he is no party, the person setting the Court in motion is not liable for such arrest and imprisonment if he did not obtain the process fraudulently or improperly. BIMEMA CHARDUR. DOWN MUETER 8 Mad., 38

5. — Illegal arrest,—Malice,—Four persons, two of them police constables and two village officers, were convicted of wrongful confinement and abetanent thereof. The defendants, the village officers, unticiously directed the arrest of certain persons for resisting the detention of certain pigs found trespussing. Held, a good conviction. ANONIMOUS 5. Mad., Ap., 24

WRONGFUL CONVERSION.

See Damages—Measure and Assessment of Damages—Torts.

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 116 5 Bom., O. C., 140

See ONUS PROBANDI-WRONGFUL CON-VERSION . . . 7 W. R., 286

WRONGFUL DISMISSAL

See Cases under Master and Servant.

Suit for, against Government.

See Master and Servant.

[7 B. L. R., 688

WRONGEUL DETENTION.

Detention of accused by Police Inspector.—Criminal Procedure Code, 1872, s. 124.—

WRONGFUL DETENTION-continued.

Per GLOVER, J.—Where a Sub-Inspector of Police is charged with having detained prisoners for more than twenty-four hours, it is not necessary for the Crown to prove that he detained them with a guilty knowledge, as section 124, Act X of 1872, imperatively lays down that accused persons are on no account to be detained beyond that time except under special order of the Magistrate, which was not obtained in this case. QUEEN v. BASOGRAM DASS

[10 W. R., Cr., 36

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT.

See Bengal Rent Act, VIII of 1869, s. 27.
[3 B. L. R., Ap., 74
6 W. R., Act X., 7, 33
9 W. R., 162

9 W. R., 162 Marsh., 264 15 W. R., 451 3 B. L. R., A. C., 261 7 W. R., 41

7 W. R., 41
See BENGAL RENT ACT, VIII of 1869, s. 100.

[Marsh., 470 3 W. R., Act X, 139 See Private Defence, Right of—

[23 W. R., Cr., 40 See Rioting . . 8 Mad., Ap., 11

See TRESPASS-GENERAL CASES.
[23 W. R., Cr., 40

1. — Cutting and carrying away crops.—Persons put in possession in execution of a decree.—Certain putnidars who, in execution of a decree for khas possession, had been put in nominal possession of their lands, instead of outsing the ryots allowed them to enlitvate, and when they had cultivated ent and carried away their crops. Held that the act of the putnidars was an abuse of the law of distraint, and rendered them liable for damages. Adors Monuro Chuckerbutty of Thakook Moner Dames 10 W. B., 70 W. B

2. Crops, Seizure of.—Act X of 1839, ss. 142 and 143.—Trespass.—Certain sub-lessees sued in the Collector's Court the zemindar and others employed by him for the value of crops seized and carried away, under a certificate, as was alleged by the defendants, granted to them by the Collector, but which they failed to produce. Held that sections 142 and 143 of Act X of 1859 applied to the case. Section 143, Act X of 1859, contemplated not only the case of a person who professes to follow the provisions of the law, though he has no power to distrain, but also the case of a person who, under colour of the Act, does distrain, but does not do so according to the provisions of the Act. Such persons were considered by that section as trespassers, and were liable to the penalty of trespass, in addition to damages which may be awarded against them by the Revenue Court. Radha Mohan Naskan v.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 261; 12 W. R., 68

3. Person acting without authority.—Act X of 1859, s. 143.—Trespasser.—Section 143, Act X of 1859, did not apply when a

WRONGFUL DISTRAINT,-Person acting without authority-continued,

distrainer acted without the authority of the superior holder. In such a case he was a mere trespasser. ROWSHUN v. BHOLANATH DOSS

15 W. R., Act X. 67

- Persons removing property under rent law .- Procedure .- Penal Code, s. 379.—Persons removing property under the provi-sions of the rent law relating to distraint ought not to be proceeded against under the criminal law, but the parties aggrieved by a wrongful distraint should have recourse to the remedy provided by Pengal Act VIII of 1869. IN THE MATTER OF AGHANI. AGHANI D. BHAGI HARWAI

8 C. L. R., 204

- Right to sue to set aside wrongful distraint.—Right of landlord against trespasser.—A landlord whose tenant's crops have been wrongfully distrained by a stranger, has a right to sue to set aside such wrongful distraint. Hosno NARAIN v. SHOODHA KRISHTO BERAH

[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890: 4 C. L. R., 32

 Right to damages for wrongful distraint.—Beng. Act VIII of 1869, ss. 69, 78.—Liability to suit for damages.—When on the one hand a ryot institutes a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the Court has no option but must adjudicate upon the demand. If, on the other hand, the distrainer has distrained "otherwise than according to the provisions of the Rent Act," he has done so at his peril, and rendered himself liable to an action for damages by the owner of the distrained property. TARINEE KANT LAHIREE CHOWDREY v. RAJKISHORE TONTRY . . 24 W. R., 334

7. — Right to damages.—Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Suit to contest distraint.—Onus of proof .- Damages .- In a suit to contest the demand of a distrainer, the landlord is only required to prove the fact of tenancy and the amount of jumma: if thereupon the tenant pleads payment and payment is denied, the onus is on the tenant to prove his allegation. Before a tenant can obtain any decree for daniages on the ground of illegal distraint, he must prove what loss he has actually sustained. Ooman Dewan . 8 W.R., 220 D. PEANNATH MUNDUL

 Onus of proof.—Suit for damages for wrongful distraint.—Act X of 1859, s. 143. -In order to maintain a suit under section 143, Act X of 1859, it was necessary for the plaintiff to prove that the defendant in making the distress was acting not only without right, but without anything to justify him in supposing that he had a right to distrain-a mere trespasser without any reasonable foundation for the claim set up. RAYE KUMUL DOSSEE v. JHOROO MOLLAH . 15 W. R., 543 .

See JOYLOLL SHEIKH v. BROJONATH PAUL CHOW-9 W. R., 162

 Suit on account of property damaged by wrongful distrainer,-Act X of 1859, s. 142.—Damages for vexatious distraint, Power of Court to award.—When a snit had been WRONGFUL DISTRAINT .- Suit on account of property damaged by wrongful distrainer-continued.

brought under section 142, Act X of 1859, on account of property damaged or destroyed by neglect of a distrainer, the Court was not competent to award damages for vexations distraint. Such damages were properly awarded by the Collector under section 138, in a suit to contest the distrainer's demand. Non-KOO RAME. WOOSGUR ROY . 5 W. R., Act X, 68

- Procedure,-Beng. Act FIII of 1869, s. 101 .- Proceedings against persons wrong fully distraining .- When proceedings are taken before a Munsif, under Bengal Act VIII of 1869, section 101, he is bound, first, to inquire whether an offence has been committed, and if he is satisfied that it has, the only order he can make against the offenders (not being tenants) is that they shall pay the value of the crops distrained. PREM CHAND LAHA v. ADDOITO DOSS . 20 W. R., 445

WRONGFUL LOSS.

. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573 See MISCHIEF

WRONGFUL POSSESSION.

Trespasser.—Sams paid during wrongful possession, Right to recover.—Where a person has wrongfully taken possession of an estate and held it adversely to the true owner, and has, during his possession, paid certain sums for Government revenue on the supposition that he was the lawful owner (being however, in reality, nothing more than a trespasser and wrong-doer), he is not entitled to recover, as against the true owner, any sums so paid, even though such payments may have enured to the benefit of the true owner, but must be content to bear the burden of his own wrong. TILUCK CHAND v. SOUDAMINI DASI

I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566: 3 C. L. R., 456

IN EXECUTION OF DECREE.

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECU-

TION. See CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1892, s. 244 (ACT XXIII OF 1861, s. 11)-QUESTIONS

> [3 IV. W., 187 2 Agra, 105

5 Mad., 185 12 B. L. R., 201, 203, note; 207, note; 208, note

12 W. R., 85 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413

See Damages-Measure and Assessment

of Damages—Torts . 3 Agra, 202 [3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74 7 Mad., 235

See Execution of Decree-Liability FOR WRONGFUL EXECUTION.

[3 B. L. R., A. C., 413 12 B. L. R., 208, note I. L. R., 3 Bom., 74

WRONGFUL SEIZURE IN EXECU-TION-continued.

> See Cases under Sale in Execution of DECREE-WRONGFUL SALES.

WRONGFUL RESTRAINT.

See MISCHIEF . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55

Penal Code, ss. 339, 340, 342. -Police officer, Conduct of .- In a case of a police officer charged under Penal Code, section 342, where there was no malice, no intention of doing an act of the nature spoken of in section 339 or 340, and no voluntary obstruction or restraint, though there was probably excessive and mistaken exercise of powers not civilly excusable in a police officer, the facts were held not to amount to the criminal offence of wrongful restraint. In the matter of the petition of BUDROOL HOSSEIN . 24 W. R., Cr., 51

2. ____ s, 339.—Refusal to let person go until he gave bail.—Where a police officer refused to let a person go home until he had given bail, he was held to have been guilty of wrongful restraint under section 339 of the Ponal Code. Sheo SHURN SAHAI V. MAHOMED FAZIL KHAN

[10 W. R., Cr., 20

Restraint and taking money on false plea .- Where the accused prevented the complainants from proceeding in a certain direction with their carts and exacted from them a sum of money on a false plea,—*Held*, the accused were guilty of wrongful restraint and not theft, JOWANIE SHAH v. GRIDHAREE CHOWDIEY [10 W. R., Cr., 35

V

YEAR, AGRICULTURAL-

See N.-W. P. RENT ACT (ACT XVIII OF 1873), s. 94 . J. L. R., 1 All., 512

 \mathbf{z}

ZANZIBAR.

See CONSUL'S COURT AT ZANZIBAR. [L.L. R., 3 Bom., 58

ZEMINDAR.

See GRANT-CONSTRUCTION OF GRANTS. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 307 L. R., 13 I. A., 32

See GRANT-POWER TO GRANT. [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75, 774

Duty of .- Ancient tanks .- Negligence.—Statutory powers.—Liability for damage occasioned by owerflow of tanks.—The public duty of maintaining ancient tanks, and of constructing new ones, was originally undertaken by the Government of India, and upon the settlement of the country has, in many instances, devolved upon zemindars.

ZEMINDAR .- Duty of -- continued.

Such zemindars have no power to do away with these tanks, in the maintenance of which large numbers of people are interested, but are charged under Indian law, by reason of their tenure, with the duty of preserving and repairing them. The rights and liabilities of such zemindars with regard to these tanks are analogous to those of persons or corporations on whom statutory powers have been conferred, and statutory duties imposed. A zemindar, if the banks of any such tank in his possession are washed away by an extraordinary flood without negligence on his part, is not liable for damage occasioned thereby. MADRAS RAILWAY COMPANY v. ZEMIN-DAR OF CARVETINAGARAM

[14 B. L. R., 209 : 22 W. R., 279 L. R., 1 I. A., 364

S. C. in lower Courts. MADRAS RAILWAY COM-PANY v. ZEMINDAR OF KAVETINUGGUR. 5 Mad., 139

and after remand 6 Mad., 180 Kabuliat between Government

and-See Specific Performance - Specific PERFORMANCE NOT ALLOWED. [I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464

ZEMINDAR, POWER OF-

 Power to grant lease.—Lease granted for longer term than zemindar's engagement with Government.—Operation of Act XVI of 1842.

—A lease granted by a zemindar for a longer period than the term of his own engagement with the Government was not absolutely void for the excess, but only voidable, and might be confirmed. Semble, —that Act XVI of 1842 applied to agricultural leases, not to bona fide leases for other purposes. NITA RAM v. NANUCK DASS

[1 N. W., Part III, 47 : Ed. 1873, 103

- Hindu law .- Authority to grant leases as manager and owner .-Under the Hindu law the granting of a lease, though for a term, is an act within the scope of a zemindar's authority as manager and owner of the zemindari, and is, as such, binding on his successor, unless, in the circumstances in which it was made, it was otherwise than bonâ fide. RAMANADAN v. SRI-NIVASA MURTHI . I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80

- Power to alter boundaries. -Effect of arrangement altering boundary without sanction of Government.-Zemindars have no authority, without the sanction of Government, to alter the boundaries of their permanently-settled estates, and to transfer villages from one zemindari to another. Such an arrangement is of no binding effect, except detween one particles of Muddunmohun Ramchunder Banerjee v. Muddunmohun W. R., 1864, 355 effect, except between the parties who have made it.

- Power to charge estate with personal debts.—A decree for possession of certain land with wasilat obtained by a zemindar of an estate, as such, cannot be pledged by him as security for a ZEMINDAR, POWER OF. - Power to charge estate with personal debts-continued.

personal debt, nor for such a debt out the estate be made liable, nor his successor be held responsible. 'NIMAYE CHURN SEIN V. RAMMONEE BEREEE [10 W. R., 152

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF-

See JUEISDICTION OF CIVIL COURT—RENT AND REVENUE SUITS, N.-W. P. [I. L. R., 1 All., 338

See Madras Regulation XXV of 1802. [14 B.L. R., 115

See MEASUREMENT OF LANDS.
[3 B. L. R., Ap., 27

See RIGHT OF OCCUPANCY—TRANSER OF
RIGHT . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 774

1. Nature of zemindari estate.

—Power to deal with estate.—A zemindar's estate is analogous to an estate-tail as it originally stood upon the statute de donis. The zemindar is the owner of the zemindar, but can neither enember nor alieuate beyond the period of his own life. CHINTALAPATI CHINNA SIMHADRIRAJ R. ZEMINADAR OF VIZIANAGRAM . 2 MAd., 128

2. Collections of rent.—Claim to intermediate tenures.—One of proof.—A zemindar has, as such, a primd facie right to the gross collections from all the unutable within his zemindari. It is for parties setting up an intermediate tenure to prove their grant. PEAHLAD SEN v. DURGAFRASAD TEWMER.

[2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6 12 Moore's I. A., 286

3. Right to rent.—Payment of revenue by zemindar.—The right of a zemindar to exact from a tenant payment of rent for a certain piece of land, in no way depends on whether he does or does not pay revenue for that land. JOTENDEO MOHEN TAGORE V. AYMUN BERRE

[1 C. L. B., 366

4. Liability for rent.—Co-sharer in talook.—Held that a zemindar, by becoming a co-sharer in the talook, does not lose his right to the joint responsibility of all the other co-sharers for the dne payment of the rent; he only becomes bound to make an allowance for that portion which he as a co-sharer ought to pay. GOBINDO COOMAR CHOWDERY v. MANSON

[15 B. L. R., 56: 23 W. R., 152

5. Compensation.—Compensation to putuidar for loss sustained by erection of works by railway company.—A zemindar who receives his rents in full is not entitled to participate in compensation received by his putuidar for loss suffered by the latter in consequence of works being creeted on land included in the putui. MARHABAH OF BURBUAR N. WOOMA SOONDURE DOSSEE

10 W. R., 12

6. Sale in execution of decree.—Custom.—Charge on sale-proceeds.—Where a sale

ZEMINDAR, RIGHTS OF.—Sale in execution of decree—continued.

took place in execution of a decree, and it was proved by custom that the zemindar's right extended to one fourth of the sale-proceeds in cases of involuntary sale,—Hald that the zemindar had a right to recover the fourth share of the proceeds of sale from the judgment-creditor, who in truth reserved the sale price. The zemindar's right attached to the sale-proceeds, and was a prior charge upon the proceeds. BYN MARI PERSIAD v. MADOMBE FUR HOSSEIN

[2 Agra, Part II, 204

Custom .- Right to share of sale-proceeds .- Calculation of amount-Zemindari huq.—Where by custom the zemindar is entitled to a quarter share of the sale-proceeds as his huq zemindari, he is entitled to recover it on the occasion of sales, either absolute or originally conditional, but subsequently becoming absolute by forcelosure, from the vendor and the purchaser, and the latter cannot be discharged from his liability by proving that he has paid all, including zemindar's dues, to the former, it being incumbent on him to see that the zemindar is satisfied in respect of his dues. Held, further, that, under the circumstances, the plaintiffs, the zemindars, were entitled to one fourth from R450, the principal amount repayable, and not from the amount ascertained at the time of foreclosure to be due to the mortgagee, including interest, inasmuch as the decd made no provision for payment of any sum as interest. Heera Ram v. Dro Nabain Singh . Agra, F.B., 63 : Ed. 1874, 48

- Sale in execution of house in mohalla,-Wajib-ul-urz.-Liability of auction-purchaser.—Right of zemindar to huq-i-chaharam.—The zemindars of a certain moballa claimed from the purchaser of a house situated in such mohalla, which had been sold in execution of a decree, one fourth of the sale-proceeds of such house, such purchaser being the holder of such decree. Such suit was based upon the terms of the wajib-ul-urz. That document stated, inter alia, that, when a house in such moballa was sold, a cess called chaharam was received by such zemindars "according to the understanding arrived at between the seller and the zemindars." Held that such zemindars were not entitled under the terms of the wajib-ul-urz to one fourth of the sale-proceeds; that the decree-holder, because he happened to have become the auctionpurchaser, could not be regarded as the "seller," and it was only the "seller" who was liable; that the terms of the wajib-ul-urz were applicable only to private and voluntary sales and not to executionsales; and that, under these circumstances, the suit must be dismissed. BENI MADHO b. ZAHURUL-HAQ [I.L. R., 3 All., 797

9. — Sale of zemindar's right.— Right as tenant in another house.—Where a zemindar's right is sold by auction, it does not follow that, by the sale of the zemindari right, he for ficits his tenantright which he had in another putt in respect of a house. KAM BUKSH SINGH 0. PUNDOWLY KISHOKE [2 Agray, Part II, 202

ZEMINDAR AND RYOT.

See Cases under Bengal Rent Act. 1869.

See Cases under Landlord and Tenant. See Cases under Madras Rent Recovery ACT, 1865.

See Cases under Right of Occupancy.

ZEMINDARI DAKS.

Beng. Act VIII of 1862.-Effect of Act on liability of putnidars,—Bengal Act VIII of 1862 did not relieve putnidars from their liability under the old laws of paying the zemindari dak charges. BISSONATH SIECAE v. SHURNOMOYEE [4 W. R., 6

- Liability of putnidar .- Where the terms of a putnee lease did not make the putneeder liable for the maintenance of the zemindari dak's, it was held that the putnidar was not liable for a tax which was imposed on the

ZEMINDARI DAKS .- Beng. Act VIII of 1862-continued.

zemindar by Bengal Act VIII of 1862. RAKHAL Doss Mookerjee v. Shueno Moyee

'6 W. R., 100

- Liability of putnidar.—The provision in a pottah that if any item is laid upon the zemindar over and above the sudder jumma, the putnidar shall bear a rateable proportion of it, held not to include the charges connected with the zemindari dak. ROHINEE KANT ROY v. TRIPOORA SOONDUREE DASSIA . 8 W. R., 45

SARODA SOONDERY DEBIA v. WOOMA CHURN SIRCAR . . 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17

ZEMINDARI DUES AND CESSES, SUIT FOR-

See SMALL CAUSE COURT, MOFUSSIL-JURISDICTION-CESS.

[I. L. R., 1 All., 444

APPENDIX.

ABATEMENT OF SUIT.

- Appeal. - Civil Procedure Code, 1882, s. 366.—Application by legal representative to carry on appeal.—The appellant's father having died during the pendency of an appeal lodged by him, a notice was served upon the appellant's two adult brothers; but they having failed to apply within sixty days, the appellant, who was a minor, applied several months afterwards to be put on the record in his deceased father's place as his legal representative, which was done. The Assistant Judge who heard the appeal was of opinion that, in consequence of the omission on the part of the brothers of the appellant to apply, the appeal abated, and he passed an order accordingly. Held that the application having been made by the minor son within the time limited by law, the order of abatement made by the Judge was wrong. Although the complete legal representation vested in the minor son and his two brothers, section 366 of the Civil Procedure Code (Act XIV of 1882) only required an application to be made by a person claiming to be the legal representative, in order to prevent an order of abatement being made. If neither of the brothers was willing to have his name placed on the record, the respondent was entitled to have them made defendants, so that they might be bound by the decree. The minor son could then proceed alone with the appeal. Bui-KAJI RAMCHANDRA v. PURSHOTAM [I. L. R., 10 Bom., 220

ABETMENT.

Tenal Code, s. 107.—Act I of 1879 (Stamp Act), s. 61.—Abetment of making an unstamped receipt.—A debtor, Inving paid a sum of money to his creditor, accepted from the latter an unstamped receipt promising to affix a stamp thereto. Held that this did not constitute abetment, within the meaning of section 107 of the Penal Code, of the offence of making an unstamped receipt. Empress v. Bahadur Singh, Weekly Motes, All., 1885, p. 30, distinguished. Empress v. Janki, I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82; and Empress v. Bahaton, Weekly Motes, All., 1894, p. 37, referred to. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. MITTHU LAI. . I. L. R., 8 All., 18

ACCOMPLICE.

See Cases under Approver.

 Corroboration—Evidence of accomplices—It is an established rule of practice that an accomplice must be corroborated by independent evidence as to the identity of every person whom he impeaches. Reg. v. Malapai, 11 Bona, 1795; and Reg. v. Nahu, t. L. R., 1 Bona, 475, followed. Queen-Empless v. Krisinaminar
 T. L. R., 10 Bonn, 319

ACCOMPLICE. Corroboration -continued.

2. Evidence of accomplices.—Observations on the necessity of requiring corroboration, in material particulars, of the evidence of an accomplice. Queen-Empress v. Ram Saran, I. L. R., S. All., 206, referred to. QUEER-EMPRESS S. INDAD KIMN I. I. K. R., S. All., 120

Evidence of accomplices .- Act I of 1872, ss. 114 (b), 133 .- The law in India, as expressed in section 133 and section 114 of the Evidence Act, and which is in no respect different from the law of England on the subject, is that a conviction based on the uncorroborated testimony of an accomplice is not illegal,-that is, it is not unlawful; but experience shows that it is unsafe, and hence it is the practice of the Judges, both in England and in India, when sitting alone, to guard their minds carefully against acting upon such evidence when uncorroborated, and, when trying a case with a jury, to warn the jury that such a course is unsafe. There must be some corroboration independent of the accomplice, or of a co-confessing prisoner, to show that the party accused was actually engaged directly in the commission of the crime charged against him. A second accomplice does not improve the position of the first, and, if there are two, it is necessary that both should be corroborated. The accomplice must be corroborated not only as to one but as to all of the persons affected by the evidence, and corroboration of his evidence as to one prisoner does not entitle his evidence against another to be acceptto de without corroboration. R. v. Webb, 6 C. & P., 595; R. v. Dyke, 8 C. & P., 261; R. v. Addis, 6 P. P., 388; and R. v. Wilkes, 7 C. & P., 272, referred to. The possession of property taken from a murdered person is not adequate corroboration of the evidence of an accomplice charging such person in possession with participation in the murder, though it would no doubt be corroboration of evidence that the prisoner participated in a robbery, or that he had dishonestly received stolen property. In the trial of R., S., and M. upon a charge of murder, the evidence for the prosecution consisted of (i) the confession of P., who was jointly tried with them for the same offence; (ii) the evidence of an accomplice; (iii) the evidence of witnesses who deposed to the dis-covery in R.'s house of property belonging to the deceased; and (iv) the evidence of witnesses who deposed that, on the day when the deceased was last seen alive, all the prisoners were seen together near the place where the body was afterwards found.

Held that there was no sufficient corroboration of the statements of the accomplice or of the co-confessing prisoner P. QUEEN-EMPRESS v. RAM SARAN [I. L. R., 8 All, 306

ACCUMULATIONS.

See HINDU LAW-WIDOW-INTEREST IN
ESTATE OF HUSBAND — BY INHERITANCE . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478

ACT-1842-IV.

See Madras Boat Rules.
[I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431

See Magistrate, Jurisdiction of-Powers of Magistrates. [I. L. R., 9 Mad., 481 ACT-1856-XV.

See Hindu Law-Marriage-Validity or otherwise of Marriage. [I. L. R., 8 All., 143

See Mahomedan Law-Guardian. [I. L. R., 8 All., 322

1868-VI (N.-W. P. MUNICIPAL) IMPROVEMENT). See N.-W. P. AND OUDH MUNICIPALITIES ACT, 1883, 88, 69, 71. [I. L. R., 8 All., 776

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
A Abad Ali Pradham v. Jamiruddin Mahomed Abadi Begam v. Asa Ram v. Inam Begam Abadi Husain v. Jurawam Lal Abadi Husain v. Jurawam Lal Abadi Husain v. Jurawam Lal Ababi bin Sankroji v. Niloji bin Baloji Abas v. Ibrahimji Abas Ali Kanul Aradin v. Ghulam Muhammad Abasi Begum v. Umda Khanum Abba Haji Ishmail v. Abba Thara Abbaka v. Ammu Shettati Abbas Ali, In the matter of Abbaka N. Ammu Shettati Abbas Ali, In the matter of Abbas Ali (howdhry v. Ilim Meah Abbas Ali (howdhry v. Ilim Meah Abbas Ali v. Nund Coomar Ghose Abbase Begum v. Rajroop Kooer Abbilakh Singh v. Khub Lall Abbo Bibee v. Collector of Backergunge Abbott v. Abbott and Crump v. Crump Abdool, In re v. Lucky Narain Mundul Abdoola bin Shaik Ally v. Stephens Abdool Adood v. Mahomed Makmil Abdool Ali In the matter of the petition of v. Abdour Rahman v. Abidunnissa Khatoon v. Ashrufur v. Mullick Sudderoodeen Abmed v. Ramgutty v. Werner v. Yar Ali Khan Chowdhry alias Shongeea v. Kurrumnissa	I. L. R., 1 All., \$21 I. L. R., 7 All., \$86 2 Bom., 262: 2nd Ed., 342 5 Bom., A. C., 119 1 Bom., 36 I. L. R., 1 All., 598 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 724 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 253 4 Mad., 137 18 W. R., Cr., 17 14 W. R., Cr., 46 3 Moore's I. A., 156 7 W. R., 123 1 C. L. R., 368 I. L. R., 8 C., 51 5 B. L. R., 109 8 W. R., Cr., 32 I. L. R., 10, 21 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 562 15 B. L. R., 197 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 562 15 B. L. R., 197 2 Iw. R., 429 W. R., 1864, Mis., 41 25 W. R., 287 16 W. R., 287 16 W. R., 287 16 W. R., 287 12 W. R., 429 W. R., 1864, Mis., 41 25 W. R., 287 16 W. R., 287 16 W. R., 287 18 W. R., 73, 239 18 W. R., 74	1228, 1234, 1258 1258 3718, 3722, 1263 2849 340, 752 1344 3088 4727 3316 33572 1951 4101 1776 4006 1982 5613 2882 1556 415 416 419 2947, 2955 1842, 4456 671 8828 877 997, 1492, 2927 2947, 2927 2927, 2927 2927 2927 2927 2927 2927 2927 2927

		Column
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Abdoola Rohoman Moosan v. The "Thames"	Bonrke, Åd., 21	5646
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 356	5491
Abdool Azeez v. Dorab Ally Khan	17 W. R., 113: 10 B. L. R., 45	5613
v. Shumsunnissa	11 W. R., 263	5751
Abdool Azim Chowdhry v. Mahomed Kabeer	11 W. R., 281	1426
Abdool Aziz Biswas v. Radha Kant Kobiraj	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 226	4774
Abdool Baree v. Nittyanund Koondoo	21 W. R., 163	215, 3804
Abdool Bari. In the matter of the petition of	21 W. R., 103 25 W. R., Cr., 50	4736, 4737
v. Ramdass Coopdoo	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677	5353
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 631 : 8 C. L. ?	3699
Abdool Futteh Moulvie v. Zabunnessa Khatun . {	R., 242.	
Abdool Ghunnee v. Goodree Rai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 192	1389, 2860
Abdool Guffoor. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 54	1853
Abdool Guffoor Khan Chowdhry v. Golam Nujuf	16 W. R., 298	5050
Abdool Gunnes v. Bhutto Sheikh	22 W. B., 350	1656, 1756
2 Gour Monee Debia	9 W. R., 375	4436, 5830
	2 Hay, 409	2982
n. Kishannud Doss alias Kebul Ram Doss	17 W. R., 350	5029
v. Poorno Chunder Roy	9 U - 594	2982
or round dramating	11 B. L. R., 203: 19 W. R.,	
Abdool Gunney v. Zamoorudoonissa Khanum .	171: L. R., I. A., Sup. }	1439
The state of the s	Vol., 165.	
Abdool Gunny v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	2 W. R., 239	4208
Abdool Hakim v. Doorgaproshad Banerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 4	1096
Abdool Hamed v. Promothonath Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 218	2808
Abdool Hekim v. Ascentoollah	25 W. R., 94	3490, 3496
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 36: 13 C.	1160, 1189,
Abdool Hossein v. Lall Chand Mohtan	L. R., 323	3802
v. Luteefoonnissa	11 W. R., 235	3748
Abdool Hossein Khan v. Gobind Chandra Shaha	11 W. R., 404	3723
Abdool Hnq v. Ambur Ali	8 W. R., 422	5784
v. Myah Bewah Abdool Huq Chowdhry v. Idrak Abdool Hyd v. Lalla Nowah Roy	W. R., 1864, 184	3983 .
Abdool Huq Chowdhry v. Idrak	21 W. R., Cr., 57	490
Abdool Hyd v. Lalla Nowah Roy	1 W. R., 204	860
	23 W. R., 1	5457, 5493,
Abdool Hye v. Macrae	1 .	6015
v. Mitterjeet Singh	23 W. R., 348	1252
v. Nawab Raj	9 W. R., 196	5452
v. Ram Churn Singh	11 W. R., 445	2897
v. Mitterjeet Singh. v. Nawab Raj De Ram Churn Singh Abdool Jaleel v. Kalee Coomar Dutt Abdool Jaleel v. Kalee Coomar Dutt	6 W. R., Mis., 3	4535
Abdoor of about 2. Make Churn Dutt	7 W. R., 81	5176
Abdool Jubbar Chowdhry v. Collector of Mymensingh .	11 W. R., 65	3649
Abdool Juleel v. Kanchun Dossee	24 W. R., 143	3473
Abdool Kadir v. Abin Mirdha	24 W. R., 290	6234
Abdool Kadir Khan v. Magistrate of Purneah	11 B. L. R., Ap., 8: 20 3	6029
	W.R., Cr., 23.	
Abdool Khalek v. Poran Bibee	25 W. R., 542	3669
Abdool Khan v. Upendro Chunder Bhuttacharjee	14 W. R., 278	3956
Abdool Khyrat v. Jumalooddeen Hossein	10 W. R., 244	4913
Abdool Kureem v. Awlad	18 W. R., 141	755
v. Jain An	18 W. R., 56	5405
v. Jann Ali v. Mea Jan v. Munsoor Ali	6 W. R., 288	2704
	12 W. R., 396	2981
v. Omer Chand Lahata v. Ooghun Lal	24 W. R., 461	3046
v. Oognun Lai	6 W. R., Mis., 119	221, 5730
v. Suffur Ali	11 W. R., 118	1740

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Abdool Kureem Biswas v. Campbell Abdoollah. In the matter of the petition of v. Reasut Hossein v. Shaha Mujeesooddeen v. Shaha Mujeesooddeen v. Shokoor Ali Abdoollah Khan v. Ameerun Abdool Luteef. In the matter of the petition of v. Mahomed Kamil Abdool Adanah v. Baroda Kant Banerjee Abdool Oahab Chowdhry v. Elias Banoo Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee Abdool Rohoman v. Dataram Bashee Abdool Sobhan Abdool Shukoar v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Sobhan Abdool Sukokava v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Sukokava v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Sukokava v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Sukokava v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Suhokava v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Suhokava v. Rahem-oon-nissa Abdool Sobhan v. In the matter of the petition of v. Kisto Lal Ghose Abdoos Sobhan v. Brahma Deo Navain Abdooz Salam v. Imraloonissa Bebee Abdul Ali j. Abdsatar v. Ahmed Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali v. Ashruffan v. Tarachand Ghose Abdul Ali v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Azim v. Khondkar Ahmed Ali Abdul Azim v. Rash Behari Pal Abdul Cadur Haji Mahomed v. Official Assignee v. Turner Abdul Gafoor v. Nurbanu Abdul Garon v. Nurbanu Abdul Garon v. Krishnaji Bhikaji Abdul Ganne Kasam v. Hussen Miya Rahimtnla Abdul Garon v. Nurbanu	14 W. R., 140 Marsh., 6: 1 Hay, 28 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 94: 2 C. L. R., 485. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 30, note: 14 W. R., 62. 6 B. L. R., 292 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 180 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 5 2 B. L. R., A. G., 63: 10 W. R., 356. I. L. R., 1 All., 338 6 C. L. R., 413 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 158 1 B. L. R., A. C., 78: 10 W. R., 111. 10 Bom., 416	159, 3817 5115 4764, 4840, 5115, 5126 2709 1918 4399, 4476 4477 3249 4559 4971 1716, 3071 1716, 3071 1718, 307

Name of Caso.			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Abdul Gunny v. Pogose		. {	4 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 12 W. 3	3505
Abdul Hakim v. Gunesh Dutt			I. L. R., 12 Calc., 323	5286
v. Tej Chandar Mukarji	•		I. L. R., 3 All., 815	1513
Abdul Hamed Chowdry. In re Abdul Hamid v. Dongaram Dey	:	: :	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96, note 3 B. L. R., Ap., 133	5863 1593, 492
v. Queen-Empress			I. L. R, 13 Calc., 349	2013
Abdul Hayai Khan v. Chunia Kuar . Abdul Hye v. Banee Pershad	•		I. L. R., 8 All., 377 21 W. R., 228	1493, 186 2075
v. Mahomed Mozaffar Hossein	•	. {	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 616: L.)	1396
v. Nawab Raj	•	. {		5452
Abdul Jabel v. Khelatchandra Ghose .	•	. ;	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 911 1 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 3	
Abdul Kadir v. Hurree Mohun	•	. {	W. R., 165.	0.00, 400
	•		6 N. W., 261	5978 3632, 3653
v. Salima	•		I. L. R., 8 All., 149 . }	5098
Abdul Karim v. Abdul Huque Kazi . v. Bullen			8 B. L. R., 205 : 15 W. R., 21	2652, 597
	•		I. L. R., 6 All., 385	6180 3133, 3226
v. Manji Hansraj	•		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 295 . {	4145
Abdul Kawder v. Muhammad Mera Abdul Kureem v. Chukhun	•		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 410	5278
v. Jaun Ali	:	: :	5 C. L. R., 253 18 W. R., 56	3501 2515
Abdulla v. Muhammad			1 Bom., 177	1779
Abdulla Khan v. Upendra Chandra Abdulla Rawutan v. Pakkeri Mahomed Rav	Tuton		6 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3956
v. Subbaravvar		: :	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 346	3045, 3068 334
Abdulla Saiba v. Abdulla Abdul Nassia v. Doolal Doss			I. L. R., 5 Bom., 8	5396
Abdul Rahim v. Racha Rai	•		11 C. L. R., 303	5466 5126, 5145
v. Ziban Bibi		: :	I. L. R., 5 All., 593	4833
Abdul Rahiman v. Khaja Khaki Aruth Abdul Rahman v. Muhammad Yar	•		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
v. Yar Muhammad	:		I. L. R., 4 All., 190 I. L. R., 3 All., 636	204 359, 5270
Abdul Razzak v. Amir Haidar	•		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 976 : L. R., }	i
bdul Rohman v. Sofy Mikhayesh Saheba	•	. !	11 1. A., 121.	4226
Doul Sabhan Chowdhry v. Shihkisto Dow	:		24 W. R., 293 3 B. L. R., Ap., 15	5760 4438
bdul Samad v. Rajindro Kishor Singh			I. L. R., 2 All., 357	232, 4423
bdul Vidona Jonas v. Harone Esmile	•		7 B. L. R., Ap., 21	4791
Abdul Wahid Khan v. Nuran Bibee	•	٠ .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 305 . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 597 : L. R., ?	3613
	•	• {	12 1. A., 91.	989, 3692
bdur Ali v. Bhyea Lal Jha		. {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 666: 7 C. L. }	4207
bdur Rohoman v. Sakhina		, }	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. ?	3740
bdur Ruhman v. Digamburee Dossee			R., 21. 18 W. R., 477	
bed Hossein v. Assud Aly			11 W. R., 29	570 1913
v. Lalla Ramsaran			13 B. L. R., 516, note: 13 7	704
bedoonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khat	oon .	ł	W. R., 426.	3679, 3732
belakh Roy v. Guggun Bhuggut	- :	:	17 W. R., 464	229
belakh Singh v. Sirram Singh			22 W. R., 269	301
	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 50	4359

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Aben Sha v. Cassiráo Baba Saheb	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 260	269 2274
	1 Mad., 393 6 P. L. R., 623	1544, 254
Abhai Charan Ghose v. Dasmani Dasi Abhai Pandey v. Bhagwan Pandey	I. L. R., 3 All., 818	1164, 287
ill in D. T.		2077, 2277
Abhassi Begum v. Rajroop Koonwar	R., 249.	
Abhaya Charan Dutt v. Haro Chandra Das Banik	4 B. L. R., Ap., 68	3236, 3293
Abhaya Chowdhry v. Brae	6 B. L. R., Ap., 148: 15 W. R., Cr., 42.	1806, 4489 4732
Abhaya Churn Chuckerbutty v. Gour Mohun Dutt	24 W. R., 26	3147, 318
ž –	(1 B. L. R., S. N., 21: 10 W.)	3162
Abhaya Durga v. Hari Krishna Gope	R., 285.	
Abhay Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Pyarimohan Guho Abhay Gobind Chowdhry v. Swarnamayi	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7	2373, 432 3192
Abhiram Doss v. Sriram Doss	3 B. L. R., A. C., 421: 12 W. R., 336.	2525, 486
Abhoy Churn Pal v. Kally Pershad Chatterjee .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 949 : 6 C. L. }	1431, 420
bhoy Nath Bose v. Chairman of the Municipal Committee of Kishnagur.	} 7 W. R., 92	504
bhram Ali v. Natha Jallam	5 Bom., A. C., 74	3830
bid Ali v. Munnoo Byas	2 Agra, 183	1928, 542
abid Hossein v. Reazun	15 W. R., 302	513
bidunnissa Khatun v. Amirunnissa Khatun .	[I. L. R., 2 Cale., 327 : L. R.,]	1917, 505
bilak Roy v. Rubbi Roy	1 I. R. 11 Calc. 293	5386
	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 293 15 W. R., 331	361
blakh Rai v. Ahmed Khan	, I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3026
v. Salim Ahmed Khan v. Udit Narain Rai v	. I. L. R., 2 All., 437	3029
v. Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 353	5200 1435
blak Ram v. Mohendro Pershad Tewaree	20 W. R., 365	3520
boo Imam v. Benee Ram bool Hossein v. Raghu Nath Sahu	I. L. B., 13 Calc., 70	4839
bool Mahomed v. Shib Doolaree Tewaree	11 W. R., 114	5482
boo Mahomed v. Kishen Mohun Surma	6 W. R., 290 · · ·	6019
boo Sait & Co. v. Arnott	} 2 Mad., 439	2051, 56
v. Dale)	426
braham. In re	. 6 Bom., A. C., 170	
v. Abraham	{ 1 W. R., P. C., 1: 9 Moore's } I. A., 195.	1148
		005 05
v. Queen	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 10 W. R., 350.	395, 85
bubekar bin Hagada Hajisaba v. Maibibi	. 6 Bom., A. C., 77	991
bu Hasan v. Ramzan Ali	. I. L. R., 4 All., 381	5378
bul Hasan v. Zohra Jau	. I. L. R., 5 All., 299	5615 3254
bul Munsoor n. Abdool Hamid alias Sabhan Miah	. I. L. R., 2 Calc., 98	
chal Ram v. Udai Partab Addiya Dat Singh	{ I. L. R., 10 Calc., 511: L. } R., 11 I. A., 51.	4224
champarambath Cheria Kunhammu v. Gantz	. 1 L. R., 3 Mad., 138	3091
chaya v. Ratnavelu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 253	3696
china Bibee v. Ajeejoonissa Bibee	I. L. R., 8 All., 641	6126
choo Bayaham v. Dhany Ram	4 Mad., 378	4809
chul v. Gunga Pershad	. 2 Agra, 73 · · · ·	4932
.chul Mahta v. Rajun Mahta	. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 812	3231
chumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah v. Makachinde Agath Makachi		4483

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
	\	4001
Achumbah Paurey v. Ram Sahoy Paurey	W. R., 1864, 136	4291 3890
Achumbeet Tewaree v. Bhugwant Pandey	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 161	1994, 4436
Achumbit Jha v. Jewun Achumbit Misser v. Lalla Nund Ram	11 C. L. R., 11	3900, 4003
Achumbit Shaha v. Rohimoonissa alias Bibee Noor Jan	24 W. R., 358	1372
Achumbit Singh v. Kesho Lall	20 W. R., 128	3903
v. Kunhya Lal Mohajun	7 W. B., 208	183, 5771
Achumbit Thakoor v. Choonee Lall Chowdhry	10 W. R., 27	3919
Achunth Singh v. Kishen Pershad Singh	W. R., 1864, 37 · · ·	4158
Achurbur Panday v. Buckshee Ram	2 W. R., 38	. 3728
Achuta v. Kali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 545	3764
Ackjoo Bibee v. Lallah Ram Chunder Lall Sahai	23 W. R., 400	4036
Act XI of 1849 as amended by Bengal Act III of 1873. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 250	3781
Act XIX of 1857. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394	952
200 222 02 2001 44 450 250000 04	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
Act X of 1866. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	951
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951
	. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953
	. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	943
	. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945 953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	936
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944
	. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	924
Act XX of 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W.	4757
ACCURAGE OF TOWN AND AND EMPLOYED OF A TOWN		27.07
	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W.	4800
	R., 386, note.	4764
Act XXVIII of 1866. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 576	6215
Act XVIII of 1869. In the matter of .	3 C. L. R., 13	5843
	(I. L. R., 4 Calc., 829 : 3 C. L.)	
	R., 597.	5841
Act II of 1874. In the matter of	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 340	4969, 5115
Act I of 1879. In the matter of	[I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C.]	5848
Adamkhan v. Alarakhi	L. R., 164.	6144
Adamson v. Arumugam	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 645	4272, 5260
Adanky Ramachandra Row v. Indukuri Appalaraju Gara	2 Mad., 451	2719
Adarji Dorabji v. Erakshah Dhanji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 272	1134
Adarji Edulji v. Manikji Edulji	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 414	5116
Addaita Charan Dey v. Peter Das	(13 B. L. R., 417, note: 17 W.)	53
	R., 383.	
Addoyto Chunder Dass v. Woojan Beebee Adheen Misser v. Jograf Misser	4 C. L. R., 154	5258
Adhirance Narain Coomary v. Shona Moles Pat Mahada	. 11 W. R., 312	2775
	i J. L. R., 1 Calc., 365	2430
Adhirani Narain Kumari v. Purikhit Rawtra .	R., 426.	5918
v. Raghu Mahapatro	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 50 .	4893
Adi Deo Narain Singh v. Dukharan Singh	. I. L. R., 5 All., 532	1417, 2451
Adimulam v. Pir Rayuthan	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 424	3395
Adimulam Pillai v. Kovil Chiuna Pillai Adioa Bibi v. Sububunnissa Bibi	. 2 Mad., 22	5698
Autua piut v. Supupunnissa Ribi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	3507

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Adinarayana Setti v. Minchin Adjin Mullah Moodeen v. Cruitshank Adjoadhia Gir v. Kashee Gir Adjoodhia Gir v. Kashee Gir Adjoodhia Gir v. Kashee Gir Adjoudhia Prasad. In the matter of Adjudhia Prasad. In the matter of ddministrator General of Bengal v. Ahmed Begg v. Anundo Chuuder Bose v. Apcar v. Juggeswar Roy v. Kedar Nath Moitry v. Lala Dyaram Doss Administrator General of Madras v. Annadachari	3 Mad., 297 21 W. R., 299 4 N. W., 31 3 N. W., 334 2 N. W., 199 7 E. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., 0. } C., 16. 1 L. R., 9 Cale, 33 21 W. R., 425 1 L. R., 3 Cale, 553 1 L. L. R., 3 Cale, 553 4 C. L. R., 102 6 E. L. R., 688 1 L. R., 688 1 L. L. R., 9 Mad., 466	305 1477 2150, 2529 408 2976, 3432 2663, 2674 1479 4590 6211 1037 3137 762 1149, 2439
v. Hawkins v. Lazar Admulam Pillai v. Kovil Chinna Pillai Adoo Maan v. Shibo Soondooree Adoremonee Dossee v. Premchund Mussaut Ador Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Thakoor Monee Dabee	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 267 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 244 2 Mad., 22 2 W. R., 295 9 W. R., 454 10 W. R., 70	131 6203, 6217 5088 35 1907 6278
Adram v. Hurbullub	2 N. W., 58 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 494: L. R., { 7 I. A., 162.	963, 5278 1465, 2223, 4134
Adur Monee Debia v. Koolo Chunder Chatterjee Adurmonee Dossee v. Kaminee Soondaree Debia	21 W. R., 140 3 W. R., Act X, 145 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 1	4759 5921 2165
Adu Shikdar v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 635 . {	1019, 4061 5994
Adut v. Naseeba Koocr	5 N. W., 238 Bourke, O. C., 224: A. O. C., 110.	2919
Advocate General of Bengal v. Surnomoyee .	1 W. R., P. C. 14: 9 Moore's	1579
Advocate General of Bombay v. Fatima Sultani Begam	I. A., 387. 9 Bom., 19	3668 5171
Huseni. 9	1 Bom., Ap., 9 {	1579, 2538 4244
v. Ex relatione Daya	12 Bom., 323	4862
Muhammad v. Muhammad Husen alias Aga Khan J Advyapa v. Rudrava Adyan Sing v. Queeu-Empress Ata Khan v. Kishen Moonjoree Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Bon., 104 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 121 W. R., 1864, Act X, 17	2336 919, 179 536
Afatoolla Sirdar v. Dwarka Nath Moitry {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 814 : 4 C. L. R., 95.	5306
Afrannessa Chowdhrani v. Sharafutullah Chowdhry Afsar Mundul v. Ameen Mundul Afsuroodeeu v. Akbur Ali	9 C. L. R., 321 8 W. R., 502 2 W. R., Act X, 77	790 3066 536
Afsurooddeen v. Shoroosshee Bula Dabee	Marsh., 558 : 2 Hay, 664	2, 173 1769
Aftabooddeen v. Shumsooddeen Mullick	18 W. R., 461	2881, 431
Aftabooddeen Ahmed v. Mohinee Mohun Doss Aftabooddeen Mahomed v. Sanioollah Afzal v. Ram Kumar Bhudra	15 W. R., 48 23 W. R., 245 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 610	511, 6014 5348 190, 60

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
fzal Ali v. Lala Gaurnarayan	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 519: 6 W. R., Act X, 59. J. L. R., 1 All., 725 J. L. R., 8 All., 35 J. W. R., Mis., 41	5273 304 2763 210
ga Ali Khan v. Joydoyal Persaud	Bourke, O. C., 52	5897 2634 2667, 3290
ga Kurboolie Mahomed v. Queen	3 Moore's I. A., 164 7 B. L. R., 50: 17 W. R., 234, }	1897 2632
ga Mahomed Ali Sheraji v. Judah	note. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 221	648,3446
Aga Mahomed Rohim Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoostry.	2 11. 10, 1. 0, 100	130
Aga Mohammed Jaffer Tehrani v. Nazirulla .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 73: 10 W. R., 385.	869, 912
Agar Chand v. Viraraghavalu Chetti Aga Syed Abdool Hossani v. Lenaine	3 Mad., 172 13 Moore's I. A., 69 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 308 4 N. W., 61	1097 826 2682, 2741 580
Aghani. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 204	6279
Aghore Chunder Mookerjee v. Woomasoonderee Debea . Aghoreeram Sahoy v. Ramolee Sahoo	7 W. R., 216 W. R., 1864, 309	2887, 568 4133
Aghore Lall Shamunt v. Gyananund Roy Aghore Nath v. Shama Sundari Aghore Nath Neogy v. Prem Chand Neogy	6 W. R., Act X, 11 I. L. R., 5 All., 615 7 C. L. R., 274	2889 5442 5284 3769
Aghore Nath Roy v. Radhika Pershad Bose Aghore Nauth Banerjee v. Caloutta Tramways Company Aghori Ramasarag Singh v. Cochrane Agnew v. Indian Carrying Company	14 W. R., 339	1114 2188 4609
v. Mathews	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 74: 1 } Mad., 17.	6211
Agooree Hurrihur Churn v. Gungapersad Opadhya Agra and Masterman's Bank. In the matter of .	W. R., 1864, 208 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350 . 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352 .	2089 951, 2669 953, 266
v. Gungapershad v. Minto	15 W. R., F. B., 5, note 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 265	5139 428
Agra Bank v. Abdul Rahiman	6 B. L. R., Ap., 140 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 1 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 455	129 591 798
Agra Savings Bank v. Sriram Mitter Agrawal Singh v. Foujdar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 388 8 C. L. R., 346	820 1716
Agri Sarma Embrandri v. Vistun Embrandri Aguilar v. Woomesh Chunder Shaw	3 Mad., 198 22 W. R., 209	107 1401, 206
Agum Misra v. Pullukdharee Misra Agur Mullick Mundul v. Debnath Chatteriee	W. R., 1864, 187	1499 5681
Ahamudeen v. Grish Chunder Shamunt Ahed Hossein v. Lallaram Surun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 350 11 W. R., 216	1184 1072
Ahfutoonnissa v. Goluck Chunder Sen Ahiloji valad Khandoji v. Dongar Harichand Gujar	22 W. R., 77 5 Bom., A. C., 176	2078 3212
Ahirunnissa Khatoon v. Komurunnissa Khatoon . Ahmad Ali v. Hafiza Bibi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 100	227
Ahmad Ata v. Mata Badal Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 514 I. L. R., 3 All., 844	3310
Ahmad Hossein Khan v. Nihal-uddin-Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 216 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 945: 13 C. L. (4769 337, 3373

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
hmad Khan v. Madho Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 565	6092
hmad-uddin Khan v. Majlis Rai	. I. L. R., 3 All., 12	409, 537
v,	. I. L. R., 5 All., 438	2920, 490
hmed v. Gunaish Pershad	. 2 Agra, 9	5109
limedabad Municipality v. Mahamud Jamul	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 146	1541
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W.	
hmed Ali v. Golam Gafar	R., 432.	1607
v. Nittyanund Roy	. 24 W. R., 171	215
hmed Ali Khan v. Ahmed	. 1 Agra, 101	4549
v. Jawahir Singh	. 1 Agra, 3	3968
v. Nubeea	. 1 Agra, 3	164
hmed Ali Khan v. Ahmed v. Jawahir Singh v. Nubeea hmed Ally Mahomed v. Gladstone, Wyllie & Co.		165
	(C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	4271, 548
hmedbhai Habibhai v. Premchand Raichand .	5 Bom., O. C., 83	5943
hmedbhoy Hubibhoy v. Vulleebhoy Cassumbhoy	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 572 .	2677, 453
	7 T D AD 400	2025, 308
v	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 703 . {	3736, 466
		5045
v	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 323	705, 428
hmed Chowdhry v. Khatoon	. 7 C. L. R. 537	1892, 542
hmed Chowdhry v. Khatoon	. 18 W. R., 287	139, 282
hmed Hossein v. Bandee	15 W. R., 91 8 W. R., 353	4149, 509
v. Keramut	. 8 W. R., 353	560, 563
v. Khadija	3 B. L. R., A. C., 28, note: }	3656, 428
v. Kurneedan	24 W. R., 60	4324
v. Kurneedan hmed Mahomed v. Athin hmed Mahomed Pattel v. Adjein Dooply hmed Mirza Saheb v. Thomas	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95	3811
hmed Mahamad Dattal a Addin Datal		3339
nmed Manomed Patter v. Adjein Dooply	. I. L. R., 2 Calc., 323	1242
hmed Mirza Saheb v. Thomas	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 162 .	
hmed Mundul v. Sonaoollah	8 W. R., 5	5788
hmedoollah v. Ashruff Hossein	{ 13 W. R., 447: 8 B. L. R., { Ap., 73, note	
0 77 79	Ap., 73, note	4315
v. Gour Hurree Biswas	. 15 W. R., 251	1700
v. Hur Churn Pandah	. 2 W. R., 236 {	3286, 329
	,	5749
v. Meah Khan	. 14 W. R., 105	1138
bmed Reza v. Aghori	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	2929
v. Enayet Hossem	W. R., 1864, 235 8 B. L. R., 93:16 W. R., P. C., 1	3317, 33
v. Khajurunuissa		1160
v. Khujoorunissa	13 W. R., 281	1934
omed Reza v. Aghon v. Enayet Hossein v. Khujurunnissa v. Khujorunnissa v. Khujorunnissa to Khujorunnissa med Rezah v. Enaet Hossein med Sujad v. Taree Rai med Sujad v. Taree Rai	15 W. R., 469 1 W. R., Mis., 20 1 L. R., 7 Calc., 343	2707
med Rezah v. Enaet Hossein	1 W. K., Mis., 20	3842
imed Sujad v. Taree Rai		319
medulla v. Ashruff Hossein	. 8 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note {	2880, 430
		4310
med Unnissa Begam Sahiba v. Arundel	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 63	3574
med valad Nanhubhai v. Khasaji valad Karimbai	6 Bom., A. C., 149	916
meenooddeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition	of 6 W. R., Mis., 5	4444
nmuddy v. Anund Mohun Mozoomdar	16 W. R., Cr., 75	974, 462
amud Hossein v. Mohioodeen Ahmud	16 W. K., 193	3669
amud Hossein Khan v. Mahomed Azeem Khan	(1 N. W., 51: Ed. 1873, 48:)	449
	Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 175.)	1
mud Oollah v. Mithoo Lall	. 3 Agra, 186	2059
muty v. Brodie	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 15	341
nobalasoo Chetty v. Venkata Kristnamma	5 Mad., 275 6 W. R., 37	5703
hollya Bai Debia v. Luckhee Monee Debia .	. 6 W. R., 37	2424
v. Shama Churn Bose	. 1 C. L. R., 473	6085
	4	1

Name of Case.	-			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
thsan Aly v. Sabokhee Bibee thsan Khan v. Gangaram thsanoolah v. Jusoda		:	:	10 W. R., 53 I. L. R., 3 All., 185	1471 3180 3797
hsanoolah v. Kajee Aftabooddeen	•	•	{	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 594 : 3 C. L.	2692
v. Kisto Gobind Dass	•	•	ξ	R., 382. 2 C. L. R., 592	5628
hsuna Begum v. Kheeruu Singh .	:	:	-	10 W. R., 360	4848
in Ali v. Juggut Chunder Roy Chowdhry itchison v. Nilmonee Singh Deo		•	•	25 W. R., 416 20 W. R., 347	5064 531
itchison v. Nilmonee Singh Deo iyavu v. Queen-Empress	:	:	:	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 61	719
jey Ram v. Girdharee	•	•		4 N. W., 110	2188
igur Ali v. Asmut Ali			- {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 110: 10 C. } L. R., 87.	5344
jhola Koceree v. Digambur Singh	<i>:</i>			23 W. R., 206	87
ji Ajam Tadallah Saib v. Gulom Hussain jimut Ali Khan v. Jowahir Singh	Saib	٠	•	4 Mad., 44	3671, 368 2698
•	•	•	·	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 61: L. R.,	
ajit Singh v. Bijai Bahadur Singh .	•	•	ş	11 I. A., 211.	1508
ijmuddin v. Mathuradas Govardhan Das ijmuddin Khan v. Zia-un-nissa	:	:	:	11 Bom., 206	3147 4066, 565
ijnas Kooer v. Luteefa				18 W. R., 21	272, 103
juasi Kuar v. Suraj Prasad	•	•	;	I. L. R., 1 All., 501 6 B. L. R., 509 : 15 W. R.,	852
jodhya Prasad Sing v. Umrao Sing .			3	P. C., 1: 13 Moore's	1743, 356
			(1. A., 519.	4651
jonnissa Bibee v. Surja Kant Acharji			- {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 11 W. R., 56.	5939
joodhia Lall v. Gumani Lall				2 C. L. R., 134 {	4299, 440; 6076
joodhia Persad v. Emambandi Begum			{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 725; 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192: 7	5197
joodhia Shookool v. Jewboodh Shookool				W. R., 528.	1485
joodhya Chowbey v. Daibee Singh .				6 N. W., 46 3 Agra, Rev., 5	1215, 608
joodhya Dass. In the matter of . joodhya Doss v. Doorga Dutt Singh .	•	•	٠	12 W. R., 354	226 3776
v. Lalljee Paurey	:	:		17 W. R., 101	3819
				15 W. R., 176	6238
v. Muthora Doss v. Sheo Pershun Singh	•	٠	٠	23 W. R., 14 11 W. R., 175	1206
,	•	•		I. L. R., 9 Calc., 419: 11 }	435
joodhya Persad v. Collector of Durbhungs	ın		1	C. L. R., 550.	4303
joodhya Pershad v. Gopal Dutt Misser v. Bisheshur Sahai	•	•	:	17 W. R., 271 6 N. W., 141	5409 3188
v. Gobind Ram	:	:		2 Agra, 188	2814
v. Gunga Pershad .			{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 249 : 6 C. L. R., 567.	165
v. Kristo Dyal v. Moracha Kocer				L. R., 567. 15 W. R., 165	4300
v. Moracha Kooer .	•		• !	25 W. R., 25-h	4481
joodhya Pooree v. Sohun Lall joo Khan v. Kisto Pershad Lahoory	•	•		7 W. R., 428 8 W. R., 477	3726
judh Beharee Singh v. Ram Roy Tewari		:		18 W. R. 105	4955, 4956 145
judhia v. Baldeo Singh				I. L. R., 7 All., 674	4547
judhia Baksh v. Rakman Kuar .			{	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 462: L R., 11 I. A., 1.	6215
judhia Bakhsh Singh v. Arab Ali Khan				I. L. R., 7 All., 892	4559
judhia Nath v. Anant Das					

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
judhia Nath v. Sital judhia Prasad v. Bakar Sajjad v. Balmukand v Sheodin juram Manjaran v. Kusaji	I. L. R., 3 All., 567 I. L. R., 5 All., 400 I. L. R., 8 All., 354	3022 1122 200
v Sheodin	I. L. R., 6 All., 403	5087
juram Maniram v. Kusaji	. 4 Bom., A. C., 43	4902
kashbutty Kooer v. Heera Ram Mundur	24 W. R., 82	1663, 269
kbar v. Khan	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 256: 8 C. } L. R., 533.	1773, 330 5834
kbar Ali. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 148	5937
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 399	5680
khar Gazee v. Nufeezun	. 8 W. R., 99	3493, 351
kbari Begam v. Wilayat Ali	. I. L. R., 2 All., 908	4917
kbar Khan v. Sheoratan	. I. L. R., 1 All., 373	2870
kbar Khan v. Sheoratan kbar Tagudgeer v. Punchoo Biswas	. 10 W. R., Cr., 42	6252
0	. 2 N. W., 419	352
kbur Ali v. Bhyea Lal Jha	2 N. W., 419	303
	. 15 W. R., 12	475, 428
v. Mahomed Faiz Buksh	25 W. R., 63	1488, 578
kbur Ali alias Aga Mirza v. Ameeroonissa .	. 25 W. R., 63	3918
kburally v. Hossan Ally	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101: 5 } W. R., Mis., 29.	852, 577
khe Ram v. Nand Kishore	. I. L. R., 1 All., 236 . {	3921, 475 5375
khil Chandra Chowdhry v. Nayn	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 248	1745
khoy Chunder Bagchi v. Kalapahar Haji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 406 : L. R., 12 I. A., 198.	2136
khoy Ram Shahajee v. Ram Kant Roy	. 15 W. R., 223	3706, 371 1620, 293
khoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty v. Indro Bhusan Deb khtaroonnissa v. Shariutoollah Chowdhry	Roy 4 B. L. R., F. B., 58	3704
khut Ramana v. Ahmed Yousaffji	7 B. L. R., 81 : 15 W. R., 538	208, 59
kilandammal v. Periasami Pillai	. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 309	3284
v. Venkata Chala Mudali	. 6 Mad., 112	1383
kima Bibee v. Azeem Sarung	. 9 W. R., 334	77, 84
k 100 Bibee v. Koonio Beliaree Lall	. 19 W. R., 288	5762
kkul Sahoo v. Abdool Guffoor	. 18 W. R., 15	5142
koba Dada v. Sakharam	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 429	2522
kool Sircar v. Partama	24 W. R, Cr., 29 2 B. L. R., A. C., 199: 11 W. R., 82.	264
kora Suth v. Boreani	{ 2 B. L. R., A. C., 199: 11 } W. R., 82.	2524
kram Shere v. Taliee Sinoh	. 24 W. R., 16	573
kul Gaza v. Ameenooddeen	5 C. L. R., 41	1647
kram Shere v. Laljee Singh	. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 139	5500
lagaiva Tiruchittamballa v. Saminada Pillai	. 1 Mad., 264	3057
lagirisami Naiker v. Innasi Udayan	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 127	3589, 57
lagu v. Abdoola	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 147	4893, 59
laiahmad alias Boolaki v. Nusibun	. 24 W. R., 70	32
lai Ahmed v. Bodhoo Singh	. 14 W. R., 204	1706 2463
lamelu v. Rangasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 588	
langamonjori Dabee v. Sonamoni Dabee	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 588	2566
v	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 157: 9 C. L. R., 121. L. R., 8 Calc., 637: 10 C. L. R., 459.	2566, 58
lbert Mills Company. In re	9 Bom., 438	3782
ldwell v. Ilahi Bakhsh	. I. L. R., 5 All., 478	487
		782

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Aleh Ahmed Shahazadanusheen v. Bany Singh	18 W. R., 277	1478
Alch Ahmud v. Nehal Singh	21 W. R., 88	3377
Alfatun v. Rao Karan Singh	7 N. W., 362	5380
Alfutunnissa v. Goluck Chunder Sen	15 B. L. R., 353, note	88
direct on the control of the control	19 15. 11. 14., 000, 11000	588, 1576
Ali v. Gopal Dass	13 W. R., 420 }	2211, 2575, 5749
Ali Abkar v. Abdul Latif Shusbu	12 Bom., 8	274
Ali Ahmed v. Doorga Roy	22 W. R., 455	5634
v. Oodhraj Ram	10 W. R., 79	5736
Ali Ashruf v. Chonga Gobind Roy	5 W. R., 220	5984
Ali Azim v. Ram Maniek Roy		
Ali Azim Khan v. Islam Khan	12 W. R., 195	5117, 5118 4823
Aliba v. Nauu	14 W. R., 483	
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 218	3382
Ali Buksh v. Mudun Gopal	3 Agra, 369	4168
v. Samiruddin	4 B. L. R., A. C., 31 : 12 W.	1760, 5738
1	R., 477.	1
Ali Buksh Shah v. Nubee Buksh	9 W. R., 600	2890
Ali Bux v. Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 106	4211, 4459
Ali Hasan v. Dhiria	I. L. R., 4 All., 518	3936
v. Nandar Khau	2 N. W., 62	567
v. Ramdyal	3 N. W., 78	3212
Ali Hossein Khan v. Dwarka Dass	5 N. W., 134	1484
Ali Husain v. Nillakanden Nambudiri	1 Mad., 356	3766
Ali Khan v. Appadu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 304	3590
Alikhan Daudkhan v. Mahomad Khan Shamsher Khan	1	00.50
Desmukh.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 658, note	3973
Alikhan Umar Khan. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 92	3452
Ali Mahomed v. Kenaram Ghose	8 W. R., 128	4932
Ali Mazhar. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 4 All., 152	5672
Alim Buksh Fakir v. Jhalo Bibi	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 48	82, 440]
Ali Meah v. Magistrate of Chittagong	25 W. R., Cr., 14	6263
Alimelammalı v. Arunachellam Pillai	0.37-1.00	2093, 5268
Ali Moidin Ravuthan v. Elayachauidathil Kombi Achen	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 239	1743, 4994
Alimooddeen v. Sabir Khan	0 W 10 co	5319
v. Wuzeer Ali	23 W. R., 52	3230
Alimooddy Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Sen	24 W. R., 227	
Alimoodeen Moallem v. Syfoora Bibee	6 W. R., Mis., 125	5477
Alimuddi v. Kali Krishna Tagore		3687
Ali Muhammad v. Lalta Bakhsh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 895	3807
v. Taj Muhammad	I. L. R., 1 All., 655	3443 3721, 3722
li Muhammad Khan v. Azizullah Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 283	
v. Gur Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 50	3659
limunessa Khatun v. Hassan Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 344	3525
limunnissa Khatoon v. Hossein Ali	8 C. L. R., 378	3698
v. Official Trustee of Bengal	6 C. L. R., 267	3115
Ali Nukee alias Emdad Ali v. Torah Ali alias Mirza	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Nawab.	W. R., 1864, 44	6275
Ali Nuquee v. Bhugwan Das		
Ali Reza v. Tarasoonderee	1 Agra, 213	3198
	2 W. R., 150	3963, 4013
Ali Saih v. Sauiyasiraz Pedda Balaiya Rasimhulu	2 Mad., 401	3350
v. Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu	3 Mad., 5	3374, 3579
Ali Serang v. Beadon	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 524	4039
Ali Shah v. Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 1 All., 588	3551
Alla Aiyappa v. Nundula Peraiya alias Perambhotlu	3 Mad., 82	360, 363
Alladinee Dossee v. Sreenath Chunder Bose	20 W. R., 258	4930
Alladmonee Dossia v. Joy Sunkur Roy	7 W. R., 408	5133
-		0700

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Allahabad Trading Company. In re	{ 1 N. W., Part 6, p. 101: } Ed. 1873, 190.	923
Allah Bakhsh v. Sada Sukh	. I. L. R., 8 All., 182	3991
Allah Bibee v. Joogul Mundul	. 25 W. R., 234	2993
Allarakia Ali v. Greach	3 Bom., O. C., 150 10 Bom., 391	1402 388
illee Shah v. Amanee Begum	. 10 Bom., 391	4142
lleh Ahmed v. Nuseebun	21 W. R., 415	3165
lleh Ahmed v. Nuseebun llender v. Dwarkanath Roy llen, Hayes, & Co., v. Anundo Chunder Mundle		2978, 3000
llen, Hayes, & Co., v. Anundo Chunder Mundle .	Bourke, O. C., 156 Bom., O. C., 106 4 C. I. R. 577	6141 921
lliance Financial Corporation, Blaney's case. In re	4 C. L. R., 577	820
llij Hossein v. Muzhur Hossein	1 W. R., 115	480
	I T. R. 4 All. 85	3954
Illu Khan v. Roshan Khan	. 5 W. R., Act X, 92	4925
llumuddy v. Braham	5 W. R., Act X, 92 1. L. R., 4 Calc, 140: 2 C. L. R., 431.	2588
	L. E., 431. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 264	4986
Illunni v. Kunjusha	5 W. R., 242	1744, 5984
lly Bebanee v. Hyder Hoosein	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 449	5583
Ilv Hossein v. Ally Hossein alias Chotey Mirza	. 2 Agra, 96	4311
v. Dhunput Singh v. Joogulkishore lly Nuckee Khan v. Anley	. W. R., 1864, Mis., 19	210
v. Joogulkishore	. Marsh., 244: 2 Hay, 113 .	1881
lly Nuckee Khan v. Anley	1 Hyde, 134 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 239: 6 C. L. R., 553.	3230
	L. R., 553.	2022
looksoondery Goopto v. Horo Lal Roy	6 W. R., 287 I. L. R., 1 All., 518	3841
Ital All v. Lall Mal	15 W. R., 556	4051
Altaf Ali v. Ľalji Mal Altaf Hossein v. Grish Chunder Roy v. Tasuddook Hossein	2 Agra, 87	1515
Altamoonissa Bibee v. Sage	. 11 W. R., 335	2024
Aluckmonee Debee v. Dino Nath Ghose	24 W. R., 421	4269
Alukmonee Dabee v. Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 677: 3 C. L. R., 473,	2521, 537
lum Chunder Shaw Chowdhry v. Moran	W. R., 1864, Act X, 31	3027, 303
llum Manjee v. Ashad Ali	16 W. R., 138	1172, 535
dunga Bebee v. Gooroo Churn Roy	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 3 2 Agra, 142	. 821, 84 1038
Alunga Bebeé v. Gooroo Churu Roy Alup Rai v. Sheo Dyal Alu Prasad v. Sukhan	I. L. R., 3 All., 610	2715, 521
Alvar Chetti v. Vaidilanga Chetti	. 1 Mad., 9	2211
Alu Prasad v. Sukhan Alvar Chetti v. Vaidilanga Chetti Aman Ali Chowdhry v. Kasim Ali Aman Chinaman In the matter of	. 6 W. R., 316	5122
aman Chinaman. In the matter of	. 17 W. R., Cr., 58	5166
amanat Ali Chowdhry . Mussen Ali	{ 2 B. L. K. Ap., 36: 11 W. R., 145.	5078
Amanat Begam v. Bhajan Lal	. I. L. R., 8 All., 438	6087
Amanatulla. In the matter of the petition of .	6 B. L. R., 569: 15 W. R.,	577
-	136. 3 Agra, 204	5408, 592
Amanee Begum v. Koorban Ali	9 W. R., 548	. 84
Amancollah v. Mahomed Nasir	. 22 W. R., 442	6132
v. Ram Nidhee Ghose	. 9 W. R., 392	1646
Aman Singh v. Jeygopal Singh	. 3 Agra, 164	4310, 43
Amanut Ali v. Bindhoo	. 13 W. R., 138	202, 22 2696
Amarchand Labata v. Bukshee Pyekar	. 22 W. R., 228	. 5179
Amar Nath v. Thakurdas	. I. L. R., 3 All., 131	. 1232
·	. I. L. R., 5 All., 248 .	. 94, 20

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Amarsi v. Dayal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 50	5858
Amar Singh v. Tika	I. L. R., 3 Atl., 139	3522
Amatal Fatima Khanum v. Taranath Chand	24 W. R., 151	4270
Amatool Rasool v. Luteefun	19 W. R., 302	1927
Ambala Ramasawmy Iyengar v. Mahamadalli Ravutan .	5 Mad., 330	5783
Ambala Vaveri Manakel Raman Somayajipad v. Nadu- 1		1
vakat Krishna Poduval.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 325	3213
Ambaram Harivallabhdas v. Himat Sing Kalianji . Ambalavana Padeiyatchi v. Sabramania Padeiyatchi .	2 Bom., 109: 2nd Ed., 103 .	748, 1867
	6 Mad., 262	774
Ambica Dasi v. Nadyar Chand Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 172	174
Ambica Pershad Singh v. Surdhari Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 851	3522
Ambika Charan Dutt v. Nadir Hossein	2 B. L. R., A. C., 258	5790
Ambika Dat v. Sukhmani Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 437	2450
Ambika Debi v. Pranhari Das	4 B. L. R., F. B., 77	5323
Ambler v. Pushong	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 365	4496
Ambu v. Raman	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 371	3766
Ambur Ali v. Kali Chand Doss	24 W. R., 258	6089
Ambu yalad Appaji v. Khanu Sakharam	6 Bom., A. C., 19, note	2838
Amedbhai Habibhai v. Premchand Raichand	5 Bom., O. C., 83	
Ameena Begum v. Doordanah Khanum	19 W. R., 44	945, 2261
Ameena Bibee v. Zeifa Bibee	3 W R., 37	1569
Ameeu Ahmed v. Velaet Ali Khan	20 W. R., 422	3675
Ameena Khatoon v. Radhabenod Misser		1918
Ameen Buksh v. Bhyro Mundul	7 Moore's I. A., 261	4638
Ameen Chand v. Oomeid Singh	22 W. R., 493	5202
	1 Agra, 114	3326
v. Mendhoo Khan	1 Agra, Rev., 53	368, 370
Ameencoddeen Ahmed v. Khyroonissa	20 W. R., 60	1357
Ameenooddeen Khan v. Moozuffer Hossein Khan	3 Agra, Mis., 5	3525
Ameenooddeen Shaha v. Asgur Ali	8 W. R., 464	1757
Ameer Ahmud v. Zameer Ahmud	18 W. R., 122	3824
Ameer Ali v. Ameeroonissa Begum	11 W. R., 11	5634
v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia	6 W. R., 116	4538
v. Inderjeet Kooer	15 W. R., 43	4174
v. Mohendro Nath Bose	2 W. R., 271	3397
v. Pearun	W. R., 1864, 239	3705
v. Ram Bahadoor Singh	7 W. R., 84	773
v. Sahib Singh v. Syet Ali	15 W. R., 530	3496
v. Syet Ali	# W/ D 900	1697
Ameer Ali Khan v. Kassim Ali Khan	10 XII TO 400	
Ameer Ali Sowdagur v. Imamooddeen		1544, 1938
Ameer Begum v. Noor Begum	Agra, F. B., 1	2735
Ameer Beparee v. Hurree Mohun Kurmokar	23 W. R., 87	997
Ameer Bibee v. Tukronissa Begum	7 W. R., 332	5761
Ameer Buksh v. Yusoof Ali	99 W D 400	5812
Ameer Chand Nobatta. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., 489	1754
Ameeree Begum v. Gobind Pandey	13 W. R., Cr., 63	6251 -
Ameer Hossein v. Sheo Suhae	15 W. R., 35	640
sincer rrossem o, oneo ounae	19 W. R., 338	5187
Ameer Khan. In re	6 B. L. R., 392 ,	57, 518,
T. 41		2093
. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., 456	3736
{	6 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., Cr., 15.	518, 2093
Manager and the second	18 W. R., 407, note	
Ameer Koolee Khan v. Russick Lall Singh	8 W. R., 495	285
Ameer Mahomed v. Brass		563,4423
Ameerooddeen v. Ram Chand Sahoo	14 W. R., Cr., 36	981
Ameeroollah v. Ram Doss Doss	5 W. R., 53	4016
1	2 Agra, 187 11 W. R., 297	4022
		3687

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ameeroonissa Begum v. Indurjeet Koonwar Ameeroonissa Bibee v. Woomarooddeen Mahomed ?	5 W. R., Mis., 17	266 6004
Chowdhry	14 W. R., 49	
Ameeroonissa Khatoon. In the matter of	14 W. R , 94	4531
v. Danne	14 W. R., 361	282 5984
v. Danne v. Juggurnath Roy v. Komula Kant Roy	24 W D 117	6152
v. Komun Kant Koy v. Mahomed Muzaffer Hossein ?		
Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 103	2695, 2710
Ameeroonnissa v. Moradoonnissa	6 Moore's I. A., 211	28, 3654
	1 Agra, 271	3127
Ameeroonnissa Beebee v. Benode Ram Sein	2 W. R., 29	471, 481
Ameeroonnissa Begum v. Gopal Sahoo	22 W. R., 134	5221
Ameeroonnissa Khanum v. Ashrufioonnissa . }	17 W. R., 259: 14 Moore's I. A., 433.	6056
	15 B. L. R., 67: 23 W. R.,	3676, 3747,
Ameeroonnissa Khatoon v. Abadoonnissa Khatoon	208: L. R., 2 I. A., 87.	4648
v. Abedoonnissa Khatoon .	16 W. R., 307	207, 1906
v. Juggernath Roy v. Wise	11 W. R., 113	1736
v. Wise	24 W. R., 435	5812
Ameerun. In the goods of	15 W. R., 496	1236
v. Cheraj Ali v. Ruheemun v. Ruheemun v. Ruheemun v. Ruheemun v.	24 W. R., 343	5756 3657, 3694
v. Suriceda	11 W. R., 226	4315
- v. Wussehun	12 W. R., 11	2753
Ameerun Bibee v. Shibpershad Thakoor	8 W. R., 199	3495
v. Ameenum v. Sunjeedu v. Wussehun Ameerum Bibee v. Shibpershad Thakoor Ameerum Bibee v. Shibpershad Thakoor	6 W. R., Mis., 97	5116
Ameerunnissa v. Chapman	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 334: 6 W.]	4439
Ameerunnissa Khatoon v. Mahomed Hossein	R., 108. 5	845
v. Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry .	19 P. T. P. 65	799
Amina v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 277	5653
Amina v. Queen-Empress	W. K., 1804, ACt A, 116 .	2915
Amina Bibi v. Khatija Bibi	1 Bom., 2nd Ed., 157	3682
Aminooddowlah v. Roshun Ali Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 199	3753
Amin Sahib v. Ibram Sahib	4 Mad., 112	110 4100, 436
	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 45	
Amir Ali v. Indurjit Koer	I. A., 203.	1717, 4660
Amiran v. Asibun	3 B. L. R., A. C., 190	2753
Amirchand Jamnadas v. Maggan Amthu	4 Bom., A. C., 176	5825
Amir Hassan Khan v. Sheo Baksh Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 6: L. R.,	5956
Ai. ITi. T. 1 12 D		3
Amir Hossein v. Imambandi Begum	11 C. L. R., 443	3139, 447 1218
Amirjan v. Rivett Carnac Amir Saheb Hafizulla v. Jamshedji Rustamji	4 Bom., A. C., 41.	5771
Amir Singh v. Moazzum Ali Khan	7 N. W., 58	1172,302
Amirta v. Nund Kishore	2 Agra, 333	541
Amirto Lal Bose v. Rajonee Kant Mitter	15 B. L. R., 10: 23 W. R.,	140, 2307 3160, 339
Amiruddaula Muhamad Kakya Hussain Khan v. Nateri Sriniyasa Charlu.	214: L. R., 2 I A., 113. 6	3679
Amiruddin. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 481 .	2757
v. Farid Sarkar	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 481	2757
v. Jiban Bibee	(1 B. L. R., F. B., 191: 10 W.	
	R., F. B., 39.	5 104
Amirunnessa Khatoon v. Browne	. 13 C. L. R., 131	. 5364, 536

Name of Casc.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Amirunnessa Khatoon v. Secretary of State for India in	} I. L. R., 10 Calc., 63	5363, 536
Council. Amirunnissa v. Behary Lall	25 W. R., 529	3094
Amirunnissa b. Benaty Han Amirunnissa Barkat v. Affiattunnissa	3 B. L. R., A. C., 404 : 12 W.	678
Amirunnissa Begum v. Amir Khan	R., 307. . 17 W. R., 119	4468
v. Umar Khan	8 B. L. R., 540	4468
Amirunnissa Chowdharani v. Ahsanullah Chowdhri	. 13 C. L. R., 18	3502
Amir Zama v. Nathu Mal	. I. L. R., 8 All., 396 . }	1225, 497 5611
Amitrav bin Yeshvantrav Deshmukh v. Anyaba Abaji Deshmukh.	5 Dom., A. C., 50	3368
Amjad Ali v. Kunku Shaw	{ 9 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 17 W. }	903
v. Moniram Kalita	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 52 . 3	1812, 2203 2524
Amjad Ali Khan v. Gholam Hyder Khan	. 1 W. R., 313	2524 540
Amjad Hossein v. Kharag Sen Sahu	4 B. L. R., A. C., 203: 13 W.	3723
Amjatt Ali v. Azhur Ali	R., 299. 3 21 W. R., 241	4195
imjed Ali v. Ala Buksh	. 9 W. R., 537	4786
Amjud Ali v. Ali Buksh	2 W. R., 122	3292
mjud Ali Khan v. Fazul Hossein mjudee Begum v. Ahmed Hossein	19 W. R., 187	5625 6072
mma v. Kunhunni	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 355	4904
mmallu Ammal v. Subhu Vadiyar	2 Mad., 184	5700
mmani Ammal v. Sellayi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 426	1389
mmiappa Mudali v. Mahomed Mustafa Saib .	2 Mad., 443	2788 1681, 3432
ımmu v. Ramakishna Sastri	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 226 . {	3443
mmur Singh v. Murdun Singh mmutti v. Kunji Keyi	2 N. W., 31	2480, 4468
molak Ram v. Sahib Singh	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 452	3783 2605
mra Nashya v. Gagan Shutar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 11 W.	
	R., 130.	3151, 5924
mrita Kumari Debi v. Lukhi Narayan Chuckerbutty . mrithamal v. Ranganadha Pillai	2 B. L. R., F. B., 28 3 Mad., 165	2300
mrithnath Jha v. Ahmed Reza	6 W. R., Cr., 61	3286 4505
mrith Nath Jha v. Dhunput Singh	20 W. R., 253	910
mrit Kooer v. Roop Kooer	2 N. W., 459: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 240.	6005
mrit Koonwar v. Luchmee Narain	1 Agra, 93	806
mrit Lal v. Balbir	I. L. R., 6 All., 68	5082
v. Madho Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 292	5128
mrit Lal Mansuk v. Maniklal Jetha	10 Bom., 375	3221
	6 B. L. R., 232: 15 W. R.,	5266
mrit Nath Chowdhry v. Gauri Nath Chowdhry .	P.C., 10: 13 Moore's I.A., }	2230, 2360
mritnath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Singh	542. 8 B. L. R., 44: 15 W. R., 503	tres
mritrav P. Kokdi v. Manaji J. Jagtap		761 4175, 5130
mur Narain alias Nerput Suhaye v. Rughoo Bunsee	5 W. R., 234	
Koonwar.		4410
mutool Russool v. Jhoomuck Singh	24 W. R., 345 2 Bom., 354: 2nd Ed., 334	4561
nakaran Kasmi v. Saidamadath Avulla	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 79	4561 5806

Name of Case. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Anakattil Narayana Krishnan Karthavu v. Kocheri } I. L. R., 6 Mad., 191	#400
Ananda Bibi v Nownit Lel	. 5683
Ananda Chandra Bose at Buonalda	2808
Aranda Krishna Bose v. Rajendra Narayan Deb 4 B. L. R., O. C., 231	2553
v. Verner	3., } 2949
Ananda Lall Dass v. Radhamohun Shaw	11 } 438
Ananda Mayi Dasi v. Patit Pabuni Dasi . B. L. R., Snp. Vol., 18: V	V.] 2887
Anandamayi Dasi v. Purna Chandra Roy [B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 506:	6 1870, 3545
Auanda Raman Vathiar v. Paliyil Vittil Nanu Nayar . W. R., Mis., 69.	5034
Anandayi alias Kunjara Natchiar v. Parvatavardani 2 Mad., 9 Natchiar. 206	
Anandouren a Daniel	2120
Anand Chandra Ghose v. Prankristo Dutt	1348
Anand Chandra Mazumdar v. Gobardhan Khan	() 22/0
C W. K., S. C. C. Ref. 19	(5/00
Anand Coomari v. Ali Jamin	2631
Anandji Visram v. Nariad Spinning and Weaving Com-	. 3390
Paul. 1. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11	. 922, 1073
Anand Kumari v. Government	7.7
Anandlal Dass v. Mushun Ali	5 1442
Anand Lall Bera. In the matter of the petition of I. L. R., 10 Calc., 18: 13	1597
. II. R., 209.	0100
L. R., 209	[] 0199
Anand Mayi Dasi v. Dharendra Chandra Mookerjee \[\begin{align*} 8 B. L. R., 122: 14 Moore I. A., 101: 16 W. R., I	3389, 3547 4470
Anandrav v. Ravji	4023
inandray Rhibaii Phalles or	5410
inandray Ohimaji Finankar Daji Charya . I. L. R., 7 Bom., 323 .	\$ 2840, 3276 4273
Anandray Chimuji v. Thakar Chand I. L. R., 5 Bom., 245	. 790
	. 2110
Luant Bahadur Singh a Raghanath K	2338
L. R., 149: L. R., 9 I. A.	1418, 4224, 6052
naut Das v. Ashburner & Co.)
Hanthacharri a Ananthachami	. 1114
Ganapaty Aiyan attas Asvata Aiyan v. 1 2 Med 460	. 5656
nantha Narayana a Popiyana V.	
	. 5584, 5655 3158
nantha Tirthe Chair	198
nantnath Dev a Mad-intack Ambalagaren . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 200 .	. 2270
nant Ram Chandra Lothiban T	. 2603
nar Mollah v. Hills	5888

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Anarullah Shaikh v. Koylash Chunder Bose .	. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 118	2025, 5815
Anath Nath Dey v. Mackintosh	. 8 B. L. R., 60	2474
Andarji Kalyanji v. Dulabh Jeevan	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 88 6 C. L. R., 282	3206
Anderson v. Juggodumba Dabi	. 6 C. L. R., 282	4688
	. 7 W. R., Cr., 12	1898
Painarain Doss	. Cor., 3	2705
a Spange's Hotel Company	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 378	930
v. Spence's Hotel Company v. Sreemunto	. Cor., 5	2705
nderson, Wright & Co., v. Kalagaria Surjinarain	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 339	4870
ndi Konan v. Venkata Subbaiyad	. 2 Mad., 387	3237
andrew v. Larmour	{ 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4: 1 Hay, }	5325
v. Lyon	2 Hay, 362	4207
Indrawa a Joslim	. 2 B. L. B., O. C., 148	6203
Andy Chetty. In re	- 1 2 Mad., 438	17
	9 B. L. R., 417: 18 W. R., 5	1221, 4108.
Ingelo v. Cargill	9 B. L. R., 417: 18 W. R., Cr., 41.	5284
Anisul Futwa v. Chando	. 8 B. L. R., Ap., 3	318
		1
Anjud Singh v. Depun Singh	{ 3 B. L. R., Ap., 143: 14 W. } R., 238, note.	6085
Annada Gobind Chowdhry v. Swarnamayi	. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 7 .	3192
Annagurubala Chetti v. Kristnaswami Nayakan .	. 1 Mad., 457	1818
Annaji Apaji v. Ramji Jivaji	. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 348 .	3491
Annaji Appaji v. Kasi Atmaji	. 3 Bom., A. C., 124	3043
Annaji Rau v. Raghubai alias Sithubai	. 6 Mad., 400	2498
Annaji Waghuji v. Bapuchand Jethiram	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 520	1517
Annamalai Chetti v. Cloete	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 204	1242, 6075
21	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 189	3572
v. Muthulinga Pillai	6 Mad., 360	888, 5920
Annammah v. Mabbu Bali Reddy	. 8 Mad., 108	2142
	1	4012, 4413
Annapa v. Ganpati	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 181 .	4785
Annapurnabai. In re	. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 630	5886
Anna Purna Dasi v. Joykisto Mookerjee	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 107	1735
Annasami v. Naranayen	. 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 12	627
	. 1 Mad., 144	3916
Annavunadayan v. Iyasawmy Pillai	. 6 Mad., 65	441
'Anne." In the matter of the Barque	. 2 Hyde, 273	5647
Annoda Churn Roy v. Kally Coomar Roy	5 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 89 : 2 C. L. 7	1181
and the character of the contract the contra	(R., 464.	1101
Annoda Persad Roy v. Dwarkanath Gangopadhya	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 754: 8 C. } L. R., 321.	29, 4598
A 1. 70 3.26 3 1	15 B. L. R., 60, note: 19 W.	
Annoda Persaud Mookerjee v. Kristo Coomar Moitro	R., 5.	545
Annodapershad Acharjee v. Shurbosoonderee Debia	. 11 W. R., 453	1135
Annoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Troyluckhnath Par	1.3	
Chowdry	" { 13 W. R., 123	1907
Annoda Sundari Dabi v. Jugut Moni Dabi .	6 C. L. R., 176	4667
Annondomoyee Debee v. Luchmun Pershad Gogo	2 C. L. R., 264	4495
Annund Chunder Bose v. Broughton	17 W. R., 301	4590
Annundi Koomar v. Thakoor Panday	5 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31: 4 W.	
	R., Mis., 21.	3159
Annundmoyee Dassee v. Annund Soondur Mozoomdar	. 13 W. R., 237	764
Annundo Chunder Banerjee v. Shubhul Chundra Debe	a. 2 Hay, 624	5437
Anonymous	. Bourke, A. O. C., 144	3789
	. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 14	772, 4676
	. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 49	195

			Name	of Case					Volume and Page,	Column
									volume and rage,	of Digest.
Anonymous									1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59	590 F#1
	•								1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 58	520, 578 271
	•									5732
-	•								1 Ind. Jun. O S 190	
	•								1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 135	3024
-									2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 13	2261
	•								1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 8	5754
										2650
								Ĭ.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106	1, 2009
									W. R., F. B., 126	6178
							•			3286
-						-	•	•		55, 566
	•	•	•	-		•			3 W. R., Cr., 33	503, 50
									0.777 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	2766
						•	•		3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7	03, 570
					•	•			4 W. R., Cr., 2	3629
			Ĭ.	•	•		•	•	9 W. R., 357	4518
			·	•	•	•			12 W. R., Cr., 34	2028
		•	•	•	•	•			13 W. R., 353	5842
	Ĭ.	•	•	•	•	•	•		15 W. R., 237 15 W. R., 506	1240
	•	•	•	•		•	•		15 W. R., 506	959
		•	•	•	•	•			18 W. R., 249	1354
	•	•	•	•	•	•			18 W. R., 397	4742
	۰	•	•	•					23 W. R., 223	5659
				_				{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 886 : 9 W. ?	
				•	•	•	•	- {		5703
	•	•	•						3 B. L. R. A C. 69	4919
								ſ	4 B. L. R., F. B., 41: 12 W. ?	
			•	•	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 59.	971
	•	•	•						I. L. R., 3 Calc., 767	5843
	•	•			•				I. L. R., 10 Calc., 274	5859
	•	•	•						1 Mad., 99, note	6238
	•								1 Mad., 127	1001
									3 Mad., 341	5236
									3 Mad 374	5660
	•								3 Mad., Ap., 1	6193
	•								3 Mad., Ap., 2	
									3 Mad., Ap., 2	706
								- 1	3 Mad., Ap., 4	4238
							Ċ	- 1	3 Mad An #	4238
				,			- 1		R Mad Am e	6259
					-		•	. 1	3 Mad., Ap., 7	1255
						•	•	٠,		587
					-	•	•	.	3 Mad., Ap., 9 198	9, 5566
			·		•	•	•	•	3 Mad., Ap., 10	4666
			Ċ	•	•	•	•		3 Mad., Ap., 11	1669
			Ť	•	•		•	.	3 Mad., Ap., 23	1669
,		i	•		•	•			3 Mad., Ap., 23	4505
		•	•		•		٠.		3 Mad., Ap., 24	4054
	•	•		•	•	•			3 Mad., Ap., 25	99
	•	•	•	•	•	•			3 Mad., Ap., 27	5821
	•	•	•	•	•		٠		3 Mad., Ap., 29	1962
	•		•				٠		3 Mad., Ap., 29	3606
	•	•	•	•					3 Mad., Ap., 31	2598
	•	•	•	•				.	3 Mad., Ap., 32	709
	•	•	•					. 1	3 Mad., Ap., 33	4070
	•									
									4 Mad., Ap., 1	1,6263
								. 1	4 Mad., Ap., 2	907
								1	~ manages, 4217-, 4/	3606

TABLE OF CASES IN THE DIGEST.

		:	Name o	f Case.					Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Anonymous									4 Mad., Ap., 2	5600
	-	·			-				4 Mad., Ap., 3	998, 4114
									4 Mad., Ap., 3	3738
	•	•	•	·	•				4 Mad., Ap., 4	2907
	•	•			•		:		4 Mad., Ap., 5	711
	•	٠	•		•				4 Mad., Ap., 6	4359
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad. Ap., 6	4717, 6259
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 7	23
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 8	982
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 9	3630
	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 11	707
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 12	4484
		•	•	•		•		•		5560
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 14	1791
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 15	3863
	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 16	
		•		•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 17	4717, 6258
		•		•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 18	1967, 5562
	•		•	•				•	4 Mad., Ap., 18	4718
				•	•	•			4 Mad., Ap., 19	5146
								•	4 Mad., Ap., 20	4487
									4 Mad., Ap, 21	3625
									4 Mad., Ap., 22	343
									4 Mad., Ap., 23	3628
									4 Mad., Ap., 23	5543
									4 Mad., Ap., 24	4506
									4 Mad., Ap., 27	5550
***************************************									4 Mad., Ap., 28	955, 2005
-									4 Mad., Ap., 29	6262
-	-						-	·	4 Mad., Ap., 30	5992
	-	Ĭ.	- 1			-		·	4 Mad., Ap., 31	3620
	•	•	-		•			•	4 Mad., Ap., 32	1257
	•	•	•				•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 34	4098
	•	•	•				•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 36	5578
,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 38	4734
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	4 Mad., Ap., 39	400
	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 40	3615
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		974
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 41	5884
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		4 Mad., Ap., 42	
		•	•		•			•	4 Mad., Ap., 43	2778
	•		•	•		•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 43	4433
	•	•	•	•	•	•			4 Mad., Ap., 44	4719
									4 Mad., Ap., 45	4718
***************************************			•						4 Mad., Ap., 47	5542
									4 Mad., Ap., 48	21
-									4 Mad., Ap., 49	4487, 4488
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 mau, Ap., 40	4490
									4 Mad., Ap., 51	1044
									4 Mad., Ap., 52	1044
							Ċ		4 Mad., Ap., 53	5496
									4 Mad., Ap., 54	3627
	:	-	-	-			·		4 Mad., Ap., 55	i
	•	•	:	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 56	2771
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 58	5822
	•	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 61	3621
-	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	4 Mod An CO	118
	•	•	•	•	• .	•		•	4 Mad., Ap., 62	
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., Ap., 62	395, 3550
	•		•						4 Mad., Ap., 63	1001

			Name	of Case	· ·				Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Anonymous									4 Mad., Ap., 64	3627
-									A Mad An es	6066
									4 Mad., Ap., 66	5544
									4 Mad., Ap., 67	
-									4 Med An 69	4095
							- :		4 Mod An co	963
			1.0			i.			4 Med As co	4621
						Ţ.			5 Mad 900	4741
								•	5 Med 271	3332
					· ·	Ţ.	•	:		407
			i.		•	•	•		5 Mad., Ap., 2	5600, 619
				•	•	•	•	•		1532
	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	5 Mad., Ap., 3	5571
	Ĭ.	5,	•	•	•		•		5 Mad., Ap., 4	1801
	•	•	•			•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 4	2808
	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	5 Mad., Ap., 5	974
	•		٠	•	•	•	•		5 Mad., Ap., 5	5561
	•	•	•	•		•			5 Mad., Ap., 6	6066
	•	•	•			•			5 Mad., Ap., 8	2072
			•						5 Mad., Ap., 8	2770
	•	•							5 Mad., Ap., 9	4530
	•	•							5 Mad., Ap., 10	5150
	•		•						5 Mad., Ap., 12	2778
									5 Mad., Ap., 13	1152
									5 Mad., Ap., 14	1951
									5 Mad., Ap., 16	044,623
				Ť.				:	5 Mad., Ap., 17	3570
				•		•	•		5 Mad., Ap., 18	1329
						•	•		5 Mad., Ap., 19	5576
				•	•	•	•		5 Mad An 90	4099
	:		•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 20	5146
	:		•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 21	5567
	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	5 Mad., Ap., 22	5885
-	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	5 Mad., Ap., 23	5993
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 24	389
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap, 24	6277
-	•	•		•		•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 25	2904
	•	•	•	•	•	•			5 Mad., Ap., 26	3567
		•		•					5 Mad., Ap., 27	6257
	•								5 Mad., Ap., 28	1046
	•	•							5 Mad., Ap., 28	1234
	•								5 Mad., Ap., 29	3568
									5 Mad., Ap., 30	3865
									5 Mad., Ap., 31	1535
									5 Mad., Ap., 32	5603
								:	5 Mad., Ap., 33	3628
									5 Mad A 94	1153
						•	-		5 Mad., Ap., 35	5564
				-	·	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 36	
						•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 36	3567
		-	·	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad A 27	5992
	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	5 Mad., Ap., 37	5992
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5 Mad., Ap., 38	1329
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		5 Mad., Ap., 39	5294
	•	•	•		•			•	5 Mad., Ap., 39	6190
	•	•			•				5 Mad., Ap., 40	958
	•		•						5 Mad., Ap., 40	3867
	•		-					.	5 Mad., Ap., 41	3568
									5 Mad., Ap., 42	5553

		Ŋ	lame of	Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
nenymous		,							5 Mad., Ap., 43	3619
					,				5 Mad., Ap., 44	5562
									5 Mad., Ap., 44	6089
							į.		5 Mad., Ap., 45	1240
		- 1							6 Mad., 135	424
		- 1	•	•				•	6 Mad., Ap., 2	3619
				•			·		6 Mad., Ap., 3	2904
		- :		•	•	- :			6 Mad., Ap., 3	5572
						-	- 1	·	6 Mad., Ap., 4	4492
		- :	·					·	6 Mad., Ap., 5	5838
		- 1	· ·			- :			6 Mad., Ap., 6	€235
								Ċ	6 Mad., Ap., 7	1152
	Ť			•	-				6 Mad., Ap., 8	982
	:	•		•		•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 8	5146
		•	•	•	•	•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 9	3631
				•	Ţ.		•		6 Mad., Ap., 9	4779
		•		•					6 Mad., Ap., 10	1044, 62
	·			•	Ċ			•	6 Mad., Ap., 11	1789
		•	- 1	•				•	6 Mad., Ap., 11	3567
	•	•				•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 12	735
			:	•		•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 12	5830
	•	•		•	•		•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 13	4497
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 14	1041
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 15	974
	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 16	5567
	•	•		*	•		•	•		3619
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	or principle and an arrangement of the principle of the p	3570, 51
	•		•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 18 6 Mad., Ap., 19	1327
	•	•			•	•	•	•		3786
	•	•	•		•	•			0.1f 1 A 00	5509
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	'		. 3743
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 23	101, 55
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.	6 Mad., Ap., 24	1235
	•	•	•	•	•	•			6 Mad., Ap., 24	1325
	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 25	1325
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 26	710
	•		•		•		•		6 Mad., Ap., 27	1255
	•	•	•	•	•	•			6 Mad., Ap., 28	
	•		•	•	•		•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 29	6259
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 30	4532
	•	•			•	•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 31	3576
	:		•	•	•	•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 32	2584
	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 34	3576
	•	•			•	•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 36	5842
	•	•	•	٠	•	•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 37	3864
		•			•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 37	5562
	•	•		•	•	•	•	• *	6 Mad., Ap., 38	6199
	•			٠	•	۰		•	6 Mad., Ap., 39	4719
	•			•	•	•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 40	4778
		•	•		•			•	6 Mad., Ap., 40	5568
	•	•	•			•	•	•	6 Mad., Ap., 41	3618
	•		•	•	4	•	•		6 Mad., Ap., 41	3630, 55
	•		•			•		•	6 Mad., Ap., 42	6230
		•				٠			6 Mad., Ap., 43	3607
	•	•	•						6 Mad., Ap., 44	1046
-			•						6 Mad., Ap., 45	5153
	•								6 Mad., Ap., 47	1510
									6 Mad., Ap., 47	2778, 55

	-		Name	of Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Anonymous									6 Mad., Ap., 48	4355
	۰								6 Mad., Ap., 49	
						,			6 Mad., Ap., 50	957
								•	7 Mad., 339	3601
									7 Mod Am 7	1252
							•	:	7 Mad., Ap., 2	235
								Ċ	7 Mad., Ap., 3	3615
							•		7 Mod A- 4	1044
							•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 4 7 Mad., Ap., 5	3576
				Ĭ.	·	-	•	:	7 Mad., Ap., 5	3616
							•		7 Mad A	5159
-				- :			•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 6	3627
					•	۰	•	٠	7 Mad., Ap., 7	3576
			•		•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 8	3630
	Ĭ.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 9	2030, 203
	•	•	•			•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 10	3576
	•	•	•	•		•			7 Mad., Ap., 10, 11	1046
	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 11	2581
	•	•	•	•			•		7 Mad., Ap., 12	5508
	•	•			•				7 Mad., Ap., 13	99
	•	•	•		•				7 Mad., Ap., 13	955
	•	•	•		•	•			7 Mad., Ap., 14	1045, 623
	•								7 Mad., Ap., 15	655
	•								7 Mad., Ap., 15	1022
	•								7 Mad., Ap., 16	983
									7 Mad., Ap., 19	5992
									7 Mad., Ap., 22	5566, 556
									7 Mad., Ap., 24	1988
									7 Mad., Ap., 25	
									7 Mad., Ap., 26	$\frac{1268}{4121}$
									7 Mad., Ap., 27	
							•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 28	5603
					- :		•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 28	1304
						•		•	7 Mod Ap 90	1314
		- 1			•	•	•		7 Mad., Ap., 29	261
		·		•	•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 30	6193
		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠,	7 Mad., Ap., 31	100
-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 31	978
	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 32	3628
	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 33	965
	•	•	•	۰					7 Mad., Ap., 34	985
	•	•		•					7 Mad., Ap., 34	4531
-	•	•	•		•			.	7 Mad., Ap., 35	5290
	•	•	•					.	7 Mad., Ap., 36	5836
									7 Mad., Ap., 37	3743
	•								7 Mad., Ap., 37	4435
								. 1	7 Mad , Ap., 39	3866
									7 Mad., Ap., 40	918
										150, 455
	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 Mad., Ap., 41 . , }	
									7 Mad., Ap., 41	4433
					:	:	:	•	7 Mad An 49	1669
						•	•	-	7 Mod Ap 49	263
						•	•		7 Mad., Ap., 43	1045, 623
		•	•	•	•	•	•		7 Mad., Ap., 44	4354
		•	•	•	•	•		٠	8 Mad., 112	5832
	*	•	•	•	•	•	•		8 Mad., Ap., 1	4703
	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	8 Mad., Ap., 2	5527
	•	•			•		•	•	8 Mad., Ap., 3	3602
		•							8 Mad., Ap., 5	981,6254

			Name o	f Case.					Volume and Page.		Column of Digest.
Anonymo	ıs .								S Mad A. C		·
	- ,					- :	•		8 Mad., Ap., 6 8 Mad., Ap., 6	•	975
	•								8 Mad., Ap., 7		
									8 Mad., Ap., 8	•	962
									8 Mad., Ap., 9	•	5577
,		,								•	4095
			•							•	5290
										•	3609, 557
			•							•	2897
		•							I. L. R., 1 Mad., 133	•	6191 5840
		•	•						I. L. R., 1 Mad., 305	•	1318
			•							•	5496
		•	•	•					I. L. R., 4 Mad., 335, note		5569
		٠	•						I. L. R., 4 Mad., 389, note	•	5716
			•						I. L. R., 5 Mad., 287, note	•	6086
		•							1 Bom., 138	•	4741
		•	•						I. L. R., 5 Bom., 478	•	5856
		•	•						1 N. W., Ed. 1873 208	•	5884
		•	•						1 N. W Ed 1979 200	•	257
									2 N. W., 337	•	419
Anoo Mun	- v. M	Z	mıya .	Pillai	•				1 Mad., 140	·	4247
Anoop Chu	uutv. j	Lamaio	poddee	n	•				1 C. L. R., 248	•	1185
Anoop One	Koosa	onumb.	noo M	ull	•				1 Agra, 222	•	2792
Anooragee	Zooon -	v. iont	gobut	ty Ko	oer				25 W. R., 148	•	2164, 325
Anoragee l	Lover v	- Adoc	llah E	han					26 W. R., 99	•	3448
Anpurnaba Anrudh Si	noth at	TERTELL	Jaga	nnath	•				6 Bom., A. C., 256	- 1	5660
Anstruther	Tn 4	he m	rasad		•				I. L. R., 4 All., 481	•	2944
Antaji Nil	konth a	ne ma	ter or	٠.,	•				11 B. L. R., Ap., 34	•	2657
Antamma	n. Kar	. oaus	ruan	v asude	ev		•		10 Bom., 358		5819
Anthony v	Duno	nt.	•	•	٠	•	•		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 575 .	- 1	2224
Antu v. Gl	mlam 1	Unham	· mad 7	71	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 217		5813, 5947
Anunga w	ovee II	00000 4	Minal	L	•	•			I. L. R., 6 All., 110	- 1	2866
Anunda Sh Kema B	aha Bi	trace o	lica N	enzie	i		•		W. R., 1864, 5		1782
Kema B	ebee.	311 CLS CC	orns II	yomu	iain i	Sha Bi	swas 1	· }	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 548 .	1	
								١		•	886
Anund Chr	inder B	anerje	e v. W	oomes	h Chi	inder l	Rov	5	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15:	1 3	25.12
arund Chr	nder C	hattow	aa - 1	2.11	m	-		(Fiyae, 147.	3	2743, 6231
Anund Chu	nder C	howdh	re a f	band.	Taru	n Cha	tterjee	•	2 W. R., 287	. 1	2843
Lound Chu	nder C	bucker	hntte	Tr. 41	ar Tan	th Ro	γ.		5 W. R., 205		4821
						tter of	٠.	•	14 W. R., 376		3846
nund Chu	nder C	hund z				ur Dos			25 W. R., 50	. 1	5760
muna Chi	nder il	archan a	. N		- 00				25 W. R., 180		1740
								.	8 W. R., 192		7
							٠.	. 1	2 W. R., 219		80, 2753
								r	1 W. R., 229	.	245
								.	11 W. R , 127		684
								.]	5 W. R., 215		2309
						on D		.	15 W. R., 257		2631
							018	.	21 W. R., 130	.	1507
							•	.	4 W. R., 26.	.	1768
nund Chu	nder Si	ngh v	Gonel	Chu	los D	l loon	•	.	8 W. R., 112	.	5734
nund Coor	00m C	J	opai	Juni	aer L	uss	•	.	3 W. R., 154	.	179
nund Coon	uar Gai	gooly	v. Ral	thal C	hund	er Roy	,	. 1	8 W. R., 278	6	77, 92,
nund Coon	nar Ma	alrania	'n.		h 10a.				•	{ 2	533, 2545
nundee Ko	oer v.]	Bachon	Sinoh	-vudi	u Dai	uerjee	•	•	17 W. R., 416	.1	3001
								. 1	20 W. R., 476	1	
	- v. S	loonaet	Koon				•	٠,	9 W. R., Cr., 64	.	680

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
nundee Kooer v. Sooneet Kooer	10 W. R., Cr., 40.	4737
anundee Koonwar v. Khedoo Lall	14 Moore's I. A., 412: 18 W.	2350
v. Takoor Pandey	R., 69. 4 W. R., Mis., 21.	2794
	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 761: 8 C. L.	2482
Anund Kunwar v. Court of Wards	R., 381 : L. R., 8 I. A., 14. 5	
uundlal Sing Deo v. Dheeraj Gurrood Narayan Deo .	5 Moore's I. A., 82 10 B. L. R., 134: 17 W. R., ?	2061
Anund Lall Doss v. Jullodhur Shaw	313: 14 Moore's I. A., 543.	438
Anundloll Doss v. Jointee Chunder Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93	3772
Anund Loll Mookerjee v. Kalika Persad Misser .	12 B. L. R., 489, note: 20 W. R., 59.	5305
Anundmohey Dossee v. Doc.d. East India Company	8 Moore's I. A., 43: 4 W. R., P. C., 51.	2052, 2260, 2538, 3065
Anund Mohim Ghuttuck v. Soorji Kanto Acharjee	22 W. R., 538	1736
Chowdhry. Anund Mohun Chatterjee v. Sutto Ram Mozoomdar .	8 W. R., 124	5831
Anund Mohun Hajrah v. Shibo Soonduree Dabee	16 W. R., 256	5620
Anund Mohun Mozoomdar v. Gobind Chunder Mozoom- 🤾	W. R., 1864, 173	2117
dar.		684,1418
Anund Mohun Mullick v. Indro Monee Chowdrain Anund Mohun Paul Chowdhry v. Ram Kishen Paul ?	16 W. R., 214	6221, 6223
Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, 352	2370
Anund Mohun Roy v. Chunder Monee Dasee	Marsh., 547: 2 Hay, 648	3396
v. Lamb	Marsh., 169: 1 Hay, 374 .	2347
Anund Mohun Surma Mojoomdar v. Huro Chunder Bhuttacharjee.	0 11. 16., 2015., 20	5620
Anund Moyee Chowdhrain v. Boykant Nath Roy	8 W. R., 193 3 W. R., Act X, 139	2454 1438
v. Sheeb Chunder Roy. {	2 W. R., P. C., 19: 9 Moore's I. A., 287: Marsh., 455.	1687, 4647
Anund Moyee Dabi v. Grish Chunder Myti . {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 772: 9 C. L.	3168
Anund Moyee Dassee v. Hameedonissa	R., 327. S Marsh., 85: 1 Hay, 152	1362
Anundmoyee Debia v. Khirodhur Haldar	2 W. R., Act X, 46	4932
Anundmoyee Dossee v. Dhurundro Chunder Mookerjee	1 W. R., 103	3547
v. Mohendro Narain Dass .	15 W. R., 264	2518, 3009 4643
v. Poorno Chunder Roy	23 W. R., 34	2999
Anundmoyee Dossee v. Raye Monee Dassee	20 W. R., 14	6108
Anundmoyee Dossia v. Kalee Coomar Rokheet	6 W. R., 18.	5145
Anund Moyee Goopto v. Gopal Chunder Banerjee .	W. R., 1864, 310	2427
Anund Moyi Dabia v. Shurnomoyi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 179 4 W. R., Cr., 12	4484
Anund Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of v. Junmejoy Biswas	8 W. R., 240	537
v. Thakoor Doss Mczoomdar .	2 Hay, 472	1739
Anundolall Chowdhry v. Hills	4 W. R., Act X, 33	1617
Anundo Lall Dass v. Boycaunt Ram Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 283 : 4 C. L.	1381
Anundo Rai v. Kaliprosad Singh	R., 473. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 677	2041
Anund Roy v. Munorut Singh	Marsh., 611	1458, 524
Anungo Mohun Deb Roy v. Muddun Mohun Mozoom-dar.	1 C. L. R., 147	2692
Anunt Dass v. Kelly	1 N. W., Part 7, p. 107: Ed., 1873, 194.	1150, 378
Anunt Manihee v. Joy Chunder Chowdhry	12 W. R., 371	3804

Name of Case. Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Anunto Doss Sein v. Ram Joy Sein Anunto Ram Seal v. Brojo Bullub Seal Anuntram Agurwalla v. Nuthall Anuntram Agurwalla v. Nuthall Anuntram Garwalla v. Hiramani Dasi Anurapo Chandra Mukhopadhya v. Hiramani Dasi Anusupabai v. Sakharam Pandurang Anwar Biswas. In re Anwar Jan Bibee v. Azmut Ali Apach Ojha v. Ram Dulari Koer Apach Ojha v. Ram Dulari Koer Apaji Chintaman Devdhar v. Gangabai Apaji Chintaman Devdhar v. Gangabai Apaya v. Rama Apace v. Howah Bye Apec v. Heera Nund Apaci Biwar v. Sidel I Khaharal Apagi Chiwar v. Sidel I Khaharal Apagi Sidel I Khaharal	247 241, 2575 2411, 2575 4499 320, 6231 5024 161 3944 161 3942 2424, 5684, 2747 2685, 5140 245 3099, 5246 109 1461 757 3137 1001 2246 4869 3586 410 2965 3995 296 5291
Appiah Chetty v. Chengadoo	3462 5899
Appundy Ibram Sahib v. Sam	2445 3263 6177
Anu Budgavda v. Narhari Annaice	761,6177
Aradhun Dey v. Golam Hossein 8 W. R., 487 4/2 Aradhun Mundul v. Myan Khan Takadgeer 7 W. R., 461 24 W. R., Ct., 7	763, 4794 5076 5829 464, 5995
Arathoon v. Protap Chunder Dass	1717
Aroutnot v. Betts	609, 6098 730 5298 236, 6071
Arabon a Wathin	849, 5094
Ardaser Curtsetjee v. Perozeboye Ardesar Hormasji Wadia v. Secretary of State for India	586, 3749 34, 2847, 5095
Andrean Takes in Till	348
Ardesar Janangir Framji v. Avabai 9 Bom., 290	5101

Name of Case.		Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
ardeshir Dhangibhai v. Collector of Surat		3 Bom., A. C., 116	296, 1691
	.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 398	603
Ardesir Jehangir v. Secretary of State for India . Ardesir Jehangir Framji v. Hirabai	.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 474	4674
Ardesir Limji v. Sorabji Pestanji	.	1 Bom 70	1205
	٠, ١	1 Bom., 70 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 601	607
Ardesir Nasarvanji v. Muse Natha Amiji Aree Murdun Bhuggut v. Jannath Bhuggut	. 1	15 W. R., 328	674
	اءَ	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 378: 25)	
Arfunnessa v. Peary Mohun Mookerjee	1	W. R., 209.	4203
rfunnissa Chowdhrain v. Rokibunnissa Chowdhrain	.	24 W. R., 444	3832
argoon Singh v. Huree Hur Singh	.	14 W. R., 472	6221
Arjan Bibi v. Asgar Ali Chowdhuri		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 200	2729
rjuna valad Bhiva v. Bhavan valad Nimbaji .		4 Bom., A. C., 133	3430
rlapa Nayak v. Narsi Keshavji & Co		8 Bom., A. C., 19	1348, 4586
Armugam v. Sabapathi		I. L. R., 5 Mad., 12	5387
Aroonachella Gramany v. Velliappa Gramany .		8 Mad., 103	2950
Arrathoon v. Cochrane		4 W. R., P. C., 66	5804
Arruth Misser v. Juggurnath Indraswamee .		18 W. R., 439	2254
trub v. Ashruf		24 W. R., 335	2995
Arulu Mastry v. Wakuthu Chinnaven		2 Mad., 205	2719
Arulu Mastry v. Wakuthu Chinnayen Arumugam v. Tulukanam		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 187	3739
Arumugam Chetty v. Perriyannan Servai		25 W. R., 81	4458
Arumugam Mudali v. Ammi Ammal		1 Mad. 400	2561
Arumugam Pillai v. Tijayammal		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 338	3580
Arnnachala v. Ayyu		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 318	2652
v. Munaivami		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 39	2172
v. Panchsanadam		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 348	4992
v. Zemindarof Sivagiri		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 328	807
Arunachala Pillai v. Ramasamya Pillai		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 402	3170, 3297
v. Vythialinga Mudaliyar .		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 27	4254
Arunachala Reddi. In the matter of the petition of		5 Mad., 212	6029
Arunachella Mudaly v. Vencatachella Mudaly .		5 Mad., 269	779
Arunachella Pillai v. Appava Pillai		3 Mad., 188	848
Arunachella Tevar v. Venkatasami Naik		7 Mad., 123	4043
Arunachella Thudayan v. Veludayan		5 Mad., 215	3480, 354
Arunachellum Chetty v. Gangatharam Aiyan .		5 Mad., 287	5656
		4 Mad 919	53, 1771
v. Olagappah Chetty	•	4 Mad., 312	5823
Arun Chandra Mandal v. Ramanath Rakhit .		1 B. L. R., S. N., 20	3236
Arundadhi v. Natesha		I. L. R., 5 Mad., 391	831
Arundadi Ammal v. Kuppammal		3 Mad., 283	2119
Arut Sahoo v. Prandhone Pykura		I. L. R. 10 Calc., 503	3005
Aruth Soar v. Juggunnath Mohapattur		23 W. R., 460	3917
Arzan v. Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 214	3231,528
Asad Ali Mirdha v. Toyfan Bibi		13 C. L. R., 328	4472
Asansab Ravuthan v. Vamana Rau		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 223	3966
Asapal Singh v. Nunkoo Singh		3 Agra, 216	3955
Asdutdowlah Reza Hossein Khan v. Hamisadowl Abed Khan.	ah }	6 B. L. R., 575 : 15 W. R., 204	1
Aseemoonnissa v. Ameeronnissa	·.	15 W. R., 285	4945
Asgar Ali Chowdhry v. Mahabhat Ali	{	13 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 22 W. R., 403.	1078
Asgur v. Goluck Chunder Chowdhry		8 W. R., 383	4172
Asgur Ali v. Asgur Ali		20 W. R., 373	4954
v. Nugendro Chunder Ghose		23 W. R., 463	
v. Woomakant Mookerjee		25 W. R., 318	4932
Asgur Ali Shikdar v. Mothoora Nath Ghose		15 W. R., 354	4793
		23 W. R., 56	. 5751

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
sgur Hossein. In re	. 8 C. L. R., 124	6264
. In the matter of the petition of .	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 774: 8 C. }	1836, 626
gur Mahomed v. Nuzeema Bibee	14 W. R., 272	5041
habai v. Tyeb	· I. L. R., 6 Bom., 390 · ·	2755
v. Tyeb Haji Rahimtulla		2282, 2446 2493, 3632
v. 1 yeb 11aji Kanimuna	· I. L. R., 9 Bom., 115 .	6048
hadoollah v. Shaeba Jhasors	. 2 Hay, 345	3684
hanoellah v. Kadir	. 25 W. R., 92	3799
v. Kisto Gobind Dass	· 2 C. L. R., 592 · · ·	1634
v. Ramdhone Bhuttacharjee	· I. L. R., 1 Calc., 325	543
shanulla v. Karoonamoyi Chowdhry	· 4 C. L. R., 125	273, 28 5311
shanulla Khan Bahadur v. Rajendra Chandra Rai shanullah v. Kali Kinkur Kur	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 464	4313
shanullah Khan Bahadur v. Trilochun Bagchee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 675 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 197	4190, 529
shburner v. Currie	13 W. R., 27	4743
v. Keshav valad Tuku Patil	. 4 Bom., A. C., 150	2785, 410
sheerooddeen <i>alias</i> Kalla Miah v. Drobo Moyee	. 25 W. R., 557	3668
shgar Ali v. Delroos Banee Begum	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 324	113,423
shgar Ali Shah v. Jhanda Mal	. I. L. R., 2 All., 839	5084
shidharee Chowdhry v. Juggessur Kumar	. 14 W. R., 430	633
shidhari Chowdhry v. Jagessur Kumar shik Ali v. Mathura Kandu	. 6 B. L. B., Ap., 32	633
shootosh Chuckerbutty v. Teetoo Haldar	. I. L. R., 5 All., 187	3354, 521 5279
•	W. R., 1864, 293	
shootosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 584: 8 C. }	788, 354
shraf Ali v. Jagannath	. I. L. R., 6 All., 497	5238
shrof Ali v. Empress	. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 281	1954
shruf v. Ramkishore Ghose	· 23 W. R., 288	4171, 518
shruf Ali v. Ashad Ali	· 16 W. R., 260	3637, 364
v. Lutchmiput Singh v. Vilaet Hossein	· 2 C. L. R., 223	5407
shruff Hossein. In the matter of	· 24 W. R., 356	1612 5518
shruffoodowlah Ahmed Hossein v. Hyder Hossein	16 W. R., Cr., 67 7 W. R., P. C., 1:11 Moore's }	
Khan.	I. A., 94.	3634
shruffunnissa v. Azeemun	1 W. R., 17	3684, 370
v. Lehareaux	5 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 272: 10 C.	
	L. R., 502.	198
hruffunnissa Begum v. Khanum Jaun	- 6 W. R., 285	3879, 525
shruf Hossein v. Hazara Begum shrufoonissa v. Umung Mohun Deb Roy	· 18 W. R., 396	5926
shrafoonissa Begum v. Stewart	• 5 W. R., Act X, 48	4151
v	7 W. R., 303 9 W. R., 438	1377, 580 4916
v. Tosudduck Hossein	22 W. R., 260	2982
shruf Sirdar v. Bhubo Soonduree	25 W. R., 40	1680, 202
shumande Agath Kunhi Pathumah v. Makachinde	3	
Agath Makachi.	} 4 Mad., 478	4198
shutosh Bose v. Huree Churn Nag	· 16 W. R., 305	2816
shutosh Dutt v. Doorga Churn Chatterjee	1 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 438: 5 C. L.	2541
Asia." In re the proceeds of the	R., 296: L. R., 6 I. A., 182.	
siatic Banking Corporation v. Viegas	• 5 Bom., O. C., 64	2833 131, 590
sima Krishna Deb v. Kumara Krishna Deb	8 Bom., O. C., 20	2552
skar v. Ram Manick Roy	5 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 13 W.	
skar Mea v. Sabdar Mea	R., 344.	4560

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
skery Khan v. Ashrufunnissa	6 C T. P. 112	3320
slem v. Kalla Durzi	6 C. L. R., 112	5231
isles a Unduterrium	2 C. L. R., 344	26, 3695
asioo v. Omuutoonissa		4758
ksloo v. Umdutoonissa sma Bibse v. Ramkant Roy Chowdhry smal Saleman v. Collector of Broach sman Singh v. Abeedoddeen	19 W. R., 251	642, 2859
sman Singh at Abadaddaan	23 W. R., 460	535
a Airea Koon	2 C. L. R. 406	1696
o, minus ixou	I. L. B., 6 Cale., 284 : 7 C.)	
v. Doorga Roy	2 C. L. R., 406	5737
smedh Koonwar v. Tayler smedh Koonwar v. Joykurun Lall smed Kooer v. Indurject Kooer smudh Kooer v. Joykurun Lall smut Kooer v. Joykurun Lall smut Kooer v. Inderject Kooer smutoonissa Bibee v. Khudemoonnissa Bibee v. Ehudemoonnissa Bibee v. Ehudemoonnissa	W. R., 1864, 15	1962, 6016
smedh Koonwur v. Joykurun Lall	1 W. R., 349	3129
smed Kooer v. Indurieet Kooer	9 W. R., 445	3834
smudh Kooer v. Jovkurun Lall	9 W. R., 445 5 W. R., 125	3336
smut Kooer v. Inderieet Kooer	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1003 .	3834
smutoonissa Bebee v. Atta Hafiz	8 W. R., 468	135
smutoonnissa Bibee v. Khudemoonnissa Bibee	17 W. R., 278	5468
smutullah Dalal v. Kally Churn Mitter	17 W. R., 278	3534
srafannissa Begum v. Inaet Hossein	9 D. H. R., 510: 15 W. R., 459	5939
assam Tea Company v. East Indian Railway Company.	Bourke, O. C., 39	4707
ssamathem Nessa Bibee v. Lutchmeeput Singh .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 142: 2 C. }	3640, 4943
1 0	L. R., 223.	5407
Assanoollah v. Kalee Mohun Mookerjee	18 W. R., 469	2053, 496
v. Obhoy Churn Roy	13 W. R., P. C., 24: 13 }	541,534
	Moore's I. A., 317.	
	21 W. R., 135	2046
ssanullah v. Bussarat Ali Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 920	4150
v. Saffer Ali ssanullah v. Bussarat Ali Chowdhry v. Hafiz Mahomed Ali ssa Ram v. Kashmeeven Dass	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 932	2776
Assa Ram v. Kashmeeree Dass	Agra, F. B., 90: Ed. 1874, 68	5770
Assaram Burteah v. Commercial Transport Association.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 113 .	1203, 1524 2732
Assaruddee Khan v. Baloo Khan	1 W. R., Cr., 6	957
Assengolla Joo v. Abdool Aziz	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 923	4524
ssur Ali v. Woolfutoonissa	13 W. R., 33	5132
ussencolla Joo v. Abdool Aziz usur Ali v. Wooltutoonissa usud Ali Khan v. Akbar Ali Khan usudooddeen Hyder v. Abdool Kureem		1170
sudooddeen Hyder v. Abdool Kureem	6 W. R., 110	5121
sudoolah v. Akbur Ali	1 C. L., R., 364	4464
sudoollah v. Monohur Doss		5825
	22 W. R., 531 1 B. L. R., A. C., 34: 10 W.	9410 944
su Mia v. Raju Mia	110, 10,	· t
tahur Ali v. Altaf Fatima	1 10 W. R., 370, note	3656
tchama v. Ramanadha Baboo	7 W. R., P. C., 57: 4 Moore's	2135
	I. A., 1.	
tchamma v. Subba Rayudu	5 Mad., 428	3397
thiappa v. Ayanna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 300	4292
thilakshmi Ammal v. Sivanananja Perumal Sethuraye		2318
thur Ali Khan v. Sekundar Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, 322	5285
thuroonissa v. Rughoonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 322	5431
	3 Mad., 222	2810
tmaram v. Balkishen	I. L. R., 5 All., 266	4289
v. Chundun Singh	2 Agra, 77 I. L. R., 6 All., 276	1026
v. Madho Rao	1, L. K., 6 All., 276	2111 5744
tmaram Ballal Kagji v. Sadashiv Hari Mahajani	. 2 Bom., 1	5821
Atmaram Gulabhai v. Amirchand Rupchand	3 Bom., A. C., 92.	1901
Atmaram Kaliandas v. Fatma Begum	. 5 Bom., A. C., 67.	1
Atri Bai v. Arnopoorna Bai	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 838: 12 C. L. R., 409.	5727
	L. R., 409.	4677
Atta Oollah Mundle v. Sukeeooddeen Turupdar .	. W. R., 1864, 271	4011

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
ttapyce Mowlah v. Sukhawut Ally	Marsh., 102: W. R., F. B., 30: 1 Hay, 240.	2988
ttermoney Dossee v. Hurrydoss Dutt	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 74: 9 C. L. R., 357.	5170, 5238
ttimoollah v. Saheboollah	15 W. R., 149	3016
ttipakula Munappa v. Dasinani Chenchu Nayudu	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 138	3597
ttorney and Proctor. In the matter of an	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305	456
ttorney General v. Brodie	4 Moore's I. A., 190	151, 5974
ttorneys. In the matter of the petition of the	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 24 · ·	5297 4184
ttotram Doss v. Balunkee Doss	14 W. B., 357 · · ·	2264
ttulakshmi Ammal v. Sivanaranja Perumal Sethurayar, ubinash Chunder Mookerjee v. Martin	3 Mad., 75	5728, 595
uchterlony v. Bill	4 Mad., 77	1110
ndh Beharee Singh v. Dost Mahomed	22 W. R., 185	1644
udh Kumari v. Chandra Dai	I. L. R., 2 All., 561	2305
udhur Chundra Shaw. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 250	3781
ughore Nath Ghossal v. Roop Chand Mundul	13 W. R., 97 · · ·	4993 306, 6081
ugopura Chowdhry v. Meah Bibee	10 W. R., 207 {	6085
ugudh Nath v. Doorga Gir	1 Agra, 241	3263
ugur Singh v. Mohinee Dutt Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 101	3024
ugustin v. Augustin	I. L. R., 4 All., 374	1548
uhiu v. Ahmed Mahomed	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 241	416
uhindro Bhoosun Chatterjee v. Chunnoo Lall Johurry.		4018
ukhil Chunder v. Tripoora Soonduree	22 W. R., 525	80, 385 3284, 418
ukhil Chunder Chowdhry v. Delawar Hossein ukhil Chunder Mookerjee v. Chunder Coomar Mitter	6 C. L. R., 93	5308
v. Shib Narain Ghose	22 W. R., 414	5020
ukhil Chunder Sen Roy v. Mohiny Mohun Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 469 : 4 C.L. }	2798, 607
ukhoy Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Mollah Nobee Nowaz .	13 W. R., 449	6072
ukur Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Madhub Chunder	21 W. R., 1	1774, 5838
Ghose,)	5835 6031
ulock Monee Dossia v. Aulockmonee Debia unath Nath Deb v. Bistu Chunder Roy	25 W. R., 48	1715
unjona Dasi v. Prahlad Chandra Ghose		2846
unungo Mohun Deb v. Unnoda Dossee	17 W. R., 351	5003
urokiam. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 38 {	5149, 5150 5152
useemoonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon .	22 W. R., 204	1928
ushootosh Chandra v. Taraprasanna Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 612	992
Autoo Misree v. Bidhoomookhee Dabee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 605 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 453 : 5 C. L.)	3539
Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In re the	R., 307.	3812
v. Pestanji Nanabhai	3 Bom., A. C., 113 11 Bom., 87	4241 6197
vala v. Kuppu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 77	5021
Avudh Beharee Singh v. Ram Raj Tewaree	18 W. R., 105	325
Avul Khadar v. Audhu Set	2 Mad., 423	4438
Awadh Kuari v. Raktu Tiwari	I. L. R., 6 All., 109	227
Awkinfee v. Meenay Ayesha Beebee v. Kanhye Mollah	8 W. R., 1	668
Ayetun v. Ramsebuck Poddar	12 W. R., 146 12 W. R., 156	4457 134
Aymel Islam v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	8 W. R., 501	2997
Ayyasami v. Samiya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 82	3251
Ayyavayyar v. Shastram Ayyar	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 506	2703

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ayyavu Muppanar v. Niladatchi Ammal Azadee v. Ajmere Koonwer. Azam Bhuyar v. Faizuddin Ahamed Azaegunnisae v. Ruhnanoollah Azeem Ali v. Hurnam Dass Azeem Khan v. Ameerun Azeem Nan v. Aserudin Azeem Chan v. Ameerun Azeem Nan v. Aserudin Azeezonisa v. Baqur Khan Azeezonisa v. Baqur Khan Azezon Howladar v. Asaruddin Azhar Ali v. Altaf Fatima Azim Ali Khan Bahadoor v. Surussutty Debia Azim Ali Khan Bahadoor v. Surussutty Debia Azim Molla v. Satoo Poramanick Azim Mollav v. Satoo Poramanick Azim Sarung v. Almooddeen Azimudin Khan v. Satoul-nissa Azimundin Khan v. Zia-ul-nissa Azimundin Khan v. Zia-ul-nissa Azimundin Khan v. Ja-ul-nissa	1 Mad., 45	2146, 2410, 2405, 8366 3401 3693 1633 1633 1633 1633 1633 1633 163
В		
Baba v. Timma v. Vishvanath Joshi Baba Chowdhry v. Abedooddeen Mahomed	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 357 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 228 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 69	2156 3033, 3047 3801, 3802, 3805
Babaji v. Anna	. 10 Bom., 479	3263, 3285
v. Balaji Ganesh	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 660 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 305	2307 2410
v. Lakshmibai · · · · ·	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 266	2799, 4426
v. Maruti	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 310	3057, 4462
v. Narayan · · · · ·	. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 340 .	5506
v. Sheshgeri · · · · · ·	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 593	688
v. onesigeri.		
v. Shesigeri v. Vithu Babaji bin Kusaji v. Maruti Babaji bin Ramji v. Kasimbhai valad Azambhai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 734 11 Bom., 182	1518, 398 687

Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Babaji Hari v. Rajaram Ballal		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 75	4345 4390
Babaji Jivaji v. Bhagirthibai		6 Bom., A. C., 70	1412
Polaji Lokehman a Vasudar Vinavak	: :	I T. R. 1 Bom. 95	1469
Babaji Lakshman v. Vasudev Vinayak Babaji Mahadaji v. Krishnaji Devji		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 95 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 666	2158
abaji Parshram v. Kashibai		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 157	2453
			4155
Babaji Sakhoji v. Ramshet Pandushet .		2 Bom., 23	
Baba Mohamed v. Webb	. {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 786: 8 C.} L. R., 36.	808, 838
Baban Mayacha v. Nagu Shravucha		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 19 . }	1995, 2843, 4976
Babashet bin Gobindshet v. Jirshet bin Yesshet		5 Bom., A. C., 71	2365
Baboojan v. Mahomed Nurool Hug		10 W. R., 375	3732
		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 474: 7 C. }	
Baboojan Jha v. Byjnath Dutt Jha	. {	L. R., 539.	3844
Baboo Lall v. Luttoo Ram	(4656
Baboolall Jha v. Juma Buksh		18 W. R., 233	2343
		2 W. R., 116	3517
Baboonath Jha v. Khugput Doss		19 W. R., 226	1469
Babooram v. Gajadhur Singh		Agra, F. B., 86: Ed. 1874, 65	
Babshetti v. Venkataramana		I. L. R., 3 Bom., 154 . {	1092, 2968, 5746
Babulal v. Ishri Parsad Narain Singh .		I. L. R., 2 All., 582	5020
Babun Mundle v. Shib Koomaree Burmonee			3
	. ;	21 W. R., 404 15 B. L. R., 242: 19 W. R.,	
Babur Ali v. Dowlnt Ali	. {		5074
v. Gokul Lall	(217.	5938
Baburav Amrit Pethe v. Ganpatrav Damodar		24 W. R., 62	5893
Daturay Amite Lettle v. Ganpatray Damodar		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 69	
Babur Meah v. Jumun Meah		2 C. L. R., 362	169
Bacharam Chowdry v. Mahtab Beebee .		W. R., 1864, 377	3165
Bacharam Mundul v. Peary Mohun Baneriee	. {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 813: 12 C. }	4205
Bachchu v. Madad Ali		L. R., 475.	1495
		I. L. R., 2 All., 649 .	2192, 2283
Bachebi v. Makhan Lal		I. L. R., 3 All., 55 . {	5905
Bachha Jha v. Jugmon Jha			
Daulinia a Vanlatanna 3		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 348	2497
Bachman v. Bachman		2 Mad., 402	2308
v. Lall Beharee Pandey		I. L. R., 6 All., 583	6217
Bachubai v. Shamji Jadowji		13 W. R., 324	6236
bacatosi v. Shamji sadowji	٠.,	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 536 10 B. L. R., 45: 17 W. R., 3	3870, 4322
Bachun v. Hamid Hossein	. {	10 B. L. R., 45: 17 W. R., }	3657, 4654
Destan All or Demon All	. (113: 14 Moore's I. A., 377.	5613
Bachun Ali v. Dewan Ali		11 W. R., 376 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 182	1424
Bachu Ramesam v. Nukala Bhanappa		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 182	3589
Bada v. Hussu Bhai		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 236	5265
Badam v. Imrit		I. L. R., 3 All., 675	4917
v. Michel		1 Agra, 266	3037
Badami Kuar v. Dinu Rai		I. L. R., 8 All., 111	5963
Badamoo Koowar v. Wuzeer Singh	. {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 144: 5 W. R., 78.	2470, 251
Badaranissa Bibee v. Mafiattala	. {	7 B. L R., 442: 15 W. R., ?	3646
Badaruth Tewary v. Jagarnath Dass		555.	Į.
Bedaum Farman Lalla Cital Days		1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 75 5 W. R., 126	2444
Badaum Koowur v. Lalla Situl Pershad		5 W. R., 126	5359
Badi v. Queen-Empress		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 579	1025
Badiya v. Queen		I. L. R., 5 Mad., 158 . {	713,5576 6192
Badrinath v. Bhajan Lal		I. L. R., 5 All., 191	2917, 306
Badri Nath v. Parbat		I. L. R., 5 All., 121	5199

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Badri Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 3 All., 700	5815
v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 1 All., 382	3248
	I. L. R., 2 All., 593 : L. R.,)	4025
v. Murli Dhar	7 I. A., 51.	
v. Saran Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 359	5443
Badri Roy v. Bhugwat Narain Dobey	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 649 : 11 C. } L. R., 186	2467, 4310
Badrunnissa v. Muhammad Jan	I. L. R., 2 All., 671	4411,5680
Sadul Singh v. Chutterdharee Singh	9 W. R., 558	878, 2319
Badurul Huq v. Court of Wards	12 W. R., 498	3376
	2 Agra, 81	5254
Bagaishree Dyal v. Government. Bagdee Manjee v. Mohindro Narain	10 W. R., Cr., 16	463, 6252
	Bourke, Ins., 5	2670
Baggot. In re Baghelin v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 430	3149
		1944, 3162,
Bagoo Jan v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq	13 W. R., 69 }	5138
Bagram. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 52	983
	20 W. R., 10	5930
v. Collector of Bhullooa	W. R., 1864, 243	1994, 4457
v. Khettranath Karformah	3 B. L. R., O. C., 18	4566
v. Moses	1 Hyde, 284	2796, 2828
v. Wise	1 B. L. R., F. B., 91: 10 W. ?	1881
	R., F. B., 46.	
Bagubai v. Nizmuddin Jahadoor v. Shadee Ram Jahadoor Ally v. Domun Singh Jahadoor Khan v. Kora Mull	6 Born., A. C., 275	5732
Sahadoor v. Shadee Ram	2 Agra, 3	52 2744, 3556
Sahadoor Ally v. Domun Singh	7 W. R., 27	3022
Bahadur v. Nawab Jan	1 Agra, 281	6087
Sahadur v. Nawab Jan Sahadur Ali v. Bhabo Soonduree Debia Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 425	236
Bahadur Singh v. Bhugwan Dass	1 Agra, 23	243
v. Hura	3 N. W., 73	580
	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 887 : L. R., ?	5104
v. Jowahir Kuar	11 I A., 75.	5104
v. Lucho Koer	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406 : 7 C.L. }	5067
(R., 251.	
Bahal Singh v. Gauri	7 N. W., 284	3182
v. Shibo Ranı Singh	W. R., 1864, 76	382
v. Shibo Ram Singh Sahar Shah v. Pero Shah	24 W. R., 385	3376
Sanarulia 7. Majan	3 B. L. R., Ap., 61	4163
Bahooria Doohma Kowar v. Lalla Juwahur Lall Paurey	20 W. R., 52	1940 835
Bahori Lal v. Ganri Sahai	I. L. R., 8 All., 626 I. L. R., 4 All., 257	4887
Bahraichi Chaudhri v. Surju Naik	I. L. R., 4 All., 257	2082, 3117
Bahur Ali v. Sookea Bibee	13 W. R., 63	1544, 4426
Bai Amrit. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 380	2077, 2317
v. Bai Manik		309, 1443,
Bai Anope v. Mulchand Girdhar	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 355 . {	4417, 4129
Bai Buibu a Bai Daomha	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 728	3858
Bai Baiba v. Bai Daguba	3 Agra, 15	3434, 5380
Baichoo Bam v. Dowlnt Ram	2 N. W., 8	1602
Baichoo v. Howard	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 279	2421
Bai Devkore v. Amritram Jamiatram	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 372	2287
Bai Ganga v. Dullabh Parag	5 Bom., A. C., 179	8043
Bai Jaday v. Tribhuyandas Jaguiyandas	9 Bom., 333	6060
Bai Jamna v. Bai Ichha	I. L. R., 10 Bom:, 604	3187, 3270
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 168	3774

	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
]	Sai Javer v. Hathi Sing		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 56	4292
]	Baijnath v. Lachman Das		I. L. R., 7 All., 888	4837
-	v. Mahabir		I. L. R., 1 All., 608	2308
-	v. Mahomed Iradat		7 N. W., 185	4801
7	v. Munglee		7 N. W., 185 2 N. W., 411	5997
1	aij Nath Chatterjee v. Lakhimani Debi		5 B. L. R., 514, note	1405
I	aijnath Sahai v. Desputty Singh	{	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 208: 25 W. R., 489.	4669
1	aij Nath Sahay v. Rugho Nath Pershad Singh .		12 C. L. R., 186	480
3	Baij Nath Sahu v. Lala Sital Prasad	{	2 B. L. R., F. B., 1: 10 W. R., F. B., 66.	2891, 5367
]	Baijoo Baul v. Gugun Misser Baijoo Lall. In the matter of the petition of	•	3 W. R., Cr., 61	2006
1	Baijun Doobey v. Brij Bhooknn Lall Awusti	{	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450	1314
1	ai Kanku v. Bai Jadav	_	R., 306: L. R., 2 T. A , 275 (2519
	aikanthanath Chatterjee v. Naziruddin	{	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 15 1 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W.	2419
		Ì	R., 171.	351
	aikanthanath Kumar v. Chandra Mohan Chowdhry	ì	1 B. L. R., A. C., 133: 10 W. } R., 190.	138, 419
I	aikantha Nath Singh v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand .	{	9 B. L. R., 87: 17 W. R.,	5330
ŀ	ai Kashi v. Bai Jamna		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 449	3262
7.	ai Kesar v. Bai Ganga ai Kesserbai v. Naranji Walji		8 Bom., A. C., 31	2078, 2278
Î	ai Khedu v. Dasu Lall		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 353	459
Ē	aikunt Kumon To the and		5 Bom., A. C., 123	1844
P	aikunt Kumar. In the matter of ai Kushal v. Lakhma Mana		3 C. L. R., 134	4508
B	ai Kuvar v. Vendidas Gangaram		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 452	2263
B	ai Lakshmi v. Lakhmidas Gopal Das	٠	8 Bom., A. C., 127	1898
В	ailur Krishna Raw v. Lakshmana Shanbhogue		1 Bom., 13	2422 413, 896,
	i Mahkor v. Bulakhi Chalco	1	()	1693
	ni Mancha v. Narotamdas Kashidas .	.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 538 . {	4424, 6075, 6080
В	i Maneckbai v. Bai Merbai		6 Bom., A. C., 1	2342, 2371
	i Manekbai v. Manekji Kavasji		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 363 . {	4239, 5879, 6056
B	ince v. Mahomed Ali Khan	.	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 213	3463
200	tuce Fershad v. Mahamad III-han II	.	2 Agra, 307	4925
Ba	inee Singh v. Bhurth Singh	٠	3 Agra, Rev., 3	1645
ມຜ	I I remkuvar v. Pakka Kullianii	.	1 Agra, 162	2345
B_{a}	Gurai v. Hainatram Dorochankan	•	5 Bom., A. C., 209	6099
-	v. Government of Bombay	٠ [I. L. R., 6 Bom., 380	6118, 6142
Ba	Ude Kuvar v. Mulji Naran	٠	8 Bom., A. C., 83	5591
Ba	Ujam v. Valiji Rasulbhai	.	3 Bom., A. C., 177	3130, 3131
Βa	va Khan Daud Khan v. Bhiku Sazba	٠	L. L. R., 10 Bom., 456	620
$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\alpha}$	VILKOF D. Pakirbbor	.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 475	3139
Dα	VIIII # Nama Macron	.	2 Bom., 335: 2nd Ed., 317 .	4902
Dit	I Dev v. Sadashiv Rhaickanhan	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 152	1099
			o Dom., A. C., 158	1897
Du.	its full Salion a Chromina D	1	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17	3865
		1	7. W. R., 11	2843
Ba	ter v. Sutherland		6 Bom., O. C., 131	4758
Ba	shat Ram v. Wazir Ali		8 B. L. R., 298 : 16 W. R., 90	1157
Ba!	thir Mohammed v. Doorga Churn Shaha		L. L. R. 10 Cale 39, 72 C 1	2861, 3821
Bal	shshi Nand Kishore v. Malak Chand		L. R., 200. I. L. R., 7 All., 289	417, 5296
		1 -	. 11. 16., 1 All., 289	5466

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
akranath Mandal v. Binodram Sen	1 B. L. R., F. B., 25: 10 W. (1621, 3015
animum in indicate in Delicate	R., F. B., 33.	4148
akshiram Gangaram v. Darku Tukaram		4023, 4885
aksu Lakshman v. Govinda Kanji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 594	1825
akubai v. Manchhabai	2 Bom., 5	2304, 2334
akur Ali Chowdhry v. Ashkur Ali	5 W. R., 156	144
alaji v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 284	5979
alaji Anant Rajadiksha v. Ganesh Janardan Kamati 🛭 .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 499	1468
alaji Baikaji Pinge v. Gopal bin Raghu Kuli	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 23	1188, 1639
alaji Ganesh v. Khusalji	11 Bom., 24	3546
alaji J. Rahalkar v. Narayan Bhat	3 Born., A. C., 63	2055
alaji Mahadev v. Krishnaji bin Chimnaji	6 Bom., A. C., 95	5822
alaji Narayan Kolatkar v. Ram Chandra Ganesh		3941, 4828
Kelkar.	11 Bom., 37 {	5311
alaji Narji v. Babu Deoli		4185
alaji Ramchandra v. Gajanan Babaji	5 Bom., A. C., 159	
ataji mandilandra o. Gajanan Dabaji		442, 541
alaji Ranchhoddas. In re	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 680 . {	1888, 2793
1. " O'L		3140
alaji Sitaram. In re	11 Bom., 34	5511, 551
alaji Sitaram Naik Salgavkar v. Bhikaji Soyare Pra-	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 164 .	3036, 3039
bhu Kanolekar.		4893
alaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Dharma	2 Bom., 385 : 2nd Ed., 363 .	4902, 504
ala Kadar v. Gulam Mohidin	I. L. R., 7 Bom, 424	5442
alak Tewari v. Kansil Misr	I. L. R., 4 All., 491	246
alam Das v. Dwarka Das	7 N. W., 88	1502, 5683
alaram Chatruklal v. Magistrate of Igatpuri	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 672	4422
alarami Reddi v. Pera Reddi	T. L. R. 6 Mad 267	2218, 228
Salaram Mullick v. Solano	8 B. L. R., 335	426
Salaram Nemchand v. Appa valad Dulu	9 Bom., 121	4822
Bala Thakoor v. Meghburn Singh	14 W. R., 269	1764, 380
Bala valad Sankia v. Gabaji Balvant Kulkarni .	2 Bom., 175: 2nd Ed., 168 .	1075,612
Salbhadar v. Bisheshar	I. L. R., 8 All., 495	2407
Bal Chand v. Raghunath Das		794
Baldeo Das v. Gobind Shankar		82, 596
v. Sham Lal		2370
saldeo Das Agarwalla v. Kaich		4325
Baldeo Panday v. Gokul Rai	I. L. R., 1 All., 603	2716
v. Jhari Kuar		4081
Baldeo Prasad v. Grish Chandra Bose		4261, 524
Saldeo Sahai v. Bateshar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 75	5040
Balfour & Co. v. Kilburn & Co.	1 Hyde, 270	6013
Balgobind v. Bhawani Deen Sahoo	1 Agra, Mis., 16	1925
v. Rankumar		2484
Bal Gobind Muhtoon v. Lutafut Hossein	I. L. R., 6 All., 431	
	7 W. R., 142	5809 2431
Balgobind Ram v. Hivusranee	2 W. R., 255	4289
	16 W. R., 183	
Balindur Narain v. Kalla Messoo Koos	18 W. R., 341	1570, 627
Balkishen v. Jasoda Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 765	5759
v. Sita Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 731	437, 240
1111 D D D 1 1 C' 1	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 305 : 13 C.	1 100 00
Balkishen Das v. Run Bahadur Singh) II. II., 502 : II. II., 10 I. II., (1478, 273
1 771 1 36 1 701 69	(162.	9040
Bal Kishen Mahapattur v. Bistoo Churn	. 22 W. R., 532	3870
Balkishoon v. Mahommed Tazam Allee	. 4 N. W., 90	796, 19
Balkissen Dass v. Luchmiput Singh	. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 91	185
Balkrishna v. Ganesh	11 Par 116 note	2032, 31;
sammonde or Gallesia	. 11 Bom., 116, note . }	3469

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Balkrishna v. Kisansing	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 505, note . I. L. R., 3 Bom., 219	5665 5892
v. Masuma Bibi	I. L. R., 5 All., 142: L. R., 9 I. A., 182: 13 C. L. R., 232.	1251
w. Nagvekar - v. Nagvekar Balkrishna Bhalchandra v. Gopal Raghunath Balkrishna Bhasakar Gupte. Ex parte Balkrishna Chimnaji v. Balaji Balkrishna Gopal v. Bal Joshi Sadashiv Joshi Balkrishna Gopind Gadjil v. Narayan Sakharam Balkrishna Moreshar Knute v. Municipality of Mahad	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 324 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 73 2 Bom., 96: 2nd Ed., 91 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 25 1 Bom., 114 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 663 8 Bom., A. C., 180 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 92	1229 2499 178 2851 2678, 6201 3455 4864 4248
Balkrishna Pandurang v. Govind Saivaji Balkrishna Shaligram. In re the petition of Balkrishna Trimback v. Govind Pand Naik Balkrishna Trimbak Tendulkar v. Savitribai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 578 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 96 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 297 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 54	3316 99 5843 2285, 2297
Balkrishna Vasudev v. Madharav Narayan	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 73 . }	2892, 4417, 5341, 5367
Balkrishna Vithal v. Hari Shankar Ball v. Stowell Ballabh Das v. Sunder Das Ballabh Shankar v. Narain Singh	8 Bom., A. C., 64 I. L. R., 2 All., 322 I. L. R., 1 All., 429 I. L. R., 3 All., 173	4881 3313 2393 4982
Ballin v. Ballin	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 218: 8 C. } L. R., 28.	6208
Ballodeb Lall Bhagat v. Anadi Mobapattro Bally Dobey v. Ganei Deo Balmakund v. Janki Balmer, v. Sheojatan Lal Balmer, Lawrie, & Co. v. Huree Narain Poddar Balmokoond Brojobasi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 410	212 2041 3852 5947, 5962 5981 4367
Bal Mokoond Lall v. Jirjudhun Roy {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 271: 11 C. 1 L. R., 466.	4252, 5364
Balmokund v. Lekhraj	3 N. W., 156, note	5665 438
v. Sheo Dyal	L. R., 3 I. A., 259: 26 W. R., 55. 7 C. L. R., 560	2215, 2216, 2762, 4224 4168
Baloji Tamaji Pothar v. Tamangonda bin Ghanasyam ?	6 Bom., A. C., 97	4885
Balut Doss v. Nimaye Chunder Sirear Eslum Bhutt alias Ram Bhuth v. Bhoobun Lall Balund Khan v. Janee Balvantrav v. Jiranji Hormasji Balvantrav diias Tatinji Bapaji v. Purshotam Sidheshvar Balvantrav Bhaskar v. Jiayabai Balvantrav Uze v. Ganpatrav Jadhav	17 W. R., 511 6 W. R., 78 2 N. W., 319 1. L. R., 4 Bom., 77 9 Bom., 99 6 Bom., 0. C., 83 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 336	5376 3334 3657 6131 3362, 3408 2132 5276
Balvant Santaram v. Babaji bin Sambhapa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 602 . {	1718, 2385, 4949, 5251
Balwant Rao v. Puran Mal Balwant Rao Bishwant Chandra Chor v. Puran Mal Chaube.	I. L. R., 6 All., 1 L. R., 10 I. A., 90	3171
Balwant Singh v. Daulat Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 315	883
Bam v. Survey Commissioner and the Collector of Rat-	I. L. R., 5 All., 591 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 134	635, 3153
nagiri. Bama Churn Ghosal. In re	2 C. L. R., 553	2777, 3881

Name of Cuse.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hamnkallee Dossee. In the matter of Bama Nath Chuckerbutty v. Romanath Roy Bamandas Banerjee v. Harolal Shaha Bamanji Manikji v. Naoraji Palanji Bama Soonderee Dabea Chowdhrance v. Bhugruttee Debea Chowdhrance Bama Soonderee Dossee v. Radhika Chowdhrain Bamasoonderee Dossee v. Verner Bamasoondery v. Nilmoney Chunder Bamasoondery bebia v. Rogers Bamasoondery Debea v. Samasoondery Debea Bamasoondery Debea v. Samasoondery Debea Bamasoonduree Dabee Chowdhrain v. Kashee Kissore Banasoonduree Dabee v. Kaminee Bewa Bamasoonduree Dabee v. Kaminee Bewa Bama Soonduree Debea v. Anundmoyee Debia Bama Soonduree Debea v. Anundmoyee Debia Bama Soonduree Dossee v. Bana Soonduree Dossee v. Kaloo Peadah v. Mudhoo Soodun Biswas v. Radhika Chowdhrain Bamas Soonduree Dossia v. Madhub Chunder Goohoo Banas Soonduree Dossia v. Madhub Chunder Goohoo Banas Soondury Debia v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Banasundari Dassi v. Krishna Chandra Dhur Bammun Doss Mookerjee v. Brojo Kishore Mitter Mo- zoomdar. Bamundoss Mookerjee v. Rojo Kishore Mitter Mo- zoomdar. Banansi Das v. Balikari Das v. Maharani Kuar v. Maharani Kuar Banchanidhi Mahanity. In re	10 W. R., 4 12 W. R., F. B., 25 11 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W. } R., 140 1 Bom., 223 1 Hay, 495. 1 W. R., 339 13 B. L. R., 189: 22 W. R., } 120. Cor., 56 W. R., 1894, 13 7 W. R., 127 20 W. R., 415 22 W. R., 245 10 W. R., 352 3 W. R., 170 10 W. R., 383 10 W. R., 383 10 W. R., 380 10 W. R., 385 25 W. R., 156 21 W. R., 269 9 W. R., 367 7 B. L. R., Ap., 59 8 B. L. R., Ap., 50 8 B. L. R.,	667 4143 1698 422, 1400 5986 4150 2950 3970 3871 1209 3871 1209 3829 4252 5682 2479, 4027 1641 1595, 4450 4754, 4764 3842 1214, 4528 4839 1213 159 2083 2145 1823 3882
Banda Ali v. Banspat Singh	1. L. R., 4 All., 352 I. L. R., 4 All., 180	1110 4887 672, 687,
Bandam Settah v. Bandam Maha Lakshmy	4 Mad., 180 }	2308
Bandaru Swami Naidu v. Atchayamma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 125 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 65: 11 C. ?	2711 4982
Bandhan Singh v. Solhu	L. R., 145	191, 5951
Bandhu Naik v. Lakhi Kuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 342 3 B. L. R., A. C., 320: 14 ?	6018
Bandhu Roy v. Hanuman Singh	W. R., 406, note.	5455
Sandi Subbayya v. Madalapali Subauna Bandy Ali v. Madhub Chunder Nag Banee Kaut Ghose v. Haran Kisto Ghose Banee Krishun v. Koondun Lall Banee Madhub Banaviae v. Bhocat Pal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 96 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 932 24 W. R., 445 2 N. W., 221 1 N. W., Ed., 1873, 63 20 W. R., 466	3137, 4905 5464 3181 4633 2979 1607, 173 532, 520
v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee v.	4 W. R., Act X, 16 11 W. R., 354	6040

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Bance Madhub Bose v. Kalee Churn Singh v. Soodha Madhub Bose Banee Madhub Bukshee v. Radha Madhub Mozoomdar Banee Madhub Chatterjee v. Bholanath Banerjee Banee Madhub Chowdhry v. Tran Prosumon Bose Banee Madhub Chowdhry v. Tran Prosumon Bose Banee Madhub Chutt v. Nund Lall Mozoomdar Banee Madhub Ghose v. Thakoor Doss Mundul v. Woomanath Roy Chowdhry Banee Madhub Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of Banee Madhub Lahoree v. Bipro Doss Dey Banee Madhub Lahoree v. Bipro Doss Dey Banee Madhub Roy. In the matter of the petition of Banee Madhub Roy. In the matter of the petition of Banee Muhtoon v. Gopee Bhuggut Banee Muhtoon v. Gopee Bhuggut Banee Pershad v. Count of Wards v. Malaboodhy v. Manu Singh v. Radha Pershad Singh v. Reet Bhunjun Singh Banee Ram v. Hossein Ali Baneeram v. Wewaz Bibee v. Ram Nath Shah Baneshur Doss v. Banee Madhub Doss Baney Madhub Roy v. Hurry Mohun Roy Baney Madhub Roy v. Hurry Mohun Roy Baney Madhub Mitter v. Matungini Dassi Bank of Bengal v. Currie v. Fagan v. Golam Azim v. Macleod v. Newton	24 W. R., 387 2 Hay, 333 22 W. R., 196 10 W. R., 164 21 W. R., 23 22 W. R., 123 B. L. R., Sup, Vol., 588: 6 W. R., Act X, 71 21 W. R., Cx, 26 21 W. R., 69 3 W. R., 370 8 W. R., 370 8 W. R., 376 13 W. R., 431 12 W. R., 285 15 W. R., 192 11 W. R., 447 7 W. R., 292 8 W. R., 67 15 W. R., 143 10 W. R., 325 11 W. R., 184 8 W. R., 511 10 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 18 W. R., 341 10 L. R., 86 Calc., 104 11 L. R., 896 11 L. R., 136 12 Mad., 386 11 L. R., 136 Calc., 104 13 B. L. R., 396: 12 W. R., 343 14 S. Moore's I. A. 27 12 W. R., 185 5 Moore's I. A., 1 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 654: 5 C. 1. L. R., 586. 12 B. L. R., Ap., 1	5123 2346 3255, 5305 5750 668, 1625, 2207 4102, 5946 4102, 5946 1908 2147 300 2313 1697 5134 5393 5141 5121 568 3178 1026, 6708 4513, 4648 2050 2628
v. Nundo Lall Doss . v. Radha Kissen Mitter Bauk of Hindustan, China, and Japan, v. Eastern Fi- nancial Association.	12 B. L. R., 509 3 Moore's I. A., 19 3 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 12 W. R., P. C., 27: 13 Moore's I. A., 15.	5426 4617 951
v. Nundo Loll} Sen. v. Premchand Raichand v. Sedgwick v. Shoroshibala	11 B. L. R., 301	2824, 4000 945, 2261, 4271, 5485, 5943 5825
Bank of India v. Ragho Narayan v. Wilson Banka Bihari Ghose. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 41 4 Bom, A. C. 164 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 17: 11 W. R., Cr., 26.	4006 2704 5460, 5468 5947, 6010, 6181

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Banno v. Pir Muhammad	. I. L. R., 2 All., 688	4769
	I. L R., 3 Calc., 315	2856
Bannoo v. Kashee Ram Bans Bahadur Singh v. Mughla Begam	. I. L. R., 2 All., 604	5980
Bansidhar v. Bu Ali Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 260	2725, 583
v. Har Sahai	. I. L. R., 3 All., 340	3136, 3138
Sansi Lal v. Mercer	7 N. W., 331	422
Sanu v. Narayan Sahu		5736
•	6 4 B. L. R., Ap., 82 : 13 W. R., } 233. 6 8 B. L. R., Ap., 23 : 16 W. }	
Banwari Lal Sahu v. Girdhari Singh	R., 275.	3776
any Madhob Mookerjee v. Sridhur Deb Ghuttuck	. 10 C. L. R., 555 · · ·	4170
any Madhob Trivadi v. Ram Gopal Sircar	. 3 C. L. R., 523	2698
apirazu v. Kamarazu	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 26	3896
apooram Aham v. Gungaram Kacharee	. 17 W. R., Cr., 54	5519
apubhai Khushaldas v. Bai Suraj apu bin Ishvar v. Lakshuman Baji	. S Bom., A. C., 83	559L
apu bin Ishvar v. Lakshuman Baji	. 10 Bom., 19	3174
apu Daldi v. Queen	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 23	2898
apu Daldi v. Queen	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 616	2333, 2335
apuji Apaji v. Senavaraji Marvadi	. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 231	3899
	(1400, 2795
apuji Auditram v. Umedbhai Hathesing	. 8 Bom., A. C., 245 . {	4945
apuji Balal v. Satyabhamabai	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 490	2726, 540;
apuji Balvant v. Raghunath Vithal	. 6 Bom. A. C., 72	2858
apuji Jugjivay v. Magistrate of Kheda	. 4 Bom., A. C., 153	4494, 450
anuii Pitambhar. Ex parte	. 3 Bom., A. C., 175	3130, 313
apuji Pitambhar. Ex parte apu Ram Parbhu v. Visaji Chando Saktanekar	8 Bom., A. C., 182	4564
Bapurav Krishna v. Madhavrav Ramrav	. 5 Bom., A. C., 214	3480, 354
Bapusa bin Sadashiv v. Ramji bin Gopalji	. 2 Bom., 220	4023
Baradakant Rai v. Bhagwan Das	. I. L. R., 1 All., 344	509, 1464
Baradakant Roy v. Chundrakumar Roy	2 B. L. R., P. C., 1:11 W. R., P. C., 1:12 Moore's I. A., 145.	4483
•	(P. C., 1: 12 Moore's 1. A., 145.) (2 B. L. R., P. C., 33:11 W. R.,)	
Baradakant Roy v. Commissioner of the Sunderbuns	7 P. C., 14,	£916
Baranja v. Basdeo Misser Bardot", The, v. The "Augusta"	. 7 N. W., 241	5202
Bardot", The, v. The "Angusta"	. 10 Bom., 110	2833, 308
Sarhamdeo Narain Sinch v. Mackenzie	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1095	2749
Bari Babu v. Gulab Chand	. I. L. R., 7 All., 454	2874
Sarkat v. Daulat	. I. L. R., 4 All., 187	3167
Barkat-ul-lah Khan v. Rennie	. I. L. R., 1 All., 17	5527
Barlow v. Cochrane	. 2 B. L. R., O. C., 56	2638, 266
v. Orde	. 18 W. R., 175	1870
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C., 41: 13 Moore's I. A., {	6207
tamentallah Tu m	(277.)	5116
armutollah. In re	. 10 B. L. R., 156, note	4590
Sarnet v. Skinner	. 2 W. R., 208	3132
Baroda Debia v. Sreeram Chowdhry	. 5 W. R., Mis., 21	5153
Baroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	. 17 W. R., 467	243
Baroda Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Pearee Mohun Mookerj	ee 25 W., K., 57	972
Barodakant Mookerjee v. Kali Bhuttacharjee	9 W. R., Cr., 21	
Baroda Kanto Beepari v. Jodhisteer Nath	. 10 C. L. R., 99 · · ·	6004
Barodakant Roy v. Karimuddi Moonshee	4 C. L. R., 452	1295
v. Prankrishna Paroi	3 B. L. R., A., C., 343: 12 W. R., 192.	44.68
g Padha Churn Par	13 W. R., 163	1581
v. Radha Churn Roy	1 W. R., 29	3182, 33
Baroda Kooery v. Ashruffunnissa	1 W. R., 17	3684, 37
Daroua Alboriy V. Ashrumunnissa	• 1 ff • 10, 1/ • • •	1 00000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Baroda Prosad Mostafi v. Gora Chand Mostafi .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 295: 12 W.	2855
Baroda Prosonno Chuckerbutty. In the matter of .	R., 160. 2 C. L. R., 348	490
Baroda Soondree Dassee. In the matter of the petition	B. L. R., Sup., Vol., 609: 6 W.	4526
Baroda Sundari Dabia v. Fergusson	11 C. L. R., 17 4 N. W., 125	794, 1941
Saroo Mull v. Chujjoo Mull	4 N. W., 125	3160
Baroo Sirear v. Massim Mundul	21 W. R., 206	4033 378
Barracho v. DeSouza	7 Mad., 72	2615
sarrow v. Archer	2 Hyde, 125	4569
v. Pollock	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 57: 1 ?	1061
v. Stewart	Hyde, 149. 5 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	1063
Barrut Chunder Doss v. Damjee Pittumber	Bourke, O. C., 7: Cor., 150	355
Barry v. Abdool Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 64	2,530
	1 C. L. R., 80	6057
Barton v. Brijonath Surmah	3 W. R., 65	5375
Basa Mal v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 205	5391
Basant Lal v. Batul Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 23	3462
v. Najmunnissa Bibi	I. L. R., 6 All., 14	3484
v. Tapeshri Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 1	4770 3959
Basant Rai v. Kauauji Lall	I. L. R., 2 All., 455 I. L. R., 1 All., 392	2577
Basapa. In re the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 172 . {	1263, 276
v. Marya	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 433	3604 3463, 446
Basapa bin Murtiapa v. Lakshmapa bin Maritamapa .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 624	5416 2914
Basappa v. Dundaya	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 540	5437
Basappah v. Yenkatappah	4 Mad., 70	2859
Basaruddin Bhuiah v. Bahar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 8	4105
Basawa Gurbasawa v. Kalkapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 489	327,4789
Basdeo v. Gopal	I. L. R., 8 All., 644	3349, 340
Basdeo Singh v. Mata Din Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 276	4012
Baser Mahomed v. Sudder Ghazee	7 W. R., 97	5074
Bashetiappa bin Baslingappa v. Shivlingappa bin Bal- lappa.	10 Dom., 200	2115, 215
Bashiyagarula Nayadu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 254	5129
Basit Ali v. Man Singh Baskarasami v. Sivasami	2 N. W., 140	2004
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 196 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 495: 12 C.)	3587, 35
Saso Koer v. Hurry Dass	L. R., 292.	2399, 538
Basoo Mad Furash v. Huree Pandey	11 W. R., 479	5826
Bassunt Lall Dass v. Pana Ali	3 C. L. R., 432	1638
Bastian v. Tireman	2 Mad., 383	5686
Sastiram v. Fattu	I. L. R., 8 All., 146	836
Basudeb Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	4838
Basudeb Surma Gossain. In the matter of the petition of		5886
Basu Dhul v. Kissen Chunder Geer Gossain	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 834	5886
Basunt Kawal v. Forth	13 W. R., 200 7 N. W., 47	2254 1358
Basvantrav Kidingappa v. Mantappa Kidingappa	1 Bom., Ap., 42	2230
		1707, 543
Baswantapa Shidapa v. Ranu	I., L. R., 9 Bom., 86 .	6158
Batahee Begum v. Khooshal	3 Agra, 221	1172
Batai Ahir v. Bhuggobutty Koer	11 C. L. R., 476	4192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bata Krishna Naik v. Chintamani Naik	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 262 I. L. R., 5 All., 555	2354 5787
Butes v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Bellary.	7 Mad., 249	3599
Bateshar Nath v. Faizulhasan Batool Bebee v. Jagut Narain	I. L. R., 5 All., 280 4 N. W., 172	5083 565
Batool Nashyo v. Bhugloo Chowkeedar	10 W. R., Cr., 50	973, 4749
Battas Kuar v. Lachman Singh	7 N. W., 117	2128 1399, 6055
Bavachi Packi v. Pierce, Leslie, & Co	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 219	229, 2652
Bavah Meah Saib v. Khajee Meah Saib	4 Mad., 218	2821 2528
Bawa Misser v. Bishen Prokash Narain Singh Bawan Das v. Mulchand	I. L. R., 6 All., 173	407
Bawani Sankara Pandit v. Ambabay Ammal	1 Mad., 363	2145, 2420
Bayabai v. Bala urf Venkatesh Rama Kant. Bayi Saiba v. Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba	7 Bom., Ap., 1	2120 2316, 2464
Bayley v. Tarukuauth Poramanic	Bourke, O. C., 263	5647
Bazayet Hossein v . Dooli Chund $\{$	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402: L. R., 5 I. A. 211.	3640
Bazloor Rahim v. Abdullah	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5:10 W. R., 359.	4006 .
Beake. In the goods of		1238
Beake & Co. v. Davis	R., 397. J. L. R., 4 All., 530	3179
Beard v. Egerton	I. L. R., 6 Made, 179	421
Beattie v. Jetha Dungarsi Bebee v. Mahomed Ghousi	5 Bom., O. C., 152 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 31	1436, 2078 550
Becharam Banerjee v. Thakurmani Debi	8 B. L. R., 53, note: 10 W. R., 114.	2842
Becharam Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the peti-		4105
tion of. Becharam Chowdhry v. Puhubnath Jha Becharam Doss v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53	1362 2707, 3840
Becharam Dutta v. Abdul Wahed	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 55 .	3136, 3472, 3508
Becharam Ghorocee v. Boistubnath Bhooyan	. 14 W. R., 177	4105
Becharam Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	W. R., 1864, 4	5338 1489
Becharam Paul v. Bhugwan Chunder Ghose Bechar Bhagavan v. Bai Lakshmi	5 C. L. R., 522	2510
Bechoo, In re	6 W. R., Cr., 92	6250
Bechoo Singh v. Roy Sheo Sahoy Bechu Lal v. Oliullah	1 N. W., 56: Ed. 1873, 111 . I. L. R., 11 Calc., 338	4028 4251
Bechun v. Mahomed Yakoob Khan	. 3 W. R., 225	3237
Beckwith v. Kishto Jeebun Buckshee	. Marsh., 278: 2 Hay, 286 2 W. R., Act X, 11	225, 2691 1390
v. Noorjumma	3 W. R., 110	3918
Bedar Bukht Mohummud Ali v. Khurrum Bukht Yahya Ali Khan.		3655
Bedhoo Koolanee v. Bonomalee Gurain	. 11 W. R., 496	5582
Beechook Pasban v. Kullar Singh	. 20 W. R., 369	5594 508
Beedar Hossein v. Zuhooroonnissa Beedhun Bibee v. Fuzuloollah	. 20 W. R., 411	3687
Beegoo Roy v. Bal Mokund Misser	. 17 W. R., 421	4476
Beejoy Chunder Banerjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee Beejoy Gobind Bural v. Jannobee Bromonya		366 336, 1629
Beejoy Gobind Bural v. Bheekoo Roy	. 10 W. P., 291	. 1745
Beejoy Gobind Sircar v. Radha Benode Misser .	. 10 W. R., 348	. 760

Kame of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Beejoynath Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Dabee . Beekun Koer v. Maharajah Bahadoor Beelasmonee v. Nusseeboolah Beema Shunkur v. Jamasjee Shaporjee	12 W. R., 248 Marsh., 66: 1 Hay, 134 Marsh., 410: 2 Hay, 510 5 W. R., P. C., 121: 2 Moore's I. A., 23. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 225 24 W. R., 211 Cor., 123 2 Hyde, 152: Cor., 78 21 W. R., 387 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 98 19 W. R., 67 1 W. R., 177 6 W. R., 1864, 145 11 W. R., 1864, 28 W. R., 1864, 28 W. R., 1864, 28 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 211: 12 C. L. R., 329 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 211: 12 C. L. R., 329 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 35 I. L. R., 465 U. R., 1864, 310 U. R., 1864, 310 U. R., 1864, 310 U. R., Mis., 17	1405, 6105, 6106 3117 556 2096, 3408 2125 666 2650 910 5320 5476 4515 4417 2277 2278 3279, 4129 4203, 5105 6221 5105 3002 559 1734 2411, 2802, 2895 872 1882 1751, 4289, 6173 2603 1605 4583 2155, 2188, 3747 2342, 2346 2682 2171 2188, 5770
Beet Bhunjun Singh v. Jowhur Doss . Begee Begum v. Yusuf All Bego Jan v. Cherng Ali Begoo Jan v. Gashee Bebee Begum v. Doolee Chund Begum Bibee. In the matter of the petition of v. King of Outh	4 W. R., Mis., 17 6 N. W., 139 5 W. R., 120 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 19 20 W. R., 93 7 W. R., 168 11 W. R., 116	208 3143 6061 3332 3660 2893 5256
Behala Bibi. In the matter of the petition of Beharee Lall v. Rajah Ram Beharee Lall Dey v. Kaminee Soonduree Beharee Lall Doss v. Radhanath Doss Beharee Lall Mookerjee v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 789 : 8 C. L. } R., 207. 2 Agra, 80 . 6 N. W., 291 14 W. R., 319 22 W. R., 229 8 W. R., 474	4365 2849 5436 1815 4294 2977

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Beharee Lall Nack v. Sreeram Roy	. 20 W. R., 259	5753 298
Beharee Lall Roy v. Kalee Doss Chunder	. 8 W. R., 451	4206
Beharee Lal Roy v. Lall Chand Roy	25 W. R., 307	2370
Beharee Lall Sahoo v. Juggernath Pershad	. 1 Agra, 41	1507 3715, 4201
Behari Bhacat v. Began Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 75	185
Behari Das v. Kalian Das	. I. L. R., 8 All., 543	373
Behari Hadji. In the matter of	. 5 C. L. R., 238	1012
Behurse Ram v. Shoobhudra Behari Bhagat v. Begam Bibi Behari Das s. Kalian Das Behari Hadji, In the matter of Behari Lal v. Beni Lal	. I. L. R., 3 All., 408	3850, 4001
v. Habiba Bibi	. I. L. R., 8 All., 267	4235
v. Salik Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 48	1873 3527
	6 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 110 : 4 C.L.	
Behari Lall Mookerjee v. Mungolanath Mookerjee	{ R., 371,	3155
Behari Lal Mullick v. Indramani Chowdhrani .	13 B. L. R., 401: 21 W. R., 3 285.	2112
Behari Mahton v. Queen-Empress	. I. I. R., 11 Calc., 106	719, 4621
Behari Patak v. Mahomed Hyat Khan	{ B. L. R., F. B., 46:12 W. }	4202, 4725, 4732
Behary Koomaree v. Mohendro Nath Bose	R., Cr., 60.	3397
Dentity House of Months of their 2000		0.000 0100
Behary Lall v. Goberdhun Lall	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 446 : 12 C. L. } R., 431.	3133,3135, 3471
Behary Lall Bose. In the matter of	. 9 W. R., Cr, 69	1799
Behary Lall Mohurwar v. Madho Lall Shir Gyawal	13 B. L. R., 222: 21 W. R., 3430.	1419
Behary Lall Sandyal v. Juggo Mohun Gossain .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 1: 2 C. L. R., 422.	4667
Behary Loll Doss v. Tej Narain	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 764	1817, 2731
Behary Shaha v. Nubby Khan Bejoy Chunder Banerjee v. Kally Prosonno Mookerjee	9 W. R., Cr., 13	2004 6005
Bejoy Gobind Deb v. Muddun Ram Pal	. 18 W. R., 454	5705
Bejoy Keot v. Goria Keot	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 437:9 C. L. }	2857
Bejoy Nath Chatterjee v. Bengal Coal Company .	. 23 W. R., Cr., 45	4498
Bekon Singh v. Deen Dyal Lall	. 24 W. R., 47	3968, 5394
Belaet Khan v. Rash Beharee Mookerjee	. 22 W. R., 549	1746
Belas Koer v. Bhowanee Buksh	Marsh., 641	2356 5037
	· 7 C. L. R., 505 ·	259, 5155
Belilios. In the matter of the petition of	. 19 W. R., Cr., 53 {	6270
	. 12 B. L. R., 249	4628
v. Queen on the prosecution of Kalidass Baner	} 19 W. R., Cr., 53 {	259, 5155
jee. Bell v. Gurudas Roy	1 B. L. R., A. C., 50	6270 1450
Bellasis. In the goods of	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139	3085
Belsaree Coomaree v. Soobrun Singh	. 2 W. R., Act X, 12	534
Bemola Dossee v. Mohun Dossee	5 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 792 : 6 C. L.)	2376
Bemola Moyee Debea v. Kishtomonee Debea	R., 34. W. R., 1864, Mis., 10	670
Bemola Soondury Chowdhrain v. Punchanun Chowdh	ry I. L. R., 3 Calc., 705	5061
Bemul Doss v. Ikbal Narain	25 W P. 249	3221, 352
Benarsee Doss v. Khooshal Chund	. 2 Agra., Pt. II, 170	3222, 330
v. Phool Chund	1 Agra, 245	3707
Benarsi Das v. Bhikhari Dass	. I. L. R., 3 All., 717	1773, 583
Benda Bibee v. Lalla Ramsurun Singh	. 10 W. R., 299	1378

		-
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bengal Act VI of 1866. In the matter of Bengal Benking Corporation v. Makertieh Bengal Coal Company v. Dareembah Dabea v. Elgin Cotton Company v. Hurdyal Marwaree v. Maritab Chunde Bahadoor Bengal Indigo Company v. Koylash Chunder Doss Beni Madhab Banerjee v. Jai Krishna Mookerjee Beni Madhab Das v. Ramjoy Rokh Beni Madhab Ghose v. Ganga Gabind Mandal Beni Madho v. Zahurul Haq Beni Marain v. Achraj Nata Beni Tasad v. Lachman Prasad	15 B. L. R., Ap., 14 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 315 Marsh., 105 : 1 Hay, 181 2 N. W., 13 25 W. R., 245 12 W. R., 340 10 W. R., 293 3. W. R., Act X, 149 7 B. L. R., 152 : 12 W. R., 495 1 B. L. R., A. C., 213 : 10 W. R., 316 5 E. L. R., 348, note: 15 W. R., 1, 18, 18, 18, 19, 19 I. L. R., 3 All., 797 I. L. R., 3 All., 797 I. L. R., 5 All., 607 I. L. R., 5 All., 607 I. L. R., 5 All., 607	6026 4792 1696, 2700 2817, 5258 2059 2952 3205 1724 53, 6040 52 5139 6284 4486 4486
Beni Ram v. Hidayat Hossein	7 N. W., 82.	4040
v. Nauhu Mal	I. L. R., 7 All., 102 . L. R., }	1694
Beni Ram Bhutt v. Ram Lal Dhukri Bennett v. Bennett Benode Beharee Mookerjee v. Beer Narain Roy Benode Behari Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Ghose Benode Koomaree Debee v. Purdhan Gopal Sahee Benode Mohini Chowdhrain v. Sharat Chunder Dey Chowdhry. Benode Putnaik v. Doyanidhee Bullior Singh Benoder Butnaik v. Doyanidhee Bullior Singh Benoder Butnaik v. Doyanidhee Bullior Singh Benoder Sen v. Brojendro Narain Roy Benodie Lall Roy v. Dulloo Sircar Benodi Lal Ghose v. Tamizuddin Benud Behary Mullick. In the matter of Bepin Beharee Roy v. Issur Chunder Sen Bepin Beharee Sircar v. Nilmoni Singh Deo Bepinbehari Bundopadhya v. Brojonath Mookhopadya	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 189 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 354 5 W. R., Act X, 52 12 C. L. R., 331 2 W. R., 176 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 837: 10 C. L. R., 449: 12 C. L. R., 421 9 W. R., 493 13 B. L. R., 169: 21 W. R., 97 Marsh., 620 7 C. L. R., 115 10 P. L. R., 231: 19 W. R., 110 24 W. R., 13 25 W. R., 125	191, 741, 3857, 6173 1554 403 6488 2305 186, 3406, 5966 323, 381 3496 1814 4774, 4819 3746 1439 1678 1462, 2506, 5055
Bepin Behari Moduck v. Lalmohum Chattopadhya Bepin Behari Singh v. Government Bepin Behari Singh v. Government Bepin Behari Singh v. Government Bepin Chunder Shickdar v. Modhoo Sudun Chowdhuri Beputoolla v. Najin Sheikh Beresford. In the goods of Period V. Hurst Berkeley v. Chittur Kooar Berunja Koeree v. Hurpershad Lall Besharutoollah v. Ajoo Beshoondyal Singh v. Sarub Narain Singh Bessessur Bhugut v. Murli Sahu Bessessur Chuckerbutty v. Woomachuri Roy Bessunessore Dabea v. Tarasoonderee Brahminee Betts. In the matter of the petition of v. Arbüthnot v. Bonsi Mundul v. Janie Sheikh v. Mahoned Ismael Chowdhry	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 209 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 325 13 C. L. R., 110 12 C. L. R., 316 2 C. L. R., 374 7 B. L. R., 57: 15 W. R., 456 I. L. R., 1 All., 772 5 N. W., 2 3 Agra. 235 14 W. R., 195 4 N. W., 32	$\begin{array}{c} 192,2459\\ 5257\\ 1269,1201\\ 5476\\ 1538,5910\\ 1239\\ 2587,8081\\ 4436\\ 1715\\ 6050\\ 4940\\ 3241,4365\\ 3433,4977\\ 1017,4169\\ 3887\\ 1790\\ 4609\\ 5132,5174\\ 3050\\ 954,3437\\ \end{array}$

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bevis v. Turner Beychan Sahoo v. Ganesh Sahoo	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 484 2 N. W., 439	1207 678, 684
Bhabatarini Dasi v. Grey	2 B. L. R., A. C., 152 . }	4923, 4925, 4937
Bhabosoonderee v. Bhugwan Chunder Mozoomdar .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 88	4868
Bhadeshwar Chowdhry v. Gourikant Nath Bhadreswari Chowdhrain. In the matter of the petition ?	7 B: L. R., 329	4428 1806, 4189
Bhadu Mahomed v. Radha Churn Bolia	4 B. L. R., A. C., 219	3717
Bhace Chund v. Purtab Chund	5. W. R., P. C., 31: 1	3123
Bhae Koonwar v. Zahoor Ali Bhagabati Charan Bhuttacharjee v. Kali Kumar Chuttah	Moore's I A., 154. 5 1 Agra, 258 10 C. L. R., 441	3705 5451
Bhagabati Dasi v. Kanai Lall Mitter	S' B. L. R., 225, ; 17 W. R., }	2430
Bhagabat Prasad Sing v. Durg Bijai Sing	433, note. 8 B. L. R., 73: 16 W. R., 95.	3015
Bhagabatti Debi v. Chowdry Bholanath Thakoor Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry. In the \	9 B. L. R., 93: 15 W. R., 63 .	2190
matter of the petition of. Bhagabuti Churn Bhuttacharjee Chowdhry v. Bisheshwar Sen.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 367	5451
Bhagabut Khan v. Puddo Bewa	3 N. W., 192	2772
Bhagain Megh Rance Koer v. Gooroo Pershad Singh .	25 W. R., 68	1843 2485
Bhagavatamma v. Pampana Gaud	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9	2233
Bhagawani Kunwar v. Lala Baijnath Prasad . {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 81: 10 W.)	5621
Bhagbat Panda v. Bamdeb Panda	R., 380. 5 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 557	5609
Bhagbut Chunder Dey v. Huro Gobind Pal	20 W. R., 269	470
Bhagbut Dey. In the matter of the petition of Bhagbut Doss v. Buloram Doss	18 W. R., Cr., 64 3 W. R., Mis., 20	5495 4338
Bhagbutti Deyi v. Bholanath Thakoor	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104: 24 W. R., 168: L. R., 2 I. A., 256.	49, 2506
	R., 168 : L. R., 2 I. A., 256. § 2 Agra, 129	4596
Bhageeruth v. Mohun Bhageeruth Bishee v. Gokul Cliunder Mundul	18 W. R., 58	2856
Bhageeruth Moodce v. Jabur Jummah Khan	18 W. R., 91	2950
Bhageeruttee Dabea v. Greesh Chunder Chowdhry	2 Hay, 541	35 4520
Bhaggu Lal v. DeGruyther	I. L. R., 4 All., 74 I. L. R., 4 All., 430	3988
Bhagian v. Palmer	20 W. R., 267	12
Bhagirath v. Naubat Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 115	3971
Bhagirath v. Ram Ghulam	I. L. R., 4 All., 283	176 5982
Bhagirath Adhikari v. Tarini Chandra Pakrasi	7 B. L. R., 35: 15 W. R., 413 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 121	3595
Bhagirathi Panda v. Padala Gopaludu	I. L. R., 5 Born., 264	2310, 451
v. Radhabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 298	2128, 214
Bhago Bibee v. Ramkaut Roy Chowdry	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 293	5344
Bhagoti v. Chandan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 386: L. R., 12 I. A., 67.	365, 168
Bhagoutee Charun v. Shiva Pershad	1 Agra, Rev., 38	4471
Bhagruth Doss v. Mahasoop Roy	6 W. R., Act X, 34	1654
Bhagtidas Bhagvandas v. Öliver	9 Bom., 418	1033, 432
Bhagu Jetha v. Malek Bawasaheb	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 318	795 3285
Bhaguji v. Aniaba	I. 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 25	2534
Bhagvan Dallabh v. Kala Shankar Bhagvandas v. Hathibhai	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 641 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 25	100
Bhagvandas Kishordas v. Abdul Husein Mahomed Ali		419, 584

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhagyandas Tejmal v. Rajmal alias Hiralal Lachiman-	10 Per 941	2116, 221
das.	10 Dom., 241	1
Bhagvan Dayalji v. Balu	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 230	1888, 589
Bhagvan Jayaram v. Vithoba Govind	4 Bom., A. C., 140	4799
Bhagvansang v. Bechardas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 73	749
Bhagvatsangji Jalamsangji v. Partabsangji Ajjabhai .	4 Bom., A. C., 105	2772,5776
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 Bom., A. C., 109	5775
Bhagwan Das v. Nathu Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 444	4843, 603
Shagwan Das Hurjivan. In re	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 511	2676
Bhagwan Doss v. Luchmee Narain	2 W. R., Mis., 19	2513
Bhagwanee Koonwar v. Parbutty Koonwar	2 W. R., Mis., 13	92
Bhagwan Prasad v. Sheo Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All.; 856	1938
Bhagwan Singh v. Khuda Bakhsh	I. L. R., 3 All., 397	4851
v. Mahabir Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 184	4201
v. Mahabir Singh	8 B. L. R., 382: 17 W. R., 169	1430
v. Murli Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 459	5201
v. Murli Singh v. Secretary of State for India	L. R., 2 I. A., 38	59
Bhagwanti v. Rudr Man Tewari	I. L. R., 2 All., 145	5200
Bhagwant Singh v. Tej Kuar	I. L. R., 8 All., 91	5065
Bhagwat Dassa v. Gouri Kunwar	7 C. L. R., 218	5388
Bhagwati Prasad Gir v. Bindeshri Gir	I. L. R., 6 All., 106	4036
Bhaichand bin Khemchand v. Fulchand Harichand .	8 Bom., A. C., 150	412, 3126
Bhairab Chaudra Chuckerbutty v. Mahendra Chaudra Chuckerbutty.	4 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 13 W. R., 118.	5739
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 357 : 12 3	1143, 1401
Bhairab Chandra Madak v. Nadyar Chand Pal 🕠 💡	W. R., 291.	1462
Bhairabchundra Chowdhri v. Alek Jan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 268	5848
Bhairabnath Khettri v. Jumanram Dhandaria	8 B. L. R., 415, note	5989
v. Kishori Mohun Shaw	3 B. L. R., Ap., 1	4791
Bhairabnath Sye v. Mahesh Chandra Bhadury . {	4 B. L. R., A. C., 162: 13 W. R., 168.	2112
Bhairo v. Parmeshri Dayal	I. L. R., 7 All., 516	6036
Shairon Din Singh v. Ram Sahai	I. L. R., 3 All., 316	222, 5140
Shairon Singh v. Lalman	I. L. R., 7 All., 23	3719
Bhairo Singh v. Bechoo	3 Agra, 393	4759
v. Udikaran Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 139 .	5076
Bhairow Deen v. Mookta Ram	1 Agra, 13	3020
Bhai Shankar v. Collector of Kaira	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 77	605
Bhaishaukur Narbheram v. Harivallabh	1 Bom., 20	4247
Shai Trimbakji v. Tomu valad Kutur	2 Bom., 200: 2nd Ed., 192	2795
hajan v. Mushtak Ahmad	I. L. R., 5 All., 324	6159
hajan Lal v. Moti	I. L. R., 9 All., 177	1175
haju Sing v. Kaifnath Tewari	3 B. L. R., A. C., 332	1722, 624
hala Nahana v. Parbhu Hari	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 67	2116, 251
chally Mahomed Bukshee v. Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	15 W. R., 269	780
halu Roy v. Jakhu Roy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 667 .	4843
hama v. Mohur Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 2	1644
handu valad Rajaram v. Damaji valad Jivaji	6 Bom., A. C., 59	4804
hancomutty Chowdrain v. Premchand Neogee	15 B. L. R., 28: 23 W. R., 96	4005
hanushankar Gopalram v. Raghunath Ram Mangalram	2 Bom., 106: 2nd Ed., 101	1487
haobunessury. In the matter of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869	3449
v. Judobendra Narain Mullick	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 869	3449
Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards	15 W. R., 59	
		2891 .
Bhaoni v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 738 . {	2229, 2440
Bhao Singh v. Het Ram	7 N. W., 17	3279
THE COURSE OF ALCH AND A	4 43 . 11	5019

		The Part Control of the Part o
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bharada Dasi. In the matter of the petition of .	8 B. L. R., Ap., 13	686
	2 B. L. R., A. C., 266, note:	1598
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 362 : 5 W. 3	* 5120
Bharat Chandra Sen. In re	8 B. L. R., 423, note: 14 W. } R., Cr., 74.	4854
Bharat Lal Bhagat v. Gopal Saran Lal Bhagat .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 11 W. R., 286.	3913
Bharatsangji Mansangji v. Navanaidharaya Mansukhrar Bharmanguvda v. Rudrapgavda Bharotea Debea v. Kurrona Moyee Dassia	1 Bom., 186 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 181 10 W. R., 229	3377 2513 3495, 3496
Bharrut Chunder Roy v. Kallydas Dey	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 574: 5 C. } L. R., 545.	1189
Bharut Chunder Bose v. Dwarkanath Chowdry .	. 15 W. R., 86	5151
Bharut Chunder Dutt v. Dengar Gope	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691: 7 } W. R., 386.	5675
Bharut Chunder Roy v . Issur Chunder Sircar .	2 W. R., Act X, 78 8 W. R., 141	3064 883
v. Nazir Ali Khan	. 10 W. R., 354 · · ·	796, 839
Bharut Chunder Sein v. Oseemooddeen	. 6 W. R., Act X, 56	524
Bharut Chunder Sircar v. Gouree Parshad Roy Bharuth Chunder Roy v. Bepin Beharee Chuckerbutty	18 W. R., 34 9 W. R., 495	2694 2691, 3073
	. 14 W. R , 211 {	1754, 2980
Bharut Roy v. Ganganarain Mohapattur	14 W. It, 211	3010
Bharut Singh v. Sadut Ali Bhaskarappa v. Collector of North Kanara	6 W. R., Mis., 20	3492 2967
Bhaskar Trimbak Acharya v. Mahadeb Ramji .	6 Bom., O. C., 1	2195, 249
Bhau Babaji Gholap v. Gopal	. 2 Pon., 183	2913
Bhau Balaji v. Hari Nilkanthrav	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 377	4886, 504 2225
Bhau Nanaji Utpat v. Sundrabai	. 12 Bom., 208	5837, 585
Bhavanamma v. Ramasami	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 193	2062
Bhavan Badbar. In re	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 691	5862 4563
Bhavani v. Hasan Miya	1 Bom., 45	5858
Bhavani Sadashiv v. Bhavani Manaji	. 12 Bom., 232	2850, 559
Bhayani Shankar Sheyakram v. Parsadri Kalidas .	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 292 .	1997, 5240 5683
Bhavan Mulji v. Kavasji Jasawala	. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 542	2639
Bhawabal Singh v. Rajendra Pratap Sahoy	. 5 B. L. R., 321 : 13 W.R., 157	1496, 5059
Bhawanee Deeu Sahoo v. Mohun Sahoo	. 1 N. W., 188: Ed. 1873, 273	3837
Bhawani v. Abdulla Khau	. I. L. R., 3 All., 365	1602 2526
Bhawani Das v. Daulat Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 171 I. L. R., 6 All., 388	787
Bhawani Ghulam v. Deo Raj Kuari	I. L. R., 5 All., 542	
	T. T. D. 2 All 144	2392 2920
Bhawani Gir v. Dalmardan Gir	I. L. R., 3 All., 144	5375
v. Rikhi Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 354	3294
Bhawani Prasad v. Damru	I. L. R., 5 All., 197	4558 3324
Bhawani Prasad Singh v. Bisheshar Prasad Misr. Bhawan Lal v. Akeedun	I. L. R., 3 All., 846 1 W. R., 319	1395
	(13 W. R., P. C., 38: 13	
Bhawun Doss v. Mahomed Hossein	Moore's I. A., 346.	1
Bhaygo Mutty Bibee v. Mahomed Wasil	. 25 W. R., 315	. 6112
Secretary Control of the Control of	and the second s	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Breechuck Singh v. Lutchminarain Singh	1 Hay, 182	4015
heeka v. Nund Kishore	Agra, F. B., 158: Ed. 1874, 119	6091
Breekalall v. Bhuggoo Lall	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 23.	5012
heekoo v. Omar Khan	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 236	1189
heekumbur Singh v. Raj Chunder Ghose	15 W. R., 196	3817
heekun Khan v. Bechun Khan	3 N. W., 35	4002
heema v. Pahlad	2 Agra, 38	4471
heema Charlu v. Donti Murti	0 M-1 90	6277
heemangowda v. Eeranah	7 Mad., 358	3205
heem Goyallee v. Khoobun Sahoo	17 W. R., 429	3403
heem Ram Chuckerbutty v. Huree Kishore Roy	1 TIT TO 050	2300, 248
heem Sein v. Hur Gobind	3 Agra, Rev., 12	1635, 164
heemul Mahtoon v. Olimussa alias Begum Jan	8 W. R., 423	4848, 485
heenuck Lall Mahtoon v. Rung Lall Mahtoon .	11 W. R., 369	5737
	1 H 409	402
hekaree Singh v. Muhossein Ally	1 Hay, 482	5209
hekdharee Singh v. Kishen Pershad Singh	15 W. R., 106	3304
hekhau Dobey v. Rajroop Kooer	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 912	
heknarain Singh v. Januk Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 438	2172
hekraj Kocri v. Geudh Lal Tewari	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 878	5472, 594
henuck Singh v. Tugger Singh	10 W. R., 299	1378
heree Dorayya v. Maddipatu Ramayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 35	1115
hichuk Singh v. Nageshar Nath	I. L. R., 2 All., 112	5734
hickaree Mullick. In re	10 W. R., Cr., 50	3618
hikaiji Ramchandra Oke v. Nijamali Khan	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 525	2936
hikaji v. Vaillabhdas	2 Bom., 209	4821
hikaji Apaji v. Jagannath Vithal	10 Bom., 351	2480, 330
hikaji Ramchandra v. Purshotam	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 220	187, 628
hikaji Ramchandra Oke v. Yashvantrav Shripat Khop-7	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 489	2410, 539
kar.		
hikaji Sabaji v. Bapu Saju	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 550	921,260
hikaji Vithal Ambikar. Ex parte	4 Bom., A. C., 119	208
hikambhat v. Fernandez	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 673 .	166, 313
	1	3458, 346
hikaree Pandah v. Ajoodhya Pershad	3 W. R., 176	5080
hika Roy. In re	7 B. L. R., 568, note	6262
hikdharee Singh v. Kanbya Lall	14 W. R., 24	4829
hikha v. Sakarlal	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 440	3252, 490
nikham Das v. Pura	I. L. R., 2 All., 141	2259
hikhan Khan v. Ratan Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 512	2920, 408
nikha Roy v. Dhotun Roy	10 W. B., Cr., 36	6262
hikki Singh v. Nivath Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 649	5758
hiknarain Singh v. Necot Koer	Marsh., 373: 2 Hay, 446 .	1752
hikoo Mollah v. Rashmonee Dossee	9 W. R., 357	4752,580
hikoo Sahoo v. Teik Ali Khan	9 W. R., 86	1758
bikun v. Elahi Khanum	8 B. L. R., Ap., 14, note:	Con
hikun Singh v. Jumeela Koonwar		686
	W. R., 1864, 62	1499
hiku Singh v. Government	8 B. L. R., 529, note: 13 B. L. R., 119, note: 10 W. R., 296.	5629
hiloo Mundul v. Motee Lall Ghose Mundul himacharya bin Vyanakatacharya v. Fakirappa bin	9 W. R., 251	4173
Annandappa.	4 Dom., A. C., 206	759
himaji Govind v. Rakmabai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 338	1497
himappa v. Mariappa	3 Bom., A. C., 128	5592
hima valad Krishnappa v. Ningappa bin Shidappa Tuse		1032
himavarapu Balaramaya v. Hodson	3 Mad., 370	5260
him Das v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	9 B. L. R., Ap., 4	6204

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhimji Gridhar v. Morgan Bhimrav Jivaji v. Bhimrav Govind Bhimral Osa stius Lall Baboo v. Choonee Lall Bhinji Bechar v. Bawaji Daji Bhinji Bechar v. Bawaji Daji Bhinji Govindji v. Monohar Dass Bhinuk Chowdhree v. Collector of Jounpore Bhiro Chandra Mozcomdar v. Bamundas Mockerjee Bhiroegee Misser v. Oolfut Ali Bhistoo Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Parbutty Dabea Bhisto Shankar Patil v. Ramehandraruv Jahagirdar Bhobanee Chunder Mitter v. MacNair Bhobani Mahto v. Shibnath Para Bhobosondradir Dabee. In the matter of the petition of Bhobosondradir Dabe v. Rakal Chunder Dutt Bhobosondradir Dabe v. Rakal Chunder Bose Bhobo Tarinee Dossee v. Prosonnomoye Dassee Bhobotarini Debi v. Sree Ram Paul Bhodo Mahomed v. Radan Churn Bolia Bhogeeruth Adhikaree v. Tarinee Chunder Pakrassee Bhobotarini Debi v. Sree Ram Paul Bhogilal v. Chutter Singh Bhogilal v. Chutter Singh Bhogilal v. Chutter Singh Bhogilal v. Chutter Singh Bhogobac Hunder Sen v. Badsa Ally Sha Bhogobac Hunder Sen v. Radsa Ally Sha Bhogobatty Koonwar v. Anuragee Koonwar Bhoharine Chunder New v. Hafezunissa Khatoon Bhojohurve Bunick v. Aka Golam Ali Bhojara v. Gulshan Ali Bhojara v. Gulshan Ali Bhola v. Gobind Dayal v. Ramdhin Bhola v. Adesang v. Collector of Kaira Bhola v. Akali v. Ramdhin Bhola v. Akali v. Ramdhin Bhola v. Akali v. Raid of Bansi Bholai Mandal v. Jarif Gazi Bholan v. Bisheshur Towaree v. Fateh Singh Bholandan v. Best v. Sumurnddin	3 Bom., O. C., 63 11 Bom., 194 1 L. R., 2 Calc., 379 1 L. L. R., 5 Bom., 448 5 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 14 W. } R., 303 2 Agra, 271 3 B. L. R., A. C., 88: 11 W. R., 461. 2 N. W., 31 Marsh., 274: 2 Hay, 213 8 Bom., A. C., 80 10 W. R., 464 1 L. R., 13 Calc., 113 11 B. L. R., 36: 18 W. R., 140 1 L. R., 13 Calc., 113 11 B. L. R., 36: 18 W. R., 140 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 583 1 B. L. R., 150, note 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 683 1 B. L. R., 150, note 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 629 13 W. R., 323 1 L. R., 174 6 N. W., 323 1 L. R., 7 Bom., 125 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 103 23 W. R., 469 24 W. R., 174 6 N. W., 323 1 L. R., 444 16 W. R., 97 1 L. R., 4 All., 493 3 Agra, Mis., 1 1 L. R., 5 Calc., 184 1 L. R., 9 Bom., 75 3 Agra, 397 6 Bom., A. C., 80 1 L. R., 4 All., 174 3 B. L. R., 4 All., 178 1 L. R., 5 All., 170 1 L. R., 4 All., 174 3 B. L. R., 4 P., 93 2 Agra, Pt. II, 165 1 L. R., 6 All., 185 1 L. R., 6 All., 165 1 L. R., 6 All., 63 2 OW R., C., 53	of Digret. 4530 5670,5516 2296 642 465 2841 3836 3991 1001 4986 3073 4789 701,5802 4669 370 6035 5337 4528 4509 1228 4506 5616 3009 3229,3432 1459 177 2871 568 3243 3243 3243 3243 3243 3244 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833 4266 4833
Bholanath Chatterjee v. Inder Chand Doogur Bhola Nath Das. In the matter of the petition of Bhola Nath Dass. In the matter of the petition of W. Sonamoni Dasi Bholanath Ghose v. Mothoor Mundle	14 W. R., 373 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 427 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 273 7 C. L. R., 516	4405 4448 192 4501
Bholanath Ghossal v. Kedarnath Banerjee Bhola Nath Khan v. Ram Chunder Sirear Bholanath Khettri v. Kaliprasad Agurwalla Bholanath Mahta v. Ajoodhia Persad Sookul Bholanath Mitter v. Kaloo	19 W. R., 106 7 W. R., 62 8 B. L. R., 89 12 B. L. R., 336 : 20 W. R., 65 25 W. R., 222	5316 534 1823 2362 2979

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	. 15 W. R., 259	3561
Bholanath Mullick v. Heysham	11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 221 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 380: 12 C.?	2949
	L. R., 58.	573
Bholanath Sandyal v. Gouree Pershad Moitro	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 69	2296 4591
Bholanath Sirear v. Baharam Khan	10 W. R., 392	3074
Bholanauth Mullick. In re	Bourke, O. C., 96	6179
Bholan Misser v. Kanhiya Lal	7 N. W., 302	908 4969
Bhola Singh v. Bulraj Singh	1 Agra, 233 I. L. R., 4 All., 179	3241
Bhono Bibee v. Pallan Gazee	. 11 W. R., 131	295
Bhooboona Alumbahi Koer v. Jobraj Singh .	11 C. L. R., 277	2027, 354
Bhoobun Chunder Burral v. Nagoree Dossia Bhoobun Chunder Sen v. Ram Soonder Surma Mo-	15 W. R., 15	475, 150
zoomdar,	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 300	3329
Bhoobun Chunder Shaw. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 464	4217
Bhoobun Dass Mundul v. Bilashmoney Dassee . Bhoobunessuree Chowdhrain v. Manson	1 C. L. R., 415	4899 3828, 383
Bhoobunessuree Dabea v. Goureedoss Turkopunchanun	22 W. R., 160 11 W. R., 535	2338
Bhoobunessuree Debia v. Chunder Monee Debia .	21 W. R., 243	3535
Bhoobunessur Roy Chowdhry v. Juggessuree Chowdhran	22 W. R., 413	474
Bhoobuneswar Dutt. In re :	L. R., 80.	4353
Bhoobun Joy Acharjea v. Anund Lall Chowdhry .	22 W. R., 47	3910
Bhoobunjoy Acharjee v. Ram Narain Chowdhry . Bhoobun Mohinee Debee v. Poornoo Chunder Bauerjee	9 W. R., 449	5187
Bhoobun Mohinee Debie v. Gobind Chunder Mojoomda		85 1484
Bhoobunmohinee Dossee v. Dhonaye Karigur .	. 15 W. R., 434	1600
Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee v. Kedarnath Bose .	12 W. R., 141	1634
Bhoobun Mohini Debia v. Hurrish Chunder Chow- dhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 23:3 C. L. R., 339: L. R., 5 I. A., 138.	5504
Bhoobun Mohun <i>alias</i> Prolad Sandyal v. Bhubo Soon- deree Debia Chowdhrain.	} 8 W. R., 452	4937
Bhoobun Mohun Banerjea v. Thakoordoss Biswas	{ 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 277:15 } W. R., F. B., 18, note.	413
Bhoobun Mohun Bose. In the matter of	. 15 W. R., 571	2649
v. Chundernath Banerjee .	. 17 W. R., 69	5698
Bhoobun Mohun Dey v. Dinonath Dey	. 1 Hyde, 122	1205
Bhoobun Mohun Mundul v. Nobin Chunder Bullub	R., 282.	852
bhoobun Mohun Sircar v. Watson & Co.	. 15 W. R., 84	1567, 611
	. W. R., 1864, 64	34 2142, 228
Bhoobun Moye Debia v. Ramkishore Acharjee .	3 W. R., P. C., 15: 10 Moore's I. A., 279.	2528, 253
Bhoobun Moyee Debea.v. Mooty	. 1 W. R., Mis., 11	214
Bhoobun Moyee Dossee v. Kishoree Dossee	6 W. R., 235	6237
v. Umbica Churn Sett .	23 W. R., 343	4402 1838
Bhoobun Movi Dabea v. Shurut Sundery Dabea	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 275	192
Bhoobun Singh v. Queen Bhoocha v. Elahi Bux	9 W. R., Cr., 36	5598
Bhoodun v. Jan Khan	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 574	3687 679
Bhoojunga Thakoor v. Luchmee Narain Sahee	9 W. R., 80	2885
Bhoolloo v. Ram Narain Mookerice	W. R., 1864, 129	5437
Bhoondur Mul v. Gunga Pershad	2 W. R., Mis., 50	209

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Bhoopal Chunder Biswas v. Mahomed Mollah Bhoopendra Narain Roy. In the matter of the petition of f. v. Greesh Narain Roy Bhoopendro Nath Chowdhry v. Kalee Prosunno Ghose . Bhoopnarain Shoo v. Mahomed Hossein Bhoopnarain Shoo v. Mahomed Hossein Bhoop Narain Singh v. Keramut Ali Bhoop Singh v. Nursingh Rai v. Sunker Dutt Jha Bhooputter Roy v. Umbica Churn Banerjee Bhooputty Lail Tewares v. Soochee Seekhur Mookerjee . Bhoorum Kor v. Sahehmadee	6 W. R., 286 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539 : 8 C. L. } R., 30. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 539 : 8 C. } 24 W. R., 205 18 W. R., 205 4 W. R., 60. 6 W. R., 99 3 Agra, 144 3 Agra, Part II, 168 6 W. R., 308 17 W. R., 198 12 W. R., 255 6 W. R., 255 6 W. R., 255 6 W. R., 149	3297 2462, 3566 2462, 3566 844, 2798 1358 5747 5285 4257, 4994 2457 1880 527 2943 2189
Bhoothnath Chatterjee v. Kedarnath Banerjee Bhoot Nath Dey. In the matter of Bhora Roy v. Abilack Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 125 4 C. L. R., 405 10 W. R., 476	4177 2923 3998 1496, 5059
Bhowabul Singh v. Rajendro Protab Singh	13 W. R., 157	5139
Bhowane Dutt v. Lokhoo Singh Bhowanee Dutt Singh v. Beer Singh Bhowanee Kootwer v. Thakoor Dass Bhowanee Pershad v. Oheedun v. Pushunno Singh	R., P. C., 38. W. R., 1864, 60 2 N. W., 196 2 Agra, 277 5 W. R., 177 11 W. R., 282	480 3723 908, 3556 5799 2020 3717
Bhowna v. Roop Kishore	5 N. W., 89 13 W. R., P. C., 38: 13)	2156, 2200
Bhowun Doss v. Mahomed Hossein Bhoyrub Chunder Chowdhry v. Haradhun Ghose Bhoyrub Chunder Doss v. Chundi Churn Mitter v. Madhub Chunder Poramanick v. Wajedunnissa Khatoon Bhoyrub Chunder Ghose v. Nubo Chunder Gooho Bhoyrub Chunder Ghose v. Madhub Chunder Gooho Bhoyrub Chunder Sein v. Anundnoye Chowdhrain	Moore's I. A., 346. Marsh., 561: 2 Hay, 666 Bourke, O. C., 238 1 Hyde, 291 6 C. L. R., 234 5 W. R., 111 6 C. L. R., 19 Marsh., 494	480 6108 1214, 4521 4254 1203, 5952 415 407 6148
Bhoyrub Dass Johurry v. Doman Thakoor {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 139: 4 C. L. }	10, 3464
Bhoyrubnath Khettry v. Doyal Chunder Laha Bhoyrubnath Sandyal v. Mutty Mundul Bhoza Rughbur Singlu v. Bhoza Raj Singh . Bhrigoo Roy v. Bhagruth Upadhya . Bhuban Chaudra Shome v. Ramdyal Shamanta	Bourke, O. C., 159 W. R., 1864, Act X, 100 3 N. W., 319 W. R., 1864, Act X, 41 5 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 14 W. R., 55	5484 1608 1897, 2695 351 321
Bhubaneswari Debi v. Dinanath Sandyal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 320: 11 W. }	841
	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 720: 8 C. L. R., 337. I. B. L. R., Ap., 33 . I. L. R., 12 Cale., 18: L. R., 12 I. A., 137. 6 B. L. R., 85 . 6 B. L. R., 839: 15 W. R., O.	1770 3509 2144 4567 843
Bhuban Mohan Chattopadhya v. Saudamini Debi Bhuban Pari v. Shamanand Dey	C., 5. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 59 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 699	5751 2968

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
hubo Soonderee Chowdhrain v. Kasheenath Acharjea .	22 W. R., 351	1663
hubo Soonduree Debia v. Jynul Abdin	8 W. R., 393	4924
hubo Tarinee Dossia v. Prosunno Moyee Dossia	10 W. R., 304	565, 4266
hubotarinee Ghosany v. Juggernath Tewary	16 W. R., 58	5690
hudeswar Chowdhry v. Ganri Kant Nath	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 834	315
hudressory Chowdhrain v. Goberdhun Majhee	16 W. R., Cr., 17	4489
hugeeruth Berah v. Moneeram Banerjee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 855	5306
hugeeruth Dass Koybnrto v. Chunder Churn Koyburto	22 W. R., 463	2856
hugeernth Shikdar v. Ramnarain Mundur	9 W. R., 299	692
huggoban Chunder Ghose v. Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose	Marsh., 503	5771
huggobutty v. Motee Chand Puteedundo	6 W. R., Mis., 97	3526
Shuggobutty Churn Haldar v. Niropunah Dabee	1 W. R., Mis., 8	1488
huggobutty Churn Mookerjee v. Huro Mohun Mookerjee	2 W. R., Act X, 55	531
Bhuggobutty Debia. In the matter of	3 W. R., Mis., 18	685
	14 W. R., 62	271
Shuggobutty Dossee v. Kishen Nath Roy	3 W. R., 30	2021
v. Nobin Chunder Bose	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 99	1041 3928
v. Shamachurn Bose	1. L. R., 1 Calc., 337	4191
Bhuggobutty Goopta v. Saroda Soonduree Debea	11 W. R., 337	825, 5925
Bhuggobutty Kowar v. Money	I. L. R. 8 Calc., 275: 10 C. }	4964
	L. R., 159.	1675
Bhugmunt Narain Jha v. Loll Jha	Marsh., 48: 1 Hay, 114. 2 Agra, 170	3274
Shugoban Chunder Doss v. Lalla Thakoor Pershad .	W. R., 1864, 359	5378
Shugoban Chunder Poddar v. Mohun Chunder Chucker-	12 W. R., Cr., 49	967
butty. Bhugoban Tantee v. Gobind Chunder Roy	9 W. R., 210	849, 567
Bhugobat Singh v. Ramadhin Singh	22 W. R., 330	1867
Bhugobut Churn Sein v. Siam Ali	18 W. R., Cr., 18.	971
Bhugobutty v. Bhikramajeet Singh	8 W. R., 477	5774
Bhugobutty Burmonce v. Gour Chunder Mundul	9 W. R., 267	6173
Bhugobutty Churn Rajpaye v. Sharoda Pershad Sookul .		5679
Bhugobutty Kooer v. Bholanath Thakoor	8 W. R., 317	667, 68
v. Gunesh Dutt	21 W. R., 308	4344
v. Kustooree Kooer	15 W. R., 272	6089
Bhugobutty Misrain v. Domun Misser	24 W. R., 365	2343
Bhugun v. Rumjan	24 W. R., 380	2847
Bhugwan v. Doyal Gope v. Purmeshree	10 W. R., Cr., 7	1264, 626
	5 N. W., 179	181
Bhugwan Acharjee v. Gobind Sahoo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 234: 11 C. L. R., 355.	3998
Bhugwan Bhagut v. Jug Mohun Roy	20 W. R., 308	5181
Bhugwan Chunder Banerjee v. Dukhina Debia .	8 W. R., 356	2744, 576
Bhugwan Chunder Bose v. Bindoobashinee Dossee	6 W. R., 286	5684
Bhugwan Chunder Chowdhry v. Khosal	7 W. R., 271	5279
Bhugwan Chunder Dass v. Sudder Ally	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 41: 2 C. L.	5330
Bhugwan Chunder Dutt v. Mechoo Lall Chuckerbutty	R., 357.	136
Bhugwan Chunder Ghose. In re	6 W. R , Mis., 15	1933
v. Rajcoomar Goho	13 W. R., 303 ,	302
Bhugwan Chunder Roy v. Rajchunder Roy	9 W. R., 553	3327
Bhugwan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Jegur Khan	22 W. R., 456	6110
		4938
Bhugwan Dass v. Mahomed Jafer	[] I. L. R., 9 Calc., 383 : 11 C.] [] L. R., 577.	3887, 396

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bhugwan Dass Marwari v. Nund Lall Sein	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 173 .	193, 299
	9 W. R., P. C., 23: 11	373 1690, 2492
Bhugwandeen Doobey v. Myna Baee {	Moore's I. A., 487.	5144
Bhugwan Doss. In re	2 N., W. 113	6258
v. Behary Khan	Marsh., 191: 1 Hay, 437	3388
v. Hunnooman Pershad Sahoo	18 W. R., 184	1503
v. Nubec Buksh v. Tekait Than Narain Deo	7 W. R., 31	3918
TT . 1 Ct . 1	10 TV D 10#	2711 472
Bhugwan Dutt Jha v. Sheo Muugul Singh	22 W. R., 256	1744
Bhugwan Dutt Misser v. Nowneedh Lall	25 W. R., 153	582
Bhugwantajah v. Ojoodhya Persad	1 Hay, 31	4964
Bhujanga Rau v. Ramayamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 387	2494
Bhujang Mahadev v. Collector of Belgaum	11 Bom., 1	3271,3413
Rhujangrav bin Davalatrav Ghorpade v. Malojirav bin ?	5 Bom., A. C., 161 . {	2322, 232
Davalatrav Ghorpade.		2533
Bhujendro Bhusan Chatterjee v. Trigunanath Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 761	1428
Bhujhawan Lal v. Sukhraj Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 866	5619
Bhujjun Lall v. Gya Pershad	2 N. W., 446	2339
Bhujohuree Paul v. Huro Soonduree Debee	17 W., R., 530	3369
Bhujohurree Paramauick v. Sharoda Soonduree Dossee . Bhujonanuud Mytee v. Radha Churn Mytee	8 W. R., 197 7 W. R., 335	83 1713, 238
Bhujun Ram Tewaree v. Lalla Ajoodhya Pershad	2 W. R., Mis., 29	220
Bhukan Bhaibava v. Bhaiji Prag	1 Bom., 19	5375, 614
Bhulabhai Gullabhai v. Modji Desaiji	5 Bom A C 49	1787
Dhall Gianh a Naham Daha	4 B. L. R., A. C., 29: 10 W. ?	
Bhuli Singh v. Nehmu Behu	R., 302.	3376
Bhupal v. Jag Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 449	3890
Bhup Kuar v. Muhammadi Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 37 I. L. R., 4 All., 259	3899 4558
Bhurruck Chunder Sahoo v. Golam Shuruff	10 W P. 458	2943, 367
Bhurrut Thakoor v. Murtaza	10 W. R., 458	4301
Bhurth Singh v. Raghonath Suhai	3 Agra, 30	3955, 612
Bhurnt Pandey v. Munthoora Koer	23 W. R. 421	1453
Bhusun Parin v. Denonath Banerjee	20 W. R., Cr., 15	5995
Blutnath Dey v. Ahmed Hosain	1. L. R., 11 Calc., 417	3690
Bhutoo Ram v. Puhul Chowdhry	7 W. R., 36	3333
Bhya Bhoopnath Sahee v. Kunwan	7 W. R., 134	838
Bhya Ram Singh v. Agar Singh	5 B. L. R., 293 : 14 W. R., P.	2295
01 1 1 71	C., 1: 13 Moore's I. A., 373. 3 3 N. W., 313	1909
Bhyranpershaud v. Isharee	16 W. R., 123	1363 1697, 609
Bhyroo Lall. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 75	960
Bhyroo Raoot v. Anooroodeb Deo Narain Singh	Marsh., 608	1197
Bhyro Pershad v. Basisto Narain Pandey	16 W. R., 31	2152, 538
Bhyro Rai v. Golab Singh	3 Agra, 303	5636
Bhyro Singh v. Oodee Kurn Singh	12 W. R., 284	5076
Bhyrub Bharuttee. In the matter of the petition of .	21 W. R., 340	670
Bhyrub Chunder v. Golap Coomary	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 517	167
Bhyrub Chunder Banerjee v. Ameeroodeen	17 W. R., 173	3075
Municipality v. Chairman of the Howrah	17 W. R., 215	503
Municipality. Bhyrub Chunder Bundopadhya v. Soudamini Dabee	T T D 001 141	5416
Bhyrub Chunder Chunder v. Shama Soonderee Debia.	6 W. R., Act X, 68	5925
Bhyrub Chunder Oathder v. Shaha Soonderee Debia Bhyrub Chunder Dass v. Kalee Chunder Chuckerbutty .	1 16 W. R., 56	4782
Bhyrub Chunder Deb. In the matter of the petition of.	7 W. R., 131	3847
onjano onunce Deb. In the master of the petition of .	1 ***, TOT	1 5047

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Bhyrub Chunder Mojoomdar v . Huro Prosunno Bhuttacharjee.	27 11.10., 201	3837
Bhyrub Chunder Mudduck v. Nuddiar Chand Pal .	17 W. R., 258	1620 1143, 1401 1462
Bhyrub Chunder Surmah Chowdhry v. Madhubram Surmah.	11 D. H. R., 420 : 20 W. R., OR	5141
Bhyrub Jha v. Hunoomaun Dutt Jha Bhyrub Lall Bhukut v. Abdool Hossein	5 W. R., 123 8 W. R., 93 12 B. L. R., 290, note: 17 W.)	383 900, 3264
Bhyrub Mundul v. Gungaram Banerjee Bhyrubnath Sandyal v. Hure Soonduree Dossee	R., 408. W. R., 1864, Mis., 28	1183 2777
Bhyrubnath Shaha v. Kunhya Lall Roy Bhyrub Nath Tye v. Kally Chunder Chowdhry	20 W. R., 131	841 1737, 5129
Bhyrub Roy v. Nobin Roy Bhyrub Seal v. Queen Bhyrub Sheet v. Khettur Mohun Gossain	9 W. R., 601 W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	1756 5552
Bhyrub Singh v. Jhogru Patnee Bhyrub Sircar v. Sham Maniee	5 W. R., 124 11 W. R., 506 15 W. R., 70	4911 5943 4953
Bhyunkuree Dabee v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty Bibeejan v. Bhajul Singh	7 W. R., 38	6125 6115
Bibeejaun v. Mahomed Hadee Bichook Nath Pandey v. Ram Lochun Siugh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40 . 11 B. L. R., 135: 19 W. R.,	2824 2720
Bichook Panday v. Narain Dutt Bichuk Aheer v. Auhuck Bhooneea Biddell v. Chutterdharee Lall	271. 1 N. W., 26 : Ed. 1873, 24 . 6 W. R., Cr., 5 .	2977 5560
Biddomoye Dabee Dabee v. Sittaram	12 W. R., 116 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. R., 398.	557 1129
v. Soobuldas Mullick . {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 497 : 3 C. L. R., 398.	1129
Biddyadhur Mohapatro v. Syamanund Dey Bidhoo Beebee v. Keshub Chunder	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 385: 8 C. L. R., 514.	4491
Bidhoo Bhooshun Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Roy Chowdhry.	9 W. R., 462	839 672
Bidhoomokhee Dabee v. Sreenath Haldar Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee v. Beejoy Keshub Roy	15 W. R., Cr., 4 12 W. R., 495	6183 1921
Bidhoomookhi Debi v. Nilmoney Sing Deo Bidhu Bhushun Basu v. Komaraddi Mundul	1 C. L. R., 464	4336 1190
Bidhumukhi Dabea Chowdhrain v. Kefyutullah Bidhumukhi Debi. In re	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 93 6 B. L. R., Ap., 129	3049, 5750 6183
Bidyamayi Debia Chowdhrain v. Ramlal Misser . { Bidya Soonduree Dossee. In the matter of the peti-	8 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 17 W. }	3820
tion of.	15 W. R., 267	2050
Bihans Kunwar v. Behari Lal	R., F. B., 16: S. C. on appeal, 10 B. L. R., 159: 18 W. R., 157: 14 Moore's I. A.,	486
Bihari Lal v. Kundan Lal v. Juni v. Madhusuduu Kundu	496. 7 N. W., 103	3870, 4851 2723
Bihari Lal Nandi v. Trailakhomayi Barmani . {	2 B. L. R., O. C., 154 3 B. L. R., A. C., 346 : 12 W. }	1054 5125, 5145
Bihooram v. Allaho Kolita	R., 223. 1 B. L. R., S. N., 8	6263

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bijadhur Bhugut v. Monohur Bhugut		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 11 . {	177, 1234
			6078
Bijadhur Rai v. Empress Bijai Bahadoor Singh v. Bhyron Bux Singh	•	2 C. L. R., 211	4059
Dijat Danadoor Singh v. Dayron Bux Singh .	•	6 C. L. R., 21	2056
Bijai Ram v. Kallu		I. L. R., 1 All., 592	3238
Bijee Kooer v. Damodur Dass		5 N. W., 55 }	311, 5710 5921
Bijjo Nath Sahoo v. Ramdour Roy		7 C. L. R., 369	588
Bijoi Kesal Roy v. Samasundari	{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 172: 2 } W. R., Mis., 30.	1902
Bijoya Debia v. Bydonath Deb		24 W. R., 444	6112
Bijoy Gobind Naik v. Kalee Prosunno Naik .		16 W. R., 294	158, 1489 3841
Bijoy Keshub Roy v. Obhoy Churn Ghose		10 W D 100	4560, 4561
Bijoy Kishen Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee		16 W. R., 198 }	4859
Bikan Singh v. Parbutty Kooer		12 W. R., 430	228
Bika Singh v. Lachman Singh	.	22 W. R., 99 I. L. R., 2 All., 800	6031
	.	1. 11. 16., 2 All., 500	2182 902, 2346
Bikramajeet Lall v. Phoolbas Kooer		14 W. R., 340	2445, 2515
	1	7 111,010	3160
Bikrmajit Singh v. Husaini Begam	.	I. L. R., 3 All., 643	246
Bikromajeet Mullo Ogalsundo Deb v. Court of Wards	. 1	21 W. R., 312	3858
Bilash v. Makroo	5	2 B. L. R., S. N., 15: 10 W.)	957, 980
Bilash Koonwar v. Bhawanee Buksh Narain	4	R., Cr., 61.	2342, 2357
	c	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 664 : L. R.,	•
Bilasmoni Dasi v. Sheopershad Singh	3	9 I. A., 33: 11 C. L. R., }	3069
Bilaso v. Dina Nath	1	I. L. R., 3 All., 88	2470
Bill. In re		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 390	4338
Billings v. Uncovenanted Service Bank	: 1	I. L. R., 3 All., 781	1109
Bimala Debi v. Tarasundari Debi	5	6 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 14 W. 7	2375, 3334
Bimaraz v. Papaya	1	R., 480.	
Bimola v. Dangoo Kansaree	.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 46	4838
Bimola Soonduree Dassee v. Kalee Kishen Mojoomdar	.	19 W. R., 189	2457, 2462
Binayak Rai v. Luchmee Bai		3 Agra, 179	197, 1879 4536
Bindabasini Debi v. Patitparan Chattapadhya	.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 267	1165
Binda Bibee v. Lalla Gopeenath	.	14 B. L. R., 323 : 21 W. R., 66	447
Binda Bibi v. Lala Ramsaran Singh	:	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23	1378
Bindabun Chunder Dutt v. Dwarkanath Sen	٠,	23 W. R., Cr., 15	6163
Bindabun Chunder Shaha v. Janee Beebee	. 1	6 W. R., 312	3919
Bindabun Chunder Sircar v. Mackintosh		9 W. R., 442	1921
v. Roberts	5	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1004, ?	3834
Bindabun Chunder Sircar Chowdry v. Nobin Chunder	3	note. 5	157
Biswas. Bindachari v. Dracup)		
Binda Dabee v. Gopee Soonderee Dossia	.	8 Bom., A. C., 202	1356, 4435 220
Binda Kuar v. Bhonda Das	.	6 W. R., Mis., 82 I. L. R., 7 All., 660	1123
Binda Prasad v. Ahmad Ali	:	I. L. R., 1 All., 368	1916
v. Madho Prasad .		I. L. R., 2 All., 129	1495
Bindeshri Chaubey v. Nandu		I. L. R., 3 All., 456	232
v. — v.	.	I. L. R., 4 All., 320	1227, 6082
Bindessuree Dutt Singh v. Doma Singh		9 W. R., 88	1620, 6242
Bindessuree Pershad v. Gujadhur Pershad	. [23 W. R., Cr., 1	4737
		20 11. 14, 01.9 2	2101

Name of Case.	Volume and Psge.	Column of Digest.
Bindobaseeny Dabee v. Bissomoye Dassee	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 339 2 Hyde, 86 W. R., 1864, Act X, 1	898 1214, 4521 5175
Bindubashini Dasi v. Renny (Rainey)	7 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 15 W.	3392, 4465
Binja Ram v. Rajmohun Roy	R., 307. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 282	1771, 5855
	15 W. R., 493	1771, 3016
Binode Beharee Roy v. Masseyk Binodee Laul Ghose v. Mackenzie	3 W. R., Act X, 157	3066 1636
(Marsh., 80 : W. R., F. B., 26 : }	5071
Binode Koomaree v. Purdhan Gopal	1 Hay, 148.	3371
Binode Lall Chatterjee v. Luckhee Monee Debia Binode Lall Pakrashee v. Gircedhur Chuckerbutty	4 W. R., 84 22 W. R., 392	900
Binode Ram Sein v. Deputy Commissioner of the Son-	6 W. R., 129 : S. C. on review, ?	2038
thal Pergunnahs. Binoderam Sein v. Brohmo Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 178.	777
Bipin Beharee Lukkun v. Ghasoo	11 W. R., 16	4191
Bipinbehari Chowdry v. Ram Chandra Roy Bipin Behary Daw v. Sreedam Chunder Dey	5 B. L. R., 231: 14 W. R., 12 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 42	4939 1835
	3 W. R., 165: S. C. after re- mand, 5 W. R., 82.	2240
		186
Bipro Chunder Joobraj v. Ram Lochun Deb Bipro Doss Dey v. Sakermonee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 121	1660
v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 655	2679, 4628
Biprodoss Dey v. Wollen	1 W. R., 223	4927
Biprodoss Gossain v. Chunder Sikur Buttacharjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 718: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248: 7	3479, 3480 3510
Bipro Pershad Mytee v. Kanye Deyee	W. R., 521.	247, 3262
Bipropershad Mytee v. Kena Dayee	5 W. R., 82 : S. C. before re-	2342
Bipro Protap Sahu v. Deo Narain Roy	mand, 3 W. R., 165. 3 W. R., Mis. 16	414, 420
Birajan Kooer v. Ramchurn Lall Mahata	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 719: 9 C. L.	218
Birajun Koer v. Luchmi Narain Mahata	R., 203. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 392	2511
Birbal v. Tikaram	I. L. R., 4 All., 11	5086
Birch v. Furzind Ali v. Ratiram	3 N. W., 303	2736, 6245 1481
Bir Chandra Roy Mahapatter v. Bausi Dhar Roy Mahapatter.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 214	326
Bir Chunder Manickya v. Hurrish Chunder Dass .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 383 : 1 C. L.	1734, 496
v. Ishan Chunder Thakur	R., 585. 3 C. L. R., 417	4966 2061, 289
Bir Chunder Manikhya v. Ishan Chunder Tagore	12 C. L. R., 473	2895, 4968
Birchunder Manikya v. Mahomed Afsaroo Bircshuree Pershad. In re	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 299	3915
Biressur Banerjee v. Oncodachurn Banerjee	6 W. R., Cr., 93	4727 4468
Biressur Panrey v. Jogendro Chander Deb	24 W. R., 261	4268, 4279
Birj Mohan Singh v. Collector of Allahabad	I. L. R., 4 All., 102 I. L. R., 4 All., 339	4079
Birjo Beharee Singh v. Wajid Hossein	14 W. R., 372	481
Birjobuttee v. Pertab Singh	3 W. R., P. C., 36: 8 Moore's I. A., 168.	4645
Birjoo Singh v. Khub Lall	19 W. R., Cr., 66	6066
Biroja Monee Barmonea v. Wooma Moyee Barmonea . Biru v. Khandu	7 W. R., 124	1890
Bisambhur Naik v. Sudasheeb Mahapattur .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 214	2310

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
D:11 M 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1	e P A C 150	747
Bisandas valad Magniram v. Lakmichand Kisanchand .	6 Bom., A. C., 150	5267
Biseshur Bhuttacharjee v. Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee .	1 Hay, 559	
Bishambhur Shaha v. Shib Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 286	1167
Bishan Chand v. Ahmad Khan	I. L. R., 1 All., 263	3148
Bishan Dial v. Manniram	I. L. R., 1 All., 297	3998
Bisharut Ally v. Seetul Misser	1 N. W., 40	692
Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer	I. L. R.,6 All., 560 : L. R., 11 ?	2272, 2560
(I. A., 164. 5 W. R., 171	1401
Bishen Chunder Sealah v. Gokool Chunder Lahata Bishen Chunder Surma Chowdhry v. Munmohinee Dabee		5417
	T T D 0 A) 400	
Bishen Dayal v. Udit Narain	I. L. R., 8 All., 486	2713, 3888
Bishendyal Singh v. Khadeema	Marsh., 213: 1 Hay, 584	1786
v. Khudeemun	W. R., 1864, 359	5460
v. Probhoo Dass	1 W. R., 1	2985
Bishen Moyee Dossee v. Delshad Bibee	1 W. R., 1	4796
Diskamenta Cinala a Tand Mantagas Dank of Tadi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 244: L. ?	1887, 4661
Bishenmun Singh v. Land Mortgage Bank of India }	R., 12 I. A., 7.	
Bishenperkash Narain Singh v. Babooa Misser	8 W. R., 73	3147, 3249
Bishen Perkash Narain Singh v. Bawa Misser	12 B. L. R., 430: 20 W. R., 137	2151
Bishen Perkash Singh v. Ruttun Geer Chela	20 W. R., 3	313, 6234
Bishen Sahaye Singh v. Beer Kishore Singh	8 W. R., 296	4424, 6274
		5969
Bisheshar Kuar v. Hari Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 42	3998
Bisheshar Singh v. Laik Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 257	
v. Sugundhi	I. L. R., 1 All., 366	216
Bisheshur v. Matagholam	2 N. W., 300	2443
Bisheshur Bhuttacharjie v. Lamb	21 W. R., 22	1848
Bisheshur Mookerjee v. Judoonath Bose	W. R., 1864, 48	1709
Bisheshur Pershad v. Ram Churun	5 N. W., 25	3869
Bisheshur Roy Chowdhry v. Bisheshur Bose	8 W. R., 277	836
Bisheswar Shaha v. Bishwambhur Sircar	23 W. R., Cr., 65	963
Bishessuree Debia v. Mohun Chunder Bose	5 W. R., Mis., 35	3834
Bisheswar Chakravarti v. Shitul Chundra Chakravarti .	8 W. R., 13	2468
	L. R., 3 I. A., 194: 26 W. R.,	474 3 203
Bisheswari Debya v. Govind Persad Tewari .	32.	474, 1501
Bishoka Dasia v. Anunto Lali Pain	4 C. L. R., 65	375
Bishuath. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 1 All., 318	4847
Bishnath Chowdhry v. Radha Churn Gangooly	20 W. R., 465	4202
Bishno Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Shoshee Mohun Pal ?	22 W. R., 277	5922, 5925
Chowdhry.	22 11.10, 211	1
Bishnoo Dass v. Mungul Dass	24 W. R., 203 · · ·	666
Bishnoo Pershad Bunnick v. Ram Coomar Deb	22 W. R., 2	4860
Bishonath Dey Roy v. Chunder Mohun Dutt Biswas .	23 W. R., 165	701, 6031
Bishonath Doss v. Ahmed Ali	W. R., 1864, Mis., 19	219
Bishonath Mookerjee v. Kisto Mohun Mookerjee .	7 W. R., 483	3950
Bishonath Neogy v. Huro Gobind Neogy	5 W. R., 27	5089
Bishonath Rukhit v. Ramdhone Sircar	11 W. R., 42	3770
Bishonath Sircar. In the matter of	3 W. R., Cr., 63	21
Bishoo Barik. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 77	979, 195
Bishooroop Hazrah v. Damonotee Debia	15 W. R., 537	2883
	15 17. 16., 557	
Bishto Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Woomanath Roy Chowdhry.	15 W. R., 459	841
Bishtoo Churn Bhoosun v. Kishen Gopal Misser	13 W. R., 207	403
Bishtu Narayan Bandopadhya v. Ganga Narayan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 40: 11 W. R., 368.	208
Biswas.		3304
Bishumber Dey Poddar v. Hungsheshur Mookerjee	4 C. L. R., 34	2812
Bishunath v. Ilahi Baksh . Bishun Coomar Roy v. Hurish Chunder Deb Roy		996
	. 2 W. R., 209 · ·	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Bisnoo Chunder Eiswas v. Toyluck Nath Banerjee Bisokha Moyee Chowdhrain v. Sonatun Doss Bisram Mahton v. Sahib-un-nissa Bisram Singh v. Inderjeet Koouwar	6 W. R., Mis., 28 16 W. R., 14 I. L. R., 3 All., 333 2 W. R., Mis., 49	3815 5409 5472 214
Bisram Singh alias Bishen Singh v. Inderjeet Koonwar	6 W. R., 2 {	772,4138 5212
Bissambhur Banerjee v. Koylash Chunder Bose Bissambhur Shaha v. Shib Chunder Shaha Bissen Chand v. Rajendro Kishore Singh Bissen Doss Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh Bissen Doss Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh Bissen Hall Dass v. Khyrunissa Begum Bissen Lall Dass v. Khyrunissa Begum Bissen Lall Dass v. Khyrunissa Begum Bissenbur Narain Mahtah. In the matter of the petition of.	23 W. R., 388	4208 3233 1698 3933 4799 1992 4491
Bisseshur Roy v. Hurpershad Singh	11 W. R., Cr., 1 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 163:11 C.	968 3241, 3355
Bissessur Bhugut v. Murli Sahu Bissessur Chatterjee. In the matter of the petition of Bissessur Chuckerbutty v. Ramjoy Mozomdar v. Seetal Chuckerbutty	L. R., 409. } 16 W. R., Cr., 1	3433, 4977 503 4160 2477
v. Seetal Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Woomachurn Roy v. Bissessur Doss v. Joykishore Doss bissessure Debee v. Jankee Doss	7 W. R., 44 9 W. R., 122 25 W. R., 223 1 W. R., 162	1617, 4169 1655 6031 1674
Bissessuree Debia v. Gobind Pershad Tewaree	21 W. R., 398 }	472, 474 1501
v. Sookram Doss Bissessuree Dossee v. Kalee Roomar Roy Bissessur Gir v. Sreekishen Shaha Chowdhry Bissessuri Dabeea v. Baroda Kanta Roy Chowdhry	15 W. R., 847 18 W. R., 198 24 W. R., 440 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1076	4716 33, 4471 3301, 3317 1428
Bissessuri Debia v. Gobind Prasad Tewari . {	L. R., 3 I. A., 194: 26 W. R.,	474, 1501
Bissessur Kurmokar v. Juggobundoo Kurmokar	14 W. R., 183	1171
Bissessur Lall Sahoo v. Luchmessur Singh	L. R., 6 I. A., 233: 5 C. L. R., 3	1897, 2347
v. Ramtuhul Singh {	11 B. L. R., 121 : 19 W. R., 351.	5492, 6150
Bissessur Mullick v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 967: 10	3493
Bissessur Nath v. Mohessur Bux Singh Bahadoor	W. R., F. B., 8. 11 B. L. R., 265: 18 W. R., 160: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 34.	37, 1343
Bissessur Roy v. Kanchun Roy Bissessur Singh v. Gunput Singh v. Kurmofool	11 W. R., 155 8 C. L. R., 113 2 Hay, 408	159 5033 4002
v. Nim Chand Bose	14 W D FOF	4346
Bisseswar Panday v. Bhagwan Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 30: 12 W.)	5487
Sissonosoundery Dabee v. Barrodacaunt Roy Sissonoyee Dossee v. Mackintosh Sissonath Chatterjee v. Madhubmonee Dabee Sissonath Chunder v. Radhakristo Mundul Sissonath Chu v. Hurro Pershad Chowdry	R., 176. 2 Moore's I. A., 127 2 Hay, 14 15 W. R., 511 11 W. R., 554 2 W. R., Act X, 88	4644 3012 1231 3550 562, 2691
Bissonath Dinda v. Doyaram Jana	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 738: 5 C. L. R., 565.	
Bissonath Ruckitt v. Gunnesh Chunder Dey Bissonath Singh v. Brojonath Doss	C. L. R., 565. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203 . 6 W. R., 230	6198 4263 4002

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Bissonath Singh v. Rajchunder Roy Bissonath Sircar v. Shurnomoyee	W. R., 1864, 141 4 W. R., 6	4820 6285
v. Taraprosonno Mozoomdar .	22 W. R., 482	5751, 5756 5762
Bissonath Surma v. Shoodhamookee	11 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 20 W.	332
Bissonath Surma Bhuttacharjee v. Moran	R., 1. W. R., 1864, 353	481
Bissonath Chunder v. Bamasoondery Dossee Bissonauth Mojoomdhur v. Khettur Chunder Sen.	12 Moore's I. A., 41	2551 776
Bissonauth Roy v. Lall Bahadoor Singh	1 W. R., 247	4155
Bissonauth Tewarry v. Koylashbany Narain Singh	2 Hay, 297	1925
Bissoroop Dutt v. Binode Ram Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 93	4936 5063
Bissorup Gossamy v. Gorachand Gossamy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 120 W. R., 1864, 292	2981
Bissumbhur Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 484: 67	5578
49	W. R., Cr., 7.	3301
Bissumbhur Shi v. Bukto Bedarul Hossein Bissumbhur Sircar v. Soorodhuny Dossee	17 W. R., 406	2343, 3157
Bissunden Narain Shahee v. Gungerkissen Shahee	2 Hav. 351	4404
Bissuram Koiree v. Empress Bistobehari Sahoy v. Lala Baijnath Prasad	3 C. L. R., 410	149 2192
Bistoo Chunder Banerjee v. Nithoremonee Dabee	7 B. L. R., 213 : 16 W. R., 49 10 B. L. R., 352 : 19 W. R., 98	1148
Bistoo Pershad Burral v. Radha Soonder Nath	1 16 W. R., 115	2296
7,	16 W. R., 314	2438
Bistoo Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Ishan Chunder Roy Bistooprea Patmohadea v. Basoodeb Dul Bewartee Patuaik.	23 W. R., 57	1873 2227
Bistoopria Putmadaye v. Nund Dhul	13 Moore's I. A., 602	4642
Bistuprya Patmadayi v. Basudeb Dhall Bewarti Pat- naik.		4642
Bisvanath Maiti v. Baidyanath Mandul	I. L. R., 12 Cale., 199	2773, 5774
Biswanath v. Braja Mohan Chuckerbutty	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1: 10 W. R., 61.	4460
Biswanath Bhuttacharjee v. Collector of Mymen- sing.	7 B. L. R., Ap., 42:21 W. R., 69, note.	1175
Biswanath Chandra v. Khantomani Dusi	6 B. L. R., 747	2209
Biswanath Chunder v. Khantamani Dasi	7 B. L. R., 131	3363, 6186 700
Biswanath Mukhopadhya v. Gossain Dass Baramadak		3916
Biswanath Rakhit v. Ramdhan Sirkar	6 B. L. R., 375, note	3770
Biswa Sonan Chunder Gossyamy v. Binauda Chunder Dibingar Adhikar Gossyamy.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 416	788, 1941
Bithal Das v. Harphul	I. L. R., 6 All., 503	4089
Bithul Bhut v. Lalla Rajkishore	2 Agra, 284	2053, 325
Bittan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 357 1 Agra, 125	2071 3968
Biyacha v. Moidin Kutti	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 70	3744, 556
Biyogi Bhagut. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 134	979, 195
Bizjie Bibes v. Monohur Doss	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 118 . }	1459, 2740 2741, 441
Bjorck v. Madras Railway Company	2 Mad., 102, note	730
Blackwell. In re	9 Bom., 461	2657
Blackwell & Co. v. Jones & Co	7 Bom., O. C., 144	729 5712
	6 Bom., O. C., 88	1709, 282
Blaquiere v. Ramdhone Doss	Bourke, O. C., 319 .	4258

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
	3. L. R., Ap., 26: 18 W. }	5976
Bodh Mull v. Gouree Sunkur 6 V	R., 259. 5 V. R., 16	2080
Bodhnarain Singh v. Rungo Lall Mundur 7 V	V. R., 190	1624
Bodh Narayan Singh v. Umrao Singh	B. L. R., 509: 15 W. R., P. C., 1: 13 Moore's I. A.,	1743, 3562
Bodhrao Hunmont v. Nursing Rao 6 1	519. Moore's I. A., 426	2454 81
/ 19	B. L. R., 317: 19 W. R., 3	146, 48
bould blug Dood doorle of Ganesa Quantue, ben . {	356. J	1763, 236
	Mad., 172	4055 741, 264
	B. L. R., 309	2658
Bodun Buroosh v. Abdul Gunny 19	W. R., 281	4919
Boevey. In re	L. R., 4 Bom., 635	3859 6103
Boga Kolita v. Thoolessur Kayasta	W. R., 357 W. R., 18	1226
Boiddonath Bania v. Bheedoo Doss 9 V	V. R., Cr., 3	6253
Boiddonath Shah v. Lalunnissa Bibee 7 V	V. R., 164	3288
	B. L. R., 326, note: 13 } W. R., 166.	2089
	C. L. R., 15	3037
Boidonath Mashanta v. Laidlay I.	L. R., 10 Calc., 433	1638
	V. R., 274 W. R., 231	5766
	V. R., 84.	\$29, 482 9
Boileau v. Miller	C. L. R., 591	2640
Boistub Churn Bhudro v. Tara Chand Banerjee 11	W. R., 357	5328
Boistub Churn Digputty v. Gobind Pershad Tewaree . 13 Boistub Churn Doss v. Prem Chand Mitter 4 V	V. R., 205	4757, 475 517, 335
Boistub Churn Sein v. Trahee Ram Sein 15	W. R., 32	4167, 507
	W. R, 408	5785, 610
	V. R., 309	4890, 5420 988, 597
v. Monjee Lall	W. R., 163	5134
a Thekan Partam Sinch	L. R., 5 Calc., 928 : 6 C. ?	3914
Č () 1	L. R., 370.	
	W. R., 34 V. R., Mis., 121	1248, 236 279
	B. L. R., Ap. 92: 14 W. 7	2720
	R., 437, note.	
	L. R., 4 Calc., 961	4249, 518 1998
	B. L. R., 459	2507
v. Punchanun Chobay W.	R., 1864, Act X, 84.	1390
Bolye Chund Singh v. Moulard	L. R., 4 Calc., 572	2741,5990
6 5 T	W. R., 208 V. R., P. C., 61:1 Moore's	2740
I.	A., 494.	1752
	Som., A. C., 116	1380
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Dorabji } I. 1	L. R., 10 Bom., 415	932
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Mahomed [10	B. L. R., 345 : 19 W. R., }	58, 1369

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bombay-Burmah Trading Corporation v. Mahomed	I. L. R., 4 Cal., 116	1381
Bombay City Bank v. Moonjee Hurri Doss v. Moonjee Hurrydoss	Bourke, O. C., 274 Bourke, A. O. C., 99	588 6228
Bombay Coast and River Steam Navigation Co. v. Heleux.	4 Bom., O. C., 149	2804, 2833
Bombay Joint Stock Corporation. In the matter of Bombay Tramway Company v. Khairaj Tejpall Iommaraujee Bahadoor. In re Bommarauze Bahador v. Rangasamy Mudaly Boma Koeree v. Boolee Singh	6 Bom., O. C., 22 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 119 5 Moore's I. A., 298 6 Moore's I. A., 232 8 W. R., 182	5641 3789 4641 2703, 6241 2390
Bonomalee Bazadar v. Kylash Chunder Mojoomdar Bonomalee Churn Mytee v. Hafizuddeen	24 W. R., 72 13 B. L. R., 247, note: 12	320, 5194 4897, 6114
v. Shoroop Hootait.	W. R., 317.	4904, 6110
Bonomalee Ghose v. Delu Sirdar Bonomalee Nag v. Koylash Chunder Dey Bonomali Bajadur v. Koylash Chunder Mojoomdar	24 W. R., 118 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 692 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 135	6174 3035 1695 3009
Bonomali Mozumdar v. Woomesh Chunder Bundopadhya	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 730: 9 C. L. R., 341.	5483
Bonomally Deo v. Ram Sodoy Chuckerbutty Bonomally Kesh v. Hungshessnr Roy	17 W. R., 95 17 W. R., 492	4747 80, 81
Bonomally Nawn v. Campbell	10 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R.,	5712
Book Russoolee v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal	11 W. R., 382 {	482, 4471 4981
Boodha Mirdha v. Khyrut Ali Boodhee v. Allee Hyder Boodhoo Jolaha v. Empress Boodhoo Rae v. Lal Beebee Boodhoo Roy v. Ramdyal Singh Boodhou v. Boodhon Singh	5 W. R., 269 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271 2 C. L. R., 215 2 N. W., 126 18 W. R., Cr., 55 2 I W. R., 156	4479 5918 5574 2331 973 6032
v. Jan Khan Boodhun Singh v. Luteefun Boolakee Lall v. Radha Singh Bool Chand Jha v. Luthoo Moodee	13 W. R., 265 22 W. R., 535 22 W. R., 223 23 W. R., 387	3693 699, 6032 2722 5343
Bool Chand Kalta v. Janokee Bool Chand Kollta v. Janokee	24 W. R., 228 25 W. R., 386	2229 5096
Boolee Singh v. Busunt Kooeree	8 W. R., 155 {	1414, 2120 2141
v. Hurobuns Narain Singh Boolinisharee Bibee v. Bukaoollah Booliroonnissa Bibee v. Kureemoonnissa Khatoon Boologam v. Swornam Boondi Roy v. Bunsee Thnkoor. Booniadi Lall v. Dewkee Nundun Lall	7 W. R., 212 17 W. R., 140 21 W. R., 230 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 330 24 W. R., 64	3113, 417- 3695 901 2372 3446
Boonjad Mathoor v. Nathoo Shahoo	19 W. R., 223 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 375: 1 C. L.)	481, 235 173, 377
Jooce Singh v. Jata Sirdar Borradaile v. Gregory v.	R., 455. 20 W. R., 478 8 B. L. R., 78: 15 W. R., 315 2 W. R., Act X, 63 Bourke, Ex., O. C., 1	5196 332 5334 6019
Borrodaile v. Chainsook Buxyram	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 71: 1 } Hyde, 51.	5879
Bowles v. Bowles Bowmau v. Shawe	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 571 10 W. R., 240	4531 5658

Name of Case,	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Soya Munigadu v. Queen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 33	1332
Boydonath v. Ramjoy Dey	9 W. R., 292	1438, 162 335, 145
Boydonath Bag v. Grish Chunder Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 26 . {	4295
Boydonath Shaw v. Sumbhoo Ramnutee	25 W. R., 59	1899 877, 439
Boykant Ram Sahoo v. Poorno Chunder Dass	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	5733
Boykunt Nath Bhooya v. Ram Nath Bhooya	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9	3353
Boykuntnath Chatterjee v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	2 W. R., 191	5346
Bradley v. Atkinson	appeal, I. L. R., 7 All., 899	3051
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 580: 11 C. \	3623, 409
Brae v. Kumul Shaha	L. R., 414. 4 W. R., Act X, 5	5946 2930
v. Queen Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 338	5289
Brahannavaki v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 92	3545, 488
Brahma Mayi Debi v. Barkat Sirdar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 94	4952, 583
Brajabehari v. Kamal Roy	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 545 1 B. L. R., S. N., 1	1112 3175
Braja Bhukan Lal Ahusti v. Bichan Dobi	9 B. L. R., 204, note: 14 W. ?	2337
,	R., 330. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 435: 12 W. ?	
Braja Kishor Mitter Mozumdar v. Radha Gobind Dutt	R., 339.	2294
Braja Kishor Sen v. Kasim Ali	3 B. L. R., Ap., 78 7 B. L. R., 19: 15 W. R., 247	3810 3717
Brajalal Roy v. Sayama Charan Bhutt	6 B. L. R., 523: 15 W. R.,	
	20.	4266, 498
Brajanath Baisakh v. Matilal Baisakh Brajanath Dey Sirkar v. Anandamayi Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 92 8 B. L. R., 208	2195 2564, 467
Brajanath Dhar v. Bhabo Mohun Dhar	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137	1240
Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry v. Gobindmani Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 83	1666, 190
v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	8 B. L. R., 104: 14 Moore's) I. A., 144: 16 W. R., P.	3389, 399
. (C., 33.	4470
v. Lakhi Narayan Addi	7 B. L. R., 211	6005
	9 B. L. R., 121 8 B. L. R., Ap., 51: 16 W.)	1591
Braja Nath Kundu Chowdry v. Stewart	R., 216.	53
brajanath Pal Chowdry v. Hiralal Pal	1 B L. R., A. C., 87:10 W. }	9
Brajanath Pyne. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W.	4800
Brajaraj Kisori Dasi v. Mohammed Salem	R., 386, note. 3 1 B. L. R., A. C., 152	5380, 539
Braja Sundari Debi v. Lachmi Kunwari {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 155: 11)	3165, 338
	W. R., 13. L. L. R., 6 Calc., 268: 7 C.	
Brajeshware Peshakar v. Budhanuddi {	L. R., 6.	1750, 414
Brammamyi Bewa. In re	9 B. L. R., 109, note : 14 W. R., 252.	1591
Brammamayi Dasi v. Abhai Charan Chowdhry .	7 B. L. R., 489: 16 W. R.,?	2213, 328
Bramamayi Dasi v. Jages Chandra Dutt	164. 8 B. L. R., 400	3438 2565
Bramanund Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 283	1955
Brammoye Dassee v. Kristo Mohun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 222	4975
Branson v. Municipal Commissioners, Madras Brass v. Tiruvengada Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 362	3575
Breen v. Balfour	1 Mad., 247 Bourke, O. C., 120	1216, 622/ 632, 330

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
"Brenhilda," The, v. British India S. N. Co. Brereton. In re the goods of Brett v. Ellaiya v. Schonerstedt Briant. In the goods of Brice v. Toogood Bridge v. Edalji Mancharji Brijbhookun v. Bhyrow Dutt Brijbhookun v. Bhyrow Dutt Brijbhookun Lall Awustee v. Mahadeo Doobey Brijessuree Dossia v. Kishore Doss Brij Indar Bahadur Siugh v. Jankee Koer Brij Mohan Lal v. Abul Hasau Khan Brijnath Dass v. Juggernath Dass Brijo Boharee Sing v. Wajed Hossein Brijo Coomar Mullick v. Ram Buksh Chatterjee Brijokishore Bhutatcharjee v. Coma Soonduree Brijokishore Bhutatcharjee v. Coma Soonduree Debia Brijolall Upadhya v. Motee Soonderee Brijo Mohun Sutputty v. Radha Mohun Dey Brijonath Chowdry v. Lall Meeah Munneepooree Brijoo Ram Misser v. Bhugwan Doss Brijoo Ram Chandra Kurmokar v. Chundra Kurmokar Brindabun Chandra Kurmokar v. Chundra Kurmokar Brindabun Chandra Kurmokar v. Brindabun Chunder Brindabun Chunder Chowdhry v. Brindabun Chunder Brindabun Chunder Boss. In the matter of the petition of. Brindabun Chunder Roy v. Municipal Commissioners of Serampore. v. Tarachand Eandopadhya Brindabun Chunder Sirear Chowdhry v. Brindab	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 547 I. L. R., 7 Born., 381 I. L. R., 7 Born., 381 I. W. R., P. C., 33: 13 Moore's I. A., 104. 2 Hyde, 1 4 B. L. R., Ap., 49 5 W. R., 8; C. C. Ref., 18 10 Born., 54 A gra., 240 15 B. L. R., 145, note: 17 W. R., 422. 25 W. R., 316 L. R., 7 All., 775 I. L. R., 872 V. R., Mis., 1 23 W. R., 87 W. R., F. B., 83 20 W. R., 179 4 C. L. R., 122 7 W. R., 180 23 W. R., 63 18 W. R., 462 1 L. R., 12 Calc., 140 1 B. L. R., 0. C., 47 8 W. R., 507 1 W. R., 139 19 W. R., Cr., 29 19 W. R., 309 11 B. L. R., 237: 20 W. R., 114. 17 W. R., 377 18 B. L. R., 408: 21 W. R., 118 18 L. R., 377 18 B. L. R., 408: 21 W. R., 118 18 L. R., 408: 21 W. R., 118 18 L. R., 408: 21 W. R., 118	of Digest,
Chunder Dey Chowdhry. Brindabun Chunder Sirkar v. Dhununjoy Nushkur	324 : L. R., 1 I. A., 178. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 246 : 4 C. 7	539, 4977 6102
Brindabun Dey v. Bisona Bibee {	L. R., 443. 13 B. L. R., 200, note: 13 W. R., 107.	1647, 4910
Brindabun Dutt. In the matter of the petition of v. Beharee Mohun Sen v. Dwarkanath Sein	21 W. R., Cr., 24	4098 572 2924
Brindabun Ghose. In the goods of	11 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 19 W. 7	
Brindabun Shaha. In the matter of	R., 230. 10 W. R., Cr., 41	4725 4667 1414, 339

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Brinda Debee Dossee v. Gopee Soonduree Dossia British India Steam Navigation Co. v. Ibrahim Moosum v. Mahomed Esack ?	6 W. R., Mis., 82 8 W. R., 35	5475 596, 602 602, 3273
& Co. Brito v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 251	3342 5499
Broadhead v. Broadhead	5 B. L. R., Ap., 9 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266: 10 C. L. R., 91.	1552 3852
Brohmo Moyee Chowdhrani v. Chittur Monee Chowdhrani.	8 W. R., 25	85
Brohmo Moyee Dabee v. Burkut Sirdar	12 W. R., F. B., 25 13 W. R., 264	4953 231
Brojender Coomar v. Bromomoye Chowdhrani . { Brojender Narain Roy v. Kassessur Roy	I. L. R., 4 Cale, 885: 3 C. L. R., 520.	5841 3775
Brojendra Coomar Bhoomick v. Woopendra Narain Singh.	1 W. R., Mis., 15 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 706	1623, 3015
Brojendra Kumar Rai Chowdhuri. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 515	2606, 5960
Brojeudro Coomar Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Holdar . Brojendro Coomar Roy. In the matter of the petition?	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 515	2606, 5960 2890
of. v. Krishna Coomar Ghose	W. R., 529. I. L. R., 7 Cals., 684: 9 C.)	3151
v. Madbub Chunder Ghose v. Rakhal Chunder Roy	L. R., 444. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 343	215, 3799 3833, 4185
Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Chairman of the Dacca Municipality	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 791	552 147
v. Radha Gobindo Shah.	1 W. R., 235	4178
Brojendro Koomar Rai Chowdhry alias Dighoo Baboo.	19 W. R., 130	5130
In the matter of the petition of. Brojendro Kumar Roy Chowdhry v. Bungo Chunder	17 W. R., Cr., 35	4730
v. Rash Behary ?	12 C. L. R., 389	569, 5194
Roy Chowdhry. Brojendro Narain Roy v. Binode Ram Sein	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 300	1147 8496
v. Busunto Coomar Ghose	13 W. R., 300	88
Brojendur Roy Chowdhry v. Jugurnath Roy Brojeshwari Dasi v. Guroo Churn Das	6 W. R., 147	5484
Brojeswari Chowdhranee v. Tripoora Soondaree Debi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 735	249,4345 1929
Brojo Beharee Sahoy v. Kewalram	10 W. R., 5	3175
Brojo Behari Mitter v. Kedar Nath Mozumdar	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 580	5064
Brojo Coomar Mullick v. Mon Mohinee Debea Brojo Coomar Sen v. Eshan Chunder Das	16 W. R., 55	403, 5295
Brojo Gobind Shaha v. Goluck Chunder Shaha alias }	3 C. L. R., 79	308 5841, 5855
Brojokanth Chuckerbutty v. Panee Madhuh Dischit	23 W. R., 434	4271
Brojo Kishoree Chowdhrain v. Bilash Monee Chow-	5 W. R., 195	1984
Brojokishoree Chowdhrain v. Khema Soonduree Dossee .	7 W. R., 409	2752, 4042
Broje Kishoree Dassee v. Sreenath Bose	9 W. R., 463	1412, 1422 2111, 2296
Brojo Kishoree Dossia v. Mahomed Suleem	10 W. R., 151	4153 5380, 5394

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
rojokishore Gugendar Mohapattur v. Hureekishen Doss	10 W. R., 57	2201
rojo Kishore Nag v. Ram Dyal Bhudra rojo Kishore Rakhit v. Bashi Mundul	21 W. R., 133 21 W. R., 251	3249 535
	12 B. L. R., 456, note: 17 W. R., 373.	2721
rojo Kishore Sein v. Kassim Ali	11 W. R., 562	3810
rojo Kishore Singh v. Bharut Singh Mohaputtur rojo Kissoree Dossee v. Sreenath Bose	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 963 8 W. R., 241	2934 2479
rojolall Baj Pye v. Umritolall Baj Pye rojolall Mookerjee v. Aughor Lall Ghosal	Marsh., 163 25 W. R., 71	171 5773
rojolali Puramanick v. Ram Tadun Gossain .	. 10 W. R., 127	3505
rojo Lall Roy v. Khettur Nath Mitter rojo Lall Sandyal v. Bhobo Soonduree Debia Chowdrair	. 12 W. R., 55	4985 4140
rojo Lal Singh v. Gour Charan Sen	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 111	3440
rojo Misser v. Ahladi Misran rojo Mohun Bhutto v. Radhika Prosunno Chunder	13 B. L. R., 376 : 21 W. R., 320 13 C. L. R., 139	579 3356
rojo Mohun De Sircar v. Dengu	7 C. L. R., 141	540
rojo Mohun Doss v. Hurro Loll Doss	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 700 6 C. L. R., 58	6053 5210, 553
rojomohun Ghose v. Luchmun Singh	W. R., 1864, 83	2386
rojomohun Mojoomdar v. Roodro Nath Surmah Mo- jumdar.	15 W. R., 192 {	1457, 189 2092
rojomohun Mytee v. Radhakoomaree rojo Mohun Mytee v. Swayne	W. R., 1864, 60	4160 3792
rojomohun Sutputty v. Shooda Monee Dabee	8 W. R., 79	4949
rojo Mohun Thakoor. In the matter of the petition of	20 W. R., 424 20 W. R., 424	5450 5450
v. Gouree Pershad Chowdhry .	15 W. R., 70	2286
rojonath Dey v. Shumboo Chunder Chatterjee rojonath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee	18 W. R., 25	5680 2973
rojonath Ghose v. Koylash Chunder Banerjee rojonath Koondoo Chowdhry v. Gopeenath Shaha	9 W. R., 593 17 W. R., 183	2021 1591
v. Jumeeroonissa Bibee .	7 W. R., 218	5120
v. Unant Ram Dutt	17 W. R., 449 8 B. L. R., Ap., 51; 16 W.	4
rojo Nath Kundu v. Stewart	R., 216.	1591
rojonath Mitter. In the matter of rojonath Mookhopadhya v. Protap Chunder Thakoor .	13 W. R., 301 22 W. R., 296	2878 4897
rojo Nath Pal v. Dasmony Dassee	2 C. L. R., 589	217
rojo Nath Paul Chowdhry v. Bimola Soonduree Dossia v. Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry	15 W. R., 298 12 W. R., 468	1351 2343
rojonath Roy v. Gilmore	2 W. R., Act X, 48	2984 1509
v. Kishen Lall Roy	25 W. R., 276	4901
rojonath Singh Roy v. Bhugobutty Dassee rojonath Surmah Chuckerbutty v. Anund Moyee Debia	1 W. R., 133	4027, 53
Chowdhrain.	7 11.16, 201	763, 51
rojonath Tewaree v. Grant	22 W. R., 13	1656 2980, 29
rojonauth Mullick v. Weskins	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 163 . {	3048, 30
rojo Rajkishoree v. Bishonauth Dutt	W. R., 1864, 305 15 B. L. R., 176, note: 20 }	138, 44
rojo Soondery Debia v. Luchmee Koonwaree	W. R., 95.	2241, 31
rojo Soondur Bhadooree v. Eshan Chunder Roy rojo Soonduree Debia v. Anund Moyee Debia	. 16 W. R., 302	. 2698
v. Collins	. 13 W. R., 359	108

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Brojo Soondur Gossamee v. Juggut Chunder Dey Brojo Soondur Mitter v. Futick Chunder Roy v. Ram Chunder Roy	21 W. R., 199	4527 2741, 3360 536
Brojo Soondur Mitter Mozoomdar v. Kalee Kishore 🕽	8 W. R., 496	1596
Chowdhry. Brojo Sunder Gossami v. Koilash Chunder Kur	11 C. L. R., 133	4178
Brojunggona Dassee v. Debranee Dassee	Marsh., 424	1609
Brojungona Dassee v. Shona Mookhee Dassee	15 W. R., 15 5 W. R., 136	3522 3124, 417
Bromma v. Lullitnarain Deo	W. R., 1864, 120	1748
Brommo Moyce Debia Chowdhrain v. Koomodinee Kant ?	17 W. R., 466 {	51, 143
Banerjee. Srommo Roop Gossain v. Anund Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 316	4905 3782
Brommoroop Goswamee v. Pranuath Chowdhry	7 W. R., 17	5674
Bromomoyee v . Anand Lall Roy $\{$		1413
biomomoyee v. mand man noy }		3300, 334
Brooke v. Gibbon	19 W. R., 244 21 W. R., 47	4398
v. Surdial	12 B. L. R., Ap., 13	352
Brooks. Ex parte	1 Mad., 8	206
v. Pattammari Nanjappa Naick Broughton v. Mercer	4 Mad., 316	3472 6205
Perhlad Sen	19 W. R., 152	1476
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19 W. R., 154	3826
v. Pogose	12 B. L. R., 74: 19 W. R., 181	6203 3110
Brown. In the matter of	25 W. R., 243 I. L. R., 12 Cale., 629	2641, 267
v. Cutts	5 C. L. R., 487	5797
v. Cutts v. Filot Brig "Kedgeree" v. Ram Komul Ghose	1 Hyde, 253	395
Browne v. Woomesh Chunder Rov	W. R., 1864, 179	1579 504
Brownlow. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 219	4528
Brozo Kishoro Patta Devu v. Varadhi Virapratapa Shri) Raghunatha Devu.	7 Mad., 301	2121
Bruce v. Cronin Bruhm Purgash v. Dumree Lall	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 438 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 133 .	3811 3448
Brukowsky v. Thacker, Spink, & Co.	6 B. L. R., 107	3791
Bubboo Doobey v. Ishree	3 Agra, 74	4548
Buboria Ahun Basee Koer v. Joobraj Singh Bucha v. Lulee	23 W. R., 225	1891
Bucha Gope v. Collector of Tirhoot	2 Agra, 20	142 3288
Buchanan v. Avdall	15 P. L. R., 276	1125
Bucha Singh v. Mashook Ali Beg	15 W. R., 572	4271, 517
Buchi Ramayya v. Jagapathi	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 304 . {	1082, 219 2514
Buchoo Chowbey v. Ghoorlait	4 N. W., 56	5746
Buchraj Ram v. Ram Kishen Singh	11 C. L. R., 345	90
Buckapatnam Thatha Charlu v. Kajamiya Buckland v. Ashoo Chowdhrain		3316, 444 2889
Buddiooddeen v. Golam Peer	9 W. R., 326 17 W. R., 279	5761
Buddoo Baboo v. Lambodar Mullick	1 Hyde, 132	5587
Buddoo Mull v. Maharoop	6 N. W., 129	5704
Buddree Doss v. Doorga Pershad v. Hoare, Miller, & Co.	2 N. W., 284	3239 4285
	R., 294.	1083
Buddreenath v. Isree Pershaud	Cor., 114	4321

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Buddreenath Jha v. Amrit Sahoo		10 W. R., 126	1427
Buddun Chunder Maduck v. Chunder Coomar Shaha		5 W. R., 218	1902
Buddun Chunder Shaha v. Bepin Beharee Roy .		23 W. R., 110	42
Buddun Sahu v. Rampertab Sahu		25 W. R., 511	337 1361, 3275
Buddun Thakoor v. Shunker Doss		W. R., 1864, 106 3 Mad., 263	825
Buddu Ramaiya v. Venkaiya . Budh Singh Dudhuria v. Denendra Nath Sancul .	.	11 C. L. R., 323	4512
Budhua Orawan Mahtoon v. Juggessur Doyal Singh	•	24 W. R., 4	1754, 2589
Budhu Mahatoon. In the matter of the petition of		8 W. R., 266	168
Budhun v. Fuzloor Ruhman		9 W. R., 362	2209
v. Hafezah		4 C. L. R., 70	1926
Budhun Rujwar v. Empress		10 C. L. R., 392	5570
Budley v. Bukhtoo	.	3 N. W., 203	5649
Budlun v. Fuzloor Ruhman		23 W. R., 449	3827
Budoobhoosun Bose v. Enaeth Moonshee	.	8 W. R., 1	3206
Budree v. Lokemun		3 Agra, 89	3253, 5271
Budree Doss v. Hunwant Singh		4 N. W., 69	531 1764
Budree Lall v. Bhoosee Khan	٠	25 W. R., 134	2165
v. Kantee Lall	٠	23 W. R., 260 1 W. R., Mis., 3	5470
Budree Nauth Bhutt v. Chunder Shekur Man Singh Budri Narain v. Sheo Koer	٠	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 716	5536
Budrool Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	•	24 W. R., Cr., 51	6281
	;	6 B. L. R., F. B., 255 : 14 W.)	
Budrunnissa Chowdhrain v. Prosunno Kumar Bose	3	R., F. B., 25.	39, 64
Budun Mollah v. Khettur Nath Chatterice		24 W. R., 441	2996
Buduroodeen v. Haniff Mullick	:	5 W. R., 180	4147
Budurroonissa Chowdhrain v. Chunder Coomar Dutt		10 W. R., 455	1586
Buggee Ram v. Buldeo Singh		2 N. W., 414	4295
Bugghoonauth Newgy v. Sudhamoyee Dabea .		Marsh., 106: 1 Hay, 183	881
Bugola Moyee v. Bhowani Churn Paul		5 W. R., 119	2266
Buhal Singh Chowdhry v. Beharilal	{	1 B. L. R., A. C., 206: 10 W.	330, 4951
Buhram Khan. In the matter of		R., 318	5563
Buhuns Koonwur v. Lalla Buhoree Lali	{	10 B. L. R., 159: 18 W. R., }	486
		157:14 Moore's I. A., 496.) 22 W. R., 7	5808
Bujrungee Dutt Pattuck v. Moorad Ali	•	5 W. R., 186	4300
Bujrungee Lall v. Velaet Hossein Khan	•	8 W. R., 207	5273
Bukhooree Singh v. Government Bukhsoor v. Haruk Chand Sahoo	1	1 W. R., 114	5784
Bukhta v. Gunga		3 Agra, 161	2885
Bukhtouree v. Meheen Lall		3 Agra, 96	4915
Bukronath Chuckerbutty v. Nilmonee Singh Deo .		18 W. R., 7	3479, 3509
Bukronath Singh v. Nilmoni Singh	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 389: 4 C. L.	2040
	(R., 583. 20 W. R., 216	3705
Buksha Ali v. Tofee Ali	•	2 W. R., 208	3645
v. Nittyanund Doss	•	5 W. R., 227	5060
v. Ramtonoo Gun	•	6 W. R., Act X, 64	1456
Buksh Ali Boohean v. Nubotara	į	13 W. R., 468	4788
Buksh Ali Sowdagur v. Essan Chunder Mitter .		W. R., F. B., 119	2515, 5403
v. Joyanut Khan		11 W. R., 248	339, 6239
Bukshan v. Maldai Kooeri		3 B. L. R., A. C., 423	. 3688
Bukshee v. Bungsheedhur		6 W. R., Mis., 46	. 5832
Bukshee Kunnee Lall v. Thakoornath Sai	•	25 W. R., 80	20.45
Bukshi Ram Pergash Lal v. Sheo Pergash Tewari	•	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 453	2762
Bukshoollah Chowdry v. Hur Chunder Chund	•	16 W. R., 248	3688
Bukshun v. Doolhin		12 W. R., 337	1 0000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bukshush Hossein v. Fuzeeloonissa	W. R., 1864, 189	515
Bulakee Lall v. Indurputtee Kowar	3 W. R., 41	2451, 4596
Bulakidas v. Keshav Lal	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 85	2307
Bulaki Singh v. Jaikishen Das	7 N. W., 203	3995, 4897
Bulbhuddur Tewaree v. Ram Tewaree	1 Agra, 15	2476
Buldeb Surmah v. Taranath Surmah	22 W. R., 416	5889
Buldeen v. Golab Koonwer	Agra, F. B., 802: Ed. 1874, 77	3387
Buldee Singh v. Kanaha	1 N. W., 71 : Ed. 1873, 125 .	442
Buldeo v. Guj Singh	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 240 .	3479
Buldeo v. Luchmun	5 N. W., 106 · · ·	5732
Buldeo v. Luchmun Buldeo Dass v. Moonna Lall	1 N. W., 23, Ed. 1873, 21 .	1396
Nathon Mull	2 Agra, 132	656, 1368
Buldeo Doss v. Buldeo Doss	3 N. W., 199	882
	L. L. R., 6 Cale., 64: 6 C. L.)	
v. Howe · · · · . {	R., 582.	1118
v. Mool Kooer · · ·	2 N. W., 19	2824
Buldeo Doss Johurry v. Sreenauth Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 114	3288
Dulles Misses a Abreed Hessein	15 W. R., 143	4443, 5785
Buldeo Misser v. Ahmed Hossein		1219, 6047
Buldeo Narayan v. Scrymgeour	6 B. L. R., 581	5780
Buldeo Pershad v. Golab Khan	6 N. W., 101	3728, 4267
	1 Agra, Rev., 30	
Buldeo Ram Tewaree v. Somessur Pauray	7 W. R., 491	2236
Buldeo Sehai v. Chadee Lall	2 N. W., 95	1465
Buldeo Singh v. Dhukrun Singh	Marsh., 632	6124
	1 Agra, 169	1896, 5388
v. Mahabeer Singh	1 Agra, 155	2470, 2529
v. Ram Surn Lall	25 W. R., 234	5741
Bulkiram Nathuram v. Gujarat Mercantile Association	4 Bom., A. C., 81	5091
Bullee Aheer v. Nishan Singh	3 C. L. R., 209	4171
Bullee Singh v. Kanai Chowdhry	17 W. R., Cr., 2	977
Bullen v. Lalit Jha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 119	2982
Bullock Brothers & Co. v. Toay Aung	24 W. R. 74	593
Bulloo v. De Breton	2 N. W., 335	402
Bullov Bhuttacharjee v. Baburam Chattopadhya .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 169	5694
Bullubee Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Doorjo Dhun Shikdar .	7 W. R., 89	6003
Bul Mokund Lal v. Tirjoodhun Roy	11 C. L. R., 466	5364
Bulobhuddur Mahantee v. Mudhoosoodun Pandey	23 W. R., 433	3456
Bulobhuddur Singh v. Solano	5 W. R., 107	1378
Buloram Baboo v. Issur Chunder Baboo	23 W. R., 77	2769
Buloram Doss v. Jogendro Nath Mullick	19 W. R., 349	1490
Bulgram Paul v. Suroop Chunder Gooho	21 W. R., 256	3074
Bulwant Singh v. Gokaram Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 433	3922
Bulwant Rai. In the matter of the petition of	6 N. W., 124	255, 5921
Bulwant Singh v. Chittan Singh	2 N W 27	4868
	3 N. W., 27 13 W. R., P. C., 29: 13 Moore's I. A., 358.	
Bunarsee Doss v. Gholam Hossein	Manua's 1 A 250	4324
Bunda Ali Khan v. Ameerun	25 W. R., 493	485
Bunday Ali Khan v. Chotee Bibee		3656
Bundey Hossein v. Lalla Puriag Dutt	1 Agra, 273	3713
Bundhoo. In the matter of the petition of	16 W. R., 110	
Bundhoo Bhugut v. Mahomed Hossein	22 W. R., Cr., 67	1306
	2 W. R., 70	684
Bundhoo Sookoolany v. Joy Prokash Singh		5285, 5765
Bunead Singh v. Sudaseeb Dutt	2 W. R, Mis., 50	3842
Bungo Chunder Banerjee v. Chundernath Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 47	4905
Bungo Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nuzmoodeen Ahmed .	11 W. R., 156	1035
Bungseedhur Ghose v. Tarinee Churn Singh	10 W. R., 256	1614
	3 W. R., 195	3418

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Bungseedhur Khettry. In the matter of Bungseedhur Mullick v. Calcutta Auction Company Bungseeram Shaha v. Juggurnath Shaha	:	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 359 1 Hyde, 45	2638 5796 1488
Bungsee Singh v. Soodist Lall		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 739: 10 C.	
	É	L. E., 205.	1248, 4884
Bungshee Budden Dey v. Tarinee Churn Dey Bungshee Dhur Doss v. Bhugwan Doss	•	14 W. R., 375 24 W. R., 117	4051
v. Mahomed Khubeel	:	1 Hay, 369	5875
Bungsheedhur Mahata v. Mudhoo Soodun Chowdhry	÷	23 W. R., 406	5765
Bungsheedhur Mundul v. Puddo Lochun Roy .	{	W.R., F. B., 11: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5: Marsh., 38: 1	5731, 5169
Bungshee Dhur Thakoor v. Khettermonee Debia .	·	Hay, 90.	5287
Bungsho Dhur Biswas v. Mudhoo Mohuldar .		21 W. R., 383	511
Bungsraj Bhookta v. Megh Lall Pooree Gossain		20 W. R., 398	4473
Bunkabeharee Sein. In the matter of the petition of Bunkoo Lall Singh v. Basoomunissa Bibee.	•	18 W. R., Cr., 23 7 W. R., 166	5162 5120, 5135
Bunkut Nurseya v. Gowree Singh	•	2 N. W., 369	1589
Bunnijan Bibi v. Mahammad Hossain	}	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 605 : 9 C. L. }	5674
Bunnomali Nundi v. Hurrydass Byragi	1	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 710: 11 C.	3773 -
Bunnoo v. Ameerooddeen	- (L. R., 265.	3374
Bunnoo Bebee v. Sheo Buns Kando	•	3 Agra, Rev., 9	3037
Bunsee v. Ramsookh		3 Agra, 384	1601, 3278
Bunseedhur v. Heera Lall	ž	1 N.W., Part VI, p. 74: Ed. } 1873, 166.	6133
v. Kuddey Lall		1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 198	1488, 5692
Bunsee Dhur Ghose v. Bheem Lall Sahon Bunseedhur Poddar v. Ramjee Morarjee	•	24 W. R., 219	2985 1031
Bunsee Lall v. Aoladh Ahsan	•	22 W. R., 552	321, 2390
Bunsee Sahoo v. Kaleepershad			5789 5288
Bunsee Singh v. Nuzuf Ali Beg		13 W. R., 414 22 W. R., 328	3516, 3829
Bunsheemohun Doss v. Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry	, : I	W. R., 1864, Mis., 28	410
Bunwaree Chand Thakoor v. Mudden Mohun Chuttora	j.	21 W. R., 41	1488, 2244
Bunwaree Kishore v. Joychunder Gossain		2 W. R., 262	5361 3903
Bunwareelal v. Mahomed Hossein Khan v. Sungum Lal	•	2 Hay, 150	4792
		7 W. R., 280 4 W. R., P. C. 128: 7 Moore's }	1
Bunwaree Lall v. Hetnarain Singh	ě	I. A., 148,	1723, 4658
v. Kisto Behary Roy		1 W. R., 63	769
Bunwaree Lall Misser v. Radha Pershad Sing	•	1 C. L. R., 136	4495 2710, 4586
v. Sudhist Lall	•	25 W. R., 269	1147
Bunwaree Mohun Saha v. Prannath Saha		2 W. R., 159	3331
Bunwaree Singh v. Ramanoogra Singh		10 W. R., 14	3435
Bunwari Lall v. Chowdhry Drup Nath Singh .	٠	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 179	184 1766
v. Forlong. Bunwari Lall Sahu v. Mohabir Pershad Singh	5	9 W. R., 239 12 B. L. R., 297 : L. R., 1 I.)	97.
Bunwari Lal Roy v. Mahima Chandra Knuall .	5	A., 89. 4 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 13 W. R.,	3018, 3394
Bunwarry Lall v. Furlong	(267. 8 W. R., 38	4744
Bunwary Poddar. In the matter of the petition of		23 W. R., Cr., 55	. 4854
	-	6 W. R., 100	5133
Bura Boodho v. Koylash Chunder Nundee Buraik Chuttur Singh v. Greedharee Singh	•	9 W. R., 337	2151

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
D. J. J. D. v. Al la Mania van Derick	-	4 W '- T A 201 5	1352, 1382
Burdakanth Roy v. Aluk Munjooree Dasiah .	.	4 Moore's I. A., 321 . {	5982 2995
Burhunnuddi Howladar v. Mohun Chunder Guha	:	8 C. L. R., 508 8 C. L. R., 511	1600, 2995
Burjore v. Bhagana	{	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 557 : L. R., ?	278, 2225
Burjorji Cursetji Panthaki v. Muncherji Kuverji .		11 I. A., 7.) I. L. R., 5 Bom., 143	139, 4793
Burkinyoung v. Bhoobun Mohun Bonerjee .	.	Cor., 90	4262
Burkut Hossein v. Majidoonnissa Burmah Chowdhry v. Sreenund Singh	:	3 C. L. R., 208	742, 203 1644
Burmah Company v. Snadden	:	7 W. R., 120	1053
Burmamoye Dassee v. Dinobundhoo Ghose .	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 564: 7 C. L. R., 583.	3431
Burm Suroop Dass v. Khashee Jha	.	20 W. R., 471	2252
Burn v. Achumbit Lall	.	20 W. R., 14	1747 3032
Burn & Co. v. Busho Moyee Dassee Burnee Khanum v. Modhoosoodun Doss		14 W. R., 85 3 W. R., Act X, 127	1719
Burney v. Eyre	:1	1 Hyde, 68	909
Burno Moyee Dossee v. Benode Mohinee Chowdhrain	.	20 W. R., 387	3967
Burodacant Roy v. Commissioner of Soonderbuns		12 Moore's I. A., 225	5916
Buroda Churn Bose v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan Buroda Debia v. Pran Kishen Singh	.	23 W. R., 286 2 W. R., 80	157 296, 178
Buroda Kant Banerjee v. Koomodinee Kant Banerjee	:	17 W. R., 466	1432, 490
Burodakanth Laha v. Gobind Chunder Gooho .		7 W. R., 50	5352
Buroda Kant Roy v. Chunder Coomar Roy		23 W. R., 281	549
v. Commissioner of the Soondurbuns v. Radha Churn Roy		13 W. R., 180	5106 1620, 297
		6 W. R., 99	3516
v. Roy Churn Burnoshil v. Sib Sunkuree Dossee .	.	24 W. R., 381	1637
Burra Fukeer Doss Bera v. Fukeer Doss Bera	.	4 W. R., Act X, 35	1622 5120
Burra Lall v. Court of Wards	:	20 W. R., 180	283
Burramoollah v. Nilchand Dutt		17 W. R., 484	5116
The arrange of the state of the		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161 : 5 W.	4007
Burray Kaka v. Ramnath alias Chotay Kaka	11	R., P. C., 21: 10 Moore's 3	4631
Burroda Kant Roy v. Ram Tunnoo Bose	٦		1352, 138
•	.	7 W. R., P. C., 51	5982
Burroda Soonduree Dossee v. Nobogopal Mullick v. Raj Bullub Sen	.	W. R., 1864, 294	248 4876
Burtoo Singh v. Ram Purmessur Singh		24 W. R., 364	1922
Buryar Singh v. Hunsee	.	2 Agra, 166 {	2290, 229
Busharutoolla v. Collector of Tipperah	.	8 W. R., 375	2303 3563
Busheerooddeen v. Dal Chund		3 Agra, 236 {	541, 447
Buskin. In the matter of the petition of		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	5178 4704
v. Ramkissen Seal	.	23 W. R., 146	1112
Busrut Ally Chowdhry v. Eshan Chunder Roy .		7 W. R., 267	3561
Bussant Coomarce Dossee v. Collector of Jessore . Busseerooddeen v. Shibpershad Chowdry	.	13 W. R., 243	91 3374
Busseeroonissa Chowdhrain v. Leelanund Singh	:	14 W. R., 135	4174
Bussun Lall Shookul v. Chundee Dass	{	I. J. R., 4 Calc., 686: 4 C. L.	4965
Bussunteram Marwary v. Kamaluddin Ahmed		R., 1. } I. L. R., 11 Calc., 421 .	3644
Bustee Narain Roy v. Sham Soonder Nundee .	:	2 W. R., Act X, 43	778
Bustee Ram v. Newaz Singh	.	2 Agra, 62	4988

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Bustee Sahoo v. Jeonarain Singh	24 W. R., 338	1757
Busunt Koomaree v. Kali Persad Singh	Marsh., 11:1 Hay, 32	3705
busunto Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of		88
busunt Rai v. Gridharee Singh	3 Agra, 93	378
attabee Begum v. Khooshal	2 N. W., 24	5175
utas Koonwar v. Jankee Pershad	3 Agra, 292	554
atchenner v. Rayudu	5 Mad., 285	6174
	I. L. R. 5 All., 459	3462
ati Begam v. Nihal Chand	13 B. L. R., 284, note	5196
otler a Lloyd	12 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2651
utoolun v. Koolsoom v. Lloyd v. Ozeerun utto Bahayu Bangriga In the matter of	25 W. R., 444	3636
v. Lloyd	25 W. R., 444	3636
v. Ozeerun	8 W. R., 300	4822
utto Behary Baneriee. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 20 · · ·	4797
uttokristo Doss v. Khettra Chandra Bhuttacharjee .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4807
uzlool Hossein v. Gunput Chowdhry	25 W. R., 170	1136
uzlool Rahman v. Prandhun Dutt	8 W. R., 222	5354
	()	470, 852
	OW D D C 9. 11 Manual	2834, 4161
uzloor Ruheem v. Shumsoonnissa Begum . {	8 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's	4866, 5096
,	I. A., 551.	5099, 5100
	. ()	5873
uzl Ruheem v. Lutafut Hossein	W. R., 1864, 171 . {	1759, 3166
uzi Runeem v. Lutanu riossem . ,	W. II., 1002, 171	6059
•	1 W. R., P. C., 57: 8 Moore's)	
uzl-ul-Ruheem v. Luteefutoonnissa	I. A., 379: 1 Ind. Jur., }	3645
	0. 8., 1.	600
Buzmoonissa v. Rowshan Jahan	10 W. R., 351	628
Suzrung Sahoy Singh v. Mantora Chowdhrain	22 W. R., 119	2085
Buzur Bibee v. Jackson	5 W. R., Mis., 14	1883 4161
Buzzul Ruhim v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum	W. R., F. B., 60	3368
Byddonath Ojha v. Gopal Mal	6 W. R., 170	6102
Sydnath Shaha v. Jadub Chunder Shaha	3 W. R., 208	4198
Bydonauth Sorbhon v. Kenooram Holdar	1 W. R., 211	4171
Byji Nath Sahoo v. Ramdour Roy	7 C. L. R., 369 · · ·	1585
Syjnath v. Chutter Singh	3 Agra, 181	273
v. Graham Syjnath Dutt Jha v. Putsohee Dobain	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 740	5833
Byjnath Koonwar v. Saheb Koonwar		1624
	12 W. R., 532 L. R., 1 I. A., 106 : 21 W. R., }	
Byjnath Lall v. Ramoodeen Chowdhry	233.	3908, 4313
		3334, 3816
Byjnath Pershad v. Badhoo Singh	10 W. R., 486	5002
- Konilmon Singh	24 W. R., 95	3704, 3710
v. Kopilmon Singh	0 4 TO TT 904	6284
Dringth Dandit a Vambro Lall Dandit	0 W D 597	429
Syjnami i undit o. istimya imi i undit	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 76: 5 W.	0 == 0
Byjnath Sahoo v. Bacharam	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 76: 5 W. R., 86.	2573.
. D. I. Ol. 10.1	24 W. R., 245	847, 193
v. Doolar Chand Sahoo	25 W. R., Cr., 16	4485
Byjnath Sahoy v. Wuzeer Narain	24 W. R., 427	5144
Byj Nath Singh v. Dooly Mahton	11 W. R., 215	3713
v. Goburdhun Lall Mohasohree .	24 W. R., 210 · ·	3917
v. Indurieet Koer	8 W. R., 331	1759, 355
v. Indurject Koer	14 W. R. 114	2002
Byjnath Suhaye v. Brohmo Deo Narain	9 W. R., 255	0105
		3952

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column • of Digest.
Bykant Monee Roy v. Kisto Soonderee Roy Bykant Nath Shaha v. Rajendro Narain Kai Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Dhunput Singh v. Puree Jan Khatoon Bykunt Chunder Moostafee v. Khenna Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 392 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 333 19 W. R., 104 11 W. R., 77 9 W. R., 360 Marsh., 391: 2 Hay, 475	2115, 2141 5444 2777 4914 483 3391
Bykunt Dhur Singh v. Lalla Bhugobut Sahoy Bykunt Kyburto Doss v. Shushee Mohun Paul	22 W. R., 526	1183, 4267
Chowdhry. Bykunt Nath Acharjee v. Gooroo Churn Bose Bykuntaath Chowdhry v. Mohessuree Bykunt Nath Doss v. Bissonath Majhee Bykunt Nath Mookerjee v. Prionath Ghose	7 W. R., 247	5358 1210 3039 171
Bykuntnath Mullick v. Joygopal Chatterjee Bykunt Nath Roy v. Grish Chunder Mookerjee Bykuntnath Roy Chowdhry v. Pogose Bykunt Nath Sandyal v. Juggut Mohun Shaha	7. W. R., 19 15 W. R., 96 5 W. R., 2 24 W. R., 240	1883 1415, 4136 3747, 3849 5462 4936
v. Kalee Churn Paul Bykuntnath Sein v. Pearee Monee Dassee Bykuntnath Sen v. Goboollah Sikdar Bykunt Ram Roy v. Shurfoomissa Begum Bykuntram Shaha Roy. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., 391	· 5776 1680, 4901 547 4101
Byraddi Subbareddi v. Dassuppa Raju Byreddi Narakka v. Chinna Narayana Reddi	18 W. R., Cr., 47 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 403 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 331	4101 788 4281 ·
Cammerer v. Birch Calanoor Soobramaneyan. In re the articles of clerk-	1 Mad., 8	206 455
ship of. Calapatapu Kristnayya v. Lakshmi Veukamma Row Calcutta and South-Eastern Railway Co. In the matter of the petition of.	5 Mad., 93	3181 5532
Calcutta Docking Company v. Passmore Calcutta Jute Mills Co. In the matter of Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. v. Lawless Calcutta Steam Tug Association. In re Calcutta Steam Tug Co. v. Hossein Ibrahim bin Johur Calcutta Steam Tug Co. v. Hossein Ibrahim bin Johur Calcut v. Halket	Bourke, O. C., 125: Cor., 151 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17 Bourke, O. C., 278 2 Moore's I. A., 298	428 944 3196, 4598 953 2766 2782
Callachand Dass. In the matter of Cally Chunder Chowdhry v. Monikurnika Chowdhrain	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327 W. B., 1864, 149	5896 33, 4471
Cally Churn Mullick v. Bhuggobutty Churn Mullick	10 B. L. R., 231: 19 W. R. 110.	0120
v. Janova Dassee Cally Doss Sirear v. Janokeenauth Roy Cally Nath Bundopadhya v. Koonjo Eehary Shaha Cama v. Morgan	5 C. I. R., 108 1 Ind. Jar., N. S., 284 1 W. R., Mis., 7 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 651 1 Bom., 205 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	3453 2469 224 3921 397
Caminee Dossee v. Gourmoney Dossee Campbell. In re v. Abdool Huq v. Delaney v. East Indian Railway Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359 2 Hyde, 177 6 W. R., Act X, 8 Marsh., 509 Bourke, A. O. C., 56	
v. Jackson v. Keith v. Kishen Dhun Audhicaree	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 41 1 Hyde, 287 Marsh., 67: 1 Hay, 233	4401 4676 3015

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Cannammal Aiyar v. Vijaya Ragunada Ranga Samy Singapulliar.	8 Mad., 114	2735, 5501
Cannan v. Kylash Chunder Roy Chowdhry	25 W. R., 117	
Cannon v. Bisso Nath Adhicaree	5 C. L. R., 154	5178 89, 4252
Carapiet v. Panna Lal Seal	14 W. R., 152	420
Carey v. Banu Miya	10 Bom., 34	
v. Kalu Miya	10 вош., эч	2948
v. Robinson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: Bourke, ?	654
Carlisle v. Nuthmull Nowluckee	0. C., 399.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 Hyde, 242 I. L. R., 9 Calc, 679: 13 C.)	*1051
Carlisles Nephews & Co. v. Hurmook Roy	L. R., 120.	1051
Carlisles Nephews & Co. v. Ricknauth Bucktearmull .	1 '	1059, 1112
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 809 . }	1686
Carmichael v. Brojonath Mullick	1 Hyde, 274	5235
Carrau. In re	1 Hyde, 143	* 1339
Carrison v. Rodrigues Carruthers v. Menzies	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 115	1000
Carter v. Agra Savings Bank	Cor., 40	3315
v. Misree Lal	I. L. R., 5 All., 562	4681
	2 N. W., 179	4246
Carwaino v. Kurbibi Carwar Company. In re Casement v. Fulton	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 202 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 640	1203, 2715
	3 Moore's I. A., 395	945
Caspersz. In the matter of the netition of	9 B. L. R., 337	6197 1534
v. Raneegunge Coal Company	18 W. R., Cr., 39	1534
Cassibai v. Kansordas Hansrai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 5	1949
Cassim Azim Dooplay v. Cassim Mahomed Baroocha	10 W. R., 349	5584
Cassum Jooma v. Thucker Liladhur Kissowii	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 570	5713
Cavaly Vencata Narainapah v. Collector of Masuli-	10 W. R., P. C., 47:11 Moore's }	
Cazee Ali v. Musseentoollah	1 1. A., 619.	4157
Chabildas Lallubhai v. Municipal Commissioners of	2 W. R., 285	3719
Bombay.	8 Bom., O. C., 85	2619
Chadami Lal v. Muhammad Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 563	
Chadee Singh v. Beharee Tewaree	10 W. R., 91	4415
Chahez v. Nund Kishore	4 N. W., 15	327
Chairman of the Howrah Municipality v. Montanee	1	1171
Bewan.	24 W. R., Cr., 70	503
Chairman of the Municipal Commissioners for the	12 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 20 W.	
Suburbs of Calcutta v. Aneesood-deen Meah.	R., Cr., 64.	1152
Chairman of the Naihati Municipality v. Kishori Lal	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 171	FOR
	20, 20 Carci, 1/1	507
Chairman of the Suburban Municipal Commissioners v. Umbica Churn Mookerjee.	15 W. R., Cr., 84	504
Chaita v. Purum Sookh	2 Agra, 256	
Chaitanya Chundra Huris Chandana Jagadevu v. Col-	22 W. R., 187: L. R., 1 I. A.,	3961
lector of Ganjam.	335.	3404
Chakowri Lall v. Moti Kurmi	13 C. L. R., 275	1024, 5148
Chaku v. Ishvar Bhudar .	8 Bom., Cr., 124	3740
Chaku Modan Isana v. Dullabh Dwarka	9 Bom., 7	2699, 2703
Chalakonda Alasani v. Chalakonda Ratna Chalam	2 Mad., 56	2371
Chalayil Kandotha Nallakandiyil Parvadi v. Chalayil	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 169	
. Kandotha Chathu Nambiar.	,	3762
Challa Papi Reddi v. Challa Koti Reddi alias Kotappa	7 Mad., 25	2152
Chalmers. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 137: 21 W.	1236
Chamaili Kuar v. Ramprasad	R., 246, note. J. L. R., 2 All., 267	
	10 10 100 W CALLIN 207	2157

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chamaili Rani v. Ram Dai	I. L. R., 1 All., 552 9 W. R., 451	1232 1605, 1745
Chamaro Malo v. Kashi Chunder Lalla	8 W. R., Cr., 85	4725
Thamia. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 354	587
Champabaty v. Jibun	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 213	306
hampat v. Shiba	I. L. R., 8 All., 393	. 2495
hampat Rai v. Pitambar Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 16	817
hampu Dai v. Uma Dai	11 C. L. R., 451	4477
hamroo Pasban v. Puhlwan Roy	16 W. R., 3	3725
hanbasappa Bin Sangappa v. Mainba bin Mahadshet .	7 Bom., A. C., 138	318, 5584 3591
handa Miah Sahib v. Lakshmana Aiyangar handan Kuar v. Tirkha Ram	1. L. R., 1 Mad., 45	5978
handhari Sital Pershad Singh v. Jhumah Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 809 4 C. L. R., 27	203
hand Hurree Maitee v. Norendro Narain Roy	19 W. R., 231	2344
	5 B. L. R., Ap. 70: 14 W.R.,	150, 1221
handi Charan Chatterji v. Chandra Kumar Ghose	Cr., 23.	4435
handi Churn Shashmal v. Durga Churn Mirdua	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 260: 12 C. }	4652
Shandi Dasi v. Janakiram	1 B. L. R., S. N., 12	3186
handika Singh v. Phokar Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 906	3999
handi Prasad Nandi v. Raghunath Dhar	3 B. L. R., Ap., 52	3182
hand Khan v. Empress	I. t. R., 9 Calc., 878	257
v. Naimat Khan	3 B. L. R., A. C., 296 : 12 W. }	3716
handmal v. Bachraj	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 474	3052
hand Mean v. Khondkar Ashrutollah	6 W. R., 89	4165
hand Monee Dassee v. Obhoy Churn Mal	24 W. R., 289	5753
handmoni Dasi v. Loke Nath Chatterjee	6 C. L. R., 494	6,531
handrabhagabhai v. Kashinath Vithal	2 Bom., 341: 2nd Ed., 323	2424, 4902
handrakanta De. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 445 : 7 C. L., R., 350.	2621, 4359
handra Kant Bhattacharjee v. Jadupati Chatterjee 🥻	1 B. L. R., A. C., 177: 10 W. R., 224.	1875, 2891
handra Bhusan Gangopadhya v. Ramkanth Banerji .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 108	3243
handrakant Bhattacharjee. In the matter of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 495	5558
v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 12 Cale., 495	5558
handrakant Mookerjee v. Kartikcharan Chaile . {	5 B. L. R., 103: 14 W. R.,	5825
· ·	O. C., 38.	
handra Kanto Biswas. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 558	1889
handrakant Roy v. Pogose handra Kumar Banerjee v. Iswar Chandra Newgi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 83	4071
hand Ram v. Brojo Gobind Doss	6 B. L. R., 562: 14 W. R., 455	3978
handra Madhab Roy v. Khemamani Dasi	19 W. R., 14 1 B. L. R., S. N., 19	157, 916 1725
handramala Patti Mahadevi v. Muktamala Patti Ma-)	1 b. H. N., S. N., 19	1/20
hadevi.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 20	2113
handra Narayan Ghose v. Kasinath Roy Chowdhry .	4 B. L. R., F. B., 43	5079
handra Nath Biswas v. Biswanath Biswas	6 B. L. R., 492, note	4716
handranath Roy v. Ramjai Mazumdar {	6 B. L. R., 303: 15 W. R., P. C., 7.	479, 2296
handra Nath Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65: 8 C. L. ?	1024
handra Sekhar Rai. In the matter of	R., 353.	4718
handra Sen v. Gangaram	I. L. R., 2 All., 899	2182
handu v. Chathu Nambiar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 381	1436
v. Kombi		1569, 405
handvasekharudu v. Bramhanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 208 . {	4427
	4 Mad., 270	2116

Name of Case.	. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Phango valad Dudha Mahajan v. Kaluram Narayandas .	4 Bom., A. C., 120	840
Channu Lal Sahu v. Manu Lal	5.B. L. R., 220 : 13 W. R., 343	5005
Charavur Teramath v. Urath Lakshmi	5 Mad., 208	3586 3754
Chardon v. Ajeet Singh	12 W. R., 52	300, 383
haroo v. Zobeida Khatoon	25 W. R., 54	1750
Charoo Chunder Mullick. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 430	4727, 4729
. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	1288, 602
v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 397	1288, 602,
haroo Khan v. Doorgamonee	9 W. R., 498	5667
harriol v. Courtois	Cor., 123	428
v. Shircore hartered Mercantile Bank v. Secondé	8 B. L. R., 305	1071 4072
	3 B. L. R., O. C., 146 I. L. R., S Calc., 956: 10 C.)	
haru Surnokar v. Dokouri Chunder Thakoor	L. R., 577.	4570
hathu v. Aku	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 26	3234
hathunni v. Sankaram	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 238	3755
hatoor Singh v. Heera Kooer	5 W. R., 191	2979
	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 389 : 7 C. ?	5356
hatraput Singh v. Girindra Chunder Roy	L. R., 456.	
hattar Lal Singh v. Shewukram	5 B. L. R., 123 : 13 W. R., 285	2267
hattarpal Singh v. Rajaram	I. L. R., 7 All., 661	4341, 596
nattar Singh v. Lekhraj Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 293	5953
v. Ram Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 488	4830
hatternath Jha alias Jhingha Jha. In the matter of the petition of.	6 B. L. R., 41: 14 W. R., F. }	3714
hatti Chalamanna v. Pandrangi Subbamma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 23	2270
hatturbhooj Meghji v. Dharamsi Narainji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 438	2389, 252
baturi Singh v. Makund Lall	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 710: 9 C. L. R., 240.	2994
natur Jagsi v. Tulsi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 230	3209
hatur Khushalchand v. Mahadu Bhagaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 91	3503
heda v. Nunhoo Beg		7
sedambara Chetty v. Renja Krishna Muthu Puchanja	2 N. W., 348 13 B. L. R., 509: 22 W. R., 148: L. R., 1 I. A., 241.	001 h
Naikar.	148: L. R., 1 I. A., 241.	631, 70
nedambaram Chetty v. Kadunalyavalangapuly Taver.	3 Mad., 342	4814
nedambara Pillai v. Ratna Ammal	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 113	1913
nedami Lal v. Amir Beg	I. L. R., 7 All., 676	5467
nedee Singh v. Peareeoonnissa	20 W. R., 19	202
nedi Lal v. Kirath Chand	I. L. R., 2 All., 682 . {	305, 122 1232
neet Banoo v. Ramkishen Singh		2503
neetha v. Miheen Lall	W. R., 1864, 102	2360
neetun Lall v. Chutterdharee Lall	19 W. R., 432	1500
neigu Nangiah Gauri Nangiah v. Pidatala Vencatappah	5 Mad., 407	3142
elikani Tirnpati Ryaningaru v. Suraneni Vencata Gopala Narasimha Rau.	6 Mad., 278	2300
rella Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai	3 Mad., 71	304
pellapilla Rau Pantulu v. Balarama Krishnama Pantulu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 424	1143, 567
pellapperumal Pillai. Ex parte	1 Mad., 135	157
bellayamal v. Muttialamal	15 W. R., P. C., 1	4653
hemminikara Muppil Nair v. Kiliyanat Ukona Menon.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 88	3755
hena Pemaji v. Ghelabhai Narandas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 301	187, 43
enchamma v. Subbaya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 114	2138
hengalroya Naiker. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 148	133
hengaya v. Appasami Ayyar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 172	791
hengulva Raya Mudali v. Thangatchi Ammal	6 Mad., 192	5676

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
henibash Shaha v. Kadum Maudul hennapa Nayudu v. Pitchi Reddi herachom Vittil Ayisha Kutti Umah v. Valia Pudiakel	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 97 1 Mad., 453	3311 3832
Biathu Umah.	2 Mad., 350	3733
herag Ali v. Kadir Mahomed	12 C. L. R., 367	6274 3767
heriyarakel <i>alias</i> Arakel Kunhi Kuttyali v. Vayaka Parambath Imbichi Ammah.	6 Mad., 416	3250
bernkomen alias Govinden Nair v. Ismala	6 Mad., 145	3757, 617
herukuri Venkanna v. Mantravathi Lakshmi Naray-, ana Sastrulu.	2 Mad., 327	2859
hetter v. Queen	15 W. R., Cr., 5	12 61 33 78, 388
hetti Gaundan v. Sundaram Pillai	2 Mad., 51	4430
hetty Colum Coomara Vencatachella Raddyar v Rungasawmey Lyengar.	4 W. R., P. C., 71: 8 Moore's }	2083
hetu Narayana Pillay v. Ayamperumal Ambalom .	4 Mad., 447 {	1033, 146 5656
neyt Ram v. Chowdhry Nowbut Ram {	5 W. R., P. C., 3: 7 Moore's . I. A., 207.	4650
nhabila Manchand v. Jadavbhai nhab Nath v. Kamta Prasad nhaganlal v. Eapubhai	3 Bom., O. C., 87	2357 2717 3384
rhaganlal Nagardas v. Jeshan Rav Dalsukhram rhagan Lall Narbheram v. Jamnadas Mancharam	1. L. R., 5 Bom., 68 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 503 11 Bom., 19	5665 1880
ıhiddu v. Narpat	I. L. R., 8 All., 62 . 5	2920
aidambaram Chettiar v. Gauri Nachiar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 83 : L. R., 6 . I. A., 177.	2452
nidambara Nayinan v. Annapa Nayakkan nidambara Pillai v. Kaman	1 Mad., 62	2943, 618 196, 572
v. Manikka Chetti	1 Mad., 63	3024
v. Ramasami Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 161 3 Mad., 260	2754 4135
idi Choubee v. Bhowany	1 W. R., Cr., 1	957
ilkati v. Peddakimedi ilicany Bhaskararayenin Garu v. Pillary Setty Raga- valu Naidu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 569	907, 423
imman Singh v. Subran Kuar	I. L. R., 2 All., 902	89
imnaji v. Ranu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 19	5841 430
in Hong & Co. v. Seng Moh & Co.	6 Mad., 84	
inibash Ghose. In the matter of	R., 585.	597 1854
inna Aiyan v. Mahomed Fakiruddin Saib	1 C. L. R., 436	906, 587
inna Gaundan v. Kumara Gaundaa innaiya Chetty v. Naranapaiya	1 Mad., 54	2129
innaiya Nattan v. Muttusvami Pillai	6 Mad., 15	1909 5821
innamal v. Tulukannatammal	3 Mad., 146	2806
innamallaiya v. Venkataramaiya inna Nagayya v. Pedda Nagayya	1 Mad., 418	916
inna Perumal Naicker v. Annammal	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 62 7 Mad., 361	2126, 212 5838
imnappa Chetti v. Nadaraja Pillai	6 Mad., 1	758, 572
innaramakristna Ayyar v. Minatchi Ammal	7 Mad., 245	2288
niuna Rangaiyangar v. Subraya Mudali	3 Mad., 334	109
ninnasami Chetti v. Nanjappasary	3 Mad., 338	109 6075
	·	00,0

· Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chinnasami Iyengar alias Streenivassa Raghavacha- ryar v. Gopalacharry.	7 Mad., 392	3307
Chinnasami Pillai v. Krishna Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 104	4970
Chinna Sanyasi v. Suriva	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 196	2405
Chinna Subbaraya Mudali v. Kandasami Reddi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 59	2632
Chinna Ummayi v. Tegarai Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 168	2221, 5264
Chinnaya v. Gurunatham	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 169	3209
Chinnaya Rau v. Ramaya	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 137	1030
Chinnayya Rawutan v. Chidambaram Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 212	4029
Chinniah v. Baubun Saib	5 Mad., 435	3852
Chinnimarigadu. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 289	3617
Chinniya Mudali v. Venkatachella Pillai	3 Mad., 320	4990 .
Chintalapati Chinna Simhadriraj v. Zemindar of Vizi-	2 Mad., 128	1032, 6283
Chintaman Bhaskar v. Shivram Hari	9 Bom., 304	2213,3942
Chintamani Bapooha v. Digambar Mitter	2 B L. R., S. N., 15 .	4828 1355
Chintamani Pal. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R., ?	5139
v. Pyari Mohun Mookerjee	F. B., 1. 6 B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R.,	*5139
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F. B., 1.	3249
Chintamani Sen v. Iswar Chandra	3 B. L. R., Ap., 122 6 Bom., A. C., 29	2827
(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 505: 2)	
Chintaman Sing v. Uma Kunwar	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 505: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1: 6 W. R. Mis., 83	177
Chintamonee Bapoolee v. Digumber Mitter	10 W. R., 409	1355
Chintamonee Nye. In the matter of the petition of .	11 W. R., Cr., 2	1796, 1804
Chintamonee Sein v. Issur Chunder Chunder	12 W. R., 221	3249
Chintamun Singh v. Nowlukho Konwari }	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 153: 24 W. R., 255: L. R., 2 I. A., 263.	2222, 2232
Chintamun Singh Chowdhry v. Nowlakhu Kunwari .	13 W. R., 469	2447
Chinto Abaji Kulkarni v. Lakshmibai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 375	2850
Chinto Joshi v. Krishnaji Narayan	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 214	1863
Chintu v. Dhondu	11 Bom., 192, note	1711
Chiraghan v. Harbans	7 N. W., 213	1672
Chiranji Lal v. Jamna Das	7 N. W., 243	292
Chithambara v. Muthaya	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 330	5276
Chittrayil alias Kunath Ahmed Koya v. Irumanom Vittil Kanhamath Haji.	3 Mad., 279	184, 5770
Chitko Raghunath Rajadiksh v. Janaki	11 Bom., 199	2137
Chitto v. Muzzur Hossain	2 Hyde, 212	3093, 6227
Chittra Coomary Bebee v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	18 W. R., 334	6096
Chittro Narain Singh Tekait v. Assistant Commissioner 🧎	14 W. R., 203	2035, 3270
of Sonthal Pergunnahs. Chockalinga Mudali v. Subharaya Mudali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 133	1116, 2404
	1	2752, 5657
Chockalinga Pillai v. Viruthalam	4 Mad., 334 - · {	5713
v. Vythealinga Pundara Sunnady.	6 Mad., 164	3063
Choe Singh v. Bahadoor Singh	1 Agra, 55	4880
Chogalal v. Trueman	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 481	. 1883
Chohagur v. Thakooree Singh	1 Agra, 123	3331
Choitun Mohantee v. Bhikaree Mohantee	17 W. R., 410	5502
Choitunno Paramanick v. Zumeerooddee Shaikh	18 W. R., 27	5277
Chokkalingam Pillai v. Svamiyar Pillai	1 Mad., 105	2082, 5268
Chokalingapeshana Naiker v. Achiyar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 40	1426, 6079
Chooaram v. Ram Lapit Ram	4 C. L. R., 97	1867, 1908

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Choca Sahoo v. Tripoora Dutt	13 W. R., 244	1926, 3537
Choolhaie Telee. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 315	1957 *
Choolie Lall v. Kokil Singh	19 W. R., 248	1723, 4267
Choolun Singh v. Dhoorub Singh	11 W. R., 171	1761
v. Roy Mohun Lall Mitter	Marsh., 183	5485
Chooneelal v. Chitowla	2 Agra, 137	2976
Choonee Lall v. Keramut Ali	W. R., 1864, 282	1677
v. Kudhaira	6 N. W., 34	5250
v. Puhulwan Singh	3 Agra, 270	3891
v. Pultoo Bhukut .	6 W. R., Mis., 74	210
Choonee Mahtoon v. Chatoo Mahtoon	25 W. R., 231	144
Choonee Mundur v. Chundee Lall Dass	14 W. R., 178	565, 4791
· · · ·	14 W. R., 334	5138, 5826
Choonee Sahoo v. Munnoo Lall	14 W. R., 225	5434
Choonee Sookul v. Hur Pershad	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 277 .	4245
Chooni Lal Misser v. Patroo Deo	6 W. R., 19	183
Choonilal Seal v. Spence's Hotel Company	1 B. L. R., O. C., 14	940
Choonnee Lall v. Doorga Pershad	3 Agra, 252	1914
v. Gouree Shunker	1 Agra, 84	2841, 5676
Choora v. Busuntee	1 Agra, 174	2313
Chooral Monee Bose v. Prosonno Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 43	2290
Chooramoni Dey v. Howrah Mills Company	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 696	2953
Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 56	1657
v. Mahomed Ali	L. R., 9 I. A., 21	1463
v. ratoo Roor	24 W. R., 68	3007
Chootoo Dhoon Bharbonia v. Abdool Meah	7 W. R., Cr., 40	957
Chotalal Amritlal v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Co.	5 Bom., A. C., 101	5831
hota Lal Jamnadas v. Bulakidas Jetha	7 Bom., A. C., 109	1240
Chota Sadoo v. Bhoobun Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., Cr., 3	1049
Thotay Lal v. Ram Dyal	2 N. W., 402	3480, 3508
Chotay Lall v. Chunnoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 235: 22 W. R., 496	2306, 2496
	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 744: 3 C.)	
	L. R., 465: L. R., 6 I. A., 15.	2283
Choti Lal v. Kalka Parshad	7 N. W., 100	3904
v. Manick Chand	7 N. W., 100 7 N. W., 115	1883
Chotoolall v. Miller	7 C. L. R., 267	1483, 2697
Shottoo Misser v. Jemah Misser	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 198: 6 C.?	
Chottoo Misser v . Jemah Misser	L. R., 588.	2478
Shotuck Pandoo v. Innayut Ali	3 Agra, 49: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 131.	2928
hotu v. Jitan	I. L. R., 3 All., 63	216, 5084
hotun Bebee v. Ameer Chund	6 W. R., 105	5095, 5100
Shoudharee Sheoraj Singh v. Dwarka Doss	4 N. W., 42	3768
houndawalee Bahoojee v. Girdhareejee	3 Agra, 226	2134, 2136
hovakara v. Isa bin Khalifa	I. L. B., 1 Bom., 209	6274
Chowakaran Orkatari Bappan v. Chowakaran Cheria	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 259	3762
bowdhari Nilkanth Prasad Singh v. Dignarayan Singh.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 30: 10 W. }	5060
Chowdhfani v. Tariny Kant Lahiry Chowdry . {	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 545: 11)	471
	C. L. R., 41.	
Chowdhree Brij Lall v. Goor Suhai	Agra, F. B., 128: Ed. 1874, 95	4547
Chowdhree Nain Singh v. Jawahur Singh	1 N. W., 167 : Ed. 1873, 246 .	3828
Chowdhree Zuhoorul Huq v. Kurum Chand Singh .	24 W. R., Cr., 15	4508 ,
Chowdhri Murtaza Hossein v. Bechunnissa	L. R., 3. I. A., 209: 26 W.	387

Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Foujdar Roy Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government Chowdhry Goluck Chunder v. Chowdhry Gauga Narain Chowdhry Goluck Chunder v. Chowdhry Gauga Narain Chowdhry Goordutt Singh v. Gopal Dass Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Mutecoonissa Bibee Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Busjo Sondur Roy Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Hridgo Narain Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Brojo Sondur Roy Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Brojo Sondur Roy Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Hridgo Narain Chowdhry Junnejoy Mullick v. Russomoye Dassee Chowdhry Khau v. Gour Jana Chowdhry Khoorgo Roy v. Shib Tohul Roy Chowdhry Kripa Sindboo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss Chowdhry Mahomed Momin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Momin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Momin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mohendro Narain Roy. In the matter of Chowdhry Nilkant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh Chowdhry Padam Singh v. Koer Udaya Singh Chowdhry Padam Singh v. Koer Udaya Singh Chowdhry Rattun Persad v. Hunoounan Jah Chowdhry Rostum Ali v. Dhandoo Chowdhry Rattun Persad v. Hunoounan Jah Chowdhry Kattun Persad v. Hunoounan Jah Chowdhry Rattun Persad v. Hunoounan Jah Chowdhry Zalim Singh v. Seetloo Chowdhry Handada J. L. Chowski Hinaut Lal v. Chowksi Achuttal Chowrasee Kooer v. Bokhooree Singh Chuckur Suran Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun Chuckrodhry Tahkoor v. Beer Chunder Joobraj Chuckur Suran Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun Chuckrodhry Tahkoor v. Beer Chunder Singh Chuckur Suran Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun Chuck all Singh v. Poran Chunder Singh		
Chowdhry Bholanath Thakoor w. Bhagabatti Debi Ohowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Fouldar Roy Marst Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government 3 W. Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government 3 W. Chowdhry Chuttarsal Singh v. Government 3 W. Chowdhry Goluck Chunder v. Chowdhry Gauga Narain 11 B. Chowdhry Goordutt Singh v. Gopal Dass 1 Ag Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Mutecounissa Bibec 15 W. Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Buojo Soondur Roy 1 K. Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Brojo Soondur Roy 1 K. Chowdhry Hurcehur Singh v. Hridoy Narain 25 W. Chowdhry Hurcehur Singh v. Hridoy Narain 25 W. Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Russomoye Dassee 1 K. Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Russomoye Dassee 1 K. W. Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Russomoye Dassee 1 K. W. Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Russomoye Dassee 1 K. W. Chowdhry Krhau v. Gour Jana 2 W. Chowdhry Krhau v. Gour Jana 2 W. Chowdhry Kripa Sindboo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss 23 W. Chowdhry Kripa Sindboo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss 2 W. Chowdhry Mahomed Momin v. Lutafut Hossein 2 W. Chowdhry Milant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh 5 W. Chowdhry Milant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh 5 W. Chowdhry Rittun Persad v. Hunoounan Jah 5 W. Chowdhry Kattun Persad v. Chowd	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chowdhry Deby Persad v. Chowdhry Dowlut Singh Chowdhry Goluck Chunder v. Chowdhry Gauga Narain n. Chowdhry Goordutt Singh v. Gopal Dass Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Muteecouissa Bibee Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Buteecouissa Bibee Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Hridoy Narain Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Hridoy Narain Chowdhry Hurechur Singh v. Hridoy Narain Chowdhry Laharool Huq v. Bhoosee Mahtoon Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Bissambhar Panjah Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Bissambhar Panjah Chowdhry Junmejoy Mullick v. Bissambhar Panjah Chowdhry Khao v. Gour Jana Chowdhry Khao v. Gour Jana Chowdhry Khoorgo Roy v. Shib Toluh Roy Chowdhry Kripa Sindhoo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss Chowdhry Mahomed Morin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Morin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Morin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Narain Roy. In the matter of Chowdhry Mikaut Perslad Singh v. Ahlad Singh Chowdhry Parladh Mahapattar v. Chowdhry Jonardon Mahapattar. Chowdhry Parladh Mahapattar v. Chowdhry Jonardon Mahapattar. Chowdhry Roostum Ali v. Dhandoo Chowdhry Ruttun Persad v. Huncoman Jah Chowdhry Sheo Nundun Proshad v. Chowdry Nil Kauth Prashad. Chowdhry Wahid Ali v. Junaee v. Jumaye chowdhry Wahid Ali v. Mullick Inayet Ali Chowdry Zahnorul Huq v. Bagoo Jan Nohowshi Hinaut Lal v. Chowkis Achurutal Chowrasee Kooer v. Bokhooree Singh Christacharlu v. Karibasayya Christian v. Christian Chuckrodhyi Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Joobraj Chuckur Burun Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun Chuka Mal v. Hari Ram Luka Mal v. Hari Ram Chuka Mal v. Hari Ram	Iay, 311	4472 48, 2190 555, 558 1724, 2075
11 B.	V. R., P. C., 55: 3 Moore's]	1751, 6245
Chowdhry Hamedoollah v. Burjor Soondur Roy 18 W	B. L. R., 363	1487 1487
Chowdhry Jonael Kishore Singh v. Poocha Singh S. W.	M. R., 574 W. R., 574 W. R., 310 W. R., 92 W. R., 92	4629 1885, 6014 2114 3473 1465, 3825 2994
Chowdhry Junmenjoy Mulliek v. Bissambhar Paujah Chowdhry Khau v. Gour Jana Chowdhry Khau v. Gour Jana Chowdhry Khoorgo Roy v. Shib Tohul Roy Chowdhry Kripa Sindhoo Doss v. Radha Churn Doss Chowdhry Mahomed Monin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Monin v. Lutafut Hossein Chowdhry Mahomed Zuboorul Huq v. Mahomed Yakoob Chowdhry Mohomed Zuboorul Huq v. Mahomed Yakoob Chowdhry Milkant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh Chowdhry Milkant Pershad Singh v. Ahlad Singh Mahapattar. Chowdhry Padam Singh v. Koer Udaya Singh Chowdhry Padam Singh v. Koer Udaya Singh Mahapattar. Chowdhry Paurladh Mahapattar v. Chowdhry Jonardon Mahapattar. Chowdhry Rattun Persad v. Hunooman Jah Chowdhry Wahed Ali v. Jumaee v. Jumaye Tohowdhry Wahed Ali v. Mulliek Inayet Ali Chowdhry Zalim Singh v. Seetioo Chowdhry Zalim Singh v. Seetioo Chowdhry Zalim Singh v. Chowder Singh Christacharlu v. Karibasayya Li Li Chowtstacharlu v. Karibasayya Li Li Chukratodhu Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Joobraj Chukcku Lall Singh v. Poran Chunder Singh Chukcku Surun Narain Singh v. Collector of Sarun Chukratodhu Thati Ram Tu Christan v. Carlasayya Li Li Chabasaya	V. R., 413 B. L. R., 418, note: 107	3715 2199
Chowdhry Purladh Mahapattar v. Chowdhry Jonardon 6 W. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. M. M. Mahapattar. 6 W. M. M. M. M. M. M. Mahapatta	W. R., 209. V. R., Mis., 45 V. R., Act X, 40 W. R., 172 W. R., 234 W. R., 239 W. R., 367 W. R., 512 W. R., 512 W. R., 512 E. L. R., P. C., 101:12 W.	3489 3019 6239 673 161, 5171 3797 3177 1173
Mahapattar. 3 Mahapattar. 3 Mahapattar. 3 Mahapattar. 3 Mahapattar. 3 Mahapattar. 3 Mahapattar. 4 Mahapattar. 4 Mahapattar. 4 Mahapattar. 5 Mahapatt	R., P. C., 1: 12 Moore's	2110
180 180	W. R., Mis., 15 Agra, 157 R., 1864, Mis., 20 W. R., Cr., 44	836, 3144 4763 224 4738
. Jumaye	B. L. R., 149 : 18 W. R., 185.	827
Chukradar Potti. In the matter of 8 C. Chulan Tewari v. Sukedad Khan 23 V	W. R., Mis, 116 W. R., 87 B. L. R., 52: 14 W. R., 288 N. W., 404 W. R., 532 W. R., 329 W. R., 329 L. R., 8 Boun, 194 W. R., 350 L. R., 11 Calc., 651 W. R., 194 W. R., 185 L. R., 8 MI, 548 L. R., 2 All., 715 Agra, 227 Agra, 65 W. R., 5 U. R., 5 U. R., 5 U. R., 80 U. R., 80 U. R., 180 U. R., 2 All., 715 U. R., 1864 U. R., 5 U. R., 1864 U. R., 5 U. R., 1864 U. R., 5 U. R., 5 U. R., 1864 U. R., 5 U. R., 5 U. R., 1864 U. R., 5	5453 3836 2794, 3542 4310 3162 2518, 5392 5555 4268 1074 1548 2440 2373 3564 324, 373 2724 3213 3283 414 1960 4729

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chultan Mahton v. Tilukdari Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 175	693
Chumimony Dassee v. Nistarinee Dassee	3 C. L. R., 357	369
Chumman Shah. In the matter of	R., 317. 4 N. W., 175	1011
v. Ishree Pershad Narain Singh	13 W. R., 465	533 3233, 3376
Chummun Mohunt v. Rajendra Sahoo	7 W. R., 119	2485
Chumroo Singh v. Mullick Khyrut Ahmed Chumun Bhugut v. Mudun Mohan	18 W. R., 525	5287 3497
Chumun Chowdhry v. Ali Azim	9 W. R., 138	5829
Chumun Lall Chowdhry v. Domun Lall	9 W. R., 205 11 W. R., 194	449 3107
Chumutkar Mohinee Dossee v. Raj Rakhâl Mitter	22 W. R., 479	163
thunds a Roon Singh	3 Agra, 281	2002
Chundee Churn Chatterjee v. Bidoo Budden Banerjee	10 W. R., 48 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 678: 11 C.	2951
Chundee Churn Dutt v. Eduljee Cowasjee Bijnee	L. R., 225.	4331, 571
Chundee Churn Paul v. Ramnarain Sen	Cor., 8	3288
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 945: 6 C.	321
v. Shib Chunder Mundul . {	L. R., 269.	3232
Chundee Deen v. Hussun Ali	3 N. W., 88 2 W. R., Mis., 38	5978 209
Chundee Roy v. Isree Pershad Narain Singh	23 W. R., 274	3183
hunder Banerji v. Hurro Mohun Chuckerbutty	L. L. R., 6 Calc., 41: 6 C. L. }	2129
hunder Bhadoory v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Mis., 51	759
Thunder Bhan Singh v. Jairam Geer	5 N. W., 175	497
Chunder Bhon Singh. In re	17 W. R., Cr., 10	21
Thunder Caunt Mookerjee v. Jodoonath Khan . {	L. R., 470.	5990
Chunder Chowdhry v. Macnaghten	23 W. R., 386 25 W. R., 324	1176 5127, 578
Chunder Coomar Banerjee v. Azeemoodeen	14 W. R., 100	1585
hunder Coomar Chatterjee v. Essen Chunder Chatterjee.		1194
Chunder Coomar Deo v. Sudakut Mahomed Khan Chunder Coomar Dutt v. Joy Chund Dutt Mojoomdar .	18 W. R., 436 19 W. R., 213	4437 1734, 175
hunder Coomar Lahooree v. Gopee Kristo Gossamee .	20 W. R., 204	1906
hunder Coomar Mitter v. Modhoosoodun Dey	9 W. R., Cr., 50	1990
v. Sib Sundari Dassee .	L. R., 22.	5092
Chunder Coomar Mookerji v. Koylash Chunder Sett Chunder Coomar Mookerjee v.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 665	4571
100000	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 677 11 B. L. R., 434: 19 W. R., ?	4571
hunder Coomar Mundul v. Nunnee Khanum	322.	5077
Shunder Coomar Poddar v. Chunder Kanta Ghose .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 521 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 235 : 1 C. L. }	4496
hunder Coomar Roy v. Bhogobutty Prosonno Roy	R., 23.	3499
v. Bholanath Sircar v. Burodakant Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 110	1632 1991
v. Collector of Jessore	W. R., 1864, 63	91
v. Gocool Chunder Bhuttacharjee .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 370 . {	666, 427
0 - 1 01 - 1 0	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 283	4278 2523, 382
v. Kadermonee Dossee v. Kasheenauth Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 247 5 W. R., Mis., 37	5197
v. Kasheenauth Roy Chowdhry .	5 W. R., Mis., 37	3834
v. Kubeeroodeen	10 W. R., 332	336

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		2016
Chunder Coomar Roy v. Omesh Chunder Mojoomdar .	22 W. R., Cr., 78	5946
v. Shurut Soonduree Debia v. Wilkins	6 W. R., Mis., 37	3159, 3496
v. Wilkins	10 W. R., 311	6153
v. Zeemunteollah Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 95	1732
Chuuder Doss v. Boshoon Lall Sookul	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 251 : 11 C. } L. R., 177.	5793
Chundereshwur Narain Pershad v. Chuni Ahir	9 C. L. R., 359	137
Chunder Jaleah v. Ramchurn Mookerjee	15 W. R., 212	1994, 4560
	17 W. R., 176	4269
Chunder Kalee Ghose v. Shibnath Bhuttacharjee		
Thunderkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 43	4745, 5937
petition of.		
Chunderkant Bhuttacharjee v. Bindabun Chunder Mookerjee.	7 W. R., 277	4745, 5937
Chunder Kant Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry	1 W. R., 214	2772
v. Nund Lall Chowdhry	16 W. R., 277	5283
Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty. In re	9 W. R., Cr., 63	1040
v. Bunkoo Beharee Chunder	3 W. R., 177	2059
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6: 4 W. ?	
v. Dukhecanca Odea.	D A.+ V 41	4931
	R., Act X, 41.	5786
v. Elias	5 W. R., Act X, 29	4931
v. Mahomed Hossein v. Pearee Mohun Dutt	6 W. R., Act X, 1	1674
v. Pearee Mohun Dutt .	5 W. R., 209	5768
Chunderkant Ghose v. Showdaminee Debia	9 W. R., 517	
Chunder Kant Mistree v. Brojonauth Bysack	13 W. R., 109	1847
Chunder Kant Mitter v. Ram Narain Dey Sirkar .	8 W. R., 63	143, 3240
Chunderkant Mookerjee v. Ram Coomar Coondoo .	3 C. L. R., 505	5980
v. Ramcoomar Koondoo	13 B. L. R., 530 : 22 W. R., 138	703, 1214
Chunder Kant Roy v. Gopeemee Debia	6 W. R., 17	1170
v. Krishna Sunder Roy	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 710	4805, 6140
v. Peary Mohun Roy {	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 21 : Marsh ,)	3364, 3396
	33:1 Hay, 69.	,
Chunderkant Surmah v. Bissesur Surmah Chucker-)	7 W. R., 312	5436
butty.	1	* 2312
v. Bunshee Deb Surmah	6 W. R., 61	
Chunder Komal Das v. Bissasurree Dassia	13 C. L. R., 243	3535
Chunder Koomar Gangooly v. Rajkishen Banerjee .	14 W. R., 323	2488
Chunder Koomar Mundul v. Bakur Ali Khau	9 W, R., 598	2792, 2914
Chunder Kulla Dossee v. Jotendra Mohun Tagore .	6 W. R., 194	878
Chunder Lall v. Lalla Gunput Lall	16 W. R., 52	2199
Chunder Madhub Chuckerbutty v. Ram Coomar Chow- (B. J., R., Sup. Vol., 553 : 6 W. &	2181
dhry.	R., 184.	
Chunder Madhub Doss v. Ameer Ali	25 W. R., 119	1393
Chunder Madhub Ghose v. Juggut Chunder Sen	4 C. L. R., 483	4488
Chunder Mohan Dutt v. Biswambhar Laha	1 B. L. R., O. C., 42	11
Chunder Mohan Mojoomdar v. Teetooram Bose	4 W. R., Act X, 18	196, 77
Chunder Mohun Roy v. Bhubon Mohini Dabea	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 389	3145
Chunder Mohun Thakoor v. Amrito Chunder Thakoor .	19 W. R., 343	1474
Chunder Monee Chanda v. Brindaban Nath	19 W. R., 343	3047
Chunder Monee Chowdhrain v. Chowdhrain	4 W. R., 54	38
v. Debender Nauth Roy ?	Marsh., 420 : 2 Hay, 519 .	529
Chowdry.		1
Chunder Monee Chowdhrain v. Raj Kishore Shaha .	5 W. R., 246	1567
Chunder Monee Dabee v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee .	12 W. R., 460	2745
Chunder Monee Dassee v. Santo Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 251 · · ·	5253
	18 W. R., 375 · · ·	2334
Chunder Monee Debia v. Kristo Chunder Mozoomdar .	10 41. 16., 0/0	3369

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Chunder Monee Debia Chowdhoorayan v. Mun Mohinee }	8 Moore's I. A., 477	4651
Debia. Chunder Monee Dossee v. Dhuroneedhur Lahory	7 W. R., 2	1448, 1636 2196, 4160
v. Modhoo Dey v. Nilambur Mustofee	23 W. R., 166	877 1756
Chundermonce Nya Bhoosun v. Sumbhoo Ununder (W. R., 1864, 270	3036
Chuckerbutty. Chunder Money Dossee v. Hurrydoss Mitter	5 C. L. R., 557	2504
Chunder Narain v. Farquharson Chunder Narain Ghose v. Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 837 12 W. R., F. B., 10	1328 5079
Chunder Narain Mozoomdar v. Prithanund Asrum .	12 W. R., 290	5071 4138
Chunder Narain Sen v. Amirto Lall Sen	24 W. R., 292 14 B. L. R., 251: 21 W. R.,)	2788
7 Brojo Bullub Gooiee	391. 21 W. R., 126	2788
v. Kishen Chand Golecha	1. L. R., 9 Cale., 855	2632
Chunder Nath Bhuttacharjee v. Brindabun Shaha v. Juggut Chunder Bhutta-	25 W. R., 39	6075 2985
charjee.	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 501 : 2 C. L. [1
Chunder Nath Chowdhry v. Tirthanund Thakeor .	R., 147.	3327
Chunder Nath Deb. In the matter of	5 C. L. P., 372	264 1813
chunder Nath Dhur v. Isurree Dossee	18 W. R., 531	1362
Chunder Nath Ghose v. Nundo Lull Chatterjee	1. L. R., 11 Cale., 81 12 W. R., 343	1308 1634
Chunder Nath Misser v. Gource Komul Bhuttacharjee	10 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 19 W. R., 155.	843
v. Sirdar Khan	18 W. R., 218 {	323, 302 3031
Chunder Nath Moitro v. Kristo Komul Singh	15 W. R., 357	2361
Chunder Nath Mullick v. Nilakant Banerjee Chunder Nath Nag Chowdhry v. Asanoollah Mundul .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 690	3553 2928
Chunder Nath Nundi v. Hur Narain Deb	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 153	2880
Chunder Nath Roy v. Bheem Sirdar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 37 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 250	5594 4805
v. Gobind Nath Roy	11 B. L. R., 86: 18 W. R., 7	2146, 228
Chunder Nath Sein v. Anundomoyee Dossee	221. S	3397, 623
Chundernath Sen. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 293	5946
}	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 875 : 6 C. L. R., 379.	4111
Chundernath Surma v. Romanath Surma	1 W. R., 69	4899
Chunder Pershad Roy v. Shuvadra Kumari Shaheba	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 622 1 C. L. R., 434	5333 1270
Chunder Seekur Roy v. Gholam Shurreef alias Loopan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 146	5257
Chunder Sein v. Gujadhur Lall	1 N. W., 148: Ed. 1872, 230 . 19 W. R., 78	3350 5482, 623
Chunder Sekhur Mookerjee v. Collector of Midnapore	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 464: 1 C.)	5805
Chunder Shekhur Thakoor v. Nitaloo	L. R., 384. 22 W. R., Cr., 29	5912
Chunder Shikur Mullick v. Sham Chand Mullick	18.W. R., 395	4664
Chunder Sikhur Biswas v. Ram Buksh Chetlungee Chunder Sikur Bundopadhya v. Obhoy Churn Bagchi	1 C. L. R., 545	4328 507
Chunder Singh v. Nirto	3 Agra, 11	3814

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chuadessuree v. Gheenah Pandey	. 24 W. R., 152	5695
Chundi Churn Bhuttacharjea. In the matter of th petition of.	e I. L. R., 10 Cale., 207	1308
v. Hem Chunder Bancrie	ea I. L. R., 10 Calc., 207	1308
Chundi Churu Mookerjee v. Empress	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 849: 12 C. } L. R., 508.	3790
Chundo v. Alimooddeen	6 N. W., 28: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 305.	500, 370
Chundoo Vistee v. Sodagur Vistee	22 W. R., 457 9 W. R., 584	4055 2503
Chundra Bullee Debia v. Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain	W. R., 584 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 25, 141: 5 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's	3124
Chundra Kaminy Debea v. Rammuttun Pattuck .	(I. A., 214. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 302	482
Chundra Kumar Guha v. Muugul Mollah	. 11 C. L. R., 387	3044
Chundra Money Dossee v. Motilal Mullick	. 5 C. L. R., 496 · · ·	2559
Chundro Marwari v. Mohamed Eshak	. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3609
Chundro Monee Debia v. Rash Behary Chowdhry .	. 21 W. R., 24 · · ·	683
Thundro Sheekhur Roy v. Nobin Sooudur Roy .	. 2 W. R., 197	2281
hundro Tara Debia v. Buksh Ali	. 11 W. R., 305	2351
hundun v. Talib Ali	. 2 N. W., 41	1245, 520
hundun Singh v. Nirto	. 3 Agra, 11	1680
Chundy Persad Doss v. Kasseenath Doss	W. R., 1864, 346 . I. L. R., 8 Cale., 967: 11 C. } L. R., 315.	5739 _. 78, 480
hunia v Ramdial	I. L. R., 1 Ali., 360	6080
huni Kuar v. Udai Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 73	4144
hunilal Maniklalbhai v. Mahipatrav valad Khundu	. 5 Born., A. C., 33	2813
hunilal Panalal v. Bomanji Mancherji	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 310	4792
huni Pandey v. Birjo Pandey	. 13 C. L. R., 49	2842
huni Singh v. Hera Mahto	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 633: 9 C. L. } R., 37.	1189, 168
hunni v. Thakur Das	. I. L. R., 1 All., 126	3892
hunni Lal v. Chamman Lal	. I. L. R., 7 All., 159	199
v. Debi Prasad	. I. L. R., 3 All., 356	5443
huramun Singh v. Anoop Singh	. 11 C. L. R., 533	2881
hurch v. Ramtanu Shaha ,	9 B. L. R., 105, note: 11 W. R., 547.	1591
hurn Maujee v. Ishan Chunder Dhur	. 9 C. L. R., 474	5084
hurn Singh v. Rungoo Singh	. 15 W. R., 221 · · ·	3818
hutka Panda v. Goburdhone Dass	6 C. L. R., 85	5441 3020
hutoor Bhooj Tewaree v. Villaet Ali Khan	. W. R., 1864, 223 · · ·	4493
hutraput Singh. In the matter of hutterbluj Bharti v. Janki Prosad Singh	. 5 C. L. R., 200	2046, 410
-	(I. L. R., 3 Calc., 318:1 C. L.)	
hutterdharee Lall v. Rambelashee Koer	R., 347.	5977
hutterdharee Misser v. Nursingh Dutt Sookool	{ 3 Agra, 371: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 163.	4754, 58
hutter Dharee Singh v. Hurcoomaree	. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 99	4154
v. Jutta Singh	. 4 W. R., 76	3040
hutter Lall Singh v. Government	. 9 W. R., 97	1343,44
	1	5677
huttoonath Singh v. Sooboon Singh	. Marsh., 21: 1 Hay, 56	1041
huttoorbhooj Bharthee v. Macaughten	. 15 W. R., Cr., 2	1048 2487
hutturdharee Singh v. Hurcoomaree	. 1 Hay, 107	4188
Chuttur Dharee Singh v. Sureer Hossein	. 1 W. R., 28	4548
huttur Mull v. Chuttur Kishore Lall	. 3 Agra, 396	40.80

Name of Case,		Volume and Page,	Column - of Digest.
Chuttur Singh v. Dhurrum Koonwur	. {	1 N. W., Part II, p. 1: Ed. 7 1873, 61.	5462
Chuturya Run Murdun Syn v. Purluhad Syn	. {	4 W. R., P. C., 132 : 7 Moore's 7	2321
Chyet Narain Singh v. Bunwaree Singh		I. A., 18. 23 W. R., 395	2295, 235
Chyet Ram v. Ramchunder Dritt		Bourke, O. C., 101	2455, 418
Chytun Chunder Roy, In the matter of]	20 W. R., 12	2647 4502
Chytun Churn Doss v. Collector of Sylhet	•	20 W. R., 12 21 W. R., 75	4502
		8 W. R., 258	6195 2287, 416
Chytunno Chunder Roy v. Kedar Nath Roy Chytun Singh v. Kayessur Koonwu	•	14 W. R., 99	527
Chytun Singh v. Kayessur Koonwur Civa Rau Nauaji v. Jevana Rau Clark v. Baana		5 W. R., 117 2 Mad., 31	6152 1674
Julia Di Deunio		5 W. R., Cr., 53	1720
v. Doorgamoney Dossee		2 Moore's I. A., 263 . {	138, 1576 5581
v. Rooplall Mullick		2 Moore's I. A., 263 . {	138, 1576 5581
v. Ruthnavaloo Chetti	-	2 Mad., 296.	5607 561
Clarke v. Bindabun Chunder Sircar	{	Marsh., 75: 1 Hay, 137: W. R., F. B., 20: 1 Ind. Jur.,	1765, 4458
Clarton v. Shaw	1 1	0 8 97	
Cochrane. In the motter of the motter of		9 B. L. R., 245: 16 W. R., 414 14 B. L. R., 380: 23 W. R., 310	1830 5941
v. Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co.	. 1	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 337	2629
v. Hurro Soondery Debia		7 W. R., 79 . 4 W. R., P. C., 103 : 6 Moore's ?	5116
v. Owen Cockburn. In re Cockeroll v. Dickens Coggan v. Pogose Johen v. Auction & Co. v. Bauk of Bengal	(I. A., 494.	3417
Cockburn. In re		2 Hyde, 150 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 326	2663
Jockerell v. Dickens	: 13	2 Moore's I. A., 353	2656 2668, 4858
Johen v. Auction & Co.		2 Moore's I. A., 353 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 158	4804
v. Bank of Bengal		1 f1vde, 130	2586 1822
v. Cassim Nana	{	I. L. R., 2 All., 598 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 264: 25 W.	1368
v. McCarthy .	(R., 273. 14 W. R., 231	
v. McCarthy v. collector of Agra v. Buljecta	. 1	14 W, R., 441	422, 5686 422
ollector of Agra v. Buljecta	5 3	3 Agra, 368 : Agra, F. B, Ed. 7 1874, 161.	5728
ollector of Ahmedabad v. Balabhai Kevaldas	. 1	I. L. R. 4 Bom 505	614
ollector of Allahabad v. Suraj Baksh	5	9 Bom 205	607,5088
ollector of Bareilly v. Ghusee Ram v. Nuraen Day ollector of Beerbhoom c. Marah		0 N. W., 333 1 Aσra, 260	1683 4457
ollector of Beerbhoom v. Mundakinee Debia		8 N. W., 333 1 Agra, 260	81, 2141
v. Mundakinee Debia v. Mundakinee Debee v. Rajcoomaree Dassia v. Sreehurry Chuckerbutty		W. R., 1864, 332	2840
v. Rajcoomaree Dassia	. 2	2 W. R., Mis., 17.	2840 3132
ollector of Benares v. Shee Prasad	. 2	2 W. R., 512	3490
ollector of Bijnor v. Jafar Ali Khan v. Munuvar	: 11	2 W. R., 512 L. L. R., 5 All., 487 L. L. R., 3 All., 18	2091 237
olloctor of D	. Î	. L. R., 3 All., 20	909
ollector of Bograh v. Dwarkanath Biswas .	§ 4	L. R., 3 All., 18 L. R., 3 All., 20 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 13 W. R., 194.	2977
v. Krishna Indra Roy .	5 2	P. F. D. A. C. 901 11 W. S.	
	1	R., 191.	4935, 4955

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Bograh v. Shama Sunkur Mojoomdar . Collector of Broach v. Desai Raghu Nath . v. Rajaran Laldas Collector of Cawoproe v. Kedari Collector of Chittagong v. Kala Bibi . Collector of Colaba v. Gauesh Mareshvar Mehendale Collector of Daca v. Kalee Churn Poddar . v. Kishen Kishore Chatterjee . v. Kumala Kant Mockerjee Collector of East Burdwar v. Indad Ali . Collector of Fureedpore. In the matter of the petition of v. Goroodoss Roy . v. Kalee Dass Hazarah Collector of Futtethoore v. Yad Ali	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 546 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 542 I. L. R., 4 All., 19 15 B. L. R., 343: 24 W. R., 149 10 Bon., 216 21 W. R., 446 W. R., 1864, 273 2 W. R., 38 W. R., 1864, 358	3819 606, 3197 606, 3197 5687, 5743 495 610, 2968 44, 5197 183, 1203 1766 219 5252 1737, 4455 4535
Collector of Godavari v. Isuf Kasim Nana Collector of Godavery v. Addanki Ramanwa Pantulu Collector of Hooghly v. Raj Kristo Mookerjee v. Taraknath Mukhopadhya Collector of Jessore v. Beckwith	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 42 L. R., 13 I. A., 147 22 W. R., 234 7 B. L. R., 449: 16 W. R., 3 63. 5 W. R., 175	5530 3422 2948
Collector of Jessore v. Becavita Collector of Kietna v. Kalavaguuta Chinnauragu Collector of Madras v. Ramannja Chariyar Collector of Madura v. Muttu Vijaya Ragunada Muttu Ramalinga Schupati.	5 Bom , A. C., 23 5 Mad., 360	2061 2101 5629 2120
	I. A., 397 : 10 W. R., P. C., } 17. 9 Moore's I. A., 446 1 W. R., 116 2 W. R., P. C., 59 : 8 Moore's } 1. A., 500.	2120, 2412 4693 1672, 2246 5103 1672
Collector of Midnapore v. Ramdhoue Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 61: 8 Moore's I. A., 529. Marsh., 65: 1 Hay, 133 25 W. R., 183 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 425: 5 C. L. R., 112. 25 W. R., 136	2048, 2509 4154, 4693 5270 2945 5049, 5392 1592, 4925
Collector of Moorshedabad v. Anund Nath Roy v. Dhunput Singh Baha- door. r. Rammohinee Dossee v. Shibessuree Dabee	W. R., F. B., 112	2146, 2266 64 1200 2146, 2238 4343, 5425
Collector of Moradabad v. Muhammad Daim Khan Collector of Nasik v. Shamji Dasrath Patil . Collector of Noakally v. Paxwell Collector of North Arcot v. Ayya Kvishnama Chariyar . Collector of Poona v. Bhavanrav Ealkrishna v. Kashinath Khasgiwala Collector of Pubna v. Romanath Tagora	I. L. R., 2 All., 196 I. L. R., 7 Bonn, 209 20 W. R., 78 7 Mad., 37 10 Bonn, 192 I. L. R., 10 Bonn, 585 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 630 : 7 W.	4578 517 4578 5255, 6004 2954
Collector of Rubin & Robinstandin Tigore v. Survo Moyee Collector of Rajshahye v. Doorga Soondery Debia v. Hur Soondery Debia	R. 191. 17 W. R., 163 2 W. R., 210 W. R., 1864, Act X, 6	34 1748 5381

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Collector of Rajshahye v. Shama Soondree Debea .	14 B. L. R., 219: 22 W. R.,	40
,, -	324.	212, 4343
Collector of Ratnagiri v. Janardan Kamat	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 590 8 Bom., A. C., 1	1159, 2064
v. v yankatrav ivarayan surve	B. L. R. Sun Vol. 655: 27	1100, 2004
Collector of Rungpore. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 655: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 178: 7 W. R., 273.	516
v. Prosunno Coomar Tagore	5 W. R., 115	3444, 4173
v. Ramjadub Sein	W. R., 1864, 243	1994, 4457
Collector of Salem. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 233	5884
Collector of Sea Customs v. Punniar Chithambaram	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 89	2784, 2876
Collector of Shahabad v. Rambudduu Singh	10 W. R., 400	3876
Collector of Shahjahanpur v. Surjan Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 72	3538
Collector of South Arcot v. Thatha Charry	8 Mad., 40	3446, 3469 4564
Jollector of Surat v. Daji Jogi	8 Bom., A. C., 166	2109, 2115
v. Dhirshingji Vaghbaji . v. Heiress of Kuvarbia	10 Bom., 235	1562
	7 B. L. R., 663:16 W. R., ?	-
Collector of Sylhet v. Kali Kumar Dutt	F. B., 10.	168, 5831
Collector of Tanjore v. Ramasamier	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 342	5833, 5849
Collector of Thana v. Bal Patel	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 110	610, 4333
v. Bhaskar Mahadev Sheth		2051, 2103
. Duaszar manadev snetn	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 264 . {	5954
v. Dadabhai Bomanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 352 . {	611, 622 1224
v. Gana Ramji Patil	7 Bom., A. C., 132	4441
	(2065, 2255
v. Hari Sitaram	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 546 . {	3411
v. Krishna Nath Govind .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 322	3410
Collector of Tipperah v. Doorga Persad Paray	W. R., 1864, 302	44 5744
v. Mafizunnissa Bibi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 46	
v. Mafzunnissa Bibi	5 B. L. R., 341, note : 14 W. }	5139
Collector of Tirhoot. In the matter of	14 W. R., 390	1195
v. Hurropershad Mohunt .	7 W. R., 500	2120, 2141
v. Rajcoomar Deo Nundun Singh	10 W. R., 218	86
follector of Trichinopoly v. Lekamani	14 B. L. R., 115 : 21 W. R.,	2442, 3578
	358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282 J	6071 2354
Collector of 24-Pergunnahs v. Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 222 (W. R., F. B., 17:1 Ind. Jur.,)	
v. Joynarain Bose .	O. S., 101.	1360, 5347
v,	W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Hay, 122.	6044
v. Nobin Chunder Ghose	3 W. R., 27	2947
v. Wilkinson	12 W. R., 444	1204
Collie v. Cochrane	1 B. L. R., O. C., 131	2636
collins. In the matter of the goods of	Bourke, O. C., 104	6217
v. Dewan Singh v. Maula Bakhsh	2 N. W., 465	5827
Collis v. Manchar Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 284	3517 239
v. Tarinee Churn Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 745 3 W. R., 210	3147
ollision between the "Ava" and the "Brenhilda." In		1779, 1843
the matter of.	L. R., 331.	3813
Colonas v. Bulajan	Marsh., 211: 1 Hay, 587	1913
	2 B. L. R., A. C., 212 : 11 W. 7	293, 902
Colvin, Cowie, and Co., v. Elias	R., 40.	1405, 4410
Jomalammal v. Rungaswamy Iyengar	4 Mad., 56	755. 758
	* man, 00 · · · 1	774 .

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		Digest.
Westpress and the second secon		-
Commercial Bank v. Modooscodun Chowdhry	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	1065
Commissioner of the Soonderbunds v. Chunder Coomar Ghose.	3 W. R., 175	4290
Commundum Mohideen Saib v. Oree Meerah Saib	7 Mad., 271	4683
Companies Act (XIX of 1857). In the matter of (X of 1866). In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146
(X of 1866). In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	945 943, 951
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 350	951, 2669
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352	2069
	2 Iud. Jur., N. S., 17	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	943 945
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 888	944 924
Roma-)	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 317	936
nath Gossain's case.	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296 3 B. L. R., Ap., 153 : 12 W. ?	
Comptoir D'Escompte de Paris v. Currie & Co. In re {	R., 413.	5295
Cound Lochun Roy v. Moran	2 W. R., Act X, 82 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 42 : Ed. ?	546
Constantine v. Drew	1873, 100.	3314
Cook v. Jadub Chandra Nandi	2 B. L. R., O. C., 48	5016 1935
Cooke v. Hiseeba Beebee	6 N. W., 181	2417
Coomaree Dabee v. Mahtab Chund	W. R., 1861, 380	3821
Coomari Rodeshwar v. Manroep Koer	L. R., 13 L. A., 20	1199, 1505
Coomar Poresh Narain Roy v. Watson & Co	3 C. L. R., 543	1592
Coombe c. Caw	257.	2647
Coonamull v. Surno Raur	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 187	5277
Coorlawalla. In re. Cooverji Ludha v. Bhimji Girdhar	9 Bom., 1	. 644
Corash v. Gooroo Churn Ghose	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 528	769
Coringa Oil Company v. Keogler	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 466	1113
Cornell v. Oody Tara Chowdhrain	8 W. R., 372	1382, 5366
Corporation of Calcutta v. Bheecunram Napit alias) Bheecun Napit.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 290	6025
Corporation of the Town of Calcutta v. Anderson	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 445	3154, 4048
v. Matoo Bewah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 108	652
Cosserat v. Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo	3 W. R., 210 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 477 : 5 C. L.	2391
Cossim Hossein Soortn v. Lee Phee Chuan }	R., 157.	597
Costello. In the matter of	8 B. L. R., Ap., 57	2676 923
Coultroup v. Smith	9 W. R., 539	3541
Courjon v. Courjon	9 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4518, 6020
Court of Wards. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 222	223
v. Bunwaree Lall Thakoor v. Burra Lall Opendronath Dec .	15 W. R., 102	1787. 3414 4464
	10 B. L. R., 294 : 17 W. R.,)	2517, 5403
v. Coomar Ramaput Singh	459: 14 Moore's I. A., 605.)	
Court of Wards v. Darmalings	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 2	3590 2354
v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry v. Fouzdar Roy	21 W. R., 222	582
210)		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Court of Wards v. Gaya Prasad	I. L. R., 2 All., 107	293, 5413 5472
v. Kupulmun Singh {	10 B. L. R., 364: 19 W. R.,	2338, 3564
Toolanned Singh	14 W. R., 298	3091
v.	25 W. R., 157	4912
v. Lilanund Singh	4 B. L. R., Ap., 30 : 13 W. R., 348.	4400
v. Mitterject Singh	23 W. R., 348	1252
v. Mohessur Roy	16 W. R., 76	2494 4319
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 353: 12 W. \	4853, 6150
v. Nitta Kali Debee	R., 287.	
v. Radha Proshad Singh	22 W. R., 238	44 2226
v. Raj Kumar Deo Nandan Sing	9 B. L. R., 310, note	5750
v. Rughoobur Dyal	7 W. R., 5	2338, 3565
on behalf of the Raja of Darbangha.	1 .	3566 267
Coverji Luddha v. Morarji Punja	TT P 0 Pom 100	190, 646
	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 183 . {	5223 899
Cowar Rajkumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 175 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 468: 26 W.)	
Cowasjee Nanabhoy v. Lalbhoy Vullubhoy {	R., 78 : L. R., 3 I. A., 200. i	4331
Cowasji Beramji Lilaoovala. In re	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 15 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 1	3561 2672
Cowell v. Ishen Chunder Sen	18 W. R., 16	781, 6236
v. Mohadeb Mundul	18 W. R., 16 17 W. R., 182	5127, 531
Cowie. In the matter of the petition of {	f. L. R., 6 Calc., 70 : 7 C. L. R., 19.	467, 2670 6059
a Dhaumeaa Pasaishhau	2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 75	4607
v. Elias	10 W. R., 43	855 1068
v. Remfry	3 Moore's I. A., 448 9 Moore's I. A., 39	2150
Crawford v. Spooner	4 Moore's I. A., 179	5642
Crawley v. Luchmee Ram	1 Agra, 129	2798 303, 4610
Criniva Sammal v. Vijayammal	1 Agra, 63	2215
Crosthwaite v. Hamilton	I. L. R., 1 All., 87	4612, 5710
Crowder v. Bhekdhari Singh	8 B. L. R., Ap., 45: 16 W. R.,	1162
Crowdie v. Kullar Chowdhry	21 W. R., 307	1776
Crowdy v. Goburdhun Roy	1 22 W. R., 491	3809
v. Inder Roy v. Jhukree Dhanook	18 W. R., 408 23 W. R., 387	2608 3044
v. Omrao Singh	1 22 W. R. 476	3804
v. Poorun Singh	22 W. R., 480	3806
v. Sree Misser	23 W. R., 383	4194 4930
Cruise. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 53	4444
Crump v. Crump	3 B. L. R., O. C., 101	1554
Culliandoss Kirparam v. Cleveland Cumming v. Green	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 15 4 B. L. R., Ap., 75	4560 4521
Curness v. Sheochurn Sahoo	W. R., 1864	184, 30
Curreemunnissa Begum v. Ahmed Haleemoozooman .	10 C. L. R., 293	3704

Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Currie v. Mutu Ramen Chetty Cursetji Rustomji Setna v. Williams Cutenho v. Souza Cutts v. Brown		£	3 B. L. R., A. C., 126: 11 W. R., 520 I. L. R., 5 Eom., 313 1 Mad., 15 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 328: 7 C. L. R., 171.	304, 477; 5801 599 3028 1814, 579; 2755
D				
_			•	
Dabee v. Nukeesunnissa Dabee Bhuggut v. Beechun Raoot Dabee Churn v. Jankee Pershad Dabee Deen v. Doorga Pershad	: :	:	W. R., 1864, Act X, 116 W. R., 1864, Act X, 111 3 Agra, 141	3129, 3186 1583 4611
Dabee Doss Neogee Chowdhry v. Gobind Dabee Dut v. Engit Ali	Mohun Ghos	.:	3 N. W., 49 10 W. R., 213 2 N. W., 395	31 1647 1344, 1786
Dabee Dutt Misser v. Alikoonnissa Dabee Golam Singh v. Chunder Kant Mo Dabee Lal v. Goolzar Rai		:	W. R., F. B., 77 . 3 W. R., 109 2 N. W., 394	4213 530 1766
Dabee Matho v. Ram Mohun Mockhopadl Dabee Misser v. Mungur Meah Dabee Munnee Chowd by in a France Of			10 C. L. R., 433 2 C. L. R., 208	$\frac{1972}{1683}$
Dabee Pershad v. Bisheshur Pershad Sing v. Joy Lall Chowdhry v. Man Khan	tunder Snana th		W. R., 1864, 293 6 N. W., 289 12 W. R., 361	5355 4540 2065
Dabee Pershad Chatterjee v. Ram Coomai	Ghosal	:	2 N. W., 444 10 W. R., 443 13 W. R., 312	4008 1743, 1768 1867
Dabee Rawoot v. Heramun Muhatoon Dabee Singh v. Punchnin Singh Dabee Suhai v. Sheo Dass Rai Dabi Din Rai v. Muhammad Ali			8 W. R., 223 2 Agra, Part II, 203	3981 1588 4174
Dabiee Sahoo v. Tumeezooddoon		:	1 Agra, 285 I. L. R., 3 All., 850 25 W. R., 259	1486 3980
Daboo Jha v. Luwa Jha Dabu. In the matter of	; ; ;		10 W. R., 102 11 W. R., 223 6 C. L. R., 47	4192 4412 1808
v. Hall v. Kalee Pershad Singh		:	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 432 4 B. L. R., A. C., 50 5 W. R., Mis., 25	5940 4743 5940
adabhai Hussanii a Kurarbai			12 W. R., 260 1 Bom., 16 10 Bom., 386	449 4621 5715
adabhai Naoroji & Co. v. Sorabji Cowas adabhai Narsi v. Salleman Dassi		:	3 Bom., O. C., 55 5 Bom., A. C., 127	$\frac{4519}{2046}$
adabhai Narsidas v. Sub Collector of Bro adabhai Nasarvanji v. Manikji Shapurji	ach . Kaka		7 Bom., A. C., 82 . { 7 Bom., O. C., 22	609, 414 5811 2672
ada Honaji v. Babaji Jagushet adaji Bhikaji v. Rukhmabai		- 1	2 Bom., 38: 2nd Ed., 36 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 529	1575, 1817 5097
ada valad Valli v. Bavasha valad Kasam		.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 301 6 Bom., A. C., 9 2 Hyde, 267	5097 288, 4413 3104
adobai Jahangirji v. Ramiji bin Bhau adoba v. Krishna adoo Manja In the goods of	: :		11 Bom., 162 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 34	1585, 205, 2991, 342
ado Ravji v. Dinanath Ravji adu valad Ansar v. Balgouda bin Shanka		.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 59 2 Bom., 77: 2nd Ed., 72 5 Bom., A. C., 39	2315, 252 4967 4949

Nau · of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dagai Dabee v. Mothura Nath Chattopadhya . {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 854: 12 C. L. R., 530.	2261
Dagdu bin Daud Teli Pardeshi v. Shek Saheb valad } Badruddin Kamble.	2 Bom., 369: 2nd Ed., 348 .	2084, 501
Dagdusa r. Shamad	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 542	3300
Dagdusa Tilak Chand v. Bhukan Govind Shet	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 82	356, 595
Dahoo Munder v. Gopee Nund Jha	2 W. R., 79 I. L. R., 1 All., 117	5024 3215
t	I. L. R., 1 All., 425	3214
Daim v. Asooha Bebee	2 N. W., 360	3632, 371
Daimoddee Paik v. Kaim Taridar	I. L. R , 5 Calc., 300: 4 C. L.	1816
Daitari Mohanti v. Jugo Bundhoo Mohanti	R., 419.	1740, 176
"	23 W. R., 293	4192
Dajiba Anandrav v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	6 Bom., A. C., 235	1383
Dakhina Dabea v. Romesh Chunder Dutt	1 W. R., 142	549
Dakkal Ram v. Wazir Ali	I. I. R., 1 All., 418	5200 2285
Dal Chund v. Soonder v. Seeta Koenwar	2 Agra, 173	5109
Daleen Singh v. Seith Roshum Lall	1 Agra, 69	1375
Dalgleish v. Jeebun Mahto alias Jhaw Mahto	25 W R., 230	2792, 525
Jalip v. Ganpat	I. L. R., 8 All., 387	2321 4784, 481
Dalip Singh v. Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 1 All., 442	5248
Daloo Ram v. Shiva Pershad	19 W. R., 188	2641
Dalpatbhai Bhagubhai c. Amarsang Khemabhai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 553	204, 74
Dalpat Narotam v. Bhagvan Khushal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 301	2496
Dalpat Singh v. Nanabhai	2 Bom., 323: 2nd Ed., 306 .	2276, 490 2990
Dalsang Bhavsaug v. Collector of Kaira	3 B. L. R., Ap., 54, note I. L. R., 4 Bom., 367	5635
Dalsukhram Mahasukhram v. Lallubhai Moti Chand .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 282	2260, 243
Dalvi. Ex parte v. Lakshuman Hari Patil	3 Bom., O. C., 125	935
Damodar Das v. Gokal Chand	4 Bom., A. C., 86	3507 4411
e. Wilayet Husain	I L. R., 7 All., 79 I. L. R., 7 All., 763	3751
Damodardas Haridas v. Uttam Chand Savia Chand .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 214	786
Damodardas Maniklal v. Utamaram Maniklal	10 Bom., 414	4402
Damodar Develand v. Naro Mahadev	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 11 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 367 : 25 W.	1463
Damodar Gordhan v. Devram Kanji	R., 261: L. R., 3 I. A., 102.	694
v. Ganesh Deoram	10 Bom., 37	693
Damodar Gungadhur v. Vamanrav Lakshman Damodar Madhowji v. Purmanandas Jeewandas	I. L R., 9 Bom., 435	- 4024,586 2511,441
Damodar Parsotam v. Ishvar Jetha	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 155 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 89	1898
Damodar Shaligram v. Malhari	I. L. B., 7 Bom., 106	432
Damodhar Bapuji Pachapurkar v. Zinga kom Kaudlika.	7 Bom., A. C., 31	663
Demodhar Lakhmidas v. Gulabdas Lalbhai	9 Bom., 254	3474
Dámodhar Timáji Gosári v. Trimbak Sakhárám	8 Bom., O. C., 1	698, 426 238
Damodhar Tuljaram v. Lallu Khnsaldas	8 Bom., A. C., 177	1363
Damodhar Vithal Khare v. Damodhar Hari Soman	1 Bom., 182	2379
Damodhur Doss v. Bhelanath	2 N. W., 413	1926
Damodur Biddyadhur Mohapatro v. Syamanund Dey	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 385 : 8 C. L. R., 514.	4487
Damoodur Bhooshun v. Rugho Nath Panja	12 W. R., 242	780
Damoodur Doss. In the matter of	Bourke, Test. 6	3084

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Damoodur Doss v. Choonee Bibee		Cor., 120, 123; 2 Hyde, 216 23 W. R., 325 Marsh, 161 L. L. R., 8 Calc., 537; 10 C. L. R., 491. 13 C. L. R., 96 7 B. L. R., 96, 1: 15 W. R., 365 305. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 178: 11	752 296 5262 2459, 2466 2468, 4810 1710 5334
Damonolla Sirkar v. Mamudi Nashio Danaoollah v. Amanutoollah Danappa bin Subrav. In the matter of Dandekar v. Dandekars Daniel v. Mohun Bibee	٠	W. R., 556. 16 W. R., 147 1 Bonn, 134 1. L. R., 6 Bonn, 663 1 Agra, 104	5189 . 3064 2073 383, 385 389 1366
Danmull v. British India Steam Navigation Compan Danno v. Darbo Dantuluri Narayana Gajapati Razu Garu v. Surap Raza. Dantuluri Rayapparaz v. Malapudi Rayudu		I. L. R., 12 Cale., 477 I. L. R., 4 All., 243	3273, 3343 2305 184, 5771 2264
Darbaree Sayal v. Fatu Dhalee Darbha Venkamma v. Rauna Subbarayadu Darbba Venkatta Sastri v. Vurella Gangaia Darbo v. Kesho Rai Dariao Bishoou v. Dowlnta Darimba Debia v. Nilmonee Singh Deo	:	23 W. R., 285 1. L. R., 1 Mad., 387 2 Mad., 305 1. L. R., 2 All., 356 5 N. W., 9 13 W. R., 266 15 W. R., 180	1449 844,1705 1911 4875 3048 4934 5318,5789
Davimba Debya v. Nilmoney Singh Deo Davimbo Debee v. Hurreehur Mookerjee Davimbo Debee v. Hurreehur Mookerjee Davina Ayyan v. Rajapa Ayyan Darragh & Co. v. Punshotam Deveji Darshan Singh v. Hamwanta Darsun Lall v. Junuh Lall Dursu Pandey v. Bikarmajit Lal Dasaradhi v. Joddumoni		5 C. L. R., 465 18 W. R., 53 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 181 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 372 I. L. R., 1 All., 274 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522 I. L. R., 3 All., 125 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 193	4 5786 5701 2811 4767 1311 2160 2405
Dasi v. Lalanmani		2 B. L. R., P. C., 64: 11 W. R., P. C., 27. 9 B. L. R., 192, note 3 B. L. R., Ap., 11 2 Hyde, 65.	340 497 6276 3785, 6203
Dassee v. Pitambur Panda Dassorathy Huri Chunder Mahapattra v. Rama Krisl Jana.	ına {	24 W. R., 129 } I. L. R., 9 Calc., 526 : 13 C. L. } R., 114.	5329, 5338, 5339 3030, 4410
Data Horrukman Pyne v. Oodoy Chand Pyne Dataram v. Ram Kristo Dataram Nath v. Eshan Chunder Law Datti Parisi Nayudu v. Datti Bangaru Nayudu Dattu v. Kasai Daud v. Nathu		6 W. R., 247 9 W. R., 594 11 W. R., 116 4 Mad., 204 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 535 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 112	778 5002 36 2320 3116 3331
Daud Ali v. Nadir Hossein Daudsha v. Ismalsha Daulatia. In the matter of Daur Sinha v. Rughnundun Sinha Davani Ammal v. Ratna Chetti David. In the matter of		R., 388. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 72 I. L. R., 3 All., 305 3 N. W., 101 I. L. R., 6 Mad, 417 5 C. L. R., 574	673 3698 2756, 5550 5677 3380 6250

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
David. In the matter of	•	6 C. L. R., 245 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 183:11 C. L. R., 305. 6 W. B., Act X, 97 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 30	4748 1992, 5012 5667 1660, 3018 5717
Davies v. Debee Mahtoon . Davis v. Abdool Hamed . v. Koylash Chunder Ghose . v. Middleton .	:	18 W. R., 376 '8 W. R., 55 13 C. L. R., 333 8 W. R., 282	2039 2991, 3112 4218 429, 4237
Davlata v. Ganesh Shastri Davlata bin Bhujanga v. Beru bin Yadoji Davlatram Shriram v. Bulakidas Khemchand Davlatsing v. Pandu	:	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 295 4 Bom., A. C., 197 6 Bom., O. C., 24 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 176	848, 4145 3041 2578 851, 1093
Dayd Ali v. Nadir Hossein Day & Co. v. Justices for the Town of Calcutta Dayabhai Dipchand v. Dullabhram Dayaram v. Maniklal Vrijbhukan	:	16 W. R., 153 Bourke, O. C., 232 8 Bom., A. C., 133 8 Bom., A. C., 123	1224, 5829 650 5640 5640
Dayabhai Tribhovandas v. Lakhmichand Pana Chand Dayachand Nemchand v. Hemchand Dharam Chand		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 358	1070, 4418 1229, 1248 1904, 6074 579, 584
Dayal Chand Sahoy v. Nabin Chandra Adhikari . Dayal Jairaj v. Jivraj Ratansi		8 B. L. R., 180: 16 W. R., 235	882, 274; 4270, 489; 1528, 6136
v. Khatav Ladha		12 Bom., 97 {	1679, 322 4327
Dayanand v. Bakhtawar Singh	:	I. L. R., 5 All., 393	189, 609: 3724 5104
De Angelis & Co. v. Mayappa Setty	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 578 : 5 C. L. R., 57.	1871
Deans v. Richardson Deanuth Biswas v. Issur Gine. Ex-parte Hur Chund	er }	3 N. W., 54	3890 897
Gine. Deanut Mundul v. Bussunt Moyce Dassia Deanutoollah v. Sidhee Nazir Ali Khan De Assis v. Dos Angos	٠	12 W. R., 190	5695 1875 1197
Debarsee v. Jouguet	:	6 N. W., 130	3794 2845 578
Debee Doss v. Lullit Mohun Shah Chowdhry Debee Narain Singh v. Sree Kishen Sein Debee Pershad v. Gujadhur Ram v. Umuuth Nath Chowdhry	•	23 W. R., 195 1 W. R., 321 20 W. R., 73 8 W. R., 275	1490 2038 · 855 282
Debeepershad Sahoo v. Gujadhurpershad Narain Sing Debee Pershad Singh v. Delawar Ali Debendra Kumar Mandel v. Rup Lall Dass	h .	25 W. R., 374 12 W. R., 86 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 546	1164 5734 436, 63
Debendra Nath Shaw v. Aubhoy Churn Bagchi .	{	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 905: 12 C. L. R., 525.	172, 37
Debendro Narain Roy v. Coomar Chundernath Roy Debendro Nath Mullick v. Odik Churn Mullick Debi v. Madan Mohan Singh Debia v. Brij Lal Debia Chowdhrain v. Bimola Soonduree Debia Debi Charan v. Firbhu Din Ram		20 W. R., 30 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 390 2 B. L. R., A. C., 326 3 N. W., 50 21 W. R., 422	2518 1357 4214 5187 1680 1482
Debi Churn Biswas. In the matter of the petition o	f:	1. L. R., 4 All., 388 20 W. R., Cr., 40	5150, 515

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of ' Digest.
Debi Churn Boido v. Issur Chunder Manjee	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 39: 11 C.	4177
Deti Das v. Lachman Singh		5673
n. Nur Ahmad	7 N. W., 174	3263, 3274
Debi Dial Singh v. Ajaib Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 543	4874
Debi Dutt Sahoo v. Subodra Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 283: 25 W. R., 449.	89
Debi Parshad v. Thakur Dial		2330
Debipershad v. Phul Koeri alias Ghina Koori	12 W. R., 510	2446
Debi Prasad a Hur Daval	I. L. R., 7 All., 691	5204
v. Jafar Ali v. Rupu	I. L. R., 7 All., 691 I. L. R., 3 All., 40	3412,5084
Debi Rai v. Gokal Prasad . Debi Saran Lal v. Debi Saran Uradhia	I. L. R., 6 All., 253	5861
Debi Saran Lal v. Debi Saran Upadhia	I. L. R., 3 All., 585	844, 1704
Debi Singh v. Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 378 I. L. R., 1 All., 709	4906 4441
v. Hanuman Upadhya	I. L. R., 3 All., 747	5677
Deb Kumari Bibee v. Ram Lall Mookerjee {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 107: 12 W.?	
Deb Kumari Bibee v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	R., 66.	3777, 5374
Deb Narain Bose v. Leisk .	20 W. R., 39	1949
Deb Narain Singh v. Ishan Chunder Malo .	2 Hyde, 267 13 C. L. R., 153	3104
v. Rajmonee Koonwar	3 W. P., 168	3148 173, 371
Debnarayan Deb v. Kalidas Mitter	6 B. L. R., Ap., 70: 14 W. R., 7	
	397.	1756, 3815
Deb Nath Ghose v. Pachoo Mollah	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 8	5694
Deb Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Gudadhur Dey .	18 W. R., 132	3337
Deboki Nundun Sen v. Hart	1 W. R., 2 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 294	4926 5423
Deb Pershad v. Monga Koonwar	4 W. R., Mis., 19	677
Deb Pooree Boistobee v. Kenoo Singh Roy	20 W. R., 357	3042
Debraj Roy v. Kartick Chunder Sircar	20 W. R., 357 W. R., 1864, 153	370
Debranee Dossee v. Brojunggona Dossee v. Shital Kareegur	W. R. F. B., 94 · .	1609
De Bretton v. De Bretton	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10	539 1556
2. De Bretton	I. L. R., 4 All., 49 I. L. R., 4 All., 295	1551
De Courcy v. Meghnath Jha	15 W D 157	1613
Decree-suits in the Court of Munsif of Debrochur. In	AD T D OOF	6021
the matter of.	1	
Deedar Hossein v. Zuhooroonnissa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 140	1259
Jeegendur Narain Ghose v. Hur Kishore Dutt	2 Moore's I. A., 441 8 W. R., 88	3939, 4644 3513
Jeela Mahton. In the matter of	8 C. L. R. 70	6255
v. Sheo Dval Koeri	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 714	6255
reeta Singh v. Tootanee Singh	1 W. R., 307	2357
Deelun Chowdhry v. Jeetoo Kaijee Deen Doyal Lall v. Choa Singh	24 W. R., 353	4915
v. Het Narayan Sing	25 W. R., 189	2712
Deen doyal Poramanick v. Kylas Chunder Pal Chow-	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 41 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 92: 24 W. ?	2712
unry.	R., 106.	2499
Deen Dyal Agustee v. Watson	W. R., 1864, Act X, 113 .	1642
een Dyal Burmo Doss v. Poran Doss	9 W. R., 474	3252
eendyal Lal v . Jugdeep Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 198:1 C. L. R., 49: L. R., 4 I. A.,	2390, 2396
Peen Dyal Lall v. Thukroo Koonwar	247. 6 W. R., Act X, 24	7
eendyal Paramanick v. Juggeshur Roy	Marsh., 252 : 2 Hay, 21	5320
v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	9 W. R., 283	752

Name of Case.		. · Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Deendyal Paramanick v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry .		10 W. R., 345	5114, 5919 1635
v. Suttish Chunder Roy .	:	15 W. R., 272 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927: 97	
Deen Dyal Sahoc v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss .	i	W. R., 223.	211
Deen Dyal Singh v. Danee Roy		13 W. R., 185	2890, 623 3067
v. Heera Singh Deeno Moyee Dossee v. Doorga Pershad Mitter	11	2 N. W., 338 3 W. R., Mis., 6	676
v. Tarachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	5	3 W. R., Mis., 7, note : Bourke, ?	676
Deep Chand v. Gouree	1	A. O. C., 48.	5943
Deep Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh		6 W. R., P. C., 27	5370
Cepo Dabea v. Gobindo Deb	{	11 B. L. R., 131, note: 16 W. \	2218
•		R., 42. 5 16 W. R. 42	2501, 341
Deepo Debia v. Gobindo Deb		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 654 : 9 C. L.)	30, 314
Degamber Mozumdar v. Kally Nath Roy	1	R., 265.	3868
Degumber Dossee v. Kissen Dhar Nundy	٠	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 35	4902 978
Degumbery Dossee v. Anundnath Roy	:	8 W. R., Gr., 82	4469
Degumbur Chatterjee v. Ram Prea Dabea		Marsh., 517 : 2 Hay, 583 .	352
Degumburee Dabea v. Shamasoonduree Debea Degumburee Dabee v. Eshau Chunder Sein	•	W. R., 1864, Act X, 81 9 W. R., 230	536 1144
Degumbur Mitter v. Gobindo Chunder Haldar .	:	Marsh., 354: 2 Hay, 402	1630
Delauney v. Bibeejan		22 W. R., 494	4944
Delauney v. Kofilooddeen . Delawur Ali v. Dabee Pershad	٠	25 W. R., 35	3007 238, 492
Delhi and London Bank v. Hunter	:	3 N. W., 264	4615
v. Miller		7 B. L. R., Ap., 65	4422
v. Orchard	{	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 47 : L. R., 4 I. A., 127.	3132, 498 5876
v. Wordie	š	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 249 : 25 W. }	2830
	į	R., 272.	
Delhi Bank Corporation v. Greenway	÷	Bourke, O. C., 227 15 B. L. R., 167 : 23 W. R., ?	4613, 461 113, 124
Delroos Banoo Begum v. Ashgar Ally Khan .	{	453.	4233
Delrus Banoo Begum v. Abdoor Ruhman	٠	21 W. R., 368	164 2591
DeMello v. Broughton Dena Gazee v. Mohinee Mohun Doss	:	11 B. L. R., Ap., 6 21 W. R., 157	1643
Dena Nath Banerjee v. Hari Dasi	:	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 499	5773
Dengoo Shaikh v. Adam Sircar	•	17 W. R., Cr., 37	4097, 516 1629
Denobundhoo Bhadooree v. Prankishen Surma Chowdhry v. Kristomouee Dossee	:	20 W. R., 146	5012
Pal v. Shushi Mohun Pal Chowdhry		12 C. L. R., 60	5440
Sadhoo v. Khetternath Tewarry	٠	2 Hay, 20	4821 1758
Denobundhu Ghose v. Nistarini Dossee Deno Moyee Dossee v. Doorga Pershad Mitter	•	12 C. L. R., 50	2145
v. Tarrachurn Coondoo Chowdhry	, .	Bourke, O. C., 153	6275
Denonath Chuckerbutty v. Lallit Coomar Gango padhya.	. }	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 633 : 12 C. } L. R., 146.	0000
Denonath Gangooly v. Nursingh Proshad Doss .		14 B. L. R., 87 : 22 W. R., 90 {	3389, 343 4007
Denouath Ghattack v. Rajcoomar Singh		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573	6068
Denonath Mullick. In re	;	10 B. L. R., 80 and 82, note .	1574
Denonath Ruckit v. Mutty Lal Paul	{	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125: 1 Hyde, 158.	434, 18
Denonath Shaw v. Hurry Narain Shaw		12 B. L. R., 349	2348, 330
Denonauth Sreemany v. Hogg	٠	1 Hyde, 141	2823

		-		
	Name of Cuse.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	Deo Dat v. Ram Autar Deo Dat v. Ram Autar Deo Dat v. Ram Autar Deo Datry Singh v. Lalla Sewsarun Lal Deo Datry Singh v. Dowlut Ram Deojet v. Blugwami Deojit v. Pitambar Deo Karuu v. Mohamed Ali Shah Deokee v. Sookhdeo Deokee Nundun v. Oomrao Singh		18 W. R., 457 14 W. R., 238 1. L. R., 8 All., 502 3. C. L. R., 395 3. C. L. R., 189 6 N. W., 373 1. L. R., 1 All., 275 3. N. W., 328 2. N. W., 361 2. Agra, 248	765 3923 1463, 3990 4547 4973 572 1002, 5876 1115, 3886 4767 2004, 3128 2340, 2341 2524 2812
	Deork Nandan v. Dhan Singh Deokishen v. Bansi v. Budh Prakash v. Maheshar Shahai Deolie Chand v. Nirban Singh Deonarain Singh v. Nack Pershad Deo Nandan Agha v. Eshputty Singh Deopershad v. Lujoo Roy Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kairee Deopershad v. Lujoo Roy Deo Prosad Sing v. Pertab Kairee Deopattee Koonwar v. Dhamoo Lall Deorand Kor v. Partsman Narain Deo Sarau Singh v. Tulsi Kant Deo Sarau Singh v. Tulsi Kant		W. E., 1884, Mis., 34 S. W. R., 366 I. L. R., 8 All., 467 I. L. R., 8 All., 467 I. L. R., 8 All., 909 I. L. R., 5 All., 909 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 252; 4 C. L., R., 150. 2 N. W., 217 3 Agen, 16 S. C. L. R., 210, note 14 E. L. R., 245, note: 20) W. R., 126, 128 W. R., 128 I. R., 318, 11 W. R., 249 12 W. R., 234 12 C. L. R., 546 12 C. L. R., 221 24 W. R., 300	322 5024, 5063 3023 5035, 5793 237 242 3908 1744, 3821 477 1162, 3383 2306, 2496 3194 2080 4473, 4092 92 4504
I	DePenning v. Debendronath Moitro leputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom v. Rungo Lall { Deo.	- 1	9 W. R., 549 Marsh., 117: W. R., F. B., 34: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 34: }	3411,5761 394 2039
D	eputy Commissioner of Nowgong v. Nothiram	1	1 Hay, 200, 21 W. R., 435	
D	eputy Commissioner of Rae Bareli v. Rampal Singh		I. L. R., 11 Cale., 237; L.	2000
D D D	esai Himatsingji Joravarsingji v. Ehavabhai Kayabhai esai Kalyanrai Hakumatrai. Ex parte esai Kalyanraya Hukamatraya v. Government of Eombay. Eai Maneklal Amrat Lal v. Desai Shivlal Bhogi Lal esaji Lakhmaji v. I havauidas Narotandas		K., 12 I. A., I. J. L. R., 4 Bont., 613 . 4 Bont., A. C., 145 . 5 Bont., A. C., 1 . L. L. R., 8 Bont., 426 .	3901 614, 1121 5502 5830 2060 3297 184, 289
Di Di Di	salji Manaji v. Hemadalli Imam Haidarbaksha Saran v. Hutrisk Chunder Biswas saratdila v. Nazir Ali Khan shan Hossein v. Khodija Silva v. Ameer Shaha v. DeSilva (8 1 1	10 Bon., 308	5771 5683 5278, 6236 1875 780 5617 6203 4785

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
DeSilva v. Raj Cocmar Dutt v. Teheranee v. DeSouza v. Coles v. DeSouza v. DeSouza v. Pestanji Dhanjibhai v. Rangalan v. Secretary of State for India Desputty Singh. In the matter of the petition of v. Doolar Rey. In the matter of Desraj v. Dhuni Devaji qayaji v. Godabhai Godbhai Devaji Gayaji v. Godabhai Godebhai Devaja Gayaji v. Godabhai Godebhai Devapa Setti v. Ramanadha Ehatt Devarakonda Narasamma v. Devarakonda Kanaya Devasagayam Pitohamathoo v. Naiyagam Deva Singh v. Ram Manohar	16 W. R., 153 9 W. R., 374 3 Mad, 384 12 Bom, 184 1. L. R., 8 Bom, 408 6 Mad, 257 12 B. L. R., 423 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 208: 25 W. } R., 489 1. C. L. R., 291 7 N. W., 9 1. L. R., 2 Mad, 173 2 B. L. R., P. C., 85: 11 W. } R., P. C., 35. 2 Bom, 28: 2nd Ed., 27 3 Mad, 100 1. L. R., 4 Mad, 134 1. Mad, 452 7 Mad, 284 1. L. P. AM 744	1621, 5109 3834 2809, 3093 1944 316, 3638 4072 1944 4669 3146 2881 5847 1785, 1848 4461, 4653 1506 758 1204, 5021 4227 1421
Devgir Guru Sumbhagir. Ex parte	I. L. R., 2 All., 746 4 Bom., A. C., 91	2181 4338 3459, 4677
Devidas Jagjivan v. Pirjada Begam Devi Dutt. In the matter of Devkabai v. Jefferson Devrav Krishna v. Halambhai Devsi Ghela v. Jivaraj Mukundas Devu v. Deyi Dewan Ali v. Munsoor Ali	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 377 . { 7 C. L. R., 193 L. L. R., 10 Bom., 248 L. L. R., 1 Bom., 87 2 Bom., 430 : 2nd Ed., 406 . L. L. R., 8 Mad., 353 11 W. R., 259	4776 3603 1217 5050 6121 2219 3147
v. Sor oshibala Dabee	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 297: 10 C. } L. R., 111.	791
Dewan Munar Ali v. Ashurooddeen Mahomed .	. 5 W. R., 270	3711
Dewan Ramjewan Singh v. Collector of Shahabad	{ 14 B. L. R., 221, note: 18 W. }	65, 2877
Dewan Roy v. Riddell	. 9 W. R., 521	5380
Dewanti Kunwar v. Dwarkanath	8 B. L. R., 363, note: 10 W. R., 273.	2447
Dewar & Co. Ex parte Dhadphale v. Gurate Dhajah Roy v. Sukhawut Hossein Dham M'udul. In the matter of Dhanak Singh v. Chain Sukh Dhan Bhagut v. Ramesur Dutt Singh	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 122 Marsh., 492 6 C. L. R., 53 I. L. R., 8 All., 61	2660 5252 5268 1290 4090
Dhan Bibee v. Haradhan Ram	20 W. R., 53 2 B. L. R., Λp. 11: 11 W.)	759
Dhanessuree v. Goodhur Sahoy	(R., 4.	201
Dhanjibhai Bomanji Gagrat v. Navazbai	11 W. R., 421	3535 130, 2586
Dhanjibhai Kharsetji Ratnagar. In re Dhanno Sirang v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 75	4241 2642 6204
Dhanobar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	{ 6 B. L. R., 483 : 15 W. R., } Cr., 33.	256
Dhanookdharee Sahee v. Toomey	Cr., 33.	
Dhanpat Singh v. Lilanand Singh	20 W. R., 142 2 B. L. R., Ap., 18: 11 W.	1744
Dhanpat Singh Bahadoor v. Madhumati Debi	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 18: 11 W.} R., 28. 9 B. L. R., 197, note: 18 W. } R., 316.	1865, 3500 498

Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhanpat Singh Dogar v. Rahman Mandol .		5	2 B. L. R., A. C., 209: 11 } W. R., 163.	555
Dhan Singh v. Basant Singh			I. L. R., 8 All., 519	3144, 5964
Dhanuk Dhari Singh v. Gapi Singh		{	6 B. L. R., Ap., 151: 15 W. ?	3430
	•	1	R., 191.) I. L. R., 5 All., 389	2433, 5685
Dharam Chand v. Janki			3 Bom., A. C., 104	168
Dharamdas Santidas v. Vaman Govind .	• "-		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 237	5894
Dharanamma v. Subba			I. L. R., 7 Mad., 306	3521
Dharani Kant Chowdry v. Kristo Kumari Chowd	hrani	3	13 I. A., 70.	471
Dharma Dagu v. Ramkrishna Chimnaji .			I. L. R., 10 Bom., 80	2127
Dharmadas Ghose v. Nusseruddin		.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 660	3863 995 2090
Dharmaji Vaman v. Gurrav Shrinivas	•	.	10 Bom., 311	3208, 3214
Dharm Singh v. Hurpershad Singh	:	: 1	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 38	4459, 6001
Dharoo Sooklain v. Court of Wards		.	11 W. R., 336	2342 1939
Dharram Singh v. Kishen Singh	•		12 C. L. R., 532	1849, 2292
Dharup Nath v. Gobind Saran			I. L. R., 8 All., 614 . {	2477
Dhaunses v. India General Steam Navigation Co.		5	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125: 1 } Hyde, 283.	592
Dheeraj Mahatab v. Mudoosoodun Mookerjee		4	Marsh., 572	238
Dheeraj Mahatab Chand v. Debender Nath Thal	coor	:	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68	1390, 5750
Dheeraj Mahtab Chand v. Deno Nath Roy		.	Marsh., 340: 2 Hay, 445	5240
Dheeraj Raja Mahatab Chund v. Government of 1	Bengal		4 Moore's I. A., 466 .	3125, 4206 4648
Dheeraj Singh v. Deen Dyal Singh		. 1	11 W. R., 144	5617
Dheermoney Dossee v. Croft			3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20	5257
Dheput Singh v. Halal Khoory Chowdhry .			W. R., 1864, 279	3001 5355
v. Mothooranath Jah Dheraj Koer v. Adjoodhya Bux Singh	•		3 N. W., 91	87
Dheraj Mahatab Chand v. Huro Mohun Acharje	e		W. R., 1864, Mis., 1	2234
v. Modhoo Soodun Bonn	erjee	.	15 W. R., 162	3495 201, 897
v. Pearce Dossee .			6 W. R., Mis., 61	1919
Dherai Mahatab Chunder v. Munmohinee Dossee		.	12 W. R., 517	1920
Dheraj Mahtab Chand v. Chittro Coomaree Eiber	В		16 W. R., 201	2951 4424
v. Damooder Singh . v. Dhun Coomarce Bibee			W. R., 1864, 65	406
v. Hurdeo Narain Sahoo	:		16 W. R., 119	899, 1501
v. Moorleedhur Ghose	:		15 W. R., 67	8492, 3495
Dheraj Mahtab Chund v. Burodanath Mundul		٠	18 W. R., 411	. 435 5737
Dhian Rai v. Thakur Rai	ry		8 W. R., 517	2867
Dhiraj Kuar v. Bikramajit Singh	:	:	I. L. R., 3 All., 787	1106
Dhiraj Mahatab Chand v. Makund Bullabh Bose	٠.	5	9 B. L. R., Ap., 13: 14 W.	4925, 4935
		5	R., 246.) 12 B. L. R., 414, note: 15)	. 490
v. Surnomoyee Dossee	٠	į	W. R., 222.	439
Dhiraj Mahtab Chand. In the matter of the pe	tition	of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 217	5422, 5942
v. Bacharam Hazra		3	5 B. L. R., 162: 13 W. R., 7 F. B., 74.	3457
		ŕ	5 B. L. R., 611: 14 W. R.,)	0.100 0.00
v. Bulram Singh Baboo		3	P. C., 21: 13 Moore's I. A.,	3480, 3508
v. Debkumari Debi •		(479. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 26	.2692
o. Denkumari Deni .	•	•	,,,,	1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Digest.
Dhiraj Mahtab Chand v. Lakhi Bibi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 146 4 W. R., 82 4 B. L. R., A. C., 115: 13 }	1879, 3526 4950
v. Ram Brahma Mullick . {	W. R., 38.	3523
Dhirai Mahtab Chund v. Shagor Kundu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 91	5943
Dhodheya v. Munaran Tewary	7 W. R., 356	1530
Dhonaye Mundul v. Arif Mundul	9 W. R., 306 · · ·	5073 474
Dhondhai Singh v. Suleemooddeen Hossein	24 W. R., 359	3995
Dhondha Rai v. Megho Rai	I. L. R., 4 All., 332	333, 3287
Dhondiba Krishnaji Patel v. Ramchandra Bhagwat .	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 554 . {	4466, 6112
Dhondiba Lakshuman v. Kusa	6 Bom., A. C., 219	3860
Dhondi Jagannath v. Collector of Salt Revenue	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 28	243
Dhondo Ramchandra v. Balkrishna Gobind	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 190	2512, 4024
Dhondo Sakharam v. Govind Babaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 20	6078 2310
Dhondu Gurav v. Gangabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 369	2499, 5818
Dhondu Jagannath v. Narayan Ramchandra Dhondu Mathuradas Naik v. Ramji valad Hanmanta ?		
Kakda.	4 Bom., A. C., 114	5411
	14 B. L. R., 276: 23 W. R., }	129, 1946
Dhonender Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Lall Mookerjee {	6: L. R., 2 I. A., 18.	
Dhonendro Chunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee	Cor., 57	6128 3181, 3489
Dhonessur Kooer v. Roy Gooder Sahoy	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 336 . {	3492
Dhooka Thakoor v. Ram Lall Sahee	7 W. R., 408	1811
Dhoolee Chund v. Rajroop Kooer	15 W. R., 107	3006
Dhoolhin Hurpaul Koonwaree v. Urbuck Singh	3 Agra, 18	87
Dhoondh Bahadoor Singh v. Pring Singh	17 W. R., 314	5753, 5755
Dhoree Roy v. Buldeb Narain Singh	W. R., 1864, 345	5394 3795
Dhumee Behara v. Sevenoaks	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 80	3296
Dhum Singh v. Ganga Ram	I. L. R., 8 All., 214	3425, 4475
Dhundi v. Ram Lal	7 N. W., 149 {	4989
Dhundiram Santukram v. Chanda Nabai	2 Bom., 103: 2nd Ed., 98 .	2602
Dhunee Ram v. Amanut Hossein	2 Agra, Pt. II, 161	4166
v. Moorlee Dhur	2 Agra, 325	692 692
Thunicabhar Proposica Mahta a Patham	2 Agra, 326 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 305	596
Dhunjeebhoy Byramjee Mehta v. Betham	4 Bom., A. C., 57.	5141
Dhunkristo Roy v. Huro Chunder Roy	5 W. R., 197	5789
Dhunkristo Sein v. Erskine & Co	16 W. R., 54	3106
Dhunmonee Chowdhrain v. Brindaban Chunder Sircar	7 W. R., 160	3192
Chowdry.	On TIT D. OOT	4474
Dhun Monee Chowdhrain v. Golam Kasom	23 W. R., 381	5794
Dhun Monee Debee v. Suttoorghun Seal	6 W. R., Act X, 100	4151
Dhunesh Koerce v. Oolfut Hossein	21 W. R., 219	1882
Dhunno Kazi. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
Diameric D. Empress	10 C. L. R., 151	6260
Dhunnoo v. Damodur Doss Dhunnoo Chowdhry v. Bukshun	2 N. W., 177 12 W. R., 266	6676 5705
Dhunno Sowdagur v. Sunnoo Bibee	15 W. R., 106	404
Dhunoo v. Brown	21 W. R., Cr., 25.	4508
Dhunookdharee Lall v. Gunput Lall	11 B. L. R., 201, note: 10 ?	2361
		1
Dhunput Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	19 W. R., Cr., 30 4 W. R., 53	969 3373

	Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dhuronidhur Sen v.	Agra Bank	5	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 86 : 4 C. L. ?	2610, 3269
	9	{	R., 434.	1
	v. Bissambhur Mookerjee Issoorie Savings Bank	•	2 W. R., Act X, 103	5080
	-	:	6 N. W., 296	443
Dhurrum Singh v. K	issen Singh	3	R., 410.	110, 111
Dhurum Rawoot v. 1	Ram Nath Sahoo	.	2 Hay, 585	2751
Dhurundro Chunder	Mookerjee v. Annund Moyee Doss	see	1 W. R., 103	3389, 4470
Dial Singh v. Jawah			2 Agra, 108	5626
Dianat-ullah Beg v.	Wajid Ali Shah	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 438	3483
Dianut Hosen. In		:	10 C. L. R., 14	5165
Dibeah v. Koond Lu	ta	{	7 W. B., P. C., 44: 4 Moore's }	2280
Dick v. Heseltine		(1 N. W., 196 : Ed. 1873, 280 .	524
Digambur Chatterje	v. Ram Roodro Gungopadhya		13 W. R., 39	1209
Digamburee Dabee 1	Nundgopal Banerjee		1 W. R., Mis., 1	1074
Digamburee Debia v	Eshan Chunder Sein		15 W. R., 372	1144, 5439
	Saroda Pershad Roy	•	3 W. R., Mis., 27	3204
Digamburee Dossee	Sharoda Pershad Roy	•	5 W. R., Mis., 46	1144
Digambur Mitter v.	Huropershad Roy Chowdhry	•	7 W. R., 401	2975
		ċ	5 B. L. R., 448, note: 11 W.	
Digdayi v. Bhatan I		{	R., 500.	2286
Digumburec Dabee.	In the matter of the petition of	-1	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938	1144
Digumburee Dossee	v. Baneemadhub Ghose		15 W. R., 155	1393, 4132
Digumber Roy Chov	dhry v. Moti Lal Bundopadhya	5	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 563: 12 C.	2290
	he matter of the petition of .	-	L. R., 204. J I. L. R., 4 Calc., 647	1305
Dikshit v. Dikshit	do matter of the pention of .	•	2 Bom., 4	5748
Dila Kuari v. Jagar	Nath Kuari		I. L. R., 6 All., 17	4545
Dila Mondul v. Kall	y Sahee		16 W. R., Cr., 63.	1853
Dilbassee Koonwaree	Mothee v. Gunga Pershad .		16 W. R., Cr., 63	4956
Dildar Hossein v. M Dildur v. Issur Chu	ujeedunnissa		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 629	784
Dilloo Singh v. Ooti	m Singh	•	21 W. R., 36	583
Dinabandhu v. Loka	nadhasami	•	22 W. R., Cr., 9	4785 3025
Dinabandhu Chowdr	y v. Rajmohini Chowdrain .	1	8 B. L. R., Ap., 32	1245
Dinanath Abaji v. S	adashiv Hari Madhave	- 14	8 B. L. R., Ap., 32 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 9	2842
Dinanath Das v. Gu	gan Chandra Sen	5	7 B. L. R., Ap., 45, note: 14 } W. R., 274.	1626
Dinanath Mookerjee	v. Debnath Mullick	3	5 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R., 7	1775, 5696
	v. Radha Kishori Debi .	5	307.	
		:	8 B. L. R., 536: 17 W. R., 415 3 B. L. R., A. C., 78, note: 10)	550
	v. Surendranath Roy	{	W. R., 77.	320, 2932
Dindayal Shaha v. G	anesh Mahatun	6	6 B. L. R., 561, note: 12 W.	3978
	and the state of t	٤.	R., 528, note.	3810
Dindoyal Poramanic	v. Pran Kishen Paul Chowdhry	51	Marsh., 394: W. R., F. B., ?	6175
Dindyal Lall v. Ram		5	117 : 2 Hay, 423. 3	3779
Dindyal Paramanick	v. Dino Bundhoo Chowdry .		21 W. R., 412	494
Dinendra Nath Sann	yal v. Chandra Kishore Munshi		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 436	6034
		6	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107: 10 C.)	0004
Dinendronath Sannia	l v. Ramkumar Ghose	31	L. R., 281 : L. R., 8 I. A., }	444, 5378
		(65.)	
Dinendro Nath Shan Dinkar Sitaram v. G	ial. In the matter of the petition	of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 851	3622
Sitaram V. G	anesa guivram		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 505	2124

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dinkishen Shatrah v. Gungadhur Mookerjee	a II. Maa	
Dinkur Doyai v. Sheo Golam Sinoh	2 Hay, 582	2488
	I. L. R., 5 All., 226	4028,5013 3648,3741
Dinobundago Chowdhry v. Dinonath Mookeriee	10 W D 100	1181, 3808
v. Rajmohinee Chowdry v. Rajmohini Chowdhrain	. 15 W. R., 73	671, 2411
Dinchundhoa Chuttani v. Rajmohini Chowdhrain	· 16 W. R., 213	1245
Dinobundhoo Chutteraj v. Beharee Lal Mookerjee	3 W. R., Mis., 23.	194, 5729
Dinobundhoo Dey v. Randhone Roy Dinobundhoo Ghose v. Drobomoyee Dossia	9 W. R., 522	5174
	· 24 W. R., 110	1177
Dinobulunco Pal v. Shoshee Mohun Pol	· 3 W. R., Mis., 25.	3836
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 217 2 C. L. R., 185	5440
Dinobundhoo Suhaye v. Court of Wards	11 W. R., 347	3778
	9 W. R., 155	4457, 5983 1810, 4174
Dinobundoo Roy v. Oomachurn Chowdhry	· 23 W. R., 53	1186
Dinomonee Banerjee v. Gyrutoollah Khan	. 2 W. R., 138	6007
Dinomoney Dabea v. Doorgapersad Mozoomdar Dino Moyee Chowdhrain v. Rehling	· 12 B. L. R., 274 : 21 W. R., 70	3117
Dinomoyi Dabia Chowdhrain v. Anungo Moyi	2 W. R., Mis., 25	2145
Diddievi Deli v. Kov Luchminut Sinch	4 C. L. R., 599	5041
Dinonath Addy v. Weller	L. R., 7 I. A., 8	1770, 3207
Dino Nath Buroos. In the metter of	7 W. R., 16. 18 W. R., Cr., 32.	5708
Dinonach Entonyal a Womaahaan Dom	3 W. R., 128	15 3982
Dino Nath Chuckerbutty & Hurgobind Pal.	. 16 W. R., Cr., 23	2924, 2925
Dino Nath Das v. Bonomali Chatterji	5 I. L. R., 4 Cale., 941 : 4 C. L.)	1622
Dinonath Ghose v. Auluck Moni Dabee	[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 753: 10 C.?	4838
Dinonath Gope v. Saroda Mookhopadhya	L. R., 129.	
Dinonath Lakhan v. Mohumm Mullial-	7 W. R., Cr., 47	977, 6260
Dinonath Mookerjee v. Debnath Mookerjee	7 C. L. R., 138	1186 4814
Dinonath Mukerji v. Gopal Chunder Mukerji .	5 8 C. L. R., 57: 9 C. L. R.,	1500, 2139
Dinonath Mullick. In the matter of the petition of	379. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133	2289 4721
	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 133	4721
Dinonath Panday v. Roghoonath Panday Dino Nath Rana v. Rubecbunnissa Bibee	. 5 W. R., Act X, 41	3158
	. 1 20 W. R., 270	3366
Dinonath Sen v. Guruchurn Pal	{ 14 B. L. R., 287: 21 W. R., }	842
Dinonath Sreemonee v. Hogg	. 2 Hay, 395	4715
Dinoo Singh v. Doorga Pershad	. 18 W. R., 348	1810
Dintarini Debi. In the matter of the petition of .	{ I. L. R., 8 Cale., 880: 11 C.} L. R., 190.	4671
v. Doibo Chunder Roy	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 880: 11 C.]	4671
Dipchand v. Gokaldas	L. R., 190.	
Dip Narain Rai v. Dipan Rai	. I. L. B., 4 Bom., 363	1520 2731
Jipsangji Jitsangji v. Fattesanoji Jasvat Sancii	. I. L. R., 8 All., 185 5 Bom., A. C., 59	4339
Dirgaj Singh v. Debi Singh Dirganj Singh v. Foorsut Dirgopal Lall v. Bolakee Dirgopal Rai v. Bhondo Rai Dirg Paul Singh A. Gainda Koonway	. I. L. R., 1 All., 499	4003
organj Singh v. Foorsut	. 1 N. W., 99 : Ed. 1873, 144 .	5194
Argopat Latt v. Bolakee	. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 269	4482
Dire Peal Singh & Goinda W.	· 2 Agra, 341	1163
Dirg Paul Singh A. Gainda Koonwar Dissent v. Justices of the Peace for the Town of	1 Agra, Mis., 13	676
Carcutta.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 20 W.	5721
Ditta Harakman Singh. In re , .	R., 349, note	447, 5536
	· 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

the matter of Directi Varada Ayyangar v. Krishnasami Ayyangar v. Brishnasami Ayyangar v. Li. R., 6 Mad., 117 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 842 1. L. R., 3 All., 206 1. Spiwan Singh v. Bharat Singh . Sharat	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Directiv Vareda Ayyangar v Krishnasami Ayyangar Li. R., 6 Mad., 117 1775, 4816 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dittia Hurruckman Singh v. Modhoosoodun Pyne. In	12 W. R., F. B., 16	447, 5536
Docowri Kazi. In the matter of the petition of South Stewart South Stewa	Divethi Varada Ayyangar v. Krishnasami Ayyangar . Diwali v. Apaji Ganesh	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 342	
Dodg v. Stewart		B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 517: 6 }	
Doe d. Kullammal v. Kuppu Pillai		W. R., Act X, 53.	ł
Doe d. Seeb Kristo e. East India Company 50 to Chunder Roy v. Woomamoyee Debia 19 W. R., 321 5761 5761 10 km kally Debee v. Deno Nath Roy Chowdhry 24 W. R., 218 3304 475 47	Doe d. Kullammal v. Kuppu Pillai	(2300, 2509 3233, 4458
Dokkina Kally Debee v. Deno Nath Roy Chowdhry 2 C. L. R. 9 3304	Doe d. Seeb Kristo v. East India Company		35, 2212
Dole Gobind Goopto v. Batoo alias Kisto Chunder Chuckerbutty. Chuckerbutty	Dokhina Kally Debes v. Deno Nath Roy Chowdhry .	3 C. L. R., 9	475
Chuckerbutty. 22 W. K., 405 10 Dole Gobind Singh v. Chamoo Singh 10 W. R., 312 1756 50 Collector of Kain 10 W. R., 312 1750 5377 1790			
Dol Gabindmony Debia v. Indad Ali 5 W. R. 170 5377 170 1	Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 405	
Doli Sati v. Ikram Ali		10 W. R., 312	
Doma Salu v. Nathai Khan 20 W. R., 416 4012 10	Doli Sati v. Ikram Ali	4 C. L. R., 63	1179
Domas Sahu w. Nathai Khan		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 467	
Domestic servants. In the matter of 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32 5608	Doma Sahu v. Nathai Khan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 50	4012
Donnun v. Emaum Ally		2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 32	
Domun Lall w. Pudmun Singh			
Domun Lall v. Podmnn Singh Domun Lall v. Podmnn Singh Domun Lall v. Podmnn Singh S. Huggobutty Debea \$ W. R., 1804, Act X, 129 4414 1145 5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's 1.4, 366. 1		1 B. L. R., S. N., 25: 10 W.	3156, 5187
Domain Singh v. Bluggobutity Debea 8 W. R., 215 5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's 1.44.1 1145 5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's 1.45, 366. 5 W. R., P. C., 39: 1 Moore's 1.45, 366. 5 W. R., 280 5 158 5 W. R., 280 5 158 5 W. R., 280 1.44 5 W. R., 280 1.44 1.45 5 W. R., 280 1.44 1.45	Domun Lall v. Pudmun Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 129	1 -
I. A., 366. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Domun Singh v. Bhuggobutty Debea	8 W. R., 215	4141
Donnelly. In the matter of the petition of I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405 5150, 5156 5150 5	v. Kaseeram		- 1145
Donzelle v. Kadernath Chuckerbutty 7 B. L. R., 720: 16 W. R., 188 1681 - v. Takm Narain Singh 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 51 2981 2 W. R., Act X, 103 2 W. R., Act X, 103 583 583 2 C. L. R., 558 584		1	5150, 5157 5158
	Donunjoy Dey v. Dwarka Nath Singh	5 W. R., 280	
v. Tek Narain Singh 2 W. R., Act X, 103 2981 Donzelli v. Tekau Nodaf 2 C. L. R.,7558 583 Doobee Singh v. Jowkee Ram 3 Agra, 381 Agra, F. B., 2 Ed. 1874, 172. 1992 Doobri Hulwai v. Anonymous 10 W. R., 307 1188 Dookhea Mere v. Nasir 20 W. R., 307 1188 Dookhebore Rai w. Hidayntoollah Agra, F. B., 7: Ed. 1874, 5 3890, 395 Dookhe Khan v. Rajeesuree Ranee 15 W. R., 371 38 L. R., A. C., 407 : 2 W. R., 303 Doolab Sirear v. Kristo Coomar Bukshee 8 R. J. R., A. C., 407 : 2 W. R., 303 13 W. R., 446 4823 Doolal Chunder Debingur Gossamey v. Binaud Chunder alias Soda Shobhun Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey 22 W. R., 360 5395 Doolar Chand Sahoo v. Lalla Bisheshur Dyal 561 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 560 500 V. Lalla Chabeel Chand 560 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 560 5306	v. Ramparain Singh		
Doobee Singh v. Jowkee Ram	v. Tek Narain Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 103	
Doobri Hulwai v. Anonymous 19			
Dookhar Meer v. Nasir 1188	Doobee Singh v. Jowkee Ram	Ed. 1874, 172.	
Dookhai Meer v. Nassir 20 W. R., 110 4823 5890, 395 5705 5806 580	Dookeeram Sirear v. Gowbyr Myndyl	19 W. R., Cr., 37	
Dookhe Khan v. Rajessuree Ranee 15 W. R., 371 5705 Dookh Sirear v. Kristo Coomar Bukshee 18 W. R., 360 1706 Dookh Birear v. Nada Shaha 18 W. R., 363 18 W. R., 464 22 W. R., 360 5395 Dookh Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey v. Biuaud Chunder alias Soda Shobhun Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey 14 W. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 561 V. Lalla Chabeel Chand 18 W. R., 371 5706 1706 R., 371 18 W. R., 371 1706 1706 R., 371 1706 1706 1706 1706 1706 1706 R., 371 1706	Dookhai Meer v. Nassir	20 W. R., 110	4829
Doolal Sirear v. Kristo Coomar Bukshee \$ 8 B. L. R., A. C., 407 : 2 W.	Dookhchore Rai v. Hidayutoollah	Agra, F. B., 7: Ed. 1874, 5 .	
Doolal Bibeg v. Nada Shaha R., 303, 2 4823 Doolal Chundre Deb v. Goluck Moneo Debia 13 W. R. 446 22 W. R., 360 5395 200 W. R. 360 5395 22 W. R., 360 5395 200 W. R., 360 540 W. Lalla Bisheshur Dyal {		3 B. L. R., A. C., 407: 2 W.)	
Doolal Chunder Deb v. Goluck Moneo Debia 22 W. R., 360 5395 Doolal Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev v. Binaud Chunder 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 925 2915 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 V. Lalla Chabeel Chand 1 R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 561 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 2 W. R., 360 5395 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5395 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5395 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5395 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5395 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5395 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 5 5306 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 5 W. R., 360 Solida Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev 5 W. R., 360 5	,	R., 303.	4
Doolal Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey v. Binaud Chunder Alias Soda Shobhun Chunder Dehimgur Gossamey. L. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	Doolal Chunder Deb v. Goluck Monee Debia		
Doolar Chand Sahoo v. Lalla Bisheshur Dyal L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 561. 5306 L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L. R., 561. 5306 561. 5	Doolal Chunder Dehimgur Gossamev v. Binaud Chunder		2915
v. Lalla Chabeel Chand . { L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L., R., } 5306		L. R., 6 I. A., 47: 3 C. L. R.,	5306
561.	v. Talla Chaheel Chand	L. R., 6 I. A., 47 : 3 C. L, R.,	5306
		561.	0000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doolar Chunder v. Damoodur Narain . Doolar Jha v. Runjoet Roy Doolay Singh v. Goolam Hossein Doolee Chand v. Omda Khamm alias Babu Shubibu Doolee Chund v. Nirban Singh	3 W. R., 162 15 W. R., 340 2 N. W., 72 1 L. R., 6 Cale,, 377 : 7 C. L. R., 375.	5358 4628 4258 4258 4027 5582
Doole Chinia v. Airpan Singi v. Oonda Begum v. Oonda Khanun Doolhiu Hurnath Koonwere e. Baijoo Oojha Doolhiu Jankee Kooer v. Lall Beharee Roy Dooli Chand v. Birj Bhookun Lal Awasti	20 W. R., 62 18 W. R., 473 24 W. R., 263 18 W. R., 288 2 Agra. 50 19 W. R., 32 6 C. L. R., 528: 10 C. L. R., 5 61.	2772, 5775 5379 4233 5484, 5485 1419, 3351 411, 2082 2214
Doollee Chand v. Wooma Sunkur Purshad Doolubdass Pettamberdass v. Ramloll Thackoorseydass Doolun Singh v. Torul Navaina Singh Dooly Chand v. Nirban Singh Dooma Sahoo v. Joonarun Lall Doomun v. Stevens Doomun v. Stevens Doomun v. Stevens Doomun v. Stevens Doomun Padada v. Shook Chand Paul Doomun Padada v. Shook Chand Paul Doomed Mahtoe v. Sheo Navain Singh Doonge Mahtoe v. Sheo Navain Singh Doonge Mahton v. Prithee Navain Singh Doorgin Kai Doorgin Kai Doorgin Charn Das v. Soshi Bhusan Guho Doorga Charn Das v. Soshi Bhusan Guho Doorga Charn Das v. Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan	7 C. L. R., 429	2153 1068, 5874 94 497 3887 1730 464 4166 1540 3770 2921, 6246 5387 2926 3800
Doorga Churn v. Kassy Chunder Moitree — v. Kooujebharee Pandey Doorga Churn Bhuttacharjee v. Shoshee Bhoosun Mitter Doorga Churn Chatterjee v. Aushutosh Dut	Marsh., 539: 2 Hay, 646 3 Agra, 23	2515, 4992 3055, 4586 2018 2604 1686
Doorga Churn Doss v. Mahomed Abbas Bhooyan	R., 375.	4571 878
v. Nittokally Dossee	14 W. R., 399 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 819: 6 C. L.	3800 4337
Doorgachurn Dutt v. Kadumbinee Dossee Doorga Churn Giree. In the matter of Doorga Churn Mitter v. Huree Mohun Gooho Doorga Churn Nundee v. Debuath Roy Chowdhry Doorga Churn Paharee v. Chutoorbhooj Doss Doorga Churn Paul v. Pearee Mohun Doorga Churn Roy v. Dino Moyee Debia Doorga Churn Set v. Shamannnd Gossain	\{ R., 120. \} 1 Hay. 25 9 W. R., Cr., 64 17 W. R., 86 18 W. R., 442 5 W. R., 200 9 W. R., 283 6 W. R., Mis., 14 12 W. R., 376	5752 1988 417, 433 5622 402 7 4561 3132 879, 5755
Doorgachurn Shaha v. Ramnarain Doss	10 B. L. R., 327, note: 13 } W. R., 172.	2089
Doorga Churn Sirear v. Doorga Churn Ghossal .	11 W. R., 23 12 B. L. R., 289 : 21 W. R., 3	5928 1182
Doorgachurn Surma v. Jampa Dassee Doorga Churn Surma Chowdhry v. Najunooddeen	46. 21 W. R., 397	4212, 5330
Doorga Churn Surmah Chowdhry v. Neem Chand Sur- mah Chowdhry.		1755
Doorgachuru Thakoor v. Kallydoss Hazrah Doorga Dabee v. Issur Chunder Chatterjee	10 W. R., 463	375, 1452 4014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doorgadass Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 511:6 W. R., Mis., 65: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 401.	5863
Doorga Dayee v. Poorun Dayee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 128 : 5 W. ?	2511
Doorgadoss Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Mistree	R., 141. 5 6 W. R., Act X, 81	3556
v. Nobin Mohun Ghosal	6 W. R., Act X, 63	547
Doorga Doss Chowdry v. Ramanauth Chowdry	8 Moore's I. A., 262	4639 3846
Doorga Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nurendro Coomar Dutt	17 W. R., 226	1736
Chowdhry. Doorgadoss Sandyal v. Panchoo Ram Mundul	23 W. R., 271	5925
Doorga Dutt Singh v. Bunwaree Lall Sahoo	19 W. R., 34	2706
v,	25 W. R., 33	3779
v, Jheengoor Jha v. Kalika Sookul	18 W. R., 63	781 777, 3210
Doorgakant Lahoory v. Radha Mohun Gooho Neogy .	7 W. R., 51	4300
Doorgakant Mozoomdar v. Bisheshur Dutt Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 44 {	330, 2927 2931, 2983
Doorga Koonwar v. Tejoo Koonwar	5. W. R., Mis., 53	2491
Doorga Kripa Roy v. Janoo Lathak	18 W. R., 465	3032
Doorga Lall v. Lalla Hulwant Sahoy	15 W. R., 242 25 W. R., 306	2878 1167
Doorga Mahtoon v. Kanhye Lall Ajha	Marsh., 371 : 2 Hay, 422	6109
Doorga Monee Dossee v. Benode Monee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 164	5915
Doorgamonee Dossee v. Doorga Bhunj Doorga Moyee Dossea v. Kassissur Debea Chowdhrain .	2 W. R., 266	3330
Doorga Narain Bose v. Brojo Kishore Ghose	4 W. R., Act X, 20 23 W. R., 172	1589 - 2738
Doorganarain Sen v. Baney Madhab Mozumdar	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 199	4601, 6139
v. Ram Lall Chhutar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 330 . {	578, 584 5965
Doorganath Roy v. Ram Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 341, L. R., 3 4 I. A., 52.	2253
Doorganath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Kalee Narain Roy	24 W. R., 212	4880
Doorganath Shah v. Kazim Fakir	9 W. R., 348	1653
Doorganath Shoor v. Sookhmoy Biswas	2 W. R., 61, 62	5121 5304
v. Waters	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 191	2813
Doorga Persad Singh v. Doorga Konwari {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 190: 3 C. L. R., 31: L. R., 5, I. A., 149.	1406, 2327
Doorgapersaud Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 59	5010 430, 5897
Doorgapersaud Roy Chowdhry v. Tarapersaud Roy	4 W. R., P. C., 63: 8 Moore's ?	3122
Chowdhry.	1. A., 308.	
v. Doochur Pershad	6 N. W., 189	2838 2297, 3019
v. Kundan Koowar · · . {	13 B. L. R., 235 : 21 W. R.,	• 2448
v. Sheoraj Singh	214 : L. R., 1 I. A., 55. 5 5 N. W. 222	3869, 4937
v. Zorawur · · · · ·	5 N. W., 222 2 N. W., 75	2004
Doorgapershad Chowdhry v. Rajendur Narain Roy	2 Hay, 121	5346
Doorga Pershad Doss v. Kalee Kinkur Roy v. Sheo Proshad Pandah	5 W. R., Act X, 88 7 C. L. R., 278	2930
Doorga Pershad Mohapattur v. Radhamohun Mytee	15 W. R., 536	$\frac{2241}{5172}$
Ocorgapershad Pal Chowdhry v. Jogeshprokash Gongo-	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5337
Doorga Pershad Roy Chowdry v. Tara Pershad Roy	3 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's ?	3877
Chowdhry.	I. A., 203.	3877

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
	20 W. R., 154 (13 W. R., 10: 9 B. L. R., 306, note. 1 N. W., 34: Ed. 1873, 31 21 W. R., 145 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 474 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 96: 2 C. L. R., 371.	2034, 2327 324 796 1732 1187, 1583 1185, 1187
Doorgam Pal v. Kally Kristo Paul	9 C. L. R., 479 3 C. L. R., 549 11 W. R., 134	1231 5036 321, 3246,
Doorga Roy v. Shyam Jha Doorga Soonduree Debia v. Dinobundhoo Kyburto Dos v. Kasheekant Chuckerbutty v. Shibeshuree Debia v. Shibeshuree Debia v. Shibeshuree Debia v. Soorjononee Debia Doorga Sundari Dossee v. Surendra Keshav Rai Doorga Tewaree v. Naipal Abeer Doorhyar Roy v. Dulsingar Singh Doorjoldhun Doss v. Chooya Daye Doorjun v. Chaina	. 8 W. R., 72	3265 1436 5314 5922, 5930 3835 1480 4946 2137 1396 2109, 5688 6040 4470
Doorjun Singh v. Shibba	. 3 N. W., 171 {	3283, 4494 4500
Dooteeram Surma Dooree v. Luckeekant Gossamee	12 W. R., 425 L. L. R., 4 Calc., 229: 3 C. L.)	2243
Dorab Ally Khan v. Abdool Azeez	R., 358.	1219
·		5491
v	L. R., 5 I. A., 116 : 2 C. L. R., 5 529.	5491
v. Executors of Mohecooddeen .	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 806	5490
v. Moheeooddeen	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 55 : 24 W. R., 372.	5490
Dori Lal'v. Umed Singh Dosabhai Kavasji v. Kherbadji Hormasji Dosibai v. Ishvardas Jagjivandas Dossee v. Regan v. Pelaram Dossee v. Pitambur Pundah v. Ran Nidhee Koondoo v. Sheebanee Dabin v. Sreenibash Dey	3 Mad., 363 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 136 I. L. R., 6 All., 164 7 Bom., O. C., 180 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 561 2 Hay, 75 15 W. R., 261 21 W. R., 332 15 W. R., 123 12 W. R., 74 Bourke, A. O. C., 48: 3 W. R., Mis., 7, note.	\$976 2405 4834 5720, 5837 2054 5358 1742 4437 4208 3255 5921, 5926 1947, 2145 6094 2351
Doss Monee Dossee v. Huronath Roy	4 W. B., 2	5074
Doss Money Dossee v. Jonmenjoy Mullick	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 363:1 C. L. R., 446.	3893, 5018
v. Prosonomoye Dossee Doss Ram Doss v. Mohendro Roy Desha Dost Mahomed Khan Chowdhry v. Soolochana Dabia Dost Muhammad v. Sanjad Ahmad Doucett v. Wise	1 M. R., 270 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18 1 B W. R., 274 1 W. R., 270 I. L. R., 6 All., 67 1 W. R., 322	2136, 2542 6097, 6112 1736 1122 183, 6227

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Doucett v. Wise v. v. Douglas v. Collector of Benares Doulatram v. Tura Dowar Rai v. Boonda	. 3 W. R., 157	6152
	. 4 W. R., Mis., 7	6022
v	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	6020
v. v	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 227	6020
v	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 357 .	909
v,	. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 280	3090, 3957
Douglas v. Collector of Benares	. 5 Moore's I. A., 271	5362
Doulatram v. Tara	. 1 Agra, 12	1163
Dowar Rai v. Boonda	. Agra, F. B., 57 : Ed. 1874, 43	2482
Dowlatram Harji v. Vitho Radhoji	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 188	5847
Downer Stagn. In the lettered of the	6 C. L. R., 273	1288
Dowlut v. Netram	3 N. W., 42	4549
Dowlut Gazi Chowdhry v. Munwar	{ 12 B. L. R., 485, note: 15 W. } R., 341.	5411
Dowlut Khatoon v. Ali Jan	. 2 Agra, 59	3729
Dowlut Kooer v. Burmadeo Sahoy	(14 B. L. R., 246, note: 22)	2306
	W. R., 54.	
Dowlut Mundur v. Omrao Singh Rana	. 14 W. R., 336	5589
Dowlut Rai v. Gya Misser	2 Agra, 95	537
Downes v. Richmond	3 Agra, 25 . I. L. R., 5 All., 258 .	4557
Doyal Chand Laha v. Bhoyrubnath Khettry	. I. L. R., 5 All., 258	2655
Doyar Chang mana v. Dhoyrdonach Khettry .	. Cor., 117	3053
Doyal Chunder Ghose v. Dwarkanath Misser .	Marsh., 148: W. R., F. B., 47:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 41:	2914, 6221
Doyal Chund Mullick v. Keramut Ali	1 Hay, 347. 12 W. R., 382	113
v. ————	The state of the s	3385, 3661
v	. 16 W. R., 116 }	3666, 3669
Doyal Mistree v. Kupoor Chund	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 318:3 C. } L. R., 482.	762, 5706
Doyamoyee Chowdhrain v. Mohima Chunder Roy . v. Nundocoomar Dey	. 12 W. R., 137	1633
Doyamoyee Chowdrainee v. Bhola Nath Ghose	2 Hay, 220	1594
Doyamoyee Dabee v. Nilmonee Chuckerbutty .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 592 : 6 W. R., Act X, 77.	550
2 Nohouse Dabes .	1 W. R., 89	3537
v. Nobonec Dabee Doyamoyee Dossee v. Eshur Chunder Mutty Loll .	1 W B 202	3344
v. Luchmee Narain Shaha	1 W. R., 203 7 W. R., 457	$877 \\ 3427$
Doyanidhi Panda v. Kelai Panda	. 11 C. L. R., 395	4466
Dovaram Luskurea v. Sookhanum	1 16 W R 1/1	4327
Doyle v. Allum Biswas v. Dwarkanath Chatterjee v. Edoo Gazee	. 4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 8 W. R., 89	3201,3317
v. Dwarkanath Chatterjee	. 8 W. R., 89	1357
v. Edoo Gazee · · · · ·	13 W P S C C Dof 19	3201, 3317
v. Khooseeal Khan	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	3317
v. Khosal Mundle	. 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	5117
v. Khooseal Khan v. Khosal Mundle v. Mundaree Mundul	. 5 W. K., S. C. C. Ref., 10 .	1376, 5826
Drabamayi Guptia v. Tarracharan Sen	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16	1692 561, 585
Drapu Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Das	7 B. L. R., 207 : 16 W. R., 17	758
Drapu Chewdary v. Ishan Chunder Das	9 C. L. R., 231 [L. L. R., 6 Calc., 218 : 6 C. L.]	6088
	R., 146 : L. R., 7 I. A., 17. 3 14 W. R., 409	4227
Drobo Misser v. Sreenebash Misser	14 W. K., 409	2250
Drobomoyee Chowdhrain v. Shama Churn Chowdhry	18 W. R., 459	2343
Drobomoyee Dabee v. Bipin Mundul	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 246 10 W. R., 6	2144
Drobo Moyee Dossee v. Juggessur Hati	10 W. R., 6	5933
	· 1 17 · 10 ·	3746

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Drobo Moyee Gosmanee v. Dhurmo Drury v. Haradhun Bhuttacharjee Dubey Sahai v. Ganeshi Lal Dubo Misser v. Srinibas Misser : Dudsar Bibee v. Shakir Burkundaz	Ooss Koondoo	10 W. R., 197 3 W. R., Mis., 8	1764 420 3152 2250 4985, 5003
Duff v. Fisher v. Sowdagur Sahoo Jotedar Dugappa Chetti v. Vidhia Purna Tirt Dugappa Sheti v. Venkatramnaya	hasami	8 B. L. R., Ap., 10 13 W. R., 255 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 263 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 493	4072 1646 2983 1470
Dukharam Bharti v. Luchmun Bhart	i	5 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 954: 4 C.7	675
Dukheena Mohun Roy v. Ameeroodd Dukhina Dossee v. Rash Beharee Mo Dukhina Mohun Roy v. Kurreemooll	een Mahomed zoomdar .	12 W. R., 247 6 W. R., 221 12 W. R., 243	4250 2333 1608
Dukhi Sahu v. Mahomed Bikhu		{ I. L. R., 10 Cale., 284 : 13 C. } L. R., 445.	3303, 4746
Dukhoo v. Chundro Kant Chowdhry Dukhur Pahan. In the matter of th		3 W. R., Cr., 68	1671 969
Dulabh Vahuji v. Bansidhar Rai Dula Faqueer v. Bhagirat Sircar Dulali Bewa v. Bhuban Shaha Dular Chand v. Balram Das	: : :	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 111 6 C. L. R., 276 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 53	3297, 4998 3617 977 4278
Dular Dat Rai v. Nijabat Hosein Dulari v. Mohan Singh	: : :	I. L. R., 1 All., 453 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 536 I. L. R., 3 All., 759	253 5432
Dulbood Singh v. Mahadeo Dutt Duleep Singh v. Sreekishoon Panday Dulia Kasam v. Abramji Sale . Duli Chand. In the matter of the ap		2 W. R., 10 4 N. W., 83 8 Bom., A. C., 11	3727 2153, 2943 611 497
v. Dhondi		9 B. L. R., 190 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 184 12 B. L. R., 439	6233 563
v. Monohur Lall Upadhy v. Rajkissore		2 C. L. R., 18 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 88: 11 C. L. R., 326.	1664, 4860 569
v. Ramkishen Singh Duljeet Gorain v. Rewal Gorain Dullabhdas Devoband v. Lakshmands Dullabh Jogi v. Narayan Lakhu		I. L. R., 7 Cale., 648	1119, 5323 3826 3931 4975
Dullabh Shivlal v. Hope .		. 8 Bom., A. C., 213	5878
Dullab Sirkar v. Krishna Kumar Bak Dulli v. Bahadur	shi	W. R., 303.	5379, 6133 3895
Dulli Chand v. Meher Chand Sahoo	: : :	. 7 N. W., 57	2701 528, 562
Dulloo v. Zainah Bebee		8 W. R., 138	563, 6173 5561
Duloon Koonwar v. Sungum Singh Duloook Raitachand v. Chugon Narr	in : :	7 W. R., 311 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 356	3531
Dumaine v. Uttam Singh .		{ 5 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 13 W. }	324
Duma Sahu v. Jeonarayan Lall . Dumree Sahoo v. Jugdharee .		4 B. L. R., A. C., 27, note 13 W. R., 398	3887 6015
Dumree Shaikh v. Bissessur Lall Duncan. In the goods of	: : :	. 13 W. R., 291	5198 3084
Dundaya v. Chenbasapa Dunne v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon		. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 427 . 13 W. R., 41	4842 283
v. Hem Chandra Chowdhry		{ B. L. R., F. B., 46: 12 W. } R., Cr., 60.	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Dunput Singh Bahadoor v. Rajessuree	1 Agra, 38	3769 1918
Dunput Singh Doogar v. Jugut Indur Bunwaree	4 W. R., 85	2577
Durand v. Durand	14 W. R., 416 2 B. L. R., A. C., 246	1545 3560
Durban Fakeer v. Nabinchandra Mazumdar .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W. }	6000
Durga v. Haidar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 167	3354
v. Jhinguri	I. L. R., 7 All., 511 . }	1699, 2862 5202
	7 N. W., 35	3283
Durga Bibee v. Janaki Pershad {	10 B. L. R., 341: 18 W. R., 331.	2289
Durga Bibi v. Chanchal Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 81	2251
Durga Charan. In the matter of Durga Charan Das v. Sashi Bhusan Guho	I. L. R., 7 All., 290 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 275	4445 2926
Durga Charan Mazumdar v. Mahomed Abbas Bhuya	6 B. L. R., 361	3800
	2 B. L. R., A. C., 165 I. L. R., 10 Calc, 134: 13 C.)	5928
Durga Churn Shana v. Minnoney Dass	L. R., 369.	3855
-	2 B. L. R., Ap., 26	680 578, 583
	9 C. L. R., 86	584, 5965
Durgapersad v. Keshopersad Singh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 656: 11 C. L. R., 210, L. R., 9 I. A., 27.	2072, 2472
	Bourke, O. C., 59	430, 5897
Durgapershad v. Asa Jolaha {	I. L. H., 5 Calc., 925: 6 C. L. R., 487.	5676
Durga Pershad v. Ghosita Goria	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 284	9, 3351
Durga Pillai v. Srinivasa Pillai Durga Prasad. In the matter of the petition of	3 Mad:, 71	304 5947
v. Asa Ram v. Baldeo	I. L. R., 4 All., 154 I. L. R., 2 All., 361	3172
v. Baldeo	I. L. R., 3 All., 221	1108
a Manei	I. L. R., 1 All., 545 I. L. R., 6 All., 423	316 3720
	I. L. R., 1 All., 591	4415
v. Ram Charan	I. L. R., 2 All., 785	162
	I. L. R., 8 All., 86	3433, 3949
Durga Prasad Misser v. Brindabun Sookul	7 B. L. R., 159: 15 W. R., 274.	53
Durga Prasad Singh v. Durga Kunwari	9 B. L. R., 306, note : 13 } W. R., 10.	2328
	9 C. L. R., 449	5175, 5318
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 411	1517
Durgaram Roy v. Narsing Deb	2 B. L. R., A. C., 254 . }	321, 3246, 3265
Durga Singh v. Mathura Das	I. L. R., 6 All., 460	4851
Durga Sundari v. Brindabun Chandra Sirkar Chowdry .	2 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 11 W. }	5196
Darge Student Dasi C. Cinquagninissa	9 B. L. R., 101: 18 W. R., 3	1591
Durga Sundari Devi v. Govinda Chundra Addy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 368	222, 5440
	3 W. R., 3	3212 3505
	24 W. R., Cr., 16	4491

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Durjan Mahton v. Wajid Hossein	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 135	3019, 3045
Durjan Singh v. Sibia	7 N. W., 329	5220, 5662
Durpo Narain Mahatah v. Nuleeta Soonduree Doss	11 W. R., 332	5685 3911
Durryao Singh v. Dari Singh		2221
Durijas singil s. Dari singil	A., 1.	
Durshun Lall Sahoo v. Ashmutoonissa Durshun Pandey v. Saminah Bebee	19 W. R., 94 1 W. R., 114	3173 5778
Dursun Bhugut v. Mahomed Ali	13 W. R., 438	5931
Dursun Lall Pandey v. Indur Chunder	6 W. R., 81	6127
Dursuu Sahoo v. Pryag Ram Durvasula Gangadharudu v. Durvasula Narasammah	2 C. L. R., 538 7 Mad., 47	6104 2372
Durya v. Mohur Singh	2 Agra, 163	1811
Duryao Singh v. Karun Singh	1 Agra, 31	322
Dustur Husang Jamasji v. Fell	0 Bom., Cr., 30	4494
Dutt v. Cornelius	J D. 11. 10., Ap., 19	5898 382, 388
Dutto Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575 .	386
v. Dosad Bahadur Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 575 }	382, 388 386
Dutt Ram Misr. In the matter of the petition of		5598
Dwarka Das v. Husain Bakhsh Dwarkadas Lalubhai v. Adam Ali Sultau Ali	I. L. R., 1 All., 564	3708 2687, 5790
v. Blackwell	3 Bom., A. C., 1	2675
Dwarka Dass v. Dwarka Dass	9 Bom., 319 2 Agra, 308	1725
v. Rai Sita Ram v. Ruttun Singh	5 C. L. R., 430	1396, 4650
	2 Agra, 199	6119 4863
Dwarka Doss v. Gokul Doss	1 Agra, Rev., 22 6 Moore's I. A., 88 Bourke, O. C., 109	1727
Dwarka Lall Mitter. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 109	430
Dwarka Lall Mundur v. Nirundro Narain Singh	22 W. R., 461	3833 264
Dwarka Manjhee. In the matter of	6 C. L. R., 427	5306
v. Baburam Lasker	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 72: 11 C. 7	1623
	L. R., 326.	
Dwarkanath Banerjee. In the matter of Dwarkanath Bhooya v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	6 C. L. R., 279	6030 3197
Dwarkanaun Dhooys v. Ajoodaya kam Khau	(12 B. L. R., 189, note: 16)	
	(W. R., 10.	1166
Dwarkanath Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 93 17 W. R., 227	1306 3263
Dwarkanath Biswas v. Roy Dhunput Singh v. Uddit Churn Addy	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 361	1539
Dwarkanath Bose v. Chundee Churn Mookerjee	1 W. R., 339	142, 1785
v. Ram Lochun Bose	23 W. R., 465	6115
Dwarkanath Bysack v. Burroda Persaud Bysak Dwarkanath Bysak v. Mahendranath Bysak	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 443 9 B. L. R., 198: 18 W. R., 305	6206 2337
Dwarka Nath Chakravati v. Suvridra Nath Chowdhuri		5307
Dwarka Nath Chattopadhya v. Bhogoban Panda .	. 7 C. L. R., 577	1820
Dwarka Nath Chowdhry v. Beejoy Gobind Bural	10 W. R., 333	1626
v. Kishen all Chowdhry v. Komul Lochun Doss	Marsh., 553: 2 Hay, 650	5129 5233
Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty v. Bhowanee Kishore		3801
Chuckerbutty. v. Dhnn Monee Chowdrain	15 W R 594	5308
v. Tara Soondery Burmo-	8 W. R., 517	1760
nee.)	
Dwarkanath Doss v. Manick Chunder Doss .	. 3 W. R., 197	5301

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Dwarkanath Doss Biswas v. Manick Chunder Doss	9 W. R., 102	5133 5312
v. Muddun Mohun Chucker-	6 W. R., 292	5766
butty. 5	8 W. R., 319	328, 839
Dwarkanath Dutt v. Bhathee Hawaldar	22 W. R., 457	4054
v. Gunga Dayi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 102	912
Dwarka Nath Gupta v. Komolmoni Dasi	12 C. L. R., 548	3401 1629
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 128: 12 W.	1448, 1473
v. Kamalakanth Haldar . {	R., 99.	1491, 1868
v. Noder Chand Kumte.	22 W. R., Cr., 35	1292
Dwarkanath Kooeree v. Anundo Chunder Sannel	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 18 21 W. R., 89	1359, 4135 5912
		3030, 5193
Dwarkanath Misree v. Kanaye Sirdar	16 W. R., 111 {	5199
v. Noboo Sirdar	14 W. R., 193	1622
Dwarkanath Misser v. Hurrish Chunder {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 925 : 4 C. L. R., 130.	5197
Dwarkanath Mitter. Claim of	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 629	2641, 2676
Ex parte	I T. D 2 Colo 59	2639
In re	4 B. L. R., O. C., 63: 15 W. }	2663
v. Birch	15 B. L. R., 338, note	4619
v. Daly	15 B. L. R , 338, note	4619
v. Denonath Bonnerjee	Bourke, O. C., 1'	4613
	7 C. L. R., 233	549, 3013 3834
v. Sarat Kumari Dasi	8 W. R., 103 7 B. L. R., 55	4777
Dwarkanath Moitro v. Kenny	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 .	3315
Dwarkanath Narayan v. Madhavrav Vishvanath	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 207	4341
Dwarkanath Nath Biswas v. Ram Chunder Roy . Dwarkanath Pal v. Grishchunder Bundopadhya	13 W. R., 136 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 827	441 4279, 5353
Dwarka Nath Rai v. Kali Chunder Rai	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 75	1175
Dwarka Nath Roy v. Dinobundhoo Singh Chowdhry	15 W. R., 461	43
v. Jannobee Chowdhrain	4 W. R., 79	5252
v. Woomasoonduree Dassee	19 W. R., 81	$1449 \\ 284$
Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry v. Huronath Roy Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 238	1765
Dwarkanath Sadhoo Khan v. Doorga Churn Saha .	6 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1 .	842
Dwarkanath Sein v. Chunder Mohun Mitter	12 W. R., 326	5304
Dwarkanath Shaha v. Ram Lochun Biswas Dwarkanath Shaw v. Prankristo Paul Chowdhry	10 W. R., 92 Bourke, O. C., 260	297 425
Dwarkauath Singh Roy v. Nubo Coomar Bose	20 W. R., 270	584
Dwarkanath Surmah Mojoomdar v. Unnoda Soonduree .	5 W. R., Mis., 30	997, 1703
Dwarka Parshad Sukul v. Ram Autar Sukul	7 N. W., 281	4539
Dwarka Pershad v. Baukut Nurseya	2 N. W., 184 22 W. R., 38	1488 2954
Dya Chand Oswal v. Mookteeda Dabee	13 W. R., 24	627
Dyaram v. Gouree Shunker	3 N. W., 20	4866
v. Jwala Nath	5 N. W., Ap, 2	3998
Dyebukee Nunduu Sen v. Muddee Mutty Goopta	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 123: 24 W. } R., 478.	5661, 5735
Dyram Sahoo v. Sograh	3 W. R., 174	3282
Dyashunker v. Mahomed Ameenooddeen Khan	3 Agra, 246	2737
Dyce Sombre v. East India Company	4 W. R., P. C. 111 : 7 Moore's }	514
	I. A., 104.	

Name	e-of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ekbal Ali Khan v. Kalee P	ershad .				3 W. R., 68	3335
Ekbal Bahadoor v. Solano				•	2 W. R., 164	1357
kcowree Singh Roy v. Ky	lash Chunder l	Mook	erjee		21 W. R., 45	1848
kin Bibee v. Ashruf Ali	*				1 W. R., 152	3684, 369
		•	•	•	1 11.10, 102	3731
knath bin Madoba. In th	ie matter of				1 Bom., 102	4339
knath S. Gownde v. Jagg	annath S. Gow	nde			I. L. R., 9 Bom., 417	5847
			-		4 B. L. R., A. C., 111: 13 W.	2024
kowri Singh v. Bijaynath	Chattapadhya	•	•	3	R., 11.	3824
				6	2 B. L. R., P. C., 4: 11 W. R.,	
v. Hiralal Se	for			- 3	P. C., 2: 12 Moore's I. A., }	41,739
o. maiai co		•	•	7	136,	32, 100
20-1				C	2 W. R., Act X, 69	1609
kram v. Buhooran	, , .	•	•	•	Z W. K., Act A, by	
kram Hossein v. Kirtee C	nunder .	•	•	•	3 W. R., Mis., 9	669
kram Mundul v. Holodhu	r Pal .				I. L. R., 3 Cale., 271 . }	1622, 16
		•		•	(4965
Ekrar Ali. In re					I. L. R., 6 Calc., 482	2010
Clahee Buksh v. Hajoo .					14 W. R., 33	172, 3
		•	•	٠		5931
v. Jaffur Alg	7				1 N. W., 109 : Ed. 1873, 157 .	579
v, Mohan .		٠.			25 W. R., 9 17 W. R., 360	3726
v, Sheo Nara	in Singh .				17 W. R., 360	3337, 49
lahee Buksh Chowdhry v.	Roopen Teles			- 1	7 W. R. 284	1617
lahee Newoz Khan v. Sub	nrannicea		•	•	5 W R Cr 14	4489
	urumissa.	•	•	÷	7 W. R., 284 5 W. R., Cr., 14 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 825: 3 C. L.)	1
Elahi Buksh v. Marachow				3	R., 593.	742
				7	1 B. L. R., A. C., 43: 10 W. 2	
Elam Paramanick v. Sojait	ullah .			3	R., 85.	5062
Elavarisu Vanamamalai Ra	monnia Taava	erro m	i a 77	. 5		
namamalai Ramanuja Je	oron	ovam	1 U. Y	۶- ۲	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 354	4098
-	-			,	٠.	3758, 41
Elayachanidathil Kombi Ac	chen v . Kenatu	nkora	Laksl	5-1	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 201 .	4272, 50
mi Amma.				5	1. 11. K., 5 Mau., 201 . 5	5386
Eldred. In the matter of				-	1 11-1. 159	
Miles a Observation		•	•	•	1 Hyde, 173	2093
Elias v. Chuckerbutty .		•	•	:	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 223	5537
v. Habool Mooshee M	ooshee .			{	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 18: Bourke, O. C., 382.	3175
				•	Bourke, U. C., 382.	
v. Haboob Mooshee M	looshee .	•		٠	Bourke, O. C., 350	4263
v. Jorawar Mull . v. Mahomed Peezeer v. Titharam Roy .		•	•	٠	24 W. R., 202	773, 41:
- v. Mahomed Peezeer			•	•	W. R., 1864, 217	5105
v. Titharam Roy		•			1 W. R., 164	4203
Elijah v. Aratoon Brothers					14 W. R., 47	5232
Illaiya v. Collector of Saler	n			116	3 Mad., 59 {	5103, 510
		•	•	•		5633
Ellapa Nayak v. Anumati (Foundan .				I. L. R., 7 Mad., 76	3222
Illem v. Basheer				5	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 184: 24)	5129
mom or manufel		•	•	1	W. R., 382.	0120
Elliott. In the goods of .				5	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 106: 2 C. ?	1550
amone in one Soods or .		•	•	į	L. R., 496.	1558
				ř	12 B. L. R., 406: 19 W. R., 194: L. R., I. A., Sup.	
v. Bhoobun Mohun	Bonneriee .			3	194 : L. R., I. A., Sun.	4567
		•	•	1	Vol., 175.	2001
Ellokassee Dossee v. Durpo	narain Bread-			-	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 59	6209
lmam Ali v. Sudderuddeen	naram Dysack	•	•	•	0 W D C. 10	5165
Emam Ali Mestory v. Ator	Ali Khan	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 18	
	am Push .	•	•	•	17 W. R., Cr., 53	5316 4484, 449
Emāmbandee Begum v. Te	D. D. J J					

			,
Name of Case.		Volume and Page. *	Column of Digest.
Emam Momtazoodeen Mahomed v. Rajecomar Doss	(14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R., ?	
Ellamooddeen Soudagan a Ald-1 cr 11	į		3920, 5018
	•	7 W. R., 117 3 C. L. R., 447	4536
Emanuddin Khan v. Ram Kishore Kowar Emanun v. Hardyal Singh	: 1	5 B. L. R., Ap., 30	5090
Emaral Sheikh v. Mohammadi Sheikh	.	W. R., 1864, 301	6089 5240
Empress v. Abdool Karim	:	24 W. R., Cr., 48	5912
	31	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 18: 3 C. L. }	1263
v. Abdool Kurreem	3	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 10: 3 C. L.	
v. Abdul Hakim	3	16., 81.	16, 1262
	.	I. L. R., 3 All., 253	1333
v. Abdulla	:	I. L. R., 3 All., 279 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240	4366
v. Abul Hasan v. Achi		1. L K., 1 All., 497	3617 1954
	. 1	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 161	3628
v. Achiraj Lall	1	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 603 : 3 C. }	1272
v. Ajudhia v. Alim Mundle	.1:	I. L. R., 2 All., 644	
		11 C. L. R., 55	5554 918
v. Allen	1	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83: 6 C. L. ?	1262, 1312
v. Amar Nath	41	R., 463. L. R., 5 All., 318	
v. Amirnddeen .	i I	. L R., 3 Calc., 412 : 1 C. T.	240, 1669
v. Anand Sarup .	<1.	R., 483.	4369
v. Anant Ram	. 1	. L. R., 3 All., 563 . L. R., 4 All., 293	3608, 3609
o. Aunu Knan	: Î	L. R., 6 All., 83	1981 5572
v. Anuntaram Singh	(I	. L. R., 5 Calc., 954: 6 C.)	
v. Arjun	(1	L. R., 297.	1012
v. Arshed Ali	1	3 C. L. R., 125	1953
v. Asgur Hossein	5 I.	. L. R., 6 Calc., 774 : SC. L.)	148
v. Ashgar Ali	()	E., 124.	1836, 6264
v. Asghar Ali	1 7	L. R., 2 All., 260 L. R., 6 All., 61	.008, 1797
v. Ashootosh Chuckerbutty .	ς Î.	L. R., 4 Calc., 483: 3 C.)	5566
v. Ashraf Ali	()	L. R., 270.	1023
v. Bahan Khan	· 1.	L. R., 6 All., 129 L. R., 2 Bom., 142	1671
v. Babua v. Babu Lal	. I.	L. R., 6 All., 132	718 726, 5539
v. Badradin	. I.	L. R., 6 All., 509	1018
v. Bai Baya	• 1.	L. K., 8 Bom., 197	5150
	c T	L. R., 7 Bom., 126 L. R., 3 Calc., 366: 1 C.	3865
v. Bakhshi Ram	{ I.	L. R., 442.	5911
2 Rule Det 1	· I.	L. R., 3 All., 201	272, 4361
v. Baldeo	1.	L. R., 5 Bom., 63	1024
v. Baldeo Sahai	ı i	L. R., 3 All., 322 L. R., 2 All., 253	707, 1319
v. Bal Gangadhar Tilak	I.	L. R., 6 Bom., 285	90, 6269 913
v. Baney Madhub Shaw	5 I.	L. R., 8 Calc., 207 : 10 (1)	501, 502
v. Dauni		L. R., 389. L. R., 2 All., 349	
v. Behala Bibi	(î.	L. R., 6 Calc., 789: 8 C.	2758
v. Behari Lal Bose	4	L. R., 207. C. L. R., 431	4365
			1854

- Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
mpress v. Berrill				I. I. R., 4 All., 141	2903, 516
v. Bhagahan Bhiysan		•	·	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 379	100
v. Bhagaban Bhivsan				I. L. R., 3 All., 383	4061
v. Bhagyanta Ravii .				I. L. R., 7 Bom., 303	3631, 619
v. Bhagvanta Ravji v. Bhagwan Devraj				I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357	5511
v. Bhairon Singh v. Bhawani				I. L. R., 3 All., 338	1013
- v. Bhawani				I. L. R., 3 All., 338 I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675 .	1022
v. Dhawani				I. L. R., 2 Bom., 525	6161
				I. L. R., 4 All., 182	1957
v. Bhawani Prasad v. Bhoirub Chunder Dutt v. Bhupal				10 C. L. R., 193	4362
v. Bhupal				I. L. R., 6 All., 380	2598
				I. L. R., 2 All., 570 I. L. R., 2 All., 771	1305
				I. L. R., 2 All., 771	4749
				6 C. L. R., 278	1795
v. Brojokant Roy Chowdhry	٠.			I. L. R., 9 Calc., 637	4107
v. Brojokant Roy Chowdhry v. Brojonath Dey				I. L. R., 2 Cale., 425	502
v. Budh Singh				I. L. R., 2 All., 101 . {	1327, 386 5561
			- /	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 63: 1 C. L.	
			1	R., 161; and on appeal, I.	
v. Burah			- ?	L. R., 4 Calc., 172: 3 C. L.	2900, 290
			1	R., 197 : L. R., 5 I. A.,	
v. Butokisto Dass			Ì	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 59: 6 C. L.	1222
the state of the s			(R., 374.	4001
v. Butto Kristo Doss .			•	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 497	4691
v. Chaitram			,	I. L. R., 6 All., 103 . I. L. R., 7 Calc., 65 : 8 C. L. 7	1542, 196 1024
v. Charu Nayiah	•		,	R, 353. I. L. R., 2 Calc., 354	1328
			•	I. L. R., 2 All., 33	2779, 405
v. Cheda Khan		•	•	I. L. R., All., 404	1855
v. Cheda Khan	•			7 C. L., R., 142	5155
v. Chidda Khan .		•	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 573	6248
		•		I. L. R., 5 Cale., 121: 4 C. ?	
v. Chunder Nath Dutt		•	ં	L. R., 305.	6261
v. Counsell				I. L. R., 8 Calc., 896	913
v. Dabee Pershad .			{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 530: 7 C. L. R., 541.	1016
v				I. L. R., 6 Calc., 532	1792
v. Dahia			•	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121 : 8 C. L.)	
a Doi: Novem				R., 300.	1013, 102
v. Daji Narsu			• •	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 288 I. L. R., 1 All., 461	5562
		•	٠,	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 384:1 C. L.	0002
v. Dedar Sircar .		•	3	R., 95.	5541
v. Deoki Nandan Lal		-	٤	L. L. R., 2 All., 806 .	908
v. DeSilva		•	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 479	1319
v. Dharam Das			•	I. L. R., 1 All., 635	1855
v. Dhononjoy Baraj		•	í	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 540: 1 C. L.)	2755
	•	•	Ś	R, 478.	
v. Dhuniram			•	5 C. L. R., 366	4736
v. Dhunno Kazi .			•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 121	6260
v. Dhunum Kazoe .			9	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 53: 11 C. L.)	2013, 616
				R., 169.	6164
v. Diljour Misser v. Din Ali				I. L. R., 2 Calc., 225	4119
v. Din Ali				I. L. R., 6 All., 482	6189

Name of Case.		******		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
mpress v. Dinonath Roy .			ſ	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 166: 10 C. ?	
". Dongaji Andaji .	-	•.	ş		1324
v. Donnelly			٠	1. L. R., 2 Bom., 564	264
		•	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 405	5150, 51
v. Dosabhoy Framji . v. Dossaji Gulam Husein			. !	I. L. R., 3 All., 214	5158, 62
o. Dossaji Gulam Husein o. Dwarkanath Chowdhry				L. L. R., 3 Born 994	654 1838, 21
v. Dwarka Prasad				I. L. R., 2 Calc., 399	5838, 58
o. Dwarka Trasad .	•			I. L. R., 6 All., 97	735, 43
v. Ekrar Ali v. Fateh			.	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 482	4356
Torr			.		2010
v. Futteh Jya Khan	•	•	.	I. L. R., 2 All 599	1301, 20 1336
v. Gangadhur Bhunjo	•	•	:	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 570 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 622 : 2 C. L. ?	5604
			3	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 622 : 2 C. L. ?	
v. Ganraj.				R., 179. I. L. R., 2 All., 444	3631
v. Gasper			.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	1023
v. Gayadin				L. L. R. 6 All 49	3781 1974
v. Gobardhan Das	•	•		I. L. R., 4 All 140	252
v. Golam Mahomed	•	•		1. 14. 16. 3 All 62	315, 55
•	•	•	31	L. L. R., 4 Calc., 18 : 3 C. L.	1263
v. Gonesh Dooley				I. L. R., 5 Calc., 351 : 4 C T. 3	
v. Gopal Dhanuk				R., 580. L. R., 7 Calc., 90:8 C. L.	4060
v. Gowdapa	•	•			4431
	•		. 1	L. L. R., 7 Calc., 87: 8 C. L.	5157
v. Grish Chunder Nundi .			1	L. R., 7 Calc., 87 : 8 C. L.]	1958
v. Grish Chunder Talukdar			al r	L. R., 5 Calc., 614:5 C.	1990
- v. Gurdu	•	•			6268
v. Hait Ram	•		. I.	L. R., 3 All., 129	1536
v. Halodhur Poros	•	•	. 1.	L. R., 3 All., 404	1855
- v. Haradhan Townsi I. I.	atter of	r.	. 1	L. R., 2 Calc., 383 C. L. R., 511	4371
			. I.	L. R., 3 Calc., 189	260
v. Harakhnath Singh v. Haran Chunder Mitter			Î.	L. R., 4 All. 27	6166
v. Hari Kisto Biswas		. ,	. 6		94, 1668 1791
	• .	• .	. 5	C. L. R., 209	1010
- v. Hary Dovel Kores been	•	: .	1.	L. R., 10 Bom., 185	4355
o. Menatura	:		1 7	L. R., 4 Calc., 16	05, 5157
- v. Hurro Kole			I T	L. R., 3 Calc., 389 L. R., 9 Calc., 288	81,6425
v. Husen v. Idu Beg			1 4.	L. R. 5 Bom 200	2104
- v. Ilahi Bakhsh			I.	L. R., 3 All., 776	2624 1337
- v. Imambu	•	٠.	1 1.	L. E., 2 All., 910	3621
a Tandamin	•		1.	L. R., 4 Bom., 167	3625
v. Irad Ally	•	٠ .	1 1.	L. R., 3 All., 837	4117
v. irbasapa			T	L. R., 4 Calc., 869 L. R., 4 Bom., 479	5527
- v. Ishan Chundra De			l i i	L. R., 9 Calc., 847: 12 C.	56, 5510
- v. Jafar M. Talab	•	. {			2,5160
- v. Jagannath	٠.		I. J	L. R., 5 Bom., 85	255
- v. Jallu	٠.		1. 1	4. K., 3 All., 258	1265
— v. Jamni	٠.		1. 1	L. R., 4 All., 216	5854
•			1.1		1959

		Name of Ca	se.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	_					(I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C.)	
_	v. Jamoona			•	•	į	L. R., 215.	1954
	- v. Jangbir - v. Janki .		•	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 4 All., 150	918
			•	•	•	- ;	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 625 : 8 C.	16, 585
	v. Janokinath	Gupta	•	•	•	. {	L. R., 56.	4447
	v. Jhubboo M	ahton .		٠,		{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739: 12 C. L. R., 233.	723, 126 1804, 606 6266
	- v. Jogessur M	ochi .					I. L. R., 3 Cale., 379	1126, 132
	- v. Joy Hari K	or .					I. L. R., 2 Calc., 356	2625
	v. Jualaprosad	ι.	•				I. L. R., 5 All., 62	1316
			•	•	•		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	5558
	v. Judoonath	Gangooly	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 273	251
	- v. Juwanana		•	•	٠	. •	I. L. R., 5 All., 221	2010
	- v. Jyadulla - v. Kakde		•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 436 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	251 1513
	· v. Kalachand	Dogg	•	•	•	·	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 14:6 C. L.	_
	'v. Kali Churn		•	•	•	ί	R., 128.	5537, 55
	- a Kallaa		•	•	•		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154 I. L. R., 5 All., 233	6266 148
	- v. Kallu .	•	•		•	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 60	4369
	- v	: :	:	:	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 366	3618
	- v. Kamnta Pr	rasad .	÷	÷	:	:	I. L. R., 1 All., 530	4692
	- v. Kamta Pra	sad .				:	I. L. R., 4 All., 212	4721
	- v. Kamta Pra - v. Kanchan S	ingh .			٠.		I. L. R., 1 All., 413	1304
	– v. Karan Sinc	rh					I. L. R., 1 All., 680	5158
	- v. Karim Bal	sh .		•	٠		I. L. R., 2 All., 386	25, 253
	- v. Kashi . - v. Kashmiri 1			٠	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 447	981, 62
			•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 625	1319
	- v. Kassim K	han .		•	•	- }	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121 : 8 C. L. R., 300.	1966
	v. Keshub Mo	haian .			_	(I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985: 11 C.	2899
	- v. Ketabdi M	undul .			Ĭ.		L. R., 241. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 764	.1338
	- v. Khamir		_	•	•		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 662:10)	1306
				•	•	Š	C. L. R., 8. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 717: 6 C.	1000
	- v. Kherode C			r .	•	- }	L. R., 118.	4383
	- v. Khimehand	l Narayan	٠.				I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
	- v. Khodai Sir	gh .					3 C. L. R., 527	5563
	- v. Khogayi - v. Kishna		• •	•			I. L. R., 2 Mad., 122	1331
			•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 713	4365
	- v. Kola Lalan	g .				{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 214: 10 C. }	501, 58
	- v. Kudrutoola	h .				Ş	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 495: 2 C.	1286, 129
	TT /					Ę	L. R., 2. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 223: 11 C.	
	v. Kyte			•	•	į	L. R., 427.	502
	- v. Lachman S		•				I. L. R., 2 All., 398	5156, 560
	- v. Lakshman		•	•	•	. •	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 124	1024
	- v. Lakshman	Sakharan	٠.		٠.		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481 {	972, 12: 5522
-	- v. Lalai .						I. L. R., 2 All., 301	4623, 60
	~ v. Lingam Ra	manna .			:	:	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 137	15
	- v. Madho						I. L. R., 4 All., 498	4357

· Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
mpress v. Mannoo Tamoolee. In re			٤	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 696 : 4 C. L.)	1011
v. McLeod			. 5	R., 137.	1011
v. Maganial	•	•	.	I. L. R., 3 All., 342	1512
2 Magnine	•	•	:	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 622	1952
v. Mahadu	•	•	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 124: 4 C. } L. R., 432.	2904
v. Mahadu			4	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 690	
		Ĭ.	:	I. L. R., 1 All., 638	5571
v. Mahomed Yashin			. 1	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 101	1856 5168
v. Mahuddi			1	11	5547, 616
37.31	•	•	. 1	6 C. L. R., 349	6163
v. Malka .			.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 731	5883
				I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643	1277
n Marhar Hussin	•		.	I. L. R., 2 All., 340	253
v. Mecha	•	•	.	I. L. R., 5 All., 553	1979, 2012
v. Mazhar Husain v. Megha v. Mhasnya Rama	•	•		1. L. R., I All., 637	5571
v. Mohan Lal	•		•	1, L. K., 7 Bom., 280	5561
	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 46	1006
	•	•		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 765	400
	•	. •	1	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 338	914, 2910
v. Mukhun Kumar	•	•	1	I. L. R., 3 All., 545 1 C. L. R., 275	5168
v. Mula	:	•	1	L. L. R., 2 All, 105	3161, 6165
v. Mulu		: :	1	T. R 2 All 646	1972
	·	: :	11	I. L. R., 2 All., 646 I. L. R., 1 All., 599	023,6250 4118
v. Municipal Corporation of t	the Toy	vn of		L. R., 3 Calc., 758 : 2 C. L.)	
Mr. 11.01.41.5				R., 520.	5509
v. Murari			LI	. L. R., 8 Calc., 616	1014
			I	. L. R., 4 All., 147	2758
	•		1 1	. L. E., 2 All., 336	5150
v. Nana Rahim	• "		I	. L. R., 2 All., 53	255
	•	• •	1	. L. R., 5 Bom., 140	5572
v. Narotam Das			1 -	7 7 0 111 11	18, 5150
• •	•		11	. L. R., 6 All., 98 } 5	515, 5522
v. Nathu Khan			lτ	. L. R., 4 All., 417 2	5529
v. Nawab		: :		L. R., 2 All., 835	000, 5165 5540
v. Niaz Ali		•	1		710, 1968
v. Nilambhar Babu			1.	L. R., 5 All., 17	978, 5853
v. Ninembnar Babu			1.	L. R., 2 All., 276 5	147, 5885
o. Ivipena					. 5530
- v. Nistar Raur .			I.	L. R., 6 Calc., 163; 7 C.	
NT 11		· - Ł	1	L. R., 197.	4686
v. Nobin Chunder Dutt .	٠.	. {	Į.	L. R., 4 Calc., 865 : 4 C. }	4739
www.a. Nahin Cl. 1 75 m		,	-	L. R., 243.	
v. Nobin Chundra Banikya		. 3	1.	L. R., 8 Calc., 560: 10 C.	346, 1154
v. Nobe Coomar Pal .		Ç	T	L. R., 369.	165, 5945
v. Nobogopal Bose		•		L. R., 6 Calc., 621 L. R., 6 Calc., 491	501 6029
v. Nuddiar Chand Shaw			Ť.	L. R., 6 Calc., 832 : 8 C. L. ?	0029
-		{	٠.	R., 152.	501,502
v. Nurul Huqq		- :	I.	L. R., 3 Cale., 757 : 2 C. ?	
v. O'Brien		{	1	L. R., 408.	4741
- a Padmanuli D			I.	L. R., 408. L. R., 2 All., 766	1336
			I.	L. R., 2 Bom., 384	5522
v. Pancham v. Pandharinath				L. R., 4 All., 198	1016
· ·			I.	L. R., 6 Bom., 34	1016

								Column
-	Name of	Case.					Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Impress	v. Jamoona .					{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620: 8 C. L. R., 215.	1954
	v. Janchir					,	I. L. R., 4 All., 150	918
	v. Jangbir v. Janki	:	:	:	:		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 82	16, 585
	v. Janokinath Gupta			-			I. L. R., 6 Calc., 625: 8 C.	4447
•	or ounominum Cupta		•	•	• .		L. R., 56.	723, 126
	v. Jhubboo Mahton					5	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739: 12 C.	1804, 606
	o. on ananon manton	•	•	• .	•	- 7	L. R., 233.	6266
	v. Jogessur Mochi						I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379	1126, 1325
	as Toro Hami L'an						I. L. R., 2 Calc., 356	2625
	v. Jualaprosad		•				I. L. R., 5 All., 62	1316
	v. Juddur Kazi v. Judoonath Gangoo v. Juwanand						I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718	5558
	- v. Judoonath Gangoo	ly					I. L. R., 2 Calc., 273	251
	v. Juwanand	•					I. L. R., 5 All., 221	2010
	v. Jyadulla .	•	•		•	•	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 436	251
	v. Kakde	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298	1513
	v. Kalachand Dass					- {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 14: 6 C. L. R., 128.	5537, 554
	'v. Kali Churn Chuna	ri.				C	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	6266
	- v. Kallee		•	•	•	۰	I. L. R., 5 All., 233	148
	- v. Kallee - v. Kallu	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 60	4869
			•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 366	3618
		:	•	:	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 530	4692
	- v. Kamta Prasad				- :	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 212	4721
	v. Kamta Prasad v. Kamta Prasad v. Kanchan Singh v. Karan Singh v. Karim Baksh	:	·			- 1	I. L. R., 1 All., 413	1304
	- v. Karan Singh						T. L. R., 1 All., 680	5158
	- v. Karim Baksh						I. L. R., 1 All., 680 I. L. R., 2 All., 386	25, 253
	- v. Kasui						I. L. R., 2 All., 447	981, 626
	- v. Kashmiri Lal						1 T L R. 1 All. 625	1319
	- v. Kassim Khan					{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 121: 8 C.	1966
	T MARKET MARKET	•	•	•	•	į	L. R., 300.	2000
	- v. Keshub Mohajan					. {	I. L. R., 8 Calé., 985: 11 C.	2899
	v. Ketabdi Mundul					. (L. R., 241. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 764	.1338
		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 662:10)	
	- v. Khamir .						C. L. R., 8.	1306
	MM 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4					۷	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 717:6 C.	l
	– v. Kherode Chunder	Moz	umdar			્ટ્રે	L. R., 118.	4383
	v. Khimchand Naray	an					I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	2906
	v. Kumenand Naray v. Khodai Singh v. Khogayi v. Kishna		·		·		3 C. L. R., 527	5563
	- v. Khogayi .						I, L. R., 2 Mad., 122	1331
	v. Kishna						I. L. R., 2 All., 713	4365
	- v. Kola Lalang					(I. L. R., 8 Calc., 214: 10 C.)	E01 E01
	v. non Daning	•	•	•	•	{	L. R., 155.	501, 582
-	- v. Kudrutoolah					ç	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 495: 2 C.	1286, 129
	or actual tecopian	•	•	•	•	į	L. R., 2.	1200, 120
	- v. Kyte					- {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 223: 11 C.	502
	•	•	•	•	•	- (L. R., 427.	
	- v. Lachman Singh	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 398	5156, 560
	- v. Lakshman Bala	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 124	1024
	- v. Lakshman Sakhar	am			٠.		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 481	972, 128
	- v. Lalai						' '	5522
	- v. Laiai - v. Lingam Ramanna	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 301	4623, 604
	- v. Lingam Ramanna - v. Madho		•	•	•		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 137 I. L. R., 4 All., 498	15 4357
		•						

· Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress v. Mannoo Tamoolee. In re			(I. L. R., 4 Cale., 696 : 4 C. L.)	1011
	٠	•	3	R., 137. I. L. R., 3 All., 342	1512
v. McLeod	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 622	1952
	•	•	;	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 124: 4 C.	
v. Maguire	•	•	{	L. R., 432.	2904
v. Mahadu				I. L. R., 6 Bom., 690	5571
v. Mahindra Lal v. Mahomed Yashin			•	I. L. R., 1 All., 638	1856
		•	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 101	5168 5547, 616
v. Mahuddi				6 C. L. R., 349	6163
v. Malhari				I. L. R., 6 Bom., 731	5883
v. Malka				I. L. R., 2 Bom., 643	1277
v. Manga				I. L. R., 2 All., 340	253
v. Mazhar Husain	•		•	I. L. R., 5 All., 553	1973, 2015
v. Mazhar Husain v. Megha v. Mhasnya Rama	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 637 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 280	5571 5561
v. Mohan Lal	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 46	1006
v. Mohim Chunder Rai	:	:	:	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 765	400
v. Mohan Lal. v. Mohim Chunder Rai v. Moorga Chetty				I. L. R., 5 Bom., 338	914, 2910
v. Muhammad Jafir v. Mukhun Kumar v. Mula				I. L. R., 3 All., 545	5168
v. Mukhun Kumar	•	•	**	1 C. L. R., 275	6161,616
v. Mulu	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All , 105	1972 $1023,6250$
v. Mulua	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 646 I. L. R., 1 All., 599	4118
v. Municipal Corporation of	the	Town	ofic	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 758: 2 C. L. }	
v. Mulu v. Mulua v. Mulua v. Municipal Corporation of Calcutta,	0220	20112	~ { l	R., 520.	5509
				I. L. R., 8 Calc., 616	1014
v. Murari v. Murli				I. L. R., 4 All., 147	2758
v. Nadua	•	•		I. L. R., 2 All., 336	$\frac{5150}{255}$
v. Nana Rahim	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 53 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 140	5572
a s	•	•		1. H. R., 6 Dolli, 140	918, 5150
v. Narotam Das			.	I. L. R., 6 All., 98 }	5515, 5522
			- 1	Q	5529
v. Nathu Khan v. Nawab			.	I. L. R., 4 All., 417	2000, 5168
				I. L, R., 2 All., 835	5540
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			.	I. L. R., 5 All., 17	710, 1968 1978, 585
v. Nilambhar Babu				I. L. R., 2 All., 276 .	5147, 588
v. Nipeha	:			I. L. R., 4 Calc., 712	. 5530
v. Nistar Raur			5	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 163: 7 C. \$	4686
or trades teleti	•	•		L. R., 197.	2000
- v. Nobin Chunder Dutt			§	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 865: 4 C. }	4739
			٤	L. R., 243. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 560: 10 C. }	346, 115
v. Nobin Chundra Banikya			- 3	L. R., 369.	5165, 594
v. Nobo Coomar Pal			,	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 621 .	501
v. Nobogopal Bose				I. L. R., 6 Calc., 491 .	6029
v. Nuddiar Chand Shaw			Ś	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 832 : 8 C. L. }	501,500
	•	•	5	R., 152.	552,00
v. Nurul Huqq			5	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 757: 2 C. }	4741
v. O'Brien			ſ	L. R., 408.	1336
v. O'Brien v. Padmanabh Pai	•		•	I. L. R., 2 All., 766 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 384	5522
v. Pancham	. :	:	:	I. L. R., 4 All., 198	1016
v. Pandharinath	•	-		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 34	1016

Name of Cas	se.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
impress v. Panjab Singh .						I. L. R., 6 Calc., 579	1287
v. Parabu					٠.	I. L. R., 5 All., 598	1956
v. Paramanada .					۶.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 85: 13 C.	2905
v. Partab						L. R., 375.	5539, 556
	•		•	•			6190 · 5558
v Penantle	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 121 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 971	2937
v. Pitamber Jina	•	:	:			I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61	1015
v. Pitambur Singh	_				1	I. L. R., 5 Cale., 566: 5 C. L. ?	148
		•	•	•	(R., 597.	
v. Pitam Rai	•	•	•		:	I. L. R., 5 All., 215	1956 1315, 131
v. Popat Nathu v. Poreshollah Sheikh	•	•	•	•	÷	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287 7 C. L. R., 143	716
		•	•	•	5	I. L. R., S Cale., 969 : 11 C.)	
v. Prankrishna Surma		•	•	•	ł	L. R., 6.	1339, 293
v. Prayag Siugh						I. L. R., 9 Calc., 103	4096
v. Radha Kishan	•	•	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 5 All., 36	1956, 435
v. Radoinath Shaha	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 195 I. L. R., 2 All., 351	715, 128 4724
v. Raghubar	•		•	•	í	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 573: 1 C. L.)	3867, 448
v. Rajcoomar Singh .	•	•	•		į	R., 352: 2 C. L. R., 62.	5945, 625
a Rama Birapa						I. L. R., 3 Bom., 12	1016, 102
v. Ram Adhin .						I. L. R., 2 All., 139	2757
v. Ramanand		•	•		٠	I. L. R., 3 All., 664	1513
v. Ramanjiyya .			٠	•	٠	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 5	1013, 203
v. Rama Prema	•		•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 239 I. L. R., 1 All., 664, 675 .	1297, 557 1022
v. Ram Chand .		:	:	:	:	I. L. R., 3 All., 778	5572
v. Rameshar Rai						I. L. R., 1 All., 379	5881
v. Ram Kuar						I. L. R., 2 All., 723	5653
v. Ram Lall						I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875	4748
v. Ram Lal Singh		•	•		٠	I. L. R., 6 All., 40	5156
20 0	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 121 I. L. R., 5 All., 7	5558 1043
v. Ram Saran	:	:	:		•	11 C. L. R., 642	4371
v. Randhir Singh				Ċ		I. L. R., 3 All., 597	1337
v. Rango Timaji						I. L. R., 6 Bom., 402 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 352: 8 C. L. ?	5881
v. Riasat Ali alias Ba	bu l	liya e	atias	Bodiuz	٠ ﴿		452, 201
zuma,					(R., 572.	
v. Rochia Mohato					3	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42: 8 C. L. R., 273.	722, 183 2922
T 11 17					è	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 31: 4 C. L.)	
v. Rohimuddin .	•	•		•	į	R., 285.	1334
v. Rulin-nd-din						I. L. R., 4 All., 5	6256
v. Sabsukh						I. L. R., 2 All., 533 . {	5512, 551 5521, 552
v. Sadanand Krishnaji						I. L. R., 8 Bom., 151	620
v. Cafatulla .					:	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 815	1337
v. Sagambur						12 C. L. R., 120 {	918, 101
	-	•	•	•	•		1800, 626
v. Sahae Rai					ſ	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 623 : 2 C. L.	1334, 616
v. Sajiwan Lal .					(R., 304. 5 I. L. R., 5 All., 386	6166 262
- v. Salik			÷	÷	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 527	1954
		-	-	-	Ġ	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 582 : 8 C. L.)	
v. Salik Roy					· i	R., 255.	1956

	Name of	Case.				Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
	v. Samiruddin . v. Sarmukh Singh v. Seymour . Shaylan				المامل المامل لعيمل المامل . لعمل ا	I. L. R., 8 Cale, 211: 10 C. L. R., 11. I. L. R., 2 All., 218 I. L. R., 1 All., 630 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 657 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 403 I. L. R., 5 Cale, 331: 10 C. L. R., 290 I. L. R., 6 Cale, 584: 8 C. L. R., 265 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 14 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 15 I. L. R., 8 Cale, 259: 10 C. L. R., 365 I. L. R., 8 Cale, 259: 10 C. L. R., 365 I. L. R., 8 Cale, 259: 10 C. L. R., 241 I. L. R., 421 I. L. R., 421 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 645 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 645 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 645 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 645 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 645 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 644 I. L. R., 2 All., 645 I. L. R., 6 Cale., 624	of Digest. 712, 1794 2908 1856 2009 4710 1670 1958 261, 3855 5993 5851 6277 2759 4378 1319 5563
Empress Dinona Empress	v. Sunker Gope v. Surjanarain Dass v. Tarachand Bagdi v. Tegia Singh v. Thacoor Dyal Sing v. Thompson v. Tika Singh v. Troylukhonath Che v. Tsit Ooe v. Tucker v. Udit Prasad	owdhry	of B	-	₹	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 807; 7 C. I } R., 411. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88 7 C. L. R., 168 I. L. R., 18 Calc., 473 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 473 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 420 I. L. R., 108 I. L. R., 2 All., 339 I. L. R., 2 All., 339 I. L. R., 2 All., 339 I. L. R., 525 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 667 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 667 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 985 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 664 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 187 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 187 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 187 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 187 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 187 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 187 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 655 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 565 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 565 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 565 I. L. R., 5 All., 253	2911 2897 1304 391 4496 391 4496 1536, 4385 15, 5994 254 6070 2899 16, 587 2937 2757 718, 100 2029 1803 1008 1324 387, 448 5945, 625
Empress Brojo I	on the prosecution of Nath Dey. on the prosecution of				- 5	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 425 . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 523: 8 C. L. R., 106.	502

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Empress on the prosecution of Malcolm v. Gasper	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	3781
Empress on the prosecution of Michell v. Joggessur	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 379	1126, 1322
Mochi. Emrit Lall v. Kidd	Cor., 46: 2 Hyde, 117	2802
Emrit Lall Salieram v. Kidd	2 Hyde, 116	5581
Enget Ali n. Kuhur Rov	2 W. R., 289	4027
Enact Ali n. Kuhur Roy	1 W. R. 21	1126, 1393
- v. Sobhnath Misser	15 W. R., 258	3840
Enaet Ali Khan v. Kumola Koonwar	11 W. R., 261	3260
Enaet Hossein v. Khoobunnissa	11 W. R., 320	3685
v. Kureemoonissa	3 W. R., 40	321, 3684 406
v. Nujecboonissa Begum	11 W. R., 138	2080, 3633
v. Roshan Jahan	5 W. R., 4	3634, 3747
Enactoollah v. Ameer Buksh alias Moheeoollah	25 W. R., 225	4997
Enactoollah Chowdhry v. Kishen Soondur Surma	8 W. R., 386	4460, 5811
Enamandaram Venkayya v. Venkata Narayana Reddi .	1 Mad., 75	3584
Enayet Ali v. Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq	22 W. R., 534	· 2708
Enayet Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., Cr., 1	1260
v. Ahmed Reza	7 Moore's I. A., 238	3123
v. Deedar Bux	W. R., 1864, Act X, 97	1754
	2 Agra, 276	28
u Cuithaui Tan	2 B. L. R., P. C., 75: 11 W.)	3435
v. Gridhari Lall }	R., P. C., 29:12 Moore's I. A., 366.	9499
v. Khoobunissa	9 W. R., 246	1761, 2743
	14 B. L. R., 155: 22 W. R.,	1
v. Muddun Monee Shahoon .	411.	1161
v. Ramzan Ali	1 B. L. R., A. C., 172: 10 W.	3644
v. ramzan An	R., 216.	9044
v. Roushan Jehan	1 B. L. R., F. B., 1: 10 W.)	267
	R., F. B., 1.	
Enayetoollah v. Meajan	16 W. R., 6	304
v. Radha Churn Roy	15 W. R., 395	1038
Enayetoollah Meah v. Nubo Coomar Sircar . Enayutoollah v. Elahee Buksh .	20 W. R., 207 W. R., 1864, Act X, 42	1621 2, 2993
Endar Lala v. Lallu Hari	7 Bom., A. C., 111	2992
English. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 378	2676
Equitable Coal Company v. Gonesh Chunder Baneriee .	9 C. L. R., 276	2053
Erad Ali v. Nusibun Nissa Bibee	4 C. L. R., 534	980
Erakshah Dhanjiseth v. Adarji Dorabji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 535	1234
Erajabi v. Mayan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 118	3156
Erambapalli Korapen Nayar v. Erambapalli Chenen	6 Mad., 411	3756
Nayar.	11 '	
Eranjoli Illath Vishuu Nambudri v. Eranjoli Illath Krishnan Nambudri.	I, L. R., 7 Mad., 3	1711, 3753
Erasha Kaikhusru v. Jerbai	I. L. R., 4 Bom , 537	4242, 6202
Eravanni Revivarman v. Ittapu Revivarman	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 153	3759, 3761
Erfoonissa Chowdhrain v. Rukeeboonissa	9 W. R., 457	3835, 3842
Erskine. In the matter of	18 W. R., 511	5926
v. Dhun Kishen Sein	8 W. R., 291	3929
v. Government	8 W. R., 232	1763, 2036
v. Government	6 W. R., 10.	2035
	0 19 . 10	
v. Manick Singh Ghatwal v. Ram Coomar Rov	8 W. R., 220	4864, 4865
	8 W. R., 220 9 W. R., 518 9 W. R., 520	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Erskine & Co. v. Okhoy Chunder Dutt Ertaza Hossein v. Bany Mistry Ertozah Hossein v. Huree Pershad Singh Esab v. Krishna Nurain Dey Esains w. Gabriel Esau Ahmed v. Jassim Binsaff Eshan Chunder Banerjee v Hurish Chunder Shaha v. Kristo Gutty Nag v. Nund Coomar Banerjee v. Zaunduroonissa Khatoon Eshan Chunder Bose v. Juggobundhoo Ghose v. Zaunduroonissa Khatoon Eshan Chunder Bose v. Hurrish Chunder Soudagur Eshan Chunder Ghose v. Hurrish Chunder Banerjee Eshan Chunder Ghose v. Hurrish Chunder Banerjee Eshan Chunder Ghose v. Hurrish Chunder Banerjee Eshan Chunder Ghose v. Hurrish Chunder Ghose Eshan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Hurish Chunder Ghose Eshan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Hurish Chunder Ghose Eshan Chunder Sin v. Monmohini Dassi Eshan Chunder Sin v. Dhonaye v. Huree Churn Dey Eshan Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn Bhutto Eshan Chunder Singh v. Shami Churn Bhutto Eshan Chunder Singh v. Shami Essaij Adamji v. Bhimji Purshotam Essan Chunder Unckerbutty v. Soorjo Lall Gossain Essan Chunder Manick v. Seebjoy Thakoor Esna Ali Khan v. Sliib Shunkur Shahaye Etware Lushkur v. Jadub Chunder Haldar Etwari v. Ram Narayan Ram Eusuf Ali v. Azumtoonissa Evans. In the matter of the petition of Everet v. Frere Ewart, Latham, & Co. v. Muhammad Siddik Ewaz v. Mokuna Bili Ewig v. Choonee Lall Mullick v. Qosaidas Ghose	W. R., 1864, 58 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 130: 11 C. } L. R., 983. } 5 W. R., 281 11 C. L. R., 164 3 N. W., 32 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 251 24 W. R., 146 14 W. R., 377 8 W. R., 239 17 W. R., 468 8 W. R., 98 14 B. L. R., 143: 22 W. R., 51 10 W. R., 412 Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 286 10 B. L. R., Ap., 5: 18 W. } R., 19 16 W. R., 233 21 W. R., 137 16 W. R., 79 11 L. R., 4 Calc., 683 11 W. R., 61 2 W. R., 278 13 W. R., 334 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 87: 6 W. R., P. C., 57: 11 Moore's I. A., 7. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 357 16 W. R., 293 2 N. W., 470 3 Agra, 60: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1864, 142. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 550 3 Agra, 255 4 Bom., O. C., 125 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 3. W. R., F. B., 1: Marsh., 139. Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 236: W. R., F. B., 1: Marsh., 139. Marsh., 270: 2 Hay, 286: W. R., F. B., 71. Marsh., 485: 2 Hay, 503 W. R., 1864, 49 2 Mad., 473 I. L. R., Ap., 71: 13 W. R., 1864, 49 2 Mad., 473 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 205 4 Bom., O. C., 133 I. L. R., 8, Mad., 205 4 Bom., O. C., 131 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 205 4 Bom., O. C., 131 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 205 4 Bom., O. C., 133 I. L. R., Ap., 7	3010 5317 551, 562 3855, 3875 311, 2742 1756 4210 4587, 6097 6226 4955 2005 4810 2683 3213 4609, 6148 3558 2008, 5520 5778 1683 4954 3558

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
F		
Paez Bursh Chowdhry v. Sadut Ali Khan Faez Eux Chowdhry v. Fakiruddin Makomed Ahasan (Chowdhry, Fagan v. Chunder Kant Banerjee - v. Dossee Fahamidannissa Begum v. Secretary of State for India Fahimunnissa v. Ajudhin Prasad Faiz Ali. In the matter of - v. Koromdi Faizallah v. Ramkanal Mitter Fajalah Ali Miah v. Kamaruddin Ehnya Fakaruddin Mahomed Ahsan v. Official Trustee of Bengal gal. Faker Bux v. Chutturdharee Chowdhry	23 W. R., 282 9 B. L. R., 456: 14 Moore's I. } A., 234. 7 W. R., 452 Marsh., 226 I. L. R., 14 Calc., 67 I. L. R., 14 Calc., 67 I. L. R., 6 All., 170 S. C. L. R., 325 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 28 2 B. L. R., O. C., 7 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 170 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 170 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 538 12 B. L. R., 513, note: 14 W. }	1928, 3496 478 4583, 5829 5358 65 172, 4086 6272 6272 4603 1000 804 5425
Fakeer Chand Lall. In the matter of Fakeer Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of Fakeer Rohoman v. Bhabo Soondery Debea.	R., 209. 3 20 W. R., 470	5937 1153 532
Fakharoddeen Mahomed Ahsan v. Pogose	R., 573. J. L. R., 8 Calc., 178: 10 C. L. R., 176: L. R., 8 I. A., 197.	3326 1480, 3326 3326 4783
Faki v. Khotu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 590 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 425	3774 5131, 513:
Fakira v. Basapa Mahadan Shetti Fakira Dobey v. Gopi Lall Fakirapa bin Satyapa v. Chanapa bin Chanmalapa Fakirapa bin Satyapa v. Chanapa bin Chanmalapa Fakirapa v. Pandurangapa Fakir Chand v. Fouzdar Misser v. Thakur Singh	8 Bom, A. C., 234 } 6 C. L. R., 66 10 Bom, 162 1. L. R., 6 Bom, 7 1. L. R., 7 All., 376 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 547 7 B. L. R., 614: 15 W. R., 421 4 B. L. R., F. B., 130: 13 W. ?	5135 2191 2395 5241, 5245 3965 1876 1408
Fakir Chand Bose v. Madan Mohun Ghose	R., F. B., 40. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 93: 10 W.	841 1505, 1590
Fakir Chand Govindram v. Kahandas Bhagwandas Fakirchand Motichand v. Motichand Hurruckchand Fakir Mahomed Khan v. Fazeluddeen Khan Fakir Muhammad v. Ghulam Husain v. Tirumala Chariar	R., 403. 3 Bom., A. C., 167 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 438 4 C. L. R., 256 I. L. R., 1 All., 580 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205 B. L. R., Sup., Vol., 35: W.)	3066 4773 2163, 2660 4831 3490, 3521 3059
Fakir Rawot v. Emambaksh	R., F. B., 143. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 53: 11 W. R.,	3710
Fakiruddin Mohammed Ahasan v. Phillips Fakiruddin Mohammed Asan Chowdhry v. Najumun- fissa Chowdhrain. Fakrudin. In re the petition of	464. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 264 : 11 W. R., 145. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 40	2990 279 3607, 3738
Fakuruddeen Mahomed Assau v. Official Trustee of { Bengal. Fakurudin Sahib v. Ackeni Sahib	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 82 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 197	1865 111

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Falle v. MacEwen	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 1: 8 C. L.	4063
	R., 577. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 463 : L. R., 2	2217, 2271
Fanindra Deb Raikat v. Rajeswar Das . •	12 I. A., 72.	
Faqueeroonissa Begum v. Sufdar Ali	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 119	3733 5408
Fareed Alum v. Sheo Charun Ram	4 N. W., 37	5970
Farid Ahmad v. Dulari Bibi Farid-unnissa. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 All., 92	913
Farman Khan v. Bharat Chandra Shaha Chowdry	4 B. L. R., F. B., 134 : 13 W. 7	3708
Farquharson v. Dwarkanauth Singh	R., F. B., 21.	1762
v. Government of Bengal	14 Moore's I. A., 259: 16 W.)	1762
		4541, 4543
Farzand Ali v. Alimullah	I. L. R., 1 All., 272 I. L. R., 2 All., 669	294, 4413
		5107
Farzhara Banu v. Azizunnissa Bibi) W. R., 72.	
Fata Iyah Khau. In re	3 C. L. R., 599	5604 846, 3218
Fatch Muhammad v. Gopaldas	I. L. R., 7 All., 424 I. L. R., 1 All., 751	1096
Fatch Singh v. Sanwal Singh	4 Bom., A. C., 189	3377, 3408
Fathula v. Muniyappa	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 98	5450
7 1 0 1 1 7 7 1 0 1 0	9 B. L. R., 433 : 14 Moore's	4812, 4852
Fati Chand Sahu v. Lilamber Singh Das	I. A., 129 : 16 W. R., P. C., 26.	5801
Fatima Begam v. Sakina Begam	I. L. R., 1 All., 51	2802
v. Sukhram	. I. L. R., 6 All., 341	1244
a territor De garage e	. 18 W. R., 128	5679 3663, 3735
Fatima Bibee v. Ariff Ismailjee Bham v. Ariff Sookanee	9 C. L. R., 66	6109
		5336
Fatima Khatun v. Collector of Tipperah	\ \ 433.	9550
	1 B. L. R., P C., 21: 10 W.)	1138
v. Mahommed Jan Chowdhry	R., P. C., 29 : 12 Moore's I. A., 65.	1100
Fatimunissa Begum v. Hamza Ali	. 6 C. L. R., 391	4663
Fatma v. Essa	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 266	4673
Fatma Bibee v. Collector of Surat	8 Bom., A. C., 79	348 3666
Fatma Bibi v. Advocate General of Bombay	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 42 2 Bom., 307 : 2nd Ed., 291 .	3650
Fatma kom Nubi Saheb v. Darya Saheb	. 10 Bom., 187	5270, 600
Fatteh Bahadur v. Janki Bibi	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 55 : 13 W. R., }	4309
Zacta Danata v. Gana Divi		4000
Fatteh Singh v. Lachmi Kooer	{ 13 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 21 W. } R., 105.	4977
Fayz Ali v. Taleb Ali	. 18 W. R., 330	687
Fazal Haq v. Maha Chaud	. I. L. R., 1 All., 557	5261 3515
Fazal Haq v. Maha Chaud Fazal Imam v. Metta Singh Fazal Muhammad v. Phul Kuar	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 549 I. L. R., 2 All., 192	3177
Fazel Biswas v. Jamadar Sheik	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 231	5124
Fazulun-nissa Begum v. Mulo	. I. L. R., 6 All., 250	278
Feda Hossein. In the matter of the petition of .	. I. L. R., 1 Calc., 431 .	267, 27 273
-	. 10 C. L. R., 184	2008
v. Khajooroonnissa	. 9 W. R., 459	1195
v. Empress v . Khajooroonnissa v . Syedoonissa v . Syedoonissa	. I Ind. Jur., N. S., 80	2815
Feddah Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	. 2 Hay, 677	3847

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
			Digues.
Fegredo v. Mahomed Moddessur v. Mahomed Mudessur Fehrsen v. Simpsou Felani-Hariani. In the matter of Fenn. In the matter of the estate of		10 W. R., 267 15 W. R., 75 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 514 16 W. R., Cr., 12 3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	1783, 2986 443, 3670 6215 1 128
Fenwick. In the matter of the petition of .	· · {	R., Cr., 72.	2947
Fernsut Ali v. Ashodosh Roy Singh Fergusson v. Government Fernandez v. Alves v. Fernandez v. Vasudev Shanbog Ferrier v. Ram Kalpa Ghose Fida Ali v. Muzaffar Ali Fida Hossein v. Gholam Jilani Fida Husain v. Kutub Husain Fidaye Shikdar v. Ozerooddeen		15 W. R., 455 9 W. R., 158 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 382 2 1nd. Jur., 0. S., 12 3 Bom., 4. C., 82 23 W. R., 403 L. I. R., 5 All, 65 7 N. W., 346 I. L. R., 7 All, 38 7 W. R., 87	4541 5128 6198 • 1565 3318 5842 3718 2882 48 3252, 4523
Financial Association of India and China v. vandas Harjivandas.	Pranji- {	3 Bom., O. C., 25	1896, 2626
Finlay, Campbell, & Co. v. Steele Finlay, Mur, & Co. v. Gopee Kristo Gossamee Firengee Singh v. Ahmed Hossein Firingee Sahoo v. Sham Manjhee		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39 24 W. R., 404 7 W. R., 22 8 W. R., 373 6 Mad., 393	6275 3015 1351 4469 1819
v. Kamala Naicker		3 W. R., P. C., 33: 8 Moore's	697, 1083
	• . {	I. A., 170.	2736, 4660
Fisher v. Nundoo Coomar Mundle v. Pearse	: :	Marsh., 625 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 1	1588 1982
Fitzpatrick v. Gowan	. {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 420 : 6 W. R., Act X, 64	567
v. Seeta Roy		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 170	1640
v. Wallace	. {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 317: 11 W. } R., 231.	3045, 5182
Fleming v. Koegler	. {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 237: 3 C. L. R., 297.	1059
v. Shearman		4 B. L. R., O. C., 92	5536
Fleming Spinning and Weaving Co. In re	: :	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 299 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 494	949 950
Flowest v. Kootoob Hossein	: :	2 Agra, 274	1588
Foggo v. Loudon		Agra, F. B., 100: Ed. 1874, 75 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 139	5787
Fondclair v. Vinaitheethan Chetty Foolbashee Kowar v. Arzun Sahoo	: :	5 Mad., 251	3085 5809
Foolbashee Kowar v. Arzun Sahoo		12 W. R., 134	1434, 4316
Foole Bibee v. Bassiruddy Mirdha		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 425	3986
Profes Dibes of Dashidday Milda		1 Iud. Jur., N. S., 117: 5 W.	4143
Forbes v. Ameeroonissa Begum	. {	R., P. C., 47: 10 Moore's I. A., 340.	4015, 4631
v. Bhujloo Roy		6 C. L. R., 301	545
v. Dyanutoollah		10 W. R., 415 6 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 14 W. R., }	5133
v. Girish Chandra Bhuttacharjee .	. [Cr., 31.	3864
v. Lutchmeput Singh	. {	10 B. L. R., 139: 17 W. R., 197: 14 Moore's I. A., 330	5314
v. Mahomed Hossein	. {	12 B. L. R., 210 : 20 W. R.,	1991, 4211
v. Mahomed Kasseem	• •	1 W. R., 238	4648, 53 51 1382

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Forbes v. Mahomed Taki	5 B. L. R., 529: 14 W. R., P. C., 28: 13 Moore's I. A., 438.	136, 2035
v. Mean Jan v. Nund Coomar Mundul	3 W. R., 69 2 W. R., Act X, 35	4206 1605
v. Protap Singh Doogur	. 7 W. R., 409 }	5301, 531 1 5338
v. Purtap Singh Doogur v. Ram Lall Biswas v. Sree Lal Jha v. Tullockchand Manockchand	7 W. R., 400 22 W. R., 51 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 365 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 386	3351 5192 542 1086
Fornaro v. Ramnarain Sookdeb	14 B. L. R., 180: 23 W. R.,	1088, 5720
Forester v. Secretary of State	12 B. L. R., 120: 18 W. R., 349: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 10.	58, 5111 5507
2).	{ L. L. R., 3 Calc., 161 : L. R., }	2696
Fort Gloucester Mills Co. In the matter of Foschola v. Huro Chunder Bose Foujdar Khan v. Bainee Doobey Foulkes v. Rajabrathna Mudali	4 I. A., 137. Bourke, O. C., 260 8 W. R., 284 3 Agra, 398 6 Mad., 175	6062 1614 5436 3064
Fowle v. Fowle	{ I. L. R., 4 Calc., 260: 3 C. } L. R., 484.	1546, 1555
Fox. In re Framjee Cowasjee v. Thompson Framji Besanji Dastur v. Hormasji Pestanji Framji Bomanji v. Hormasji Barjorji Framji Hormasji v. Commissioner of Customs Framji Kavasji v. Hormasji Kavasji Framji Kavasji v. Hormasji Kavasji Framji Kustanji v. Ratansha Pestanji Franks v. Nunch Mal	I. L. R. 13 Calc., 67 3 Moore's I. A., 422 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 258 3 Bom., 0. C., 40 7 Bom., A. C., 89 1 Bom., 220 9 Bom., 49 7 N. W. go	2665 4046 5486 3090, 5876 2703, 5497 2807 208, 796 3501, 3517
Freck v. Harley	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 418: 7 C. L. }	5718
Freeman v. Fairlie French v. Baranashee Banerjee Frewin v. Paul	1 Moore's I. A., 305 8 W. R., 29 12 W. R., 532	2962, 600 3919 1817
Fuckoruddeen Mahomed Ahsan v. Mohima Chunde Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 529	3331
Fuggoo Daye v. Ranah Dayo Fukeer Ahmed v. Issur Chunder Das Fukeer Chunder Shaha v. Nobodeep Chunder Shaha Fukeerdass Poorwheet v. Gopal Mookerjee Fukeeroodeen Adam Shah, In the matter of the petitic	4 W. R., Mis., 3	2276 1377 4301 6106 3085
of. Fukeerooddeen Mahomed Ahsun Chowdhry v. Kurree	m 5	
Buksh Chowdhry.	10 W. D. 590	3072
Fukeeroouissa Begum v. Chunder Monee Dossee Fukheerooddeen v. Kalachand Sirdar Fukurooddeen Mahomed Ahsan Chowdhry v. Anning	. 1 W. R., 287	W 7 + 3 7
nath Roy. Fuller v. Bishoon Kooer Fulli Bibi v. Bassirudi Midha Fultoo Bibee v. Bhurrut Lall Blukut Fulvahu v. Goouldas Vallabhdas	3 N. W., 25 4 B. L. R., F. B., 54 10 W. R., 299 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 275	1034, 110 1750, 414 3670 10, 517
Funcendro Deb Roy Kut v. Jogendro Deb . Furcedoonissa v. Ruhomut	23 W. R., 220	277 2021

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fureedunnissa v. Ram Onogra Singh . Furlong v. Johurres Loll . v. Kusroo Mundur . v. Treelochun Singh .	21 W. R., 19 Marsh., 194: 1 Hay, 4 7 W. R., 531 Marsh., 504: 2 Hay, 50	3374 98 4928
Furookh Hossein v. Fuzul Hossein	{ 1 N. W., 209 : Ed. 292.	1873, } 1379
Furzund Ali v. Abdool Rahim	4 W. R., 30 1 Agra, 267 5 N. W., 118	4821 5986
Furzund Ali v. Abdool Rahim v. Ahmed Hossein v. Jaffree Bebee Furzund Ali Khaa v. Aka Ali Mahomed Furzund Hossein v. Janu Bibee Furzund V. Ashrufoonnissa	5 N. W., 118 3 C. L. R., 194 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 588 23 W. R., 463	
Futeek Parooce v. Mohender Nath Mozoomdar .	1 L. L. R., 1 Calc., 385 :	25 W.)
Futch Ali alias Nanna Meah v. Gregory Futch Ali v. Asgur Ali	R., 226. 6 W. R., Mis., 13 17 W. R., 11	
v. Gunganath Roy	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 113: L. R., 20.	10 C. } 1122, 5672
v. Kursem Ali Futteh Ali Shah v. Fuzeeluttunnissa Bebee Futteh Bahadoor v. Mahomed Mukeem Oodeen Futteh Bahadoor v. Gübbon Futtehma Begun v. Malomed Ausur	10 W. Ř., 61 W. R., 1864, 131 W. R., 1864, 131 22 W. R., Cr. 74	756 3686 3686 3686 4736 2713, 5759
Futteh Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of Futtehoollah v. Oomdanissa Bibec Futteh Ram v. Monohur Lall	21 W. R., Cr., 30 14 W. R., 69	4355
Futtehsangji Jaswantsangji v. Deasai Kulliauraij Hakoomutraiji.	W. R., 178.	3118, 3377 3408
Futteh Singh v. Gaugo Futtick Chunder Banerjee v. Golam Ali Chowdhry Futtick Chunder Chatterjee v. Juggut Mohinee Dabi Futick Chund Sahoo v. Makund Jha Fuzal Ali v. Bia Bibi Chowdhrain Fuzaehun v. Kajo Fuzeehun v. Kajo	4 W. R., 4 53 10 W. R., 445 22 W. R., 348 Marsh., 224: 1 Hay, 55 7 C. L. R., 276 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 15 21 W. R., 212	2072
Fuzcelun Beebee v. Omdah Beebee	10 W. R., 469	. { 3632, 4902 6244
·	{ 11 B. L. R., 60, note :	10 W } 459, 4246
Fuzeelutoonissa v. Hoermutoonissa Fuzl Hossein v. Tussuduck Ali Khan Fuzl Moula v. Gholau Shurruff Fuzlon v. Abdoollah	Marsh., 218: 1 Hay, 55 13 W. R., 395 12 W. R., 505 7 W. R., 169	9 . 3644 . 182 . 667 . 2929
Fuzl-ood-deen v. Imteaz-oon-nissa Fuzlool Ruhman v. Ali Kureem Fuzloonnissa v. Nawabuzmissa	. 5 Agra. 152 .	3015
Fuzloonnissa v. Nawabunnissa	5 W. R., 163 2 Hay, 479 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 541	3702
Fuzludeen Khan v. Fakir Mahomed Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 336 : 4 R., 257.	4804, 4831
Fuzul Ali v. Jumna Doss Fuzulutoonissa v. Chutter Dharee Singh Fuzzle Ali. In the matter of the petition of Fuzzoo Khan v. Issur Chunder Sirear	1 Agra, 229 6 W. R., Mis., 43 19 W. R., Cr., 8 Marsh., 30	1139 3519 4435 195
Fuzzoi Khan v. Issur Chunder Sirear Fuzzoi Khan v. Issur Chunder Sirear Fuzzui Hossein v. Anjud Ali Khan v. Enayet Ali Khan Fyaz-ood-deen v. Pudmee	17 W. R., 523 2 W. R., 268 4 N. W., 68	4232 5782 4245, 5172

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fyazooddeen Bhooya v. Shumsunnissa Beebee Fyez Ali v. Omedee Singh Fyezoollah v. Kazim Hossein Fyezoonnissa v. Sadutoollah Fyz-ood-deen v. Giraudh Singh	12 W. R., 508 21 W. R., 265 14 W. R., 29 22 W. R., 3 2 N. W., 1	3797, 5436 1786 3895 4827 3778
G		
G-v. G. Gabinda Chandra Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	8 Bom., O. C., 48 9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1549 4491, 6255
Gabind Chandra v. Collector of Dacca {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 57: 11 W. 7	3438
Gabind Chandra Biswas v. Hem Chandra Barder	R., 491. 3 6 B. L. R., Ap., 115 7 B. L. R., 333 6 B. L. R., 320	3616 4410 1428
Gabindsundari Debi v. Jagadamba Debi {	6 B. L. R., 168: 15 W. R., P. C., 5.	4653
Gabriel v. Mordakai Gadadhar Moitry v. Ganga Prasad Moitry Gadgeppa Desai v. Apaji Jivanrao Gaetree Dabea v. Thakoor Doss Gahan v. Owen	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 148 4 B. L. R., O. C., 89 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 237 W. R., 1864, Act X, 78 Bourke, O. C., 17: Cor., 149 Cor. 11	5901 354 2204 4935 2687 5532
Gajadhar Persad v . Widows of Emam Ali Beg $$. $\left\{ \right.$	15 B. L. R., 221 : L. R., 2 I. A., 205. 7 B. L. R., 149 : 15 W. R.,	4636
Gajadhar Prasad v. Ganesh Tewari	485.	208, 404
Gajadhar Prasad Narayan Singh. In re	1 B. L. R., A. C., 186 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 89	5731, 6238 6122
Gajapathi Nilamani v. Gajapathi Radhamani . {	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 290: 1 C. L. R., 97: L. R., 4 I. A., 212.	2316, 246
Gajapathi Radhika Patta Mahadebi Guru v. Gajapathi Hari Krishna Debi Guru.	6 B. L. R., 202: 14 W. R., P. C., 33: 13 Moore's I. A., 497.	988
Gajapati Radhika Patta Mahadevi Guru v. Gajapati 7 Radhamani Mahadevi Guru. Gajapaty Hari Krishna Devi Garu v. Gajapaty Radhika 7	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 96	3553 988
Patta Maha Devi Garu. Gajapaty Nilamani Patta Maha Devi Garu v. Gajapaty ? Radhika Patta Negha Deva Garu.	2 Mad., 369	988
Gajendranarayan Roy v. Hemangini Dasi	4 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 13 W. R., 35.	5977
Gajjo Koer v. Ally Ahmed	6 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 14 W. R., 474.	1743
Gale v. Maharanee Sreemutty Gale v. Chedi Jha Gambhirmal v. Chejmal	15 W. R., 133 2 Hay, 591 10 Bom., 406	2995 2983 5768
Galo v. Chedi Jha Gambhirmal v. Chejmal o, Chejmal Jodhmal Gamble. Ex parte v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli v. Bholagir	11 Bom., 151 2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142 12 Bom., 257	228, 591 2627 11, 344
v. Dhongir	2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 147	2628, 265
——— v. Bholagir Mangir Gamirullah Sarkar v. Abdul Sheikh	1 Bom., 251 }	2658, 267 4287
Gamapathi v. Balasuudara Gandavathara Ayyangar v. Devanayaga Mudali	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 408 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 540 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 222	5911 786, 354 111

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
andharce Debea v. Sonatun Panday	. 10 W. R., 215	4822
andharp Singh v. Salub Singh	. I. L. R., 7 All., 184	4548
ane Bhive Parab v. Kane Bhive	. 4 Bom., A. C., 169	2342, 237
ancemut Hossein v. Rungoo Sahoo	. 3 C. L. R., 8	4926
anendra Mohan Tagore v. Upendra Mohan Tagore	. 4 B. L. R., O. C., 103 . {	2528, 253
		2557
anendro Mohun Tagore v. Juttendro Mohun Tagore	12 B. L. R., 1 14 P. L. R., 60: 22 W. R.,	6214
v	14 P. L. R., 60: 22 W. R., 377: L. R., 1 I; A., 387.	6214
D	[I. L. R., 2 Mad., 270 : L. R.,]	0100
anesa Ratnamaiyar v. Gopala Ratnamaiyar .	(7 I. A., 173.	2123
anesh Bhikaji Juvekar v. Bhikaji Krishna Juvekar	. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 398	4897
anesh Chandra Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 265	4883
aneshee Lall v. Toolaram	3 Agra, 376 : Agra, F. B., Ed. }	3239
anesh Hathi v. Mehta Vyankatram Harjivan .	1874, 167. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 188	620, 568
aneshi Lall v. Luchman Dass	5 N. W., 31	3716
anesh Kooer v. Deedar Buksh	5 N. W., 128	3997
anesh Krishna v. Madhavrao Ravji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 75	3345
aneshlall v. Amir Khan	. 8 B. L. R., 83:17 W. R., 80	75, 200
anesh Lall Tewari v. Shamnarain	. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 213	5376
anesh Pandurang Agte v. Gangadhur Ramkrishna anesh Pershad v. Sheo Churun Lall	. 6 Bom A. C., 244	244 484, 191
anesh Rai v. Kalka Prasad	6 N. W., 197	4978
anesh Ram Chandra Date v. Shankar Ramchandra	. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 395	420
anesh Ravii v. Govind Gopal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 461	1523
anesh Sadashiv. In the matter of the petition of	. 5 Bom., A. C., 117	3141
anesh Shivram v. Abdula Beg	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 538	851
anesh Singh v. Ram Raja	{ 3 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 12 W. } R., P. C., 38.	1379, 156
anesh Sundari Debi. In the matter of		1725 2094, 385
anes Sing v. Ramgopal Sing	5 B. L. R., 418	107
aneswar Sing v. Durga Dutt	. 7 B. L. R., 651	4654
aneswar Sing v. Durga Dutt	. I. L. R., 4 All., 240	844, 170
angabai v. Kalapa Dari Mukrya	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 419 . }	2990, 306
	,	4474, 511
v. Sitaram	. I. L. R., 1 All., 170	2423 2156
v. Vamanaji Datar anga Bisheshar v. Pirthi Pal	2 Bom., 301 I. L. R., 2 All., 635	2157
Description of the state of the		
anga Charan Roy Chowdry v. Jagarnath Dutt .	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	3399
angadaraiya v. Parameswaramma	. 5 Mad., 111	2510
anga Dass Adhikaree. In re	11 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note:	4340
anga Daval. In the matter of the petition of .	14 W. R., 281. I. L. R., 4 All., 375	3883
angadhar v. Zahurriya	I. L. R., 8 All., 446	2864, 327
angadhara v. Sivarama	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 246	3932
angadhar Bhivrav v. Datto Krishnaji	- I. L. R., 9 Bom., 368	2654
angadhar Raghunath v. Chimnaji Keshav Damle	. 5 Bom., A. C., 95	3748
angadhar Sakharam v. Mahadu Santajy	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 20	350, 152
angadhar Shivkarn v. Collector of Ahmednagar angadhar Sirkar v. Kasinath Biswas	• I. L. R., 1 Bom., 628	905 5803
anga Din v. Dhurandhar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 495	5199
v. Kushali	. I. L. R., 7 All., 702	5417
angagobinda v. Kaliprasanna Naik	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. } R., 206.	
	R., 206.	372

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
a cuita in Diamaia	3. B. L. R., A. C., 172:11 W. }	4004
Ganga Gobind Mandal v. Bani Madhab Ghose . {	R., 548.	2000
Janga Gobind Sen v. Gobind Chandra Roy	4 B. L. R. Ap., 39	1179
Janga Jati v. Ghasita Janga Mulik v. Bayaji	I. L. R., 1 All., 46	4090 475
janga Jati v. Ghasita Janga Mulik v. Bayaji Jangangrain Sircar v. Brindabun Chunder Kur Chow-)	I. L. R., 6 Bon., 669	4020, 418
Ganganarain Sircar v. Brindabun Chunder Kur Chow-	0 111 211 222	2240
anga Narayan Das v. Saroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry	3 B. L. R , A. C., 230:12 W. }	1182, 145 1753
Ganga Pershad Sahu v. Gopal Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 136: L. R., 11 I. A., 234.	5469
- (I. L. R., 11 Calc., 379 : L. R.,	0040
v. Maharani Bibi {	12 I. A., 47.	2010
langappa v. Kapinappa	5 Mad., 128	5662
angappa v. Kapinappa langa Prasad v. Chandrawati	I. L. R., 7 All., 256 I. L. R., 2 All., 651	2863
Janga Prasad v. Chandrawati v. Gajahdhar Prasad v. Kusyari Din	I. L. R., 2 All., 651 I. L. R., 1 All., 611	245 3889
	9 B. L. R., 426: 16 W. R.	
	P. C., 30.	629
langa Prasad Gosain. In the goods of	4 B. L. R., Ap., 43	3747
langaram v. Bansi	I. L. R., 2 All., 431	4833
	1. L. R., 7 All, 148	2869
v. Chandan Singh · · · · .	I. L. R., 4 All., 62 I. L. R., 8 All., 82	629 191, 622
v. Data Ram	9 Bom., 272	423
langaram Velgi v. Parbhu Dyaram	I. L. R., 2 All., 809	305
v. Kishen Sahai	l I. L. R., 6 All., 262	6034
v. Lachman Singh	I. L. R., S All., 194	3990
b. Ben Ram v. Chandau Singh v. Data Ram laugaram Velgi v. Parbhu Dyaram langa Sahai v. Hira Singh v. Kishen Sahai v. Lachman Singh laugathara. Pandithar v. Rathabai Ammal laugabar. Thavar Mulla	I. L. R., 6 Mad, 237	5447
angbai v. Thavar Mulla	1 Bont., 71	3735
langes Manufacturing Company v. Sourujmull . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 669 : 5 C. L. R , 533.	1714
langes Steam Navigation Company. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 394	952
41	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146, 326
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 181	3236
angji Vithal v. Sitaram Shridhar	9 Bom., 33	4440
langoo Singh. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 389 3 Rom., A. C., 66 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 73	980 2265
angubai kom Sidhappa v. Ramanna bin Bhimanna angulu v. Ancha Bapulu	I T. R. 4 Mad. 78	2170
	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 237; L. R.,)	
ankim Swee v. Ralli Brothers	13 I. A., 60.	1088
rannu Lal v. Ram Sahai ianpat Bajashet v. Khundu Changshet ampatgir Bholagir v. Ganpatgir anpatji v. Saadat Ali aupatdi v. Sandat Sampatram Ghelabhai	I. L. R., 7 All., 197	3832
anpat Bajashet v. Khandu Changshet	4 Bom., A. C., 69	4828, 614
anpatgir Bholagir v. Ganpatgir	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 230	1426, 144 5383
anpatlal Anupram v. Sampatram Ghelabhai	I. L. R., 2 All., 787	421
Sanpat Manikji Patil. In the matter of the petition of .	6 Bon., O. C., 64	4757
	5 Bom., O. C., 128	1700, 25
ampat Moroba v. Lakshmibai	0 Dom, 0. 0., 120 . }	2540
lanpat Pandurang v. Adarji Dadabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 312 . {	2500, 34 4773
anpat Pataya v. Collector of Kanara	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 7	4343
lanpat Rai v. Sadupi	I. L. R., 1 All., 446	
lanpat Rai v. Sadupi laupatram Lakhmiram v. Jaichand Talakchand	4 Bom., A. C., 105, 109.	3919 2772, 57
tanpatrav v. Rangrav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note .	2851
tanpatrav Ranchodji v. Bai Suraj	7 Bou., A. C., 79	2795 5111
lanpatrav Trimbak Patwardhan v. Ganesh Baji Bhat .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 112	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Ganpatrav Vireshvar v. Vithoba Khandappa Ganraj Dubey v. Sheozore Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 898	2133 2392
Gan Savant Bal Savant v. Narayan Dhond Savant	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 467 . {	2378, 4996 5047
Garubhai v. Srinivasa Pilla	4 Mad., 84	1393
Ganu bin Hanmantrav v. Moro Ganesh	10 Bom., 429	4284 589, 3637
Gapinath v. Abbas Hossein Gapi Nath Nandi v. Shib Chandra Nandi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 74	364
Garbby Bhagat a Runglal Sing	7 B. L. An., 33	6157
Garden Reach Spinning and Manufacturing Company v. Empress of India Cotton Mills Company.	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 551	4523
Gardiner v. Fell	1 Moore's I. A., 299	2962
Gardner. Ex parte	6 Bom., O. C., 138 5 B. L. R., 342, note	5647 5139
Garib Hossein Chowdhry v. Wise	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13:10 W.	
Garibulla Paramanick v. Fakir Mahomed Kolu .	R., 203.	5669
Garland v. Rai Mohun Hazrah "Garland," The, v. "Dragon," The	. 1 W. R., 15	4928 2833
Garuda Reddi o. Gudi Janakayya Garu	, 1 Mad., 124	2697, 4947
Gash v. McLean	2 N. W., 403 1. L. R., 3 Calc., 733 : 2 C. L. ?	3199
Gasper. In the goods of	R., 436.	1238
Gasper (falsely called Gonsalves) v. Gonsalves .	. 13 B. L. R., 109	1547, 3780
Gatharam Mistree v. Moohita Kochin Atteah Domoonee	{ 14 B. L. R., 298 : 23 W. R., }	5101
Gaura v. Gayadin	I. L. R., 4 All., 355	682
Gaurchandra Chuckerbutty v. Krishna Mohau Sing	2 B. L. R., S. N., 4: 10 W. R., Cr., 46.	4367
Gaur Chandra Roy v. Rash Behari Dutt	1 B. L. R., S. N., 1: 10 W. R., 43.	158
Gaurevallaba Ramchandra Vellia Bomaya Nayik v. Virappa Chetti.	2 Mad., 174	1684, 1828
Gaur Hari Das v. Madan Mohan Biswas	3 B. L. R., A. C., 16: 11 W. }	3309
Gaur Hari Das Adhikari v. Hayagrib Das	6 B. L. R., 371	3769
Gaur Hari Dutt v. Radha Gobind Shaha	7 B. L. R., 343, note: 12 W. R., 54.	413
Gaurhari Gui v. Peari Dasi	B L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F. B., 165.	2207
Gaurhari Singh v. Behari Raut	3 B. L. R., Ap., 138: 12 W. R., 277.	5180
Gauri v. Chandramani	I. L. R., 1 All., 262	2259
Gauri Anautha Parathesi alias Satthappayyan v. Kali- appa Setti.	3 Mad., 213	2914
Ganri Baijnathprasad v. Budhu Sing	2 B. L. R., S. N., 16	5002
Gauri Dat v. Gur Sahai Gaurinath Mookerjee v. Madhumani Peshkar	I. L. R., 2 All., 41	2483
Gauri Prasad Koondoo v. Reily	9 B. L. R., Ap., 37 L. L. R., 9 Calc., 112: 12 C.)	2987 3844
-	{ L. R., 41.	
Gauri Sahai. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 114	5524 5610, 5736
v. Rukko	I. L. R., 3 All., 45	2317
Gauri Shankar v. Mumtaz Ali Khan	I. L. R., 2 All., 411 I. L. R., 3 All., 276	1096 3345
Gaur Lal Sirkar v. Rameswar Bhumik	6 B. L. R., Ap., 92	5192
Gaur Mohan Chowdhry v. Madan Mohan Chowdhry	(G B. L. R., 352: 15 W. R.,)	3375
and an other transfer of the state of the st	29.	3075

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gaur Mohan Dass v. Ramrup Mazoomdar		4757 1960
Gaur Mohan Sing. In the matter of the petition of Gaur Narayan Mazumdar v. Brajanath Kundu Chow-	8 B. L. R., Ap., 11 5 B. L. R., 463 : 14 W. R., 491	3953
dhry.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 133, note	2851
Favdapa v. Shibasangvada	I. L. R., 6 All., 578	2873
Jayadeen v. Ramsahai	2 Agra, Pt. II, 181	4548
GayaJati v. Ramjiawan Ram 🔹	I. L. R., 8 All., 569	3070
Taya Parshad v. Bhup Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 180	1887 3935
Gaya Prasad v. Gaya Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 682	3935
		3460
Gayaram Mazumdar v. Madhusudan	4 B. L. R., Ap., 73	4824
ayasoodeen v. Khuda Buksh	1 N. W., 87 : Ed. 1873, 139 .	2974
Fazee v. Hameed Buksh	16 W. R., 160	349, 351
dedeera Kolita v. Debendro Narain Konwar	25 W. R., 352	6154 3402
Gedroo Sircar v. Beharee Lall Rudra	20 W. R., 165	3858, 4278
deereeballa Dabee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 213 .	4526
Geetum Sing v. Buldeo Kahar	4 N. W., 76	1539
dehanaji bin Kes Patil v. Ganpati bin Lakshuman .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 469	5261
Gendapuri v. Chhatarpuri	L. R., 13 I. A., 100 : I. L. R., 7	2245
A LT HIM . TO MINISTER	9 All., 1.	1693
Gend Lall Tewari v. Denonath Ram Tewari	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 673	5658
General Manager, Raj Durbungha v. Ramput Singh	14 Moore's I. A., 605: 17 W. R., 459: 10 B. L. R.,	2517, 540
	294.	****
Jengu Reddi v. Asal Reddi	1 Mad., 12 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245	5631 3104
Seutle v. Bank of Hindostan, China and Japan	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 245	591
v. Thomson · · · · · ·	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 69 6 B. L. R., Ap., 138 : 15 W. ?	
George. In the goods of	1t., 457, note.	1236
v. George · · · · ·	11 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1554
V	6 N. W., 219	6202 5142
v. Hamilton, Brown, & Co	4 N. W., 74 3 Agra, 272	225
Gerender Coomar Dutt v. Juggadumba Dabee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 126	3466, 591
	3 N. W., 51: Agra, F. B., }	5877
Thalib Ali v. Khilloo	Ed. 1874, 243.	
hamshamlal v. Bhansali	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 249	410
Shanasham Das Goorsamull v. Joharimull Kedarinath .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 431	431 3878
Hannoo Singh v. Ram Gobind Singh Hansam Singh v. Chukowree Singh	13 W. R., 231 W. R., 1864, 197	4142
Juansam Singh v. Chukowree Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 320	3520
Ghasee v. Jusoudee	2 Agra, 226	2476
Shasee Khau v. Kulloo	1 Agra, 152	5000
Shaseeram. In re	12 B. L. R., Ap., 4	917
Ghasi Ram v. Nuraj Begam		3091 5789
Hasi Singh v. Budh Singh Hasseram. In the matter of Hasseeram v. Monohur Doss Hasseeram v. Williams	7 N. W., 193	2670
Phasseeram v. Monohur Doss	15 B. L. R., Ap., 10 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 241	3315
Shasseram Misser v. Williamson	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 205	1451
Ghazee Lall Jha v. Sheo Narain Singh	8 W. R., 24	208
Shazeeood-deen v. Bhookun Doobey	2 Agra, 301	1695, 398
Hazeeooddeen Hyder v. Bhoolun	2 Agra, 344	1165

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ghazi v. Kadir Baksh	I. L. R., 1 All., 212	5456
Ghazidin v. Fakir Bakhsh	I. L. R., 7 All., 73	265, 804
	11 Bom., 218	1940 4775, 5340
Ghelabhai Bhikaridas v. Pranjivan Ichharam Ghella Pema v. Gumtibai	8 Bom., O. C., 140	132, 3087
Ghinaram Dobey v. Ram Monaruth Ram Dobey .	1. L. R., 6 Calc., 566, note : }	3431
Ghirdharee Singh v. Koolahul Singh	6 W. R., P. C., 1; 2 Moore's 1. A., 344.	2226, 2502 4397, 4646
Ghisa v. Didari Ghogoolee v. Muzhur Hossein	7 N. W., 257 24 W. R., 389	2865 4173
Gholab Khan. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 83: 15 W. 7	3882
Suprise Truth. The suprise of the best of the	R., 171. 7 B. L. R., 179: 16 W. R.,	2000
}	Cr., 15.	3882
Gholab Khoonwarree Bebee v. Eshur Chunder Chow-	2 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's 1. A., 447.	1703
Gholam Ali v. Asgur Ali	11 W. R., 105	330
Gholam Ali Chowdhry v. Collector of Backergunge	2 C. L. R., 39 Marsh., 264: 2 Hay, 108	47 · 544
Gholam Ally v. Nundaya . Gholam Durbesh Chowdhry v. Sham Kishore Roy	W. R., 1864, 378	3182
Gholam Hossein v. Abdool Kadir	5 N. W., 11	3726
v. Hur Gobind Dass	1 W. R., 19	5650
v. Okhoy Coomar Ghose	3 W. R., Act X, 169	5782
Gholam Hyder v. Poorno Chunder Roy	3 W. R., Act X, 147	5189
Gholam Mahomed v. Indra Chand Jahuri	7 B. L. R., 318: 15 W. R., 34	410 194
Gholam Mahomed Akbur v. Koonj Beharce Lal .	5 W. R., Mis., 27 . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 974: 10)	
Gholam Mohamed v. Asmut Ali Khan Chowdhry .	W. R., F. B., 14.	2932 4532
Gholam Mukhdoom v. Ashuck Jan Bibee	Cor., 67	5355
Gholam Murteza Chowdhry v. Goluck Chunder Roy	3 W. R., 191	1700
Gholam Nujjuff v. Toossoodduck Hossein	1 W. R., 126 9 W. R., 275	3166
Gholam Nuzuf v. Emanum	9 W. R., 275	4017
Gholam Rasul Khan. In re	1 B. L. R., O. C., 130	2675
Gholam Singh v. Bejoyram Protab Singh	W. R., 1864, 317	6079 670
Gholam Sobhan alias Saboo Meah v. Mahomed Rouf Ghoogly Sahoo v. Chundee Pershad Misser	21 W. R., 246	3817
Ghoolain Moortoozah Khan v. Government	9 Moore's I. A., 456	4066, 4650
Ghooroo Singh v. Dabee Dyal	2 Agra, 280	4543
Ghosee Lall v. Gaind Lall	3 Agra, 184	3992
Ghotae Mundle v. Kajroo	16 W. R., 227	5171
Ghufoorun Bebee v. Mastukedeh	2 Agra, 300	3412, 3660
Ghulam Muhammad Najamut Khan v. Dale	1 Mad., 281	3730 3685
Ghulam Mustafa v. Hurmat Ghumundee Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 All., 854 23 W. R., Cr., 30.	4750
Ghunshyam Chobey v. Kasheenath Shanteekaree	3 W. R., Act X, 4	1621
Ghunshyam Singh v. Runjeet Singh	4 W. R., Act X, 39	1178
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 465: 10 C. L.)	1664
v. Tara Proshad Coondoo . {	R., 447.	
Ghuntham Doss v. Mooltan Mull	2 N. W., 229	166, 3845
Church Hessein Chardhan Theorems Klatter	I. L. R, 10 Calc., 1097 .	1792
Ghurreeb Hossein Chowdhry v. Useemoonnissa Khatoon	1 Hay, 528 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 923 : 6 C. L.)	1742, 2020
Ghursobhit Ahir v. Ramdat Singh	R., 537.	5040
Gibbon v. Abdur Rahman Khan v. Sheo Purshun Misser	3 B. L. R., A. C., 411	2854, 6044 1907
6. Dico I disidii illissel	17 11.16, 200	1507

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Gilby v. Sabhu Pillai Gillanders, Arbuthnot and Company v. Obhoy Churn }	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 100	99
Nundy.	23 W. R., 139	1525
Gilmore v. Rose	6 N. W., 311	5500
v. Sreemunt Bhoomick	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	5185
v. Surbessuree Dossee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 72	5176
Girdar Das Vallaba Das. In the goods of	1 Mad., 234	132 2839
Girdhar v. Kalya Girdhar Dalpat v. Haribhai Narayan	7 Bom., A. C., 3	4852
Girdharee v. Dilsookh Rai	5 N. W., 221	907
Girdharee Lall v. Deanut Ali	21 W. R., 311	3727
v. Kantoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 187: 22 W. R., 36: L. R., 1 L. A., 321.	2164
v. Oomrao Singh	3 Agra, 249	1680
Girdharee Lall Singh v. Modhoo Roy	18 W. R., 323	1810
Girdharee Lall Singh Pashan v. Chundee Porshad .	21 W. R., 277	4267 4253
Girdharee Sahoo v. Hera Lall Seal	2 C. L. R., 467	3894
(1	6 W. R., P. C., 1:2 Moore's?	2226, 2502
v. Koolahul Singh	I. A., 344.	4397, 4646
v. Lalloo Koouwur · · · ·	3 W. R., Mis., 23	1684
v. Rojun Singh	24 W. R., 462	3724
Girdhar Hari v. Kalikant Roy Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., A. C., 161 : 11 W. } R., 501.	4196
Girdhari v. Sheo Raj	I. L. R., 1 All., 431	2827
Girdhari Das v. Powlett	I. L. R., 2 All., 690 . L. R., 3 I. A., 230 : 26 W.)	4253
Girdhari Singh v. Hurdeo Narain Singh	R., 44.	5479
Girdharlal v. Bai Shiv	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 309	4945 4257, 4405
Girdharlal Dayaldas v. Jaganath Girdharbhai	10 Bom., 182	4430
Girdhar Manordas v. Dayabhai Kalabhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 174	5003
Girdhar Nagjishet v. Ganpat Maroba	11 Born., 129	311, 1505 5818
Girdhar Naran v. Umar Aju	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 326	5834
Girdhar Parjaram v. Daji Dalabhram	7 Bou., A. C., 4	6144
Giree Dharee Sahoo v. Buksun Giree Dharee Singh v. Kalika Sookul Gireedhur Dass v. Nitto Gopal Dass	1 W. R., 361	1456 777, 3210
Giradhur Dage et Nitta Garal Dage	19 W. R., 291	4781
Gircejabutty Kooerree v. Monjee Lal	20 W. R., 477	3563
Gireewar Singh v. Muddan Lall Dass	16 W. R., 252	2091
Girendro Nath Tagore. In the matter of the petition of	14 B. L. R., 334, note	800
v. Huronath Roy	10 W. R., 455	800
Giriapa v. Jakana Giridhara Doss Manakji Tadahayi Birzi Mohan Doss v. }	12 Bon., 172	2246, 4475
Suraneni Lakshmi Venkamma Row.	5 Mad., 93	3181 3490
Giri Dharee Singh v. Ramkishore Narain Singh .	1 C. L. R., 252 1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W.	1671, 2286
Giridhari Lall Roy v. Government of Bengal	R., P. C., 31.	2301
Giridhari Mondal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C.	1958
. Uchit Jha	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 435: 10 C.	1958
Girija Bhusan Holdar v. Akhay Nikari {	5 B. L. R., Ap., 57, note: 13 } W. R., 105.	3148
Girija Singh v. Giridhari Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 14: 10 W. R., 65.	4827

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
žiriowa v. Bhimaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 58	2125
dirish Chandra Bose v. Kali Krishna Haldar .	B. L. R, Sup. Vol., 538:6} W. R., Act X, 57.	1603
Girish Chandra Dass v. Gillanders, Arbuthnot, & Co.	2 B. L. R., O. C., 140.	3790
Girish Chandra Dutt v. Buzul-ul-Huq	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 11 W. R., 412.	4760
Firish Chandra Lahury v. Fakir Chand	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 503: 67	4745, 561
Firish Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Amina Khatun	W. R., Mis., 72.	1775, 413
v. Rajchandra Roy Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 1	4940
Firish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of		715
irjanund Oopadhya v. Chunder Binode Oopadhya	5 W. R., Mis., 5	3526
Firjoji Bhikaji Sonar v. Keshavera Ravji Patil Henge		4021 4833
Fir Prasad v. Bansi Firwur v. Nyaz Ali	I. L. R., 2 All., 431 2 N. W., 306	3871
Fishorne & Co. v. Radhanath Das	5 W. R., 253	3386
v. Subal Bowri	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 284: 10 C.)	5842, 584
Gladstone. In the goods of	L. R., 219. 3 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 168	1239
v. Joskim	Cor., 148	5231
v. Sadoo Churn Dutt	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 203	5826
v. Sewbux	4 C. L. R., 106	1368
Hadstone, Wyllie, & Co. v. Harrison	. 24 W. R., 50	638, 283
v. Woomesh Chunder Chatterje		2646
Glascott v. Gopal Sheikh .	. 9 W. R., 254	4246 $547, 164$
Glasscott v. Rajehunder Moochy Mundul Gobabjan v. Moshiatoolah	. 25 W. R., 381	1173
Gobardhan v. Balmukand	1 C. L. R., 537	3428
Gobardhan Barmono v. Mano Bibi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 126 : S. C. on appeal, 5 B. L. R., 76 :	278
	(14 W. R., O. C., 34.)	
Gobardhan Das v. Gokal Das	. I. L. R., 2 All., 633	3993
o. Gopal Ram Goberdhone Doss v. Nittauund Mullick	I. L. R., 7 All., 366	1874 1373
Goberdhun v. Girwar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 41 3 Agra, 92	81
v, Gokal Chand	7 N. W., 31.	5203
Gobin Dass v. Ranchore alias Rughobeer	3 N. W., 324	2203
Gobind Chandra Sarma Mazumdar v. Anand Mohan Sarma Mazumdar.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 313	2145,341
Gobind Chunder Addya v. Afzal Rabbani	[I L. R., 9 Calc., 426: 12 C.]	4974
Gobind Chunder Aooch v. Bamnudoss Mookerjee .	L. R., 29. 5 22 W. R., 481	5460, 546
Gobind Chunder Bagchee v. Kripamoyee Dabee .	11 W. R., 338	3367
Gobind Chunder Bagdee v. Gobind Ghose Mundul	7 W. R., 171	4953, 581
Gobind Chunder Banerjee v. Wise	. 12 W. R., 19	2744, 390
Gobind Chunder Bose v. Alimooddeen	11 W. R., 160	5314
Gobind Chunder Burmono v. Allabux.	2 Hay, 347	520
Gobind Chunder Chowdhry v. Johurnlnissa Bibee	18 W. R., 156	3523
Gobind Chunder Chunder v. Kristo Kanto Dutt .	14 W. R., 273	3009
Gobind Chunder Dutt v. Hendry	5 14 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 24 W.)	150
v. Hurronath Roy	R., 15. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 52: 5 W.	1589
v. Kherode Chunder Mitter	R., Act X, 10.	5581
Gobind Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of	f 19 W. R., 61	4423
v. Anundo Chunder Sircar	18 W. R., Cr., 54	4491

Jobind Chunder Ghose v. Bykunt Nath Ghose v. Ramocomar Dey Jobind Chunder Goopto v. Raj Kishore Sein Jobind Chunder Koondoo v. Taruck Chunder Bose Sobind Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Jobind Chunder Moitora. In re Jobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan Jobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo v. Kalla Gazi v. Kala Gazi v. Kankomul Chatterjee Jobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Jobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry V. Sib Nath Shaw Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry Jobind Chunder Sein v. Administrator General of Bengal.	19 W. E., 61 24 W. R., 393 14 W. R., 365 14 W. R., 365 14 W. R., 365 15 L. R., 3 Cale, 145: 1 C. L. R., 36. 16 L. R., 36. 17 W. R., 163 18 L. L. R., 6 Cale, 355: S C. L. R., 217. 2 W. R., Act X., 5 23 W. R., 125 23 W. R., 125 24 B. L. R., 397: 22 W. R., 3 248 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 L. R., 127 17 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., 267., 70 10 W. R., 36	4423 1173 3712 5061 6109 1266 532, 5206 2304, 3397 2346, 485 6135 513 5463, 5473 6221, 6223
v. Rameoomar Dey . Sobind Chunder Goopto v. Raj Kishore Sein . Sobind Chunder Koondoo v. Taruck Chunder Bose . Sobind Chunder Moitra. In re . Sobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee . Sobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee . v. Dulmeer Khau . Sobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo . v. Kalla Gajee . v. Kola Gazi . v. Ramkomul Chatterjee . Sobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of . v. Poorno Chunder Sein . Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Sein v. Administrator General of	14 W. R., 365 L. R., 3 Cale, 145: 1 C. L. R., 35. Y. W. R., 163 L. R., 9 Cale, 835: 8 C. L. R., 217 2 W. R., Act X, 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 248 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. 7 W. R., 185 2 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., 67, 70.	3712 5061 6109 1266 532, 5206 2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 518 513 5463, 5473
Gobind Chunder Koondoo v. Taruck Chunder Bose Gobind Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan Gobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee v. Kalla Gajee v. Kalla Gajee v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkomul Chatterjee Gobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Ghowdhry. Gobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Gobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 145: 1 C. } L. R., 35. 7 W. R., 163 L. L. R., 6 Cale., 835: S C. } L. R., 217. 2 W. R., Act X. 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., } 248. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 } Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. 7 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., 67, 70.	5061 6109 1266 532, 5206 2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 513 513 5463, 5473
Sobind Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Sobind Chunder Moitra. In re Sobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissambhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan Sobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo v. Kalla Gajee v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkonul Chatterjee Sobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Sein v. Administrator General of	L. R., 35. 7 W. R., 163 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 835: S C.} L. R., 217. 2 W. R., Act X, 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 248. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. 7 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., Cr., 70.	6109 1266 532, 5206 2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 513 513 5463, 5475
Sobind Chunder Lahory v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Sobind Chunder Moitra. In re Sobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissambhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan Sobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo v. Kalla Gajee v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkonul Chatterjee Sobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Sein v. Administrator General of	7 W. R., 163 L. L. R., 6 Calc., 835: S C. L. R., 217. 2 W. R., 4c4 X. 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 3 248 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 1 1nd. Jur., N. S., 119. 7 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 267 6 W. R., 267	1266 532, 5206 2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 513 513 5463, 5473
Sobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee v. Dulmeer Khan v. Dulmeer Khan v. Dulmeer Khan v. Kalla Gajee v. Kola Gazi v. Kalla Gajee v. Kola Gazi v. Kamkomul Chatterjee v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkomul Chatterjee v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkomul Chatterjee v. Sobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry v. Sobind Chunder Roy In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry v. Administrator General of	L. R., 217. 2 W. R., Act X, 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 2 248. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 17 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 185 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., Cr., 70 10 W. P., 36	532, 5206 2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 513 513 5463, 5479
Sobind Chunder Mojoomdar v. Bissumbhurrée Dossee . v. Dulmeer Khan . Sobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo {	2 W. R., Act X, 5 23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., 2 248. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. 7 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., Cr., 70	2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 513 513 5463, 5479
v. Dulmeer Khan obind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo v. Kala Gazi v. Kola Gazi v. Kola Gazi kobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkonul Chatterjee Chowdhry. Jobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Scin v. Sib Nath Shaw Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry Abbind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry Jobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	23 W. R., 125 14 B. L. R., 337 : 22 W. R., 248 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626 : 2 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. 7 7 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., Cr., 70	2504, 3397 2346, 4858 6135 513 513 5463, 5479
Sobind Chunder Mookerjee v. Doorgapersad Baboo v. Kalla Gajee v. Kola Gazi v. Rankomul Chatterjee v. Romkomul Chatterjee v. Romkomul Chatterjee v. Romkomul Chatterjee v. Romkishen Paul Chowdhry Sobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw dhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry dhry.	14 B. L. R., 337: 22 W. R., } 248: B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626: 2 \(\) Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. \(\) 7 W. R., 185. \(\) 25 W. R., 364. \(\) 2 W. R., 297. \(\) 16 W. R., 67., 70. \(\) 10 W. P., 36.	6135 513 513 5463, 5479
v. Kalla Gajee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 626 : 2 \\ Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. \\ 7 W. R., 185 \\ 25 W. R., 364 \\ 2 W. R., 297 \\ 16 W. R., Cr., 70 \\ 10 W. R. 36	513 513 5463, 547
v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkomul Chatterjee Sobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sob Nath Shaw Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	Ind. Jur., N. S., 119. 57 W. R., 185. 25 W. R., 364	513 5463, 547
v. Kola Gazi v. Ramkomul Chatterjee Sobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sob Nath Shaw Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry. Sobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	7 W. R., 185 25 W. R., 364 2 W. R., 297 16 W. R., Cr., 70	5463, 5479
Jobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Jobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chow dhry. Jobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	25 W. R., 364	
Jobind Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Ramkishen Paul Chowdhry. Jobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chow dhry. Jobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	16 W. R., Cr., 70.	6221,622
Jobind Chunder Roy. In the matter of v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chow dhry.	16 W. R., Cr., 70.	
v. Poorno Chunder Sein v. Sib Nath Shaw Gobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry dhry. o. Gobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	10 W R 36	5561
Jobind Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Chow- dhry. Jobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of		4822
dhry. 5	5 C. L. R., 171	4525
Sobind Chunder Sen v. Administrator General of	19 W. R., 94	3377
	22 W. R., 421	5308
	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 17: 1 W.)	4*0*
	R., P. C., 43: 9 Moore's	4585
Robind Chunder Shaha v. Abdool Gunny	6 W. R., 41	1992
v. Ashruf Ali Meah v. Puddo Monee Dassee	8 W. R., 490	3283
v. Puddo Monee Dassee	17 W. R., 400	512 2745
Gobind Chund Juttee v. Mun Mohan Jha	12 W. R., 198	3045
Johind Chundra Gangopadhya v. Sherajunnissa Bibi	13 C. L. R., 1	5367
Bobind Chundra Sen v. Joy Chundra Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 327	5343
Robind Coomar Chowdhry v. Huro Chunder Chowdhry	7 W. R., 134 · · ·	3161
Jobind Dass v. Jaykishen Dass	2 Agra, 101	4246 3709
dobind Dayal v. Inayatullah	I. L. R., 7 All., 775	1866, 188
Jobind Hari Walekar v. Shidram bin Shidmurti .	7 Eom., A. C., 37	1000,100
Jobind Koomar Chowdhry. In the matter of the	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 714: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 199: 7	5929
petition of.	W. R., 520.	0 mm 0 000
Gobind Kumar Chowdhry v. Hargopal Nag . {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 72: 11 W.	2570,333 4397,582
	R., 537.	4597,902
v. Huro Chandra Nag	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61: 11 W. R., 571: 21 W. R., }	1627
, (4:12, note.	****
Gobind Lall Seal v. Chand Hurry Maity	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 172	5332
v. Debendronath Mullick	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 679 : 5 C. L. R., 527.	3405
v. Debendro Nath Mullick .	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 311 : 7 C. ?	3406
Ç	L. R., 181.	9400
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 372: 9 C. L. R., 390.	1540
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W.	2207
Gobindmani Dasi v. Shamlal Bysak {	R., F. B., 165.	
Gobind Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Sheriff {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 169 : 8 C. 1 L. R., 357.	4400,50

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Johind Monec v. Rajendro Kishore Chowdhry	15 W. R., 18	539, 540
Jobind Monee Debia v. Dino Bundhoo Shaha	THE THE DE COM	3015
Robindmonee Dossee v. Sham Loll Bysack	W. R., 1864, 153	2485, 4156
	16 W. R., 4	1746, 176
lobind Muhtoo v. Goopee Bhuggut	11 W P 96	1198, 338
dobind Nath Roy v. Luchmee Koomaree	11 11.16., 50	210, 326
Hobindnath Sandyal v. Ramcoomar Ghose	6 W. R., 21.	5618
× •		5924
· · · · · · ·	9 W. R., 115	2342, 447
Jobind Nath Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein	10 W. R., 393	
	1	6004
Fobind Nath Shaha Chewdhuri v. Reily • • •	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 1	4212
Bobind Nauth Rai v. Anund Nauth Rai	5 W. R., Cr., 79	4492
Sobindmuth Sundyal v. Romanauth Thakoor	1 Hay, 501 · · ·	4965
Bobindo Chunder Moulick v. Mudhoosudun Moulick .	25 W. R., 550	5753
	15 B. L. R., 56: 23 W. R., }	547, 318
Gobindo Coomar Chowdhry v. Manson		3337, 628
•	152.	2293
Gobindo Hureekar v. Woomesh Chunder Roy .	W. R., F. B., 176	2000
Gobindo Mahapatro v. Gopcenath Pundit	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 581: 6 }	4412
	W. R., 211.	
Bebindo Nath Roy v. Ram Kanay Chowdhry	24 W. R., 183	2142
Bobindonath Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Kant Roy .	10 W. R., 254	1422
Fobind Pandey v. Heemat Bahadoor	6 W. R., 42	3129
obindpershad v. Ruddell	5 N. W., 160	3105
Sobindprasad. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 2 All., 465	1327
Jobind Proshad Talookdar v. Mohesh Chunder Surma Ghuttuck.	15 B. L. R., 35: 23 W. R.,	2290
Gobind Puramanick v. Gooroo Churn Dutt	3 W. R., 71	52
	10 C. L. R., 76	5304
Gobind Ram v. Bhupal Singh		6106
Gobind Row v. Girdharee Sahoo	24 W. R., 355	
Gobind Saran v. Dharup Nath	I. L. R., 8 All., 614	1849, 229
	,	2477
Gobind Shunkerjee v. Girdharee Sing .	1 N. W., 90 : Ed. 1873, 142	4756
Gobind Singh v. Kallu	I. L. R., 2 All., 778	6093
v. Munno Ram Doss	19 W. R., 414 · {	4051,54
or manno denni Doss	19 W. R., 414 · · {	5434
v. Soonder Pal	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177	5617
v. Zalim Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 33	445
Sobind Sooboodhee. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr. 56	4735
Gobind Sookool v. Gokool Bhukut	23 W. R., 304	4935, 56
Gobind Sundari Debia v. Jagadamba Debia .	3 B. L. R., P. C., 25	299
	Marsh., 400	2931
Goburdhon v. Sadhoo	1 W. R., 244	2772, 57
Johnrdhun Doss v. Munnoo Lall	17 31 D 05	1427
sounded Doss v. Mannoo Dan	15 W. R., 95	1927
Joburdhun Lall v. Singessur Dutt Koer	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 52: 8 C. L.	2174
		- maro
Goburdhun Majhee v. Banee Chunder Doss	21 W. R., 375	5678
Goburdhun Nath v. Onoop Roy	3 W. R., 105	2509
Gocoolanund Dass v. Wooma Daee	15 B. L. R., 405 : 23 W. R., 7	2134, 23
	340.	210.8, 20
Gocool Chunder v. Ali Mahomed	10 W. R., 6.	5078
Gocool Chunder Gossamee v. Administrator General of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 726 : 5 C. L. 7	
Bengal.	R., 569.	867, 45
Gocool Coomar v. Bhichook Singh		5615
G G	22 W. R., 1.	1
Gocool Dass Soonderjee. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327 : }	424, 20
	Bourke, O. C., 240.	1
Goculdas Jagmohandas v. Lakhmidas Khimji 🕠	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 402	705
Godadhar Dass v. Dhunput Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 585: 9 C. L.	2952
	R., 227.	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Godayi Joardar v. Mears	10 W. R., 50	327
Goday Narrain Gajpathi Ram v. Ankitam Venkata Narsing Rau	6 Mad., 85	3771, 3772
Godby, In re	1 Hyde, 196	6200 566 5
Gogaram v. Kartick Chunder Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1022: 9 W. R., 514.	827, 2860
Gogon Manji v. Gobind Chunder Khan	1 C. L. R., 241	2933
v. Kashishwary Debi	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 498	2933 5613
Gogun Chunder Dutt v. Janokee	20 W. R., 235 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 616 : 9 C. L.)	1095
Gogun Chunder Ghose v. Dhuronidhur Mandul . {	R., 257.	1073
v. Empress · · · · {	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 247 : 7 C. L. R., 74.	1803
Gogun Chunder Sirkar v. Laidlay	5 C. L. R., 189	3830 5149
Gogun Pramanick v. Surnomoyee Gokalbhai Mulchand v. Jhaver Chaturbhuj	19 W. R., Cr., 39 8 Bom., A. C, 61	3380
Gokal Singh v. Mannn Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 772	4550 570
Gokhla Nund v. Lalljee Sahoo	21 W. R., 5	5696
Gokool Dass v. Gungeshur Singh Gokool Kishon Sen v. Issur Chunder Roy	3 N. W., 164 18 W. R., 12	827, 286 1167
Gokool Kristo Sen v. David	23 W. R., 443	4181
Gokool Pershad v. Etwari Mahto	20 W. R., 138	4254, 426 536
Gokool Pershad Discheet v. Brojomonee Debia	24 W. R., 259 9 W. R., 202	5171 896
Gokoofram Deb v. Ramsoondur Surmah	Marsh., 344: 2 Hay, 325 .	4409, 525
Gokuldas v. Ganeshlal	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 416 . I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602 : 2 C. L.)	5586 1076, 109
Gokul Daşs Gopal Dass v. Murli	R., 156.	2708, 402
Gokuldass v. Kriparam	13 B. L. R., 205	3898, 409 5627
Golabehand Baboo v. Prosunno Kumari Debya	11 B. L. R., 332 : 20 W. R., 80	2253 1355
Golab Chand Nowluckha v. Jeebun Coomaree Bibee	24 W. R., 437	2001
Gelab Koonwar v. Collector of Benares	1. A., 246.	2291, 230
Golab Koonwer v. Shib Sahai	2 Agra, 54 }	2484
Golabolee v. Kootooboollah Sircar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 527 8 W. R., 304	539 5122
Golab Singh v. Cheda Singh	3 Agra, 135	6224
v. Rao Kurun Singh	10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. A., 176, 187.	2209, 230 4155, 488
Golack Chandra Chowdhry v. Ali Mollah 🔹 🗼 .	8 B. L. R., 528, note 6 B. L. R., 165 : 14 W. R., 265	5627 3724
Golakram Deb v. Brindaban Deb Golam v. Wajida Bibi	7 N. W., 188	3871
Golam Abed v. Toolseeram Bera	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 861 : 12 C. 7 L. R., 411.	23
Golam Ahea v. Digumbur Singh	W. R., 1864, 225	6135
Golum Ahmed v. Sham Soondar Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 9 Marsh., 239: 1 Hay, 500 .	5074 1196, 270
Golam Ahyah v. Sham Soonder Koonwaree	7 W. R., 375	.3447
Golam Ali v. Gopal Lall Tagore v. Gopal Lall Thakoor	1 W. R., 56 9 W. R., 65	3018, 41

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
olam Ali v. Kali Krishna Thakur	{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 479 : 8 C. } L. R., 517.	3017
v. Kally Kishen Thakoor	{	12 B. L. R., 167: 18 W. R.,	4636
v. Mahomed Zahur Alum		299. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 76	2854
v. Sowlutoonissa Bibee		W. R., 1864, 242	3670
olam Ali Chowdhry v. Bhosai		25 W. R., 227	3025 4399
v. Futtick Chunder		9 W. R., 401	39
olam Ali Khan v. Agurjeet Roy		17 W. R., 343	3712
v. Imam Ali Khan		2 Agra, 224 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 612: 10 C. }	365
olam Ali Mundul v. Golap Soondery Dossee .	1	L. R., 499.	5195
lolam Ally v. Gopal Lall Thakoor		9 W. R., 65	1663
iolam Arab v. Curreembux Shaikjee	3	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 294: 4 C.	5241
dolam Arfin. In the matter of		L. R., 477.)	6068
olam Asgar v. Lakhimani Debi		5 B. L. R., 68: 13 W. R., 273	815, 5448
Jolam Ashgar v. Joy Mungul Singh	•	2 S. L. R., Ap., 24	3495 4201, 4554
Folam Chunder Dey v. Nuddiar Chand Adheekaree		13 W. R., 435	576
Holam Dustagir Khan v. Jogai Singh	5	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3: 10 W.)	4003
folamee v. Paelan		R., 86. 18 W. R., 298	518, 323
dolamee Gopee Ghose v. Juggessur Ghose		3 W. R., 193	2437
Folam Esha v. Hurrish Chunder Mookerjee Folam Ghous v. Fureed Alum	٠	W. R., 1864, Mis., 29	5124 2916
Jolam Guffoor v. Ekram Hossein Chowdhry		1 Agra, 246	4344, 5825
Folam Hossein v. Alla Rukhee Beebee	{	3 N. W., 62: Agra, F. B., \	5242
v. Ram Doyal Ghose		Ed. 1874, 248.	2775
Jolami Sahu v. Chutter Bhooj Patuck		3 C. L. R., 261	3475
Golam Jelanee Chowdhry v. Nuleet Chunder Singh Golam Jilani v. Imdad Husain	٠	11 W. R., 503	1452 6120
Jolam Khejur v. Erskine & Co.	:	11 W. R., 445	3800
Jolam Koodsee Chowdhry v. Jokoorunnissa Khatoon		19 W. R., 238	2023
v. Rashu Chunder Ghose	ċ	Marsh., 323 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 27 : 4 C. L.)	66
Golam Lall v. Beni Prosad	į	R., 29.	1684
John Mahomed Akbur v. Radha Kishen Mohunt	•	R., 29. 9 W. R., 287	4933
Folam Mahomed Shaha v. Mookta Keshee Debee v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick	•	7 W. R., 161 19 W. R., 91	1436 4891
Rolam Mostofa v. Goburdhun Mulla	:	8 C. L. R., 441	4765
Jolam Mukdoom v. Hafeezoonissa	٠	7 W. R., 489	3697, 576
Golam Mustafa Khan v. Sheo Soonduree Burmonee	:	10 W. R., 187	4033 2350
Jolam Nabi v. Biswanath Kar .	{	3 B. L. R., Ap., 85:12 W.	3403
Jolam Narotam v. Secretary of State for India .		R., 9. 3 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596	605
Golam Panja v. Hurrish Chunder Ghose	:	17 W. R., 552	5188
Johan Rahman v. Fatima Bibi		I. L. R., 13 Cale., 232	648, 608
Golam Reza Chowdhry v. Chandoo Meah Lushkur Golam Russool v. Abdool Ruheem	:	15 W. R., 45 15 W. R., 19	6001 471
Bolam Russool Khan v. Kisheu Mohun Shaha	:	23 W. R., 156	808
Golam Sobhan v. Muddun Mohun Paul	•	18 W. R., 257	4236
Golam Yabeya v. Shama Sundari Kuari	•	3 B. L. R., Ap., 134: 12 W. \	448

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Golam Yassin v. Official Trustee of Bengal Golap Chand Marwaree v. Mohokoom Kooaree { Golap Chand Nowluckha v. Krishto Chunder Dass }	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 887 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 314 : 2 C. } L. R., 412, note.	6057 1771, 1773 5834 558, 3149
Biswas. Golap Coomary Dassee v. Sundur Narain Dec. In the	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 314 . { 4 C. L. R., 36	3155 770
matter of. Golaum Mohamed v. Caleutta Club Golaupmonee Dossee v. Prosonomoye Dossee Golla Chinna Guruvuppa Naidu v. Kali Appiah Naidu Golla Nagabhu Shanam v. Kanakaha Gangayya Goloke Chunder Chowdhry v. Magistrate of Chittagong Goloke Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kallee Kinkur Chuck- erbutty.	Cor., 67 11 B. L. R., 373 4 Mad., 434 2 Mad., 28 25 W. R., Cr., 15 1 W. R., 164	4532 4337 4820, 4828 4326 137, 1003 5268
Golokemoney Dabia v. Mohesh Chunder Mosa . {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 547 : 1 C. L. R., 149.	574
Goloke Nath Sen Biswas v. Ram Kant Dey Sircar Goluckbur v. Bishonath Geeree Goluck Chandra Mytee v. Harapriah Debi Goluck Chandra Pal v. Kali Charan De Goluck Chunder v. Ram Huree Goluck Chunder Bose v. Ohilla Dayee v. Rughoonath Roy.	S C. L. R., 444 Marsh., 32 I. L. R., 12 Cale., 559 I. L. R., 12 Cale., 175 23 W. R., 100 11 B. L. R., 337, note: 17 W. R., 444	557 759 786 4362, 4490 1435 2429 2252
diadhur Soondeah Nurrendur.	W. R., 1864, 135	1811
Goluck Chunder Chowdhry v. Ali Mollah . v. Kanto Pershad Hazaree . v. Tarinee Churn Chuck ?	11 W. R., 378 15 W. R., 317 4 W. R., 49	4460 4586 5282
erbutty. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty o, Teeluck Chunder Shah Goluck Chunder Doss v. Gopal Kishen Sen Goluck Chunder Dutt v. Anunt Kishore Gossain v. Conrt of Wards v. Meah Raja Mijee v. Mohun Loll Sookul Goluck Chunder Gooho v. Mohim Chunder Ghose Goluck Chunder Kool v. Dookhee Ram	2 Hay, 49 W. R., 1864, 250 25 W. R., 388 10 W. R., 127 17 W. R., 119 5 W. R., 271 13 W. R., 95 12 W. R., 219	1171 1458 4902 5779 580 4016, 4529 2602 1759
Goluck Chunder Kur. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 29	3880
Goluck Chunder Masanta v . Nundo Coomar Roy .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 699: 3 C. L. R., 450.	4478, 6008
Goluck Chunder Mussunt v. Gunga Narain Mussunt Goluck Chunder Mytee. In the matter of	20 W. R., 111 : 18 W. R., 111 11 W. R., Cr., 9	1487 4493
Goluck Chunder Paul v. Mahomed Rohim	15 W. R., 167	2199, 251
Goluck Chunder Roy v. Juggernath Roy Chowdhry	Marsh., 146: W. R., F. B., 47: 1 Hay, 346.	2972
Goluck Chunder Sein v. Collector of Backergunge Goluck Chunder Sein v. Puresh Mahomed Goluck Chunder Sircar v. Ishan Chunder Deb Goluck Kishore Acharjee v. Kesha Majhee Goluck Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry v. Nund Mohun)	17 W. R., Čr., 33 2 W. R., 139 25 W. R., 284 23 W. R., 437 15 W. R., 23	4485 1719 4905 6095 3806 1810, 479
Dey Sircar. Goluckmonee Dabea v . Assimoodeen v . Nabungo Moonjuree Dabea .	1 W. R., 56 W. R., F. B., 14	4589 3984

Name of Case. Volu	une and Page. Column of Digest	
Goluckmonee Debia v. Rammonee Bose 12 W. R., 2		
v. Ram Soundar Chackerbutty . 9 W. R., 52	391	9
Goluck Monee Dossee v. Huro Chunder Ghose 8 W. R., 62		
Rolnek Narain Dutt v. Bisto Prea Dossee 1 W. R., 14	0 23	(0)
	., 53 : 1 Ind. Jur., 3 1 Hay, 378. 3144, 4	338
Rolucknath Ghose v. Sreenath Bose 24 W. R., 20		3
Golnek Rang z. Nabo Soondaree Dossee 21 W. R. 3	14 302	Ø .
Goluk Chunder Mahinta v. Surbomangala Dabi	Calc., 711 : 8 C.	5
Goluk Chundra Dass v. Bhagmut Dass 11 C. L. R.,	106 47	
Goluknath Misser v. Lalla Prem Lal I. L. R., 3	Calc., 307 392	
Gomain Sircar v. Prannath Goopto 1 W. R., 14		
Goma Mahad Patil v. Gokaldas Khimji I. L. R., 3 I		
Gomance Lall v. Jeewun Ram 2 Agra, 33	459	
Gomes v. Carter 1 Ind. Jur.,		2
Gomez v. Young	O. C., 165 : 12 }	4
Gonda v. Pyari Doss Goszain 13 W. R., C	r., 19 373	8
Gooda Kooer v. Oodey Singh 14 B. L. R.,		8
	Calc., 138 400	1
Gonesh Chunder Roy v. Nil Komul Roy 2 W. R., 26		()
Govern Dose a Condone Koorm	Cale., 147: 12 C. 7 335	5
Gonesh Junonce Debia v. Bireshur Dhul	FO 004	in.
Gonesh Lall v. Sheo Golam Singh		
Gonesh Pandey v. Dåbee Doyal Singh		
Goneshpershad v. Saligram		
Goodrich v. Venkanna		
"Good Success," In the matter of the I Ind. Jur.,		
Goodur Sahoy v. Dhunessur Koer		
Goodur Suhaye v. Achebur Lall		
	Golo 140 (4271, 5	
	(011	
	01 : Ed. 1873, 275 . 171	
Goolam Hoosein Noor Mahomed v. Fatmabai I L. R., 8		
Gooljehan v. Bunno 1 Agra, 25	490	
Goodzar Khan. In the matter of	555 5 148	
Gooman Singh v. Makhan Singh 2 N. W., 1	37	
v. Tripool Singh 8 W. R., 46 Goonath Mundle v. Troylocko Chuckerbutty 9 W. R., Co	57	
Goonjessur Koonwar v. Luchmee Narain Singh 20 W. R., 4	18 : : : 43	
Goonomonee Debia v. Ram Komul Sandle 17 W. R., 1	14.4 80, 4	
Goono Monee Dossee v. Bhabosoonduree Dossee	58	
v. Burrodakant Roy 18 W. R., 19		
Goonomonee Dossia v. Parbutty Dossia 10 W. R.,		
Goopee Kissen Gossain. In the matter of 24 W. R., C		04
Goope Nath Dutt v. Preo Nath Sircar 6 W. R., A		44
Goorbin Bhagat v. Fureed Alum 3 Agra, 40		
Goor Buksh v. Choonnoo Lall 1 Agra, Re		31
Goor Chunder Pateetundo v. Joogul Chunder alias 1 1 W P of	1	51
Shama Churn Ghose. Goordass v. Manohnr Dass		
	, 15 : Ed. 1874, 11 2992,	
Goordyal v. Hunskoonwer		
Goordyal Roy v. Deka Noonya	46	
Gooroochurn Bose v. Bykunt Nath Acharjee 5 W. R., M		
	100,000 100	00

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ol O 771 44 N. 1 D .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 79	535
ooroo Churn Coomar v. Khetter Mohun Roy		371
ooroo Churu Dey v. Rundhone Paul		4104, 528
ooroo Churn Goon v. Gungagobind Chatterjee		1360
ooroo Churn Mullick v. Ram Dutt	2 W. R., 43	5257
ooroo Churn Nag v. Gobind Chunder Gooho	24 W R., 352	
ooroo Churn Poddar v. Hafeeza Bibee	7 W. R., 366	4129, 563
ooroochurn Sein v. Gojulmonee Chowdhrain	3 W. R., 159	4246
v. Radhanauth Sein	7 Moore's I. A., 1	4641
ooroo Churn Sircar v. Brijanath Dhur	24 W. R., 111	5036
v. Goluckmonee Dossee	13 W. R., 188	3367
	3 W. R., 43	3853
ooroo Churn Soor v. Sree Churn Ghose	15 W. R., 308	5288
ooroo Dass Dan v. Kooshoom Koomaree Dossee	9 W. R., 547	4822
ooroo Dass Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	18 W. R., Cr., 57	4737
ooroo Doss Akhoolee v. Poran Mundul	12 W. R., 363	327
	11 W. R., 158	4393
boroodoss Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	0 MY TO MAY OF	4848
ooroodoss Dutt v. Dwarkanath Manna	6 W. R., Mis., 61 22 W. R., 525	2697
v. Uma Churn Roy		3495
v, Wooma Churn Roy	13 W. R., 83 W. R., 1864, Act X, 39	6109
ooroodoss Ghose v. Sristeedhur Dey		1610
poroo Doss Mundul v. Durbarce	5 W. R., Act X, 86	285
oroo Doss Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 407	
v. Anund Moyee Debia	15 W. R., 203	3842
v. Bunsheedhur Sein	15 W. R., 61	3843
v. Anund Moyee Debia v. Bunsheedhur Sein v. Collector of Furreedpore	19 W. R., 170; 20 W. R., 262	219
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 628: 2)	
v. Bishtoo Churn Bhuttacharjee	Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W.	535
, (R., 186.	
v. Gholam Mowlah	Marsh., 24: 1 Hay, 103	271 780, 624
v. Greedhur Sein	11 W. R., 110	6243
v. Huronath Roy	W. R., 1864, 61	5786
v	2 W. R., 246	4173
v. Taronaen Moy	7 W. R., 423	5008
v. Issur Chunder Bose	22 W. R., 246	3019
v. Punchanun Bose	9 W. R., 337	5728
	B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 628: 27	1
v. Ram Narain Mitter	Ind. Jur., N. S., 112: 7 W. }	535
)	R., 186.	1
v, Ramrunginee Dossee	20 W. R., 54	596
n Ram Runginea Dossia	17 W. R., 136	200
v. Ram Runginee Dossia v. Sonamonee Dossia	20 W. R., 345	3245
ooroo Doyal Singh v. Durbaree Lall Tewaree	7 W. B., 18	1777
ooroo Dutt v. Sooroo	16 W. R., 264	5003
	20 W. R., 409	5612
ooroo Dyal Chuckerbutty v. Keshub Bibee	24 W. R., 272	3840
ooroodyal Mundur v. Gopal Singh		4396
ocroodyal Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	9 W. R., 333	
ooroogobindo Chowdhry v. Hureemadhub Roy .	Marsh., 398: 2 Hay, 401	2292, 22
ouroopersad Khoond v. Juggut Chunder . }	3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's 7 I. A., 164, 165, 166.	4635
ooroo Persad Roy v. Jaggobundoo Mozoomdar	W. R., F. B., 15: 1 Hay, 228	2793, 41
ooroopersaud Janah v. Bippropersaud Berrah	Marsh., 292: 2 Hay, 152	400:
ooroopersaud Sircar v. Philippe	Marsh., 366 2 Hay, 451 .	3073
ooroopershad Chuckerbutty v. Bani Nath Chuckerbutty	2 C. L. R., 216	1716, 5;
ooroo Pershad Dutt v. Sreemath Banerjee	15 W. R., 314	4902
ooroo Pershad Mookerjee v. Kalee Pershad Mookerjee	5 W. R., 121	4.190
		4159, 4
iooroo Pershad Roy v. Bykunto Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 82	4459, 4

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gooroo Pershad Roy v. Debee Pershad Tewaree	6 W. R., 58	2346
v. Nimaye Chand Pulshani	3 W. R., Act X, 5	538
v. Ram Lochun Pauray	13 W. R., 241	2063
Rooroo Prosunno Banerjee v. Gugun Chunder Dutt	20 W. R., 383	4267
v. Sregopal Pal Chowdhry .	20 W. R., 99	3007
coroo Prosunno Singh v. Nil Madhub Singh	21 W. R., 84	4153
ooroo Swamy Perria Woodia Taver v. Anga Mootoo Natchair.		4658
dooroova Butten v. Narrainasawmy	8 Mad., 13, note	2532
loor Pershad v. Nunda Singh	1 Agra, 160	6126
v. Sheodeen	4 N. W., 137	414, 539
loor Sahai v. Hur Sahai	3 Agra, 202	1384
Foor Suruz Doss v. Ram Surnn Bhukut	5 W. R., 54	2369
Roosacen Sree Choundawalee Bahoojee v. Girdhareejee	3 Agra, 226	2245
Boosery Cotton Mills Company v. Steel	2 Hyde, 238	923
Jooshacen Teckumjee v. Pursotum Lalljee	3 Agra, 238	2481
Gopal v. MacNagthen	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 751	1189
- v. Nanku	I. L. R., 1 All., 624	5710
— v. Narayan bin Tukaji	1 Bom., 31	1751
- v. Uchabal	I. L. R., 3 All., 51	5082
Gopala v. Paramma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 583	3311
Gopala Appa Row. Ex parte	L. R., 13 I A., 155 : I. L. R., 10 Mad., 73.	4657
Jopalaratnamayyar v. Bupala Narasimma Nayudu	I, L. R., 4 Mad., 399	5237
Jopalasami v. Chinnasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 458	2331
v. Sankara	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 418	4716
Ropalasami Pillai v. Chokalingam Pillai	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 320	2170
Gopalasawmy Mudelly v. Mukkee Gopalier	7 Mad., 312	3591
Gopala Setty v. Damodara Setty	4 Mad., 173 · · ·	3489
Gopalayyan v. Raghupati Ayyan alias Aiyavayyan	3 Mad., 217	5027
v. Raghupatiayyan alias Ayyavayyan	7 Mad., 250	2133
Gopal Burnawar. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13	4362, 450
Gopal Chandra Biswas v. Ramjan Sirdar	5 B. L. R., 194: 13 W. R.,	5691
	B. L. R., A. C., 76: 10 W.)	838, 332
Gopal Chandra Lahiri v. Solomon	R., 104. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 62	3154, 513
Gopal Chandra Roy v. Nabin Chandra Bhandari	3 B. L. R., Ap., 34	5004
Gopal Chunder v. Jugut Indur Bunwaree Gobind .	8 W. R., 200	837
Jopal Chunder Bose v. Mothoor Mohun Banerjee	3 W. R., Act X, 132	1617
Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Oodoy Lall Dey .	12 W. R., 411	1481, 517
v. Uoodoy Lall Dey	10 W. R., 115	5326
Gopal Chunder Daghoria v. Kenaram Daghoria	7 W. R., 35	2298
Jonel Chunder Ghose a Dhamadhar Por	Marsh., 311: 2 Hay, 188	1201
v. Raj Chunder Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 9	224
v. Tincowree Mundul.	19 W. R., 349	5762
Gopal Chunder Gooho v. Juggodumba Dossia	10 W. R., 411	1179, 490
Gopal Chunder Hazrah v. Mohesh Chander Baneriee	21 W. R., 44	6232
Ropal Chunder Lahoory v. Roy Kishore Lahoory	15 W. R., 467	55
Gopal Chunder Mitter v. Mohesh Chunder Boral .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 230: 11 C. } L. R., 363.	3241, 335 3433
Gopal Chunder Mukerjee v. Juddoo Lall Mullick .	[I. L. R., 9 Cale., 778: 13 C.)	5281
Gopal Chunder Mundle. In the matter of	L. R., 146.	261
Gopal Chunder Nath Coondoo v. Haridas Chini .	10 C. L. R., 57	674. 229
Gopal Chunder Roy v. Arman Shaikh		5708
v. Brojendro Coomar Roy	15 W. R., 402	369
or projoudite coomer may	5 C. L. R., 338	909

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Chunder Roy v. Brojo Behary Mitter	9 C. L. R., 293	3177
v. Gooroo Dass Roy {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 764, note : ?	1605,474
	7 W. R., 135.	5104
v. Oodhub Chunder Mullick	W. R., 1864, 156	5105
v. Madhub Chunder Shaha	21 W. R., 29	1765
v. Sinaes.	8 W. R., 4	3288
Ropal Chunder Sircar v. Adhiraj Aftab Chand Mahtab.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 743	693
v. Kurnodhar Moochee	7 W. R., 349	911,565
Gopal Chunder Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of	L. L. R., 8 Calc., 728: 11 C. L. R., 223.	17
v. Foolmoni Bewa {	I. L. R., 8 (alc., 728: 11 C.) L. R., 223.	17
Ropal Dai v. Chunni Lall	I. L. R., 8 All., 67	5418
lopal Das v. Ali	3 B. L. R., A. C., 198 . {	588, 221 2575
v. Gopinath Sirear	12 C. L. R., 38	*4996
v. Seetaram	3 Agra, 268	2574, 426
v. Than Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 184	4467,526
lopal Doss v Doomee Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 226	4820 3491
v. Than Singh. opal Doss v Doomee Chowdhry opal Govind v. Ganeshdas Tejinal opal Gurain v. Gurain	8 Bom., A. C., 97	2837
Jopal Hanmant v. Sakharam Govind	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 254	2101, 285
lopal Hanmant Deshka v. Kondo Kashinath	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 328	4985
$\{ v_{i} \in V_{i} \}$ opal Inder Narain Roy $v_{i} \in V_{i} $ Jagarnath Gurg	5 W. R., P. C., 129: 2 Moore's I. A., 311.	5982
lopaliyan v. Mahasangavastha Aiya	5 Mad., 425	3594
topal Jayachand v. Hargovind Khushal	5 Bom., A. U., 83	4433 3224, 380
lopal Kashi v. Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan	12 Bom., 17 {	4277
Jopal Khundee Rao v. Deokee Nundun	6 N. W., 172	5752, 577
Jopal Kishen Sircar v. Ram Narain Koondoo	17 W. R., 175	5785 3392
Ropal Kishere Shoor v. Mudun Mohun Holdar	2 W. R., 188	5328
łopal Kisto Mookerjee v. Mudhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 82	5081
opalkristna Sastri v. Ramayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 236	5668
opal Lall v. Mahomed Hadee	6 N. W., 73	203 778
() T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	3 W. R., P. C., 1:10 Moore's)	
Sopal Lall Tagore v. Tilluck Chunder Rai {	I. A., 183.	1598
ional Tall Thakoor v. Kumur Ali	6 W. R., Act X, 85	1661
v. Mahomed Kadir	W. R., 1864, Act X, 73. 7 Moore's I. A., 548	1390, 578 4639
topal Mullick v. Onoop Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 183	3395
opal Mundul v. Nobo Kishen Mookerjee	5 W. R., Act X, 83	1616, 170
topal Narain v. Trimbak Sadashiv	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 267	4772
opal Narain alias Jugdeo Narain v. Gunga Pershad \ Sahee.	19 W. R., 270	2020
	14 B. T. B. 91	88, 19
opal Narain Mozcomdar v. Muddomutty Gooptee .	14 B. L. R., 21 }	2234, 41:
opal Narhar Safray v. Hanmant Ganesh Safray	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273	2382, 326 2128, 216
opalnath v. Abdool Ghanee	1 Agra, 120	4502, 598
opaluath Tewarce v. Bhooyah Oranoo	6 W. R., 137	5595
opal Pal Chowdhry v. Tarinee Pershad Ghose	9 W. R., 89	4864
Sopal Pandey v. Parsotam Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 121	5199 8
oper rear onowery v. Grish Changer randey	14 W. R., 269	8

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gopal Pershad v. Ramanoogra Singh	8 W. R., 201 1 B. L. R., A. C., 192 : 10 W. R., 252.	1924 4770
Gopal Bam v. Rangayyangar Gopalrao Gauesh v. Kishor Kalidas Gopalrav v. Trimbakrav	R., 252. 3 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 114 I. L. R., 9 Bon., 527 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 598	110 2773, 3052 2232
Gopalrav Myral. In re	. 8 Bom., O. C., 236 . §	2105, 5296 5580
Gopal Roy v. Tekaet Roy	. 8 W. R., 333	5774
Gopal Safray v. Hanmant Safray	· I. L. R., 3 Bom., 273 .	2234, 2382 4134
Gopal Sahi v. Goodhea Pershad Gopal Sahoo v. Gunga Pershad Sahoo .	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 107 2 W. R., 47	5137 3711, 4298 3915 2690, 3485
Gopal Sahu Deo v. Joyram Tewary	R., 402.	2000, 0400
Gopal Shridhar Dikshit Patvardhan v. Naro Vinay Dikshit Patvardhan. Gopal Singh v. Court of Wards v. Dhangazee v. Dular Kuar v. Jlakri Rai	7 Bom., Ap., 24	2121 5929 2339 221 301,5791
v. Kanhya Lali Sahebzada	§ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 11 W.	3398
o. Roy Bunwaree Lall Sahoo Gopal Singh Deb v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty Gopal Singh Moorah v. Sunkuree Paharin Gopal Sitarun Gune v. Desai Gopal Surnokar v. Goyaram Sircar Gopalu v. Yenkatadoss Gopal Yadavrav Keshar v. Krishnappa bin Mahada Gopanud Jha v. Lalla Gobind Pershad Gopanud Jha v. Lalla Gobind Pershad Gopanud Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Nilmoney Mitter Gopaul Chunder Lahiry v. Solomon	R. 9. 5 5 C. L. R., 181 7 W. R., 393 23 W. R., 458 I. L. R., 6 Boun, 674 13 W. R., 273 Y. L. R., 7 Mad., 552 Ppa 7 Boun, A. C., 60 12 W. R., 100 1 Agra, Rev., 51 L. L. R., 10 Cale, 374 I. L. R., 11 Cale, 767	5475 795 1589 3444 5678 3582 3942 4169 1570 4147 3154, 5136
Gopaul Chunder Manna v. Gour Monee Dossee .	. 6 W. R., 52 {	1499, 2197 2515
Gopaul Doss v. Greedharee Doss	. 6 W. R., 13 7 W. R., 28	5583 2994
Gopaul Mullick v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjoe .	14 B. L. R., 205, note: 51 W. ?	3055
Gopee Bullub Roy v. Goluck Proshad Gopee Bundhoo Shantra Mohapattur v. Kalee Pud	R., 363. W. R., 1864, 135	877
Banerjee. Gopee Chand Setia v. Bhoobun Mohun Sen Gopee Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Ram Komul Ghose	23 W. R., 401 W. R., 1864, 179	3930 5279 1579
Gopee Kishen Goshamee v. Brindabun Chunder Sir. Chowdhry.	car { 12 W.R., P.C., 36: 13 Moore's } I. A., 37.	3199
Goopeekishen Gossain v. Hem Chunder Gossain .	. 13 W. R., 322	. 1159 6175
v. Ryland · · ·	9 W. R., 279	503, 506
v. — v. —	9 W. R., 562	4255 505
Gopeekishen Gossamy v. Thakoordass Gossamy	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 807: 10 C.]	3375
Gopee Kissen Gossame v. Brindabun Chunder Sirca	L. R., 439.	2694
Gopeekisto Gossamee v. Ram Connul Mistry .	Marsh., 212	5311

	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
a	and it does not a second of the second of th		6 Moore's I. A., 53 . {	475, 477
_	peekrist Gosain v. Gungapersaud Gosain	.		2343 1434, 2346
Goj	pee Lall v. Bhugwan Doss	•	12 W. R., 7	2:34, 2136
Go	pee Loll v. Chundraolee Buhoojee	{	11 B. L. R., 391: 19 W. R., } 12: L.R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 131 }	2245, 273
ر مردا	pee Mohun Banerjee v. Sreekanto Bose	4	13 W. R., 103	5707
	pee Mohun Mojoomdar v. Mackintosh		9 W. R., 395	5829
	pee Mohun Mozoomdar v. Hills		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 789	4964
Goj	pee Mohun Roy v. Abdool Rajah Surgun Nacoda		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 358	1727 5659
	v. Protap Chunder Roy .		11 W. R., 530	5175
٧	v. Sib Chunder Sen	•	5 W. R., P. C., 72	5234
	•		I. L. R., 7 Calc., 553: 9 C. L. ?	
Gο	peenath Acharjee v. Achcha Bibee	1	R , 395.	5420
Go	peenath Chowdhry v. Gooroodoss Surma		18 W. R., 472	2242
	peenath Dobey v. Roy Luchmeeput Singh .	5	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 542: 1 C.)	5470
		ſ	L. R., 349.	4458
90	pee Nath Doss v. Dyanidhee Soondura Mohapattur		7 W. R., 485	5696
	peenath Ghose v. Kedarnath Chuckerbutty peenath Jannah v. Jetoo Mollah	•	18 W. R., 272	1632, 293
	pee Nath Mookerjee v. Boddhumunt Mal		25 W. R., 26	288
30	peenath Mookerjee v. Kally Doss Mullick .		I. L. R., 10 Cale., 225	2195, 260
-	v. Ram Huree Mundul .		9 W. R., 476	1655, 166
	peenath Mudduck. In the matter of	٠	14 W. R., 7	4433 1680
	peenath Naick v. Jodoo Ghose	•	23 W. R., 42	5739
	peenath Paul v. George peenath Roy v. Gopcenath Chatteriee	•	6 W. R., Mis., 106	201, 317
	v. Ramjoy	:	14 W. R., 249	1234
Gο	pecuath Singh v. Anund Moyee Debia		8 W. R., 167	1747, 176
Gο	pee Ojha v. Hur Gobind Singh		12 W. R., 229	6240
	pee Sing v. Kisha Lall	٠	25 W. R., 187	5427 1995
	pee Thakooraee v. Sheo Sevuk Misser	•	5 N. W., 95 I. L. R., 8 All., 646	2283, 248
	pi Chand v. Sujan Kuar pikrishna Gossami v. Nilcomnl Banerjee	:	13 B. L. R., 461 : 22 W. R., 79	2810
	pi Mohun Dass v. Tincouri Gupta	Ċ	1 C. L. R., 254	5242
	pj Mohun Mullick v. Taramoni Chowdhrani .	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 7: 4 C. L.	1440, 409
		(R., 309.	1661
	opi Mohun Muzoomdar v. Hills	٠	5 C. L. R., 33	4854, 55
or c	ppinath v. Kuldip Singh ppi Nath Chatterjee v. Modhu Sudun Dey	•	11 C. L. R., 51	1179
u	opi Main Chamerjee v. Modna Badan Bey	•	(489, 33
Go	opi Nath Chobey v. Bhugwat Pershad		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 697 . }	4247, 50
				5069
	ppinath Shaha. In the matter of	٠	1 C. L. R., 141	1334 5398
	opi Wasudev v. Markande Narayan Bhat	•	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 30 7 W. R., 470	1662
G	orachand v. Gudadhur Chatterjee	•	8 W. R., 334	3201, 33
		Ġ	3 B. L. R., Ap., 121: 12 W. ?	762
G	orachand Goswami v. Raghu Mandal	{		10.
G	orachand Misser v. Boykanto Narain Singh .		12 B. L. R., 261 : 20 W. R.,	5734
			421. 13 B. L. R., 279, note: 11 W.	5193, 51
	ora Chand Mustafi v. Madan Mohan Sikdar .	- 1	R., 94.	
	orachand Sirkar v. Ramnarain Chowdhry .		9 W. R., 587	6241
	orachund Chunder Bose v. Charroo Chunder Ghose		Boarke, O. C., 3: Cor., 93	5712 3958
	oraki Kanaji v. Nathu bin Appaji	•	1 Bom., 135	3711
u	ordhandas Girdharbhai v. Prankor	•	O DOME, A. O., alle	1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Fordhan Pema v. Kasandas Balmukundas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 179	5664
fordon v. Aboo Mahomed Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 68	3125
v. Gopeo Soonduree Dossee	25 W. R., 53	1984
v. Gordon	3 B. L. R., O. C., 100	1547
v. Gordon and DeSaran	3 B. L. R., O. C., 136	1548
v. Goldon and Desiman	3 B. L. R., Ap. 13	1554
		135
Fordon, Stuart, & Co. v. Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry	8 W. R., 291	100
v. Executive Engineer of the Calcutta and Jessore Road	7 W. R., 426	1053
Division	Marsh., 490: 2 Hay, 565	6, 2951
v. Mohatab Chunder v. Taylor v. Tikaitnee Seobas Kowaree roreeboollah Sirkar v. Boyd	W. R., F. B., 9	3030
v. Taylor		2063
v. I ikannee Seopas Kowaree	W. R., 1864, 370	
	2 W. R., 190	143
Force Monee Dossee v. Juggut Indro Narain Chowdry	11 Moore's I. A., 1	4631
foriboolla Gazee v. Gooroodoss Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 99	1724
Joruppa bin Rachappa. In the matter of	1 Bom., 90	209
Josaien Shiva Ram v. Rugho Rai	2 Agra, 44	4279
Josain Rambharti Jagrupbharti v. Surajbharti Haribharti	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 682	2339, 4134
	3 B. L. R., P. C., 34: 12 W. R., P. C., 32: 12 Moore's I. A., 77	4000 400H
Josain Tota Ram v. Rukiniballab {	P.C. 32 . 12 Moore's I. A., 77	4653, 4677
Goshaien Jugoopooree v. Bishen Dyal Chund	2 Agra, 32	4986
Goshain Girdhariji v. Durga Devi	I. L. R., 2 All., 119	350
		3237
Goshain Gobind Pershad v. Fatima	2 W. R., 5	
Goshain Jugroop Geer v. Chingun Lal	2 N. W., 46	903, 4445
Goshain Luchmun Pershad Pooree v. Pohoop Narain Pooree.	24 W. R., Cr., 30 . {	4095, 4731 5945
Gosmiah v. Taffuzzul Hossein	4 B. L. R., Ap., 32 ·	486
Gossain Dass Chunder v. Issur Chunder Nath	I. L. L., 3 Calc., 224	4478,6005
Gossaindoss Ghose v. Gooroodoss Chuckerbutty	16 W. R., 198	5243
Gossain Doss Koondoo v. Siroo Koomaree Debia	12 B. L. R., 219: 19 W. R., 192	3368, 4173
Gossain Dowlut Geer v. Bissessur Geer	19 W. R., 215	2244
7.	22 W. R., 207	4911
Gossain Dulmir Puri v. Tekait Hetnarain	6 C. L. R., 467	218, 4714
	01 11 0 410	3496
Gossain Gopal Dutt v. Court of Wards	21 W. R., 418	
Gossain Gunga Dutt Bharutee v. Dabee Dass Baboo .	25 W. R., 118	4262, 4401
Gossain Jhunmi Pooree v. Anund Moyee Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 9	209
Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree v. Tekait Het Narain Singh.	18 W. R., 322	2714
Gossain Money Purce v. Guru Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 146	1936, 2605
Gossain Mungul Doss v. Roy Dhunput Singh	25 W. R., 152	5337
Gossain Munraj Pooree v. Deen Doyal Lall	20 W. R., 20	4347
Gossain Sheo Suhaye Geer v. Mohadeo Suhaye	6 W. R., 294	4209
	0 17.16., 204	4200
Gossain Umur Narain Pooree v. Arurut Lall alias Baboo Jan.	7 W. R., 301	547
Gossami Sri 108 Sri Gridhariji Maharaj Tickait v.)	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 814	889, 3096
Purushotum Gossami.		
Gossie Doss v. Narain Doss	W. R., 1864, 287	5123
Gossyen Luchmee Narain Poori v. Bicram Singh	4 C. L. R., 294	3953
Gosto Behary Pal v. Johur Lall Pal {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 836 : 4 C. L. R., 164.	4525
Gosvami Shri Purushotamji Maharaj v. Robb	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 398	1105, 6021
Goura v. Kekree Singh	4 N. W., 60	679
Goura Chowdhrain v. Chummun Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 340	2333
Goural Koeri v. Gajadhur Pershad	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 219 : 4 C. L.	674
	R., 398.	
Gour Beharee Ram Bhuggut v. Sheoruttun Koonwar .	10 WR., 243	6105

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Gourchandra Rai v. Protab Chandra Dass	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 241 : 6 C. L. } R., 591. 1 17 W. R., 30 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 291 : 10 C. L. } R., 236. 5 7 W. R., 120 6 W. R., 217 8 W. R., 256 13 W. R., 76 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 229	4619 171, 376 5477 2359, 6276 5345 2837, 5650 6240 3088
Gour Chunder Thakoor. In the goods of Gour Chunz Goor ø. Jugobundhoo Sen en Gour Chunz Goor ø. Jugobundhoo Sen en Gour Chunz Surma ø. Jinut Ali Gour Dyal Singh ø. Hubeel Hossein Gouree and Gouree w. Gouree Komrao Koonwar Gouree Byjnath Pershad ø. Lochun Kooer Goureekant Banerjee ø. Golnek Chunder p. Lall Mahomed Mollah	22 W. R., 437 15 B. L. R., Ap., 12 11 C. L. R., 166 14 W. R., 83 1 Agra, 149 22 W. R., 102 4 W. R., Act X, 49 W. R., K. X, 49 W. R., F. B., 70: Marsh., 265: 3	4280 5915 4808 3005 2313 684 5185
Gouree Komul Bhuttacharjee v. Raj Kristo Nath	2 Hay, 107. 5 W. R., 106 14 W. R., 369	5303 5303
Gource Lall Singh v. Joodhisteer Hajrah	I. L. R., 1 Cale., 359: 25 W. } R., 141. 2 W. R., Act X, 1 7 W. R., 5	5332 1756 2338, 3565 3566
Goureanath Mookerjee v. Modhoomonee Peshakur Gouree Pershad Koondoo v. Prannath Surmah Gouree Singh v. Fuzi Hossein Gouree Singh v. Fuzi Hossein Gouree Sankur. In the matter of Gouree Sankur. In the matter of Gouree Sankur Surmah v. Tirtho Monee Gouree Sunkur Surmah v. Tirtho Monee Gouree Sunkur Surmah v. Tirtho Monee Gouree Sunkur Tribedee v. Aman Ali Chowdhry Gour Gopaul Dutt v. Bissonath Ghose Gour Hari Mal v. Amirunnessa Khatoon	18 W. R., 445 12 W. R., 102 10 W. R., 305 15 W. R., 313 11 W. R., 556 9 W. R., 487 12 W. R., 487 21 W. R., 309 Cor., 41 11 G. L. R., 9	2987 1645 6244 3918 239 4302 1177 3498 4254, 5484 1682
Gour Hari Sanyal v. Prem Nath Sanyal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 738: 12 C. L. R., 395.	4520
Gour Huree Chowdhry v. Pran Huree Laha Gour Huree Doss v. Hyagrib Doss Gour Hurree Doss v. Mutteeoolah	21 W. R., 42 14 W. R., 425 1 W. R., 99	771 3770 5080
Consi Kant Roy & Ginidhan Doy	4 B. L. R., A. C., 8: 12 W.	4829
Gouriprosad Moitra v. Bhola Nath Sanyal	R., 456. 8 C. L. R., 487	853
Gouri Sunker v. Maharaja of Bulrampore	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 839: L. R.,	4222
Gourkishen Singh v. Sahay Fukeer Chund Gour Kishore Chowdhry v. Mahomed Hassim Chowdhry Gour Kishore Chunder v. Bonomalee Chowdhry Gour Kishore Dutt v. Akbur Gour Kishore Dutt Chowdhry v. Ozeer Ali Gour Kishore Shaha v. Chunder Kishore Dutt Mojoondar.	6 f. A., 1. 7 W. R., 364 10 W. R., 191 22 W. R., 117 22 W. E., 489 24 W. R., 90	3815 825 9 322 629 6121
Gour Lall Singh v. Mohesh Narain Ghose	14 W. R., 484	144, 235
Gour Mohan Bandopadhya v. Tara Chand Bandopadhya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 17: 11 W. R., 567.	1940, 349

Name of Case.	ļ	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
our Mohun Ghose v. Mohindro Nath Chatterjee		13 W. R., Cr., 78	5579
		22 W. R., Cr., 81	1268, 4491
our Mohun Majee v. Doollubh Majee	.	22 W. R., 295	3074
our Mohun Roy v. Anund Mundul v. Radha Romun Singh	. 1	21 W. R., 372	4
our Mohun Shaha v. Gour Mohun Ghose		5 W. R., Mis., 11	3489
our Mohun Surmah v. Juggernath Acharjee	. 1	14 W. R., 446	3144
ourmonee v. Huree Kishore Roy		10 W. R., 338	1746, 1782
our Monee Debea v. Krishna Chunder Sannyal	. 1	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 397	1689
our Monee Debes v. Kristina Chunder Bannyai .	.	5 W. R., Mis., 3	3499
fourmonee Debia v. Abdool Gunnee		10 Moore's L. A., 59	4642
Sourmonee Dossee v. Jogutindronarain		18 W. R., 319	1941
Jour Monee Moorain v. Shun Kuree Paharinee Debia	•	13 W. R., 459	3403
Fourmoney Debia v. Abdool Gunny	•	8 Moore's I. A., 268	4639
Four Mookh Singh v. Lalla Gour Sunkur	•	1 W. R., Mis., 11	5477
Bour Paroy v. Wooma Soondaree Debia	•	12 W. R., 472	1845, 4195
Bour Pershad Doss v. Sookdeb Ram Deb	•	12 W. B., 279	1426, 4438
Four Pershad Lal v. Lalla Nund Lal	•	7 W. R., 439	306
Jour Pershad Mookerjee v. Kalee Pershad Mookerjee	•	5 W. R., 121	. 4316
Sourpershad Narain v. Sheopershad Ram	•	5 W. R., 103	2084
Gour Pershad Surmah v. Anjub Ali	•	24 W. R., 294	5132
Gonr Ram Chunder v. Sonatun Doss	•	25 W. R., 320	2955
Gour Sahoy Singh v. Shee Sahoy Singh	•	15 W. R., 94	5287
	•	7 B. L. R., 289, note : 16 W. 7	
Goursimull v. Dhansuk Das	- 3	R., 10, note.	2578
Gour Soondur Paray v. Kristo Kant Mahaton .	·	15 W. R., 108	682
Gour Surn Dass: In the matter of the petition of	•	19 W. R., 305	275
Gonr Surun Dass. In the matter of the petition of	•	8 W. R., Cr., 83	257
Gour Surun Dass v. Ram Surun Bhukut	•	8 W. R., 253	413
Gour Surun Doss v. Kanhya Singh	•	2 W. R., 237	303
Gour Surun Doss v. Scrondey	•	15 W. R., 144	4135
Goury Churn Mookerjee v. Jogendronath Mookerjee	•	W. R., 1864, 289	5817
Gouse v. Sundara	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 394	3587
	٠,	1 N. W., Part 2, p. 32 : Ed.)	
Goutiere v. Charriol	{	1873 91	393, 42
v. Robert	,	1873, 91. 2 N. W., 353	3768
Goverdhan Sinha v. Queen-Empress	٠.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 570	1570
Government v. Abdool Hug	•	3 Agra, Cr., 1	1972
v. Anundee Kooer		10 W. R., Cr., 40	4737
			4700
v. Behari Lall Brajabasi	į		4732
v. Choonee Lall	,	2 Agra, Cr., 1	4094
v. Doulat	•	2 Agra, Cr., 3	460
	•		1763, 428
v. Fergusson · · · ·	•	9 W. R., 158 · · ·	5128
07.7 24.1 2		1	4490, 449
v. Gholam Mahomed	•	1 Agra, Cr., 33	4500
v. Girdharee Lall		1 Agra, Cr., 24	655, 602
v. Gopal Singh	·	1 Agra, Cr., 21	18
	•	1	1671, 228
v. Greedharee Lall Roy	•	4 W. R., 13	2301
v. Holasee Singh		1 Agra, Cr., 17	1223, 276
- v. Hungessur Sein	·	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11	3629
v. Hushumtoonissa Bibee	·	2 W. R., Act X, 106	4936
v. Jungli Beldar		17 W. R., Cr., 7	73
v. Kalika Misser	:	1 Agra, Cr., 3	1338
	•		6189
v. Kangalee Muduk		18 W. R., Cr., 7	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Government v. Karimdad	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 496: 7 C.)	1958, 3603
v. Kesree	1 Agra, Cr., 37	2599
v. Lalawun Singh	1 Agra, Cr., 31	5549
v. Lalji Sahu	1 B. L. R., S. N., 23	1203
v. Lall Mohun Nauth	2 Hay, 136	2061
v. Mahomed Buksh	1 Agra, Cr., 23	4094, 4360
ar 1 D		1249, 1341
v. Monohur Deo	W. R., 1864, 39	2034
v. Mozuffer Khalifa	18 W. R., Cr., 21	4100
v. Mudun Dass	6 W. R., Cr., 52	1805
v. Nowlia · · · · ·	1 Agra, Cr., 9	5880
v. Pokharun Singh	F W D 405	5628
	7 W. R., 405	
v. Radhay Singh		5370
v. Radhoochurn Ash	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5564
v. Raj Kishen Singh	8 W. R., 343	640
· · · ·	9 W. R., 426	640, 1432
v. Ramasawmy	5 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	712
v. Ram Charun Misr	2 Agra, 74	5212
v. Rhoop Narain Singh	2 W. R., 162	3376, 3438
v. Sham Soonder	1 Agra, Cr., 34	4094
v. Sreeputtee Roy	17 W. R., Cr., 59	4500
		4097, 4435
v. Surjakant Acharjia	17 W. R., Cr., 37 }	5166
. Making	7 W D 519	40
v. Tabira	7 W. R., 513	
v. Thacoor Doss	1 Agra, Cr., 13	715
Government of Bengal. In re	7 B. L. R., 244, note	5947
	7 B. L. R., 250, note: 15 W. ?	5947
	R., Cr., 60.	
In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 342	21,5146
v. Akatoollah	15 W. R., Cr., 21	5494 .
v. Gokool Chunder Chowdhry	94 W D Cu 41	250, 5510
v. Haneef Fakeer	23 W. R., Cr., 50	252
v. Heera Lall Doss	17 W. R., Cr., 39	3604, 4854
v. Jafur Hossein Khan	5 Moore's I. A., 467	5103, 5501
v. Kazimuddin	18 W. R., Cr., 3	5151
v. Mahaddi	T T D 5 (t-1- 9/7)	6160, 6163
v. Parmeshur Mullick	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 371	
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1029	251
v. Sheo Gholam Lalla	24 W. R., Cr., 67	2581
v. Sharruffutoonissa . {	3 W. R., P. C., 31: 8 Moore's }	3120, 3201
	1. A., 225.	3445
v. Surwar Jan	I. A., 225. 18 W. R., Cr., 33 .	21
v. Whittard	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 453 : 5 C. L. ?	3812
N C.D. June The seconds	R., 307.	0.77
Government of Bombay. Exparte	11 Bom., 117	251
v. Dadyama Basapa	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 478	390
v. Damodhar Parmanandas .	5 Bom., A. C., 202 . }	2877, 5590
v. Desai Kullianrai Hakoo-	, ,	5595
mutrai.	14 Moore's I. A., 551	2064, 4563
v. Ganga	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 330	586
v. Gasvami Shri Girdharlalji	9 Bom., 222	4564
v. Haribhai Monbhai	12 Bom., Ap., 225 . {	2858, 2959
	12 Dom, Ap., 220 . (2969
v. Ranmalsingji Amarsingji .	9 Bom., 242	2798
v Shidapa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 405	983
v. Sundarji Savram	12 Bom., Ap., 275	2858, 2960
The state of the s	20m, Ala, 210	

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Government Pleader. In the matter of the petition of the	7 Mad., 339	252
a + 1: 7: 1 D	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 73	3897, 4126
Govinda v. Jesna Fremaji Govinda Babaji v. Naiku Joti	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 78	3775
Govinda Chundra Sikdar v. Ayinuddin Sha Biswas	11 C. L. R., 281	3004
Govinda Chuadra Siadar v. Ayinuddin Sha Diswas	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 67: 13 C.	
Govinda Dass v . Dulall Dass $\left\{ \right.$	L. R., 408.	3612
Govinda Muneya Tiruyan v. Bapu	5 Mad., 200	5672
Govindan v. Kannaran	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 351	3757
Govinda Nair v. Kesava	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 81	4950
Govindan Nambiar v. Krishnan Nambiar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 146	6083
Govindannayar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 224	5524
Govindan Pillai v. Chidambara Pillai	3 Mad., 99	3366
Govindappah v. Kindappah Sastrulu	6 Mad., 131	668
Govindappah v. Kyatadoo	6 Mad., 131	668
Gorindapja Nayak. In re Gorindarajulu o. Lakshuman Gorindas e. Dayabhai Gorind Bhaichand w. Kalnak Gorind Chuder Addy w. Anloo Beebee	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 36	4629
Govindarajulu v. Lakshuman	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 37	. 3573
Govindas v. Dayabhai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 22	6077
Govind Bhaichand v. Kalnak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 592	3440
Govind Chunder Addy v. Auloo Beebee	1 W. R., 49	1744, 2930
Govind Chunder Goswami v. Rungunmoney .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 60: 6 C. L. R., 345.	3464
Govind Hari Valekar v. Bank of India	4 Bom., A. C., 164	5460, 5468
Govindji Khimji v. Lakhmidas Nathubhoy	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 318	2212
		2032, 3133
Govind Lakshuman v. Narayan Moreshvar	11 Bom., 111 {	3469
Govind Nath Sandyal v. Nubo Coomar Banerjee	8 W. R., 206	46
Govindo Prosad Roy Chowdhry v. Anund Chunder		1812
Chowdhry.	4 C. L. R., 274	1812
Govind Pershad v. Rampurkash Singh	8 W. R., 378	897
Govind Purshotam Kolatkar v. Sub-Collector and	0.72 A CI 100	3022
Deputy Conservator of Forests of Colaba.	6 Bom., A. C., 188	1
Govind Ragunath v. Govind Jagoji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 500	6032
Govind Ramchandra Gokhle v. Ahmed	5 Bom., A. C., 133	6108
Govind Ram Marwary v. Mathoora Sabooya	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 339:1 C.)	2575
-	L. R., 429 5	1
Govindrav Deshmukh v. Ragho Deshmukh	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 543	1840, 6099
Govind Shanbhog v. Appaya	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 246	3506
Govind Vaman v. Sakharam Ramchandra	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 42	1718, 1910
Govind Vinayak Gadre v. Collector of Ratnagiri	6 Bom., A. C., 101	611
Gowhur Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan	20 W. R., 214	3645
v. Sakheena Khanum	15 W. R., 507	298, 4139
Gowhur Hossein v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	8 W. R., 481	554
Gowra Bebee v. Kissen Misser	1 Ind, Jur., N. S., 224	3219
Gowra Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree	19 W. R., 252.	3431,5076
Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company	5 B. L. K., 667, note: 13,	5076
	W. R., 129.	1
v	12 B L. R., 282, note: 13 } W. R., 129.	3118, 3431
Gowree Boyjo Nath Pershad v. Jodha Singh	19 W. R., 416	5438
Gowree Kumul Bhuttacharjee v. Surut Chunder Doss 1	22 W. R., 408	5382, 6153
Biswas.	1	
Gowree Nath Sahoy v. Fukeer Chand	18 W. R., 347	5470
Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3904
Gowri Koer v. Audh Koer	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1087	5093
Gowri Prosad Kundu v. Ram Ratan Sirear	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 159	3264, 4040
Goyamoney Surinee, v. Mohesh Chunder Shaha	9 W. R., Cr., 1	3742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Goya Pershad Aubustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree	92 W. D. 140	1735
Chowdhry.	25 W. D., 143	1
Graham v. Hille	10 Bom,, 60	596
v. Ker, Dods & Co	3 B. L. R., Ap., 4 ,	2021
v. Lopez	1 W. R., 141	3556, 5770 732
Graham & Co. v. Mervanji Nusservanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 539	6089
v. Bangsi Deo	6 B. L. R., 652: 15 W. R., }	2039
	38. 21 W. R., 279	1845
v. Byjnath Tewaree v. Modhoo Svodun Singh v. Ram Tongo Bhognick	10 W. R., 79	5749
v, Ram Tonoo Bhoomick	10 W. R., 83	554, 5661
Grant, Smith & Co. v. Juggobundoo Shaw {	Bourke, A. O. C., 17: 27	4585, 4603
	Hyde, 301.	
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 60	5295 395, 592
Grasemann v. Gardner	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 2 . }	3559
v. Littlepage	3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1	1356
Gray v. Anundmohun Moitro	W. R., 1864, 108	1992
v. Chick	Cor., 136	2672
Great Eastern Hotel Co. v. Collector of Allahabad .	2 Agra, Ex. O. C., 1	1577, 3027
v. Secretary of State for India.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	6019
Freat Indian Peninsula Railway Co. v. Nowroji Pestanji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 390 .	2618
Khushaldas.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 371	4599
Freaves'v. Bhagvan Tulsi	4 Boin., A. C., 93	4690
Freedharee Doss v. Nundkishore Dutt	Marsh., 573: 2 Hay, 633	2248, 3262
v. Nundkishore Doss	11 Moore's I. A., 405: 8 }	3262
	W. R., P. C., 25.	
Freedharee Singh v. Fooljhuree Kooer	24 W. R., 173	685, 1681
v. Indro Kooer v. Pultoo Roy	17 W. R., 237	3395 67
Freeja Bhoosun Mitter v. Kishen Kishore Ghose	7 W. R., 221	1916
reejanund Oopadhya v. Ruttee Raman Oopadhya	8 W. R., 136	200
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 12: 9 C. L. ?	1417
Freeman Singh v. Wahari Lall Singh	R., 249.	1417
reender Chunder Ghose v. Mackintosh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 897: 4 C. ?	3169, 6138
(L. R., 193.	1509
reene v. Delanney	14 W. R., Cr., 27 . Bourke, A. O. C., 111 : Cor., }	131, 1946
Freenway v . Hogg	97: 2 Hyde, 3.	6201, 6220
v,	Bourke, A. O. C., 139	888
· ·	12 B. L. R., 42: 20 W. R., ?	1127, 112
-	لا 467.	
reesh Chunder Bonnerjee v. Collins	2 Hyde, 79	2805, 4069
reesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Mohesh Chunder Nya-	10 W. R., 173	4140
Iunkar. Streesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugruttee Dabea Chow-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
dhranee.	1 Hay, 495	5986
Freesh Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of .	15 W. R., Cr., 36	4103
dreesh Chunder Doss. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 38	4505
Breesh Chunder Lahoory v. Asudoonissa Bebee	8 W. R., 333	1138
Greesh Chunder Lahoree v. Kasheessuree Debia	8 W. R., 26	5929
v. Ram Lall Sirear	1 W. R., 144	1770, 208
Greesh Chunder Pottar v. Lookhooda Moyee Dabee .		2146 5484
Greesh Chunder Roy v. Collector of Sylhet	1 W. R., 55.	6088
******* Ondirect 1003 0. Collector of Symet	7 W. R., 349	0000

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		à
reesh Chunder Roy v. Sumbhoo Chunder Roy	5 W. R., P. C., 98	2414
reesh Chunder Singh v. Gourmohun Banerjee	7 W. R., 49	3143
v. Prankishen Bhuttacharjee	8 Moore's I. A., 275	5804
regory v. Cochrane		291, 911
v Dooley Chand	14 W. R., O. C., 17	1761, 6228
v. Dulsook Roy	Cor., 9	2705
v. Essuff Coonjee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244	429
- 2. Gourdoss Shaha	8 W. R., 490	3283
v. Juggut Chunder Bannerjee · · ·	5 W. R., Mis., 17	3132
v. Joychunder Bannerjee · · · ·	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 80	3468
Fridharee Singh v. Court of Wards	10 W. R., 368	4934
Fridhari Das v. Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 3 All., 115	5839
Fridhari Lall Roy v. Government of Bengal .	1 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 10 W.	1671, 2286
Transfer and or on the same of the same	R., P. C., 31.	2301
v. Sundar Bibi · · · {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 496: 6 W. R., 187.	183
in 17 na 1 4 (15 B. L. R., 264 : 23 W. R., }	2164
Gridhari Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty	365.	2101
Fridhari Mondul. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. }	5513
v. Uchit Jha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 435: 10 C. L. R., 46.	5513
Gridhari Singh v. Hurdeo Narain Sahu	21 W. R., 263	267
Gridhar Ranchoddas v. Hakamchand Revachand	8 Bom., A. C., 75	*6136
Grija Kant Lahory Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder ?	19 W. R., 114	4180
Chowdhry.	10 111 1111 1111	
Grijamonee v. Ishur Chunder	W. R., 1864, Cr., 2	2571, 4490 4494, 4500
Grish Chandra v. Kashisauri Debi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 145	6039
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 11 W. ?	
Grish Chandra Dutt v . Buzul-ul-Huq	R., 412.	4760
Grish Chandra Ghose v. Iswar Chandra Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 337: 12	146,1628
	W. R., 226.	
Grish Chandra Roy v. Prasanna Kumar China	4 B. L. R., O. C., 94 · · ·	2631
Grish Chandra Roy Chowdhry v. Amina Khatun	3 B. L. R., Ap., 121	327
Grish Chunder Banerjee v. Bhanoo Motee Chowdhrain .	11.W. R., 329	3479, 6178 5843
v. Gunga Deorga	25 W. R., 60	6196
-v. Hemilota Debi	11 C. L. R., 359	
tition of.	20 W. R., 56	4868
v. Brojonath Bhuttacharjee .	20 W. R., 56	4868
Grish Chunder Chowdhry v. Kristo Soondur Sandyal .	14 W. R., 277	4757, 4758
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243: 7 C.)	5377
Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Biseswari Debia . {	L. R., 420.	95/7
v. Jibaneswari Dabia	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 243 : 7 C. } L. R., 420.	5377
Grish Chunder Das v. Brojo Jibun Bose	8 C. L. R., 4	2102, 5446
Grish Chunder Ghose v, Kalee Tara	25 W. R., 395	5307
v. Ramtonoo Biswas	12 W. R., 449	1587, 1626
Grish Chunder Guha v. Gour Chunder Dass	12 C. L. R., 161	2718
Grish Chunder Kur v. Kristo Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 123	5703
Grish Chunder Lahoory v. Koomaree Dabea	1 W. R., Mis., 23	2237, 561
Grish Chunder Lahoree v. Bhuggobutty Debia .	14 W. R., P. C., 7: 13 Moore's I. A., 419.	4234
v. Shama Churn Sandyal	15 W. R., 437	139
o. Camana Chara Calluyar.	20 204 201	2.50

The state of the s		
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Grish Chunder Mitter. In the goods of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 483: 7 C. }	3086
v. Jhaku	12 B. L. R., 488, note : 17)	
Grish Chunder Mookerjee v. Miller	W. R., 352. 3 C. L. R., 17	5305
- D	22 W. R., 308	2076, 3854 4890
Grish Chunder Mundul v. Doorga Doss Grish Chunder Nundee. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 494	5321, 5699
Grish Chunder Roy v. Blugwan Chunder Roy	26 W. R., Cr., 8	4446
a Bondarath D	13 W. R., 191	1500, 1503 4473
v. Boydonath Dey v. Gobind Singh	W. R., 1864, 262 17 W. R., 88	5629
Grish Chunder Sein v. Gudadhur Ghose	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 869 : 6 C. L }	5682
Grish Chunder Sen v. Eastern Pengal Jute Manufac-	R., 498.	1664
	10 W. R., 492	562
Grish Chunder Singh v. Auna Dassee Grob v. Palmer	17 W. R., 46	5683
Groom v. Wilson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395	4071
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 539	4526
Grose v. Amirtamayi Dasi	4 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 12 W. (R., O. C., 13.	48, 401 696, 6022
Gubboy v. Miller		6186
	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 833 : 7C. L. R., 29 : 9 C. L. R., 385.	2640
Gubdoo Mull v. Hoolasee . Gudadhur Banerjee v. Government	2 Agra, 247	1633
	6 W. R., 326	2034
v. Khettermohun Surmah	8 W. R., 191 7 W. R., 460	4166 2980
v. Monmohinee Dossea v. Nund Lal Biswas	7 W. R., 366	248,878
v. Premomovee Debia	3 W. R., Act X, 145 10 W. R., 286	1628
Onde aller Ol W. Tara Chund Banerjee	15 W. R. 3	307 1749
a. Paikwists P	12 W. R., 406	5932
Gudadhur Chowdry v. Shama Churn Mitter	13 W. R., 73	4285
	16 W. R., 8 21 W. R., 7	1145 4910
Gudadhur Koondoo a Ramkooman Pana	24 W. R., Cr., 6	4104
Gudadhur Iall v. Ram Than Candana	6 W. R., 155 10 W. R., 212	5985
Gudadhar Paul Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Rhatte		3006
Guindhur Pershad Narain Single Town	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 918	1847
	10 W. R., 233 6 Moore's I. A., 201	5731, 6238
Suddard Ruthia Mudaliyar v. Kunnattur Arumuga		4644
Indi Janakayya Garn at Garnda D. 22	7 Mad., 189	1828
Fueri Lai v. Jagannath Ram	l Mad., 124 L. R., 8 All., 117	2697, 4947
	7 Mad., 348	2832 4810
Sugunfur Ali v. Makemed Verson	LI W. R., 91	5060
rujadnur Pauree, n. Naik Pauree	20 W. R., 334 L. L. R., 8 Calc., 528	4783
Abdoollah	11 W. R., 220	1484 3691
aiadhur Pershad Narain Singh a Cit 1 3		4325
	9 W. R., 203 W. R., Mis., 41	4037
rujadnur Singh v. Nund Ram	Agra, 244	2840 52
rujar v. Darve		175, 3450
		,

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gujendro Mohun Shaha v. Eastern Bengal Railway Co.	17 W. R., 240	1062
Gujendro Narain Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 145	5708 5864
v. Collector of Midnapore .	23 W. R., 197	522
Gujerat Trading Company v. Trikamji Velji .	3 Bom., O. C., 45	1094, 587
Gujju Lall v. Fatteh Lall	L. R., 439.	4963
Gujmull v. Chahee Kowar	L. R., 2 I. A., 34 10 W. R., 355	4649
Jujraj Singh. In the matter of	10 W. R., 355	4434 5781
v. Bijai Singh	6 N. W., 114 · · ·	3545, 354
Gulabchand Manickchand v. Dhondi valad Bhau	11 Bom., 64 {	4265
Gulab Dai v. Jiwan Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 318	753
Gulabdas V. Laksnman Namar . Gulabdas Jugjivandas v. Collector of Surat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 221 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 186	3453, 345 2750
Gulabhai Mondas v. Dayabhai Govardhandas 🔹 .	10 Bom., 51	1502, 568
Gulabi Singh v. Rai Normal Chund	6 N. W., 342	332, 53
Gulab Narotam v. Secretary of State for India Gulab Rai v. Indar Singh	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 596 I. L. R., 6 All., 54	605 5203
v. Mangli Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 71	6080
v	I. L. R., 7 All., 42	189, 5949
Julab Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526 3240
v. Amar Singh v. Debi Prosad v	I. L. R., 2 All., 237 I. L. R., 6 All., 45	5526
v. Lachman Das v. Pemian	I. L. R., 1 All., 748	197
v. Pemian	I. L. R., 5 All., 342	1904
Gulam Alli bin Kazi Ismail v. Aga Khan	6 Bom., O. C., 93	6242 237, 315
Gulam Husen Mahamed v. Musa Miya Hamad Ali	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 260 }	.5137
Gulam Hussain Saib v. Aji Ajam Tadallah Saib	4 Mad., 44	3671, 3686
Gulam Jafar v. Masludin Gulam Mohidin v. Collector of Ahmedabad	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 238 12 Bom., Ap., 276	3678 2858, 2960
Gulam Muhammad Sharif-ud-daulah. In re .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 439	5509
Gulam Rahumtulla Sahib v. Mahommed Akbar Sahib :	8 Mad., 63	3667
Gulawad Chandabhai v. Rahimtulla Jamalbhai	4 Bom., A. C., 76 15 W. R., 133	849 3832
Gulibai v Jagannath Galvankar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 659	\$2034
Gulzar Ali v. Fida Ali	I. L. R., 6 All., 24	. 1712
Gulzari Lal v. Collector of Bareilly	I. L. R., 1 All., 596	$\frac{4343}{1244}$
v	I. L. R., 2 All., 63 I. L. R., 2 All., 799	6079
Julzar Shah v. Mahtab Singh	2 Agra, 30	2776
Suman Gallubhai v. Sorabji Barjorji Sumani v. Hardwar Pandey	1 Bom., 11	1811 445
v. Ram Charan	I. L. R., 3 All., 698 I. L. R., 1 All., 555	5815
v. Ram Padarath Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 838	4886
Gumani Kazi v. Haribar Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 15: W.	1438, 2975
dunani Kazi v. Haribar mookerjee	R., F. B., 115: Marsh., 527.	4151, 4169
Gumna Dambershet v. Bhiku Hariba	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 125	633, 3308
Gunamanee v. Haree Datta	18 W. R., Cr., 6	3309, 3531 957
Gunamani Dasi v. Prankishori Dasi	5 B. L. R., 223: 13 W. R.,)	821, 849
Gundam Venkatasami v. Chinnam Purushottama	F. B., 69.	
Gunda Reddi Narayana Reddi v. Kristna Doss Bala	5 Mad., 466	1717, 5259 3586
Mukunda Doss.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 87	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
undo Anandrav v. Krishnarav Govind	4 Bom., A. C., 55	1160, 270
2 3F 1 2	1.70 00	3365 2379
undo Mahadev v. Rambhat bin Bhaubhat undo Shiddheshvar v. Mardan Sabeb	10 Bom., 419	5356
unce Biswas v. Sree Gopal Paul Chowdhry	8 W. R., 395 {	1505, 564
unce Mahomed v. Baharoollah	13 W. R., 240	5755, 609 1477
unesh Chunder Gangooly. In the matter of	13 W. R., 456	4444
unesh Chunder Shah v. Ram Dhunee Dossee	22 W. R., 283	1906
unesh Doss v. Gondour Koormi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 147: 12 C. } L. R., 418.	533
unesh Dutt v. Bulwunt Singh	14 W. R., 175	1924
v. Lall Muttee Kooer		2208, 244
	C Manuala T A 101	3397
unesh Dutt Singh v. Moheshur Singh	6 Moore's I. A., 164 19 W. R., 186	2232 3484
v. Mungreeram Chowdhry	21 W. R., 288	1476
nneshee v. Chotay Lal	3 N. W., 117	365, 56
uneshee Lall v. Zaraut Ali	2 N. W., 343 5 N. W., 78	4542 3282
		4937,50
unesh Kooer v. Oomdutoonnissa Begum	0 17. 11	5982
unesh Lall Tewarce v. Bindoo Bashinee	24 W. R., 85	5450
unesh Persad v. Wilson	W. R., 1864, Act X, 86 3 N. W., 200	333 165
v. Jykishun	1 N. W., 134: Ed. 1873, 218	4950
unesh Ram Surmah v. Rohince Dassee	14 W. R., 236	5127
uneshur Singh v. Doorga Dutt	15 W. R., P. C., 37 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 124	4654 2003, 24
unga Bace v. Hogg unga Baksh v. Wali Baksh	7 N. W., 169	5221
unga Bishen v. Ram Guti Rai	2 Agra, 49	1699
ungabishen Bhugut v. Roghoonath Ojha . }	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 381: 9 C.	5039
unga Bishen Chund v. Dhirai Mahtab Chand Baha-		
dur.	R., 224.	3528
unga Bishen Sahu. In re	6 B. L. R., 334, note	5119 2711
unga Bishun Tewarry v. Roy Mohun Lall Mitter unga Churn Dhur v. Kulinga Pa Setee	W. R., 1864, 291 12 W. R., 422	2648
ungadass Dev v. Ramiov Dev	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 30	3178, 57
unga Deen v. Luchmun Pershad	1 N. W., 147 : Ed. 1873, 229	2059
unga Deen Chowdhry v. Hur Sahai Singh ungadeen Misser v. Kheeroo Mundul	3 Agra, 261 14 B. L. R., 170 : 22 W. R., 449	4459 5345
unga Deen Rawut v. Modhoo Sudun	3 Agra, 4	2488
ungadhur Chatterjee v. Soorjo Nauth Chatterjee .	15 W. R., 446	2345
ungadhur Dass Rai v. Jagannath Prasad Gupta	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 375 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 672: 7)	4674
ungadhur Roy v. Wooma Soonderee Dossee	W. R., 347: 2 Ind. Jur., N.	2781
	S., 120.	
ungadhur Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of ungadhur Seal v. Raddamonev Dossee	22 W. R., Cr., 9 6 Moore's I. A., 209	5498 463
unga Dhur Shikdar v. Ayimuddin Shah Biswas	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 960	300
ungadhur Singh v. Bimola Dossee	5 W. R., Act X, 37	4150
ungadhur Sircar. In the matter of the petition of	23 W. R., Cr., 14	150
ungadhur Sunnaputty v. Hera Loll Seal	Marsh., 60 I. L. R., 7 All., 702	5777
anda and a transport	** 34. 10-5 / Zill-5 (Uil	1 2730

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 6252	
Gunga Doss Dutt v. Ramnarain Ghose	Ind. Jur., N. S., 111: 7 W. R., 183.	2890
Sungagobind Bose v. Dhunnee	1 W. R., 60	2203
Gunga Gobind Gooptoo v. Makhun Lall Hattee	9 W. R., 362	838, 4529
Jungagobind Mundul v. Ashootosh Dhur	21 W. R., 256	1140
v. Bonomalee Paul	14 W. R., 54 7 W. R., P. C., 21: 11)	2648 299, 300
v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	7 W. R., P. C., 21: 11 Moore's I. A., 345.	3446, 4196
Gungagobind Roy v. Kala Chand Surma Gangooly	20 W. R., 455 {	535, 537 5077
Junga Gobind Sen v. Gobind Chunder Dass	{ 11 B. L. R., Ap., 31 : 19 W. } R., 347.	548
Sunga Gobind Singh v. Joy Gopaul Panda	10 W. R., 165	1455
Gunga Gotti Pal v. Ram Sunder Dutt Gunga Hurry Dhobey v. Tripp	8 C. L. R., 157	451 5075
0 0 0	1 W. R., 31 14 B. L. R., 307 : 23 W. R., }	
Gungahurry Nundee v. Raghubram Nundee .	131.	6031, 6143
Gungamala Chowdhrain v. Madhub Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 413	4129
Sungamonee v. Luteefoonissa Chowdhrain Sungamonee Chowdrain v. Gopal Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 196 19 W. R., 214	5347 166
Gunga Monee Dossee v. Issur Chunder Shaha	17 W. R., 465	5781
Gungamoyee Dossee v. Shib Sunkur Bhuttacharjee	3 C. L. R., 430 .	3482
Gunga Narain Behutta v. Collector of Midnapore Gunga Narain Bose v. Cornell	6 W. R., 47	5431
Gunga Narain Chatterjee v. Radhakrishna Dutt	25 W. R., 322	97 4759
Gunga Narain Chowdhry v. Kofa Pali	11 W. R., 377	1603
v. Radhika Mohun Roy	21 W. R., 115	47, 1747
Gunganarain Dass v. Punchanunee Dassee	W. R., 1864, 163	4980 1031
Sunga Narain Ghose v. Ram Chand Bose	12 B. L. R., 48: 20 W. R.,	169
Junga Narain Gupta v. Annada Moyee Burrocanee	311. 12 C. L. R., 404	1895
Junga Narain Mitter v. Gour Soonder Chowdry .	15 W. R., Cr., 85	4490
Junganarain Moytro v. Gudadhur Chowdhry	13 W. R., 434	5676
Gunganarain Paul v. Umesh Chunder Bose Gunganarain Roy v. Gonomoonee	W. R., 1864, 277	2233
Gunga Narain Sen v. Hurish Chunder Changdars	8 W. R., 184 6 C. L. R., 336	5132 3952
Gunga Narain Sircar v. Azeezoonissa Beebee	5 W. R., Mis., 18	239
Junga Narayan Chowdhry v. Phul Mohamed Sirkar	2 B. L. R., Ap., 45	3495
Jungapersad v. Agra and Masterman's Bank v. Gogun Singh	5 B. L. R., 340, note	5139 4795
o. dogun bingn	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 322 . Marsh., 185: W. R., F. B.,	4790
Jungapersaud Singh v. Ramloll Singh	59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 118: 1 Hay, 452.	1662
Jungapershad v. Ajoodhia Pershad	Agra, F. B., 31: Ed. 1874, 23.	4591
v. Buldeo Singh	3 Agra, 310	4996
v. Inderjit Singh v. Mahomed Kootoob Alum	23 W. R., 390	1729
v. Phool Singh	2 Agra, 27 . 10 B. L. R., 368, note: 10	3282 2077
	W. R., 106. Agra, F. B., 31: Ed. 1874,	
v. Rampershad	23.	4591
v. Ramphal Sahoo	20 W. R., 177	3770
Sunga Pershad Bhoomick v. Debi Sundari Dabea Sunga Pershad Dutt v. Lokenath Nundee	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 227 12 W. R., 179	3504 4129
	12 IV. De., 179	4129

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gungapershad Kur v. Shumbhoonath Burman Guugapershad Roy v. Enayet Zahera	22 W. R., 393	2489 3985 3562
Gunga Pershad Sahoo v. Wooma Koower Gunga Pershad Singh v. Gunga Koonwer Gunga Prasad v. Gajadar Prasad v. Sheodyal Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 210 I. L. R., 2 All., 651 5 C. L. R., 224 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 131: 9 C. L.)	1744 3842 2173
Gungaprosad v. Ajudhya Pershad Singh Gunga Rai v. Sakeena Begum Gungaran v. Gunga Dhur v. Hoolasee	R., 417. 5 N. W., 72 1 Agra, 198 2 N. W., 88	2175 3871, 5779 4331 8771
v. Khem Narain Pooree v. Moola v. Ujoodhyaram Myto Gungaram Chowdry v. Huree Nath Chowdry	11 W. R., 250 2 N. W., 200 2 W. R., 158 15 W. R., 436	4973 4542 1583 3374
Gungaram Dutt v. Chowdhry Jumnajoy Mullick Gungaram Ghose Sirdar v. Kalipodo Ghose Gungaram Sadhookhan v. Panch Cowree Poramanick Gunga Ran Sirdar v. Biressur Banerjee	1 C. L. R., 144 I L. R., 11 Calc., 661 25 W. R., 366 6 W. R., Act X, 32	4858, 6173 4832 4444, 4987 3010
Gungaram Sirkar v. Sreenath Banerjee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 915 : 6 C. } L. R., 16.	1184
Gungoo Mull v. Bunseedhur	1 N. W., Part VI, p. 79: Ed. }	2366
Jungowa Kome Malupa v. Erawa Kome Jogapa Juni Khan v. Koonjo Behary Sein	13 Moore's I. A., 433 3 C. L. R., 414	4632 822
Juni Mahomed v. Moran	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 96: 2 C. L. ?	1185, 1187
Gunnes Chunder Hazra v. Rampria Debea	R., 371. 5 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 53	1439, 1630
Sunnesh Dutt Singh v. Mugneeram Chowdhry	11 B. L. R., 321:17 W. R., 7	3769, 6247
Gunnesh Pattro v. Ram Nidhee Koondoo	283.	2793 447
Gunoo Singh v. Latafut Hossain {	I. L. K., 3 Cale., 336: 1 C. L.	3893
Gunowree Bhooca. In re Gunput Naraiu Singh. In the matter of v. Rajun Kooer	R., 91. 5 6 W. R., Cr., 70	1154 2607, 5805 2607, 5805
Gunput Roy v. Ram Deonr Roy Gunput Singh v. Gunga Pershad Gunsam Doss v. Mooltan Mull Guntham Doss v. Mooltan Mull	21 W. R., 416 2 Agra, 230 2 N. W., 192 2 N. W., 229	300 2509 3845 166
Gupikishen Goswami v. Brindaban Chandra Sirkar }	3 B. L. R., P. C., 37: 12 W. R., P. C., 36: 13 Moore's I. A., 37.	3199, 3202
Jupinath Roy v. Dinabandhu Nandi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 62	1913
Supinath Singh v. Sheo Sahai Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 72:1 W. }	3918
Guracharya v. Collector of Belgaum	L L. R., 8 Bom., 529 L. R., 3 Bom., 431	3180 688
Guravi v. Guravi Gur Dayal. In the matter of the petition of	3 Bom., A. C., 170 I. L. R., 2 All., 205	2365 5522
v. Kaunsila	I. L. R., 5 All., 367 I. L. R., 7 All., 820	2436 3996, 478
Gurdial Mundar v. Teknarayan Singh ,	B. L. R., Snp. Vol., 166: 2 W. R., 215.	3716

		named and a second
Name of Cusc.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Gurdi Misree v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13: Marsh., 527: W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Gureeb Doss v. Mungul Doss	14 W. R., 383	675
Gureeboollah v. Syefoollah Gureeboollah Khan v. Kebul Lall Mitter	7 W. R., 41 13 W. R., 124	544, 5734 3696, 3713
Gureebullah Sirkar v. Mohun Lall Shaha	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 127 : 8 C. L. R., 409.	573, 5873
Gurivi Chetty v. Aiyappa Naidu Gurivi Reddi v. Chinnamma	2 Mad., 329 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 93	3350 2512
Gur Parshad v. Umrao Singh	7 N. W., 218 7 N. W., 91 I. L. R., 11 Cale., 402	2951, 4463 5429 2073, 3857
Guru Churn Sen v. Kalinath Dass Biswas	23 W. R., Cr., 62	1294
Gurudas Akhuli v. Gobin Naik	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 421: 6 W. R., Mis., 98.	3506, 3526
Gurudas Bose. In re Gurudas Dey v. Sambhunath Chuckerbutty	7 B. L. R., Ap., 23 3 B. L. R., A. C., 258	2651 1845, 5759
Gurudas Dhar v. Bijaya Gobind Baral	1 B. L. R., A. C., 108: 10 W. R., 71.	1165
Gurudas Nag v. Matilal Nag	6 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 14 W. R., 468	1416
Gurudas Pyne v. Ram Narain Sahu	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 860 : L. ? R., 11 I. A., 59.	3357
Gurudas Rai v. Stephens	13 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 21 W. R., 195.	2695
Gura Gobind Shaha Maudal v. Anand Lal Ghose Ma-	5 B. L. R., 15: 13 W. R., F. B., 49.	2293
Gurumurtti Nayudu v. Pappa Nayudu	1 Mad., 164	1767, 5142 5782
Gurunath Nilkanth v. Krishnaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 462	884, 2193
Gurupadapa Basapa v. Virbhadrapa Irasangapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 459 .	3130, 3133 3470, 3471
Gurupathappa v. Narasingappa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 599 I. L., R., 7 Mad., 174	688 376
Guru Pershad Singh v. Gossain Munraj Puri	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 733 5 B. L. R., Ap., 46: 14 W. ?	3853
Guruprasad Roy v. Dhanpat Singh	R., 20.	4853, 6150
v. Nafardas Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 121: 11) W. R., 497.	2268, 2494
Guru Prasad Sahu v. Binda Bibi	9 B. L. R., 180: 16 W. R.,	438 .
Guruprashad Roy v. Ras Mohun Mukhopadhya Gurusami Chetti v. Sadasiva Chetti	1 C. L. R., 431	1485, 4900 1116, 2170
v. Samurta Chinna Manuar Chetti .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 37	2116, 2170
Gurusami Sastrial v. Ganapathia Pillai Gurusamy Aiyan v. Swaminadha Aiyan Gurushidgavda bin Rudragavda v. Rudragavdati kom ?	I. L. R., 5 M , 337 2 Mad., 450	4157, 5798 6124
Dyamaugavda.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 531	4388
Guthrie v. Abul Mazaffar	7 B. L. R., 630: 15 W. R., P. C., 50: 14 Moore's I A., 53.	1559, 4654
v. Lister	6 W. R., P. C., 59: 11)	1031
Guttee Koiburto v. Bhukut Koiburto Guz Behary Singh v. Washun	Moore's I. A., 129. 22 W. R., 457	1732 3157
•		

Dalptkram. Guzerez Lall. In the matter of the petition of Gya Din v. Raj Bansi Kuar I. L. R., 3 All., 191 218 218 228 218 228 218 228 238 248	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Habeeboollah v. Gouhur Ally Khan 10 W. R., 263 3638, 61 Habeel Beparee v. Choalmun Mah 10 W. R., 263 4481 4486 Habela Sircar v. Doorgakant Mozoomdar 11 W. R., 456 12 B. L. R., Ap., 32 : 11 W. R. Habib Sobhan v. Mahendra Nath Roy R., 129, L. R., 4 All., 145 322 12 L. L. R., 7 All., 447 486 4861 12 L. L. R., 7 All., 447 4868, 4863 12 L. L. R., 5 All., 447 4868, 4863 12 L. L. R., 6 All., 447 4868, 4863 12 L. L. R., 6 All., 275 371 4861 12 L. R., 8 All., 275 371 4862 12 N. W., Pt. II, p. 38 : Ed. 1873, 96. 17 W. R., 46 561 4873 20 All. 4	Dalpatram. Guzerec Lall. In the matter of the petition of Gya Din v. Raj Bansi Kuar Gyamonee v. Raj Bansi Kuar Gyamonee v. Radha Romon Gyan Chund v. Jawahur . Gyan Chunder Roy v. Protap Chunder Doss Gyan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Kalee Churn Roy Chowdhry. Gyan Chunder Sen v. Durga Churn Sen Gyanee Ram v. Palee Ram Gyanee Ram v. Palee Ram Gyanendro Chunder Lahiri v. Kalapahar Haji Gyanendro Chunder Lahiri v. Kalapahar Haji Gyanendro Nath Roy v. Lobongomunjuri Dabi Gyan Singh v. Peetum Singh . Gya Persad alias Lal Persad v. Heet Narain Gyaram Chuckerbutty v. Buroda Dabee Gyaran Dutt v. Gooroochurn Chatterjee Gyaram Mundul v. Gyaram Naik Gyaram Soal v. Issur Chunder Chuckerbutty	13 W. R., 393 I. L. R., 5 Cale, 592 2 N. W., 83 I. L. R., 7 Cale, 20S: 8 C. L. R., 267 7 W. R., 48 I. L. R., 7 Cale, 31S: 8 C. L. R., 267 2 N. W., 73 I. L. R., 9 Cale, 50: 11 C. L. R., 297 11 C. L. R., 198 1 N. W., Part VI, p. 73 Ed. 1873, 105. 1 L. R., 9 Cale, 30 20 W. R., 484 2 W. R., Act X. 59 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 22: Marsh, 28: 1 Hay, 65. 2 W. R., 185	924 5925 2183 212 634 1957, 5506 3185 335, 4300 1216, 257; 2136 2480 2053 3401 3906 1614 4167 4274, 577;
Habea Separce v. Choalmun Mah 10 W. R., 263 460;	_	I Agra, 238	3083
No.	Habeeboollah v. Gouhur Ally Khan Habeel Beparee v. Choalmun Mah Habela Sirear v. Doorgakant Mozoomdar Habib Sobhan v. Mahendra Nath Roy Habibullah v. Achalbar Pandey v. Kunji Mal	10 W. R., 263 11 W. R., 456 2 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 11 W. R., 120. I. L. R., 4 All., 145 L. L. R., 7 All., 447	3633, 624, 4013 4865 5933 3226 2874 4768, 4769
Hadoo Sirdar v. Jadoo Monee Dossee	Habib-un-nissa v. Barkat Ali Hachun Banoo v. Abdul Hakim	I. L. R., 8 All., 275 19 W. R., 321	1979 3719 292 5198
Haines at Fact Ludio Company (4 W. R., P. C., 99: 6 Moore's) 1663	Hadoo Sirdar v. Jadoo Monee Dossee Hafeze-oor-rahman v. Khadin Hossein Hafiza v. Azhur Hossein Hafiz Abdul Kurrin v. Sri Kissen Rai Hafiz Abdul Kurrin v. Sri Kissen Rai Hafiz Ahmed v. Sobha Rana Hafiz Ameer Ahmed v. Hafiz Nuzal Ali Hafizunnessa Khatun v. Byryab Chunder Dass Hafizun-nissa v. Mahadeo Prasad Hafizun-nissa v. Mahadeo Prasad Hafiz Nuzal In the matter of the petition of Haidar Ali. In the matter of the yeltion of Haidar Ali. Sa v. East Indian Railway Company Haim Raj v. Ram Bux Haimun Chull Singh v. Gunsheam Singh	17 W. R., 46 4 N. W., 106 13 W. E., 323 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 139 I. I. L. R., 6 All., 488 I 13 C. L. R., 214 I. L. R., 4 All., 116 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 520 I. L. R., 1 All., 687 1 Agra, 115 5 W. R., P. C., 69	5618 3736 302 301, 5766 6093 3128 3194 5297 5540 5537 2810 2119, 6000

Name of Case,	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
74.D. D. D. D.		
Hait Ram v. Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 5 All., 609	6053, 6137
Hait Singh v. Dabee Singh Hajarimal v. Krishnarav	2 N. W., 308	2347 1522
Iajee Ali v. Ali Nukee	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 647	1478
Taji v. Atharaman	12 W. R., 240	3254, 5480
Iajon Manick v. Bursingh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 17	2000
Hajra Begum v. Hossein Ali Khan	4 B. L. R., A. C., 16: 12 W. ?	3096, 3671
Inkeem Meah v. Beejoy Patnee.	R., 498. 22 W. R., 8	6137
lakeemoonuissa v. Buldeo	3 Agra, 309	4438
Hakim Khan v. Gool Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc, 823: 10 C.	3697
Takma Manji v. Meman Ayab Haji	L. R., 603. 5 7 Bom., O. C., 19	2498, 2719
Jaladhar Dey v. Ambika Charan Bose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 80	5898
Iala Khoory Bibee v. Basoo Koshye	17 W. R., 512	5682
Haldane. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 77	5652
Inlford v. East Indian Railway Company	12 B. L. R., Ap., 19	6274
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14 B. L. R., 1	4706
Ialimbhai Karimbhai v. Shanker Sai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 381	362
Ialimoozzumah v. Municipal Commissioners of Hooghly		2783
Iall v. Joakim	12 B. L. R., 34	5720
Ialodhar Shaha v. Harogobind Das Koiburto	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 105	827
Haloo v. Atwaro Ham v. Bengal Eastern Railway Co	7 W. R., 81	5729 3791
Hamabai v. Bamanji Nasarvanji	2 Hyde, 228 7 Bom., A. C., 64	4665
Hamed Bux v, Bindrabun	2 N. W., 37	631, 482
Hameeda Bibee v. Noor Bibee	9 W. R., 394	686, 148
Hameedoollah. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 499 : 7 C. L. ?	5675
v. Genda Lall	R., 471. 17 W. R., 171	139
v. Mahomed Asghur Hossein	I. L. R., 6 Calc. 499: 7 C. L.	5675
Hameedoonissa v. Anundmoyee Dossee	R., 471.	1362
Hameer Mahomed Chowdry v. Fool Mahomed Chow-	W. R., F. B., 25	
dry.	10 17, 16, 511	5752
Hamida alias Kajoo v. Bhudhun	20 W. R., 238 1 B. L. R., S. N., 14 : 10 W.	1872
Hamid Alee v. Affeeooddeen	R., 213.	330, 293
Hamid Ali v. Imtiazan	I. L. R., 2 All., 71	3647
Hamid Hossein v. Gervain	15 W. R., 496	5282
Hamidooddeen v. Bhadoo Sahae	18 W. R., 345	340
Hamidoodeen Ahmed. In the matter of the petition	24 W. R., Cr., 37	5539
Hamidoolla v. Faizunnissa	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 327: 10 C. 7	3647
	L. R., 291.	
Hamilton v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	I. L. R., 5 All., 456	6107
— v. Rughoonundun Singh Hamir Singh v. Zakia	20 W. R., 70	1172
Haninahalu Sannanna v. Cook	I. L. R., 1 All., 57 6 Mad., 346	3641 133
Hanlon v. India Branch Railway Company	1 Hyde, 197	5584
Hanmantmal Motichand v. Rambabai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 198	3220, 322
Hanmantram Sadhuram v. Bowles	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 561	3179, 331
Hanmantrav Khanderav v. Bhavanrav Bajirav	10 Bom., 299	4387
Hanmantrav Pandurang Joglekar v. Subaji Girmaji .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 257	3463
Hancoman Pershad v. Surubject Singh	4 N. W., 167	3413
"Hauover." In the matter of the	Bonrke, Ad., 15	5645

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
		3865, 3369
Iansji Chhiba v. Valabh Chhiba	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 297 . }	3370
Iansraj Malji. In re	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 411	2660
lanuman Dat v. Assadullah	. 7 N. W., 145	1708
Ianuman Dutt Roy v. Kishen Kishor Narayan Singh	8 B. L. R., 358	2387
Ianuman Kamat v. Dowlut Mundar	. I. L. R., 10 Cale., 528	2177
Hanuman Parshad v. Kaulesar Pandey	. I. L. R., 1 All., 301	1616
v. Ramjug Singh	. 6 N. W., 371	1616
Januman Prasad v. Ajodhya Prasad	1 B. L. R., F. B., 7:10 W. R., F. B., 5.	214
Hanuman Rai v. Udit Narain Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 917	4537
Hanuman Singh v. Nanak Chand	. I. L. R., 6 All., 193	2184
Hanumantamma v. Rami Reddi	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 272	2217
Ianumantha v. Hanumavya	. 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 232	• 801
Ianuman Tiwari v. Chirai	. I. L. R., 2 All., 164	2130
Ianumayya v. Roupell	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 64	3601
Iara Chand Poramanick v. Krishto Monee Giree	. 1 W. R., 298	6231
Iaradhan Dat v. Radhanath Shaha	{ 2 B. L. R., S. N., 14: 10 W. }	354
Iaradhone Shamunto v. Goluck Chunder Shamunto	25 W. R., 79	5451
Iaradhun Chuckerbutty v. Protap Narain Chowdhry	14 W. R., 401	756
Inradhun Dutt v. Joykisto Banerjee	11 W. R., 444	3818
Iaradhun Gossamee v. Ram Newaz Missry	17 W. R., 414	1183
	(Marsh., 205 : W. R., F. B., 66 :)	
Iaradhun Mookerjee v. Chunder Mohun Roy	1 Hay, 577.	5115
Jaradhun Mudduck v. Dinobundhoo Mojoomdar .	25 W. R., 319	3032
Iaradhun Nag v. Issur Chunder Bose	6 W. R., 222	1415, 339
Haradhun Sandyal v. Rash Monee Dassia	2 W. R., Mis., 21	2694
Iarajai Kumara Venkata Perumalraj v. Kanniappah	. 4 Mad., 149	3588, 405
Iarak Narain Singh v. Luchmi Bux Roy	5 C. L. R., 287	4497
Iaramohini Chowdhrain v. Dhanmani Chowdhrain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 138: 10 W. ?	3824
Taramonnii Chewantani v. Dhannani Chewanian	R., 62.	
Iaranath Gupta v. Jagannath Roy Chowdhry .	9 B. L. R., 89, note: 11 W. }	: 5330
Haran Chandra Mookerjee v. Nandogopal Muttylall .	13 B. L. R., 142: 22 W. R., }	4256, 528
Iaran Chandra Pal v. Mukta Sundari Chowdhrain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 81: 10 W.	5176, 519
Iaran Chunder Bhooree v. Russick Chunder Neogy	R., 113.	5768, 589
Iaran Chunder Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Bose	(14 B. L. R., 408 : 23 W. R.,)	3920, 501
	187.	1 '
v. Gooroo Churn Sircar. Iaran Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of	10 W. R., 421 18 W. R., Cr., 63	1588 4739
Iaran Mandal. In re	(2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1: 10 W.)	1969
Haran Sheikh v. Ramdhun Biswas	R., Cr., 31.	5912
Iaranund Dutt v. Ram Dhun Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 122	. 2888
Iaranund Mozooindar v. Prosinno Chunder Biswas	(I. L. R., 9 Cale., 763; 12 C. L. ?	4/035
Haraprasad Roy Chowdhry v. Digamber Mitter .	R., 556. 2 B. L. R., S. N., 15	1355
	. T T D 9 (1-1. 790 . 1 (T 5	4960, 537
Harasatoollah v. Brojonath Ghose	{ R., 517.	1
Tarasundari Baistabi v. Jayadurga Baistabi .	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 36: 13 W. } R., 112.	3851, 40
Tarasundari Dasi v. Kistomani Chowdhrain	5 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 13 W. ?	5314
Hara Sundari Debi v. Dukhinessur Malia	R., 257. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 250 .	181,100

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
TT 11 1 Commi	T T D 0 4 D 400	4054
Harbhai v. Gumani . Harbhat bin Ram Chandrabhat. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 All., 493	6054 4387
the petition of.	I. L. R., 5 Cale., 259 : 4 C. L.)	
Harbuns Sahai v. Bhairo Pershad Singh	{ R., 23.	162, 547
Hardai Narain v. Haruck Dhari Singh Hardatrai Shrikisandas v. Victoria Finance and Bullion	. 12 C. L. R., 104	2177
Association.	3 Bom., O. C., 60	763
Har Dayal v. Chadami Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 194	1904
Hardayal Mandal v. Tirthanand Thakur	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 28:13 W. }	5934
Hardeo. In the matter of	. I. L. R., 1 All., 139	5148
Hardeo Bux v. Jawahir Singh	[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 522 : L. R.,]	270, 422
0.	L. R., 6 I. A., 161	4222
Hardeo Das v. Hukam Singh	. I. L. R., 2 All., 320	1495
— v. Zaman Khan Hardial v. Doorga Prasad	I. L. R., 8 All., 639 I. L. R., 6 All., 105	5979 5514
Hardi Narain Sahu v. Ruder Perkash Misser	(I. L. R., 10 Calc., 626; L.)	2400, 385
Harce Bundhoo Santra. In re	\ \ R., 11 L. A., 26.	5886
Harender Kishore Singh v. Administrator General of	. 5 W. R., Cr., 55	559, 121
Bengal.] I. L. R., 12 Calc., 357 . }	3347
Harendra Narayan. In the goods of	. 4 B. L. R., O. C., 41	48, 210
Hargobind Kuari v. Dharam Singh	. 6 B. L. R., 614: 15 W. R., 112 I. L. R., 6 All., 329	2885 2417
Hargopal Das v. Ram Golam Sahi	5 B. L. R., 135: 13 W. R.	4317; 530
Hargopal Premsukdas v. Abdul Khan Haji Muhamma	381. 1 9 Bom., 429	2803, 330
Hargovan v. Hira Haribhai	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 301	1886
Hari v. Lakshman	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 614 . {	1520, 392
v. Maruti	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 741	4012 3370
Haribhai v. Ardesir Ukadji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 229, note	416
Hari Bhanji v. Secretary of State for India	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 344	5219
Haribhat v. Damodarbhat Hari Bhikaji v. Naro Vishvanath	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 171 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 432	2306 5968
Hari bin Joti v. Narayan Acharya	6 Bom., A. C., 23	1584, 304
Haridas v. Ghansham Narain	. I. L. R., 6 All., 286	4081, 410
Haridas Baisakh v. Moazam Hossein	8 B. L. R., Ap., 16: 15 W.	909, 623
		1206, 157
Haridas Purshotam v. Gamble	. 12 Bom., 23 }	2019, 268 4904
Haridas Sanyal v. Pran Nath Sanyal	. I. L. R., 12 Cale., 566	2456, 430
Hari Dhangar v. Biru Darsa	. 9 Bom., A. C., 135	1846.
Hari Govind Joshi v. Ram Chandra Pandurang Joshi	. 9 Bom., 64	5374
Harihara v. Subramanya Harihar Dat v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 250	5699 3722
	(8 B. L. R., 566: 20 W.)	
Harihar Mukhopadhya v. Madhab Chandra Babu	8 B. L. R., 566: 20 W. R., 459: 14 Moore's I. A.,	4202, 510
Harihur Pershad Narain Singh v. Buddu Pershad	152, 13 C. L. R., 254	5118
Harika Ramayyar v. Sindu Tirtasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 61	5088
Hari Kishore Dutt v. Collector of Dacca	3 B. L. R., Ap., 116	. 35
Harilal Amthabhai v. Abhesing Meru	I. L. R., 4 Bon., 323	409, 545

Name of Case. Volum	e and Page. Column
·	e and Page. of Digest.
Hari Mahadaji v. Balambhat Raghunath I. L. R., 9 Bo	om., 233 { 2500, 338
Taranauaji Joshi V. Vasudev Moreshyan Joshi	2nd Ed 995 9190 915
9 B. L. R., Ap	p., 1:17 W. R., } 2575
Harimohan Malo. In the matter of	A. Cr., 20: 10 }
Hari Mohan Sirkar v. Moncrieff (9 B. L. R., A	p., 14: 15 W.)
Hari Narayan Brahma a Canadari I. L. R., 11 Ca	e. 3 4146 4500
1. L. R., 7 Box	m., 272 4307, 5042
Harinath Das v. Asmut Ali	., 118: 15 W.]
R., 171.	
r . n (R., 217.	., 72: 17 W. 4308
(11 K., 339	e., 167 : 11 C.
v. Jitan Ram	C., 426: 12 W. 3849, 3850
Hariram Birbhas T. L. R., 8 Cale	., 759 4194
Hari Ramchandra v. Mahadaji Vishnu	50 : : 3941, 4827
Hari Ravii Chinlunkan a Chamainan	3180
Harischandaug Dors . Daphibulvant 5 Bom., A. C. 7	m., 461 3932, 6100 840
FI : CO - 1 Mad., 355	5102
Haris Chandra Dutt v. Jagadamba Dasi S B. L. R., 190,	
Haris Chandra Gupto v. Shashi Mala Gupti Harish Chandra Chuckenhatta a garata (6 B. L. R., 721 163.	: 15 W. R., 901, 5932
Hari Singh v. Baldee Singh v. Tarachand Shaha . 2 B. L. R., Ap.,	4 . 1761
2. Danish Mohamad 11. 11. 10. 2 Miles	905
W. Empress 20 W. R., Cr., 4 W. Empress 3 C. L. R., 49 Hari Trimbak v. Abasaheb	
	, 321
	,1
Harivallabhdas Kalliandas v. Utamchand Manikchand . 7 Bom., O. C., 1	
v	(6184
Hari Vasudev v. Mahaj a'i Appeji	
Hari Vasudev v. Mahaj a'i Appaji	
	2991
Hari Yemaji v. Parshram Gundo 6 Bom., A. C., 18 Harjas v. Kanhya 11 Bom., 23	
v. Radha Kishan	1631
I. L. R., 8 All., 5	
Iarjiban Das v. Bhagwan Das	256 . : 4083
Harjiban Das v. Bhagwan Das $\begin{cases} 7 \text{ B. L. R., 102} : \\ C., 16; \text{ and on} \end{cases}$	
11 L. R. 525 - 161	W. R., O. C. 2808, 4620
tarjivan Anandram a Nossa, Tr. 77.	, 5. 6.,
tarkisandas Narandag a D.: T.1	
Jarlal Tayram a Call to a me	
I. L. R., 7 Bom.,	191 3297, 3378
V	

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
farnangir Guru Dhanpatgir v. Spiers	2 Bom., 213 : 2ud Ed., 204 .	4821
farnangir Gurt Manpaigu v. Spiere	4 N. W., 116	1147
farnath v. Harce Singh Taro Chand Poramanick v. Krishto Mohun Giree	1 W. R., 297	228
Taro Chunder Turkochooramonee v. Issur Chunder Roy	6 W. R., 296	2751
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 123	5194
Jaro Das v. Gobind Bhuttacharjee Jarodyal Bose v. Kristo Gobind Sein	17 W. R., 70	5811
Aaro Jemadar v. Jadub Chunder Holdar	3 W. R., Mis., 24	5472
Haromohun Mookerjee v. Shoonoyanee Mohunt Thakoo-}	Marsh., 469: 2 Hay, 501	236
Iaronarayan v. Manik Singh	7 B. L. R., Ap., 61	1767
Haroo Chowdhry v. Joyessur Nundee	6 W. R., Act X, 46	4149
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 114: 11 W. 7	
Haro Sanker Sandyal v. Tarak Chandra Bhuttacharjee	R., 488.	1895, 5619
Harpal Singh v. Bal Gobind	I. L. R., 7 All., 586	5181, 5184
Har Prasad v. Bhagwan Das	I. L. R., 4 All., 196	3934
v. Jafar Ali	I. L. R., 7 All., 345	3449, 5957
,	5 B. L. R., 47: 13 W. R., ?	1610, 1613
Harrak Singh v. Tulsi Ram Sahu	216.	3096
Harrendro Coomar Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdhrain	7 C. L. R., 272	4689
Harrington v. Gonesh Roy	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 440	3179
Harris v. Britain	15 W. R., 534	2103
v. Harris	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
v. Koylas Chunder Bandopadia	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 285	2588
Harrison v. Delhi and London Bank	T. T. D. A A.B. 497	1132, 3359
TRAITISON O. DELIN AND LIONAUN DANK	I. L. R., 4 All., 437 . }	4263, 4326
v. Hope	9 B. L. R., Ap., 43	3199, 5588
Har Sahai v. Chunni Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 14	4481, 4846
Har Sahai Mal v. Maharaj Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 294	5083
Harsaran Singh v. Muhammad Raza	I. L. R., 4 All., 91	5967
Har Shankar Parshad. In the matter of the petition of		1933
Harsukh v. Meghraj	I. L. R., 2 All., 345	1481
Hart v. Buskin v. Tara Prasanna Mukherji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192	4704 5429
v. Thomas	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 718	4705
Haru. In re	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 192 18 W. R., Cr., 18	971
Harvey v. Brice	4. W. R., Cr., 26	4491, 4504
Hasam Ibrahim v. Mancharam Kaliandas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 137	5008
Hasam Kasam v. Goma Jadavji	5 Bom., O. C., 140	1381
		2237,3644
Hasan Ali v. Mehdi Husain	I. L. R., 1 All., 533 . {	3690
v. Maherban	I. L. R., 2 All., 625	3730
Hasha v. Ragho	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 165	6140
Hashim v. Auject Singh	W. R., 1864, 217	3963
Hashum Ali v. Ramdharee Singh	7 W. R., 82	4017
Hasmat Rai v. Sunder Das	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 396	2178
Hasonbhoy Visram v. Clapham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 51	734
Hasoon Arra Begum v. Jawadoonnissa Satooda Khan- dan.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 29	3917, 5417
Hassaji v. East Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 388	3343
Hassan Ali v. Naga Mal	I. L. R., 1 All., 283	2134
Hassan Allee v. Budderooddeen	1 W. R., 141	2883
Hassanbhoy Visram. Exparte	1. L. R., 8 Bom., 323 7 N. W., 211	4287
Hassan Raza Khan. In the matter of the petition of	7 N. W., 211	4749
Hassoubhoy v. Cowasji Jehangir	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 1	1042
Hassun Aly v. Naib Almed	11 W. R., 146	4955
Hastic. In the matter of	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 451	433, 265
Hastings. In re	9 Bom., 154	6183

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hathi Ram v. Gobind Ram Hathising Sobhai v. Kuverji Javher Hatim v. Erypasse	. 3 Agra, 131	4790 490
o ampress	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 105	4126, 4264 4839
Hattee Lall Bhuggut v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	· 12 U. D. E. 86	1810
Havildar Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 927 : }	211
	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 96: 11 C }	258
v. Jagu Mean	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 96 : 11 C. }	258
	5 R L D oor	4743
	13 W. R., 393 10 B. L. R., 301: 18 W.)	4743
	1 200 : L. D. I. A. Sun (1552, 3351
- Abdulakna	6 Bom. A. C. 153	4655
	• 18 W. R., 304	5613 5683
Chungi Lal	16 W. R., 378	4391
Hazari Khan v. Ramdhone Chaki Hazari Lal v. Jadaun Singh e. Kheru Rai		4533 4617
Hazari Lal v. Jadaun Singh	7 C. L. R., 345 I. L. R., 5 All., 76	1877
Hazari Mull Naliatta v. Sobagh Mull Duddha	L. H., 3 All., 576	705, 3325 5961
Hazari Ram v. Shankar Dial Hazi Arjun Mullick v. Farutulia	9 B. L. R., 1 L. L. R., 3 All., 770	2578
	9 C. L. R., 295	5213 488
Hazra Beginn a Haggain All Tri	9 C. L. R., 295 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 31 : 6 C. L. }	5017
	1 3 D. L. K., 341, note	5139
Hearsay v. Secretary of State for India Hearsey v. Girdharee Lal Heckford v. Galstin	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 505 6 N. W., 47	8316 2817
Heckford v. Galstin	3 N. W. 338	3751
Heerachand Banerjee v. Banee Madhub Chatterjee	Cor., 134 : 2 Hyde, 274 . 10 W. R., 164	3102 5750
Heera Dass v. Hurmoo Singh	1 N. W., Part II, p. 17 . Ed. 2	5983 .
Heera Kooree v. Noor Ali Heera Lal v. Rudhoul Heera Lal v. Bishen Suhaye Heera Lal v. Kousillah v. Kousillah v. Mooret Lul	1873, 77. 3 Agra, Rev., 1	534
	2 N. W., 85 1 W. R., 297	2860
v. Kousillah v. Moorut Lall	2 Agra, 42	$\frac{5617}{2428}$
v. Moorut Lall Iceralall Banerjee v. Buroda Kant Roy	11 W. R., 275 13 W. R., 467	3727
Seeralall Bukshee v. Rajkishore Mozoomdar	W. B. F. B 59 1 Ind 5	4957
	Jur., O. S., 81: 1 Hay, {	4934
leera Lall Chowdhry v. Bistoo Lall Chowdhry v. Janokeenath Mookerjee	22 W. R., 288	5780
deeralall Chuckerbutty v. Mohesh Chunder Ghosaul	16 W. R., 222 1 Hyde, 105	5301
a Pom /Pom / Pom /	23 W. R., 65	287 5760
	23 W. R., 323	5136
cera Dan Mookerjee v. Roy Dhunput Singh .		279,6072
ecra Lall Mookhopadhya v. Dhunput Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 500: 3 C. 5	.844 1100
and an arrect profiles Lati	20 W. R. 399	
eera Lall Poramanic v. Barikunnissa Bibee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 501: 1 C. 2	3041
eera Lall Roy v. Bidyadhur Roy	L. R., 596. 21 W. R., 343	4203
Application of the second seco		2344

Heera Lall Seal v. Carapie' 13 V 13 V 13 V 14 V 14 V 15 V	d. Jur., O. S., 8: W. R., B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 y, 229. R., Act X, 84 119 V. R., 384 y, 202 R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8:)	2680 4611 11, 5536 1733 3816 4946 4524 1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
Heera Lall Samunt v. Oozeer Ali 21.1	7. R., 347 7. R., 431 7. R., 450 7. R., 451 66 60 60 61. Jur., O. S., 8: W. R., B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 7., 229 R., Act X, 84 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 11	4611 11, 5536 1733 3816 4946 4524 1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
18	V. R., 481 V. R., 273 R., 450 V. R., 481 66 d. Jur., O. S., 8 : W. R., B., 19 : Marsh., 60 : 1 V., 220 R., Act X, 84 110 V. R., 384 V., 292 R., Sey, Vol., Ap., 8 :)	11, 5536 1733 3816 4946 4524 1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
Heera Lall Thakoor v. Gridharec Lall	7. R., 273 R., 450 7. R., 431 66 d. Jur., O. S., S.; W. R., B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 y, 229 R., Act X, 84 19. 292 Y., 239 Y. R., 384 Y. 292 R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8:)	1733 3816 4946 4524 1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
Heera Lah Mookerjee v. Digumburee Kuloonee 14 v 14 v 15 kera Lah Mookerjee v. Digumburee Kuloonee 14 v 15 kera Loll Saha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey 16 c. c. c. 17 kera Loll Saha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey 17 kera Loll Saha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey 18 kera Loll Saha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey 10 v	. R., 450 7. R., 451 66 d. Jur., O. S., 8 : W. R., B., 19 : Marsh., 69 : 1 V, 229 R., Act X, 84 119 · R., 384 V, 292 R., Sep. Vol., Ap., 8 :)	3816 4946 4524 1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
14	7. R., 431 66 d. Jur., O. S., S.; W. R., B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 y, 220, R., Act X, 84 119 7. R., 384 y, 292 R., Sp., Vol., Ap., 8:)	4946 4524 1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
Heera Loll Seal v. Gungadhur Senaputty	66 d. Jur., O. S., 8: W. R., B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 y, 229. R., Act X, 84 119 'A., 884 1y, 292 R., Sup., Vol., Ap., 8:)	1627, 5777 572 4154, 4469
Heera Loll Seal v. Poran Matteah Heera Loll Seal v. Poran Matteah Heera Loll Shaha v. Jadub Chunder Chenchkey Gov. Heeramonee v. Gunganarain Roy 10 v. Heeramonee Brahminee v. Nuffaree Brahminee 11 v. Heeramonee Brahminee v. Nuffaree Brahminee 12 v. Heeramonee Brahminee v. Nuffaree Brahminee 13 v. Heeramonee Debin v. Lokenath Mundul 2 v. Heeramonee Debin v. Tahoour Enam 2 v. Heeramath Kooeree v. Burm Narain Singh 1 v. Cozerun 1 v. Heeramam v. Deo Narain Singh 2 v. Ozerun 2 v. Uzerun 4 v. Heeramam v. Deo Narain Singh 4 deraram Debin V. Akbur Ali 2 v. Herama Singh v. Akbur Ali 2 v. Heram Singh v. Akbur Ali 2 v. Heram Singh v. Ragho Nath Suhai 3 agr 4 v. Heram Singh v. Ragho Nath Suhai 3 agr 4 v. Heram V. Heirs of Husen Beg Bai v. Akubai 4 v. Heram V. Heirs of Husen Beg Bai v. Akubai 4 v. Hema Kooeree v. Ajoodhya Pershad 4 v. Hema Moore v. Lowdhyr	B., 19: Marsh., 60: 1 } ly, 229. R., Act X, 84 119	572 4154, 4469
Heeramonee v. Gunganarain Roy Cor.	119 7. R., 384 19, 292 R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8: 7	4154, 4469
Heeramonee v. Gunganarain Roy Cor.	119 7. R., 384 19, 292 R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8: 7	4154, 4469
Heeramonee B. Gunganarain Roy	V. R., 384 iy, 292 R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8:)	
Heera Monee Dabee v. Kouffaree Brahminee I. H.	y, 292 R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8:	
Heera Monee Dabee v. Koonj Behary Holdar S. L.	R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 8: 7	3040 2284
Heera Monee Debia v. Lokenath Mundul 2 W.	Tr. T. Cop. (01., 21)., U. (
2 W. Heeramone Dossee v. Promothonath Mundal 2 W. Heeramone Dossee v. Promothonath Ghoso R. R. Promothonath Ghoso R. R. Promothonath Ghoso R. R. Promothonath Ghoso R. Pro	W. R., 207.	5106
Hecramonee Dosseo v. Promothonath Ghoso 2 International Programmer 22 W 22 W 22 W 24 W 25 W 25 W 26 W	R. 135	4206
Heeramun Roy v Jhuboo Singh 22 W	l. Jur., N. S., 233 : 8 W.)	
We We We We We We We We	32	1998
Heera Nath v. Shumshere Sahee	R., 511	571
Heeranath Kooeroe v. Burm Narain Singh 27 15 W 28 W 28 W 28 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 25 W 27 W 27 W 27 W 28 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 24 W 28 W 24 W 28 W	R., 109	769
Heeranund Sahoo v. Khnbeeroonissa 15 w	. R., 375: 9 B. L. R.,	1743
15 W -v. Ozeerun 6 W -v. Ozeerun 6 W -v. Ozeerun 6 W 9 W -v. Ozeerun 6 W 0 W -v. Ozeerun 6 W 0 W	. Iv., 5/5; 9 B. L. K., }	1724
6 W.	R., 516	5287
Heeraram v. Deo Narain Singh 9 W.	R., 151	3376
Heera Rawut v. Racla Rawut 9 W.	R., 102	3377
Heera Rawut v. Raela Rawut 9 W. Heera Singh v. Akbur Ali 22 W v. Burzar Singh 1 Agr v. Ragho Nath Suhai 3 Agr Agr 2 N. Heeroo v. Dhoree 2 N. Heerun v. Mariun 14 W. Heirs of Husen Beg Bai v. Akubai 2 Bon Heir sof Husen Beg Bai v. Akubai 2 Bon Heir singh v. Thakoor Singh 2 N. Chalabouddeen v. Chowdhry Abdool Suttar 9 W. Jema Kocerce v. Ajoodhya Pershad 2 A W. Jema Kocerce v. Ajoodhya Pershad 2 A W. Jemangine Dossee v. Jogendro Narain Roy 18 W. Jemangini Dasi v. Nobin Chand Ghose 1 L. I. L. I. L. I. L. I. L. I. I. L. I. L. I. L. I.	R., 102 F. B., 63 : Ed. 1874, 48	6284
Herra Singh v. Arbur Ali 24 W	R., 103	1209, 4152
Heeroo v. Dhoree 2 N. Ed.	R. 115	5078
Heeroo v. Dhoree 2 N. Ed.	R., 382	549
Heeroo v. Dhoree 2 N. Ed.		2138
Heerun v. Mariun	W 190 . Acres E D 3	3955, 6125
14 W. 14 W. 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	W., 129: Agra, F. B., 1874, 204,	5181
Heirs of Husen Beg Bai v. Akubai 2 Bono		2702, 3290
det Singh v. Thakoor Singh 2 No.	R., 87	3291
Idalouddeen v. Chowdhry Abdool Suttar 4 N.	., 857 : 2nd Ed., 337 .	1032
Lema Aooeree v. Ajoodhya Pershad 24 W. Comalee v. Kumla Kant Banerjee 16 W. Lemanginee Dossee v. Jogendro Narain Roy 12 W. Lemangini Dasi v. Nobin Chand Ghose I. L. I. L. L. I. L. L.	V., 57	76
temanes v. Rumia Kant Banerjee 16 W. 12 W. 12 W. 12 W. 12 W. 12 W. 12 W. 13 W. 14 L. L. 15 W. 15 W. 15 W. 16 W. 17 W. 18		4815
temangine Dossee v. Jogendro Narain Roy	R., 474	2420, 5684
lemangini Dasi v. Nobin Chand Ghose		2929
(L. I	2001- 500 11 0	484
	370 Calc., 788: 11 C.	3170
	R., S. N., 10: 10 W.	
v. Ramnidhi Kundu	58.	777
emayetoollah Chowday a Nil F 24 W.		4172
Iem Chand Kuher v Volore Posi II 17 W.	R., 108	187, 2386
	R., 139	3208
tem Uninder Chaffering a Doom - Co 1 7	R., 139 ., 7 Bom., 515	. 317
	R., 139 J., 7 Bom., 515 J., 332 : 2 Hay, 429	610, 1630
Hem Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojosoondury Debee	R., 139 J., 7 Bom., 515 J., 332 : 2 Hay, 429 J., Act X. 162	515, 3516
	R., 139 ., 7 Bom., 515 , 332: 2 Hay, 429 ., Act X, 162 ., 8 Calc., 89: 10 C. L.	418
Hom Chunder Ghose v. Radha Pershad Paleet	R., 139 ., 7 Bom., 515 , 332: 2 Hay, 429 ., Act X, 162 8 Cale, 89: 10 C. T.	

		Column
Name of Case.	. Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Hem Chunder Soor v. Kally Churn Dass	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 528: 12 C. } L. R., 287.	1826
Hem Chundra Chowdhari v. Chand Akund	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 115	5195
Hemendro Coomar Mullick v. Rajendro Lall Moonshee	(I. L. R., 3 Calc., 353: 1 C. L.)	1116
	(E., 400.	6196
Hemlota Dabee. In the matter of the petition of Hem Lotta v. Sreedhone Borooa	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 226	2887
Hemmomoyee Dayee v. Watson & Co.	. 14 W. R., 297	764
Hem Nath Dutt v. Ashgur Sindar	. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 894	5195
Hem Nauth Bose. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 111	2274, 374 3306
Hemraj Chowdhry v. Asoodun	5 W. R., Mis., 43	5620
Hendry v. Mutty Lall Dhur	. I. L. R., 2 Calc., 395	3641, 540
Hera Roy v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh	· 24 W. R., 286	$\frac{5772}{6033}$
Her Chund Singh v. Ram Singh Herrikosima v. Stephenson	1 Agra, 286	4905
Hethan Singh v. Lokraj Singh	3 W. R., 184	3982
v. Nurkoo Singh	· 3 W. R., 184	3982
Het Lall Roy. In the matter of the petition of . Het Narain Singh v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree	· 22 W. R., Cr., 75	$\frac{1264}{5472}$
		995
v. Modnarain Singh · ·	I. A., 311.	อยอ
v. Ramdein Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 871: 12 C. }	2499
v. Rampershad Singh	L. R., 590.	5090
	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 770: 4 C. L.	3088
lewson. In the goods of	R., 42.	
Heysham v. Bholanath Mullick Hicks v. Hicks	· 11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., 221	2949 1550
•	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 756 .	114, 36
Idaitoonnissa v. Afzul Hossein	· 2 N. W., 420	3667
Hidayetoollah v. Inderjeet Tewarec	2 Agra, 282	1178, 118 1188
Hidayut Ali v. Maeraj Begum	3 N. W., 202	3142
Hidayut-oollah v. Dookhchore Rai	· 2 Agra, 204 · · ·	996
v. Rai Jan Khanum	3 Moore's I. A., 295	\$702 2928
Hiddut Ali v. Kobeemalla Mecajee High Court's Criminal Procedure Act (X of 1875). I	6 W. R., Act X, 9 1 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 891: 11 C. L.	
the matter of.	l R., 357.	561
Hill. Exparte	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254:10 C. L.]	5847
v. Khowaj Sheikh Mundul	R., 33.	1582
Hills v. Aradhun Mundul	Marsh., 554: 2 Hay, 663 10 W. R., 389	1171
v. Besharuth Meer	. 1 W. R., 10	1618
- v. Budu Khan	12 W. R., 533	1374
v. Clark	. 14 B. L. R., 367: 23 W. R., 63	2814 1617
v. Ishore Ghose	. 3 W. R., Act X, 135 Marsh., 151: 1 Hay, 350 .	1648, 57
7	. W. R., F. B., 131	1649, 51
v. Jendar Mundul	1 W. R., 3	3203, 32
	. 11 W. R., 1 25 W. R., 35	3203, 32 4905
v. Panch Course Sheikh	1 W. R., 3	1633
v. Shokhee Monee Dossee	. 10 W. R., 59	12, 5217
v. Sreehuree Roy	. 7 W. R., 155	3626 2088
— v. Wooma Moyee Burmonec	15 W. R., 545	5419
	- at all 100g to salling 1 100	

, Name of Case.	٠.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Himalaya Bank v. Simla Bank		I. L. R., 8 All., 23	4838
Himayat Hussain v. Jai Devi		I. L. R., 5 All., 589	1873
Himmat Bahadur. In the matter of the petition of	{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 429: }	244
	Ł	5 W. R., 91.	5240
Himmat Lal v. Shivajirav	•	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 593	4768
Himmat Singh v. Sewa Ram Himmat singh Becharsing v. Ganpatsing	٠	I. L. R., 3 All., 157	2419
Himmut Ali Khadim v. Nyamutoollah Khadim .	•	23 W. R., 250	5754, 5765
Himmut Bahadoor v. Shahebzadi Begum	·	14 W. R., 125	3637, 3693
v. Solano		17 W. R., 428	3700 1916
v. Sooneet Koer	÷	15 W R 540	2063, 5102
Himmut Bahadur v. Shahebzadi Begum	-	13 B. L. R., 182 : 21 W. R.,	3637
Himmut Danadur v. Shanebzadi Deguni	į	113: L. R., 1 I. A., 23.	
Himmut Singh v. Collector of Bijnour		2 Agra, 258	3279, 5630
-	•	1	6188 2274, 3746
Himnauth Bose. In the matter of	•	1 Hyde, 111	2291, 2303
Hinda a Randry	•	1 Agra, 210	3102
2 Pannath Brayan		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 52	892
- v. Ponnath Brayan Hinde & Co. v. Ponnath Brayan	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 359	4967
Hinganghat Mill Company v. Rekchand		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 310	5859
Hingan Khan v. Ganga Pershad Hingun Lall v. Debee Pershad		I. L. R., 1 All., 293	1485
Hingun Lall v. Debee Pershad		24 W. R., 42	3290, 3291
Hingun Sowdagur v. Boistum Churn Ojah		6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 5	1376, 5696
Hippolite v. Stuart	•	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 522	3788, 6035
Hira v. Kallu — v. Unas Ali Khan	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 916	3707
Hirabai v. Garbai		I. L. R., 3 All., 827	4539 . 2226
Hira Chand v. Abdal		12 Bom., 294	4041
Hira Chand Babaji v. Bhaskar Ababhat Shende	•	2 Bom., 198	3942
	·	3 B. L. R., A. C., 351: 12 }	
Hira Chand Banerjee v. Shama Charan Chatterjee	1	W. R., 275.	4215
Hirada Basappa v. Gadigi Muddapa		6 Mad., 142	3318
Hira Dai v. Hira Lal	. •	I. L. R., 7 All., 538	199, 766
Hirada Karibasappah v. Gadigi Muddappa :		6 Mad., 197	342, 3301
Hiralal v. Badri Das	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 792	3474
v. Datadin	٠	I. L. R., 5 All., 602	1168 1772
v. Ganesh Prasad	. :	I. L. R., 4 All., 135 I. L. R., 2 All., 415	4075
0,	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 406	1779
	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 780	5488, 5489
v. Ramjas		I. L. R., 6 All., 57	4548
Hiralal Chatterjee v. Gourmoney Debi		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 326	830
Hiralal Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of		6 B. L. R., Ap., 100	4946
Hiralal Mullick v. Matilal Mullick Hira Lal Pal v. Hills		5 B. L. R., 682	4859
Hiralal Seal v. Carapiet	•	11 C. L. R., 528	1738
v. Schiller	•	9 B. L. R., Ap., 17	5981 2665
Hiranath Koer v. Ram Narayan Singh	•	7 B. L. R., Ap., 91 9 B. L. R., 274: 17 W. R., 316	
Hiran Miya. In the matter of	:	1 C. L. R., 21	1016
Hira Singh v. Ganga Sahai	Ş	I. L. R., 6 All., 322 : L. R., 7	365
v. Makund Singh		11 I. A., 20. I. L. R., 5 All., 406	4080
Hirbai v. Gorbai	:	12 Bom., 294	3087
v. Jan Mahomed Khalakdina . Hirdey Bebee v. Besheshur Pershad .	:	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 229	6050
		2 Agra, Pt. II, 175	3253

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hirdhamun Jha v. Jinghoor Jha	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 711 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 72: 2 C L. 7	239, 5726
Hirdy Narain v. Allaoollah	R., 580.	3970
Hirji Jina v. Narran Mulji	12 Bom., 129 {	1475, 1489 3092
Hisam-ood-deen Khan v. Khaleefa Unwar-ool-lah	2 N. W., 400	5246
Hobeebo Sobair v. Hossein Ali	6 Bom., O. C., 39	1766, 4754 1730
Hoffman v. Framiee	Cor., 7	910
Hogg v. Denonath Koondoo	11 W. R., 566 8 W. R., 447	4184 3833
a Channer	2 Hyde, 3	1945, 6220
21	Cor., 97 : Bourke, A. O. C., 111	6201, 6220
Holee Ram Doss v. Mihee Ram Gogooee	6 W. R., 39	. 3147
Hollick. In the matter of	2. B. L. R., A. C., 109: 10 W. R., 447.	421
Holloway v. Asman Roy	10 W. R., 325	5078 1548
v. Muddun Mohun Lall	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 446: 10 C. L. R., 381.	1163
v. Wahid Ali	12 B. L. R., 191, note: 16 } W. R., 140.	1165
Holmes. In the matter of	1 Hyde, 99	1339
Homabhaee v. Punjea Bhaee Dosabhaee	5 W. R., P. C., 102	2147, 4245
Honama v. Timanuabhat Hong-Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation v. Baker	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 559 6 Bom., O. C., 71	2427
Hong-Kong and Shanghai Danking Corporation v. Daker	7 Bom., O. C., 11	597, 656 656
Honooman Dutt Roy v. Bhagbut Kishen	7 Bom.,O. C., 186 15 W. R., F. B., 6	2387
	3 Agra, 148	295
Honooman Suigh v. Fell Hooba Khan v. Munsub Ali Hookum Bebee v. Mahomed Moosa Khan	3 N. W., 37 6 W. R., Mis., 13	5188 3832
Hoolash Koer v. Kassee Proshad	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 369	87, 245
Hoolas Kooeree v. Sufeehun	8 W. R., 379	241, 391
Hoolas Koonwar v. Man Singh	3 Agra, 37 . 3 N. W., 63: Agra, F. B.,	2457
Hoolassee Ram v. Pursotum Lol	1 Ed. 1874, 250,	
Hoorul Munder v. Hurruck Dutt Khowas	22 W. R., 429 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 440, note: 7 }	1626
Hootaboo Ravah v. Loom Ravah	C. L. R., 221.	02.1, 200
Hori Dasi Dabi v . Secretary of State for India $\ \ .$	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 228 : 4 C. L. R., 77.	6207, 620
Horidas Mal v. Mahomed Jaki	I. L. R., 11 Calc. 434	1995
Horil Pattuck v. Bhowaneeram	15 B. L. R., 273, note: 21 W. R., 308.	3175
Hori Narain Ghose v. Administrator General of Bengal	3 C. L. R., 446	3322
Horish Chunder Roy v. Broj Soondur Mozoomdar .	6 W. R., 16	896
Hormasji. Ex parte Hormasji Cursetji Ashburuer v. Bomanji Cursetji	5 Bom., O. C., 64	637, 28;
Ashburner.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 250	4522
Hormasji Dorabji Vania v. Burjorji Jamsetji Vania .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155	824
Hormasji Karsetji v. Pedder Hormasji Sorabji v. Bholagir Guru Manjir	12 Bom., 199 6 Bom., A. C., 80	620, 26
Hormasji Temulji v. Mankuvarbai	12 Bom., 262	459, 11
Horokishen Banerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee	1 W. R., 299	6138
Horomohan Mookerjee v. Ram Coomar Mitter	1 W R. 995	5311
Horro Narain v. Shoodha Kristo Berah	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 890: 4 C.	3019, 62
TIOTO THE SELECTION OF DEPONDED AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	L. R., 32.	1 0010, 02

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Horro Soondery Chowdhrain. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20: 3 C. L. R., 93.	4236
Hossain Buksh Putooah v. Baroo Beparee	[5 W. R. 49	248
Hossaini Bibi v. Peri Khanun	1 B. L. R., O. C., 45	6227
Hossain Ibrahim bin Johur v. Mutty Loll	Cor., 5: 2 Hyde, 107	2685
Hossain Khan v. Dinnobundhoo Pundah	1 W. R., 35	3121
Hossein v. Bakir Ali	W. R., 1864, 302	2837, 5650
v. Dickenson	2 B. L. R., S. N., 3	5687
Hosseina v. Jhamun Singh	25 W. R., 323	3797
Hosseina Bibi v. Smith	13 B. L. R., 440 : 22 W. R., ?	5616
	15.	
Hossein Ali. In the matter of	13 W. R., 295	572, 3192
n. Hazara Begum	12 W. R., 344	3671
Hossein Ali Khan v. Burkut Ali	10 W. R., 372	5210
· Hossein Ally v. Donzelle	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 906 : 6 C. L.	568
- (R., 239.	1889
Hossein Aly v. Ashotosh Gangooly	3 C. L. R., 30	5272
Hossein Beg. v. Jeewa Ram	5 N. W., 139 16 W. R., 280	2772
Hossein Buksh v. Ameena Khatoon	20 W. R., 231	3446
p	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 96 : 6 C. L.?	
v. Empress	R., 521.	1266, 1854
v. Musund Hossein	18 W. R., 260	5242
v. Musund Possen	21 W P 245	3197
Hossein Buksh Khan v. Roy Dhunput Sing	21 W. R., 245 18 W. R., 289	5359
Hosseinee v. Dickinson	9 W. R., 112	5687
Hosseinee Jan v. Mukhdoomun	2 W. R., 44	1780
Hosseinee Khanum v. Lallun	W. R., 1864, 117	3241, 3721
n. Rubia Khannm	5 W. R., Act X, 14	539
v. Rubia Khanum v. Tijun Lall v	14 W. R., 293	1500, 3729
Hosseini Begum. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 434	3687
Hosseinooddeen Chowdhry v. Tajunnissa Khatoon .	-W. R., 1864, 199	3653
Hothoor Laloong v. Hindoo Singh Mouz	10 W. R., Cr., 49	960
Hothoor Laloong v. Hindoo Singh Mouz Hoti Lall v. Hardeo	I. L. R., 5 All., 212	205, 669
Hovenden v. Akbar Ali	L. R., 1 I. A., 76	1567, 6033
Howard v. Nicoll	1 Bom., Ap., 85 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 231 : 2 C. L. ?	3101
v. Wilson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 231 : 2 C. L.)	370, 3090
	R., 488.	3093
Howard Brothers. In the matter of	11 B. L. R., 254	2656
77 7 7 7 1 1 0	13 B. L. R., Ap., 9	2668
Howrah Docking Co. v. "Jean Louis," The	Cor., 113: 2 Hyde, 255	2834
Hoymobutty Debia Chowdhrain v. Koroona Moyee }	8 W. R., 41	415
Debia Chowdhrain.		
Hoymobutty Dossee v. Sreekissen Nundy	14 W. R., 58	288, 470
Hubcebool Hossein v. Allender		1426, 3042
v. Land Mortgage Bank	14 W. R., 44	5463, 5477
Hubeeboollah Khan v. Gowher Aly Khan	7 W. R., 225	5463, 5477 1871
Hubeebul Hossein v. Muneeram	24 W. R., 357	4267
Hubeebunissa v. Cox	W. R., 1864, 266	6154
	I. L. R., S Calc., 192: 10 C.	
Hubibul Hossein v. Mahômed Reza	L. R., 385.	1228, 4430
Hub Lal v. Kanhia Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 365	5456
Hub Lal Chowdhry v. Nittyanund Singh	12 C. L. R., 393	6153
Hublal Sukul v. Ram Goti Dey Roy	11 C. L. R., 581	4512
Hufazuttoolah Chowdry v. Humeedhur Rohman	6 W. R., Mis., 123	5535
Hughes v. Hughes	16 W. R., 250	2586

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Hughes v. Municipal Commissioners of Howrah	19 W. R., 339	506
v. Secretary of State for India		3792
Hukeem-oon-nisa v. Bhooria	5 N. W., 23	5192
Hukm Singh v. Zanki Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 506	5056
Hukumchand v. Hiralal	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 159	1829
Hukum Chand Tekaram v. Bhugvantra	1 Bom., 94	3130
Hulasi v. Maiku	I. L. R., 5 All., 236	3487
v, Sheo Prasad · · · · · ·	I. L. R., 5 All., 236 I. L. R., 6 All., 455	1473, 3721
Hulkhory Lall v. Sheo Churn Lall	24 W. R., 109	2515
Hulfodhur Bangal v. Hogg	1 W. R., 189	632
Hullodhur Mookerjee v. Ram Nath Mookerjee	Marsh., 35: 1 Hay, 71 .	2453
Hulodhur Roy Chowdry v. Juddonath Mookerjee .	14 W. R., 162	1919
Hulodhur Santal v. Gonesh Santal	6 W. B., 60	178
Hulodhur Sen v. Gooroodass Roy	20 W. R., 126	1159, 1177
v. Seetul Chunder Bhoomick	23 W. R., 85	6114
Hulse v. Luchmun Dass	1 Agra, Mis., 1	5483
Humeeda v. Budlun	17 W. R., 525	3659
Humeeda alias Khajoo v. Amatool Mehdee Begum	17 W. R., 106	4653, 6097
Humeed-ool-lah Khan v. Pran Sookh	3 Agra, 280	1588, 5631 179
Humutoollah Chowdhry v. Heerun	10 W. R., 336	4298, 5984
Hunooman Chowbay v. Bindhoo Toraba	W. R., F. B., 40:1 Ind. Jur., 7	
Hunooman Doss v. Koomeroonissa Begum	O. S., 42.	1204, 2981
v. Shamachurn Bhutta	1 Hay, 426	1984
Hunooman Doss alias Nonnah Baboo v. Bipro Churn Roy.	20 W. R., 132	5331
Hunooman Persaud Pandey v. Mundraj Koonweree		1500, 2083 2740, 4017 4158
Hunoomanpersaud Sahoo v. Kaleepersaud Sahoo	W. R., 1864, 285	. 4005
Hunooman Singh v. Crowdie	23 W. R., 428	1162
v. Suddolall	W. R., 1864, 232	243
Hunsa Kooer v. Sheogobind Raoot	24 W. R., 431	1735, 5753
Hunsbutti Kerain v. Ishri Dut Koer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 512 : 4 C. L.)	1406, 2191
	R., 511.	
Hunsraj Singh v. Choka Singh	7 W. R., 211 7 W. R., 211	3706 3706
v. Rash Beharee Singh Hunter. In the matter of the will of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 420	6215
fighter. In the matter of the will of	I. II. 16., 4 Oato., 420	2658, 2670
Hunt Mounet & Co. In re	1 Bom., 251	4287
Hurbans Sahye v. Thakoor Purshad	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 209	5143
	12 B. L. R., 473, note: 20 W. ?	2722
	R., 257.	
Hurbuns Lall v. Sheo Narain Singh	21 W. R., 391	3468
Hurbuns Sahai v. Bhairso Pershad	4 C. L. R., 23	162, 5472
Hurbuns Sahay. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 108: 13 C. L. R., 285.	3092
	L. R., 285. L. L. R., 10 Cale., 108: 13 C.	
v. Thakoor Persad	L. R., 285.	3092
Hurchunder Ghose v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee .	23 W. R., 170	303, 476
Hurchunder Gine. Ex parte	14 W. R., 52	897
Hurchundra Kulal v. Jafer Ali	20 W. R., Cr., 72	6192
Hurchund Singh v. Roopa Kooer	4 N. W., 171	5117
Hurchurn Doss v. Hazareemull	1 Ind. Jur., S. O., 12	370, 440
Hurchurun Lal v. Toorab Khan	2 N. W., 176	3824
Hurdei Beebee v. Surjoo Pershad	. 6 N. W., 309	202
Hurdeo v. Guneshce Lall	. 1 Agra, 36	4257

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jurdeo Narain Sahoo v. Gridharee Singh	19 W. R., 227	5479
Turdeo Narain Sahu v. Gridhari Singh	13 B. L. R., 103	267
Jurdeo Narain Singh v. Fuzla Hossein	1 W. R., 270	3906
Inrdwar Singh v. Luchmun Singh	3 Agra, 41	2444
Hur Dyal Nag v. Roy Kristo Bhoomick	24 W. B., 107	4153
	1 N. W., Part II, p. 19: Ed.	
Hurdysl Oopudbys v . Mahomed Naeem $\left\{ ight.$	1873, 79.	1640, 601
Hurdyal Singh v. Heera Lalf	16 W. R., 107	143, 371
v. Kunhya Lall	19 W. R., 247	57,70
Iuree Bungsho Banerjee v. Ramessur Banerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 38	3479
Iureebuns Burhal v. Joykishen Mookerjee	6 W. R., 92	2972
Turee Chund v. Brij Komar Singh	1 Agra, Rev., 35	5257
Iuree Churn Bose v. Meharoonissa Bibee	7 W. R., 318	5319
Iureedyal Gooho Mojoomdar v. Gobind Chunder Pal .	17 W. R., 387	1169
Turcehur Malitoon. In the matter of	14 W. R., 167	1224
Hureehur Mojoomdar v. Churu Majhee	22 W. R., 355	1782
Aurechur Mookerjee. In the matter of	20 W. R., 202	900, 5934
a Rivesour Banarica	6. W. R., Act X, 17	5186
v. Biressur Banerjee	7 W. R., 114	5194, 520
v. Judoonath Ghose	10 W. R., 153	5749
v. Mollah Abdoolbur .	17 W. R., 209	3334, 383
	1	900, 592
v. Nobin Chunder Doss	20 W. R., 202	5934
u Daibishau Masharian	23 W. R., 236	2270
v. Rajkishen Mookerjee		3368
v. Teencowree Dossee	6 W. R., 170	9909
Hurechur Pershad Doss Puhraj v. Gocoolanund Doss	17 W. R., 129	2415
Mohapattur.		3960
Hureehur Singh v. Dabee Sahoy	W. R., 1864, 260	
Hurco Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 57	3314
v. Nursing Narain	6 W. R., Act X, 63	. 2885
Hureekishen Roy v. Shaikh Baboo	1 W. R., 5	1609
Turee Kishore Ghose v. Komodinee Kant Banerjee .	10 W. R., 41	547
Juree Kishore Roy v. Hur Kishore Adhikaree	23 W. R., 134	3337, 5610
v. Kaleekishore Sen	8 W. R., 114	207, 1450
v. Muthoora Mohun Roy	17 W. R., 445	1454
Iuree Madhub Lahiree v. Hem Chunder Gossamee .	22 W. R., 522	5380
Iuroe Mohun Ghosaul v. Government	2 N. W., 226	3277
Iuree Mohun Mojoomdar v. Asgur Beharee	23 W. R., 324	5751
Turee Mohan Mookerjee v. Gora Chand Mitter	2 W. R., Act X, 25	3000
Turee Mohun Mullick v. Kalinath Roy	25 W. R., Cr., 15	4729
Huree Mohun Poddar v. Gureeboollah Mullick	22 W. R., 417 19 W. R., 169	4193
Iuree Narain Chatterjee v. Wooma Churn Mookerjee	19 W. R., 169	. 5316
Iureenarain Gossain v. Shumbhoonath Mundul	1 W. R., 6	2058, 2059
	1 W. 16, U	4905
Iuree Narain Roy v. Doorga Churn Deghooria	17 W. R., 449	4210
Iuree Narain Singh v. Beljeet Jha	24 W. R., 125	3808
Tureenath Koondoo v. Modhoo Soodun Saha	19 W. R., 122	219
Iuree Nath Shaha v. Issen Chunder Shaha	24 W. R., 316	4911
Iuree Pandey v. Bassoo	11 W. R., 257	307
	W. R., F. B., 29 : 1 Ind. Jur.,)	ahna anti
Huree Persad Malee v. Koonjo Behary Shaha . }	11 W. R., 257 W. R., F. B., 29 : 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 20: Marsh., 99 : 1	2792, 2916
	Hav. 238.	5682 ·
Turee Pershad Mundul v. Nundkishore Singh	17 W. R., 479	5140
Turee Ram v. Hur Pershad Singh	17 W. R., 479 20 W. R., 80	5410
Huree Ram v. Hur Pershad Singh	20 W. R., 397	5410
Huree Sunkur Mookerjee v. Jogendro Coomar Mookerjee	19 W. R., 66	3779

Name of Case.	 Volume and Page. 	Column of Digest.
Hurendro Narain Singh Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 762	4492
Hurendur Kishore v. Kadernath Mitter	10 W. R., 188	4210
Hur Gobind v. Hurkho	1 Agra, 116	5773
Hurhur Singh v. Ooma Kooer	16 W. R., 93	4167 5282
Huridas Nandi v. Jadunath Dutt Huri Moti Moddock v. Denonath Malo	5 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 14 W. R., 79	5995
Huri Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., Cr., 47	261, 5151
Huri Ram v. Bhikaree Rov	25 W. R., 20	4199
Huris Chundra Das v. Bolai Audhicaree	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4626, 5994
Hurish Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Nufur Chunder Kooer	9 W. R., 461	2352
Hurish Chunder Chowdhry v. Chunder Monee Debia v. Ram Chunder Chowdhry.	24 W. R., 268	5504 1586
Hurish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Hurree Bewah	20 W. R., 16	582
Hurish Chunder Dam v. Gungadhur Bhuddro	25 W. R., 181	5180
Hurish Chunder Dass v. Goureepershad Chatterjee .	16 W. R., 163	2352
Hurish Chunder Koondoo v. Ramakalee Debia	5 W. R., 194	1374
v. Mohinee Mohun Mitter	9 W. R., 582	2982
Hurish Chunder Mitter. In the matter of the petition of.	10 B. L. R., 79: 18 W. R., 209	1574
Hurish Chunder Mockerjee v. Mokhoda Debia	17 W. R., 565	2352
Hurish Chunder Mullick v. Prosuno Coomar Banerjee	22 W. R., 303	135, 1786 139
Hurish Chunder Paul v. Radha Nath Sein Hurish Chunder Roy v. Poorna Soonduree Debee.	11 W. R., 328 18 W. R., 36	329, 4939
2 Purna Soondaree Debia	18 W. R., 125	4939
v. Purna Soonduree Debia	14 W. R., 466	540
Hurish Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Sree Kalee Mookerjee	22 W. R., 274	3008
Hurish Chunder Shaha v. Hurish Chunder Paul	25 W. R., 325	4895
Hurish Chunder Sircar v. Azimooddeen Shaha	W. R., F. B., 180 13 W. R., 96	5276 3340, 5245
Hurish Chunder Surma v. Brojonath Chuckerbutty Hurish Chunder Talaputtar v. O'Brien	14 W. R., 248	5692, 5709
Hurivallab Das Kallian Das v. Uttam Chand Manik ?	8 Bom., O. C., 236	2105
Chand. S Hurjivan Virji v. Jamsetji Nowroji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 63	4818
Hurkhoo Singh v. Ram Kishen	6 W. R., Mis., 44	5977
Hurkisher Das Bhooya v. Joogul Kisher Saha Roy	12 B. L. R., 293, note: 16 W. R., 281.	1181
Hur Kishore Andhicary v. Sudoy Chunder Nundee .	17 W. R., 80	1908, 5922
Hurkishore Malo v. Bharoti Jelyani	24 W. R., Cr., 61	3738
Hurkoo Singh v. Ram Kishen	6 W. R., Mis., 44	3540
Hur Lall v. Manickipal	3 N. W., 176	4012
Hur Lall v. Manickipal Hurlal Roy v. Soornj Narain Roy Hurmungal Singh v. Athul Singh	3 W. R., 7	5984 5690, 5745
Hurmungal Singh v. Athul Singh Hurmutjan Bibi v. Podma Lochun Doss	4 N. W., 15 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 33	2954
Hurmut-ool-uissa Begum v. Allahdia Khan	17 W. R., P. C., 108	3694
Hurmuzi Begum v. Hirdaynarain {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 921 : 6 C. }	3377
Hur Narain v. Shiam Soonder	L. R., 133. 1 N. W., 211 : Ed. 1873, 264 : }	2918
	Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 188	2919
Hurnath Chatterjee v. Futtick Chunder Samadar	3 N. W. 112	167, 3458
Hurnath De Khashkil v. Joygopal De Sarkar	16 W. R., Cr., 75	974, 4626
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Gobind Chunder Moitro .	15 W. R , 178	6103
v. Gobind Chunder Moitree .	17 W. R., 255	5769
Huro Chunder Chowdhry v. Kishen Coomar Chowdhry .	5 W. R., 27	68
Huro Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry	2 W. R., 254	194
Huro Chunder Goho v. Dunn	5 W. R., Act X, 55	5192

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Huro Chunder Roy v. Monee Mohinee Dossee	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 6	3200
v. Surnamovi	I. L. R., 13 Cale., 266	3155
Huro Churn Narain Siugh v. Roochee Dobey	6 W. R., Act X, 30	555
Huro Doss v. Gobind Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 304	5194
Hurodoss Dostedar v. Huro Pria	21 W R., 30	5020
Hurodoot Narain Singh v. Beer Narain Singh	11 W. R., 480	2388
Huro Gobind Bhukut v. Degumbree Debia	9 W. R., 217	2707
Iuro Gobind Biswas v. Dumountee Dabee	13 W. R., 304	5319
Jurogobind Doss v. Kalachand Shaha	6 W. R., Act X. 26	5635
Hurogobind Pal v. Huro Soondaree Chowdhrain	18 W. R., 316	5129
Hurokrishno Doss v. Motee Chand Baboo	8 W. R., 260	763
Turo Lall Biswas v. Huro Chunder Roy	12 W. R., 89	1380
Huro Lall Doss v. Soojawut Ali	8 W. R., 197	825
Huro Lall Saha v. Tirthanund Thakoor	11 B. L. R., 437, note: 13 }	5077
turo Lan Sana v. Ilrananung Inakoor 3	W. R., 417.	
Huro Mohun Mookerjee v. Goluck Chander Sirkar .	12 W. R., 255	1636
v. Kadarnath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 25	5925
v. Lalun Monee Dassee	1 W. R., 5	6040
v. Mohendronath Ghose	16 W. R., 135	5169
v. Ram Coomar Mitter	1 W. R., 225	3011
v. Thakoor Doss Mundul .	1 W. R., 112	1653
Huro Mohun Roy v. Khettromonee Dossee	12 W. R., 372	5672
Huro Monee v. Kristo Indro Shaha	17 W. R., 220	1224
Huromonee alias Huromonee Debia v. Ramkishore }	6 W. R., Mis., 124	1218
Huromonee Debia v. Tumeezoodeen Chowdhry	7 W. R., 181	3747, 5064
Huromonee Dossee. In the matter of	17 W. R., 48	5705
Huromonee Gooptia v. Gobind Coomar Chowdhry .	5 W. R., 51	509, 3121
Huromoni Dasi v. Panchoo Ram Biswas	11 C. L. R., 514	. 2365
Huro Narain Giree v. Durga Churn Giree	15 W. R., 819	5319
Huro Nath Dass v. Roma Nath Surma	25 W. R., 321	1696
Huronath Pattur v. Nitto Paramanick	22 W. R., 517	1100
Huronath Rai v. Indoo Bhoosun Deb Rai	8 W. R., 135	8395
Huro Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	7 W. R., 482	894
v. Ameer Biswas v. Anuud Chunder Roy	1 W. R., 231	4394 5985
	1 W. R., 329 3 W. R., Act X, 26	1596
- a Chittuamonar Doggas	3 W. R., Act X, 122	4150
	7 W. R., 423	5008
	6 W. R., Mis., 33	3822
	6 W. R., 218	3394
	W. R., 1864, 338 .	353
	5 W. R., Act X, 56	1604
v. Mirnomovee Dabee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 56 .	1637
	7 W. R., 4	5382
v. Nistarini Chowdhrain	13 C. L. R., 14	385
Huronath Roy Chowdhry v. Golucknath Chowdhry .		550, 551
	19 W. R., 18 }	2974
Iuro Nath Sircar v. Preo Nath Sircar	7 W. R., 249	135
Turo Nauth Roy v. Indoobooshun Deb Roy Huro Pershad Bhuttacharjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Mo-)	2 Hay, 302	855
joomdar. Huropershad Chowdhry v. Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry	8 W. R., 391	3061, 5632 5632
Huro Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Sreedam Chunder Chow-		
dhry.	20 W. R., 16	582

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Huro Pershad Roy v. Gopal Das Dutt }	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 255 : 12 C. L. R., 129 : L. R., 9 I. A.,	553
v. Ram Lochun Mundul	82. 6 W. R., 148	4953
Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Fool Kishoree Dossee .	16 W. R., 308	493, 5614
		5620
v. Manick Lushkur	12 W. R., 343	3541 1678
v. Ram Chunder Baboo . v. Ramessur Missry Malia	24 W. R., 461	4959
Huropershad Roy Chowdhry v. Shamapershad Roy Chowdhry.	5 W. R., Mis., 52	5618
v. Shibo Shunkuree ?	13 W. R., 47	2284
Chowdrain. Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry v. Wooma Tara Debee .	15 W. R., 194	547
Juro Prasad Roy Chowdhry v. Chundee Churn Boy-		1598
ragee.	L. K., 251.	
Auroprosad Roy v. Kaliprosad Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 290 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 263: 8 C. }	1938
Iuro Prosad Roy v . Womatara Debee $\{$	L. R., 449.	1762, 5759
Iuro Prosunno Bhuttacharjee v. Bhyrub Chunder Mo- joomdar.	17 W. R., 257	3837
Iuro Soonduree Boistobee v. Joy Doorga Boistobee .	13 W. R., 112	3851
Iurosoonduree Chowdhrain v. Anund Mohun Ghose Chowdhry.	7 W. R., 459	1596
Iuro Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Anundnath Roy Chow-	3 W. R., 8	896, 3159
Inro Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Bungshee Mohun Doss .	8 W. R., 332	225
Iuro Soonduree Debee v. Sreedhur Bhuttacharjee	17 W. R., 352	173, 2770
Iuro Soonduree Debia v. Brojo Gobind Shaha	4 W. R., Mis., 12 16 W. R., 215	5454 2365
v. Doorgadoss Bhuttacharjee v. Punchooram Mundul v. Ramdhun Bhuttacharjee v. Ramdhun Bhuttacharj	24 W. R., 225	167
v. Ramdhun Bhuttacharjee .	7 W. R., 276	• 51, 6071
v. Stevenson	5 W. R., Mis., 13	281
v. Unno Poorna Debia	11 W. R., 550 }	4477,4900 6112
Hurosoonduree Dossee v. Bungseemohun Doss	3 W. R., Mis., 28	225, 954
v. Noorooddeen	11 W. R., 325	8828
Hurpaul Singh v. Zahoorun Hurpershad. In the matter of the petition of	2 Agra, 202	4141 1268
v. Khowanee	5 N. W., 18	634, 1901
v. Lalu	3 N. W., 60: Agra, F. B., Ed. ?	5917
v. Mata Buksh v. Oodit Narain	1874, 246. 5 3 Agra, 225	538
v. Oodit Narain	1 Agra, Rev., 60	2999
Iurpershad Roy v. Badree Narain Gir Iur Proshaud Roy v. Enayet Hossein	1 Agra, Rev., 60	1993
Hur Proshaud Roy v. Enayet Hossein	2 C. L. R., 471	879, 1675
Iurpurshad v. Sheo Dyal	L. R., 3 I. A., 259: 26	300, 2215 2216, 2762
}	W. R., 55.	4224
Iurradhun Mookerjee v. Mothooranath Mookerjee	7 W. R., P. C., 71: 4 Moore's }	2114
Hurrak Singh v. Toolsee Ram Sahoo	11 W. R., 84	1610
Hurran Chunder Gangooly v. Shib Chunder Mitter .	2 Hyde, 237 Marsh., 604	1208
Hurree Dyal Chukee v. Birjessurree Dossee	Marsh., 604	542
Hurrechur Mookerjee v. Ooma Moyee Dossee	12 W. R., 525	1692
Hurree Mohun Thakoor v. Andrews	W. R., 1864, 30	66, 3391 4314
* ()	` ;	5.000 £.00

Name of Case. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
All of the control of	
Hurre Narain Mookerjea. In the matter of Hurre nath Does v. Kalee Pershad Roy .	6164 2720 83 1635 1162 2814 5736 401 495 5151 3157 241, 187
Humish Chander Chowdhry z. Rajander Kiehere Roy	1929, 309
Chowdhry. Hurrish Chunder Koond v. Gopal Barooye Hurrish Chunder Koondo v. Alexander Hurrish Chunder Koondo v. Alexander Hurrish Chunder Roy v. Collector of Jessore Hurrish Chunder Roy v. Collector of Jessore Hurris Chunder Roy v. Gollector of Jessore L. L. R., 3 Cale. 712 J 15 B. L. R., 238: 24 W. R., 2	2215 2841 5176, 5185 1877 5075
Huma Chunder Chuckerhutty v Ram Kissora Chucker	5143
butty. Hurro Chunder Mookerjee v. Hullodhur Mookerjee Hurro Chunder Paul. In the matter of the petition of . Hurro Chunder Roy v. Lalleliund Banerjee	51 2767, 6249 880 4933
	3189, 3193
Hurro Coomaree Dossee v. Tarini Churn Bysack I. L. R., 8 Calc., 766	3169
Hurro Durga Chowdhrain v. Sharrat Soondery Debia { I. L. R., 4 Calc., 674: 3 C. L. } R., 417.	2700, 383
	1200, 1480 3834
Hurro Gobind Bhukut v. Digumburee Debia 9 W. R., 217 Hurro Gobind Biswas. In the matter of 7 W. R., 246 Hurro Gobind Raha v. Ramrutno Dey I. L. R., 4 Cale., 67 Hurro Kisto Doss v. Ramanundo Doss 22 W. R., 274	3844 3847 5183, 5590 683
Hurro Lall Shaha. In the matter of the petition of { I. L. R., 8 Calc., 570: 10 C. }	4668
Hurromohun Audhikarce v. Auluck Monee Dossee 1 W. R., 252 Hurro Mohun Gaen v. Bonomalee Mitter 11 W. R., 359 Hurro Mohun Mookerjee v. Brojokishore Roy W. R., 1864, Act X., 103	2198 5259 1662
Hurromonee Dossea v. Onookool Chunder Mookerjee . 8 W. R., 461	3010 4033, 610a
Hurro Nath Bhunio v. Channi Lall Ghose [I. L. R., 4 Calc., 877; 3 C.)	*4471 1940, 3520
Hurronath Bhuttacharjee v. Harvey (L. R., 161.) 25 W. R., 23 Hurronath Chowdhry v. Huree Lall Shaba 11 W. R., 477 v. Nistarial Chowdrani I.L. R., 10 Calc., 74 15 W. R., 27. 15 W. R. Cr. 1 15 W. Cr. 1 15 W. Cr. 1 15 W. R. Cr. 1 15 W. Cr. 1 15 W. Cr. 1 15 W. Cr. 1	4455, 6085 3283, 3348 176 5598
Hurronath Mullick v. Nittanund Mullick	1835 1594
1 a	1594, 1665 1594

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Hurronath Roy v. Gooroo Doss Biswas v. Krishna Coomar Bukshee	3 W. R., Act X, 19 L. R., 13 I. A., 123 : I. L. R., 14 Calc., 147. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 618: 7	546 1193, 1452 3322
v. Maheroolah Mollah	W. R., 21.	632
Hurropersaud Roy v . Shamapersaud Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 654: 1 C. L. R., 499: L. R., 5 I. A., 31.	2700
Hurro Pershad Ghosal v. Hurro Monee Debee	8 W. R., 210.	5446
Hurropershad Roy v. Bhupendro Narain Dutt .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 201: 7 C.	2103, 3468
v. Gopal Dass Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	553
Turro Proshad Roy v	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 817 : 2 C. L. R., 450.	553, 3195
Iurro Soondari Debia v. Keshub Chunder Acharjee .	5 C. L. R., 257	4314
Hurrosoondary Dassee $v.$ Jugobundhoo Dutt $\qquad \qquad \Big \{$	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 208: 7 C.} L. R., 61.	4981
Hurro Soonderee Dabea v. Kally Mohun {	Marsh., 138 : W. R, F. B.,	3173
Iurro Soondery v. Muddun Mohun Dutt	46: 1 Hay, 301.) W. R., 1864, Act X, 34	6111
Iurro Soondery Chowdhrain. In the matter of .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 20: 3 C. L. ?	4533
Hurro Soondery Debea Chowdranee v. Rajessuree Debea	R., 93. 2 W. R., 321	2502
Iurro Soondery Debia v . Ameena Begum $\{$	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188: 5 W. } R., Act X, 72.	4165
Hurrosoondery Dossee v. Callypudo Dutt	14 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 23 W.	4528
Hurroscondree Debiah v. Pran Kishen Singh hurroscondree Dibiah v. durro Sonduree v. Sonaton Doss Iurro Sunduree Dossee v. Chunder Mohinee Dossee lurrosundari Dabi n. Brojohari Das Manji Iurro Sundari Dabia. In the matter of the petition (R., 136. 6 Moore's I. A., 491 7 Moore's I. A., 16 25 W. R., 464 3 W. R., 200 1. L. R., 13 Calc., 86 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L.	4644 4642 4199 2266 2033, 5733
of.	R., 303. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 17: 6 C. L.)	6198
Iurro Sundari Dabia v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharje	R., 303.	6198
Iurro Sunderi Dossee v. Gopee Sunderi Dossee .	10 C. L. R., 559	1661, 3016
Iurruck Chund Golicha. In re	R., 382.	2661, 4518
Hurruck Singh. In the matter of Hurry Charan Bose v. Subaydar Sheikh	11 W. R., 107	309 6 574
Hurry Churn Chuckerbutty v. Empress {	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 140: 13 C. L. R., 358.	1293, 1810 5207, 6165 6260
Hurry Churn Dass v. Nimai Chand Keyal {	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 138: 13 C. L. R., 207.	2441
Turry Doss Bonerjee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 87	3085
v. Hogg	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 86 6 Moore's I. A., 433	2005, 621: 2478, 250
Hurry Doyal Guho v. Din Doyal Guho	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 479: 13 C.?	5618
Hurryhur Mookerjee v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 623: 7	1606
Hurry Kissen Doss v. Lall Soonder Doss	W. R., 176. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 32	880
Hurry Kisto Roy v. Motee Lall Nundee Hurrymshun Bagchi v. Giris Chunder Bundopadhya Hurry Mohun Mozoomdar v. Dwarka Nath Sein	14 W. R., 36	4592, 533 3886 583

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
urry Mohun Paramanick. In the matter of the peti-	(14 B. L. R., 418, note: 15)	
tion of.	W. B., 486.	4884
urry Mohun Rai v. Gonesh Chunder Doss .	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 823	2237
urry Mohun Roy v. Nyantara	. 25 W. R., 474 · · ·	2412
urrymohun Shaha v. Shonatun Shaha	. I. L. R., 1 Calc., 275	2495
urry Sankur Patwari v. Radha Chowdhoory .	. 25 W. R., 346	3809
urry Sunkur Mookerjee v. Kali Coomar Mookerjee	. W. R., 1864, 265	1712 4547
[ur Sahai v. Jawala	. 2 Agra, 31	
ursahai Singh v. Lootf Ali Khan	8: L. R., 2 I. A., 28	44
ur Eahal v. Mahomed Daim Khan	· 2 Agra, 64	4179
ur Sahoy Lall v. Bhuttun Singh	• 20 W. R., 55	3563
(ur Sahoy Singh v. Gohind Sahoy	• 21 W. R., 244	3497
fursarun Singh v. Purshun Singh	2 N. W., 415	1449, 42
ursee Mahapatro v. Dinobundo Patro	[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 528 : 9 C. L.]	2899
fur Sookha v. Pooran	R., 93. 2 Agra, 115	2847, 50
furst. Ex parte	. 1 Mad., 270	5644
v. Mussoorie Bank	. I. L. R., 1 All., 762	2587, 30
v. Watson · · · · ·	• 2 Bom., 423: 2nd Ed., 400 •	4597
Iur Suhaye Misser v. Deen Dyal Singh	· 7 W. R., 275	4158
uruck Chand Golecha v. Tilock Chand Singh .	· 18 W. R., 512	1474 3836
uruck Lall Shaha v. Sreenibash Kurmokar uruck Roy v. Zuhooree Mul	15 W. R., 428	3535, 35
uruck Singh v. Toolsee Ram Sahoo	· 22 W. R., 468 · 12 W. R., 458	3096
Iusain Ali v. Matukmau	I. L. R., 6.All., 39	5238
lusain Ali Khan v. Hafiz Ali Khan	. I. L. R., 3 All., 600	3345
Iusain Bakhsh v. Madge	· I. L. R , 1 All., 525	3517
Iusain Begam v. Zia-ul-nisa Begam	· I. L. R., 6 Bom., 467 · · ·	3689
Iusaini Begam v. Mulo	· I. L. R., 5 All., 84	4774, 47 5085
Iusain Shah v. Gopal Rai Iuseena v. Husmutconissa	· I. L. R., 2 All., 428 · · · 7 W. R., 495 · · ·	3649
ushmut Ali v. Seetaram	. 1 Agra, 336	1104
[usmut Ali v. Ouree Thakoor	. 20 W. R., 232	1632
lusrutoollah v. Aboo Mahomed Abdool Kader .	• 6 W. R., 39	3143
ussain v. Sanjivi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 345	957
Iussain Bibee v. Hussain Sherif Iussaini Bibi v. Mohsin Khan	· 4 Mad., 23	3669 178, 3
fussen Bhamjee v. Hicks	. I. L. R., 1 All., 156	421
ussun Ali Chowdhry v. Manoowar Ali	· 21 W. R., 413	4907
v. Nasirooddeen	· 16 W. R., 134 · · ·	5125
utton. In re	· 3 W. R., Rec. Ref., 5	3851, 47
utuwan Sahib v. Husain Sahib	1 Mad., 152.	5820
yder Ali v. Elahee Bux Maloom	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1011 : 10 C. } L. R., 606.	1132
T. C. 131	L. R., 606. L. L. R., 1 Calc., 183: 24 W. }	
v. Jafar Ali	R., 222.	577
v. Omrit Chowdhry	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 42.	4931
yder Buksh v. Bhubindro Deb Cowar		5192
	i R., 179.	
v. Hossein Buksh.	4 W. R., 103	4014
yder Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein	17 W. R., 185: 14 Moore's I.	3696, 466
yder Reza v. Collector of Purneah	22 W. R., 490	85
yes v. Moneerooddeen Ahung	24 W, R., 6	320, 519

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
I Ibhram v. Suleman	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 146	3680, 3685
Ibin Hossein v. Haidar	7 B. L. R., 653: 16 W. R.,	2837, 5651 304, 466,
Ibrahim Azim v. Cruicksnank Ibrahim bin Mahasin v. Abdur Rahiman bin Alli Ibrahim Fatte Ali v. Chardra Bhau valad Bapuji Ibrahim Mulla v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi Ibrahim Mulla v. Enayetur Ruhman Ibrahim Mulla v. Enayetur Ruhman Ibrahim valad Laddi Miya v. Parvata valad Hari Ibrahim valad Laddi Miya v. Parvata valad Hari Ibria Byari v. Kaundinya Ichan Govind Ram Bhowanishankar Icha Ram Kalidas v. Govind Ram Bhowanishankar Icha Ram Kalidas v. Govind Ram Bhowanishankar Idhanshara v. Killa Icvara Das v. Richardson Idan v. Nund Kishore Idao v. Besharoolla Idu v. Amiran Iftikarunissa Begum v. Amjad Ali Khan Ijiutoolah Khan v. Ram Churn Gaugooly Ikram Ali v. Baboo Lall Ikbal Begam v. Gobind Prasad v. Sham Sundar Ikram Ali Khan v. Ludwa Ikram Hosein v. Ramlochum Dutt Ikramoollah v. Sheo Pershad Ikram Shop v. Intizam Ali Ilahi Baksh v. Imam Bakhsh	203. 12 Bom., 257 7 Bom., A. C., 120 1 L. E., 9 Cale., 683 4 B. L. R., A. C., 13: 12 W. 3 R., 460. 8 Bom., A. C., 163 2 Mad, 363 1 L. R., 9 Cale., 557 1 L. R., 5 Bom., 653 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 653 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 87 2 Mad, 84 25 W. R., 390 2 W. R., Mis., 10 1. L. R., 5 841., 322 7 B. L. R., 643 1 N. W., 178: Ed. 1873, 257 1. L. R., 3 All., 77 1. L. R., 4 All., 384 2 Agra, 112 2 Agra, 340 1. L. R., 6 All., 260 1. L. R., 6 All., 260	1627 11, 3448 2777 5315 3647 3711 1776 5270 387 4831 3138 3207, 3219 47 3496 3088 3049 1765, 1707 1058 6123 4846 5626 5626 5626 5628 4894 4894
Ilahi Bax. In the matter of the petition of. Ilata Shavatri v. Ilata Narayanna Nambudri Illoor Kvistniah v. Great Indian Peninsular Railway }	5 B. L. R., Ap., 75 . { 1 Mad., 372	172, 372 5931 2415, 2435 4706
Company.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 310 I. L. R., 1 All, 508 22 W. R., 262 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 468: 10 C. L. R., 396. 2 Agra., 28 7 N. W., 251 7 N. W., 343 7 W. R., P. C., 67: 4 Moore's I. A., 403. L. R., 13 I. A., 160: I. R., 14 Cale., 109 3 W. R., 27 1. I. R., 9 Cale., 559 3 Agra, Rev., 8 I. L. R., 9 Cale., 559 1. L. R., 9 Cale., 559 I. L. R., 9 Cale., 559	4706 3482 3967 4575 3728 4080 1248, 3974 43 478, 3423 6000 901 3688 533 3688 5442

Imambundee Begum v. Sheo Dyal Ram 14 W. R., 199 5279 Imami Began v. Gobind Prasad I. L. R., 4 All., 318 4888 Imamo Saheb v. Kasim Saheb 5 N. W., 170 3712 Imam Saheb v. Kasim Saheb 11 Bom., 104 3694			-
Imambundee Begum v. Sheo Dyal Ram I.A. W. K., 199 5279 1. Imami Begam v. Gobind Pasaad I.A. W. K., 199 3712 3712 1. Imam Saheb v. Kasim Saheb 11 Bom., 104 38904 3726 3	Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	of
Imandi Khan. In the matter of	Imami Begam v. Sheo Dyal Ram Imami Begam v. Gobind Prasad Imanooddeen Sowdagur v. Abdool Sobhan Imam Saheb v. Kasim Saheb Imamudin v. Shah Jan Bibi Imamun v. Hurdyal Singh v. Mahomed Majeedoollah v. Nunoo	14 W. R., 199 1. L. R., 4 All., 318 5 N. W., 170 11 Bom, 104 6 B. L. R., 167, note 6 W. R., 277 3 Agra, 88 17 W. R., 193	5279 4888 3712 3694 3726 5239 5101 683
I. A., 1	Imandi Khan. In the matter of Imbichi Koya v. Kakkunnat Upakki Imbichi Patama. Ex parte	8 C. L. R., 399	4110 3245 218
Indad Ali Khnn v. Collector of Farakhabad I. I. R., 7 All., 817 2994 2915 2916 2916 2917 29	Imdad Ali v. Koothy Begum	I. A., 1.	2021,0222
Indad Husain v. Mannu Lal I. I. R., 3 All., 509 3994 4786 Immedy Kaunga Ramaya Gaundan v. Ramaswami Anbalam. I. L. R., 6 All., 335 350 350 Imperatrix v. Abdulla I. L. R., 2 Bon., 240 3617 7 Mad., 173 1	Imdad Ali Khan v. Collector of Farakhabad Imdad Hossein v. Alikoonnissa v. Hosseinee Buksh	I. L. R., 7 All., 817 W. R., F. B., 77 2 N. W., 327 23 W. R., 150	2947 4213 3657 108, 3671
Immedy Kannga Ramaya Gaundan v. Ramaswami Anbalam. Imperatrix v. Abdulla I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240 361 718 v. Bhagwan Deoraj I. L. R., 4 Bom., 240 361 718 v. Bhagwan Deoraj I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357 551 6161 v. Dongaji Andaji I. L. R., 2 Bom., 625 6161 204 204 205	Imdad Husain v. Mannu Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 509	3994
The content of the	Immedy Kaunga Ramaya Gaundan v. Ramaswami Ant-		1
Page			ř .
1. L. R., 2 Born., 334 1838, 2105 2105 2106, 5510	v. Baban Khan v. Bhagwan Deoraj v. Bhawani	I. L. R., 2 Bon., 142 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 357 I. L. R., 2 Bon., 525	718 5511 6161
The first content of the fir	v. Dossaji Gulam Husein v. Gowdapa	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 334 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 534	1838, 2105 5157
### ### ### ### ######################	v. Kakde v. Khimchand Narayan	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 384	1513 2906
v. Pitamber Jina I. L. R., 2 Benn., 61 1015 v. Popat Nathn I. L. R., 4 Benn., 289 1315, 1316 v. Raina Prema I. L. R., 4 Benn., 289 1327, 5776 Jamperial Banking and Trading Company v. Atmaram Madhayii. I. L. R., 4 Benn., 289 1277, 4355 Das Harjivan Das. v. Pranjivan 2 Bom., 260 : 2ad Ed., 246 1005 Imrit Kouwur v. Roop Narain Singh 2 Bom., 260 : 2ad Ed., 246 1066, 2736 Imrit Koor v. Lalla Debee Pershad Singh 18 W. R., 200 143 Imrit Jala Debee Pershad Singh 10 W. R., 100 2774 Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer 10 W. R., 100 2774 Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer 11 W. R., 558 2771, 4823 Imita Begam v. Liakat-un-nissa Begam I. L. R., 6 All., 630 4393 Ina Sheikh v. Queen-Empress I. L. R., 7 All., 886 4393 Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi I. L. R., 2 All., 97 5671, 5701			5522
Imperial Banking and Trading Company v. Atmaran Malahayii	v. Pitamber Jina	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 61 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 287 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 289	1015 1315, 1316 1297, 5576
Das Harjivan Das.	Imperial Banking and Trading Company v. Atmaram Madhavii.	2 Bom., 260: 2nd Ed., 246 .	1065
Inrit Konwar v. Roop Narain Singh	v. Pranjivan		1066, 2736
288. 271, 4823 288. 271, 4823 288. 271, 4823 288. 271, 4823 288. 288. 271, 4823 288. 288	Imrit Kouwur v. Roop Narain Singh . Imrit Kooer v. Lalla Debee Pershad Singh . Imrit Lall Thakoor v. Nuckshed Suhaye .	6 C. L. R., 76 18 W. R., 200 10 W. R., 100	143
Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer 11 W. R., 558 2771, 4823 Imtiaz Begam v. Liakat-un-nissa Begam I. L. R., 6 All., 630 4393 Ina Sheikh v. Queen-Empress I. L. R., 7 All., 886 4393 Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi I. L. R., 2 All., 97 5071, 5701	Imrit Nath Jha v. Roy Dhunput Sing }	3 B. L. R., 241: 18 W. R.,	4035
Ina Sheikh v. Queen Empress I. L. R., 11 Calc., 161 5883 Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi I. L. R., 2 All., 97 5071, 5701	Imrit Singh v. Koylashoo Koer Imtiaz Begam v. Liakat-un-nissa Begam	11 W. R., 558	4393
	Ina Sheikh v. Queen-Empress Inayat Khan v. Rahmat Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 161 I. L. R., 2 All., 97	5883 5071, 5701

		Column
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	· of
		Digest.
		7100 000
Indar Chandra Dugar v. Brindabun Bhara {	8 B. L. R., 251: 15 W. R., 3	1180, 2927
	F. B., 21.	4745
Indar Kuar v. Lalta Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 532	2202
Indar Sen v. Naubat Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 487	3041
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I. L. R., 7 All., 553	4083
Inderan Valungypuly Taver v. Ramaswamy Pandia	3 B. L. R., P. C., 1: 12 W.	9410 9440
Taver.	R., P. C., 41: 13 Moore's	2416, 2440
· ·	I. A., 141.	1002 0000
Inderchund Dogare v. Nundeeram Singh	Cor., 3	1386, 3272
Inder Chunder Baboo v. Hurnauth Chowdhry	1 Hay, 257	5751
Inder Chunder Banerji v. Eshan Chunder Roy	1 Hay, 474	520
Inder Coomar Doss v. Doolal Chunder Doss	18 W. R., 258	2343
	5 W. R., P. C., 14: 1 Ind.	001 0455
Inderjeet Kooar v. Jsmudh Kooar }	Jur., N. S., 141: 10 Moore's }	991, 2455
	I. A., 329.	07.40
Inderjeet Kooer v. Pootee Begum	19 W. R., 197	3549
Inder Narain Chowdhry v. Mahomed Nazirooddeen .	1 W. R., 234	3711
Inderpershad Singh v. Campbell {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 474: 8 C. L.?	1118, 5816
	R., 501.	2102
Indian Carrying Co. v. McCarthy	Cor., 116	2819
T 2: 0 T 11 000 T 11 0	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 61	
Indian Companies Act, 1866. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	945
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 335	943,951
	1 Iud. Jur., N. S., 350	951
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 17	953 943
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 94	
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 123	945
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257	953 936
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	944
	I. L. R., 5 Cale., 888	924
Taller Desired Tanks and Otto Dala Garage	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 317	
Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank v. Scott .	5 Bon., O. C., 167	2626
Indian Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W. R., O. C., 24.	4757
(W. K., O. C., 24.	
<u> </u>	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W.)	4800
	R., 386, note.	4764
T. Janketi Vancousi e. Makada Cit. 11	6 B. L. R., 576.	
Indrabati Kunwari v. Mahadeo Chowdhry	1 B. L. R., S. N., 6	2773, 4316
Indrabutty Koonwaree v. Holloway Indra Chand Aswal v. Kaleedoss Mitter	9 W. R., 168	3044
Indra Chand Aswai v. Kaicedoss Mitter	24 W. R., 439	5840
1ndra Chand Dugar v. Gopal Chandra Shetia . {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 11 W.)	1893
Indra Chandra Babu v. Agra and Masterman's Bank	R., 557.	5581
-	1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	
Indra Chandra Dogar v. Tarachand Dogar	R., 353.	2630
Indrajit Koonwari v. Chokowri Sahu	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1	891
Indrasa v. Sadu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 505, note	14090
Indrobee Thaba v. Queen	1 W. R., Cr., 5	8629
Indro Bhoosun Deb v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	12 W. R., 350	1613, 1754
Indrobhoosun Deb Roy v. Kenny	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 9	3196
Indrobur v. Queen	1 W. R. Cr., 5	1531
Indro Chunder Baboo v. Dunlop		6233
zauto chanact Daboo v. Duntop	9 W. R., 530	5581
Indro Coomar Doss v. Mohima Mohun Roy	10 W. R., 264	1928
Indro Kooer v. Abdool Burkut	15 W. R., 159 14 W. R., 146	2507
Indro Lochun Ghose v. Grish Chunder Roy Chowdhry	10 W. R., 134	5783
and a bound Guese v. Grish Chunger Koy Chowdhry	. 1 LU VV . 15., 134	1 9109

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Indromonee Burmonge v. Suroop Chunder Paul . {	12 B. L. R., 291, note: 15 }	1180
Indromoni Chowdhrani v. Behari Lal Mullick	I. L. R., 5 Cale., 770 : 6 C. L. ?	2112, 211
ndro Narain Misser v. Kristo Chunder Mahte	R., 183 : L. R., 7 I. A., 24. §	1920
ndubansi Kunwar v. Gribhirun Kunwar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 289	3417
ndur Chandra Dugar v. Lachmi Bibi	7 B. L. R., 682: 15 W. R., 501	1341, 257
ndur Chunder Baboo v. Oozeer Ali Khan	7 W. R., 338	5729 1880
Indur Chunder Doogar v. Gopal Chand Satia	11 W. R., 230	239, 26
ndur Chundra Doogur v. Ruttun Koomaree Bibee	7 W. R., 376	5314
Indurject v. Sewaram alias Muneeram	5 N. W., 16	1926
Indurjeet Kooer v. Chundeemun Misser	16 W. R., 99	2244
v. Jumna Doss	14 W. R., 164 · · ·	45 5286
a Tuchning Singh	14 W. R., 349	439
ndurject Koonwar v. Brij Bilas Lall	0 XX D 100	1925
v. Mazum Ali Khan	6 W. R., Mis., 76	1926, 35
ndurjeet Singh v. Radhey Singh	21 W. R., 269	3817 4399
nglis v. Ram Singh nhabitants of Mahalingpore v. Anderson	W. R., F. B., 159	2784, 28
nnes. In the goods of		1237
ntizam Ali Khan v. Narain Singh	R., 253. I. L. R., 5 All., 316	5476
radat Khan v. Dabee Dyal	1 Agra, 180	3432
rfan Biswas v. Jinnut Bibee	25 W. R., Cr., 63	982
rshad Ali v. Radhu Shah	13 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 21 W. }	5751
Irtaza Hossein v. Hurry Pershad Singh	7 W. R., 241	3142
rvine v. Thacharakavil Mana Vikraven Tiramelpad of Nilambur.	7 Mad., 235	1386
Irvine v. Union Bank of Australia	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 280	943
Isac Mahomed v. Bai Fatma	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 487	1074
Isak Mahamad v. Bai Khatija	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 96	4/799
Isan Chandra Singh v. Haran Sirdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 135: 11 W. R., 525.	1727, 57
San Chunder Banerjee v. Luchun Gope		5120, 57
shana Dabia v. Grija Kant Lahiry Chowdhry	L. R., 539. 3 C. L. R., 572	3216, 34
shan Chandra v. Sujan Bibi	7 B. L. R., 14: 15 W. R., 331	4788
Ishan Chandra Das v. Rukimuddin Sowdagar	2 B. L. R., A. C., 326, note .	4137
shan Chandra Ghose v. Harish Chandra Banerjee 📗 🧧	9 B. L. R., 218, note: 12 W. }	777
sban Chandra Mookerjee v. Loke Nath Roy	6 B. L. R., Ap., 12: 14 W. }	168, 608
Ishan Chandra Roy v. Ashanulla	8 B. L. R., 537, note: 16 W.)	550
Ishan Chunder Bandopadhya v. Indronarain Gossami	R., 79. I. L. R., 9 Cale., 788: 12 C.	824
Ishan Chunder Banerice v. Juggut Chunder Doss	L. R., 391.	3983
Ishan Chunder Behara v. Ramlochun Behara	9 W. R., 79	1765, 44
shan Chunder Chattopadhya v. Shama Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 41: 12 C. } L. R., 414.	3033
shan Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry	5 W. R., 21	1783
v. Chunder Kant Roy .	13 C. L. R., 55	3
v. Jugodishuree	8 W. R., 267	3541 6111
v. Sharoda Goopteah	12 W. R., 487	0111

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Ishan Chunder Ghose. In the matter of v. Nobin Pal Ishan Chunder Mitter v. Buksh Ali Soudagur Ishan Chunder Mojoomdar v. Sreekant Nath Ishan Chunder Roy. In the matter of the petition of v. Ashanoollah Khan v. Busaruddin v. Busaruddin shan Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Doss Ishan Chunder Sein v. Kenaram Ghose Ishaneswary Dasee v. Gopaldas Dutt Ishankishor Acharjee Chowdhry v. Haris Chandra { Chowdhry. Ishar Das v. Masud Khan	15 W. R., 352 13 B. L. R., 377, note Marsh., 614 9 W. R., 110 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 707: 8 C. L. L. R., 52 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 817 5 C. L. R., 132 18 W. R., 238 21 W. R., 25 12 W. R., 381 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 723 13 B. L. R., 242 13 B. L. R., 241	5521 579 2515, 5403 4711 3463 1934 3801 2792 1608, 5081 4933 5457, 5462 2126
Ishen Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhyrub Chunder Chowdhry Ishen Chunder Kurmokar v. Hurrydoyal Kurmokar Ishen Chunder Sen v. Onath Nath Deb Ishen Chunder Shaha. In the matter of the petition of Ishen Chunder Shaha. In the matter of the petition of Ishen Chunder Singh v. Shama Churn Ishera Yarn Mills Company v. Abdool Kurreem Ishera Ghose v. Hills	5 W. E., 21 3 C. L. R., 263 18 W. R., 16 19 W. R., Cr., 34 W. R., 1864, 3 Bourke, O. C., 276 W. R., F. B., 148: 1 Ind. Jur., \	2295 1305, 5157 781, 6236 74 4601 4211 1648, 1650
Ishree Dyal v. Banyadee Tewaree Ishree Pershad Rae v. Beharoe Lal Ishrie. Gopal Saran Ishri Dat v. Harnarain Lal Ishri Prasad v. Sham Lal	O. S., 25: 1 Hay, 350. 4 N. W., 7 2 N. W., 243 I. L. R., 6 All., 351 I. L. R., 7 All., 384 I. L. R., 7 All., 871 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 792: L. R., 7	5184, 5788 2882 2987 5625 4993 5518
Ishri Singh v. Baldeo Singh Ishur Chunder Biswas v. Bissumbhur Biswas Ishur Chunder Kurmokar v. Seetuldoss Mitter Ishur Chunder Mundul v. Sham Chunder Doss	11 I. A., 135. W. R., 1864, 107 17 W. R., Cr., 47 W. R., 1864, 312 J. L. R., 8 Calc., 864: 11 C. ?	4225 4174 1326 1438 3086
Ishur Chunder Surmah v. Doyamoye Debea Ishur Dutt Mundul v. Shib Pershad Thakoor Ishuree Persad Narain Singh v. Lal Chutterput Singh Ishur Kant Bhadooree. In the matter of Ishvargar Budhgar v. Candasama Amarsang Ishwar Chunder Dutt v. Ramkrishna Dass {	L. R., 135. 15 W. R., 290 3 Moore's I. A., 100 24 W. R., 233 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 548 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 902 : 6 C. L. 3 R., 421.	5581 5370 3881 268 1161
Ishwardas Gulabchand v. G. I. P. Railway Company Ishwardas Jagjivandas v. Dosibai Iskri Ishree v. Bakhshi Ismail Beari v. Abdul Kader Beari Ismail Hadjee Hubbeeb v. Mahomed Hadjee Joosub	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 120 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 316 I. L. R., 6 All., 96 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 319 13 B. L. R., 91 : 21 W. R.,	4708 367,1699 3459 959 2269 213, 2829
Ismail Haji Abdulla. In re Ismail Khan v. Bhondoo Ismail Kocer. In the matter of the petition of Ismail Saheb v. Arumuga Chetti	303. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 452 . 1 N. W., 26; Ed. 1873, 24 . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1007: 9 W. R., 448. 1 Mad., 427	4713 2282, 308 3632, 466 1644 1933 3143, 442
Ismail valad Ahmed Barucha v. Kassam valad Azam Dupli. Isreepershad Rae v. Toolseeram	9 Bom., 46	796 1188

Isri Dut Koer v. Hansbutti Koernin	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Isre Singh v. Shurmfoodeen	Isreepershad Singh v. Nasib Kooer		2469
Isri Diuk Koer v. Hansbutti Koerain I. R., 418; I. R., 10 I. A. 150. 134 150. 140. 150. 1		1 N. W., 142: Ed. 1873, 224 .	36, 1347
Isr Singh & Ganga 134 13	Isri Dut Koer v. Hansbutti Koerain	L. R., 418 : L. R., 10 I. A., }	1416, 2191 2494
Issan Chunder Doss v. Chunder Naw See Chunder Ghose v. Bhoobun Mohun Banerjee Chunder Ghose v. Syam Khan Chowdhry Chunder Ghose v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee Chunder Ghose v. Mohun Mohun Doss Chunder Ghose v. Moho Kristo Gupto Chunder Ghose v. Moho Kristo Gupto Chunder Ghose v. Moho Kristo Gupto Chunder Ghose v. Rusnech Lad Mundul Chunder Ghose v. Mumochan Chowdhry Chunder Mundul v. Heirs of Golam Ali Chunder Ghose v. Rohim Sheikh Chunder Ghose v. Kali Churn Nath Chunder Ghose v. Kanea mangata data v. Kali Churn Nath Chunder Ghose v. Kanea mangata data	Isri Singh v. Ganga.		1345
Isser Chunder Ghose v. Bhoobun Mohun Banerjee v. Pearl Mohun Palit . Isser Chunder Scin v. D'Craz . Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Styam Khan Chowdhry . Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee . Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee . Issur Chunder Gangooly v. Mohin Mohun Doss . It W. R., 270 . 2857 . Issur Chunder Gangooly v. Mohin Mohun Doss . It W. R., 270 . 3827, 3827 . Issur Chunder Gangooly v. Mohin Mohun Doss . It W. R., 270 . 3827, 3827 . Issur Chunder Ghose v. Russeck Lal Mundul . It W. R., 576 . 170 . It W. R., 576 . 180 . It W. R., 581 . It R., 8 Cale, 883 : 11 C. L. R., 235 . It W. R., 281 . It W. R., 283 . 180 . It W. R., 283 . 180 . It W. R., 283 . 180 . It W. R., 463 . 302 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . 302 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . It W. R., 483 . It W. R., 483 . It W. R., 481 . It W. R., 483 . It W. R.,	Issan Chunder Doss v. Chundro Bodinee	7 W. R., 361	828
Sear Chunder Scin v. D'Chriz Sear Chunder Ahiree v. Syam Khan Chowdhry 11 M. J. Mr. N. S., 233 281 Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Syam Khan Chowdhry 11 W. R., 35 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		2 C. L. R., 476	
Issur Chunder Soin v. D'Crnz Issur Chunder Ahiree v. Syam Khan Chowdhry Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee Issur Chunder Gangooly v. Mohin Mohun Doss Iv. R., 95 15 296 15 18 18 18 19 17 18 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 18			981, 1255
Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee 12 W. R., 270 2965 2850	Issar Chundar Sein n. D'Crnz		2819
18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Issur Chunder Ahiree v. Syam Khan Chowdhry	11 W. R., 95	159
Issur Chunder Gangoly & Mohini Mohun Doss 17 W. R. 74 116 116 116 117 116 116 116 117 116 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 116 117 117 116 117 117 117 117 118 117 117 117 118 117 117 118	Issur Chunder Banerjee v. Suttyo Dyal Banerjee		
Issur Chunder Ghose o. Russeck Lad Mundul 11 W. R., 576 77. L. R., 407 12 for C. L. R., 408 12 for C. L.		I. L. R., 9 Calc., 79	
Issur Chunder Ghose v. Russeck Lail Mundul 11 W. R., 576 77. 12 W. R., 407 12 W. R., 407 12 W. R., 407 12 W. R., 407 13 W. R., 407 15 W. R., 408 15 W. R.,		0 W B 606	1160
Issur Chunder Gupto v. Nobo Kristo Gupto 207. I. R., 467 1476, 54 1476, 55 15 15 15 15 15 15 15			772
Issur Chunder Mookerjee v. Munmohun Chowdhry 2.7 W. R., 308 1476, 5876 158	Issur Chunder Gupto v. Nobo Kristo Gupto		2073
Issur Chunder Mundle v. Rohim Sheikh Issur Chunder Mundle v. Heirs of Golam Ali In the matter of the petition of Ind. Jur., N. S., 249 I. L. R., 26 Cale., 883:11 C. L. R., 235 I. L. R., 235 I. L. R., 235 I. L. R., 289 I. L. R., 285 I. L. R., 385 I. R.	Issur Chunder Mookerjee v. Munmohun Chowdhry .	12 W. R., 308	1476, 5624
Issur Chunder Mundul v. Heirs of Golam Ali Ind. Jur. N. S., 249 L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L. R., 235 L. R., 235 L. L. R., 285 L. R.,		5 W. R., Mis,, 18	
Issur Chunder Nath. In the matter of the petition of comparison of com	Legar Chunder Mundel & Rollin Stelkh	25 W. K., Cr., 05	
Issur Chunder Poddar v. Shoshee Dhur Sen Issur Chunder Roy v. Juggesaar Ghose Ir W. R., 289 592 592 Issur Chunder Soin v. Aushotosh Chatterjee Ir M. Jur., N. S., 283 591 143		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 883: 11 C. L.)	4111
Issur Chunder Roy J. Juggessar Ghose 17 W. R., 184 143 143 143 153		I. L. R., 8 Cale., 888 : 11 C. L. ?	4111
Issur Chunder Roy v. Juggessan Ghose 17 W. R., 184 143 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	Issur Chunder Poddar a Shoshee Dhur Sen	16 W D 980	5927
Ind Jur, N. S., 283 561			1430
## A. F.	Issur Chander Sein v. Aushotosh Chatteriee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	5914
2 W. R., 125 233 235 2	v. Bipeen Beharee Roy	16 W. R., 132	4267
2 W. R., 125 233 235 2	v. Kalee Doss Hajrah		2022
Issur Chander Shaha v. Nisar Hossein W. R., 1864, 351 372 382	v. Kenaram Gnose	9 W. B., 403 · · ·	2338
Issur Dutt Singh v. Alluck Misser 7 W. R., 429 4828 3192, 31 321, 321 321, 3223, 332	Issur Chunder Shaha v. Nisar Hossein		3721
Issureneand Dutt Jha v. Parbutty Churn Jha 3 W. R., 13 3192, 31 322, 322, 322, 322, 322, 322, 322, 32	Issur Dutt Singh v. Alluck Misser	7 W. R., 429	3824
Issurqueresaud v. Urjoenholt 2 Hyde, 248 3223, 337 Issurdutt Singh v. Ibrahim 1 L. R., 8 Calc., 653 347 Issurdutt Singh v. Ibrahim 1 L. R., 4 Calc., 653 347 Iswar Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Bistu Chandra Chucker 2 Bom., 189 : 2nd Ed., 181 3 B. L. R., Ap., 97 : 12 W. R., 181 Iswar Chandra Das v. Jugal Kishor Chuckerbutty 2 B. L. R., Ap., 97 : 12 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Das v. Jugal Kishor Chuckerbutty 2 B. L. R., Sun, Vol., 490 : 6 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Dutt v. Iswar Chandra Ghose 2 B. L. R., Sun, Vol., 490 : 6 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter 2 B. L. R., Ap., 19 1190 Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 3 B. L. R., 19 977 Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 281 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 3 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. R., 282 Iswa	Issuree Dossee v. Lall Beharee Holdar		4828
Issuridutt Singh v. Ibrahim		3 W. R., 13	
Issurree Dassee v. Abdool Khalak			3370
R., 46. 2 2 3 2 2 3 3 4 2 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 4 5 3 5 4 5 3 5 4 5 5 6 5 5 6 5 5 6 5 5		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 415:3 C. L.)	1
Iswar Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Bistu Chandra Chucker butty 3 B. L. R., Ap., 97 : 12 W. R., 3		R., 46.	
Salar Sala			
A B. L. R., Ap., 33 : 17 W. R., 355 17 W. R., 281, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185, 185, 1			5317, 6104
2473, note: 21 W. R., 281, 355/2 160/2 160/2 170/2 160/2 170/2	_ (156 757
**Nittianand Das	Iswar Chandra Das v. Jugal Kishor Chuckerbutty }		
Iswar Chandra Dutt v. Iswar Chandra Ghose R., Act X, 70. 1190 Iswar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter 9 B. L. R., Ap., 62 1320 Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal 8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 970 Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note 3848 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 8 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. 8 B. L. R. 188, note : 16 W. 188, note 188, note 188, note 188, note 188, note 188, note 188,	•		1
Iswar Chandra Dutt v. Iswar Chandra Ghose 9 B. L. B., Ap., 19 1139 Iswar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter 8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 1326 Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal 8 B. L. R., 19 97 Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note 3348 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 8 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note 584 R. 132 8 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note 16 W. S.	v. Nittianand Das		1605
Lawar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter 8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 132t Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal 8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 97t Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 8 B. L. R., Ap., 62 33t Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note 38t Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 8 B. L. R., 188, note: 16 W. R., 132 58d	Iswar Chandra Dutt v. Iswar Chandra Ghose		1194
Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal 8 B. L. R., 19 97 Iswar Chandra Mitter v. Shama Sundari Dasi 3 B. L. R., A. C., 150, note 3345 Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy 8 B. L. R., 188, note : 16 W. } 584 R. 132 8 B. L. R., 199 584	Iswar Chandra Karmakar v. Sitaldas Mitter	8 B. L. R., Ap., 62	1326
Iswar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy	Iswar Chandra Koer v. Umesh Chunder Pal	8 B. L. R., 19	970
R. 132.			3348
t it, 100.	1swar Chandra Sen v. Bepin Behari Roy		584
Iswar Pershad Gurgo v. Jai Narain Giri . I. L. R., 12 Calc., 169 . 5250	Iswar Pershad Gurgo v. Jai Narain Giri		5250
	. Itcha Moyee Dossee b. Bama Soonduree Dossee		5672

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Itcharam Dayaram v. Raiji Jaga Ittiachan v. Velappen Ittiani Paninkkar v. Irani Nambudripad Iyahvien v. Chithambarien Izzatulla v. Bhikari Molla Izzuttoolnissa Beebee v. Husna Koour	11 Bom., 41. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 401 5 Mad., 312 6 B. L. R., 386: 14 W. R., 360 1 N. W., 101: Ed. 1873, 151	2214, 8941 8759 110 5703 8720 2006
J		
Jaafar Mohindin Sahib v. Aji Mohindin Sahib Jackammal v. Palneappa Chetty Jada Ankamma v. Nadimpalle Rama Jadab Chundra Toi Poramanik v. Dinanath Das Jadab Chundra Toi Poramanik v. Dinanath Das Jadav Rughnath v. Raiji Himmat Jaddoo Nath Dutt v. Empress Jado Lall v. Radhakissen Mitter Jadoobur Singh v. Beharee Singh Jadoonath Chowdhry v. Radhamonee Ali Khan Jadoonath Chowdhry v. Radhamonee Dossee Jadoo Nath Gossain v. Nobokissen Chatterjee Jadoonath Mookerji v. Tarachand Mookerji Jadoonath Mookerji v. Tarachand Mookerji Jadoonath Mookerji v. Tarachand Mookerji Jadoo Nath Roy v. Saleem Ahmed Khan Jadoonandun Singh v. Faujdar Khan Jadoonandun Singh v. Faujdar Khan Jadoo Rai v. Mahomed Tuquee Jadoo Shat v. Kadumbinee Dassee Jadoo Singh v. Ranee Jadowji Gopal v. Jetha Shamij Jadow Mulji v. Chhagan Raichand Jadu v. Hifazat Hossein Jadubanund Roy v. Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry Jaduban Mookerjee. In the matter of Jadub Chunder Chechky v. Heera Lall Saha Jadub Chunder Checkerbutty v. Ehubo Soonduree Dabee Jadub Chunder Haldar v. Etwaree Lushkur v. Motee Lall Ghose Jadub Chunder Haldar v. Etwaree Lushkur Jadub Chunder Sandyal v. Bhyrub Chunder Sandyal Jadub Mundul v. Bishoo Sirdar Jadub Mundul v. Bishoo Sirdar Jadub Mah v. Ram Sundar Surma Jadub Ram Deb v. Ram Lochun Mudduck v. Ramlochun Mudduck Jadul Mulkick v. Gopal Chandra Mukerii	2 Mad., 19 . 5 Mad., 364 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 281 4 B. L. R., A. C., 134: 13 W. R., 154. 9 Bom., 249 2 C. L. R., 181 17 W. R., 99 2 N. W., 437 I. L. R., 8 Cale,, 295 B. L. R., Sup. Voi., 643: 7 W. R., 256. 4 W. R., 66 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102 3 N. W., 188 5 N. W., 18, 1 1 Agra, Rev., 24 I. L. R., 7 Calco, 150: 8 C. L. R., 445. 5 N. W., 113 I. I. R., 4 Bom., 333 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306 5 B. L. R., 8p., 16 5 N. W., 113 1 L. R., 5 Bom., 306 5 B. L. R., 359 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 21: 1 Bourke, O. C., 384. 20 W. R., 369 1 Hyde, 214 1 Hyde, 214 Marsh., 498: 2 Hay, 599 3 W. R., 464 X, 74 19 W. R., 344 5 W. R., 160 W. R., 1604 W. R., 1604 V. R., 339 1 L. Marsh., 303: 2 Hay, 160 15 W. R., 303: 2 Hay, 160 15 W. R., 369 7 W. R., 314 5 W. R., 369 7 W. R., 174 5 W. R., 369 1 L. R., 228 I. L. R., 1 All, 316	3667 5129 3221 785 4782 1962 3506 48, 3844 4959 819 1210 4100 3048 2887 1183 2287 2580, 2644 2074 305, 442 983 5611 2789 2298 1638, 1655 1657 3666 4706 4719 3666 4719 367 367 367 367 367 366 4719 367 367 367 367 367 367 367 367 367 367

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
adu Nath Hazra v. Annoda Prosad Sirear. In the matter of.	11. U. M. D., 95 a	5516
Fadu Nath Kundu Chowdry v. Braja Nath Kundu Fadu Nath Mitter v. Bolychand Dutt Fadunath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 90 7 B. L. R., 607 6 B. L. R., 333	5446 · 3749 5119
adunath Sing v. Kaliprasad	6 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 14 W. }	4956
adu Nath Sircar v. Sonamonee Dossee adu Nundun Singh v. DulputjSingh fadu Rai v. Kanizak Husain fadu Roy v. Farrell	Cor., 70 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 581 I. L. R., 8 All., 576 6 B. L. R., Ap., 66	4154 3725 2765, 4746 1866
fadu Singh v. Rajkumar	4 B. L. R., A. C., 171: 13 } W. R., 177.	3721, 3724
Jafar Ali Nizam Ali v. Ahmad Ali Imam Haidarbaksh	5 Bom., A. C., 37	1818, 363
Jafer Hossein v. Mahomed Amir	4 B. L. R., Ap., 103 : 13 W. }	883, 314
Jaffree Begum v. Mahomed Zahoor Ahsun Khan v. Ujhee Begum Jaffree Khanum v. Imdad Hossein Jafree Begum v. Ahmed Hossein Khan	2 N. W., 400 3 Agra, 153 2 N. W., 314 1 Agra, 270	3290, 3293 4013 1785, 5100 2715, 5770
-	3 Agra, 91	5773 4015
v. Gungaram v. Hossein Zaman Khan Jafri Begam v. Amir Muhammad Khan Jafur Hossein v. Hingun Jan	2 N. W., 6	3043 3642 1920
Jagabandhu Das Gajendra Mahapatra v. Dinabandhu	2 B. L. R., Ap., 30	4454
Das Gajendra Mabapatra. Jagabadhan Myti w. Goberdhan Bera Jagabhai Lallubhai v. Rustamji Nasarwanji Jagadamba Chaodhrain v. Dakhina Mohuu Rey Chao- dhri.	4 B. L. R., Cr., 1 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 311	1534 1666, 425 3349
Jagadamba Dasi v. Grob	5 B. L. R 639 }	2066, 560 5879
v. Haran Chandra Dutt	6 B. L. R., 526, note: 10 W. R., 108.	1180, 577
v. Padmamani Dasi Jagadindra Banari Gobind v. Bhobotarini Dasi Jaganadham v. Ragunadha Jagannath v. Baldeo Jagan Nath v. Ladman Jagannath Das Gurubaksh Das v. Ram Das Gurubaksh	6 B. L. R., 686 5 B. L. R., Ap., 54 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 276 I. L. R., 5 All., 305 . I. L. R., 1 All., 260	2822 302 2729 5415 877, 314 456
Das. Jagannath Pal v. Bidyanand	1 B. L. R., A. C., 114: 10 }	2340, 341
Jagan Nath Panday v. Prag Singh	W. R., 172.	2060, 286
Jagannath Vithal v. Apaji Vishnu	5 Bom., A. C., 217 . §	2193, 581 6100
Jagarnath Singh v. Shewratan Singh	15 B. L. R., 272 : 24 W. R.,	3176
Jagatnarain v. Jagrup	105. I. L. R., 5 All., 452	831
v. Qutub Husain v. Sheo Das	I. L. R., 2 All., 807	1142 2309
Jagat Narain Rai v. Dhundhey Rai	I. L. R., 5 All., 311 I. L. R., 5 All., 566	5429
Jagat Sunderi Dasi v. Sonatan Bysak	5 B. L. R., 357	357
Jageshar Singh v. Jawahir Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 311	3240
Jagesri Kuar v. Ram Nath Bhagat	I. L. R., 1 All., 371	1418
Jageswar Das. In re	6 B. L. R., Ap., 128	686

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jaggabandhu Bose v. Sachyi Bibi	8 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 16 W. R., 22	3243
Jaggadanand Misser v. Hamid Rasul	8 B. L. R., 182, note	4163
6	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 75: 11 C. L. ?	5231
Jaggamoni Dasi v. Nilmoni Ghosal {	R., 502	
Jagganath Baisak v. Prosonno Coomar Chatterjee	9 C. L. R., 221	3004
Jaggat Indar Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Nistarinee Dassee	24 W. R., 446	2070
Jaghirdar of Arnee v. Periyanna Mudely	5 Mad., 317	5700
Jaghirdar of Arni v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226	4572
Jaghirdar of Viruthalabathi v. Nateri Srinivasa Charlu	6 Mad., 356	3679
Jagjivan v. Ismail Alli Khan	I. L. R., 4. Bom., 426	2098
Jagjivan Amirchand v. Hasan Abraham	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 179	3159
Jagjivandas Javerdas v. Imdad Ali	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 211	2097
Jagjivandas Jawherdas v. Narayan Lakhman Patil .	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 493	4789
Jagjivan Javherdas v. Gulam Jilani Chaudhri	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 17	3272
Jagjivan Javherdas Seth v. Magdum Ali	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 487	4425
Jagjiván Nanabhai. In re	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 82	1889
Jagjivan Nanabhai v. Shridhar Balkrishna Nagarkar	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 252	3909
Jagmohandas Mangaldas v. Mangaldas Nathubhoy .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 528	2368, 246
Jago Bundhoo Tewaree v. Kurum Singh	22 W. R., 341	51
Jagomohan Sahu v. Mani Lal Chowdhry	3 B L. R., Ap., 77	2752
Jagram Das v. Narain Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 857	783
Jagrani Bibi v. Ganeshi	I. L. R., 3 All., 435	3234
Jaguu Kooer v. Rughoonundun Lall Sahoo	10 W. R., 148	2357
Jagurdee v. Radhakishore	13 W. R., 259	4169
Jagut Chunder Roy alias Bashi Chunder Roy v. Rup { Chand Chango.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 48: 11 C. L. R., 143.	3049
Jahangeer Buksh v. Bhickharee Lall	11 W. R., 71	3715, 627
v. Lalla Bhikaree Lall	11 W. R., 480	3717
Jahanoby Deo Narain Singh v. Umbicapershad	17 W. R., 74	1170
Jahu Bux v. Government	6 B. L. R., Ap., 66: 15 W. R., Cr., 14.	4740
Jai Bansi Kunwar v. Chatter Dhari Singh .	5 B. L. R., 181	2245
Jaibun v. Nujeeboonissa	12 W. R., 497	3623
aibun-nissa v. Jairam Gir	I. L. R., 1 All., 616	439
faichand v. Behari	7 N. W., 177	3492
Jaidial v. Sitaram	L. R., 8 I. A., 215	4225
aijit Rai v. Gobind Tiwari	I. L. R., 6 All., 303	4018
aikaran Rai v. Ganga Dhari Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 175	3238
Jaikison Das Gopal Das v. Harkison Das Hullodhar		1202, 229
Das.	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9 . {	2439
fai Kuar v. Heera Lal	7 N. W. 1	3709
2).	7 N. W., 5	3141, 323
aimungal Koeri v. Mokhun Kori	10 C. L. R., 611	4652
ainarayan Bose v. Kadumbini Dasi	7 B. L. R., 723, note	1681
ainooddeen v. Purno Chunder Roy	8 W. R., 129	1604
ai Prakash Lal. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 All., 26	4720, 472
ai Ram v. Dulari Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 309	217
v. Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
airam Das v. Beni Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 15	4538
airam Geer v. Sheo Sumput Doobey	5 N. W., 84	4936
airam Gir v. Miller	7 B. L. R., 74: 15 W. R., O. C., 16.	2663, 265
airam Narayan Raje v. Atmaram Narayan Raje	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 482	2827
airam Narronji v. Kuverbai	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 491	2549
Jaishankar v. Tetley	I. L. R., 1 All., 586	3458, 340
aishee Ram v. Bijai Kooer	5 N. W., 177	5469
	U A10 1741 A14 0 0 0	0 200

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
aita bin Kalu v. Babu bin Raghu	. 3 Bom., A. C., 81	12
aitha Bhima v. Abdul Vyad Oosman	I. L. R , 10 Bon., 634	1529
akaria v. Kasim	. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 496	2678
aker Ali Chowdhry v. Rajchunder Sen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 831, note	3697
aker An Chowdhry v. Lajenunder Sen	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W.	
alaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah	R., 422.	1242
alam Punja v. Khoda Javra	8 Bom., A. C., 29	5236
aleeram v. Chunder Coomaree Dossee	12 B. L. R., Ap., 7	4296
alha v. Koylash Chunder Dey	10 W. R., 407	2928
alil Munshi v. Farnam Hossein	6 W. R., Cr., 55	957
	(15 B. L. R., 261, note : 18 W. ?	
fallaloodden v. Burne	R., 99.	4924
allidar Singh v. Ram Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 723	2397
alu Namdar v. Beicha Namdar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 394 .	4763, 4825
amaitunnissa v. Lutfunnissa	I. L. R., 7 All., 606	235, 5023
amalooddeen Hossein v. Ramadhin Misser	24 W. R., 331	3804
v. Ramadheen Misser	25 W. R., 136	3805
amal Saheb v. Murgaya Swami	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 34	2256, 342
famal walad Ahmed v. Jamal walad Jallal	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 633	3638, 369
Jameela v. Luchmun Panday	4 C. L. R., 74	5961
v. Mahomed Hossein	Marsh., 251: 2 Hay, 111	4288
Jameelah Khatoon v. Pagul Ram	1 W. R., 251	3711
		4624
James Sirdar. In the matter of the petition of .	1 C. L. R., 62	4024
Jamilan v. Latif Hossein	8 B. L. R., 160: 16 W. R., F. B., 13.	3726
Jamir Gazi v. Goneye Mundul	(13 B. L. R., 278, note: 12)	5193, 519
Jamiyatram v. Bai Jamna	W. R., 110. 3 2 Bonn., 10: 2nd Ed., 11	2303
Jamiyatram Himatram v. Gujarat Trading Company	6 Bom., A. C., 185	165
Jamiyatram Ramchandra v. Parbhudas Hathi	9 Bom., 116	2236
Jamna v. Machul Sahu	I. L. R., 2 All., 315	2427
	. 1. 11. 10., 2 All., 510	1460, 211
Jamnabai v. Raychand Nahalchand	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 225 . }	2129, 214
Jamnadar Savaklal's case. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 113	934
Jamnadas v. Bai Shivkor	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 572	5698
v. Lalitaram	I. L. R., 2 Bon., 294	3499, 438
Jamnadas Shankarlal'v. Atmaram Harjiyan	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 133	50, 261
	(I. L. R., 6 Calc., 620 : 8 C. L.)	
Jamoona. In the matter of the petition of	R., 215.	1954
Jamoti v. Gadalo Kamar .	1 C. L. R., 89	3623
Jamsedji Kavasji v. Motibai	2 Bom., 397, 2nd Ed., 375	666
Jamsetji Jijibhai v. Sonabai	2 Bom., 130 : 2nd Ed., 133	6049
Jamuna Bhai Ammal v. Sadagopa Edintara Mal Desika Swamiar	in } I. L. R., 7 Mad., 56	2104, 544
Janab Ali v. Chandi Charan Dey	5 B. L. R., 334, note: 11 W. R., 202.	5139
·	{ R., 202.	107
Janadhana Embrandri v. Palakil Kesava Embrandri Janaki v. Kesavalu	3 Mad., 198	3459
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 207	1702
Janaki Ammal v. Kamalathammal Janakiammal v. Vithenadien	. 7 Mad., 263	
	. 5 Mad., 191	5665 670
Janaki Ballav Son v. Hafiz Mahomed Ali Khan .	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 47	
Jan Ali v. Atawur Ruhmun	. 9 C. L. R., 433	5231
v. Gooroo Doss Roy	. 8 W. R., 338	2976
v. Jan Ali Chowdhry	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 56 : 10 W. }	5336
v. Khondkar Abdur Kuhma	6 B. L. R., 154: 14 W. R.,	338, 14

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jan Ali v. Ram Nath Mundul	{	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 32:9 C. L. R., 433.	112, 523
Jan Ali Chowdhry v. Ishan Chunder Sein		16 W. R., 149	557
v. Nittya Nund Bose	{	B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 972: 10 } W. R., F. B., 12,	563
Jones Charles v. Tarini Churn Rukheet		21 W. R., 107 18 W. R., 347	557
Janan Chowdhry v. Doolar Chowdhry Janardan Vithal v. Anant Mahadev		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 373	147 3454, 430
Janardhan Pandurang v. Gopal Pandurang . Janee Khanum v. Amatool Fatima Khatoon		5 Bom., A. C., 145	2338 3332, 338
		8 W. R., 51	3659, 504
Janeswar Das v. Gulzari Lal	1	5 B. L. R., 666, note : 11 W. }	5076
Janger Mahomed v. Mahomed Arjad	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 509 : 5 C. L. R., 370. 2 N. W., 407	3724
v. Sreenath Roy Chowdhar	.	2 N. W., 407	6094
ankee Bullub Sen. In the matter of the netition of		5 W. R., Mis., 19 . B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 716	842 5944
ankee rass v. Manomed .	. 1	N. W., Pt. II, p. 16 : Ed. 1873, 76	1182
ankee Kooer v. Lekranee Kooer ankee Pershad v. Ajoodhya Doss	. 1	W. R., 1864, 285	3238, 521
ankeeram Doss v. Chundrahutta Dakia	•	25 W. R., 257	3933
ankeeram Mohunt v. Haradhun Ranawica	٠	7 W. R., 295	759
anki v. Dharam Chand	٠.	W. R., 1864, 38	3278
- v. Girjadat		I. L. R., 7 All., 482	83 4553
ankibai v. Atmaram Baburay	- 1		1206, 169
anki Das v. Badri Nath	- 1	8 Bom., A. C., 241 I. L. R., 2 All., 698	4528
v. East Indian Poilman Commen		I. L. R., 6 All., 634	4052, 5390 407
		I. L. R., 3 All., 216	1482
v. Ghulam Ali v. Mantangui Debia	.	I. L. R., 5 All., 201	3217
v. Mantangui Debia		I. L. R., 7 All., 577	3938, 483
anki Singh v. Ablak Singh anki Tewari v. Gayan Tewari an Koer. In the matter of	١.	I. L. R., 6 All., 393	812
an Koer. In the matter of	. [I. L. R., 3 All., 427	179
an Koonwar v. Ram Ruttun Neoghy		1 C. L. R., 402	197
un Mahomed v. Queen-Empress		18 W. R., 500	50
inmajay Mazumdar v. Keshah Lall Ghosa	1:	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
n Muhammad v. Ilahi Bakhsh	1:	2 B. L. R., A. C., 134	$\frac{2476}{487}$
nnoba v. Girish Chandra Chuckerbutty		7 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 15 W.?	1628
nnobee Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensing .		R., 335. 8 W. R., 287	46, 1757
v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry	1	7 W. R., 455	5212
v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry v. Gendoo Turrufdar		12 W. R., 203	4477
nnokee Doss v. King on the prosecution of Bindabun Doss.	: :	l Moore's I. A., 67	5973
noji v. Janoji noji Banaji v. Vyankatesh Shrinivas	1	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 185	1519, 4028
nokee Beebee v. Habeebul Hossein	1 2	2 Bom., 393 : 2nd Ed., 371 .	803
nokee Bibee v. Luchmun Pershad	1	W. R., 1864, 272	769
nokee Bullub Chuckerbutty v. Nobin Chunder Roy	П	17 W. R., 137	4302
	1 '	2 W. R., Act X, 33	1587
nokee Bullub Sein v. Dukhina Mohun Chowdhry	1	7 W. R., 519	5944
nokee Chowdhranee v. Dwarkanauth Chowdhry]	Hay, 555	67,4033 4404
nokee Debea v. Gopal Acharjea	1 .		2128, 2247

Name of Case,	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Janokee Dossee v. Kisto Komul Singh Janokee Nath Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coemar Roy ?	Marsh., 1: 1 Hay, 20	2357
Chowdhry.	20 17. 16., 00	4229
Janokee Nath Dutt v. Mahomed Israil	22 W. R., 285	3060
Janokeenath Mookerjee v. Joykishen Mookerjee	15 W. R., 4. 20 W. R., 130	1454 5338
v. Radhamohun Chatterjee v. Raj Kisto Singh	15 W. R., 292	3822
Janokey Doss v. Brindabun Doss		1475, 4262
	3 Moore's I. A., 175 .	5973
Janokey Nath Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 466	254
Janoki Debi v. Gopal Acharjia Goswami 🛚 . 🔻 💃	L. R., 9 Calc., 766: 13 C.	2247
ranka a da	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 628: 8 C.)	
Janokinath Gupta. In the matter of the petition of	L. R., 56.	4447
Janokinath Mookerjee v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 949	4045
Janokinath Mukhopadhya v. Mothuranath Mukho- { padhya.	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 580: 12 C. L. R., 215.	2459, 2513
Janoki Singh Roy v. Kaloo Mundul	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 889 : 9 W. R., 178.	430
Janoky Bullubh Sen v. Johiruddin Mahomed Abu Ali Soher Chowdhry.	I L. R., 10 Cale., 67	5427
anoo Muudur v. Brijo Singh	22 W. R., 548	1584
v. Hucha Mundur	12 W. R., 366	4763, 4825
Janssen v. Dundas	1 Hyde, 269	909
Jantoo v. Radha Canto Doss	Cor., 13 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 515	2670 1248
Jaraoo v. Fakcera	3 Agra, 133	3367
Jardine v. Tarini Mohan Sen	8 B. L. R., Ap., 44	5206
Jardine, Skinner, & Co., v. Dhun Kishen Sein	13 W. R., 82	5144
v. Dwarkanath Chuckerbutty .	14 W. R., 412 14 W. R., 312	5024 1201, 5719
v. Nathoram	Bourke, A. O. C., 43	4588
v. Sarut Soondari Debi . §	L. R., 5 I. A., 164: 3 C. L ?	
,	R., 140.	5186
v. Shama Soonduree Debia	10 W. R., 60	2707
v. Shurnomoyee	13 W. R., 196	2752 1433, 4407
Jarfan Khan v. Jabbar Meah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 383	3722
Jariutool Butool v. Hoseinee Begum	10 Moore's I. A., 196	280
v. Hosseince Begum	10 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 }	3703, 4640
T 1 73' 37 43'	Moore's I. A., 194. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 149: 15 W.)	
Jasimuddin v. Mansur Ali	. R., 11.	5301
'Jason." In the matter of the steam-ship	1 Mad., 270	5644
Jassim Binsaff v. Esau Ahmed	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 13	6126
Jassoda Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of India .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 916: 11 C.] L. R., 348.	1193, 1882
Jaswant Singh v. Dip Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 432	816
Jateeram Surmah v. Mungloo Surmah Jatha Naik v. Venktapa	8 W. R., 60	2331 .
Jatinga Valley Tea Company v. Chera Tea Company	I. L. R., 5 Bem., 14 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 45	5406 236 3556
Jatiram Talookdar v. Dassram Kolita	3 C. L. R., 12 Calc., 45	236, 3556 1114, 5020
Jaun v. Mahomed Hadee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	2824
Jaun Ali v. Jan Ali	9 W. R., 149	1604, 1647
Jaun Beebee v. Beparce Jaundha Kuar. In the matter of the petition of	3 W. R., 93	3646
Javali Ramasami v. Sathambakam Theruven Gadasami	I. L. R., 4 All., 159 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 340	3100 1131
Intravel dadasami	1. 11. 10., 1 Diam., 590	1101

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
avani Bhai v. Jiva Bhai		2 Mad., 462	2125, 254
ava Ramii v. Jadavii Natha		1 Bom., 224	424, 262
v. Jadavji Nathu		2 Bom., 150: 2nd Ed., 142 .	2627
v. Jadavji Nathu [avermal Hirachand v. Umaji Hayabati avherbai v. Haribbai awahar Singh v. Mul Raj awahir Lai v. Naraindas [awahir Singh v. Guyan Singh - v. Jadu Nath [awahra Habar Hussin		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 179	798
avherbai v. Haribhai	•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 575	5409, 547
awahar Singh v. Mul Raj	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 449	176, 36
awahir Lal v. Naraindas	•	[I. L. K., I All., 644	3456
awahir Singh v. Guyan Singh	•	3 Agra, 78	2156
awahra v. Akbar Husain	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 439 I. L. R., 7 All., 178	1942 $4272,522$
awanra v. Akbar musain ayanti Lakshmaya v. Yerubandi Pedda Appadu	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 111	5354
aykali Debi v. Shibnath Chatterjee	•	2 B. L. R., O. C., 1	4672
•	٠,	3 B. L. R., A. C., 82: 11 W. 7	
ay Mangal Singh. In the petition of	{	R., 433.	379
v. Lal Rung Pal Sing		4 B. L. R., Ap., 53	3229
	٠,	8 B. L. R., 130, note; and 319, }	
v. Mohan Ram Marwari	{	note: 12 W. R., 397.	170, 37
aynarayan Singh v. Matilal Jha		1 B. L. R., A. C., 21	3018
ayram Gir v. Shiuraj Koer	{	2 B. L. R., P. C., 98: 117	1401
	્	W. R., P. C., 41.	
eaunissa Ladli Begam Saheb v. Manikji Kharsetji		7 Bom., O C., 36	3305
echand Khusal v. Aba		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 132	423
eeatoollah Paramanick v. Jugodindro Narain Roy		22 W. R., 12	692
ceawan v. Goolab Khan		1 N. W., 97 : Ed. 1873, 147 .	772
eeb Nath Singh v. Kureemun Bibee	٠.	7 W. R., 172	509
eebraj Singh v. Inderjeet Mahtoon	- {	12 B. L. R., 115, note: 18 W. R., 109.	6075
eebunissa v. Umul Chunder Chacklanuvis	(477, 41
eebun Kissen Roy v. Dwarkanath Roy Chowdhry		18 W. R., 151 W. R., 1864, 363	236, 48
eebunkisto Roy v. Shib Chunder Dass			1310
eebun Kristo Gossamee v. Romanath Gossamee .	•	W. R., 1864, 363 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1027 23 W. R., 297	2472
eebun Mundul v. Nadyar Chand Roy	:	23 W. R., 297 25 W. R., 461 2 W. R., 77	54
eebun Singh Burmono v. Collector of Backergunge		2 W. R., 77	1719
eetnath Sahee Deo v. Lokenath Sahee Deo		19 W. E., 259	2224
ectoo v. Beetun	(Marsh., 47: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7	6109
	{	85:1 Hay, 112.	1
eetoshur Dhurn Deb v. Foosee Singh eetram Dutt v. Doorgadass Chatterjee		1 Hay, 515	4981
eetram Dutt v. Doorgadass Chatterjee		22 W. R., 430	1140
ectun Lan v. Sheo Chnrn	•	2 W. R., 214	588 22
eewanram v. Koonta	•	1 Agra, 240 6 N. W., 153	1418 908
cetun Lali v. Sheo Chirin eewanram v. Roonta eewa Ram v. Isree eewun Punda v. Sona	•	6 N. W., 153	2529
	;	1 Agra, F. B., 109: Ed. 1874,	1
ehan Bebee v. Saiyuk Ram 🌼	{	1 Agra, F. D., 100 . Ed. 101-2,	4880
ehan Buksh. In the matter of		16 W. R., Cr., 42 15 W. R., Cr., 87	5567
. In the matter of the petition of .	:	15 W. R., Cr., 87	4739
ehandar Khan v. Munuoo			3112
changir Baksh. In the matter of the petition of		7 B. L. R., 24: 11 W. R., 480	3715
		7 B. L. R., 24, note	3717
v. Lala Bhikari Lall .		6 B. L. R., 42, note	3715
ehangir Gustadji v. Joosup Haji Ahmed ehangir Rastamji Modi v. Shamji Ladha		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 494	950
ehangır Kastamji Modi v. Shamji Ladha		4 Bom., O. C., 185	935
ehan Kadr v. Afsar Bahu Begum	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 1 : L. R., 12 }	1028
•	(I. A., 124.	1027
	- ;	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 727 1 B. L. R., S. N., 16: 10 W.	
ehan Khan v. Mandy	3	R., 185.	3736

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Jekisan Bapuji v. Bhowsar Bhoga Jetha	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 89	3206
Jeldhari Singh v. Shunkur Doyal	23 W. R., Cr., 9	981,6254
Jellicoe v. British India Steam Navigation Co.	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 489	594
Vellor Ruhman v. Seetaram Dutt	. 21 W. R., 224	2933
enkins v. Heycock	. 5 Moore's I. A., 361	2685
leo Lal Singh v. Gunga Pershad	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 996	5309, 5385
v. Surfun	. 11 C. L. R., 483	4966
eonath Bhuggut v. Roopa Koonwur	2 W. R., 273, note	1413, 3397
eonee v. Dhurum Kooer	. 3 N. W., 108	2447
Jeoni v. Bhagwan Sahai · · · · ·	. I. L. R., 1 All., 541	3248
Jeora Khan Singh v. Thakooree Singh	2 N W 303	1900
eorakhun Loll v. Muttra Pershad	2 N. W., 303 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 252	360
Jeorakhun Singh v. Hookum Singh	. 3 Agra, 358	3991
Jerabutoonissa Khanum v. Ram Chunder Doss	. 6 W. R., Act X, 36	3010
Jeshta Ramji Shett v. Awaker Mullandeagata Kunhi	5 Mad., 299	5784
-	(1140, 1683
Jesinghbai v. Hataji	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 79 . }	2972
Jesmanee Kooer v. Debee Dyal Rae	. 3 N. W., 137	1422
Jesmut v. Shoojaut Ali	. 6 W. R., Cr., 59	3739
Jeswunt Singjee Ubby Singjee v. Jet Singjee Ubby Singjee.	2 Moore's I. A., 424	6240
v.	3 Moore's I. A., 245: 6 W.	3634, 3681
Jethabhai Bhaichand v. Bai Lakhu	R., P. C., 46.	5665
Jetha Madhavji v. Najeralli Abhramji	. 6 Bom., A. C., 27 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 472	5421
Jetha Mulchand v. Gulraj Jasrup	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 577	4342
Jetoo Mahato v. Monuram Mahato	. 17 W. R., 84	1103
Jetti v. Hossain	17 W. R., OH	3244
geom v. 1108sam	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 23, note 6 W. R., P. C., 38: 3 Moore's ?	
Jewajee v. Trimbukjee	6 W. R., P. C., 38: 3 Moore's }	3126
Jewala Buksh v. Dharum Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 511	66
Jewan Lall Mahatab v. Doorga Dutt Singh	20 W. R., 477	2708
Jewa Ram v. Futteh Singh	. Agra, F. B., 125: Ed. 1874, 94	3028
Jewun Bibee v. Buddun Mundul	9 W. R., 489.	5770
	6 W. R., P. C., 3:2 Moore's }	
Jewun Doss Sahoo v. Kubeerooddeen	{ I. A., 390.	3670
Jeyangarulavaru v. Durma Dossji	4 Mad., 2	108
	(1 Mad., 106: 1 Ind. Jur., O.)	
Jeyasankira Devi v. Nagaunada Devi		352
Jeychund v. Kadhoree	S., 136. 2 N. W., 244; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 222	5636
Jey Mull v. Kesree	6 Agra, F. B., 171: Ed. 1874,)	4548
Jey Narain v. Torabun	Agra, F. B., 171: Ed. 1874, 128. 3 Agra, 216	1675, 3816
Jey Singh v. Moorlee	{ 2 N. W., 98; Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1874, 194.	541
Jhabar Mahomed v. Modan Sonahar	. I. L. R., 11 Cale., 671	851
Jhabbu Ram v. Girdhari Singh	. I. L. R., 6 All., 289	3905
Jhabbu Singh. In the matter of	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 642	3177
Jhaloo v. Furzund Ali	. 5 W. R., 20	4142
Jhanjoo Kooer v. Dameenah Kooer	. 17 W. R., 343	683
Jharee Sahoo v. Bundhoo Sahoo	. 15 W., R., 218	1768
Jharoo v. Raj Chunder Dass	. I I. I. R., 12 Calc., 299	3550
Jharu v. Bahar Ally	. 7 W. R., Cr., 11	958
Jhatu Sahu v. Ram Charan Lal	R., 25.	448
Jhinguri v. Bachu	. I. L. R., 7 All., 134	1310
		1

Name of Case.		Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
		I. L. B., 7 All., 878° . {	1699, 286
hinguri Tewari v. Durga . :			5202
hona Singh v. Neaz Begum		2 Agra, Pt. II, 183	2861, 3028
hoo Bhugguth v. Tara Hoom Hossein		W. R., 1864 132,	1139
noomuck Chowdhry v. Anderson		6 W. R., Act X, 23	2990
v. Radha Pershad Singh .		25 W. R., 328	5471
hoomuck Singh v. Jeetun Lall	}	12 W. R., 959	780 3819
noonkee Paurey v. Ajoodhya Doss		19 W. R., 218	3153
hotee Sahoo v. Omesh Chunder Sircar	•	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 1	5785
hotee Singh v. Gopal Singh	. •	23 W. R., 457 10 W. R., 119	3722
v. Komul Roy	. 1	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 143	1496, 352
non Sanu v. Bnugun Gir	:	1 Ind. Jur., N. S. 317: 5 W.	2847, 509
notun Bibee v. Ameer Chund	{	R., 105.	5100
howla v. Buhur	- 1	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
nubboo Lali Sahoo v. Khoob Lali	1	22 W. R , 294	2453
induoo man danoo o. minoo man	Ċ		723, 126
nubboo Mahton. In the matter of the petition of	- 31	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739: 12 C. L.	1804, 606
tubboo manifold in the manifold of the following	U	R., 233.	6266
ubboo Mahton v. Empress	. 1	12 C. L. R., 233	6068, 626
	1	. (2435, 257
rubhoo Sahoo v. Jusoda Koer		17 W. R., 230	3557, 513
	1		5131
hugro v. Lautoo Pandey		1 Agra, Rev., 32	5180
hummun Pandey v. Dinonath Pandey	,]	16 W. R., 171	5262
hummun Shah v. Debee Dass		1 N. W., 8: Ed. 1873, 7	1602
humuk Noniah v. Shadashib Roy		I. L. R., 7 Cale., 26	1328
hundoo v. Himmut		3 N. W. S1	997, 186 2411
hunna v. Ramsarup		I. L. R., 2 All., 777	4303
hunna Kuar v. Chain Sukh		I. L. R., 3 All., 400	4214
hutoo Koer v. Lulita Koer	• •	22 W. R., 423	1534
at Sahu. In the matter of the petition of		9 B. L. R., 339	1534
v. Bheekon Roy	•	18 W. R., Cr., 39 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 59	3500
bhai Mahirpati v. Parbhu Bapu	- 1	5 B. L. R., 442: 14 W. R., 7	2218, 229
ibnath Singh v. Court of Wards	- {	117.	2294
		15 B. L. R., 190 : 23 W. R., 7	2218, 229
·	{	409 : L. R., 2 I. A., 163.	2294
3 14 77 13 777	6	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 819: 10 C.)	4876
bunti Nath Khan v. Shibnath Chuckerbutty .	(1	L. R., 537.	1
ijoyiamba Bayi Saiba v. Kamakshi Bayi Saiba .		3 Mad., 424	2316, 246
na Ranchod v. Jodha Ghella		1 Bom., 1	2855
tan Khan v. Durga Singh		20 W. R., Cr., 59	980
tbahan v. Bansrup Dhobi		6 C. L. R., 193	4497
ivandas Keshavji v. Framji Nanabhai		7 Bom., O. C., 45 .	1578, 221
	٠, ١		3104, 480
vanji Noorbhoy v. Coorji Lilladhar		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 305	2684 1066
ivaraj Megji v. Poulton		2 Bom., 267: 2nd Ed., 253	2415, 31
ivi v. Ramji		I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207	5534
wan An Deg v. Basa Mal	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 203	3678
iwan Bakhsh v. Imtiaz Begam iwan Singh v. Sarnam Singh	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 93	3181
oakim. In re	• • •	6 W. R., Mis., 120	3880
v. Secretary of State for India	• •	I. L. R., 3 All., 530	2649
	• • •	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	2818
oan Mull v. Munnoolell	•	2 Agra, Rev., 5	545
			3845

		7
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jekisan Bapuji v. Bhowsar Bhoga Jetha Jeldhari Singh v. Shunkur Doyal Jellicoe v. British India Steam Navigation Co. Jellico Ruhman v. Seetaram Dutt Jenkins v. Heycock Jeo Lal Singh v. Gunga Pershad v. Surfun Jeonath Bhuggut v. Roopa Koonwur Jeone v. Dhurum Kooer Jeoni v. Bhagwan Sahai Jeora Khan Singh v. Thakooree Singh Jeorakhun Loll v. Muttra Pershad Jeorakhun Singh v. Hookum Singh	L. L. R., 5 Bom., 89 23 W. R., Cr., 9 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 489 21 W. R., 224 5 Moores I. A., 361 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 996 11 C. L. R., 483 2 W. R., 273, note 3 N. W., 108 1. L. R., 1 All., 541 2 N. W., 303 1 N. W., 303 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 252 3 Agra, 358 6 W. R., Act X, 36	3206 981, 6254 594 2933 2685 5309, 5385 4966 1413, 3397 2447 3248 1900 360 3991 3010
Jerabutoonissa Khanum v. Ram Chunder Doss Jeshta Ramji Shett v. Awaker Mullandeagata Kunhi	6 W. K., Act A, 50	5784
Jesinghbai v. Hataji	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 79 . }	1140, 1683 2972
Jesmanee Kooer v. Debee Dyal Rae Jesmut v. Shoojaut Ali	3 N. W., 137	1422 3739
Jeswunt Singjee Ubby Singjee v. Jet Singjee Ubby	2 Moore's I. A., 424	6240
Singjee.	3 Moore's I. A., 245: 6 W.	3634, 3681
Jethabhai Bhaichand v. Bai Lakhu	R., P. C., 46. 6 Bom., A. C., 27	5665
Jetha Madhavji v. Najeralli Abhramji	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 472	5421
Jetha Mulchand v. Gulraj Jasrup Jetoo Mahato v. Monuram Mahato	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 577 . 17 W. R., 84	4342 1103
Jetti v. Hossain	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 23, note .	3244
Jewajee v. Trimbukjee	(6 W. R., P. C., 38: 3 Moore's)	3126
Jewala Buksh v. Dharum Singh	I. A., 138.)	66
Jewan Lall Mahatab v. Doorga Dutt Singh .	20 W. R., 477	2708
Jewa Ram v. Futteh Singh Jewun Bibee v. Buddun Mundul	Agra, F. B., 125 : Ed. 1874, 94 9 W. R., 489.	3028 5770
		3670
Jewun Doss Sahoo v. Kubeerooddeen	{ I. A., 390.	
Jeyangarulavaru v. Durma Dossji	. 4 Mad., 2	108
Jeyasankira Devi v. Nagaunada Devi	{ S., 136.	352
Jeychund v. Ka dhoree	{ 2 N. W., 244; Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 222	5636
Jey Mull v. Kesree	Agra, F. B., 171: Ed. 1874, }	4548
Jey Narain v. Torabun		1675, 3816
Jey Singh v. Moorlee	{ 2 N. W., 98; Agra, F. B., Ed. }	541
Jhabar Mahomed v. Modan Sonahar	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 671	851
Jhabbu Ram v. Girdhari Singh	. I. L. R., 6 All., 289	3905
Jhabbu Singh. In the matter of Jhaloo v. Furzund Ali	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 642	$\frac{3177}{4142}$
Jhanjoo Kooer v. Dameenah Kooer	5 W. R., 20 17 W. R., 343	683
Jharee Sahoo v. Bundhoo Sahoo	. 15 W., R., 218	1768
Jharoo v. Raj Chunder Dass	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 299	8550
Jharu v. Bahar Ally	. 7 W. R., Cr., 11	958
Jhatu Sahu v. Ram Charan Lal	{ 3 B. L. R., 413, note; 14 W. } R., 25.	448
Jhinguri v. Bachu	R., 25	1310
	* An Adr Abig of Alalag Adril /s .	1010

		1
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jhinguri Tewari v. Durga	I. L. B., 7 All., 878° . {	1699, 2862 5202
Jhona Singh v. Neaz Begum	. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 183	2861, 3028
Jhoo Bhugguth v. Tara Hoom Hossein	W. R., 1864 132,	1139
Jhoomuck Chowdhry v. Anderson	. 6 W. R., Act X, 23	2990
v. Radha Pershad Singh .	. 25 W. R., 328	5471 780
Jhoomuck Singh v. Jeetun Lall	12 W. R., 359 19 W. R., 218	3819
Jhoonkee Paurey v. Ajoodhya Doss Jhotee Sahoo v. Omesh Chunder Sircar	I. L. R., 5 Cale., 1	3153
Ti -t Sit C1 Sit-	23 W. R., 457	5785
v. Komul Roy Jhoti Sahu v. Bhugun Gir	10 W. R., 119	3722
Jhoti Sahu v. Bhugun Gir	. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 143	1496, 3529
Jhotun Bibee v. Ameer Chund	{ 1 Ind. Jur., N. S, 317: 5 W. } R., 105.	2847, 509 5100
Jhowla v. Buhur	. 14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
Jhubboo Lall Sahoo v. Khoob Lall	. 22 W. R, 294	2453
	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 739: 12 C. L.	723, 1267
Jhubboo Mahton. In the matter of the petition of	R., 233.	1804, 6068 6266
T1-11 20 14 77	. 12 C. L. R., 233	6068, 6266
Jhubboo Mahton v. Empress	. 12 0. 11. 10., 255	2435, 2571
Jhubhoo Sahoo v. Jusoda Koer	. 17 W. R., 230 }	3557, 5180 5131
Jhugro v. Lautoo Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 32	5180
Jhummun Pandey v. Dinonath Pandey	, 16 W. R., 171	5262
Jhummun Shah v. Debce Dass	. 1 N. W., 8: Ed. 1873, 7	1602 1328
Jhumuk Noniah v. Shadashib Roy Jhundoo v. Himmut	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 26 3 N. W. 81	997, 1867
	I. L. R., 2 All., 777	2411
Jhunna v. Ramsarup Jhunna Kuar v. Chain Sukh Jhutoo Koer v. Lulita Koer	I. L. R., 3 All., 400	4302
	22 W. R., 423	4214
Jiat Sahu. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 339	1534 1534
v. Bheekon Roy	18 W. R., Cr., 39 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 59	3500
Jibhai Mahirpati v. Parbhu Bapu	1 " TO T TO 140 14 THY TO 5	2218, 2293
Jibnath Singh v. Court of Wards	i 117.	2294
Commence of the commence of	15 B. L. R., 190 : 23 W. R., 7	2218, 2293
	1 409: L. R., 2 I. A., 163.	2294
Jibunti Nath Khan v. Shibuath Chuckerbutty .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 819: 10 C. L. R., 537.	4876
Jijoyiamba Bayi Saiba v. Kamakshi Bayi Saiba .	. 3 Mad., 424	2316, 2464
Jina Ranchod v. Jodha Ghella	. 1 Bom., 1	2855
Jitan Khan v. Durga Singh	. 20 W. R., Cr., 59	. 980
Jitbahan v. Bansrup Dhobi	. 6 C. L. R., 193	4497 1578, 2213
Jivandas Keshavji v. Framji Nanabhai	. 7 Bom., O. C., 45	3104, 4803
Jivanji Noorbhoy v. Coorji Lilladhar	. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 305	2684
. Jivaraj Megji v. Poulton	. 2 Bom., 267: 2nd Ed., 253	1066
Jivi v. Ramji	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 207	2415,3119 5534
Jiwan Ali Beg v. Basa Mal	I. L. R., 8 All., 203	3678
Jiwan Bakhsh v. Imtiaz Begam Jiwan Singh v. Sarnam Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 97	3181
Joakim. In re	. 6 W. R., Mis., 120	3880
v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 3 All., 530	2649
Joan Muli v. Munnoololi	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 219	2818 545
Jodha Singh v. Omaid Singh	. 2 Agra, Rev., 5	3845
oountal of componment magistrate of morar .	. 1 IV. W., 1/1: Eu. 10/0, 200 .	}

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
odoo Monee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of .	11 W. R., 494	3862, 5942
adequath Chardhry at Radhamanaa Dossaa	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643: 7 W. R., 256.	3255, 3402
odoonath Dey Sircar v. Brojonath Dey Sircar	12 B. L. R., 385	2469
odoo Nath Ghose v. Sookhmoye Dossee	1 W. R., 201 · · ·	537
odoonath Mookerjee v. Punchanun Mookerjee	14 W. R., 438	5119
odoonath Mundul v. Brojo Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 174	222 796
odoo Nath Roy v. Ram Buksh Chulungee odoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Nundo Kooer	8 W. R., 202	1413
ogal Kishor v. Tale Singh	1 W. R., 219	1227, 6082
ogal Kishore v. Mulchand	7 N. W., 293	3231
ogeen Pullee v. Nobo Pullee	6 W. R., Cr., 49	5553
ogekar v. Balajerav bin Anandrav	1 Bom., 184	178
oge Narain Singh v. Bhugbans	2 W. R., Mis., 13	805, 5451 4943
ogender Narain Deb Roykut v. Temple	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 702	2321
logendro Chunder Chose v. Nobin Chunder Chottopadhya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 353	1189
v. Shona Kalee	24 W. R., 313	5310
ogendro Deb Roykut v. Funindro Deb Roykut	18 W. R., 102	5534
	11 B. L. R., 244: 17 W. R., }	2781
	104: 14 Moore's I. A., 367. J 12 B. L. R., 203, note: 14 W. }	017
ogendro Narain Coomar v. Surnomoyee	R., 39.	811
ogendro Narain Roy v. Hurrydoss Roy	W. R., 1864, 145	5104
ogendro Nath Mullick v. Bijoy Keshub Roy	19 W. R., 161	1909 4021
v. Raj Narain Palooye Togendro Nath Sircar v. Gobind Chunder Addi	9 W. R., 489	5430
Comendany Property of Harvardees Chase	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 500 : 5 C. L. ?	5098
- (R., 65. 7 W. R., 272	3074
Togendur Deb Roy Kut v. Kishen Bundhoo Roy	2 C. L. R., 577	3745
logesh Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	16 W. R., 285	5926
v. Kali Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 30:1 C. L. ?	3877
	R, 5.	4503
Jogesh Prokash Gangolee, In re Jogesh Prokash Gangooly v. Kalee Coomar Roy	11 W. R., Cr., 43 8 W. R., 274	3514, 3510
Jogeshur Rae v. Doolun Rae	1.2 N. W. 183	4899
Jogessur Banerjee v. Kulyanee Churn Deo	24 W. R., 41 " .	351
Jogessur Sahai v. Muracho Kooer	1 C. L. R., 354	268
Jogessur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall	13 W. R., 173	434
Jogessur Singh v. Bycunt Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 822 : 6 C. L. R., 519.	1844
Jogeswar Chakrabatti v. Panch Kauri Chakrabatti	5 B. L. R., 395: 14 W. R.,	2847
Jogeswar Dutt v. Nitai Chand Chuckerbutty	154. 4 B. L. R., Ap., 48	3887
Jogeswar Sirkar v. Nimai Karmakar	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7	2038
Jogi Singh v. Kunj Behari Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 509 .	3856
Jogmaya Chowdhrain v. Huree Mohun Roy	24 W. R., 99	4481
Jogul Kishore v. Chutter Singh v. Rampertab Singh	1 Agra, 27	5082 2883
v. Shib Sahai	I. L. R., 5 All., 430	413, 2458
Johan Buksh v. Meher Bibee alias Mohur	7 W. R., 183	224
Joharmal v. Municipality of Ahmednagar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 580	618, 327
Joheerooddeen Mahomed v. Dabee Pershad Singh .	13 W. R., 22	525, 5750
2	13 W. R., 391	6002 1391
Johiroonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon	6 W. R., Mis., 59	3536

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
fohn v. Carter Johnson v. Crowe	4 B. L. R., O. C., 90 6 N. W., 350	393, 1049 1110 1084, 4586
Tohoory Lall v . Bullub Lall	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 102 : 4 C. L. } R., 349.	2692
Johnr Ali v. Brindabun Chunder	14 W. R., 10	481
Johuri Mahton v. Thakoor Nath Lukee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 830 : 6 C. L.	3299
ohur Mull Khoorba v. Tarankisto Deb ohurra Bibee v. Sreegopal Misser olintee Chunder Sein v. Abundo Lall Doss loitaram Bechar v. Bai Ganga oja Pashan. In the matter of lokee Lal v. Nursing Navain Singh	R., 355. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 252 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 470 14 W. R., O. C., 1 8 Bom., A. C., 228 3 C. L. R., 131 4 W. R., Act X, 5	5275, 5413 2376 1213 3189 1535 1875, 5273
Jokhan Rai v. Bucho Rai	3 Agra, 353 : Agra, F. B., Ed. \ 1874, 156.	177
Jokhee Lall v. Huns Kooer Jokhuram v. Ram Din Jokna v. Bhugwanee Joment Mundul v. Shoorender Nath Roy Jonab Ali Mollah v. Golam Assad Chowdhry	10 W. R., 167 I. L. R., 8 All., 419 3 N. W., 320 25 W. R., 391 21 W. R., 187	484 789 671, 683 1653 4404
Jonardhun Acharjee v. Haradhun Acharjee . {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1020: 9 W. R., 513.	3044
Joneep v. Noboo		5675
Jones v. Ledgard Jonmenjoy Coondoo v. Watson Jonmenjoy Mullick v. Dassmoney Dassee v. Dossmoney Dossee	W. R., 386. J. L. R., 8 All., 340 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 901 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 700 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 714: 9 C. L. R., 353.	386 4514 5134 3925
Jonna Venkata Sawmy <i>alias</i> Venkatasetti v. Basireddy { Kondareddy, Joobraj Chowkeedar v. Whelan Joobraj Singh v. Buhooria Alumbasec Koer	5 Mad., 364 13 W. R., 399 7 C. L. R., 424 7 W. R., 110	3378 6014 3461 220, 5409
v. Tookun Singh Joogmaya Debia v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee Joogulkishore v. Jotendro Mohuu Tagore Joogul Kishore Roy v. Ahsanoollah	14 W. R., 476 10 W. R., 378 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 210 4 W. R., Act X, 6	4536 299, 4905 273 1719 5736
Joogulkishore Shaha v. Rajkishen Surmah Joogulkishore Singh v. Kalee Churn Singh v. Oognr Narain Singh	3 W. R., 129 25 W. R., 224 8 W. R., 483 3 N. W., 311	5985 4947 5120, 5132 2854, 5222
Joogni Lal v. Jasoda Bibee Joomai v. Wahid Ali Jooman Pershad Sookool v. Joyram Lal Muhto Joosoop v. Vardon	W. R., 1864, Mis., 33 2 C. L. R., 26 1 Hyde, 198	2234 2018, 3901 2684 6113
Joseph v. Solano	9 B. L. R., 441 : 18 W. R.,)	4071, 4414
v. Sreerker Joshi v. Joshi	Bourke, O. C., 359	363 6146
Joshi Kalidas Sevakram v. Dakor Town Municipality .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 399 .	616, 61
Josoda Koonwar v. Gourie Byjonath Sohae Singh Joteeram Doss v. Hurnf Jotee Roy v. Bheechuk Meah	6 W. R., 139 6 W. R., Mis., 115 20 W. R., 288	675, 244 3204 1170

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Todoo Monee Dossee. In the matter of the petition of .	11 W. R., 494	3862, 5942
Jodoonath Chowdhry v. Radhomonee Dossee .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 643: 7 } W. R., 256.	3255; 3402
odoonath Dey Sircar v. Brojonath Dey Sircar	12 B. L. R., 385	2469
odoo Nath Ghose v. Sookhmoye Dossee	1 W. R., 201	537
odoonath Mookerjee v. Punchanun Mookerjee	14 W. R., 438	5119
odoonath Mundul v. Brojo Mohun Ghose	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 174	222
odoo Nath Roy v. Ram Buksh Chulungee	8 W. R., 202	796
Todoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Nundo Kooer	1 W. R., 219	1413
logal Kishor v. Tale Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 320	1227, 6082
Jogal Kishore v. Mulchand	7 N. W., 293	3231
Jogeen Pullee v. Nobo Pullee	6 W. R., Cr., 49	5553 178
Jogekar v. Balajerav bin Anandrav	1 Bom., 184	805, 5451
Joge Narain Singh v. Bhugbans Jogender Narain Deb Roykut v. Temple	2 W. R., Mis., 13	4943
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 234 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 702	2321
Jogendro Bhuputi v. Nittyanund Man Sing Jogendro Chunder Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Chottopadhya		1189
v. Shona Kalee	24 W. R., 313	5310
Jogendro Deb Roykut v. Funindro Deb Roykut	18 W. R., 102	5534
	11 B. L. R., 244: 17 W. R., }	2781
,	104: 14 Moore's I. A., 367.)	2/81
Jogendro Narain Coomar v. Surnomoyee	[12 B. L. R., 203, note: 14 W.]	811
Jogendro Narain Roy v. Hurrydoss Roy	R., 39. W. R., 1864, 145	5104
Jogendro Nath Mullick v. Bijoy Keshub Roy	19 W. R., 161	1909
v. Raj Narain Palooye	9 W. R., 489	4021
Jogendro Nath Sircar v. Gobind Chunder Addi .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 252 .	5430
Jogendronundini Dossee v. Hurrydoss Ghose	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 500 : 5 C. L. R., 65.	5098
Jogendur Deb Roy Kut v. Kishen Bundhoo Roy .	7 W. R., 272	3074
Jogesh Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Umatara Debya	2 C. L. R., 577	3745
Jogesh Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	. 16 W. R., 285	5926
v. Kali Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 30 : I C. L.	3877
Jogesh Prokash Gangolee. In re	R, 5. 11 W. R., Cr., 43.	4503
Jogesh Prokash Gangooly v. Kalee Coomar Roy	8 W. R., 274	3514, 3510
Jogeshur Rae v. Doolun Rae	2 N. W., 183	4899
Jogessur Banerjee v. Kulyanee Churn Deo	24 W. R., 41 " .	351
Jogessur Sahai v. Muracho Kooer	1 C. L. R., 354	268
Jogessur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall	13 W. R., 173	434
Jogessur Singh v. Bycunt Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 822 : 6 C. L. R., 519.	1844
Tomorman Obolembatti a Donah Founi Obolembatti	5 B. L. R., 395: 14 W. R., 154.	2847
Jogeswar Dutt v. Nitai Chand Chuckerbutty	4 B. L. R., Ap., 48	3887
Jogeswar Sirkar v. Nimai Karmakar	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7	2038
Jogi Singh v. Kunj Behari Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 509	3856
Jogmaya Chowdhrain v. Huree Mohun Roy .	. 24 W. R., 99	4481
Jogul Kishore v. Chutter Singh	. 1 Agra, 27	5082
v. Rampertab Singh	4 N. W., 129	2883
Tokan Publik at Makes Piles Vis Wil	. I. L. R., 5 All., 430	413, 2458
Johan Buksh v. Meher Bibee alias Mohur Johanmal v. Municipality of Ahmednagar	. 7 W. R., 183	224
	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 580	618, 3276 525, 5750
Joheerooddeen Mahomed v. Dabee Pershad Singh	. 13 W. R., 22	6002
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 13 W. R., 391	1391
Johiroonissa Khatoon v. Ameeroonissa Khatoon .	6 W. R., Mis., 59	3536

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
ohn v. Carter ohnson v. Crowe v. Secretary of State	4 B. L. R., O. C., 90 6 N. W., 350	393, 1044 1110 1084, 4586
phoory Lall v. Bullub Lall		2692
ohur Ali v. Brindabun Chunder	14 W. R., 10	481
phuri Mahton v. Thakoor Nath Lukee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 830 : 6 C. L. } R., 355.	3299
ohur Mull Khoorba v. Tarankisto Deb ohurra Bibee v. Sreegopal Misser intee Chunder Seiu v. Anundo Lall Doss itaram Bechar v. Bai Ganga ja Paslan. In the matter of kokee Lal v. Nursing Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 252 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 470 14 W. R., O. C., 1 8 Bom., A. C., 228 3 C. L. R., 131 4 W. R., Act X, 5	5275, 541 2376 1213 3189 1535 1875, 527
okhan Rai v. Bucho Rai	3 Agra, 353 : Agra, F. B., Ed.) 1874, 156.	177
okhee Lall v. Huns Kooer okhuram v. Ram Din okna v. Bhugwance omeut Mundul v. Shoorender Nath Roy onab Ali Mollah v. Golam Assad Chowdhry	10 W. R., 167 I. L. R., 8 All., 419 3 N. W., 320 25 W. R., 391 21 W. R., 187 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1020: 9 \cdot	484 789 671, 68 1653 4404
onardhun Acharjee v. Haradhun Acharjee	W. R., 513.	3044
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691:7 } W. R., 386.	5675
ones v. Ledgard onmenjoy Coondoo v. Watson onmenjoy Mullick v. Dassmoney Dassee	I. L. R., 8 All., 340 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 901 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 700 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 714: 9 C. L. 3 R., 353	386 4514 5134 3925
onna Venkata Sawmy <i>alias</i> Venkatasetti v. Basireddy Kondareddy. oobraj Chowkeedar v. Whelan		3378 6014 3461
oobraj Singh v. Buhooria Alumbasee Koer v. Gour Buksh Lall v. Tookun Singh	7 W. R., 110 14 W. R., 476	220, 540 4536
oogmaya Debia v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee	10 W. R., 378 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 210 4 W. R., Act X, 6	299, 490 273 1719
	20 W. R., 4 3 W. R., 129 25 W. R., 224 9 W. R., 488	5786 5985 4947 5120, 513
oogul Lal v. Jasoda Bibee oomat v. Wahid Ali oomna Pershad Sookool v. Joyram Lal Mahto oosoop v. Vardon	8 W. R., 483 3 N. W., 311 W. R., 1864, Mis., 33 2 C. L. R., 26 1 Hyde, 198	2854, 52 2234 2018, 39 2684 6113
orawur Singh v. Khyrat Ali	9 B. L. R., 441 : 18 W. R.,	4071, 44
v. Sreerker	424. Bourke, O. C., 359	4682 363 6146
oshi v. Joshi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 650	616, 6
oshi Kalidas Sevakram v. Dakor Town Municipality	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 399 .	618 675, 2
osoda Koonwar v. Gourie Byjonath Sohae Singh foteeram Doss v. Huruf lotee Roy v. Bheechuk Meah	6 W. R., 139	675, 20 3206 1176

	T		Column
Name of Case.	l	Volume and Page.	of Digest.
			Digest.
77:1 - 75 To L		W. R., 1864, Act X, 11.	5360
otender Mohun Tagore v. Kishen Monee Dabee	•	1 C. L. R., 366	6283
Jotendro Mohun Tagore v. Aymun Beebee			1762
v. Brijosoondoory		W. R., 1864, 50	1395
	-	.1 W. R., 362	5333
v. Debendro Monee .	.	2 C. L. R., 419	1
v. Jogul Kishore	3	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 357: 9 C. L. R., 57.	2521
Jotharam Davay. In the matter of		I. L. R, 2 Mad., 30	5566, 6045
loti Bhimrao v. Balu bin Bapuji	.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 208	4864
Joti bin Nimbaji v. Somaji bin Babaji Gurav .	.	1 Bom., 166	4908
	(9 B. L. R., 377: 18 W. R.,	
Jotindra Mohan Tagore v. Ganendra Mohan Tagore	- 21	359: L. R., I. A., Sup. }	2534, 2559
, out and and and an	- (1	Vol., 47.	
Jotiram Maniram v. Devba Ishwarapa		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 189	5297
Jowad Ali. In the matter of the petition of .	- 1	8 W. R., 4	274
v. Hossein Bibee		8 W. R., 207	311
Jowad Hossein v. Mohabee Sahee	•	23 W. R., 412	570
Jowadunnissa Satudai Khandan v. Jhaman Lall Misso	ar i	23 W. R., 158	2742
Jowahir Lall v. Pookurum Singh	-	6 W. R., 252	1680, 4601
Jowahir Rahoot v. Kailassoo	•	1 W. R. 74	2300
Jowahir Shah v. Gridharee Chowdhry	•	10 W. R., Cr., 35	6281
	•	12 W. R., 214	4929
Jowahur Lall v. Sultan Ali	•		1567, 4472
Jowala Buksh v. Dharum Singh	·	10 Moore's I. A., 511 2 B. L. R., Ap., 29: 24 W.)	1
Jowher Ali v. Ram Chand	į	R., 419, note.	3392, 4464
Jowhurooz Zumma Khan v. Banee Madhub Nundun	•	11 W. R., 226	5453
Joychunder Biswas v.Kali Kishore Dey Sircar .	•	8 C. L. R., 41	5476
Joychunder Ghose v. Ram Narain Poddar	•	21 W. R., 43	5425
Joy Chunder Sirdar v. Ramehurn	•	6 W. R., 323	2893
Joy Chunder Tuppadar v. Ram Churn Doss .	•	15 W. R., 117	4140
Joy Coomar v. Bundhoo Lall	. {	15 W. R., 117 I. L. R., 9 Cale., 363: 12 C.	1759-
	٠, ٢	L. R., 490.	
Joy Coomar Dutta Jha v. Esharee Nund Dutta Jha	3	10 B. L. R., 155 : 18 W. R., 3475.	5116
Joy Deb Surmah v. Huropatty Surmah	`	16 W. R., 282	2247
Joydeo Singh v, Harihar Pershad Singh	•	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 577	5527
Joydoorga Dassee v. Manick Chand Baboo	•	16 W. R., 248	6079
Joydoorga Debia v. Gopal Chunder Banerjee	•	9 W. R., 538	2890
Joy Doorga Dossia v. Juggernath Roy	•	1 2 307 D 000 E	5279
Joy Dutt Jha v. Bayee Ram Singh	•	7 W P 40	5318
Joy Gobind Doss v. Goureeproshad Shaha	•	7 W. R., 201	4274, 4284
Joygobind Roy alias Bhojraj Roy v. Bundhoo Singh	٠,	17 W. R., 342	3980
Joygobind Sahoy v. Mahtab Koonwar	٠,	7 W. R., 1	1695
Joygopal Mozoomdar v. Thakomonee Dabee		11 W. R., 381	327
Joykalee Dassee v. Chandmalla		0 W D 199	1357, 1366
Joykally Dabee v. Shib Nath Chatterjee		9 W. R., 133	
Joy Kishen Doss v. Turnbull	•	Bourke, Test., 5	4713
		24 W. R., 137	3836
Joykishen Mookerjee v. Ataoor Rohoman	- [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 22: 6 C. L.	225, 5131
	(R., 575.	5141
		1 W. R., P. C., 26:10 Moore's)	5596
v. Collector of East Burdwan	- 31		
	3	I. A., 16.	
- v. Doorganarain Nag	?	11 W. R., 349	1343
v. Doorganarain Nag v. Hureehur Mookerjee	٠	11 W. R., 349 6 W. R., 289	1343 2793
v. Doorganarain Nag v. Hureehur Mookerjee	· · ·	11 W. R., 349 6 W. R., 289 9 W. R., 286	1343 2793 2892
v. Doorganarain Nag v. Hureehur Mookerjee		11 W. R., 349 6 W. R., 289 9 W. R., 286 Marsh, 95: 1 Hay, 162	1343 2793 2892 1197
- v. Doorganarain Nag	· · · · ·	11 W. R., 349 6 W. R., 289 9 W. R., 286	1343 2793 2892

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	99 W D 109	5143
Joykishen Mookerjee v. Parbutty Churn Ghossal	22 W. R., 183	4209
v. Feares Month Dutt	8 W. R., 160 5 W. R., 147	892, 3030
v. Raj Kishen mookerjee	12 W. R., 315	327, 4460
7,	16 W. R., 101	4283
v. Pearee Mohun Dutt v. Raj Kishen Mookerjee v. Pearee Mohun Dutt v. Raj Kishen Mookerjee v. Reazoonissa Beebee	4 W. R., 40	2951
Joykishen Shaha v. Bishoka Moyee Chowdrain	17 W. R., 355	3513
Joy Kishore Chowdhrain v. Nubee Buksh	17 W. R., 178	5696
Joy Kishore Chowdhry v. Panchoo Baboo	4 C. L. R., 538 {	2139, 2143, 2289
Joy Kishere Singh v. Thakoor Dass	3 Agra, 75	1345
Joykishto Mookerjee v. Hurreehur Mookerjee	12 W. R., 365	2745, 4192
Joykissen Bose v. Wise	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37	2707
Joy Kissen Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition [24 W. R., Cr., 40	4505
v. Collector of East Burdwan	8 Moore's I. A., 265	4634
v. Mudoosoodun Kulliah .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 90	540
	1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 61: 1 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's	000
	R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's }	285
Joykisto Cowar v. Nittyanund Nundy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 738: 2 C. }	880, 2376
Joy Koeer v. Furlong	W. R., 1864, Act X, 112	2988
v. Suroop Narain Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 259 · · ·	3710
Joykristo Mookerjee. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., A., Cr., 20: 10 W. R., Cr., 5.	4103
Joy Kurn Singh v. Man Patuck	21 W. R., Cr., 41	1306, 2010
Joykurun Lall v. Asmudh Kooer	5 W. R., 125	3335, 3336
Joy Lall Tewarec v. Gossain Bhoobun Geer	21 W. R., 334 · · ·	1457
Joyloll Sheikh v. Brojonath Pal Chowdry	9 W. R., 162 }	544, 1539, 6279
Joymonee Debia v. Imam Buksh Talookdar	13 W. R., 471	4309
Joymonee Dossee v. Hurro Nath Roy	2 W. R., Act X, 51	546
Joymonee Dossee v. Hurro Nath Roy Joymoney Dossee. In the goods of	14 B. L. R., 184	1237
Joymograth Kooer v. Baldeo Singh	21 W. R., 444	1413, 2486
Joymungul Singh v. Lall Rung Pal Sing	13 W. R., 183	3229
v. Mohun Ram Marwaree v. Mohunram Marwari v. Pokharun Singh	15 W. R., 38	380
v. Mohunram Marwari	23 W. R., 429 · · ·	170, 380
	7 W. R., 465	5628
v. Saeedun	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 613 : 6 } W. R., 240.	3969
Joy Narain Bhuggut v. Kashee Chowdhry	16 W. R., 148	2690
Joynarain Bose v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs	Marsh., 56 .	6044
Joynarain Geeree v. Shibpershad Geeree	6 W. R., Mis., 1	2602, 4713
Joy Narain Giree v. Goluck Chunder Mytee	20 W. R., 444	4523
·	22 W. R., 102	1871, 3543
v. Greesh Chunder Mytee . {	15 B. L. R., 172: 22 W. R.,	1247
Joy Narain Giri v. Girish Chunder Myti	1 1. L. K., 4 Cate, 404; L. K., (2451
	9 1. A., 228.	5459
v. Goluck Chunder Mytee v. Sheeb Proshad Giri	25 W. R., 183 25 W. R., 355	2359
2 Sheeh Proghad Giri	19 W. R., 275	4653
Joyuarain Manjee v. Muddoosoodun Gorait	2 W. R., 134	5744
	D * D 0 37 1 F44 05	284
_ (2349
Joynarain Roy v. Punchanund	W. R., 1864, 10	20720

	Name of Case.		Volume and Page	Column of Digest
Jo	ynath v. Soorjaram		8 W. R., Cr., 66	4359 4112
	ynath Mundul v. Jamul Sheikh	- (6 W. R., Cr., 71	2879, 429
Jo	ynath Roy v. Lall Bahadur Singh	ે	L. R., 146.	5727,608
	ynub Bebee v. Ahamed Jan		Marsh., 31:1 Hay, 68 .	5337
Į0	ypal Roy v. Mukhoond Roy	•	17 W. R., 280	1379 2269
Jo	yprokash Bhuggut v. Bhugwan Doss y Prokash Lall v. Sheo Golam Singh	•	Marsh., 589	174, 37
	y Prokash Narain v. Radha Kishen		W. R., 1864, 227	5109
	yprokash Roy v. Oorjhan Jha		3 W. R., 174	3956
Jo	y Prokash Singh v. Ameer Ally	. {	9 W. R., 91	1578, 1736
	v. Meghraj Singh		12 W. R., 207 .	4560 764,76
Jo	yram Gossain Bhuttacharjee v. Kalee Narain Roy		20 W. R., 291	4771
	yram Gossamee v. Nobin Chunder Doss		25 W. R., 318	2711
Įο	yram Lall Mahtoon v. Stewart	•	20 W. R., 453	4401,441
Jo	yram Loot v. Pani Ram Dhoba	:	8 C. L. R., 54 12 W. R., 431	3130, 313 5790
J۵	vram Singh v. Jugnarain Dobev		10 W. R., Cr., 16	4491
Jo	y Sunkur Koy v. Sidhi Moham		7 C. L. R., 373	5293
Jo	ytara v. Ramhari Sirdar	٠	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 638	2422, 249
.T.	vtara Dassee v. Mahomed Mobaruck	{	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 975: 11 C.	1448, 174 4147, 607
00	yeara Dassee v. Manomed Monarden	ſ	L. R., 399.	6094
	y Tara Dossee v. Mahomed Hossein		2 W. R., Mis., 2	5482
$\tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{o}$	y Tara Dossee Chowdrain v. Roy Chunder Ghose	. •	1 W. R., 136	322
J0	yudee Paramanick. In the matter of	:	7 C. L. R., 66	345 542
Ju	ala Prasad v. Khuman Singh	:	I. L. R., 2 All., 617	2716
Ju	iala Singh v. Narain Das		I. L. R., 3 All., 541	179, 38
Jτ	ıbdur Kazi. İn re	٠	8 C. L. R., 390	5558
Je	In the matter of the petition of abraj Roy v. Mackenzie	•	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 718 5 C. L. R., 231	5558 3049
	idah v. Abdool Kurreem		22 W, R., 60	6170
	v. Addi Raja Queen Bibi		2 Mad., 177	4511
	v. Judah		5 B. L. R., 433 {	2538, 520
	v. Secretary of State for India		I. L. R., 12 Calc., 445	6203, 620 1330, 267
Ju	dal Kom Ranchhod Mulji v. Hira Mulji	:	4 Bom., A. C., 75	5684, 574
	dhistir Patro v. Nobin Chandra Khela		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 73	3535
	dobunsee Bhugtahe v. Mukkun Kowaree doobunsee Koer v. Asman Koer	. •	1 W. R., Mis., 6	1074
-	v. Girbhurun Koer	•	14 W. R., 370 12 W. R., 158	1690 3417
Ju	doo Lall Mullick v. Gopaul Chunder Mookerjee	:	L. R., 13 I. A., 77	5281
Ju	doonath Bhuttacharjee v. Nobo Kristo Mookerjee		3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 2	3330
			()	470, 85
Ju	doonath Bose v. Shumsoonnissa Begum	5	8_W. R., P. C., 3:11 Moore's	2834, 416 4866, 509
		ſ	I. A., 551.	5099, 510
т	A. M. A. D Chill Di Maria		00 277 70 444	5873
o u In	doo Nath Dey v. Girija Bhoosun Mitter		23 W. R., 446	474
		,	19 W. R., 105 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 971: 12 C. ?	4439
	doonath Ghose v. Schoene, Kilburn, & Co.	į	L. R., 343.	3041
Ju	doo Nath Mookerjee. In re		Bourke, O. C., 186	4450
Ju	doonath Mullick v. Kalee Kisto Tagore	٠	22 W. B., 73	5224, 578
_	v	•	25 W. R., 524	4127, 522

Name of Casc.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
adoonath Paul v. Prosunnonath Dutt		9 W. R., 71	4937
adoonath Roy. In the matter of the petition of		19 W. R., Cr., 1	4736, 4740
n. Buroda Kant Roy		22 W. R., 220	138
v. Buroda Kant Roy v. Ram Buksh Chatterjee v. Ram Buksh Chuttangce		12 W. R., 238	858
. Ram Buksh Chuttangee	,	7 W. R., 535	1927, 5618
ndoonath Sadhookhan. In the matter of .	.	15 W. R., 40	1240
ndoonath Sein v. Ram Coomar Chatterjee .	.	9 W. R., 359	5080
adoonath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Shaha .		21 W. R., 289	1099
adoo Nath Shahana v. Jadub Churn Thakoor .		11 W. R., 294	4236
udoonath Sircar v. Bonomalee Mitter		2 W. R., 295	2059
v. Bussunt Coomar Roy Chowdhry	5	11 B. L R., 286: 16 W. R., 7	2491, 249
v. Bussunt Coomar Loy Chowding	- 2	105:19 W. R., 264.	
		(1209, 244
udoonath Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree		9 W. R., 61 }	2454, 246
			2467
adoonauth Bose v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum		W. R., F. B., 60	4161
adoo Nundon Lall. In the matter of the petition of		24 W. R., Cr., 22	4237
ndooputtee Chatterjee v. Chunder Kant Bhuttacharje	e.	9 W. R., 3091 {	404, 428
-	٠.		5938,622
idow Mulji v. Chhagan Raichand	•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 306	5874
ngal Kishore v. Hulasi Ram	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 264	2375, 426
igatmoni Chowdrani v. Romjani Bibee		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 533	3664
agdanund Gosamee v. Kessub Nund Gosamce .		W. R., 1864, 146	2332, 284
ngdeep Narain Sahee v. Court of Wards		22 W. R., 469	2736
ngdeep Narain Singh v. Deendial	1	12 B. L. R., 100: 20 W. R.,	2157
igdel Narain Suhaye v. Lalla Ram Prokash .	-	2 W. R., 292	4154
igdeo Singh v. Molazim Hossein	.]	13 W. R., 108	6243
ngdesh Chunder Biswas v. Abedollah Mundul .	. 1	14 W. B., 68	4788
igdish Chunder Biswas v. Chowdhry Zuhoorul Huq	- 1	14 W. R., 68 24 W. R., 317	1747, 529
gerneth v. Ilahi	.	3 N. W., 207	3916
ngernath v. Ilahi nggendronath Banerjee v. Rajendronath Haldar	- 1	7 W. R., 357	2486
ggernath. In the matter of the petition of .	. 1	7 W. R., 246	. 3561
-	-	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 322: 3 C. ?	2698, 282
iggernath Doss v. Brijnath Doss	i	L. R., 375.	3108
	3	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 681: 8 C. L. ?	7054
iggernath Khan v. Maclachlan	3	R., 225.	1054
nggernath Khootia v. Doobo Misser	.`	14 W. R., 80	2390
igger Nath Pance v. Chuttur Narain Deb .	ا ، ا	17 W. R., 410	1464
ggernath Sahai. In the matter of	.	8 C. L. R., 236	1966
ggernath Sahai. In the matter of	.]	3 W. R., 24	2140
iggernath Sahee v. Alhad Kowur		19 W. R., 140	4197
aggernath Sahoo v. Judoo Roy Singh	(I. L. R., 5 Calc., 329 : 4 C. L. ?	1870
	è	R., 387.	
v. Mahomed Hossein		15 W. R., 173	770, 122
iggernath Sawunt v. Odhiranee Narain Koomaree	•	20 W. R., 126	2430
aggernauth Sew Bux v. Ram Dyal	٠,	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 791	1064, 182
nggesh Prakash Ganguli. In the matter of the peti-	. (3 B. L. R., A. C., 57	4502
tion of.	(1201
aggeshur Bunwaree Gobind v. Chunder Sircar	;	Marsh., 375 : 2 Hay, 344 .	
aggeshwar Dass. In the matter of the petition of	٤	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 55	3863
v. Koylash Chunder Chatterjee	C		1600, 22
uggessur Buttobyal v. Roodro Narain Roy .		12 W. R., 299 }	2978
uggessur Coowar v. Prossono Coomar Ghose .		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 282	1213
"Bhorour Coonat of Tiospono Coomat Chose .	Ġ	12 B. L. R., 266: 21 W.	291
uggessur Dey v. Kritarthomoyee Dossee			

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggessur Dhur v. Bhugobutty Dassee Juggessur Doyal Singh v. Bissessur Pershad Juggessur Nundee v. Chunder Gobind Singh Juggessur Sircar v. Nilambur Biswas Juggessur Suhaye v. Gopal Lall Juggobundhoo Bos v. Wise	14 W. R., 464 12 C. L. R., 281 9 W. R., 524 5 C. L. R., 170 3 W. R., 217 11 W. R., 260 12 W. R., 409	686 4316 5090 394 2088 5272 33, 5128
Juggobundhoo Coondoo v. Nil Comul Surmah Juggobundhoo Deb v. Goluck Chunder Haldar Juggobundhoo Ghose v. Chowdhry Muntaz Hossein Juggobundhoo Mozoomdar v. Gooroo Persad Roy v. Gooroopersaud Roy	W. R., 1864, 190 10 W. R., 228 W. R., 1864, 205 Marsh, 52: 1 Hay, 226 Marsh, 54: 1 Hay, 228	5216 299 3874 5778 2793, 4168
Juggobundhoo Shaha v. Promothonath Roy .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 767	5183
Juggobundhu Mukerjee v. Ram Chunder Bysack.	\ L. R., 548.	4465 6222
Juggobundo Chatterjee v. Watson & Co.	Bourke, A. O. C., 162	1576, 458G
Juggobundoo Shaw v. Grant, Smith, & Co.	2 Hyde, 129: Cor., 47 . {	4603
Juggodanund Misser v. Hamid Russool Juggodeshary Chowdhrain. In the matter of Juggodeshury Dossia v. Umachurn Roy .	10 W. R., 52 3 C. L. R., 94 7 W. R., 237	4163 4498 1583, 5346
Juggodishari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	[I. L. R., 7 Cale., 84 : 8 C. L.]	4666
Juggodish Chunder Biswas v. Turrikoollah Sircar	. 24 W. R., 90	2589
Juggodumba Dossee. In re	10 B. L. R., Ap., 22, note: 15 W. R., 75.	494
v. Puddomoney Dossee v. Tarakant Banerjee Juggo Lall Oopadhya v. Jankee Bibee	15 B. L. R., 318	2829 5037 283
Juggomohun Bukshee v. Roy Mothooranath Chov dhry.	v- { 7 W. R., P. C., 18: 11 Moore's } I. A., 223.	2001
Juggomdhun Ghose v. Kaisreechund	9 Moore's I. A., 256 4 W. R., P. C., 8: 7 Moore's I. A., 263,	2710 1342, 2705 2710
Juggud Indur Bunwaree v. Soorj Coomar Chowdhry Juggun Lall. In the matter of Juggunnath v. Beck	Marsh., 627	4296 1840, 2010 1117, 4245
Juggunnath Deb Nazir v. Moheboollah	3 N. W., 123 17 W. R., 164	3920, 4758 6228
Juggunnath Pershad Dutt v. Hogg	. 12 W. R., 117	334, 472 5047
Juggurnath Deb v. Mahomed Mokeem Juggur Nath Roy Chowdbry v. Kishen Pershad Su	. 17 W. R., 161	5753, 5812 2250
ma <i>alias</i> Raja Baboo. Juggurnath Roy Chowdhry v. Munorekha Dossee	2 W. R., 156	4603
v. Raj Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 120 14 BL. R., 386 : L. R., 2 I.	3180 2942, 3386
Juggurnath Sahoo v. Mahomed Hossein	7 A., 49 : 23 W. R., 99.	3441
Juggurnath Singh v. Afzul Khan v. Ahmedoollah	17 W. R., 130 8 W. R., 132	5119 1923
Juggur Nauth Shaw v. Issur Chunder Roy	Bourke, O. C., 146	425
Juggut Chunder v. Banee Madhub Banerjee Juggut Chunder Bhadooree v. Shib Chunder Bhadoore	23 W. R., 205 e 16 W. R., 269	6006 808
Juggut Chunder Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhugwan Chunder Fut tehdur.	· 22 W. R., 255	3334 4142

Name of Case.	Yolume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Juggut Chunder Chowdhry v. Bhurnt Chunder Chow-	20 TR R 201	
dhry.	23 W. R., 237	1986
Juggut Chunder Chuckerbutty. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 110	5166
Juggut Chunder Dutt v. Panioty	6 W. R., Act X, 48	3016
v. Radanath Dhur	8 W. R., 427	1595, 4168
Juggut Chunder Mozumdar v. Kasi Chunder Mozum- (I. L. R., 10 Calc., 669	4330 1969, 5521
dar.	R., 330.	5524
Juggnt Chunder Roy v. Chunder Monee Shaha	17 W. R., 277	681
v. Mohima Chunder Paul	11 W. R., 331	1427
v. Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee	1 W. R., 126	5177
v. Ramnaram Buuttacharjee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 811	1205
Juggut Indur Bunwaree v. Bhubo Tarinee Dassee .	14 W. R., 19	300
Juggutjeebun Gooptoo v. Haro Coomar Pal Juggut Lall Chowdbry v. Tasudduck Ali	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 719 25 W. R., 548	· 229
		1674, 4987
Juggut Misser v. Baboo Lall	5 W. R., Cr., 50 }	5089
Juggutmoheenee Dossee v. Sokheemonee Dossee .	10 B. L. R., 19:17 W. R.,	4214
Joggut Mohinee Bibee v. Ram Chund Ghose	41: 14 Moore's I. A., 289. 3 9 W. R., 100	3523
Juggut Mohinee Dossee v. Dwarka Nath Bysack	I. L. R., 8 Calc, 582	3056
v. Madhub Chunder Kur.	15 W. R., 66	841
v. Madhub Chunder Kur. v. Monohur Koonwar	25 W. R., 278	3309
Juggut Narain v. Toolsee Ram	25 W. R., 278 10 W. R., 99: 1 B. L. R., A. C., 71.	437, 442
Juggut Narain Singh v. Collector of Manbhoom	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 413, note	2290
Jugjeebun Goopta v. Hurro Kumar Pal	6 C. L. R., 135	229
Jugjeebun Goopto v. Golock Monee Debia	22 W. R., 354	1927
Jugjeewun Das Keeka Shah v. Ramdas Brijbookun	6 W. R., P. C., 10: 2 Moore's ?	3913
Jugmohun Doss v. Poorno Chunder Roy	I. A., 487. 3 W. R., Act X, 133	1610
Jugmohun Lall v. Buddun Koer	9 W. R., 243	401, 697
Jug Mohun Mahto v. Luchmeshur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 748	3136
Jugmohun Tewaree v. Buldeo Naik	3 Agra, 162	4399, 4949
Jugmohun Tewari v. Finch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 62: 11 C.	5294
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L. R., 100.	
Jugo Bundhoo Bose v. Collector of Dacca . v. Koomoodinee Kant Banerjee)	W. R., 1864, 273	5107
Chowdhry.	19 W. R., 89	46
Jugobundhoo Dutt v. Maseyk	W. R., 1864, 81	3870
Jugobundhoo Haldar v. Sree Narain Mitter	20 W. R., 188	5780
Jugobundhoo Mookerjee v. Luckheessuree Debia	W. R., 1864, 388	1822
Jugobundhoo Sein v. Bhugwan Chunder Doss	17 W. R., 15	448
Jugobundhoo Shah Poramanick v. Official Assignee	21 W. R., 194	210
Jugobundhoo Tewaree v. Kurum Singh Jugobundoo Roy v. Fyez Buksh Chowdhry	22 W. R., 341	1082, 2279
Jugodumba Chowdhrain v. Ram Chunder Deo	8 W. R., 166 6 W. R., 327	1453 4173
Jugodumba Debia v. Rohinee Debia	3 W. R. 522	2343
Jugodumba Koer v. Mircha Koer	17 W. R., 269	84, 86, 92
Ingojeebun Lall Dhubal Deb v. Kartick Chunder Bon- dopadhya.	25 W. R., 1	4650
Jugomohan Haldar v. Sarodamoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 149	2468
Sugomohun Bukshee v. Soorendronath Roy Chowdhry	13 W. R., 106	5624
Jugo Mohun Sahoo v. Monee Lall Chowdhry	11 W. R., 542	2752
Jugo Mohun Singh v. Dabee Pershad	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47	12
Jugo Moyee Chowdhrain v. Government	3 W. R., Mis., 17	219
Jugoo Lall Oopadhya v. Manoohur Lall Oopadhya .	19 W. R., 43	2456

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jugoolal Upadhya v. Ekbaloonissa Jugroopa Chowdhrain v. Bunwaree Tewaree	7 W. R., 456 20 W. R., 351	3241 2027
Jugtanund Misser v. Nerghan Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 433 : 7 C. L.) R., 347.	1821
Jugul Kishor Banerjee v. Abhaya Charan Sarma .	1 B. L. R. A. C. 84	5336
Jugul Kishore v. Jotendro Mohuu Tagore	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 985: L. R., 11 I. A., 66.	2522
Jugun Nath v. Ghaseeram	1 N. W., 32: Ed. 1873, 30	448
Jugunnath Sahee Deo v . Burra Lall Opendronath Sahee (Deo.	5 W. R., Mis., 54	3561
Jugurnath v. Balgobind Jugurnath Singh v. Ahmedoollah Jugutbundhoo Chuckerbutty v. Jugut Chunder Chow-	1 N. W., 105: Ed. 1873, 154 8 W. R., 132	5243 3840
	12 W. R., 519	5288
Jugus Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Eshan Chunder Ba- (nerjee.	24 W. R., 220	5198
Jugutendur Bunwaree v. Din Dyal Chatterjee Jugut Mohini Dassee v. Madhu Sudhan Dutt	1 W. R., 310	1674, 319
Jugut Shobhun Chunder v. Binaud Chunder	10 C. L. R., 4 {	17, 726 5508
Jugut Shobhun Chunder v. Binand Chunder Jugut Shobhun Chunder alias Doolal Chunder Dehin- gur Gossamy v. Binand Chunder alias Soda Sho-	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 925	2857, 2915
Juhooree Lall Sahoo v. Dear	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 925	2857, 2916
Juhoorun v. Girdharee Ram	3 W. R., Cr., 70	. 3061 957
Julebia Chitta Koer v. Bhagee Koer	6 N. W., 41	1451, 1459
Julikar Husain v. Munna Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 148	1479 3139
Jullessur Kooer v. Uggur Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 725: 12 C.	2309, 2495
Jullow Surma Patwaree v. Madhub Ram Atoi Boorha Bhukut. Jumal Ali v. Wahed Ali	L. R., 460.	524, 5632
Jumant Ali Shah v. Chowdry Chutturdharee Sahee	11 W. R., 97	5931
Jumeela v. Mulleeka	16 W. R., 185 W. R., 1864, 252 : 5 W. R.,	2994
Jumeelun v. Wallee Ahmed	28: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26,	3648, 3654
Jummal Ali v. Tirbhu Lall Doss	10 W. R., 31	3330 2234, 5271
Jummalapudi Kalidas v. Attaluri Subhamma	12 W. R., 41	5411
Jummeeuttunnissa v. Noor Mahomed Jumna Dass v. Eckford	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 29 W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	2276 5176
v. Mehur Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 1	4513
Jumna Doss v. Gawsee Meah Jumna Pershad v. Bheem Sein	1 Agra, 182 21 W. R., 124	591 4929
v. Bhowanee	1 Agra, Mis., 8	3331
Jumna Singh v. Kamar-un-nisa Jumoona Dassee Chowdhranee v. Bama Soonderee	2 Agra, Rev., 1 I. L. R., 3 All., 152 2 W. R., 149	1656 186, 5065
		4883
nmoons Does a Pasht or	R., 235 : L. R., 3 T. A. 72 C.	1249, 1694 2116, 2437
	22 W. R., 133 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1 : 13 C. L.)	4040
umoona Parshad a Z.:L	R., 74.	2400
	5 C. L. R., 268	2819
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 26: 5 W. 3	648, 3654

		and the second
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jumunooddeen Ahmed v. Hossein Ali Junardun Doss Mitter v. Rooknee Bullub Junardun Doss Mitter v. Rooknee Bullub Junarddeen Misser v. Nobin Chunder Perdhan Juneswar Doss v. Lalla Ramdhunee Lall Juneswar Doss v. Mahabeer Singh Junge Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy Jungee Lall v. Sham Lall Misser Jungee Lall Mahajun v. Brijo Beharee Singh Jungee Lall Mahajun v. Brijo Beharee Singh Junglee Ram. In the matter of the petition of — Ram Heet Sahoy Jungoo Lall v. Lala Alum Chand Junjia Venkatarayadu v. Junjia Kamanmah Junmajoy Mojoomdar v. Keshub Lall Ghose Junmajoy Mullick v. Dwarkanath Mytee Junmajoy Mullick v. Gunga Ram Dutt Jurbundhun Singh v. Sheoraj Singh Jusab Haji Jafar v. Gul Muhammad Jusaben Josamier v. Collector of Tanjore	2 W. R., Mis., 49 6 W. R., Mis., 48 Marsh., 232: 1 Hay, 534 17 W. R., 263 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 163: 25 W. ? R., 84: L. R., 3 I. A., 1. } 25 W. R., 247 20 W. R., 120 2 W. R., Mis., 21 19 W. R., 279 6 Mad., 151 10 W. R., 484 L. L. R., 5 Calc., 287: 4 C. L. ? R., 574 14 W. R., 215 21 W. R., 26 5 N. W., 184 12 Bon., 175 5 Mad., 334	3731 3493, 3514 2253 3879 581 2076, 543t 210 173, 376 3163 6075 2476 1844 403 403, 286, 4792 109
Juseemooddeen Biswas v. Huro Soonduree Dossee	19 W. R., 274 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 365: 6 W. }	3902 182, 664
Jushoda Koonwur v. Gowree Byjnath Pershad Jusoda Koer v. Lalla Nettya Lall Jussoda Dossee v. Matunginee Dossee Jussoondah v. Ajodhin Pershad Justices of the Peace for Bombay v. Great Indian Penjinsula Railway Company. Justices of the Peace for Calentta v. Hera Lall Seal v. Maharanee of Burd wan.	R. Mis , 53	4436 2275 5359 2359 4050 648
v. Oriental Gas Com-	8 B. L. R., 433: 17 W. R.,	650, 3090 4518, 529
Juswant Singh v. Doolee Chund	25 W. R., 255 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 301: 6	2151 5168, 554
Jutadhari Lal v. Rughobeer Persad	W. R., Cr., 18, I. L. R., 9 Calc., 508: 12 C.	2379
Juttadharee Singh v. Wuzeer Singh Jutto Moar v. Basmuttee Kooer Juveerbhaee v. Vurujbhaee	L. R., 255. 5 12 W. R., 357	3513 5186 4645
Jwala Nath v. Kullu	3 Agra, 55: Agra, F. B., Ed. }	1414, 248
Jwalapershad v. Kedar Nath Jykishoon Sookul v. Shunkur Sookul Jyram Gir v. Krishan Kishore Chund	3 Agra, 175	3333 2522, 537 3962, 400
K		
Kabeel Saha v. Radha Kissen Mullick Kabii Nusyo v. Baharullah Kabir valad Ramjan v. Mahadu valad Shiwaji Kabooluu v. Shumsheir Ali Kabul Krishna Doss v. Mohessuree Debia Kachar Ehoj Vaija v. Bai Rathore	16 W. R., 146 17 W. R., Cr., 37 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 360 11 W. R., 16 16 W. R., 270 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 289	2995 5154 1195 4814 3405 4044

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kachekalyana Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar v. Kachivigajaya Rungappa Kalakka Tola Udiar.	2 B. L. R., P. C., 72: 11 W. R., P. C., 33: 12 Moore's I. A., 495.	2749, 2773
Kachu Bayaji v. Kachoba Vithoba Kachubhai Beir Gulabchand v. Krishnabai Kachur Subraya v. Bengal Santappaiya Kadam binee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Dutt Kadar v. Ismail	10 Bom., 491 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 635 7 Mad., 167 Marsh., 4: 14Hay, 25 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 119	6127, 6142 316, 1774 2043 5752 4839
Kadarbacha Sahib v. Rangasvami Nayak	. 1 Mad., 150	402, 2211 3124
Kadaressur Mookerjea v. Gooroo Churn Mookerjea Kadari bin Ranu v. Atmarambhat Kadarsa Rantan v. Raviah Bibi Kader Butsh Biswas v. Ram Nag Chowdhry Kader Butsh Dutt v. Okhoy Coomar Bhuttacharjee Kadir Ali Khan v. Nowsha Begum Kadir Buksh v. Ilabi Buksh	2 C. L. R., 388 3 Bom., A. C., 11 2 Mad., 108 7 W. R., 448 9 W. R., 202 2 Agra, 154 I. L. R., 2 All., 283	5671 2498 3378, 4753 1360 477 3731 1880
v. Wise	{ Marsh., 165: 1 Ind. Jur., O. }	6083
Kadir Buksh Khan v. Fusseeh-qon-nissa Kadir Bux v. Ram Tahul Bhagut Kadir Gazee v. Mohadebee Dossia Kadir Mahomed v. Mahomed Safir Kadumbinee Dossee v. Bhugobutty Churn Ghose v. Knylash Kaminee Dossee	5 Moore's I. A., 413	4500 4535 3025 2843 554 3088
	(I. L. R., 7 Calc., 19: 9 C. L. t	433, 4237
Kadumbinee Dossia v. Kasheenauth Biswas v. Unnopoorna Daye	R, 25. 13 W. R., 338 14 W. R., 289	2985 4138, 4430
Kadumbini Dabya v. Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry	5 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 554: 8 C. L. ?	318, 575
Kaem Khan v. Saheba Jan Kafaetoollah v. Ariza Bibee Kafaetoollah Khan v. Futteh Ali Kahanarama v. Ranga	R., 19. 5 7 W. R., 362	4206 4480 532 5704
Kahandas Narandas v. Dahiabhai	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 182 . {	590, 3186
Kahandas Narrandas. In re Kaihur Singh v. Roop Singh Kailasanath v. Truvrenggada Kailas Chandra Bose v. Bluban Chandra Bose Kailas Chandra Ghose v. Fulchand Jahurri	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 154 3 N. W., 4 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 420 8 B. L. R., Ap., 18 8 B. L. R., 474	4260 6063 2200, 4155 907 5298 3547
Kailas Chandra Roy v. Hiralal Seal	{ 2 B. L. R., A. C., 93: 10 W. }	1505, 1590 3066
Kailas Chandra Sannel v. Dowlat Sheikh	R., 403. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 57: 14 W.	5706
Kailas Chandra Sirkar v. Durgadas Tarafdar	R., 42. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 284, note	1590
Kailasnath Ghose v. Biswanath Biswas	. 4 B. L. R., O. C., 41	48, 2190
Kaim Ali Jawardar v. Lakhikant Chuckerbutty .	} I.B. L. R., F. B., 23: 10 W. } R., F. B., 32.	5622
Kaipreta Ramen v. Makkhayil Mutoren Kaisho v. Junna Kaisrur v. Put Ram Kaji Ahmed v. Kaji Mahamad	1 Mad., 359	3758 2490 2916 6234
Kakaji bin Ranoji v. Bapuji bin Madhavrav .	. 8 Bom., A. C., 205 . §	3814, 415
Kakaji Sakharam v. Govind Ganesh		4881 5742

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
W-b-density City and the Transfer of the City of the C	1 M-1 "	9,00
Kakarlapudi Sitaramaraja v. Uppalapadi Janakayya Kakarlapudi Suriyanarayana Razu v. Chellamkuri }	1 Mad., 5	2499 5092
Chellamma. Kakerlapoody Jagganadha Raz v. Vutsavoy Jagga- (5 W. R., P. C., 117: 2)	3901
nadha Jagaputty Raz.	Moore's I. A., 1.	520
Kala Bharub Chandra Karpur v. Lalit Mohun Singh Kala Bibee v. Collector of Chittagong	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 185 23 W. R., 362	320 395
Kalachand v. Gudadhur Biswas	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 304	960
Kalachand Ghose v. Shoolochuna Dossia	22 W. R., 33	3562, 3565
Kalachand Mundul v. Gopal Chunder Bhuttacharjee .	12 W. R., 163	4808
Kala Chand Sen v. Anund Kristo Bose	22 W. R., 433	341
Kalachand Sirkar v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 53	6268
Kala Chara Tea Co. v. Sukul Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 280	496
Kalai Haldar v. Kyamuddi	1 Bom., 62 23 W. R., 417	340
Kalai Haldar v. Kyamuddi Kalai Khan v. Madho Parshad	3 N. W., 129	1354 3198, 4135
Kalai Khan v. Madho Pershad Kalam Sheikh v. Panchu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 252	2971
Kalandan v. Kunhunni	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 80	1784
v. Pakrichi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 378	1898
Kalee Beparee. In re	1 C. L. R., 521	5289
Kalee Chunder Aich v. Ramgutty Kur	25 W. R., 95	2999
Kalee Chunder Chowdhry v. Ruttun Gopal Bhadooree .	2 W. R., Mis., 1 2 W. R., 281	3183
v. Sheeb Chunder v. Surut Soonduree Debia v.	18 W. R., 45	2132
Kalee Chunder Paul v. Thakur Das Biswas	12 W. R., O. C., 7	6247 429
Kalee Chunder Sein v. Adoo Shaikh	9 W. R., 602	4458, 5811
Kalee Chunder Surma v. Gobind Pershad Surma .	12 W. R., 454	684
Kalee Churn Banerjee. In the matter of	18 W. R., 295	3880
v. Mahomed Hashem	7 W. R., 8 21 W. R., 405 6 W. R., 86 1 W. R., 248 7 W. R., 317 9 W. R., 306 21 W. R., 500 9 W. R., 579 5 N. W., 77 8 W. R., 200 24 W. R., 200	1876
Kalee Churn Bawanee v. Bengal Coal Company	21 W. R., 405	3794
Kalee Churn Dutt v. Modhoo Soodun Ghose	6 W. R., 86 1 W. R., 248	760
Kalee Churn Giree Gossain v. Lalla Muddun Kishore	7 W. R., 317	1618 6122
Kalee Churn Pattur v. Chundee Churn Mundul	9 W. R., 366	5764
Kalaa Chuun Sahaa ay Pam Lall Sahaa	21 W. R., 300	4327
Kalee Churn Singh v. Ameerooddeen	9 W. R., 579	5175
v. Boodh Ram	5 N. W., 77	1901
v. Solano	8 W. R., 200	1180
v		1179
Kalee Coomar Chatterjee v. Kristo Kishore Poddar	14 W. R., 196 11 B. L. R., 256 : 19 W. R.,	340
v. Siddessur Mundul .	335.	4067
Kalee Coomar Chowdhry v. Ramdass Shaha	W. R., 1864, 153	2485
Kalee Coomar Doss v. Ances	3 W. R., Act X, 1	4589
Kalee Coomar Dutt Roy v. Pran Kishoree Chowdhrain .	18 W. R., 29	5063
Kalee Coomar Nag v. Kashee Chunder Nag	6 W. R., 180	3399
Kalee Dabee v. Mudoo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	1490
Kaleedass Banerjee v. Bhoobun Mohun Doss	20 W. R., 185	4562
Kalee Dass Chuckerbutty v. Eshan Chunder Chatter-	13 W. R., 416	6237
Kaleedass Ghosal v. Muddoosoodun Roy	10 W. R., 465	5081
Kalcedass Ghose. In the matter of	15 W. R., 356	3496
v. Lal Mohun Ghose v. Puran Koomarce Bibee	16 W. R., 306	3911
v. Puran Koomarce Bibee	16 W. R., 304	2701
Kaleedass Mitter v. Debnarain Deb	13 W. R., 412	1756, 3815
Kaleedass Neogee v. Hur Nath Roy Chowdhry		
	W. R., 1864, 279	5415

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest, *
	14.377 D 181	1473, 1867
Kalee Debee v. Modboo Soodun Chowdhry	16 W. R., 171	3838
Kaleedhun Banerjee v. Romesh Chunder Dutt	3 W. R., 172	1594
Kaleedoss Acharjee v. Khettro Pal Singh Roy	17 W. R., 472	1755, 3557 5752
Kalee Doss Banerjee v. Nubeen Chunder Chatterjee	24 W. R., 91	2983
Kalee Doss Chatterjee v. Beharee Loll Mookerjee	2 W. R., 305	3157
Kalee Doss Ghose v. Lall Mohun Ghose	19 W. R., 307	1884
Kalee Doss Mittra v. Tarachand Roy	8 W. R., 316	1684 ·
Kalee Doss Mojoomdar v. Meheroonissa Khatoon	8 W. R., 482	1467
Kaleedoss Mookerjee v. Mothooranath Banerjee	5 W. R., 154	470, 5369 4957
Kaleedoss Neogy v. Huronath Roy Chowdhry	3 W. R., 4	3799
Kalee Doss Nuudee v. Ramguttee Dutt Kalee Doss Roy. In the matter of	18 W. R., 466	905
v. Khiroda Soonduree Debia	16 W. R., 300	318, 2266
Kaleedoss Sandyal v. Roy Luchmeeput Doogur	14 W. R., 145	- 5928
Kalee Haldar v. Sreeram Ghose	W. R., 1864, 366	3849
Kalee Hur Doss v. Roodressur Chuckerbutty : .	15 W. R., 90	5927
Kalee Kant Chowdhry v. Bhoobunnessuree Chowdhrain	22 W. R., 416	1626
v. Romonee Kant Bhuttachar-	3 W. R., 217	5315
jee.		1728
Kalee Kant Mojoomdar v. Watson	2 W. R., Act X, 75	4438
Kaleekanund Bhuttacharjee v. Gireebala Debia Kaleeka Pershad Bhuttacharjee v. Dhukhina Kalee }	10 W. R., 322	1
Dabee.	W. R., 1864, Mis., 34	95
Kalee Khan v. Jadee	5 N. W., 62	3692
Kalee Kinkur Bachusputty v. Kristo Mungal Bhutta-	11 W. R., 462	211
charjee.) Kalee Kinkur Roy v. Gobind Chunder Gooho	7 W. R., 50	5352
Kalee Kishen Biswas v. Jankee	8 W. R., 250	1593, 5179
Kalee Kishen Paul Chowdhry v. Jugut Tara	9 W. R., 334	3322
Kaleekishen Roy v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry .	24 W. R., 266	4192
Kalee Kishore Bose v. Prosono Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 248	3493, 3498
-	AND DATE	3508 3223
Kalee Kishore Chatterjee v. Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain v. Ram Churn Shah	6 W. R., 172	5193
v. Tarapershad Roy	4 W. R., 1	4005
Kalee Kishore Paul v. Monee Ram Singh	5 W. R., Act X, 46	3152
Kalee Kishore Roy v. Deen Dyal Sein	4 W. R., 83	5283
Kalee Kishore Sen v. Wise	17 W. R., 477	5923
Kalee Koomar Chuckerbutty v. Huro Chunder Chucker-	17 W. R., 177	5613
butty, Kalee Koomar Dutt v. Pran Kishoree Chowdhrain	1	4002
Kaice Koomary Dossee v. Shumbhoo Chunder Ghose .	22 W. R., 168	1633
Kalee Krishna Deb v. Shashonee Dassee	6 W. R., Act X, 23	5177
Kalee Kristo Roy Chowdhry v. Haran Chunder Dey .	19 W. R., 277	3333, 3343
Kalee Kristo Thakoor v. Mahomed Kadar	12 W. R., 428	756
Kalee Mohun Deb Roy v. Dhununjoy Shaha	6 W. R., 51	2196
Kalee Mohun Doss. In re	17 W. R., 70	5118
Kalee Mohun Paul v. Bholanath Chakladar	7 W. R., 138	2019
Kalee Mohun Sen v. Ram Soonder Sen	24 W. R., 243	4309
Kalee Mohun Sircar v. Humaun Kader Mahomed Ali .	25 W. R., 91	4604
Ali Mirza Bahadoor alias Ameer Saheb.	24 W. R., 311	5940
Kalee Monee Debia v. Collector of Mymensingh .	5 W. R., 55	43
	,	0014 154
Kalee Narain Bose v. Anund Moyee Goopta	21 W. R., 79	5983
	1	1

*		
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalee Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Coomar Chand .	W. R., 1864, 99	1946
	12 W. R., 231	4955
Kalee Narain Singh v. Protap Chunder Burooah	23 W. R., 228	1484
Kalee Narain Singh Burooa v. Chunder Narain Bukshee		4179
Kalee Nath v. Joy Doorga Dossee	11 W. R., 173	3802
Kalee Nath Chuckerbutty v. Reily	24 W. R., 272	3829
Kaleenath Doss v. Rajah Meah	22 W. R., 406	
Kaleenath Dutt. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 12	666
Kalee Nath-Ghosal v. Chundee Churn Sircar	10 W. R., 51	554
Kaleenath Kur v. Doyal Kristo Deb	13 W. R., 87	2021
Kalee Nath Roy v. Nila Ram Puramanick	7 W. R., 32	5673
Kalee Persaud Chowdhry v. Ram Soondur Sirear	12 W. R., 129	5930
	10 W D 410	1159, 1182
Kalee Pershad v. Lutafut Hossein	12 W. R., 418	4931
Kalee Pershad Moitra v. Itcha Moyee	24 W. R., 337	1567
Kalee Pershad Shaha v. Ram Pershad Shaha	18 W. R., 14	2854
Kaleepershad Singh v. Jankee Deo Narain	7 W. R., 9	3132
v. Poorno Debia	15 W. R., 398	85
	12 Moore's I. A., 343	4646
Kalee Pershad Tewaree v. Lalla Binda Lall	25 W. R., 72	759, 3448
Kalee Prosad v. Digambur Chatterjee	25 W. R., 12	1633
Kalee Prosunno Ghose v. Hurish Chunder Dutt	15 W. R., 57	3263
Kalee Prosutuo Mookerjee v. Koylash Monee Debia .	8 W. R., 126	5354
Kalee Puddo Ghose v. Monohur Mookerjee	7 W. R., 295	
Kalee Soondur Roy v. Dwarkanath Mojoomdar	18 W. R., 460	1993
Kalee Sunkur Bhadooree v. Eshan Chunder Bhadooree .	17 W. R., 529	2468
Kalee Sunkur Sannyal v. Denendronath Sannyal	23 W. R., 68	2079
Kaleetara Debia v. Nittianund Shaha	12 W. R., 90	1769, 1771
		5905
Kalee Tara Dossia v. Nobin Chunder Kur	21 W. R., 84	4668, 6196
Kaleshar Pershad v. Jagan Nath	I. L. R., 1 All., 650	4868
Kalian Bibi v. Safdar Husain Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 265	2677
Kaliandas v. Bhagirathi	I. L. R., 6 All., 47	1343
v. Ganga Sahai	I. L. R., 5 All, 500	1133, 4052
v. Nawal Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 620	231, 4053
	T T D 0 Pam 950	4426, 5681
Kalian Dayal v. Kalian Narer	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 259	5785
Kalian Mal v. Bhagwati	7 N. W., 119	4856
Kalian Singh v. Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 10	5739
	I. L. R., 4 All., 163	315, 4037
v. Gur Dayal	I. L. R., 6 All., 211	239, 6227
v. Pankuar	7 N. W., 338	2294
v. Pankuar	I. L. R., 7 All., 163	1419
Kalica Prosad Misser v. Gobind Chunder Sein	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 1	2710
Kali Chandra Chowdhry v. Ratan Gopal Bhadhuri	4 B. L. R., Ap., 62, note	1627
		1503, 2132
v. Shib Chandra Bhaduri {	D / 10	4652
		1190
Kali Chandra Singh v. Rajkishore Bhuddro	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 615	1100
Kali Charan v. Sriram	2 B. L. R., A. C., 192: 11 W.	4615
	R., 60.	
Kali Charan Chund. In re	B. L. R., Ap., 18: 18 W.	3881
	R., Cr., 27.	
Kali Charan Gir Gossain v. Bangshi Mohan Das .	6 B. L. R., 727: 15 W. R., 163.	2250, 5932
Kali Charan Rai v. Ajudhia Rai	I. L. R., 2 All., 148	6081
	10 C T. D 51	6266
Kali Churn Chunari. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 51	6266
In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 154	5837, 5851
Kali Churn Das v. Nobo Kristo Pal	9 C. L. R., 272	
Kali Churn Dutt v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	2 C. L. R., 354 · · ·	2702, 3355
Kali Churn Giri v. Golabi	2 C. L. R., 128	112, 1573

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kali Churn Lahiri v. Shoshee Bhoosun Sanyal	23 W. R. Cr., 17	957
Kali Churn Mitter v. Mahomed Soleem	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 33	3237
Kali Churn Mookerjee. In the matter of	11 C. L. R., 232	4624
Kali Coomar Chowdhry v. Ram Doss Shaha	W. R., 1864, 153	4156 338
Kalicoomar Sircar v. Bromomoyee Dossee Kalidas v. Municipality of Dhandhuka	1 W. R., 23 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 686	616
v. Vallabhdas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 79	5699
Kalidas Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note .	*4107, 4098
v. Mohendro Nath Chatterjee .	12 W. R., Cr., 40 .	966, 4095
Kalidas Damodhar. Ex parte	3 Bom., A. C., 175	3130, 3131
Kalidas Das v. Krishna Chandra Das	2 B. L. R., F. B., 103: 11 W. R., O. C., 11.	2333, 2334
Kalidas Kevaldas v. Nathu Bhagvan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 221 .	3227, 4277
Kalidas Mullick v. Kanhaya Lal Pundit	I. L. R., 11 Cale., 121 : L. R., }	2043, 2262
Kali Dass Ghose v. Chandra Mohini Dasi	11 I. A., 218. 8 W. R., 68	2270 5073, 5345
77 11 11 01 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 483: 11 C. ?	4877
Kalidhun Chuttapadhya v. Shiba Nath Chuttapadhya	L. R., 57.	40//
Kali Kamal Mazumdar v. Shib Suhai Sukul . {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 47: 11 W. R., 452.	533
Kalikant Roy Chowdry. In re	3 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 12 W. }	4738
Kalika Sahoy v. Gouree Sunkur	R., Cr., 54.	2392
Kali Kishen Tagore v. Golam Ali	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 3 L. R., 6 I. A., 190 : 5 C. L. ?	1426, 303
v. Jodoo Lal Mullick {	R., 97.	5286
Kalikishore Roy v. Dhununjoy Roy	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 228 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 232: 13)	3369, 452
Kali Komul Mozumdar v. Uma Sunkur Moitro . }	C. L. R., 379 : L. R., 10 1. A., 138.	2141, 228
Kali Koomar Chatterjee v. Tara Prosono Mookerjee	5 C. L. R., 517	674
Kalikrishna Chandra v. Harihar Chuckerbutty . {	1 B. L. R., A. C., 155: 10 W. R., 160.	163, 29
Kalikrishna Paul Chowdhry v. Jagattara	2 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 11 }	3322
Kali Krishna Tagore v. Fuzle Ali Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 843: 12 C. L. R., 592.	3031
v. Golam Ally	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 248	3034
Kalikristo Paul v. Ramchunder Nag	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 147: 9 C. ?	3091
Kali Kristo Tagore v. Judoo Lall Mullick	L. R., 461.	4895, 491
,	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 46: 8 C. L. }	
Kali Kristo Thakur v. Golam Ali Chowdhry .	R., 245. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W.	4489, 449
Kalikumar Chowdhry v. Ramdas Shaha	R., F. B., 165.	2207
Kali Kumar Mitter v. Ramgati Bhuttacharjee . {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 16 W. R., 84, note.	5650
Kali Kumar Roy v. Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty 💎 🧜	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 585 : 7 C. L. R., 562.	3881
Kalimohan Chatterjee v, Kali Krishna Roy Chowdhry {	2 B. L. R., Ap., 39: 11 W. R., 183.	1590, 5788
Kali Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Anandamoni Dabee	9 C. L. R., 18	3254
Kali Mundul v. Kader Nath Chuckerbutty	6 C. L. R., 215	49,84
Kali Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Abdool Guffoor Khan .	22 W. R., Cr., 24	4102, 5946
Kalinath Banerjee v . Mahomed Hossein $oldsymbol{\cdot}$. $igg\{$	6 B. L. R., 528, note: 13 W. R., 469.	1179
Kalinath Chowdry v. Humi Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 47, note: 12)	1626

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalindri Dabia v. Komolokanto Surma	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 439, note .	2857
Kaliparshad v. Ram Charan	I. L. R., 1 All., 159	2459 4728
Kali Pershad Sirdar v. Futteh Chund Dass Kalipershad Surma v. Bhoirabee Dabee	9 W. R., Cr., 16 2 W. R., 180	2309
Kalipershad Tewaree v. Perhlad Sein	12 Moore's I. A., 282	1030, 611
Kali Prasad Mazumdar v. Collector of Mymensingh	6 B. L. R., 261, note: 13 W. R., 366.	45,64
Kaliprasad Sing v. Jainarayan Roy	3 B. L. R., A. C., 23:11 W. R., 361.	1466, 428
Kaliprasad Tewari v. Prahlad Sen	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I.	1030, 611
Kali Prasanna Rai v. Dhananjai Ghose	A., 282.) I. L. R., 11 Calc., 625	3
Kali Prasanna Roy v. Ambica Charan Bose	9 B. L. R., 261 : 18 W. R., 3	4618
Kaliprosad Banerji v . Gisborne and Co $\left\{ \right.$	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 61: 13 C. } L. R., 156.	1233
Kaliprosad Rai v. Meher Chandra Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 222 : 2 C. L. } R., 478.	2832
Cali Prosonno Ghose. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 575	4363
v. Kamini Soonduri Chowdhrain §	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 475 : 3 C. L. R., 184.	4.000
Kaliprosunno Bagchee. In the matter of the petition of .	23 W. R., Cr., 39	1313
Kali Prosunno Roy. In the matter of the petition of .	23 W. R., Cr., 58	4509
ali Pudo Banerjee v. Choitun Pandah	22 W. R., 214	412
Tali Shunker Dass v. Gopal Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 104 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 49 : 6 C. L.)	3178
Calishunkur Doss v	R., 543.	5093
Caliyugam Chetti v. Chokalinga Pillai	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 105	1998
Calka Parshad v. Kanhaya Singh	7 N. W., 99	5070
alkapershad v. Budree Sah	3 N. W., 267	2334, 244 5625
alka Prasad v. Ram Din allachand Paul v. Dheeraj Mahatab Chand	I. L. R., 5 All., 272	3509
allacolathuran v. Subbaroya Reddi	18 W. R., 190	4835
		1468, 14
allapa bin Girmallappa v. Venkatesh Vinayak	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 676 . {	4959
allaram Dhur v. Joogul Kishore Surmah	23 W. R., 290	5280
allati Kunju Meuon v. Palat Erracha Menon	2 Mad., 162	3756
allayetti Kuriyil Kumholen Kutty v. Nilambur	8 Mad., 17	· 1429
Thackarakavil Mana Vikaramen alias Thirumulpad. }	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 18	2568
allee Coomar Roy v. Gunganarain Dutt Roy	10 W. R., 250	1072
allee Mundle. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 278	5290
alleepersaud Singh v. Kupoor Koowaree	4 W. R., 65	2412
allee Porshad Holdar v. Soobhogea Dabea	1 W. R., 218	5073 2915
allee Singh v. Moorlee Ram allee Sunkur Roy v. Kishto Doolal Chowdhry	1 W. R., 135	4907
allian Banerji v. Modhusudun Banerji	8 C. L. R., 259	4311
alliandas Kirparam v. Trikamlal Gulabhai	9 Bom., 307	2674
alliappa Kaundan v. Vayapuri Kaundan	2 Mad., 442	1359
allida Pershad Dutt v. Ram Hari Chuckerbutty .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 317	540, 45
allipershad Singh v. Grant	2 Hay, 329	1084 3757
alliyani v. Narayana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 266	3560
Lallouas v. Collector of Backergunge	11 W. R., 109 25 W. R., 121	2767
Kallooram Baboo v. Doorganath Talookdar	10 W. R., 175	2712
Callu v. Muhammad Abdul Ghani	I. L. R., 7 All., 564	3503

Name of Case. Volume and	Page. Column
	Digest.
Kallu Mal v. Brown I. L. R., 3 All., 5 Kallum Sheikh v. Panchoo Mundul 11 W. R., 128	04 · . 5246 · 2971
Kallyan v. Douluta 1 Agra, 79 .	1394
Kally Churn Banerjee v. Gopal Chunder Banerjee . 26 W. R., 100	582
Kally Churn Dutt v. Protab Chunder Ghose 5 C. L. R., 484	215
v. Protap Chunder Ghose . { I. L. R., 8 Calc., L. R., 407.	31 9506
Kally Churn Sahoo v. Secretary of State for India { I.L. R., 6 Calc., R., 90.	3 0 200, 4102
Kally Churn Shaw v. Dukhee Bibee	92 : 5 C. L. } 2440, 3413
Kally Churn Singh v. Bunker Singh 7 W. R., Cr., 26	4735
Kally Doss Banerjee v. Bonomalee Doss W. R., 1864, Act	
v. Mutty Lall Chuckerbutty . Marsh., 483	907
Kally Doss Bhadury v. Golam Ali Chowdhry 3 C. L. R., 237	1919
Kally Doss Mitter v. Gobind Chunder Paul Kally Mohun Mookerjee v. Empress	1708
Kally Mohun Pal v. Dino Nath Chuckerbutty 8 C. L. R., 34	1924
Kally Mohun Paul v. Bholanath Chakladar	469, 3384
Kallymohan Roy Chowdhry v. Ramjoy Mundul 2 Hay. 289	4588
Kelly Nath Naugh Chowdhry v. Chunder Nath Naugh L. L. R., 8 Calc., Chowdhry.	878 : 10 C. } 2567
Kally Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Lawrie	
Kally Nauth Shaw v. Rajeeb Lochun Mookerjee 2 Ind. Jur., N. S	, 122 1704
Kallynauth Shaw v. Rajeeblochun Mozoomdar 2 Ind. Jur., N. S	, 343 1704, 4398
Kally Persad Sein Chowdhry v. Mohesh Chunder 1 Hay, 430	4987, 5064
Kallypersaud Singh v. Hoolas Chund 10 B. L. R., 448	20 W. R., 8. 5715
v. Jankee Deo Narain 7 W. R., 9 .	3509
Kally Prosad Roy v. Sarferaz Alli 1 C. L. R., 399	3914
Kally Prosonno Bose v. Dinonath Mullick	19 W. R., 858, 1893
Kally Prosonno Ghose v. Gocool Chunder Mitter I. L. R., 2 Calc.,	295 . 4246
Kally Prosonno Hazra v. Heera Lal Mundle . I. L. R., 2 Calc.,	
Kally Prosono Mitter v. Gopee Nath Kur 7 C. L. R., 241	2564
Kally Prosonno Sein v. Kisto Nund Dundee . W. R., 1864, Act	
Kaliyprosunno Biswas v. Mungala Dassee I. L. R., 9 Calc.,	
Krally Prosunno Chatterjee. In the matter of the 7 W. R., 224	3847
Kally Soondery Dabia. In the matter of the petition of { I. L. R., 6 Calc., R., 543.	594 : 7 C. L. 3095
v. Hurish Chunder Chowdury { I. L. R., 6 Calc., R., 543.	594 : 7 C. L. 3095
Kally Sunkur Sundyal v. Huro Sunkur Sundyal 7 W. R., 29	3331
Kalo Vilkanth v. Lakshmibai	637 . 3372 note: 10 W. } 3701
R., 12.	3 0.01
Kaloo Rai v. Muhunt Rai Kalova kem Bhujangrav v. Padapa walad Bhujangrav I. L. R., 1 Bom.,	
Kalpagathachi v. Ganapathi Pillai I. L. R., 3 Mad.,	184 . 1915, 5597
Kalsumnissa v. Wahidumnissa	176, note . 3332
Kalu v. Kashibai alias Lakshmibai I. L. R., 7 Bom.,	127 2421
Kalub Hossein v. Ali Hossein 4 N. W., 3	1 164
v. Deen Ali	206
v. Mehrum Beebee 4 N. W., 155	3662
	543 5125, 6075
Kalu bin Bhiwaji v. Vishram Mawaji I. L. R., 1 Bom., Kalu bin Visaji v. Damodhar Govind 9 Bom., 92	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kalu Narayan Kulkarni v. Hanmapa Kalu Ram Maigraj v. Madras Railway Company Kalu Ram Maigraj v. Madras Railway Company Kalu Ram v. Lala Dhanukdhari Sahai Kalyanbhai v. Motiram Jamnadas Kalyanbhai v. Motiram Jamnadas Kalyanbhai Dipchand v. Ghanashamlal Jadunathji Kalytara Chowdharin v. Kam Coomar Goopta { Kamakshi v. Nagarathnam Kamakshi Achari v. Appavu Pillai Kamakshi Ammal v. Chidambara Reddi Kamala Kant Ghose v. Kalu Mahomed Mandal { Kamalam v. Sadagopa Sami Kamalam v. Fitchacotty Chetty Kamala Najken v. Pitchacotty Chetty Kamala Nayak v. Ranga Rau Kamal Chandra Bose v. Nobin Ghandra Ghose { Kamalooddeen v. Anoo Mundul Kamal Sp. v. Batul Fatina	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 435 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 240 24 W. R., 446 11 C. L. R., 361 10 Bom., 378 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 29 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 466: 9 C. L., R., 114 5 Mad., 161 1 Mad., 448 3 B. L. R., A. C., 44: 11 W., R., 395. L. L. R., 1 Mad., 356 10 Mcore's I. A., 386 1 Mad., 24 1 B. L. R., S. N., 12: 10 W., R., 184 1 B. L. R., S. N., 12: 10 W., R., 184 1 C. L. R., 564 L. L. R., 2 All., 440	Digest. 2007 3278, 4710 421 3346 5384 1917, 312 3465 5464, 5479 2306 1069 2082, 5268 1104 2221, 5285 437, 3006 8108 1185 8167, 6053
Kamaluddin Husen Khan v. Bulka Manji Kamaludin Husen Khan v. Partap Mota Kamaraja v. Secretary of State for India Kamara v. Secretary of State for India Kamar un-nissa Bibi v. Husaini Bibi Kamat v. Kamat Kamatchi Ammal v. Agjiland Ammal Kamatchi Ammal v. Agjiland Ammal Kamavathani Venkata Subhaya v. Joysa Narasiugappa Kamayaya v. Leman Kambhai v. Himat Singji Kambinayani Javaji Subbarajulu Nayani Varu v. Uddi- ghiri Venkataraya Chetty. Kamaekhapershad Mookrieo v. Larmour	4 Bom, A. C., 49 1. L. R., 6 Bom, 244 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 22 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 22 1. L. R., 8 Bom, 368 1. L. R., 6 Bom, 368 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 334 3 Mad., 116 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 37 1. L. R., 8 Bom, 415 2 Mad., 268 { W. R., F. B., 86	3065 1122, 1141 6091 3655, 3681 247, 5774 2852 2502 2848 2895 3112, 3129 8795 244
Kameenee Dossee v. Bissouath Ghose Kamenee Dossee v. Horromoney Dossee Kameshuree Dassee v. Court of Wards Kameshuree Pershad v. Run Bahadur Singh Kameshure Pershad v. Dowlut Ram v. Kadir Khan Kameswar Pershad v. Run Bahadur Singh Kamishaprasad Roy v. Jagadamba Dasi	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 6 Bourke, O. C., 91: Cor., 151 12 W. R., 251 1. L. R., 12 Cale, 458 19 W. R., 83 20 W. R., 393 1. L. R., 6 Cale., 843: 8 C. L. R., 861: L. R., 8 I. A., 8 S	6200 770, 4676 2058 834 3921 3250 2194, 4156 2194, 2210
Kaminee Debia e. Lesur Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Protap Chunder Sandyal v. Radha Sham Kooudoo Kaminee Dossee e. Bishonath Shaha v. Gourmoney Dossee	22 W. R., 39 25 W. R., 103 18 W. R., 58 9 W. R., 214 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 359	3245 2956 2946 5684 5411
Kaminee Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Kant Sein Kaminee Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Mudhoo Soodun Mookerjee Kamini Debi v. Ramlochan Sirkar	14 W. R., 275	4160 5708 1667, 3926
Kamini Sundari Chaodhrani v . Kali Prossunno Ghose $\{$	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 225 : L. R., 12 I. A., 215.	1080, 2831
Kaminy Mohun Somoddar v. Gopal	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 479 : 10 C. L. R., 519.	1866
Kammana Kallacheri Illath Vassudavan Nambudri v. Chembrakandy Mussa Kutty.	6 Mad., 138 }	3212

9		Column
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Kamod Poory v. Sheo Poory	1 N. W., 167 : Ed. 1873, 246	4344
Kamona Soondury Dassee v. Hurro Lall Shaha . {	L. R., 8 Calc., 570: 10 C.	4668
Kampilikaribasavappa v. Soma Samuddiram	1 Mad., 183	1811
Kamran v. Neitram	1 Mad., 183 6 N. W., 185	3244
Kamruddin Dai v. Sonatun Mandal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 449	2778 2739
Kamul Kaminee Dassee v. Obhoy Churn Ghose Kanahia v. Ram Kishen	15 W. R., 151 I. L. R., 2 All., 429	2864
Kanahia Lal v. Kali Din	I. L. R., 2 All., 392	4775
v. Muhammad Husain Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 11	3886
Kanahi Lal v. Naubat Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 519	194
Kanahi Ram v. Biddya Ram Kanahal Jowhari v. Kamini Debi	I. L. R., 1 All., 549 1 B. L. R., O. C., 31. note .	2276, 2442 1822, 4233
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 777 : 8 C. L.	
Kanai Lall Khan v. Sashi Bhuson Biswas	R., 117.	4290, 5055
Kanai Prasad Bose v. Hira Chand Manu	5 B. L. R., Ap., 71: 14 W.	1363, 5431
	R., 120.	3878
Kanakamma v. Venkataratnam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 586 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 310	3764
Kanara Paniker v. Ryrappa Paniker	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 212	4473
Kanayalal v. Chagmal Battia	8 B. L R., 412	5989
v. Pyarabai	I. L. R., 7 Born., 139	1784, 3962
Kanaye Mollah v. Debnath Roy Kanchan Singh v. Sheo Prasad	3 W. R., Act X, 161 I. L. R., 2 All., 291	536 3531
Kan Chun Malla Dossia v. Rajendro Chunder Roy	18 W. R., 126	562
Chowdhry. Kandan Chetti v. Coorjee Seit	2 Mad., 187	1102
Kandasami v. Doraisami Ayyar		2450
Kandasami Pillai v. Moidin Saib	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 317 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 337	1997
Kandiya Lal v. Chandar	I. L. R., 7 All., 313	628, 525
Kandoth Mammi v. Neelan Cherayil Abdu Kalandan Kandu v. Konda	8 Mad., 14	1701, 2800 4427
Kandunni v. Katiamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 251	4986
Kangal Chandra Ruj v. Kanye Lall Ruj	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 69	1449
Kangalee Churn Ghosal v. Bonomalee Mullick .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709: 7	3513
Kangalee Churn Josh v. Dursunee Dossee	W. R., 515.	5123
Kangali Churn Sha v. Zomurrudonnissa Khatoon .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 709: 8 C.)	3284
	L. R., 154.	
Kanhaiya Lal v. Domingo	I. L. R., 1 All., 732	402
Kanhaya Lal v. Stowell	I. L. R., 3 All., 581 . }	1772, 1773 5834
Kanbya Lall v. Radha Churn	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 662: 2 Ind Jur., N. S., 229: 7 W.	2780
Kanhya Lall Pundit v. Dinonath Sircar	R., 338. 17 W. R., 23	4130
Kanhyalal Pundit v. Collector of Cuttack	14 B. L. R., 291, note : 16 W.)	842
Kanhye Chand Gossamee v. Ram Chunder Gossamee	R., 275. 24 W. R., 81	381
Kanhye Roy v. Hyder Buksh	25 W. R., 29	4270
Kanhye Singh v. Oomadhur Bhutt Kanitkar v. Joshi	21 W. R., 291	5447
Kanizak Sukina v. Monohur Das	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 442 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 204	4830 488
Kanji Ladha v. Dhonde Kondaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 729	1522, 1730
Kanji Mal v. Kanhia Lal	I. L. R., 7 All., 373	794
V. Sailo	L. L. R., 8 All., 116	5462
Kankatala Chellamaiya v. Poleshetti Papaiya	6 Mad., 36	916

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kannan v. Nilakandan Kanna Pisharodi v. Kombi Achen	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 337 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 381	3427 3759, 3764
Kannoth Tuluvan Paramban Kunhali v. Vannathan ?		3766
Vittil Kinathe. Kannye Lall Sett v. Nistoriny Dossee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 443	3011
Kanoo Mundle v. Rahumoollah Mundle	W. R., 1864, 269	5650 1127
Kanooram Sriman v. Golap Chand Nowluckha	24 W. R., 178	3743
Kantee Chunder Dutt v. Gopee Madhub Neogee	11 W. R., 3	6173
Kanteti Venkayya v. Balabhadrapatruni Kotayya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 153 I. L. R., 2 All., 396	4826 221
Kanthi Ram v. Bankey Lal Kanth Narain Singh v. Prem Lall Paurcy	3 W. R. 102	1407, 403
Kanti Chunder Mookerjee v. Bamun Doss Mookerjee .	25 W. R., 434	3388
Kantiram v. Woli Sahu	2 B. L. R., A. C., 330: 11 } W. R., 251.	3710
Kantoo Lall v. Girdharee Lall	9 W. R., 469	2164
Kanto Prosad Hazari v. Asad Ali Khan	5 C. L. R., 452	3280 4828
Kanu Khandu v. Krishna Bhulaji Shet	B. L. R., Snp. Vol., 927: 9 }	211
Kanya Lali Pundit v. Radha Muddun Mohun Doss	W. R., 223.	5990
Kanye Lall Khan v. Khettermoney Dossee	5 C. L. R., 105 2 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 11 W. }	5745
Kapahi Bewa v. Keshram Kuch	R., 93.	2007
Kapalavaya Saraya. Ex parte	2 Mad., 247 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 142	2007
Kapilnauth Sahai Deo v. Government	10 B. L. R., 168	6020
2	13 B. L. R., 445 : 22 W. R.,	2003, 2223 3127
Kappusvamiayyan v. Nannuvayyun	17. 1 Mad., 74	289
Kaptan v. Smith	7 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 16 W.	2582
Karakar Nachiar. Ex parte	3 Mad., 254	1349
Karakavalasa Appayya v. Karanam Papayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 256	3532
Karam Ali v. Halima Karamat Khan v. Sami-ud-din	I. L. R., 1 All., 686 I. L. R., 8 All., 409	4085
Karam Khan a Darvai Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 331	1227
Karau Singh v. Bakar Ali Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 1: L. R., 9	3414
v. Mohan Lal	I. A., 99. I. L. R., 5 Alls, 9	4001
v. Muhammad Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 7 All., 860 .	4419, 454
V. Ram Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 96 3 Mad., 368	4767 6228
Kareemooddeen v. Moeizooddeen Khan	1 Agra, 184	3727
Karim v. Muhammad Kadar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 89	5259 2650
Karim Baksh v. Misri Lal Karim Baksh Khan v. Phula Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 295 I. L. R., 8 All., 102	4556
Karimbhai v. Conservator of Forests	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 222 . (416, 432 4421
W. L. D. L.L. D. L.	I. L. R., 1 All., 249	2856
v. Kamr-ud-deen Ahmad	6 N. W., 377 · · ·	3716
Karim Khatav v. Pardhan Manji	2 Bom., 292: 2nd Ed., 276 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 119	3695 3664
Karimodin v. Alam Khan Karim Shah v. Nattan Biyi	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 417	3168
Karim Sheikh v. Mukhoda Soondery Dassee	15 B. L. R., 111 : 23 W. R., ?	582, 592
Karman Bibi v. Misrilal	268. I. L. R., 2 All., 904	227
Karoo Kahar v. Nauboo Singh	24 W. R., 380	5677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Karoo Lall Thakoor v. Forbes	8 W. R., 86	4754 1701, 3843 2886
Karoo Singh v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 80: 13 C. L. R., 261.	5124
Karpakambal v. Subbayyan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 234	801
Kartick Chandra Pal v. Sridhar Mandal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 563	5063 4100
Kartick Chunder Bal v. Cliunder Nath Chuckerbutty • Kartick Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gour Mohun Roy • .	15 W. R., Cr., 56 1 W. R., 48	2198
Kartick Chunder Mookerjee v. Mooktaram Sircar	10 W. R., 21	898
Kartick Churn Setty v. Gopalkisto Paulit	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 264 · ·	1129, 2816 2195
Kartick Kurmokar v. Dhunnomonee Goopto Kartick Napit v. Personomoyee Naptinee	W. R., 1864, 268	2772
Kartick Nath Panday v. Khakun Singh	1 C. L. R., 328	4795
v. Roy Nundeput Mahatab	23 W. R., 263	4425
Kartick Nath Pandey v. Government	11 W. R., 58	1084 4284
Kartick Nath Parray v. Chummun Roy Kartick Paramanick v. Kishen Mohun Mitter	21 W. B., 51 22 W. R., 329	1439
Kartick Surmah v. Bydonath Saeenee	10 W. R., 205	5327
Kartic Nath Pandy v. Padmanund Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 496	4714
Kartik Chandra Sirkar v. Kartik Chandra Dey . 🗧	3 B. L. R., A. C., 166: 11 W. R., 522.	6071
Karunakar Mahati v. Niladhro Chowdhry	5 B. L. R., 652: 14 W. R.,	3069
Karuppa v. Arumuga	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 383	1572
Karuppa Goundan v. Kalanthayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 91	5245
Karuppan v. Ayyathorai	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 445 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 482	199 999
Karuppanan v. Muthunnan Servey	5 Mad., 105	3481
Karuppana Nayak v. Nallamma Nayak	1 Mad., 209	632
Karuppan Chetti v. Veriyal	4 Mad., 1	2476, 3192 3260, 3598
Karuppa Tevan v. Vasudeva Sastri	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 152	2205
Karuthan v. Subramanya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 203	419
Karuthasami v. Jaganatha	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 478	4995
Kaputhedatta alias Pullakatt Neelakadan Namboodri v. Mele Pullakatt Vassa Devan Namboodri.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 236 .	2339
Karyan v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307	1425
Kasam Pirbhai. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 95	3647, 3648 3741
Kasandas Laldas v. Pranjivan Asharam	7 Bom., A. C., 146	4394
Kasee Kant v. Gopal Kisto Moitro	W. R., 1864, 314	4190
Kaseenath Nusker v. Bama Soondery Dossia Kaseenauth v. Bheekaree Loll	10 W. R., 429	1619
Kascenauth Moor v. Reejoonissa	W. R., F. B., 79	3955 4399, 4898
Kashee Chunder Dey v. Bungshee Buddun Dey	23 W. R., 89	1451, 1455
Kashee Chunder Dutt v. Judoonath Chuckerbutty	10 W. R., 29	5745
Kashee Chunder Mojoomdar v. Seetul Chunder Tulla-	17 W. R., 151	1736
Kashee Chunder Roy v. Doorga	11 W. R., 410	211
Kashee Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Gour Kishore Gooho .	10 W. R., 139 ,	2497
Kashee Chunder Turkobhoosun v. Kally Prosunno Chowdhry.	9 W. R., 452	3114
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry v. Alip Muudul {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 149: 7 C. L. R., 107.	1189, 1639
v. Bama Soondaree	23 W. R., 27	135, 5985
Debia Chowdhrain,		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry v. Kishen Chunder Sandyal.	(10 11 . 16, 100	820
v. Kristo Chunder Sandyal Chowdhry.	} 22 W. R., 464	5004
v. Noor Khan .	. 7 W. R., 45	810
Kashee Lal v. Ameer Jan	. 2 N. W., 347	862
Kashee Mohun Roy v. Raj Gobind Chuckerbutty Kasheenath Deb v. Shibessuree Debia	. 24 W. R., 229 8 W. R., 503	2290
Kasheenath Dhur v. Gudadhur Paul	11 W. R., 374	325, 490 1159
Kasheenath Doss v. Bhowanee Dossce	. W. R., 1864, Mis., 18	4953
r i wai w i Diliti Diment Des	10 W D 040	1355, 189 2792, 280
Kashee Nath Kooer v. Debkristo Ramanooj Dess	· 16 W. R., 240 }	2792, 280
Kasheenath Koonwar v. Bunko Beharee Chowdhry	. 12 W. R., 440	3814, 450 3125, 535
Kashee Nath Mookerjee v. Mohesh Chunder Goopto	. 25 W. R., 168	303, 447
Kasheenath Punee v. Lukhmonee Pershad Patnaik	· 19 W. R., 99	3012
Kasheenath Roy v. Luckheenarain Chatterjee Kasheenath Roy Chowdhry v. Mynuddeen Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 91	5121, 513 2691
v. Shabitree Soonduree Dos	ee 10 W. R., 156	564, 517
0,	- 11 W. R., 402	5927
Kasheenath Sein v. Chundermonee Debia	9 W. R., 288	1204
Kasheenath Shaha v. Jogendro Nath Baboo Kashee Pershad v. Debi Das	· 22 W. R., 68 · 7 N. W., 77	3174 775
Kashee Pershad Roy v. Shib Chunder Deb	2 W. R., Mis., 3	3512
Kashee Pershad Sen Neogee v. Jamir Paikar .	• 2 C. L. R., 265	546, 166
Kashee Ram v. Gunga Pershad	2 N. W., 304 23 W. R., 227	528
Kasheeshuree Debia v. Greesh Chunder Lahoree	W. R., 1864, 71	583 2318
Kashee Singh v. Onraet	• 5 W. R., Act X, 81	4863
Kasheshuree Dassee v. Krishna Kamenee Debia .	• 2 Hay, 557	2242, 413
Kasheshuree Debia v. Greesh Chunder Lahooree Kashi v. Mendee Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 64 2 Agra, Rev., 8	414 2915
Kashibai v. Tatia	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 221	2128
Kashi Bashi Ramling Swamee v. Chilumberaath Kooma	20 W. R., 217	2244
Swamee.	, ,	
Kashi Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Yar Mahomed .	· 21 W. R., Cr., 10 10 B. L. R., 441: 19 W. R.,	4104
Kashi Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition of	{ Cr., 47	4722
v. Hurkishore Doss	5 10 B. L. R., 441: 19 W. R., ?	4722
Kashi Chunder Sen. In the matter of the petition of	Cr., 47. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 266: 10 C. L. R., 91.	3852
Kashidas Govindbhai v. B., B. and C. I. Railway Co.	6 Bom., A. C., 114	3285
Kashi Kisher Roy v. Tarini Kant Lahori	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 76: 15 W. ?	4490
Kashi Nath v. Mukhta Prasad	R., Cr., 42, note.	1473
Kashinath Balal Ok. Ex parte	5 Bom., A. C., 84	5899
Kashi Nath Chatterjee v. Chandi Charan Banerjee	(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 383: 5)	1816
Kashi Nath Chuckerbutty v. Brindabun Chuckerbutty	W. R., 68 I. L. R. 10 Calc., 649	1821
Kashi Nath Dass v. Hurrihur Mockerjee	[I. L. R., 9 Cale., 898: 13 C.)	1826
Kashinath Ghose v. Dinobundhoo Mytee	t 1. K., 11.	
Kashinath Khargivala v. Collector of Poons.	16 W. R. Cr., 72 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 553	3864 2948
Kashinath Morsheth v. Ramchandra Gopinath	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 408	5015
Kashinath Roy v. Nowcowry Koondoo	. 1 W. R., 22	1823
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	5 W. R., 297	3215

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Kashinath Roy Chowdhry v. Roy Dwarkanath Chucker-	7 W. R., 61	316
butty. Kashinath Sitaram Oze v. Dadki	6 Bom., A. C., 211	4156
Kashinath Vithal v. Dajigovind	7 Bom., A. C., 102	1048
Kashinath Roy v. Mynooddeen Chowdhry	W. R., F. B., 146	5787
Kashi Prasad v. Miller	I. L. R., 7 All., 752	833
Kashiram yalad Krishna v. Bhadu Bapuji	7 Bom., A. C., 17	2836, 5650
Kashti v. Narayan Dhulappa	3 Bom., A. C., 110	5481
Kashy Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Surbanand Shaha .	I. L. R., 12 Cale., 317	451
Kasi Chandra Dutt v. Jadunath Chuckerbutty . {	8 B. L R., 512, note: 10)	5690
	W. R., 29.	
Kasi Chunder Mozumdar. In the matter of the peti-	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 440 : 7 C. L. R., 334.	1969, 5521 5524
Kasi Kant Bhuttacharji v. Rohini Kant Bhuttacharji {	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 325: 7 C. L. R., 342.	. 548
Kasim Ajim Duplay v. Kusim Mohammed Barucha Kasim Ali v. Shadee	2 B. L. R., A. C., 59 3 N. W., 21	5584 4930, 5667
Kasim Ali Khan v. Birj Kishore	2 N. W., 182	1360, 142
	.) (4858, 522
Kasimannissa Bibi v. Hurannissa Bibi	7 B. L. R., Ap., 8	3912
Kasim Hussein v. Sharif-un-nissa Kasim Mian v. Banda Husain	I. L. R., 5 All., 285	3678
i i	I. L. R., 5 All., 616 2. B. L. R., A. C., 265 ; 11)	3021, 520
Kasimuddi Khandkar v. Nadir Ali Tarafdar	W. R., 164.	320, 159
Kasimunissa Bibi v. Hills	6 B. L. R., Ap., 125: 15 W. R., 127.	5622
Kasimunnissa Bibee v. Nilratna Bose	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 79: 9 C. L. S., 173: 10 C. L. R., 113.	3424, 354 3957, 425 5397
Kasimunnissa Bibi v. Hurannissa Bibi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 6	3912
Kasinath Koowar v. Bankubehari Chowdhry . ,	3 B. L., R., A. C., 446	3125, 535
Kasinath Roy Chowdhry. In re	7 B. L. R., 146, note	5927
Kasinath Shaha v. Dwarkanath Sirkar	9 B. L. R., 215: 17 W. R.,	
	550.	777, 624
Kasi Persad Narain v. Kawalbasi Kooer	5 Moore's I. A., 146	4649
Kassee Nauth Roy Chowdhry v. Hullodhur Roy	2 W. R., 60	1197, 5463
Kussim Biswas. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 335	4733
Kassim Hassim Soorty v. Abrahim Soleman	25 W. R., Cr., 24	4485, 4499
Kassim Mundle v. Noor Bibee	1 W. R., 76	1817
Kassirav R. Saheb Holkar v. Vithaldas Mangalji	1 Hyde, 160	3544
Kastalino v. Rustomji Dadabhoy	10 Bom., 100	898
Kasturbai v. Shivajiram Devkurna	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 468 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 372	4409
		2426 303, 2179
Kastur Bhavani v. Appa	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 621	5819
Kasturchand v. Ravji Sadashiv	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 65	4068, 5896
Kasturahut Iarranahat a Dama Kanhaii		6181
Kasturshet Javershet v. Rama Kanhoji Kasublal Dey v. Tremearne	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 65	1890
Kasum v. Shai sta Bibi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 12	6274
Katakam Venkaiya v. Bhupalam Pedda Mullasappah .	7 N. W., 313 4 Mad., 142	3682
Katchy Kullyana Rungappa Kalaka Thola Oodiar v.	3 W. R., P. C., 50: 7 Moore's	779
Baloosamy Chetty.	I. A., 224.	1506
Kateeram Dokanee v. Gendhenee	23 W. R., 178	2275
Kathaperumal v. Venkabai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194	2316, 5392
Katiyanee v. Mahomed Shurfooddeen	3 Agra, 189	1347
Kattama Nachiar v. Bothagurusami Tevar		

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kattama Nachiar v. Subrarama Aiyan	4 Mad., 302	3334
Kattama Natchiar v. Dorasinga Taver	15 B. L. R., 83: 23 W. R.,)	1413
	314: L. R., 2 I. A., 169.	6042
v. Muhammad Mira Ravutan .	7 Mad., 150	2314, 2325
Kattama Nauchear v. Rajah of Shivagungah .	2 W. R., P. C., 31: 9 Moore's	2329, 2342
Landina Little Control of Languar of Control of Control of Languar of Control	I. A., 539.	2514, 2779
Kattamuri Jagappa v. Padalu Latchappa	I. L. R, 5 Mad., 119	4767
Kattaperumal Pillai v. Panchanadam Pillai	3 Mad , 339	4054
Kattasawmy v, Sandama Naik	5 Mad., 294 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 353 : 3 W. 7	3594
Katte Monee Dossee v. Mon Mohinee Dabce .	R., 51.	43
Kattyanee Chowdhrain v. Madhubnarain Roy Chowdhry	4 W. R., 68	879
Kattyanee Debia v. Girish Chunder Banerjee	23 W. R., 168	4267
Kattyani Debea v. Soonduree Debea	2 W. R., Act X, 60	1618
Kauleshar Panday v. Girdhari Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 338	2862
Kauleshar Rai v. Dost Muhammad Khan	I. L. R., 5 All., 274	• 237
Kaundan v. Muttammal	3 Mad., 92	3378
Kavarbai v. Alam Khan	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 170 10 Bom., 411	3674 1939
Kavasji Framji v. Wallace	1 Bom., 113	2802
Kavasji Sorabji v. Barjorji Sorabji	10 Bom, 224	3192
Kaviraja Sundara Murteya Pillai v. Nalla Naikan }	'3 Mad., 93	. 164
Kawa Manji v. Khowaz Nussio	5 C. L. R., 278	6003
Kay v. Poorunchand Poonalal	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 631	4629
Kazi Chundra Mozoomdar v. Juggut Chundra ? Mozoomdar.	7 C. L. R., 330	5521, 5524
Keamuddin v. Allah Buksh	15 W. R., Cr., 51	4332
Kearnes v . Bhawani Charan Mitter $\{$	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 54: W. ?	6154
	R., F. B., 167.	. 3800
Kebul Kishen Doss v. Jaminee	5 W. R., Act X, 47	4845, 4910
Kebul Kristo Dass v. Ram Coomar Shah	9 W. R., 571	2090
Kebul Mahton v. Sunnoo	5 W. R., Act X, 80	5189
Kebul Ram v. Government	5 W. R., 47	3270
Kebul Ram Mundul v. Wells	24 W. R., 454	6092
Kedaetollah v. Karloo	7 W. R., 73	5748
Kedari bin Rann v. Atmarambhat	3 Rom., A. C., 11	1081, 2213 531
Kedar Notice Dossee v. Children Cooling Roy	I. L. R., 4 All., 165	82
v. Rughonath	6 N. W. 104	2846
Kedaruath Achariee v. Bhugwan Chunder Nundee	2 W. R., 153	4173
Kedarnath Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Ram Bhuttacharjee .	5 W. R., 270	196
Kedar Nath Biswas v. Huro Pershad Roy Chowdhry .	23 W. R., 207	578
Kedarnath Chuckerbutty v. Donzelle	20 W. R., 352 {	1686, 3636 3703
v. Gopeenath Ghose	23 W. R., 426	1733, 5696
Kedar Nath Coondoo Chowdhry v. Hemangini Dassi	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 336 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 626 : 8 C. L. ?	2418
Kedar Nath Doss v. Protab Chunder Doss	R., 238.	203, 3100
Kedarnath Dutt v. Harachand Dutt	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 420	3464
v. Seeva Veyana Rana Luchman Chetty.	1 C. L. R., 336	426
v. Shamloll Khettry §	11 B. L. R., 405 : 20 W. R.,	1527, 4771

Name of Case,		Volume and	Page.	Column of Digest.
Kedar Nath Joardar v. Surfoonnissa Bibee		W. R., 425		1770
Kedarnath-Mahata v. Kadumbinee Debea Kedar Nath Mitter v. Alisar Rohoman. In the matt	tan 3	W. 1t., 239		4175
of.	3 10	C. L. R., 197		5277
v. Sookoomaree Debia	. 22	2 W. R., 398 L. R., 9 Calc.,	ées. 19 O	3060
v. Surendro Deb Roy	5	L. R., 58.	3	2701
Kedarnath Mookerjee v. Mathuranath Dutt	{ 1	B. L. R., A. C., R., 59.	17 : 10 W.	332, 2081
v. Mohesh Chunder Paulit . v. Parbutty Peishkar .	: 1 2	W. R., 67 W. R., 267	: : :	4174 5267
Kedarnath Nag v. Khetturpaul Sritirutno	{ I.	L. R., 6 Calc.,	34: 6 C. L.	3952
Kedar Nath Pakrasee v. Doya Moyee Debia	20	R., 569.) W. R., 49		1219, 2696
Kedarnauth Doss v. Protab Chunder Doss	{ I.	L. R., 6 Calc	., 626 : 8 C.)	294, 4153
Kedar Pooree v. Kullan Khan	. 1	L. R., 238. Agra, Rev., 56		1588
Keefe v. Christie	. 5	W. R., S. C. C.	Ref., 21 .	5686
Keemee Baee v. Luchmun Das Narain Das	{ 5	W. R., P. C., 59 I. A., 470.	9:1 Moore's	183, 4658
Keenoo Singh Roy v. Eshan Chunder Roy	. 6	W. R., 213		4406
Keerut Singh v. Koolahul Singh	{ 5	W. R., P. C., 13 I. A., 331.	1:2 Moore's	2509
v. Narain Passee	. 28	5 W. R., Cr., 14		5513
Kefaet Hossein v. Shumshare Ali	. 13	8 W. R., 458	1079 140	2887 2928
Kellie v. Frazer	: I.	N. W., 78: Ed. L. R., 2 Calc.,	1873, 146 • 445 •	360, 2823
Kellie v. Frazer Kellu Bradi v. Prazer Kellu Bradi v. Prazer Kelly C. Haulon v. Kelly and Saunders v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v. v.	. 2	Mad., 161		3765
v. Kelly and Saunders	. 3	B. L. R., Ap., B. L. R., O. C.	67	2633 1545
· · · · · ·	. 8	B. L. B., Ap.,	1	1554
0	3	B. L. R., Ap., 6 B. L. R., Ap.,	5	1553 1548, 1556
<u> </u>	. 5	B. L. R., 71		1555, 1550
v. Seth Gobind Dass	. 6	N. W., 168		5412
Kelu v. Faldei	; \ \f	L. R., 9 Mad., L. R., 5 Calc.,	699 5 C T. 3	3760
Kemp v. Premnaryan Sing	{ I.	R., 539.	000.00.11	5120, 570
Kena Mahomed v. Bohatoo Sircar Kenaram Chuckerbutty v. Denonath Panda		larsh., 506		4574
Kenaram Chuckeroutty v. Denomm Panda Kenaram Mullick v. Ramkoomar Mookerjee		W. R., 325 W. R., Act X,	17	1422 1615
Kenaram Shamunt v. Gopeenath Geeree	. 10	W. R., 130		* 311
Kenchawa v. Ningapa	. 10	Bom., 265, no	te	2109, 211
Keudiga Madi Chetti v. Soobhamma		Mad., 453		3500
Kennelly v. Wyman Kenny v. Administrator General of Bengal		L. R., 1 Calc., B. L. R., O. C.		2680 6133
v		B. L. R., Ap.,		5988
v. Issur Chunder Poddar	. V	V. R., 1864, Act	X, 9	1590, 486
v. Kolum Mundle		W. R., S. C. C.	. Ref., 1 .	1357
v. Mookta Soonderee Dabee				4589
Kerakoose v. Brooks	{ 8	Moore's I. A.	, 339 : 4 W.	2626, 463
v. Serle	. 3	R., P. C., 61. Moore's I. A.,	329	5973
Keramut Ali v. Abdool Wahab	. 1	7 W. R., 131		4614, 5820
Keramut Hossein v. Golap Koonwar	. 3	W. R., 101		3192
Keramutoollah v. Gholam Hossein	. 9	W. R., 77		1760

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Kernot v. Walton Kerr v. Nuzza: Mahomed Kerry v. Madanlal Das Kerteenarain Chowdhry v. Perthenarain Chowdhry	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 14 2 W. R., P. C., 29 1 B. L. R., S. N., 11 1 W. R., 32	943 1746 1591 4906
Kery Kolitany v. Moneram Kolita	13 B. L. R., 1: 19 W. R.,	2525
Kesabram Mahapattar v. Nandkishor Mahapattar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 7: 11 W.	2299
Kesaree v. Samardhan Kesava Pillai v. Peddu Reddi Keshah Lal Mitter v. Masabdy Mundul Keshava v. Keshava Keshavan v. Vasudevan	5 N. W. 94 1 Mad., 258 4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 11 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 45 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 297	2320, 2442 4573 5694 3765 1728, 3753
Keshava Sanabhaga v. Lakshminarayana	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 192 . {	2487, 2793 6077
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 259 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 18 3 Bom., A. C., 75	4970 4511 2246, 5670 1906, 4323
Keshav Gopal Ginde v. Rayapa	. 12 Bom., 165	4328, 5384
Koshav Harkha v. Ganpat Hirachand Keshavi Naik v. Nasarvanji Ardesir Wadia Keshav Kasinath. In re Keshav Lakshman. In re Keshav Ramkrishna v. Govind Ganesh Keshavram valad Hirachand v. Ramchandra Trimbak	8 Bom, A. C., 87 10 Bom., 425 9 Bom., 43 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 175 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 94 8 Bom., A. C., 44	2855 6274 5842 962 2118, 2526 196, 3448
Keshavrav Krishna Joshi v. Bhavanji bin Babaji	. 8 Bom., A. C., 142 . §	184, 289
Kesho Lall v. Mohabeer Pershad Kesho Pershad v. Hirdoy Narain Kesho Pershad v. Hirdoy Narain Kesho Kai v. Binayak Rai Keshoree Lall v. Gobindram Keshub Chunder Acharjee v. Hurro Soonduree Debea Keshub Chunder Sein v. Vyssmonee Dossia Keshub Lall Chowdhry v. Watson & Co. Kesree v. Seth Roshun Lal Kesri v. Ganga Prasad Kesserbai v. Valab Raoji Kesu Shivram v. Vithu Kanaji	25 W. R., 185	3910 2164 765 4536 1442, 4042 3094 2021 44 3964 6156 2311, 2312 1523
Ketabdi Mundul. In the matter of	. 2 C. L. R., 507 {	956, 961 5565
Ketal Gain v. Nadur Mistree Kethaperumal v. Venkabai Ketiki Chutiany v. Lukheekant Bose Keval Bhagyan v. Ganpati Narayan Keval Bhagyan v. Ganpati Narayan Kevaldas Sakarchand v. Pestonji Nasservanji Keval Kuber v. Talukdari Settlement Officer Kewajah Chyemun Kajah v. Jan Ally Chowdhry Kewal Kandoo v. Omrao Singh Kewal Ram v. Khadim Husain Kewata Mug v. Nobocoomar Doss Kewul Sahoo v. Issur Dyal Roy v. Rash Narayan Singh Keyake Ilata Kotel Kanni alias Grani v. Yadatti Vellayangot Achuka Pishavodi. Khadar Bhi v. Rahiman Bhi Khadar Sheb v. Chotibibi	6 W. R., 168 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 194 24 W. R., 440 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 220 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 467 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 586 I. W. R., 46 25 W. R., 166 I. L. R., 5 All., 576 19 W. R., 207 12 W. R., 334 13 W. R., 445 3 Mad., 167 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 616	5176 2464 5943 2235 2680 3375, 5595 3020 5753 3511 289, 1208 4284 3080 5263 751

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Khadar Saib v. Khadar Bibi Khadejah Bibee v. Suffur Ali Khadem Ali v. Tajinunissa Khadem Hossein Khan v. Kalee Pershad Singh Khader Hussain v. Hussain Begum Khadim Ali v. Nazir Begum, Khadim Ali v. Nazir Begum, Khageudranath Malik v. Rakhaldas Sirkar Khairuddin Ahmed v. Abdul Baki	3 Mad., 149 4 W. R., 36 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 607 5 W. R., 49 5 Mad., 114 3 N. W., 26 2 B. L. R., S. N., 1 3 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 11 W. R., 410	4849 3733 1764 448 3681 1422 3282 1591, 1593 4927 3478
Khair un nissa v. Gauri Shankar Khait Singh v. Heera Dass Khait Patthanji. In re	I. L. R., 3 All., 484	6158 3460 3331, 3332
Khajarannissa v. Risannissa Begum	5 B. L. R., 84:13 W. R., 371	3653, 3654
Khajooroonissa v. Rohimoonissa	16 W. R., 152	1224, 5829° 996, 3633
v. Roushan Jehan	R., 36: L. R., 3 I. A., 291.	3634, 3680 3732
Khajooroonnissa v. Saifoolla Khan	15 B. L. R., 306: 24 W. R., 163: L. R., 2 I. A., 235,	3331, 3654
Khajoorunnissa v. Roheemunnissa	17 W. R., 190	3735
Khajuranissa Begum v. Ahmed Reza {	8 B. L. R., 166, note: 11 W. }	5189
Khajurunissa v, Ahmed Reza	8 B. L. R., 93 : 16 W. R., P. }	1160
Khalut Chunder Ghose v. Minto	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 426	2828, 4936
Khan v. Bama Soonduree Dossee	Bourke, O. C., 244	5583, 6184 3797
Khan Chand v. Collector of Boolundshahur .	1 N. W., Pt. VII, p. 110 : Ed. }	4103
Khando Narayan Kulkarni v. Afaji Sadashiv Kulkarni Khandu Dulabdas v. Tarachand Amarchand Khandu Moreshvar v. Shivji bin Gorkoji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 370 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 574 5 Bom., A. C., 212	2850 4825 2796, 4424
Khandu valad Keru v. Tatia valad Vithoba	8 Bem., A. C., 23 {	5071, 5679 5748
Khanto Bewah v. Nundram Nath Khantomonec Debia v. Komodinec Debia Khanum Jan v. Rutton Lal Khanzadec v. Collector of Boolundshuhur Kharag Singh v. Bholanath Kharak Chaud Pal v. Tarack Chunder Gupta Kharshedji Nasarvanji Cama v. Secretary of State for Y	15 W. R., 369 25 W. R., 69 8 W. R., 95 1 Agra, 57 I. L. R., 4 All., 8 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1030	5662 1674 1677 1492 2726 1264
India.	5 Bom., O. C., 97	348, 5800
Khasim v. Carlier	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 272 9 B. L. R., 125: 18 W. R., 7	4393
Khaski Roy v. Farzand Ali Khan	144.	1624
Khasro Mandar v. Prem Lal	9 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 18 W. R., 403.	528
Khatija Bibi. In the matter of	5 B. L. R., 557 {	2094, 3638 3851
v. Taruk Chunder Dutt	[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 980 : 13 C.] L. R., 182.	6022
Khatoo Bibee v. Furukh Ali	6 W. R., Mis., 108	1912
Khatoon Koonwar v. Hurdoot Narain Singh Khatun Bibi v. Abdullah	20 W. R., 163 I. L. R., 3 All., 9.	1139, 6224 4616
Khayali v. Husain Bakhsh	I. L. R., 8 All., 198	4795
Khedaroonissa Bibee v. Boodhee Bibee	13 W. R., 317	4991

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khedoo Thakoor v. Bhugwat Lall Kheerondnee Dosse v. Beijoy Gobind Bural Kheerondhur Lall v. Seetulram Khelalchunder Ghose v. Poorno Chunder Roy Khelaram Doss Mistree v. Dhuree Doss Khelaram Doss Mistree v. Prosunou Moyee Dassee Khelat Chunder Ghose v. Gourchurn Mojoomdar v. Keshub Chunder Paul Chow-} dry o. Nusseebunnissa Bibee Khellut Chunder Ghose v. Collector of Bhaugulpore v. Prosunonopye Dossee Khelnt Chunder Ghose v. Kishen Gobind Deb	16 W. R., 9. 7 W. R., 533 2 Hay, 363 2 W. R., 268 1 Hay, 4 14 W. R., 144 Marsh, 478 18 W. R., 440 16 W. R., 46 16 W. R., 47 W. R., 1864, 73 W. R., 1884, Mis., 24 16 W. R., 1884	2879 1746, 1760 2362 3373, 4210 5254 897 1933 5934 5475 3141, 6077 45 230 5064, 5339
v. Kristo Gobind Deb	18 W. R., 276 11 B. L. R., 428, note: 12 W.)	6120
v. Prankisto Dey	R., 461.	888, 3389
dhry. Khema Debea v. Kamolakant Bukshi	10 B. L. R., 259, note: 10 W.	3997 3540
Khema Moyee alias Khemessuree Debia v. Radha Pearee Debia Chowdhrain.	8 W. R., 469	2987
Khemamoyee alias Khemessuree Debia v. Shoshee Shoosun Gangoolv.	9 W. R., 95	2984
Khema Soondaree Dossia v. Nundkoomar Goopto Khemij Blagvandass Gujar v. Rama Khem Karan v. Har Dayal Khenkor v. Umiashankar Ranchhor Khem Kuru v. Seeta Ram	4 W. R., 75. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 519 1. L. R., 4 All., 37 10 Bom., 381 2 N. W., 257	5355 3382, 3886 1691, 3142 2441 3714
Khemua Gowala v. Budoloo Khan	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 251:7 C. L. R., 92.	349
Khemonkuree Debia v. Modhoomutty Debia Khemunkuree Chowdhrain v. Gourchnuder Mojoomdar . Khemunkuree Dabee v. Sharut Soonduree Dabee . Khemunkuree Dossee v. Gooroo Prosad Mytee — v. Nilambur Mundul	4 W. R., Mis., 23	3838 143 5935 1700 878
Khenumkuree Chowdhrani v. Gour Chunder Mojoomdar Kheraj Mullah. In the matter of the petition of	5 W. R., 268 11 B. L. R., 33: 20 W. R.	143 5600
v. Janab Mullah	Cr., 13. 11 B. L. R., 33: 20 W. R.,	5600
Khermukuree Dossee v. Nilambur Mundul	Cr., 13. 2 W. R., 227	248
Kheroda Mayi Dasi v. Golam Abardari	13 B. L. R., 114 : 21 W. R.,)	5410
Kherode Chunder Roy v. Gordon	149. 23 W. R., 237	5191
Kherodemoney Dossee v. Doorgamoney Dossee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 455: 2 C. L. R., 315.	2560, 3169
Kherodhur Læll v. Doolee Chund Kheta Mal v. Chuni Lal Khetarnonee Dassee v. Kishenmohun Mitter Khetoosee Cherooria v. Banee Madhub Doss	19 W. R., 424 I. L. R. 2 All., 173 Marsh., 313.	3820 1402 6155 2830, 395
Khetramani Dasi v. Kasi Nath Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 15: }	2420
Khetter Chunder Mookerjee v. Khetter Paul Sreete-	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 886 : 6 C. L. R., 199.	1848

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Chetter Mohun Chowrunghee. In the matter of the petition of. The chorter Mohun Chuckerbutty v. Dinabashy Shaha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 265	1270, 591 3181
Chetter Mohun Doss v. Chunder Money Dabee	Cor., 125 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 719: 11 C.)	2830
Chetter Mohun Dutt v. Wells	L. R., 13.	3779
Thettermohun Ghose v. Ramessur Ghose Chetter Monee Dabee v. Madhub Chunder Roy Chettermonee Dossee v. Gopeemohun Roy	W. R., 1864, 304 13 W. R., 160 1 Hay, 178 11 B. L. R., Ap., 5	3849 686 3814,525
v. Sreenath Sircar (hetternath Dutt v. Indro Jalia Chetter Nath Seal v. Shib Nath Chatterjee Chetter Paul Singh v. Luckhee Narain Mitter	W. R., 1864, 284	4493 5994 4611 3184, 532
Khettra Mohan Baboo v. Rash Behari Baboo Khettramohan Chatterjee v. Kisorimohan Bose Khettromony Dasi v. Sreenath Sircar	5 B. L. R., 167: 13 W. R., 252 1 B. L. R., O. C., 27 20 W. R., Cr., 14 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49: 4 W.)	1208 5153
Khettro Nath Dey v. Gossain Doss Dey	R., Mis., 18.	3181
	7 W. R., 188	5051 12
V. Khettsey Das. In re Khettur Balsee v. Gour Hurree Chettur Gopal Chatterjee v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee	3 B. L. R., Ap., 14 W. R., 1864, 387	2675. 4825 2288
Khettur Mohun Banerjee v. Haradhun Chatterjee Khettur Mohun Chuttopadhya v. Ishur Chunder Surma Khettur Mohun Gossain v. Bhyrub Chunder Sheet	19 W. R., 287 11 W. R., 271 3 W. R., 126	5327 403, 4523 2772
Khettur Mohun Paul v. Ram Coomar Paul Khetturmonee Dossee v. Gopeensohun Roy Khetturnath Doss v. Ramjadoo Bhuttacharjee Khettur Nath Ghose v. Prosunno Ghose	24 W. R., 49 7 W. R., 498	541 3814, 525 2077 . 5288
Khetturnath Roy v. Durbesh Moonshee	9 W. R., 358 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 2 3 B. L. R., Ap., 112: 12 W.)	4464 1719, 540
Khidu v. Kali Sahu	3 B. L. R., Ap., 112: 12 W.	632
Chiluck Chunder Ghose v. Prosunnomoyee Dassee	R., 71. Marsh., 478	1933
Thilut Chunder Ghosh v. Koonjlall Dhur	11 B. L. R., 194, note: 10 } W. R., 333.	2348, 235
Thimji Chaturbhuj v. Forbes	8 Bom., O. C., 102	2806 2754, 281
Chimji Jivraju Shettu v. Purushotam Jutani	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 171 . {	4426
Choblall Baboo v. Ramchunder Bose	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 434 6 B. L. R., 719, note: 14 W.)	5241
hoda Baksh Mistri v. Beni Mandal	R., 156.	5661
hodabhai Mahiji v. Bahdhar Dala	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 541	2293 5028
v. Degumburee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 207	5318
	2 N. W., 399	1881
v. Mowla Buksh · · · ·	14 W. R., 255	183, 121 6078
v. Purmanund Dutt v. Shukroollah	5 W. R., 214	3245
heda Buksh Khan v. Choa	5 N. W., 220 19 W. R., 162	437 6002
hoda Bux. In re	1 Ind Jng N S 49	2664
hodabux v. Budree Narain Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 137: 8 C. L.	3158
hodajamnissa v. Stevens	20 W. R., 433	436, 326

Name of Case.	·	. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khoda Newaz v. Nubo Kishore Raj		5 W. R., Act X, 53	1607, 1618
Khodeja Bibee v. Johad Roheen	•	14 W. R., 320	1662 5477
v. Ram Narain Dan		12 W. R., 511	5467
Chodejoonissa. In the matter of the petition of	•	7 W. R., 486	4345, 5209 1759, 3166
Thodejoonnissa Bibee v. Lutafut Hossein	٠	W. R., 1864, 171 . {	6059
Chodie Lal v. Biswasu Kunwar	{	4 B. L. R., A. C., 131 : 13 W. R., 122.	3147, 3175
Khoffeh Jan Bebee v. Mahomed Mehdee		10 W. R., 211	3727
Khogendro Narain Chowdhury v. Gouri Kant Nath	٠	11 C. L. R., 300	4427 196
Khomkar Abdool Guffoor v. Khoda Newaz Khondkar Abdoor Ruhman v. Woomachurn Roy	•	Marsh., 568	1626, 1656
Khondkar Kefaetoollah v. Mahomed Kabel .	:	9 W. R., 345	4896
Chondkar Nowazush Hossein v. Woosuloonissa Bibee		6 W. R., 249	3983
Khoobchund v. Hazaree Lall	٠	1 Agra, 275	5743 3389
Choobee v. Akbar	•	3 Agra, 103	4930
Zhoob Koonwur v. Moodnarain Singh	{	1 W. R., P. C., 36 : 9 Moore's)	1505
3	ζ	I. A., 1. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 787 : 2 C.)	
Choob Lall v. Jungle Singh	į	L. R., 439.	225
Khoob Lall Sahoo v. Kadir Buksh		15 W. R., 431	766
Khoob Lall Singh v. Toolsee Singh	•	17 W. R., 219	756 2918
	•	,	2881, 3436
Khoobun v. Wooma Churun Singh	•	3 C. L. R., 453 }	4300
Khoodee Koiburtnee v. Durgadass Bhuttacharjee Khoodee Monee Dossee Ghossanee v. Koylash Chunde		15 W. R., Cr., 82	4719
Ghose.	` {	4 W. R., Mis., 22	84
Khoodee Ram Dutt v. Kishen Chand Goleecha		25 W. R., 145	2740, 3222
Khooderam Chatterjee v. Rookhinee Boistobee Khood Narain Singh v. Toolsee Roy	٠	15 W. R., 197 15 W. R., 9	2340 5145
Khoodoomoney Dabee v. Goluckmoney Dabee	:	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	678
Khoonoo v. Aoodal Singh		8 W. R., 511	5273
Khoorshed Hossein v. Nubbee Fatima	{	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 551: 2 C. 7	3539, 4314
v. Teknarain Sing		L. R., 187. 5 2 C. L. R., 141	4577
Khoorshed Kazi v. Empress	•	8 C. L. R., 542	726, 201
Khooshal Chund v. Palmer	•	1 Agra, 280	2796, 2821
	•	(- '	4424
Khooshalo v. Subookh	•	2 Agra, Pt. II, 170 1 Agra, 175	3222, 330 2076
Khooshal Rae v. Jankee Doss	:	2 N. W., 9	3894
Khoresh Mahomed v. Mahomed Takee	÷	10 C. L. R., 106	5144
Khoroo Lall v. Kant Lall		5 W. R., Mis., 37	281
Khorshed Ali v. Chowdhry Wahid Ali v. Taylor	•	15 W. R., 170 W. R., 1867, 15	5922 1962, 601
Khorshedjee Manikjee v. Mehrwanjee Khoorshedjee	į	5 W. R., P. C., 57: 1 Moore's 7	
Khorun Singh v. Beharee Lall	·	I. A., 431. 3 Agra, 95	3369
Khosal Chund v. Luchmee Chund	:	Bourke, O. C., 151	2573
Khosal Singh v. Toolsee Chowdhry		10 W. R., Cr., 40	972
Khoshalee v. Buljeet		2 Agra, 79	1569
v. Nund Lall Khoshal Mahomed v. Joynooddeen	•	6 N. W., 1	3008

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Khowaz Ram Bux Singh v. Bishendharce Geer	23 W. R., 402	5934
Khub Chand v. Kalian Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 240 . {	3892, 3922
1		5875 5050
V. Narain Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 812 2 B. L. R., A. C., 339	5629
Chuda Bakhsh v. Sheo Din	I. L. R. 8 All., 405	3062, 4790
Chudeeram Biswas v. Koral Budonee Dossee	21 W. R., 379	5695
Khudeerun Lall v. Chutterdharee Lall	21 W. R., 242	4214, 5584
Chudiram Singh v. Sham Singh Poojoory	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25	164
Chugendro Nath Mullick v. Kantee Ram Paul	14 W. R., 368	3803
Chuggender Narain Chowdhry v. Sharupgir Oghorenath	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 543	2332
Khugowlee Sing v. Hossein Bux Khan	7 B. L. R., 673: 15 W. R.,)	1691, 4962
()	P. C., 30	,
Khukroo Misrain v. Jhoomuck Lall Dass	15 W. R., 263	2428, 4011
Chulleel Mahomed v. Furzam Ally	12 W. R., 269	5739 3346
Khunni v. Nasir-ud-din Ahmad	I. L. R., 4 All., 255	2725
Khurram Singh v. Bhawani Baksh	I. L. R., 3 All., 440 22 W. R., Cr., 44	6250, 6272
Khuruckdharee Singh v. Rewat Lall Singh	12 W. R., 167	3112, 4469
Khusalbhai v. Kabhai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 26	3119, 3454
Khusal Chand v. Mahadev Giri	12 Bom., 214	1579,2255
Khusaldas v. Sakharam Ramchandra Dikshit	12 Bom., 212	1891
Khushalchand Lalchand v. Ibrahim Fakir	3 Bom., A. C., 23	2498
Khushali v. Rani	I. L. R., 4 All., 195	2072
Khushalo v. Behari Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 523	3321, 4811
Khuttan Kooer v. Poona Kooer	24 W. R., 322	672
Khwahish Ali v. Surju Prasad Singh Khyrat Ali v. Mahomed Yaseen Khan	IL. R., 3 All., 598	3749 1104
v. Syfullah Khan	2 Agra, 207 8 W. R., 130	483
Khyratun v. Amanee	11 W. R., 212	3650, 3695
Khyroonissa v. Salehoonissa Khatoon	5 W. R., 238	3364, 3384
Khyrut Ally v. Khurruck Dharee Singh	Marsh., 520	3265
Kiam Ali v. Kayamaddi	6 C. L. R., 212	1925
Kifayat Ali v. Ram Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 359 5 B. L. R., 215: 13 W. R., 278	3494
Kilaram Maji v. Narayan Das	5 B. L. R., 215: 13 W. R., 278	5693
Kinhar Dansha v. Gokurun	3 Agra, 316	1766, 3278
King v. King	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 416	1551 1557, 3148
V. — V. Kinlock v. Collector of Etawah	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 487 I. L. R., 3 All., 433	6133
v. Court of Wards	I. L. R., 3 All., 433	6133
Kinmond v. Jackson	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 17	3277
U	1 C. L. R., 66	4334
v. Lawrie	1 C. L R., 66	4334
Kinnoo Monee Debia v. Shohoram Sirkar	3 W. R., 128	2752, 4042
Kinoo Doss v. Rughoonath Doss	4 W. R., 34	3256
Kinco Singh Roy v. Nusseercodeen Mahomed Chowdhry	17 W. R., 97	3056
Kirani Ahmedula v. Subabhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 28	289 3358
Kirath Chand v. Ganesh Prasad Kirat Singh v. Ram Doss	I. L. R., 2 All, 358 W. R. F. B., 8	4197
Kirkby v. Dillon	W. R. F. B., 8 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 243	324
Kirkland v. Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee	3 Moore's I. A., 220	2699, 4633
Kirpa Shunkur v. Pal Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 47	4457
Kirt Chunder Roy v. Government	5 W. R., P. C., 41:1 Moore's L. A., 383.	5342
Kirtebash Mytee v. Ramdhun Kharal	2 Ind. Jur., N. S , 197 : 7 W. R., 526.	1753
Kirteebash Mayetee v. Ramdhun Khoria	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 658	1753

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
Kirtee Chunder Mitter v. Anath Nath Deb	13 C. L. R., 253	4441, 608
v. Struthers	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 336 : 3 C. L. 7	1117
Kirtee Narain Chowdhry v. Protap Chunder Burooah	R., 546. W. R., F. B., 129	43, 4745
Kirte Mohaldar v. Ramjan Mohaldar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 523	238
Kirti Ojha v. Rajkumar	7 B. L. R., 29, note	5528
Kirty Chunder Haldar v. Raj Chunder Haldar	22 W. R., 273	4804
Kirty Chunder Mitter v. Anath Nath Dey	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 97: 13 C. L. R., 249.	3554, 500
Xirty Churn Mitter v. Aunath Nath Deb	I. L. R., 8 Cale,, 757: 11 C. L. R., 95.	6092
Kisandas Budhmal v. Halpin	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 218	5662
Kisandas Hajarimal v. Gulabehand	I. L. B., 8 Bom., 494	1134
Kisandram valad Hira Chand v. Jethiram valad) Magniram	5 Bom., A. C., 57	5748
Kisan Nandram v. Anandaram Bachaji	10 Bom., 433	812
Kisansing Jivansing v. Moreshwar Vishnu	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 91	2384
Kisara Rukkumma Rau v. Cripati Viyanna Dikshatulu . Kishen Bullubh Mahta v. Belasoo Commur	1 Mad., 369 3 W. R., 230	2704, 444 4002
Kishen Buttee Misrain v. Hickey	11 W R., 406	4939
Cishenbutty Misrain v. Roberts	16 W. R., 287	547, 333
Kishen Chand v. Kour Askunder Gir	1 N. W., 95 : Ed 1873, 185 .	3495
v. Secretary of State for India v. Sheo Baram Rai v. Kishen Lall	I. L. R., 3 All., 829	5219
v. Sheo Baram Rai	7 N. W., 121	4603 2818
Kishen Chunder v. Chundrabolly Dossee	2 Agra, 123 2 Hay, 180	248
Kishen Chunder Doss v. Buratee Sheikh	2 W. R., Act X. 36	4163
Kishen Chunder Gaen v. Sreeshtee Dhur Khattah	8 W. R., 208 Marsh., 647	244
Kishen Chunder Ghose v. Ashoorun	Marsh., 647 7 W. R., 365	5383
v. Muddun Mohun Mozoomdar . v. Nundkishore Singh .	7 W. R., 365 Marsh., 651	5361 3900
Kishen Chunder Putronovis v. Tara Monee Chowdhrain		194
Kisheu Chunder Roy v. Ramkanaye Doss	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23	3113
Kishen Chunder Shaha v. Hookoom Chand Shaha .	W. R., 1864, 47	4164
Kishen Coomaree Bibee v. Golab Coomaree Bibee	15 W. R., 477	3777
Kishendatt Ram v. Mumtaz Ali Khan {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 198: 5 C. L. R., 213: L. R., 6 I. A., 145.	3961
	W. R., F. B., 22: 1 Ind. Jur.,	. 1
Kishen Dhun Audhicaree v. Campbell	O. S., 79.	9019
Kishen Dhun Nundee v. Bhookto Polly	9 W. R., 461	4996
Kishendhun Surmah v. Ramdhun Chatterjee Kishen Doss v. Hurry Jeebun Doss	6 W. R., 235 10 W. R., 324	2019 2971
Kishen Dutt Ram Panday v. Narendar Bahadoor Singh	L. R., 3 I. A., 85	4186, 42
Kishen Dyal Singh v. Monohur Lall	2 Hav. 381	4143
v. Sunkar Dutt	2 W. R., Mis., 15	1490
Kishen Geer v. Busgeet Roy	14 W. R., 379	1501, 24
Kishen Gopal Mawar v. Barnes Kishen Kaminee Chowdhrain v. Mohima Chunder Roy.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 374 . Marsh., 339: 2 Hay, 459 .	571 1144
Kishen Kinkur Ghose v. Borodo Kanth Roy	Marsh., 533: 2 Hay, 656	2705, 28
v. Buroda Kant Roy.	8 W. R., 470	1450, 18
· X		3509, 35
Kishen Komul Singh v. Janokee Dassee	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 23: W. R., F. B., 3.	3357
Kishen Koomar Mitter v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 3	4936
Kishen Koomar Moitro v. Stevenson	2 W. R., 141	1578
Kishen Lal v. Kinlock	. 1. L. R., 3 All., 712 .	3347
Kishen Lall Chowdhry v. Chunder Coomar Roy .	. W. R., 1864, 152 .	. 427

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishen Mohun Ghose v. Eshan Chunder Mitter .	4 W. R., Act X, 36	1603
Kishen Mohun Jush v. Chunder Kant Chuckerbutty	6 W. R., Mis., 49	3514
Kishen Mohun Koond v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree	5 W. R., 32	3157, 3192
Kishen Mohun Mitter v. Khettermonee Dassee .	2 Hay, 196	6155
	13 B. L. R., 245, note: 19 W.	
Kishen Mohun Mookerjee v. Rajoo Dey	R., 234.	6114
Kishen Mohun Shaha v. Ram Chunder Dey .	3 W. R. 28	6149
Kishenmonee Dabee v. Anund Nath Roy	W. R., F. B., 112	2266
Kishen Monce Debia v. Kashee Soonduree Debia .	W. R., F. B., 106	2146
Kishen Pershad Singh v. Crowdy	23 W. R., 15	3843
v. Mohun Singh	15 W. R., 420	4169
Kishen Pertab Sahee Bahadoor v. Lalla Nund Coomar	25 W. R., 388	3952
Singh Parray.	17 W D 990	5467
Kishen Prosunno Mojomdar v. Nurduma Dossee .	17 W. R., 339	1872, 3486
Kishen Sahai v. Collector of Allahabad	I. L. R., 4 All., 137 7 N. W., 179	1169
Kishen Sarup v. Desraj		4301
Kishen Singh v. Dabeer Singh	2 Agra, 272	
Kishen Soonder Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of	12 W. R., Cr., 47 . {	5150, 5160 5562
		811
Kishen Soonder Roy v. Prosumo Nath Bhuttacharjee	W. R., 1864, 208	8253
Kishen Soondur v. Fukeeroodeen Mahomed	W. R., 1864, 61	2111
Kishen Sunkur Dutt v. Mohamya Dossee	W. R., 1864, 210	2699, 3336
Kishnanand v. Partab Narain Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 785 : L. R., }	3843
Kishna Ram v. Hingu Lal	11 I. A., 88. I. L. R., 4 All., 237	216
v. Narsing Sevak	I. L. R., 3 All., 855	232
Kishnee v. Khealee Ram	2 N. W., 424	2487
Kishorbhai Gallabhai v. Jorabhai Daji	7 Bom., A. C., 56	4820
Kishorchand Champa Lal v. Madhowji Visram .	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 407	1209, 5608
Kishore Bon Mohunt v. Kalee Churn Giree	92 W P 264	108
Kishore Bullubh Mitter v. Bistoo Chunder Ghose	22 W. R., 364 12 W. R., 188	2979
Kishore Chunder Sein v. Kally Kinkur Paul Chowdhry	20 W. R., 333	5334
Kishore Doss v. Pursun Mahtoon	20 W. R., 171	1744
Kishoree v. Jey Kishore Dass	3 Agra, 46	5210
Kishoree Debia v. Jugunnath Acharjee	9 W. R., 269	5799
Kishoree Lal v. Luchmun Doss	2 N. W 147	6019
	2 N. W., 147 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 11: Ed. ?	
Kishoree Lall v. Enaeth Hossein Khan	1873, 71.	3768
Kishoree Lall Roy v. Gokool Monee Chowdhrain .	16 W. R., 281	1985
Kishoree Lall Sircar. In the matter of	. 14 W. R., 217	4442
Kishoree Mohun Bose v. Gour Monee Dossee .	. 15 W. R., 198	4338
Kishoree Mohun Roy. In the matter of the petition of		4488
Kishore Sahai v. Mungeri Sahai	· 16 W. R., Cr., 48	980
Kishore Singh v. Gobind Singh	· 24 W. R., 33	4467
v. Gunnesh Mookerjee	9 W. R., 252	2767, 5700
v. Pookhun Singh	· 10 W. R., 432	295
Kishori Lal Roy v. Sharut Chunder Mozoomdar .	5 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 593: 10 C. L.)	1233
Kishori Mohan Ghose v. Moni Mohan Ghose .	R., 359	2469, 2538
Kisheri Mohun Rai v. Hursook Dass	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 696	902
Kishory Mohun Pramanick. In the matter of the peti-		5495
tion of.)	
Kishto Coomer Singh v. Chowdree Beeraj Singh .	. 2 Hay, 159	3894
Kishto Soondery Debea v. Kishtomotee	. Marsh., 367: 2 Hay, 405 .	2269
Kishub Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Khelat Chunder Ghos	9 W. R., 361 1 W. R., 35	1932
Kishub Chunder Shaha v. Brommo Moyee Dabea .	. I W. K., 35	5748
Kishun Mohun Singh v. Toolsee Singh	. [2 N. W., 102	540

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kishun Pershad v. Bhawanee Deen Kishun Sahai v. Raghoo Singh Kissen Bullub Mahatab v. Roghoonundun Thakoor	Agra, F. B., 47: Ed. 1874, 35 2 N. W., 64 6 W. R., 305	6096 5079 3252
Kissen Chand Golacha v. Megraj Kuturia Roy	12 B. L. R., 445, note: 12]	4402
Kissen Kaminee Dossee v. Ram Chunder Mitter	W. R., 450. 12 W. R., 13	327
Kissen Lala v. Javalla Prasad Lala Kissnonund Ashrom Dundy v. Nursingh Doss Byragee	3 Mad., 346 Marsh., 485 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 247	2294 3429, 4968 2644
Kissoreemohun Chatterjee v. Konnoy Loll Dutt	1 Hyde, 263	1150
Kissorynath Chowdhry v. Hurro Kant Chowdhry Kissun Sing v. Sturt	2 W. R., 183	1169 5659
Kissur Chund v. Bhoobunessur Chunder	Bourke, O. C., 25 : Cor., 151 .	5583
Kistnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.	4 Mad., 120	560 7
Kisto Churn Chuckerbutty v. Muggun Chuckerbutty . Kisto Churn Mojoomdar v. Dwarka Nath Biswas .	10 W. R., 491	4912 5783, 620
Kisto Coomar Chowdhry v. Anundmoyee Chowdhrain .	6 W. R., Mis., 128	5747
v. Gobind Coomar	W. R., 1864, 133	5784 751
Kistodhone Dutt v. Nilmoney Singh	Cor., 3 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 324	5392
Kisto Kalee Moonshee v. Agemoua Bewa	15 W. R., 170	4788 1205
Kisto Kant Bural v. Nistarinee Debia	8 W. R., 268	3496, 352
Kistokinker Ghose Roy v. Burrodacaunt Singh Roy	10 B. L. R., 101: 17 W. R., 292: 14 Moore's I. A., 465.	1450, 188 3509, 354
Kisto Kishore Ghose v. Soorjonath Sircar	10 W. R., 354	1934 1137
Kisto Kishore Poddar v. Koylash Chunder Mookerjee . Kisto Kishore Roy v. Issur Chunder Roy	12 W. R.,128	94
Kisto Lall Gossain v. Joy Gopal Bysack	21 W. R., 185 10 W. R., 389	2647 331
Kisto Mohun Kurmokar v. Noyan Tara Dossee	2 Hyde, 88	4236
v. Juggurnath Roy Joogee .	11 W. R., 236	1378, 60 3417
Kistomonee Chowdhry v. Sibchunder Chowdhry . Kistomonee Debia v. Anund Nath Roy	Marsh., 196: 1 Hay, 473 W. R., F. B., 112	2146
V. Eglinton	12 W. R., 139	3556 2516
Kisto Persad Dutt v. Cowie	6 W. R., 304 W. R., 1864, 315	5730
Kistoram Mohara v. Anis	20 W. R., Cr., 47	1535 3202
Kittappa v. Somanna Knowles v. National Bank of India	6 Mad., 51	5638
Kobeerooddeen Ahmed v. Nyan Bebee	'8 W. R., 354	2735, 41 5703
Codoo Mundul v. Shushee Shikhur Sircar	16 W. R., 227 9 W. R., 537	3707
Koduthi v. Madu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 321	2524 1113
Koegler v. Coringa Oil Company v. Prosonno Coomar Chatterjee	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 42 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 472	4275
	5 B. L. R., 401 : 14 W. R., O.	2998
Coh Poh v. Moung Tay	10 W. R., 143	5125
To Htaw Ah v. Nan Karay Phaw	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 124: L. R.,	5617
Coibutoollah v. Motee Peshakur	13 I. A., 48. 13 W. R., 276	1363
Koji Ram v. Ishar Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 273	3296 2341, 25
Kojiyadu v. Lakshmi Kokilmoni Dassia v. Manick Chandra Joaddar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 149 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 791	3401
Kokil Singh v. Duli Chund	5 C. L. R., 243	. 3922

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Tokya Dine. In the matter of	2 B. L. R., A. C., 79: 10 W. R., 417.	6199
to Kywetnee v. Ko Koung Bane	5 W. R., 189	2316 5680
Kola Aheer v. Sajna Ahimur	3 N. W., 105	1494
Kolandai Mudali v. Sankara Bharadhi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 302	4392
Kolasherri Illath Naranian v. Kolasherri Illath Nila-	} I. L. R., 4 Mad., 131 . {	292, 143
kandan Nambudri.)	5954
Kolashun Bibee v. Didar Buksh	24 W. R., Cr., 44	3699 5127, 514
Koleemooddeen Mundul v. Heerun Mundul	. 24 W. R., 186 {	5782
Kollany Koer v. Luchmee Pershad	. 24 W. R., 395	2266
Kolla Subramaniam Chetti v. Thellanayakulu Subra-] I. L. R., 4 Mad., 124	2547, 340
maniam Chetti.) T T D 0 35 1 50	4835
Kolluri Nagabhashanam v. Ammanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 454	786
Koloo Misr v. Bhyro Kulwar	. 2 N. W., 258	2976
Komaloodeen Sheikh v. Collector of Midnapore .	. 11 W. R., 233	4054
Komathi v. Gurunada Pillai Komireddi Varaha Narasimham v. Chevala Ramasami	3 Mad., 114	2853
Navudu.	[I. L. R., 5 Mad., 136	3590
Komlakant Deghal. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., 127	4445
Komlakant Doss v. Pogose	. 2 W. R., Act X, 65	8
Komola Kaminy Debia v. Lokenath Kur	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 825, note : 11]	4876
Komolakant Biswas. In the matter of	C. L. R., 183.	4664
Komola Kant Chuckerbutty v. Nurro Singho Singh	. 25 W. R., 586	5348
Komolapershad Narain Singh v. Nokh Lall Sahoo	. 6 W. R., 30	1038
Komollochun Dutt v. Nilruttun Mundle	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 360:4 C.]	4669
Komul Chunder Sen v. Surbessur Doss Goopto .	L. R., 175.	1477, 189
Komul Kishen Surkhul v. Bissonath Chuckerbutty	(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap. 3; W.)	66
	R., F. B., 128.	1
Komul Kristo Bonick. In the matter of	. 12 C. L. R., 231	4105, 436 3847
Komul Lochun Dass v. Dwarkanath Chowdhry	. 2 Hay, 674 10 W. R., 254	5232
Komul Lochun Roy v. Zumeerooddeen Sirdar .	7 W. R., 417	1614
Komulmonee Dossee v. Alhadmonee Dossee .	. 1 W. R., 256	2207
Komul Sangath v. Romanath Gossamee Komurooddeen v. Monye Mundle	. 21 W. R., 332 16 W. R., 220	3045 136
Konapa bin Mahadapa v. Janardan Sukdev	. 11 Bom., 193	5379
Konaram Gaonburah v. Dhatoaram Thakoor	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 196 : 7 C. L.]	
4	} R., 47.	02,,, 01
Kondadu v. Ramudu	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 294	101 2797
Condappa Naik v. Annamalay Chetty	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 448 4 Mad., 396	3579
Kondaraju Venkata Subbaiya v. Rama Krishnamma	4 Mad., 75	3493
alias Urupkristnaiya.	5 man, 15	
Kondasawmy Pillay v. Kristua Swamy Pillay Londayya v. Guruvappa	. 5 Mad., 189 . I. L. R., 5 Mad., 139	1897 4835
Xondayya Gaundan v. Ramasyami Gaundan	. 1 Mad., 130	4531
Kondi Menon v. Sranginreagatta Ahammada .	. 1 Mad., 248	3758
Kondi Munisami Chetti v. Dakshanamurthi Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371	5302
Kondoli Tea Company. In re Koner Manohar Mahajan Ambekar v. Naro Hari Das-	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 43	5857
putre.	{ 1 Bom., 167	3976
Konerray v. Gurray	. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 589	2471, 50

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Koni Chetty v. Veriappa Chetti	4 Mad., 325	1083
Konnapalen Uthatchadayan Haje v. Perotta Meloden Ramen Nambiar	4 Mad., 422	998
Konoy Loll Doss. In re. Koobir Sirdar v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty	Bourke, O. C., 51 3 W. R., Act X, 126	5898 1635
Koob Lall Chowdhry v. Nittyanund Singh .	[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 839 : 12 C. 7	4806, 6153
Koobur Khansama v. Jan Khansama	L. R., 393. 5 8 W. R., 467	51 00
Koodee Monee Dabea v. Tarachand Chuckerbutty .	2 W. R., 134	2420
Koodrut Ali v. Gholam Ali	3 Agra, 71	5223 3009
Kooerya v. Doorga Pershad Kookor Sing. In the matter of	2 N. W., 139	5543
Kooladapershad Misree v. Goura Chand Misree	17 W. R., 353	877
Kool Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kumul Chunder Roy :	6 W. R., Mis., 17	3492
Kool Chunder Surmah v. Ramjoy Surmona	10 W. R., 8	329, 2199 4156
Kooldebnarain Shahee v. Wooma Coomaree	Marsh., 357: 2 Hay, 370 .	2266, 2536
Kooldeb Singh v. Juggunath Singh	2 W. R., Mis., 19	220
Kooldeep Kooer v. Runjeet Singh	24 W. R., 231	2178
Kooldeep Narain Singh v . Government of India . $\left\{ \left\{ \right. \right. \right.$	I. A., 247.	2036
v. Luckhun Sing	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 917: 9 W. R., 218.	5730
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 559: 6 W. R., 199.	2036
Kooldeepnarain Singh v. Rummon Singh	22 W. R., 278	5756
Kooldeep Singh v. Ram Deen Singh	24 W. R., 198	3717
Koolsun Bibee v. Edoo Koomaree Dabee v. Greesh Chunder Lahoory	25 W. R, Cr., 35	$\frac{1339}{414}$
Koomaree Dassee v. Bama Soonderee Dassee	10 W. R., 202	1381
Koomarnarain Roy v. Superintendent of Salt Chowkey, Juliessur.		5494
Koomeerunnissa Begum v. Hunooman Doss	Marsh., 122 {	1204, 2981 3835
Koomkurrun v. Michael	Bourke, O. C., 259	421
Koomodinee Debia v. Poornoo Chunder Mookerjee	10 W. R., 301	1748
Koomodinee Kant Banerjee Chowdhry v. Huree Churn Tnpadar.	24 W. In., 130	1625
Koomud Chunder Dass v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee . {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 498: 5 C. } L. R., 264.	5814
Koomud Chunder Roy v. Seetakanth Roy	W. R., F. B., 75 {	2284, 2308 2308
Koonari Bibi v. Dalim Bibi	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 14	3695
Koondan Lall v. Jahans	1 Agra, 17	4612
Koondo Nath Surma Gossamee v. Dheer Chunder Sur-	20 W. R., 345	1441, 174
Koondun Lal v. Himmut Singh	3 N. W., 86	4034 3892
	3 N. W., 205	329, 479
Koondun Lall v. Makhun Lall	1 N. W., 168: Ed. 1873, 247	4800, 480
v. Noor Ali v. Ram Rucha Sing	10 W. R., 3	5589 1908
Koonj Beharee Dutt v. Khetturnath Dutt	8 W. R., 270	2362
Koonj Beharce Ghur. In the matter of	11 W. R., 171	5508,594
Koonj Beharee Ghur. In the matter of Koonj Beharee Lall v. Girdharee Lall	22 W. R., 484	1879, 352
Koonj Beharee Pattuck v. Gyadeen Pattuck Koonj Beharee Rau v. Bukshee Luchmun Doss	11 W. R., 361	2843 4192
moon Denated with 0. Daksing Daninan Doss	120 11. 16., 100	41.00

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Koonjbehari Dhur v. Premchand Dutt	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 684: 5 C. ?	
Kooni Boham Amarta M	L. R., 561.	2505
Koonj Behary Awustee v. Tarinee Kant Lahoree Koonj behary Chowdhry v. Eknath Gurain	8 W. R., 285	4913
Kooni Bahary Chowdhy v. Eknath Gurain	15 W. R., Cr., 43	4727
Koonj Behary Chowdhry v. Gocool Chunder Chowdhry . Koonj Behary Lall v. Rajnarain	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 616	682
Koonj Behary Patuck v. Shiva Baluk Singh	2 Agra, 244	3380
Koonjo Behary Banerjee v. Roy Mothooranath Chow-	Agra, F. B., 119: Ed. 1874, 89 1 W. R., 155	3002 4169
Koonjobehary Lall v. Doomney .		
v. Rughoo Nath Dome	14 W. R., Cr., 29	100
Voorio Polano D. D. C.	14 W. R., Cr., 29	100
Koonjo Behary Roy v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee Koonjo Behary Singh v. Nilmoney Singh Deo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 450 : 12 C. }	4245
Koonjo Lall Mundul v. Dabee Pershad Tewaree	4 C. L. R., 296	5612
AUDITO MIDITIN DASS 21. Nobo Coomes Chet-	22 W. R., 398	558
Moonto Mohun Doss v. Krishna Chundon Chab-	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 216	4468
Modified Debee 7. fillfor Nath Impround	25 W. R., 361	5841
Aconwer Singh v, Shib Dval	16 W. R., 206 3 Agra, 297	5313
Koora v. Dick	3 N. W., 322	5627 3029
v. Robinson	2 Agra, Mis., 2	4583
Kooranee Bibee v. Bhubun Mohinee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 52	436
According move Chowdhrain at Low Coult.	W. R., 1864, 267	1993
	23 W. R., 346	77
Koosumba v. Tufuzzul Hossein . Kopasan v. Shamu	13 W. R., 85	485
Kopil Dolai v. Kanhai Jenna	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 440	5820
Kopilnath Sahi v. Koneeram	24 W. R., Cr., 71	4750
Kopil Pooree v. Manick Sahoo	14 W. R., Cr., 3	6027
Koraga v. Oneen	20 W. R., 287	5286
Koraj Koonwar v. Komal Koonwar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 374	4385
Korapulu v. Monappa	6 W. R., 34	413
Korban Ali v. Ashan Ali	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 160 4 W. R., 4	974
Korban Ali Mirdha v. Pitumbari Dasi		2772 $4767, 4769$
Korban Ally Mirdha v. Sharoda Proshad Aich		5889 4767, 4769
Koreem Bux v. Hoormut .		5889
v. Nomeero	20 W. R., Cr., 60	972
Coromali v. Amir Ali	20 W. R., 349	5702
Coroonamoyee Debia v. Nubokishen Mookerjee	3 C. L. R., 166 11 W. R., 18	3718, 3725
v. Ooma Churn Dob	12 W. R., 465	763
Aoroonamoyee Dossee v. Nubo Kishore Sein	6 W P Min 20	5532 759
v. Shib Chunder Dey Kosala Rama Pillai v. Saluckai Tevar alias Oyya Tevar	6 W. R., Act X, 50	1603
To Shoay Doon v. Shoay-Gan	8 Mad., 189. 6 B. L. R., 196: 14 W. R., 331.	400, 2236
Kossella Koer v. Beharee Patuck	51.	5722 1202, 2753
Kota, In re		6091
Kotamarti Sitarammayya v. Kotamarti Vardhanamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 134	1235
Tota Muthanna Chetti v. Alibeg Sahib	7 Mad., 351	1412
totarbasapa v. Chanverova	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 174	4836
ota Seetamma v. Kollinurla Sachbial.	10 Bom., 403	2511
	8 Mad., 81	384, 5220
Cotoo at Ko Down V 1		268, 1965
	6 W. R., 255	074, 2585
	()	2710

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.	
Kottalanada v. Muthaya Kottala Uppi v. Shangara Varma Kottala Uppi v. Kaliyati Panoli Kunni Kutti Kottal Uppi v. Kaliyati Panoli Kunni Kutti Kottal Uppi v. Edavalath Thathan Nambudiri Kotta Tamindar v. Pittapur Zamindar Kotta Ramasami Chetii v. Bangari Seshama Nayanivaru Kotun Ram Doss v. Collector of Sylhet Koula Put v. Maharaj Doobey Kowlbas Koer. In ra Kowsulliah Sundari Dasi v. Makta Sundari Dasi Kowsullya Dossee v. Ram Juggurnath Dey Sirear	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 374 3 Mad., 161 1 Mad., 7 6 Mad., 258 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 171 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 171 22 W. R., 523 1. Agra, 278 8 B. L. R., Ap., 50 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 588 8 W. R., 162	961 4945 5173 3027 665 2831, 2386 3074 4540 3564 137 2735	
Koyilothputenpurayil Manoki Koran Nayar v. Puthen Purayil Manoki Chanda Nayar. Koylas Chunder Shaw v. Muddoosoodun Chowdhry	3 Mad., 294	3758, 6134 355	
Koylash Bashiny Dossee v. Gocoolmoni Dossee { Koylash Chunder Banerjee v. Kaleeprosunno Chowdhry Koylash Chunder Biswas v. Bissesinree Dossee Koylash Chunder Bose v. Anarullah Sheikh	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 230: 10 C., L. R., 41. 16 W. R., 80 10 W. R., 408 9 C. L. R., 467	3375, 4205 5354 4601, 5334 3040 2025, 5815	
Koylash Chunder Dass. In the matter of the petition	20 W. R., Cr., 78	6065	
Koylash Chunder Dass v. Boykoonto Nath Chundra Koylash Chunder Dey v. Joy Narain Jalooah Koylash Chunder Doss v. Tariney Churn Singhee Koylash Chunder Dutt v. Jubur Ali Koylash Chunder Ghose v. Ashruf Ali v. Khettermonee Dossee v. Raj Chunder Banerjee v. Sonatun Chung Barooie	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 619: 2 C. L. } R., 167. 7 W. R., 98. 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 588 22 W. R., 29 22 W. R., 101 2 W. R., Act X, 57 12 W. R., 180 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 132: 8 C. L. }	3312 4925 5807 5342 2801 1703 5985 3233	
Koylash Chunder Koosari v. Ram Lall Nag. Koylash Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Preonath Roy (Chowdhry.	R., 281. 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 206 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 610: 3 C. L. R., 25.	5734 3267	
Koylash Chunder Roy v. Ellis Koylash Chunder Sen v. Koylash Chunder Chakrabarti . Koylash Chunder Sen v. Koylash Chunder Chakrabarti . Koylash Chunder Sen v. Koylash Chunder Chakrabarti . Koylashanti Pose v. Narainee Dessee Koylashanti Chowdhry . In the matter of Koylashanti Chowdhry . In the matter of Koylashanti Roy v. Bodun Monee Dabea . Koylasnath Roy v. Bodun Monee Dabea . Koylasnath Doss v. Gyamonee Dossee Koylasnath Doss v. Oryamonee Dossee Koylasnath Ocs v. Nitya Shama Dassee . Kripana Nath Ghose v. Nitya Shama Dassee . Kripa Moyee Dossee v. Poroun Chunder Roy Kripa Moyee Dossee v. Poroun Chunder Roy Kripa Math Chakee v. Dyal Chand Pal Kripanath Surna v. Nritokalee Dabee Kripanath Surna v. Nritokalee Dabee Kripanath Tullapattur v. Bhashaye Mollah . Kriparam v. Bhagwan Dass { Kripomoyee Debia v. Grish Chunder Lahoree Krishendro Roy Chowdry v. Digumburee Debia }	S. W. R., 45. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1057 10 W. R., 303 11 W. R., 170 16 W. R., Cr., 41 Marsh., 276 2 Hay, 386 W. R., 1864, 314 15 W. R., 449 24 W. R., 213 2 W. R., 123 2 W. R., 169 1. W. R., 463 12 W. R., 403 2 W. R., 169 1. W. R., 283 1 W. R., 403 2 W. R., 169 1 W. R., 487 6 W. R., 165 1 B. L. R., A. C., 68: 10 W. R., 100 8 Moore's I. A., 467 16 W. R., 15 Agra, F. B., 148: Ed. 1874, 7	4403 900 2879 1753 3882 386 4428 2833 3637 683 1724, 4650 3496 5197 5789 1893 1604 5027 1725, 4650 2774	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of : Digest.
Krishua v. Nanu v. Rayappa Shaubhaga v. Reade v. Sami v. Subbaama v. Subbaama v. Venkata Sami Krishna Aiyan v. Anantarama Aiyan Krishna Aiyan v. Anantarama Chowdhranee v. Belaari Roy v. Brojeswari Chowdhranee v. Bunwari Lall Roy Krishnabhat bin Hiragange v. Kapabhat bin	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 484 4 Mad., 98 L. L. R., 9 Mad., 31 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 64 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 564 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 564 2 Mad., 330 2 bom., 327 2 bom., 327 2 L. A., 283 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 144 5 Bom., A. C., 137	3759 1577, 2215 4575 4063 2336 2374, 2472 3593 5263 4257 5027 5027 3362
Rishna Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Krishna Chandra Banik.	3 B. L. R., A. C., 211	5280
Banik. Krishna Chandra Das v. Mahomed Afzal Krishna Chandra Sandyal Chowdhry v. Harish Chandra Schowdhry v. Harish Chandra Schowdhry v. Krishna Chetty v. Rami Chetty Krishna Chetty v. Rami Chetty Krishna Chura Baisack v. Protab Chunder Surma Krishna Deb v. Woopendra Krishna Deb Krishna Deb v. Woopendra Krishna Dev Krishna Deb v. Woopendra Krishna Dev Krishna Dhone Dutt v. Troilokin Nath Biswas Krishnadhun Chowdhry v. Hur Coomary Chowdhrain Krishna Gose v. Ram Narain Mohapattur Krishna Gobiod Dhur v. Hari Chura Dhur Krishna Gopal Ghose v. Hurry Nath Dutt Krishnaji Lakshman Rajvade v. Sitaram Murarra Jakhi.	1 B. L, R., A. C., 11: 10 W. R., 51. 8 B. L. R., 524	3280 3436, 4253 5629 3530 3181, 3186 3006, 6234 2203 3374, 3578 4484 2974 3420 3442, 3975 1470, 3944 4417, 4974 1568, 2213
Krishnaji Namyan v. Govind Bhaskar Krishnaji Nimkar v. Vishnu Nimkar Krishnaji Raghunath v. Anandrav Ballal Kolhalkar Krishnaji Rayli v. Ganesh Bapuji Krishnaji Rayli Godole v. Ramchandra Sadashiv Krishnaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Mukund Chimaushet Krishnaji Vishvanath Joshi v. Mukund Chimaushet Krishnaji Vyanktesh v. Pandumay Krishna Kanal Sing v. Hiru Sirdar Krishna Kanal Sing v. Hiru Sirdar Krishna Kinkur Roy v. Madhuh Chunder Chuckerbutky Krishna Kinkur Roy v. Madhuh Chunder Chuckerbutky Krishna Kishore Poddar v. Kalisc Kandara Mookerje Krishna Kishore Poddar v. Woomesh Chunder Roy Krishna Lall Dutt v. Radha Krishna Surkhel Krishna a. Krishnasami		5089 781 3478 6147 2960 3256, 3408 3268 2279 841, 3520 355 5662 1137 5741

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Krishnamachari v. Gangarau Reddi Krishnama Charyar v. Srinivasa Ayyangar v. Toppai Gaundan Krishnambhat bin Shivrambhat v. Lakshumanbhat bin Ganeshbat. Krishnambhat v. Achayya v. Papa Krishnamma v. Achayya V. Papa Krishna Mohan Mookerjee v. Jagannath Roy Jugi Krishna Mohan Shaha v. Aftabuddin Mahomed Krishna Mohun Basek v. Kunj Behary Basak Krishna Mohun Basee v. Okhilmoni Dossee Krishna Mohun Basee v. Okhilmoni Dossee	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 306	3588 6079 3058 3763 5094 3270 2290, 2320 3580 4561 5335 3819, 5284 4096
Krishna Mongul Shaha v. Akbar Jumma Khan Krishnan v. Nilakandan v. Revi Varma v. Sankara Varma	9 C. L. R., 213 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 137 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 384 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 441	3857 784, 3479 6082 1056
Krishnanand Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of Krishna Nath Sircar v. Ram Kumar De Krishnanund Das. In the matter of the petition of	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 50 . { 7 C. L. R., 144	5150, 5160 5562 4747 5513 5513 3147 5411, 5485
Krishnappa valad Mahadappa v. Bahiru Yadavrav	8 Bom., A. C., 55 . {	3545, 3941 4827 195
Krishna Ram v. Gobind Prasad Krishnaramani Dasi v. Ananda Krishna Bose Krishnarav v. Antaji Viropaksha v. Govind Krishnarav Ganesh v. Rangrav Krishnarav Jahagirdar v. Govind Trimbak	I. L. R., 8 All., 20 4 B. L. R., O. C., 231 12 Bom., 227 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 25, note 4 Bom., A. C., 1 12 Bom., 85	2553 1230 1188 2054 1178
Krishnarav Ramchandra v. Manaji bin Sayaji	11 Bom., 106	2986, 4285 5746
Krishnarav Venkatesh v. Vasudev Anant	11 Bom., 15	181, 5451
Krishnarav Yashvant v. Vasndev Apaji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 371 . {	2773, 4193
Krishna Reddi v. Muttu Narayana Reddi Krishnareddi Govinda Reddi v. Stuart Krishnasani v. Engel Krishnasauri Pillai v. Rangasami Chetty	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 127 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 192 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 20 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 112	4459 3939 103 426 1772
v. Varadaraja Ayyangar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 345 . {	4167, 5177 5186
Krishnasami Tatacharyar v. Krishnanan Charyar Krishnasami Tatacharyar v. Krishnanan Charyar v. Krishnaya v. Chinnaya Krishnaya v. Chinnaya Krishnayar v. Wittusami Krishnayar v. Venkayyar Krishnayar v. Venkayyar Krishned Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee Krishno Chunder Goopto v. Sufdar Ali Krishno Churn. In the matter of the petition of Krishno Kumar Baisak v. Bhirn Lall Baisak Krishno Lall Nag v. Ehyrub Chunder Deb . Krishno Mome v. Empress	3 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 313 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 198 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 597 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 407 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 81 21 W. R., 27 22 W. R., 326 17 W. R., Cr., 2 4 C. L. R., 38 22 W. R., 52 6 C. L. R., 289	4820 5263 5421 2258 2321 3518 1994 2053, 3068 5146 2880 4252 1013

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Krishtendra Roy v. Aena Bewa.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 675: 10 C. }	1876
Krishto Bundhoo Bhuttacharjee v. Rotish Shaikh .	25 W. R., 307	4924
Krishto Coomar Chuckerbutty v. Anund Coomar Dutt .	19 W. R., 307	578
Krishto Kishore Chuckerbutty v. Ram Lochun Burdhun		1924
Krishto Kishore Dutt v. Roop Lall Dass	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 687: 10 C. } L. R., 609.	1448, 189
Krishto Kishori Chowdhrain v. Radha Romun Munshi .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 330	4614
Crishto Lall Ghose v. Bonomalee Roy	I. L.R., 5 Calc., 611 : 5 C. L.	4808
Trishto Mohun Doss Bukshee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	R., 43. 3 W. R., 33	3373
Crishto Motee Debia v. Ram Nidhee Sircar	9 W. R., 331	3800
Kristaya v. Kasipati	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 55	5239
Kristna Aiyangar v. Perumal Nadan Kristna Ayyan v. Venkatachella Mudali	2 Mad., 436 7 Mad., 60	4429 9617 457
Tristna Chetti v. Balarama Chetti	1 Mad., 139	2617, 457 402
ristna Mudali v. Shanmuga Mudaliar	6 Mad., 248	1056
ristna Row v. Muttukistna	4 Mad., 244	468
ristnappa Chetty v. Ramaswamy Iyer ristnappah. In the matter of the petition of	S Mad., 25	2349, 235 4621
ristna Rau v. Chinna Subbu Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
v. Krishna Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
v. Mahadeva Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
v. Nyniappa Mudali	6 Mad., 204	3591
ristna Reddi v. Srinivasa Reddi	6 Mad., 204	3591 2775
ristna Reddy v. Strinivasa Reddy	4 Mad., Ap., 56, note	2771
Kristna Row v. Hachapa Sugapa	9 Med 907	3198, 321
ristnasamy Pillay v. Municipal Commissioners for	4 Mad., 120	3378 5825
the Town of Madras. ristnasamy Tatacharry v. Gomatum Rangacharry	4 Mad., 63	
risto Beharee Roy v. Bunwaree Lall Roy	19 W. R., 62	2249, 579 5027
risto Behary Dass v. Empress	12 C. L. R., 555	1291
risto Bhabiney Dossee v. Ashutosh Bosu Mullick	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 39	2470
risto Chunder Acharjee v. Kashee Thakoorance . risto Chunder Burmo Surmah v. Mohesh Chunder ?	23 W. R., 340	3851, 405
Burmo Surmah.	23 W. R., 381	3368
risto Chunder Chatterjee v. Wise	14 W. R., 70	1146
risto Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Kristo Chunder Burnick	12 W. R., 76	5280
butty. v. Ram Brohmo Chucker-	20 W. R., 403	2775
risto Chunder Dass v. Steel	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 279	6188
risto Chunder Doss v. Soudamonee Dossee	12 W. R., 174	5983
risto Chunder Ghose v. Raj Kristo Bandyopadhya	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 24	5269
isto Chunder Goopto v. Brojo Mohun Dey Chowdhry	22 W. R., 183 17 W. R., 389	156
v. Ramsoondur Sein	17 W. R., 14	748 819
risto Chunder Kurmokar v. Rughoonath Kurmokar	12 B. L. R., 352, note: 10)	2351
risto Chunder Mahata v. Obinessurce Debia	W. R., 328.	
risto Chunder Manata v. Obinessurce Debia risto Chunder Mookerjee v. Chunder Pershad Banerjee	11 W. R., 532 23 W. R., 252	5145 685
risto Chunder Murdraj v. Poorosuttum Dass	15 W. R., 424	2934, 508
risto Chunder Sandel Chowdhry v. Shama Soonduree)	22 W. R., 520	
Debea Chowdhrain. risto Chunder Shaha v. Oomed Ali		3377, 343
	14 W. R., 414	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kristo Chunder Sundyal v. Kashee Kishore Roy Chowdhry. Kristocomul Mitter v. Suresh Chunder Deb . { Kristo Coomar Chowdhry v. Anund Moyee Chowdhrain . Kristo Coomar Nag v. Mahabat Khan Kristodos Koondoo v. Issur Chunder Chowdhry . Kristodas Kundoo v. Ramkant Roy Chowdhry . Kristodas Kundoo v. Ramkant Roy Chowdhry . Kristodone Dutt v. Chairman of Municipal Commissioners of Suburbs of Calcutta. Kristo Boind Joardar v. Jugobundhoo Siroar . Kristo Gobind Joardar v. Jugobundhoo Siroar . Kristo Gopal Maha v. Kasheenauth Shah . Kristo Indro Saha v. Huromonee Dassee . Kristo Kinkur Purunanick v. Ramdhun Chettangia . Kristo Kinkur Purunanick v. Ramdhun Chettangia . Kristo Kishore Bhuttacharjee v. Seetamonee Bhuttacharjee v. Kristo Kinkur Purunanick v. Ramdhun Chettangia . Kristo Kishore Ghose v. Brojonath Mozoomdar . Kristo Kishore Ghose v. Brojonath Mozoomdar . Kristo Kishore Ghose v. Brojonath Mozoomdar . Kristo Kishore Jossee v. Kally Prosono Ghose . Kristo Mohine Dossee v. Kally Prosono Ghose . Kristo Mohiney Dossee v. Kally Prosono Ghose . Kristo Mohun Bysack v. Collector of Dacca . Kristo Mohun Pattur v. Huree Sinkur Mookerjee . Kristo Mohun Pattur v. Huree Sunkur Mookerjee . Kristo Mohun Pattur v. Huree Sunkur Mookerjee . Kristo Mohun Debia v. Buroda Dossia Glowdhrain .	17 W. R., 145	of Digest. 3436, 4253 5629 2633 14453 3487 6016 3268, 3950 4885 1183, 1288 1914 6132 5753 613 1701 1701, 4634 5522 2, 4892 17501 475, 4760 2276, 3092 541, 3520 6125 1162, 5249 206, 5374 1937, 4518 47 3785 1654 1458
Kristo Mohiney Dossee v. Kally Prosonno Ghose Kristo Mohun Bysack v. Collector of Dacea. Kristo Mohun Christian v. Anunda Kristo Mohun Pattur v. Huree Sunkur Mookerjee Kristo Monee Debia v. Buroda Dossia Chowdhrain Kristomonee Debia v. Fakeer Chand Khan Kristomonee Thakoor v. Bishambhur Doss.	L. R., 344. J. L. R., 6 Calo., 485 : 8 C. L. R., 48. 24 W. R., 91 16 W. R., 240 7 W. R., 235 14 W. R., 143, 140 5 W. R., 215	206, 5374 1937, 4518 47 3785 1654 1453 1439 208, 5739
Kristo Prea Dossee v. Puddo Lochun Mytee Kristo Prosad Mundle. In the matter of the petition of Kristo Protibar v. Alladinee Dassee Kristo Bam Roy v. Janokee Nath Roy Kristo Sondur Sandyal v. Chunder Nath Roy Kristo Sondur Sandyal v. Chunder Nath Roy Kristo Sunkur Dutt Roy v. Koylash Nath Dutt Roy Kristo Sunkur Adhikaree v. Basoodeb Gossamee Kriatromoyee Debia v. Khetter Nath Sirear Krodesh Sen v. Kamini Mohun Sen Kubar Single v. Atmaran	6 W. R., 288 22 W. R., 07., 74 15 W. R., 4 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 748: 9 C.} L. R., 324 15 W. R., 230 15 W. R., 424 9 W. R., 424 9 W. R., 427 10 C. L. R., 161 I. L. R., 5 All., 332	1677 4718 562 1876 2982 4271 2781 878 2299 6086
Kubeer Chunder Bunde v. Ramkanye Doss Biswas Kubeeroodeen v. Jogul Shaha . Kubeerun v. Sufeehun Kudeerun v. Lallun Kudeerun v. Lallun Kudhara v. Khuman Singh Kudhara v. Khuman Singh Kudomee Dossee v. Joteeram Kolita Kudomee Dossee v. Emam Ali	17 W. R., 174 15 W. R., 133 24 W. R., 388 14 W. R., 366 1 Agra, 265 1 L. L. R., 3 Calc., 305 20 W. R., 167	685 2018 4212 471 4557 2443, 5099 3147

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Kudratulla v. Mahini Mohan Shaha	{ 4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 } W. R., F. B., 21,	3708
Kudum Kooner v. Budla Singh .	W. R., F. B., 21.	5708
Kulab Hossein v. Mehrum Beebee	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 163 4 N. W., 155	95
Kula Nagabushanam v. Kula Seshachalam	1 Mad., 178	113
Kuleemooddeen Darogah v. Ashruf Ali Khan	19 W. R., 276	379
Kulian Doss v. Gobind Kooer	24 W. R., 143	690
Kullappa Naik v. Ramanuja Chariyar	4 Mad., 429	4527 5629
Kuller Singh v. Jewan Singh	22 W. R., 79	3151
Kullianbutti Koer v. Tulapal Singh	11 C. L. R., 204	2505
Kullyan Dass v. Sheo Nundun Purshad Singh Kullyanessuree Debee v. Dwarkanath Surma	18 W. R., 65	4025, 4028
Kullyanessuree Dossee v. Narain Kyburto .	6 W. R., 116	2434
	15 W. R., 241	4935
Kullyan Singh v. Bahadur Singh	Agra. F. B., 163: Ed. 1874, }	3493
Kulno Khond Kar. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 47	
Kulponath Doss v. Mewah Lall	8 W. R., 302	4052 2445
Kulpo Singh v. Thakoor Singh .	15 W. R., 429	5769
Kulree v. Jhoonoo Kulsoon v. Ameerunnessa	7 W. R., Cr., 52	3629
Kultoo Mahomed v. Hurdeb Doss	1 Hyde, 150	8686
Kulum Mundul v. Bhowani Prosad	19 W. R., 107	1724
Kulumutee Kooer v. Jowahur Lall	22 W. R., Cr., 32	1151
Kulyan Churn Mookerjee v. Bipro Churn Purail .	11 W. R., 318 6 W. R., 321	2774
Kumar Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R., 383	3325
Kumaran v. Narayanan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 260	3237
Kumarasami v. Subbaraya	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 325	3756 6217
Kumarasami Nadan v. Pala Nagappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 385	3208
Kumarasamy Reddi v. Panna Soona Mooroogappa ?	7 Med 950 "	
Kumaravelu v. Virana Goundan	TTD	6177
Kumaradeva Mudali v. Nallatambi Reddi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 29	2311, 2312
Kumeezooddeen Holdar v. Rujjub Ali Shaha	1 Mad., 407 9 W. R., 528	5631
Kumini Ama v. Parkam Kolusheri	1 Mad., 261	1776
Kumla Sahoy v. Ram Ruttun Neogy	11 W. R., 201	3766 566
Kummur ool nissa Begum v. Mahomed Hussun	1 Agra, 287	3660
Kumola Dassee v. Azınur Ali Kumola Kant Mytee v. Narainee Dossee	7 W. R., 13	4173
Kumolanath Sein v. Beharee Kant Roy	9 W. R., 583	3981
	11 W. R., 314	1103, 1560
Kumood Narain Bhoop v. Purna Chunder Roy . }	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 547 : 8 C. L. }	2878
Kumrunnessa v. Sujan	10 C. L. R. 31	
Kumul Dutt v. Mohun Molla	15 W. R., 278	5679
Cumur Ali v. Azmut Ali	8 W. R , 383	$\frac{3235}{4201}$
Kumurooddeen v. Bhadoo Kumeeroonissa Begum v. Syuffoollah	11 W. R., 134	2089, 6031
Kumuroonissa Begum v. Sytoollah Khan	40 W. R., P. C., 82	4235
Kunchun Deo Singh v. Tekait Sidh Nath Singh .	5 W. R., 198	4235
Kunchun Singh v. Choonna	15 W. R., 289	2932
v. Sheoraj	1 Agra, Rev., 44 1 Agra, Rev., 7	164
Kunda Misser v. Ganesh Singh.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 15 W.	25, 1645
	R., 193.	1604
Kundeme Naine Boochee Naidoo v. Ravoo Lutchmee-	8 Mad., 36	1,
Kundhyee v. Zuman Khan		5666
Kundu v. Impichi	1 Agra, 9	5187
Kundun Lal v. Bansi Dhar	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 442 I. L. R., 3 All., 170	3766
		3295

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kundun Lal v. Sasta Kooer		8 W. R., 369	6100
Kuncez Fatima v. Saheba Jan	: :	8 W. R., 313	108, 3661
Kunhamed v. Chathu	1 1	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 437	5959
Kunhammatha v. Kunhi Kutti Ali		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 233	3762
Kunhamu v. Keshavan Nambudri	1 1	I. L. R, 3 Mad., 246	3765
Kunhi v. Seshagiri		I. L. R., 5 Mad., 141	3525
Kunhi Bivi v. Abdul Aziz		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 103	1342
Kunhi Komapen Kurupu v. Changarachan	n Kandil)		3235
Chembata Ambu.	3	2 mau., 515	
Kunhimmu v. Vivyathamma		L. L. R., 7 Mad., 535	4850
Kunhi Moidin v. Tarayil Moidin		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 101	5488
Kuuhi Moidin Kutti v. Ramen Unni		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 203	821, 848
Kunhussa v. Queen		I. L. R., 5 Mad., 28	5574
Kunhya Lall v. Bunsee		Agra, F. B., 94 : Ed. 1874, 71	3201, 3300
Kunhya Singh v. Tooydun Singh		7 W. R., 20	2697
Kunigaratu v. Arrangaden		2 Mad., 12	3757, 3761
Kunjabehari Lal v. Giridhari Lal		1 B. L. R., S. N., 12: 10 W.)	4415
		R., 189.	
Kunjal Sahu v. Guru Baksh Koer		8 B. L. R., 184, note: 13 W.	4270
Kunj Behari Sing v. Neru Sing	. }	6 B. L. R., 663, note: 15 W. R., 291.	4309
Kunjebehari Lal v. Ilahi Baksh	(I. L. R., 6 All., 64	2727
		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 167	4251
Kunjunneri Nambiar v. Nilakunden . Kunju Velan v. Manavikrama Zamorin .		1 Mad., 113, note	3763
Kunnathurillath Vasudevan Nambudri v. N	Jaramanan .		
Nambudri.	arayanan	[I. L. R., 6 Mad., 121	5048
Kunnock Chunder Mookerjee v. Gurudass Bis	77700	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 919: 12 C. ?	4892
	was	L. R., 599.	
Kunuk Singh v. Gholam Jeelanee	· · · ·	2 Agra, 329	1645
Kunund Narain Bhoop. In the matter of the	e petition	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 650: 3 C. L.]	4487, 4490
of.		R., 551.	4492 3017
Kupil Rai v. Radha Prosad Singh		I. L. R., 5 All., 260	
Kuppa v. Dorasami		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76	335 2417
v. Singaravelu		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 325	2707
Kuppa Ayyar v. Venkataramana Ayyar . Kuppa Gurukal v. Dorasami Gurukal .		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 76	2251
Kuppayyan v. Ramasami Ayyan		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 197	5477
Kuppu Chetti v. Chidambara Mudali .		3 Mad., 170	5713
Kuppusvamiayyan v. Nannuvayyan		1 Mad., 74	184, 5770
			356, 379
Kupu Rau v. Venkataramayyar		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 311 .	1702
Kurali Prasad Misser v. Anantaram Hajra .		8 B. L. R., 490: 16 W. R., P. C., 16.	1504, 1762
Kuram Singh v. Mehtab Koonwer		P. C., 16.	1751
Kuray Mal v. Puran Mal			3964
Kureem Buksh Khan v. Doolhin Khoord			3657
Kureem Chand Gurain v. Oodung Gurain .		6 W. R., 158	2293
_			
Kureem Khan v. Muhfooz		(W. R., F. B., 16: 1 Ind. Jur.,) O. S., 77: 1 Hay, 226.	5778
Kurcemooddeen Biswas v. Hurochunder Gooh		1 777 D 00"	6108
Kureemoonissa v. Attaoollah		2 Agra, 211	3702
Kureemun v. Mullick Enact Hossein			3684
Kuria bin Hanmia v. Gururav		9 Bom., 282	5216
Kurim Buksh v. Shib Shunkur Shuhaye .		W. R., 1864, 384	4954
Kuriyali v. Mayan		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 255	815
Kurmofool v. Bissessur Singh		Marsh., 337	4002
		1	1

, Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Kuroonamoyee Debee v. Guugadhur Surmah Kurraly Churn Banerjee v. Modhoosoodun Patter Kuru Chowbe v. Jankee Persad Kurufool Kooev v. Rajkalee Kooer Kurum Chand Koleesh v. Huree Mohun Ghose Kurum Chand Koleesh v. Gooroo Pershad Shah Kurun Singh v. Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan Kuseseononissa Bebee v. Huroonnissa Bibee Kushaba bin Sankroji v. Pitambardhari Kussaesun Roy v. Joggodishuri Kustoora Koomaree v. Binoderam Sein — v. Monohur Doo Kustoor Mull v. Jokeeram Kuta Bully Viraya v. Kuta Chudappa Vuthamulu Kutti Ammal v. Radakristan Aiyan Kutta Bully Viraya v. Kuta Chudappa Vuthamulu Kutti Ammal v. Radakristan Aiyan Kutta Bully Viraya v. Kuta Chudappa Kutta Manakel Narayanan Somayajipad. Kuttusberi Pisharith Kanna Fisharody v. Villotiil Manakel Narayanan Somayajipad. Kutty Subramaniya v. Chinna Muttee Pillai Kuvaribi remehand v. Bai Javer Kuvari Premehand v. Bai Javer Kuvari Premehan	20 W. R., 50 3 W. R., Act X, 146 1 Acra, 164 17 W. R., 439 W. R., 1864, 186 2 W. R., Mis., 45 7 W. R., 381 10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's 1. A., 176, 187. 12 Ow. R., 432 15 W. R., 195 12 Bom., 15 10 W. R., 488 7 C. L. R., 269 4 W. R., Mis., 4 W. R., 1864, 39 11 B. L. R., Ap., 26 2 Mad., 25 8 Mad., 88 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 288 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 258 1. L. R., 3 Mad., 258 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 170 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 170 1. L. R., 7 Bom., 170 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 234 6 Bom., A. C., 143 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 191 1 Agra, Rev., 62 14 W. R., 386 1 L. R., 4 Mad., 172 4 Mad., 366 1 W. R., 387 1 W. R., 387 7 W. R., 318 3 W. R., 43 24 W. R., 413 20 W. R., 319 20 W. R., 30 1 L. R., 4 Ool 20 W. R., 30 1 L. R., 40 20 W. R., 319 3 W. R., 43 24 W. R., 413 20 W. R., 30 1 L. R., 9 Gale., 223: 11 C. }	5100 1643 1690 4142 1783 288 289 2209,2301 4156,485 3912 435,587 3912 2034 5587 2233 2311 4159,1331 4159 2743 1689 5398 4710 4742 3459 1716 4742 3459 3344 4804 5831 1586,2996 6144 6112 502
L.		
Labbi Beebee v. Bibbun Beebee . Lachcho v. Mayaram . Lachlan v. Abdulla . Lachiram Jaya Sangji v. Ramji bin Shivaji . Lachman v. Juala . v. Thondi Ram . Lachman Bibi v. Patniram . Lachman Das v. Brijpal . v. Dip Chand .	6 N. W., 159 I. L. R., 5 All., 158 : L. R., 10 } 5 B. L. R., Ap., 89 6 Bom., A. C., 107 I. L. R., 5 All., 161 I. L. R., 7 All., 382 I. L. R., 1 All., 510 I. L. R., 6 All., 174 I. L. R., 2 All., 811 I. L. R., 2 All., 851	3684 4550 4408 5826 1533, 3621 5151 3518 3504 173 4833

Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lachman Joharimal v. Bapu Khandu .				6 Bom., A. C., 241	4614
Lachman Kuar v. Murdan Singh . Lachman Prasad. In the matter of .	•	•	. •	I. L. R., 8 All., 143	2441
Lachman Frasad. In the matter of .	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863 339, 5213
v. Bahadur Singh v. Bal Singh v. Chammi Lal	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 884 I. L. R., 4 All., 157 I. L. R., 4 All., 6	5190
v. Chammi Lal	:	:	•	I L B. 4 All 6	5688, 5743
v. Holas Mahtoon .	•	• •	Ġ	2 B. L. R., Ap., 27: 11 W.	2987, 4924
	•	•	500	R., 151. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 513: 7 C.L.?	
Lachman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye	•	•	i	R., 521.	1866, 3518
Lachman Rai v. Akbar Khan	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 440 I. L. R., 4 All., 3	1345
Lachman Singh v. Kesri	•	•	٠	1. L. K., 4 All., 3	3304, 4811
v. Mohau v. Pirbhu Lall v. Salig Ram v. Salwal Singh v. Tansukh Lachmin v. Ganga Prasad Lachmi Narain v. Bhawani Din	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 2 All., 497	188
v. Firmu Lan	•	•	٠	6 N. W., 358	2717
v. Sang Kam	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 884	1878 4878
v. Sanwar Singa	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 543 I. L. R., 6 All., 395	141
Tashmin a Congo Presed	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 485	669
Lacheni Navoin a Bharrani Din	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 379	2917
v. Koteshar Nath	:	•	•	I. L. R., 2 All., 826	3932
v. Koteshar Nath v. Manog Dat v. Partab Singh v. Wilayti Begam Luchmi Navain Lal v. Sheopmbar Lal	•			I. L. R., 2 All., 826 I. L. R., 7 All., 291	4538
v. Partab Sinch		:		T. T. R., 2 All., 1	694
v. Wilayti Begam	:	:		T. L. R., 2 All., 433	1097, 2042
Lachmi Narain Lal v. Sheoambar Lal	•			I. L. R., 2 All., 409	3239
Lachmipat Singh v . Mahomed Mooneer	•		{	I. L. R., 2 All., 433 I. L. R., 2 All., 409 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 32; W. R., F. B., 32.	96
Lachmipat Singh Dugar v. Khairat Ali			{	5 B. L. R., 18: 12 W. R., F. B., 11.	4807
Lachmipat Singh Dugar Roy. In the matt	er of		{	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151: 11 W.	4757
			(R., O. C., 24. 3 2 B. L. R., A. C., 194 : 11 W. 3	9505
Lachmipat Singh Roy v. Wahid Ali .	•	•	{	R., 70.	3507
Lackersteen v. Rostan				I. L. R., 7 Calc., 32	6063
Lackersteen v. Rostan v. Tarucknath Poramanick	•			Cor., 91	2614
Ladd v. Parbutty Dossee	•	•		2 Hyde, 18	2822
Ladji Naik v. Musabi	•	•	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 665	3298
Ladkuvarbhai v. Sarsangji Pratabsangji				7 Bom., O. C., 150 . {	1937, 2893
				4 N. W., 59	$\frac{4972}{4245}$
	•	•	•	Agra, F. B., 22: Ed. 1874, 17	4863
Lado v. Oodey Koonwur Lado Begum v. Achpul <i>alias</i> Ameeroolnissa	•	•	•		1507
Lado Lakshuman v. Krishnaji Sadashiv		•	•	2 Agra, 153 6 Bom., A. C., 41	4563
Ladooish a Sanvaley		•		3 Agra, 191	2485
Ladooiah v. Sanvaley Ladubhai v. Revichand		:	•	3 Agra, 191 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 143 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 532	1228
Ladu Chimaji v. Babaji Khanduji 🔹		:		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 532	1520
Ladun v. Bhyro Ram		:		8 W. R., 255	3705
Ladun v. Bhyro Ram				2 Mad., 355	5501
Lack Ram v. Mohesh Doss				12 W. R., 488 {	435, 858
		•		E T D 11 01 770	5482
Laidley v. Bishucharan Pal	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 553	1601
Laidley v. Bishucharan Pal v. Gour Gobind Sarkar v. Gunness Chunder Sahoo	•	•	٠	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 501	5179
v. Gunness Chunder Sanco .	•	:	•	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 438	5307
Laidman v. Hearsey Laikhram v. Ghumnee Laith Narain Deo v. Bolakee Chowdhry	•	•	٠,	I. L. R., 7 All., 906	1514 4317
Laith Narain Daga Roleba Chewdhan	•	•	•	3 Agra, 298 W. R., 1864, 24	778
Lajja Prasad v. Debi Prasad	•	•	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 236	4557
Lakhessur Koer v. Sookha Ojha	•	•	•	1 C. L. R., 39	4557 579
unancoam Moer v. bookin Ojna •	•	•	•	1 U 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	019

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lakhi Kant Das Chowdry v. Ram Dyal Das Lakhikant Dutt v. Jagabandhu Chuckerbutty Lakhi Kumar v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry Lakhimani Rar. In the case of Lakhimani Chowdhrain v. Akroomoni Chowdhrain Lakhi Prya Dasi v. Nabin Chandra Nag Lakhi Prya Debi v. Khyrulla Kazi Lakhimi Chand v. Indra Mull Lakhimi Chand v. Indra Mull Lakhimi Chand v. Gatto Bai V. Torilal Lakhimi Chand Walchand v. Kastur Beehar Lakhimi Chand Walchand v. G. I. P. Railway Company Lakhimidas Hansraj. In ro Lakhimidas Hansraj. Lakhimidas Hansraj. In ro	5 B. L. R., Ap., 61	3335 3748 4460 4686 4850 93 3248 1728 754, 553, 2135 1095 4825 4825 4825 4704, 571 1703
Jakhu Cowar v. Roy Hari Krishna Singh Jakoa Khan v. Wise Jakohangi v. Queen-Empress Jakshmaji v. Queen-Empress Jakshmamma v. Venkataregava Charia; Lakshmamma v. The the matter of the application of Lakshman. In the matter	R. 3. 5 B. L. R. Sup. Vol., 633 18 W. R., 443 I. L. R., 7 Mad, 289 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 500 4 Mad., 89 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 472 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 99	3068 3261 3118 1972 4052, 601 3500, 352 5242 4521 433
v. Najimudin v. Sukiya Bai Lakshmana Chetti v. Navasimhasami Lakshmanaiyan v. Sivasamy Row Lakshmanammal v. Tiravengada Mudali	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 145 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 400 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 167 4 Mad., 2.6 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 241	5960 2709 1915 3344 2301
Lakshmanamma v. 1 uruvengada budan Lakshmanan Chekti v. Kavuppan Chetti v. Kolandaivelu Kudumban Lakshmana Rau v. Lakshmi Ammal Lakshman Bhakar v. Babaji Bhaktar Lakshman Bhisaji Sirsekar v. Hari Dinkar Desai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 273	1998, 4039 3001, 3599 2137, 2130 2205 2797, 608 4022, 609
Lakshman Dada Naik v. Ram Chandru Dada Naik v. — { Lakshmandas v. Ganpatrav Lakshmandas Bhagatramji v. Manohar Ganesh Tambekar	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561 . I. L. R., 5 Bom., 48 : L. R., 7 I. A., 181 : 7 C. L. R., 320. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 365	1752, 247 2534 2388, 253 3366, 500 5225 4564
Lakshmandas Sarupchand v. Dasrat Lakshman Mayaram v. Jamnabai Lakshman Ramchandra v. Sarasvatibai v. Satyabhamabai Lakshman Shivaji v. Rama Esu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 168	3544, 354 4841, 540 6140, 614 2371 2430 2432 179, 124

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		2109, 2127
akshmappa v. Ramava	12 Bom., 364 }	2129, 2131
akshmi v. Ananta Shanbaga	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 230	2135, 2148 3140, 3155
v. Chendri	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 72	5596
v. Dada Nanaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 210	2310
v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 424	5478
v. Sri Devi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 1	3455, 3466
akshmi Ammal v. Sashadry Aiyangar	4 Mad., 275 1 Mad., 240	3530 4429, 5011
v. Tikaram Tovaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 654	4291
at Ganauh Antaji	4 Bom., A. C., 129	688
n. Gannat Moroba	4 Bom., O. C., 150	2539
v. Hari bin Ravji	5 Bom., O. C., 128	1700, 2303
v. Hari bin Ravji	9 Bom., 1	4418, 6098
v. Jayram Hari	6 Bom., A. C., 152	2314
v, Shridhar Vasudev Takle	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 1	2275, 3859
v. Vithal Ramchandra	9 Bom., 53	3390 680
akshmibai kom Sanshedappa v. Rudrappa bin Gangappa akshmichand v. Arjuna	2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 382 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 77	1521
akshmi Chelliah Garu v. Srikrishna Bhupati Devu)	1	
Maharaz Garu (Zemindar of Madugula).	7 Mad., 6	3902, 3976
kshmi Narasimhalu v. Chartrazu Jagannadham)	3 Mad., 287	3825
Pantalu alias Srinivasa Rau	1	
akshmi Narayana v. Ramapa Chakkira	1 Mad., 70	2944
akshmi Narayana Aiyar v. Suppara Gaundan	2 Mad., 321	$\frac{303}{2544}$
akshmi Shankar v. Vaijanath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 24 6 Bom., A, C., 66	3430
akshuman Sakharam v. Ranu bin Sidoji	6 Bom., A. C., 51	3173
ala v. Hira Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 49	1341, 1345
	9 B. L. R., 364: 14 Moore's	2870
ala Banshidhar v . Government of Bengal . $\}$	I.A., 86:16 W.R., P.C., 11.)	630, 4651
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 67: 13 W.	
ala Biswambhar Lal v. Rajaram	R., 337, note: S. C. 16 W. (1165
1	R., 140, note: 21 W. R.,	
ala Chatranarayan v. Uba Kunwari	373, note. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 201	2207
ala Dilawar Sahai v. Dewan Bolakiram	I. L. R. 11 Calc., 258	3950
ala Doul Narain v. Runjit Singh	1 C. L. R., 256	3893
ala Gandar Lal v. Habibannissa	7 B. L. R., 235: 15 W. R., 311	3243
ala Gobind Prasad v. Doulat Batti	6 B. L. R., Ap., 85: 14 W. R., 451	2434
ala Gopee Chand v. Liakut Hossein	25 W. R., 211	54
ala Himmat Sahai Singh v. Llewhellen	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 486	1829
ala Huree Sunkur Sahoy v. Krishna Kant Dutt	25 W. R., 106 8 B. L. R., 315: 15 W. R., F.)	3494
ala İswari Prasad v. Bir Bhanjan Tewari .	B., 9.	169
ala Jagat Narayan v. Tulsiram	1 B. L. R., A. C., 71	437, 758
ala Joti Lal v. Durani Kower	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67 : W. R., F. B., 173.	2312
ala Jugdeo Sahai v. Brij Behari Lal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 505	6033, 603
ala Kali Prosad v. Buli Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 789: 3 C. L. R., 396.	3551
Lala Manchur Dass v. Kishen Dyal	3 N. W., 175	4245
Lala Mobaruk Lal v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 200	5365
Lala Prag Dutt v. Bandi Hossein	. 7 B. L. R., 42	3713
Lala Prasadi Lal v. Lala Ambika Prasad	. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 25	5581

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Lala Ramdhari Lal v. Jauessar Das Lala Ranglal v. Deonarayan Tewary		3887 1736, 2781
Lala Rudra Prasad v. Binode Ram Sen	3 B. L. R., A. C., 71, note: }	4131
Lala Sham Soondur Lal v. Sooraj Lal	26 W. R., 48	4646, 4653
Lala Tilakdhari Lal v. Furlong	2 B. L. R., A. C., 230 , .	3918 2081, 3850
Lal Bahadur Singh v. Durga Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 437	
	[L. R., 539.	5191
Lalbehari Dutt v. Srinath Mookerjee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 73, note	4131 302, 2946
Lalbhai Lakhmidas v. Kamaludin Husen Khan .	. 12 Bom., 247	4677, 4774
	. 8 Bom., O. C., 209	2017, 4328
Lalchand Ambai Das v. Sakharam Laldas v. Nekunjo Bhaishiani	. 5 Bom., A. C., 139	3256, 3403 1340, 3743
Laldas Ramdas v. Kashiram	. 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 374 4 Bom., A. C., 60	1846, 4199
Lali Konwar v. Carter	. 25 W. R., 492	2983
Lalit Mohun Shaha v. Srinibas Sen	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 331 (5 B. L. R., 176: 13 W. R.,)	5325
Lalit Panday v. Sridhar Deo Narain	5 B. L. R., 176: 13 W. R., 3	2206
Laljee v. Sadit Hossein	4 N. W., 99	5456
Laljee Lall v. Hardey Narain	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 105: 11 C. } L. R., 125.	2820
Laljee Sahoo v. Roghoonundun Lall Sahoo	. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 447	3199, 331
Laljee Sahoy v. Fakeer Chand	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 135: 7 C. } L. R., 97.	2174
Laljee Singh v. Bunwary Lall Roy	25 W. R., 448	6103
Laljeet Singh v. Rajcoomar Singh	25 W. R., 448 12 B. L. R., 372 : 20 W. R.,	2468
Lalji v. Nuran	337. . I. L. R., 5 All., 103	2862, 519
Lalji Mal v. Hulasi	. I. L. R., 3 All., 660	4875
Lalji Ratanji v. Gangaram Tuljaram	2 Bom., 184: 2nd Ed., 176	4858, 611
Lalji Sahu v. Collector of Tirhoot	6 B. L. R., 648: 15 W. R., P. C., 23. B. L. R., A. C. 235: 12 W.	990, 465
Lalji Singh v. Akram Sen	R., 47.	303, 582
Lalji Ukheda v. Jowba Dowba	. 8 Bom., A. C., 94	5255
Lal Kower v. Jaikaran Lal	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 67: W. R., F. B., 173.	2312
Lalla Bance Pershad v. Lalla Dabee Pershad .	. 24 W. R., 435	324
Lalla Beharee Lall v. Lalla Modho Persaud .	. 6 W. R., 69	2359, 326
Lalla Bhagun Pershad v. Holloway Lalla Bhoop Narain v. Abassee Begum	. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 393 23 W. R., 458	799 4527
Lalla Bhoyro Lall v. Lalla Mokoond Lal	2 W. R., 275	4911
Lalla Bishen Dyal Singh v. Ram Sunkur Tewaree	. 6 W. R., Mis., 38	3493, 351
Lalla Bissen Pershad v. Collector of Hazareebagh Lalla Bissessur Dyal v. Doolar Chand Sahoo	. 13 W. R., 397	2856, 527 690
Lalla Boodhmul v. Lalla Gowree Sunkur	. 4 W. R., 71	79, 208
Lalla Bunseedhur v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh .	. 10 Moore's I. A., 454 . {	2088, 271 4659
Lalla Byjnath Pershad v. Bissen Beharee Sahoy Singh		2201
Lalla Chedi Lal v. Ramdhuni Gope	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 57	3807
Lalla Chooncelal Nagindas v. Sawaechund Namedas	5 W. R., P. C., 111	6151
Lalla Chuttur Narain v. Wooma Koonwaree Lalla Dabee Pershad v. Beharee Lall	8 W. R., 273	2207 3969, 440
v. Santo Pershad	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 505	4524
Lalla Debeeden v. Sheo Ghoolam Singh	. 2 N. W., 206	328

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lalla Gobind Suhaye v. Munchur Misser	1 W. R., 65	3334
Lalla Gooman Singh a Grant	11 W. R., 292	2040
Lialla Goorgo Sahara Singh D	W. R., 1864, Act X, 105	1785
	25 W. R., 211	* 54, 4815
Lana Gopee Unund. In the matter of the notition of	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 128	277
	16 W. R., 52	2199
Lalla Fleera Lai v. (formes Byrneth Denst. J	4 W. R., 43	5791
Limita Licera Lan V. Wonee Roy	11 W P 909	1869
Lallah Jha v. Tullebmatool Zuhra	21 W. R., 436	5762
Lallah Mahtab Roy v. Debee Dutt Singh	25 W. R., 204	6111
Lalla Huree Lal v. Kooldeen Single	19 W. R., 144	2021
Lalla Imrit Lall v. Mahomed Lallzamah	19 W. R., 144 18 W. R., 447	5764
Lana Induriect Lall alias Guiadhur Parchad a Turnagan	5 W. R., 248	6117
	W. R., 1864, Act X, 28	4931
Lalla Jowahir Lall Pandey v. Court of Wards	17 W. R., 214	5789
Dalla Joynath Sance Dec v. Lutchun Christian	16 W. R., 158	4172
Lalla Jugdeo Sahoy v. Digambur Roy	22 W. R., 304, note	135
Lalla Juggeshur Sahoy v. Gopal Lall		289, 2774
T is T	15 W. R., 54 }	5369
Lalla Jugseb Sahoy v. Kassee Nath Sein	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 121	877
Lana Joogni Kishore Lall a Phubba Cl	9 W. R., 244	5394
	24 W. R., 351	2351
Linia Lundee Hall n. Lolla Kalaa Darahad	22 W. R., 307	2489
Dana Mewa Lali v. Mahato	25 W. R., 152	2762
Lalla Mitterjeet Singh v. Rajcoomar Sircar	18 W. R., Cr., 22	4101
a South :	17 W. R., 62	3910
Lalla Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundun Koowar	8 W. R., 116	2283, 2448
Lana Monadeo Dval Sinch a Chunder D. 1 - 1	25 W. R., 57	1736
	24 W. R., 39	3036
Dana Narain Doss v. Estate of Ex-King of Delhi	11 Moore's I. A., 277	2712, 3112
Lalla Nowbut Lall v. Lalla Jewan Lall	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 831 : 2 C.)	
Tella Ollan T. ii. T. anna dewall Light	L. R., 319.	3713
Lalla Ojhee Lall v. Lootf Ali Khan	2 W. R., Mis. 33	209
Lalla Ondh Beharee Lalla v. Mewa Koonwar	3 Agra, 82	1149;1150
	7 W. R., 368	1677
Lalla Puriag Dutt v. Bundeh Hossein	15 W. R., 225	3713
Lalla Ram Sahoy v. Dodraj Matho	20 W. R., 395	573
Lalla Ram Sahoy Lall v. Chowbain	22 W. R., 287	3412
Lalla Ram Suhae Singh v. Lalla Oojoodhya Pershad	5 W. R., 233	4136
	18 W. R., 39	880, 5380
alla Roghoobuns Sahoy v. Asloo	20 W. R., 294	1628
halla Rooder Pershad v. Hurpershad Doss	23 W. R., 194	3107
Lalla Sabil Chand v. Goodur Khan	22 W. R., 187	5319, 6032
alla Seeta Ram v. Ram Buksh Thakoor	24 W. R., 383	5404
alla Seetul Pershad v. Chand Khan	2 N. W., 428	2077
alla Sham Soondur v. Sooraj Lall	13 W. R., 441	564
alla Sheeblall v. Golam Nubbee	Marsh., 255: 2 Hay, 23 1 N. W., 180: Ed. 1873, 258.	4209
alla Sheo Narain v. Oodhun Singh	1 N. W., 180 : Ed. 1873, 258 .	1658
alla Sheoparshad v. Juggernath	L. R., 10 L. A., 74	145
alla Shee Sahay Singh v. Kummornnissa Begum	2 W. R., Act X, 68	2691
alla Shoobh Narain v. Nursingh Narain	20 W. R., 148	4903
	8 W. R., 271	1634
alla Sooklall Sing v. Bussoodhun	W. R., 1864, 347	318
alla Sreedhur Narain v. Lalla Modho Pershad	8 W. R., 294	2356
	7 W. R., 488	2444, 2449
alla Tecluckdaree Lall v. Court of Wards . Lalla Thakoor Sahoy v. Mahomed Lootfoollah	11 W. R., 149	3918
	18 W. R., 504	4852

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallah Barroomul v. Official Liquidator Lallah Bhugwan Doss v. Akbar Lallah Buseedhu v. Bindeseree Dutt Singh Lallah Gopenath v. Jeetun Koer Lallah Gopenath v. Jeetun Koer Lallah Gopenath v. Karron Lall Thakoor Lallah Mohabeer Pershad v. Kundur Koonwar Lallah Ramesshur Singh v. Lallah Bissen Doyal Lall Beharee Awustee v. Bholanath Dey Chakladar Lall Beharee Lall v. Gopee Beebee Lall Chand v. Kudmoo Koonwar Lall Chand Roy. In the matter of . v. Brindabun Chunder Roy Lall Dharee Roy v. Brojo Lall Singh Lall Dharee Roy v. Brojo Lall Singh Lall Dhur Rae v. Gunput Rae Lall Dhur Rae v. Gunput Rae Lall Gboindyal v. Burzin Lall Gopalnath Sahee Deo v. Pudum Koonwar Lall Gopalnath Sahee Deo v. Pudum Koonwar Lalljee Sahoo v. Bhugwan Doss v. Rajender Pertab Sahee Lalljeet Singh v. Raj Coonar Lall Jha v. Negroo Lall Latchmee Pershaud v. Bhoodhun Sing Lall Mahomed v. Buzlool Hossein v. Furhutoonissa v. Furhutoonissa v. Gibbon v. Lall Brij Kishore	Volume and Page. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 704: 3 C. L.	946 1196, 1407 2085 3743 2985 2283, 2448 1353 1082 6246 248 132, 3087 1257 6245 2084 3995 3125 3165, 3332 3014 4456 249 3558 407 4787, 4791 3076 674 6276 2023 4736 3385, 3448
v. Lall Brij Kishore v. Peer Nuzur v. Shona Jolla Ghazee Lall Mahomed Biswas v. Watson Lall Miah v. Nazir Khalashi Lall Mohun Mullick v. Peary Chand Mittra Lall Mone Dossee v. Joynarain Shaha Lallmun v. Maniek Chund Lall Munee v. Pyagdut Doobey Lall Nath Misser v. Sheoburn Pandey Lall Singh v. Modhoosudun Roy v. Zaluria Lall Soonder Doss v. Hurry Kissen Doss Lallubhai v. Naran Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Cassibai	. 17 W. R., 480	3667 326, 331 1489 521, 1376 4106 5283, 5636 4579 5119 1098 5100 1736, 417- 5729

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lallubhai Bapubhai v. Mankuvarbai	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 388 . }	1944, 2315 2534, 2538 3167
Lallu Ganesh v. Ranchhod Kahandas Lallu Haribhai v. Ranchhod Jamunadas Lallummonee Dossee v. Nobin Mohun Singh Lal Mahomed v. Kallauns Lal Mahomed Bepari v. Shoila Bewa Lal Mahomed Biswas v. Watson	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 641 10 Bom., 95 25 W. R., 32 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 519 11 C. L. R., 104 4 W. R., 62: 1 Ind. Jur., N. ?	5710 2615 1101, 2847 1682 287 521, 1375
Lalman v. Mannu Lal	S., 3. I. L. R., 6 All., 19 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 909: 97	3022
Lal Mohun Holdar v. Mahadeb Katee	W. R., 193.	3288
Lalmoney Dossee v. Juddeonauth Shaw	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 319	2799, 2827 4097, 4435
Laloo v. Adam Sircar	17 W. R., Cr., 37.	5166
Laloo Sirkar v. Jogut Kishore Acharjea Lal Sahoo v. Deo Narain Singh	13 C. L. R., 203 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 781: 2 C. L. R., 294.	3808 5179
Lal Seetla Bux v. Jankee Koer	L. R., 5 L. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., 318.	5504
Lal Shunkur Bux v. Jankee Koer	1L. R., 5 L. A., 1: 1 C. L. R., 318.	5504
Lal Singh v. Deo Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 279	2187
Lalti Kuar v. Ganga Bishan	I. L. R., 4 All., 387 7 N. W., 261	198 2422
Lalubhai Surchand v. Bai Amrit	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 299	6142
Lalu Mulji Thakar v. Kashibai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 400 . {	1497, 1892 3552
Lalun v. Hemraj Singh	20 W. R., 76 7 B. L. R., Ap., 30	4169 2709
Lalunmonee v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan	23 W. R., 61	1581,1586 2996
Lalun Monee v. Sona Monee Dabee Lamb v. Bejoy Kishen Dass	22 W. R., 334 8 Moore's I. A., 427	2978, 3869 5463
v. Dewan Puddum Lochun	Marsh., 96: W. R., F. B., } 28: 1 Hay, 168.	5008
Lamouroux v. Eville	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 274	1371
Land Acquisition Act, 1870. In the matter of .	{ 11 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., }	2949
Land Mortgage Bank of India. In the matter of v. Amedbhoy Hubibbhoy	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103 6 B. L. R., Ap., 140 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 35	2949 129 2614
v. Bidyadhari Dasi v. Elmes	7 C. L. R., 460	3640 469
- a Judgo Nath Chuttuck	25 W. R., 175	545
v. Mehtus	4 B. L. R., Ap., 96 I. L. R., 8 All., 64	5863 6187
n. Mungur Ali	1 C. L. R., 239	1763
v. Neeloo Bhutto . v. Radha Krishna Dutt .	21 W. R., 125	4859 2715
		4347
v. Roy Luchmiput Singh		3640
tankar.	1. 11. 12., 2 1001., 010	5381
Langlois v. Rattray	3 C. L. R., 1	54

Latham v. Hurruckchand Sooratram	Column of Digest. 3742 4371 5741 2663 775 1866, 35 2683 3553, 38: 5437 2742 6245
Larymore v. Pernendoo Deo Rai Lasmani Debia v. Mahommed Hafezulla 3 B. L. R., Ap., 96 Cor., 4 6 Mad., 299 Latchman Rau Saib v. Regunatha Rau Latchman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye L. R., 6 Calc., 513 : 7 C. L. R., 521. L. R., 6 Sum, 314 Lati Kooer v. Sobadra Kooer Latoo Mundle v. Bhoobun Mohun Chatterjee Latere Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo L. R., 75 14 W. R., 361 L. R., 36 L. R., 52 4371 5741 2663 775 1866, 35 2683 3553, 383 5437 2742	
Latchman Pundeh v. Maddan Mohun Shye. Latham v. Hurruckchand Sooratram . L. R., 521. L. R., 4 Bom., 314 Lati Kooer v. Sobadra Kooer L. L. R., 3 Calc., 720 : 2 C. L. R., 75. Latoo Mundle v. Bhoobun Mohun Chatterjee Latore Mistere v. Agamuddee Nushyo Latver Mistere v. Agamuddee Nushyo Latvergue v. Hoopar L. R., 8 Mad., 149 Lawless v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. L. R., 8 Mad., 149 L. L. R., 7 Calc., 627 L. R., 7 Calc., 627	1836, 35 2683 3553, 383 5437 2742
Latham v. Hurruckchand Sooratram	2683 3553, 383 5437 2742
Lati Kooer v. Sobadra Kooer Latoo Mundle v. Bhoobun Mohun Chatterjee Latore Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo Latore Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo Latore Jeastern Bengal Railway Co. Lavergne v. Hooper Lavess v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. Li, R., 35. 17 W. R., 361 Li, W. R., 482 11 Ind. Jur., N. S., 320 Li, L. R., 8 Mad., 149 L. L. R., 7 Calc., 627	3553, 383 5437 2742
17 W. R., 361 Latver Mistree v. Agamuddee Nushyo 14 W. R., 482 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 320 Lavergue v. Hooper Lavergue v. Hooper Lavergue v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 149 1 L. L. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 L. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 L. C. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 L. C. R., 8 L., 7 Calc., 627 1 L. C. R., 8 Mad., 149 1 L. C. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 L. C. R., 8 Mad., 149 1 L. C. R., 7 Calc., 627 5437 2742	
17 W. R., 361 Latore Mistere a. Agamuddee Nushyo 14 W. R., 482 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 320 Lavergue v. Hooper Lavergue v. Hooper Lavergue v. Calcutta Landing and Shipping Co. 1 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 149 1 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 627 1 I. L. R., 8 I. Mad.	
(a III b) Calci, 02/	1052 1718, 601
Lazar v. Colla Ragava Chetty	3196, 459
Leake 2 Daniel Moore's I. A., 80.) B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 970: 10)	5377
	3517
Leckie. In the goods of	3088
Ledgard v. Bull	1210 294, 279
Ledlie v. Bhadoo Poramanick 9 All., 191.	4335, 601
Ledlie v. Bhadoo Poramanick 11 W. K., 558	1376 5636
Lee Changairry's Naicker. In the goods of Leeladhur v. Bhugwunt	1036 3093 5997
Leelanund Singh. In the matter of	4502, 450
- Poshcovo	4407 3419, 422
v. Court of Wards	1206, 121
v. Government of Bengal	2039
. Moore's I. A., 101.	2035
v	5794
o. Ishuree Nundun Dutt Jha . 8 W. R., 316	5108
v. Lakchmissar Singh	1758, 176
Moore's I. A., 490.	274, 382
10 C. L. R., 169	4128
v. Mohendro Narain Singh [13 W. R., P. C., 7: 13)	274
Moore's I. A., 57.	128, 598
v. Moheshur Singh	647, 4128 4395
5 W. K., 101	2033, 3068
7. Munrunjun Singh 7. Nirput Mahtoon 7. Nirseeh Singh 7. Nirseeh Singh	2037 96
v. Nusseeb Singh 6 W. R., 80	2034
v. Ram Narain Singh 15 W. R., 415	694, 2707
	2034
LeGeyt v. Harvey v. Radhoo Churn Ash 18 W. R., Cr., 3	6029 5564
Letteyt v. Harvey	1525

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
ein Tu v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 10	728
ekhamani v. Ranga Krishna Mutta Vira Puchaya Naikar.	6 Mad., 208	6071
ekhraj v. Paleeram	1 N. W., 162 : Ed. 1873, 241	1047, 624
v	2 N. W., 210	911, 1811 2679
ekhraj Kooer v. Sirdar Dyal Singh	25 W. R., 497	2462
ekhraj Roy v. Buckland	5 W. R., Act X, 65	196 4473, 5984
okhraj Roy v. Buckland	14 W. R., 395 14 W. R., 262	3077
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14 W. R., 262 17 W. R., 485	3066
v. Kannya Singn	18 W. R., 494 L. R., 1 I. A., 317	272, 514 272
v. Kunhya Singh	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 210 : L. R.,	2054
• 3	4 I. A., 223. 21 W. R., 147	2696
v. Motee Madhub Sein	15 W. R., 333	1708
v. Mutty Madhub Sen	14 W. R., 95	900, 420
ekhraj Singh v. Dulhma Kuar	I. L. R., 4 All., 302	4676 363
(I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744: 6 C.	
ekraj Kuar v. Mahpal Singh	L. R., 593 : L. Ř., 7 I. A., }	1841
ekraj Roy v. Becharam Misser	7 W. R., 52	1919
v. Kanhya Singh	L. R., 1 L. A., 317	272
v. Mahtab Chund	10 B. L. R., 35: 14 Moore's L. A., 393: 17 W. R., 117.	2090, 465
elanund Singh v. Luchmunur Singh	10 C. L. R., 169	4128
elim Tewaree v. Govindgeer Gossain	Marsh., 64: 1 Hay, 132 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 158	2802 3601, 587
eslie. In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 171	2826
	10 B. L. R., 68: 18 W. R., 474 17 W. R., 390	5926 298
v. Allender v. Inglis	1 Hyde, 67	3084
v. Inglis v Land Mortgage Bank of India	17 W. R., 160	1934 2826
v	18 W. R., 269	3350, 468
v. Panchanan Mitter	l 0. C., 1.	,
Lethbridge v. Prohlad Sen Lewin v. Morrison	19 W. R., 301	1870, 351
Lewis. In re	6 Bom., O. C., 42	3812
Likhun Pathuk v. Roop Lal ila Morji v. Vasudev Moreshvar Ganpule	3 N. W., 48 11 Bom., 283	4931 2409, 39
Lilanand Singh v. Government.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 114	2037
v. Lukhimpur Sing	5 B. L. R., 605	274, 38
v. Munorunjun Singh	13 B. L. R., 124 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 181.	2037, 300
Lillu v. Annaji Parashram	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 387 . {	4456, 4499
Linda Koya. In re. The petition of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 225	604
Lindsay. In re	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 121	· 4507
Linda Koya. In re. The petition of Lindsay. In re Linga Gaudan v. Doddali Lingammal v. Chinna Venkatammal	6 Mad , 307	4276, 59
	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 239	4045, 44
Liquidators of Indian Peninsular, London, and China Bank v. Scott.	} 5 Bom., O. C., 167	2626
Llewhellin v. Chunni Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 423	2812

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Lloyd v. Sogra Loburi Domini v. Assam Railway and Trading Co. Lochan Chowdhry v. Asup Singh Lochan Mundul v. Wuzeer Puramanick Lochan Singh v. Het Narain Singh v. Nemdharee Singh Lodai Mollah v. Kally Dass Roy Lodai Mollah v. Kally Dass Roy Lodhi Singh v. Ishri Singh Logan v. Kunji Loganada Mudali v. Ramasvami Login v. Princess Victoria Gourama of Coorg Lokenath Ghose v. Jugobundhoo Roy Lokenath Gossamee v. Mommohum Gossamee Lokenath Kar. In the matter of the petition of Lokenath Rand v. Collector of Beerbhoom Lokenath Rano v. Collector of Beerbhoom Lokenath Sahoo v. Tukeer Singh Loke Nath Siroar v. Queen-Empress Lokenath Surma v. Reshab Ram Doss Lokenath Surma v. Reshab Ram Doss - v. Ooma Moyee Debee	25 W. R., 313 1. L. R., 10 Calc., 915 8 C. L. R., 426 13 W. R., 484 24 W. R., 232 20 W. R., 170 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 238: 10 C. L. R., 581 1. L. R., 6 All., 295 1. L. R., 6 All., 110 1 Mad., 384 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 109 1 L. R., 1 Calc., 297 20 W. R., 293 18 W. R., 1264, 383 Marsh., 630 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 349 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 349 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 147	1164 2767 4170 773 325, 4898 2162, 2498 4268 5087, 5890 5692 2194 2281 6035 5282 6066 130 353 193 193 1425, 4038
Lokessur Koer v. Purgun Roy Lokhee Narain Roy Chowdhry v. Kally Pudde Baudo- padhya.	1 W. R., 107 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 418 23 W. R., 358: L. R., 2 I. A., 154.	2355 4466, 6122 486, 1713
Loki Mahto v. Aghoree Ajail Lall Loknarain Singh v. Myna Koer Lolit Coomar Boss v. Ishan Chunder Chuckerbutty Lolitta Dossia v. Ruttun Mollee Bhuttacharjee Loll Jha v. Ibhugmunt Narain Jha London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Badee Beebee.	1. 11. 10. 0 Doll., 42	2397, 4918 3261 813 1752 1675 2816
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Bhauji Zutani. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Burjorji Sombiji Lywalla.	1 1. D. D., & Doll., 110	6097 944, 2673
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Dada- bhoy Cursetji Maju. London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Govind	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 582	6225 948
London, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Hormasji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 223 8 Bom., O. C., 200	947 944, 2673
Londou, Bombay, and Mediterranean Bank v. Mahomed Ibrahim Parkar. London, Bombay, and Mediterraneau Bank v. Pestanji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 619 . {	1207, 5589 6235
Longbottom v. Satoor Loolochuna Dayee v. Durp Narain Bose	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 155 1 Mad., 429 12 W R., 95	824 6206 516
Looto Singh v. Rajendur Laha Lootf Ali v. Aboo Bibee v. Budrool Huq v. Shib Dyal Singh Lootf Ali Meah v. Pearee Mohun Roy Lootf Hossein v. Dursun Lall Sahoo	8 W. R., 364 { 15 W. R., 203 21 W. R., 119 8 W. R., 512 16 W. R., 223 23 W. R., 424	2084, 4033 6239 3495, 5582 1501 3005 1718 2086

Name of Case.	Volume and	Page. Column of Digest.
ootfulhuck v. Gopee Chunder Mojoomdar	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 9 R., 402	041 : 6 C. L. { 1185
ootfur Ali Khan v. Sadda Brut Pershad	R., 402 W. R., 1864, Mis.,	. 35
Loot Narain Singh v. Showkee Lall	2 C. L. R., 382	6158
poyee Dhur Atto v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee .	. 20 W. R., 267	5753
opes v. Lopes	. 5 Bom., O. C., 172	
Lopez v. Driberg	. W. R., 1864, Act	
v. Lopez	. I. L. R., 12 Calc.,	(1559 9700
v. hopez · · · · ·		(5099
	(5 B. L. R., 521:	
v. Maddan Thakoor	I. A., 467: 14	W. R., P. 43, 5566
	(C., 11.	107 14 777
Nr. 11 - Nr.1 M. 1	13 Moore's I. A.,	
v. Muddun Mohun Thakoor	R., P. C., 11:	5 B. L. R., \ 43, 5506
randa Damas a Willia Masila	521. 8 W. R., Cr., 67	2000 0000
Lopotee Domnee v. Tikha Moodai	3 W. R., 113	
	6 W. R., Mis., 12	3293, 5213
v. Court of Wards	1 W. R., 6	7
	11 W. R., 408	3978
Loti Aly v. Gujraj Thakoor	10 W. R., 24	1460, 177
Lotlikar v. Lotlikar	I. L. R., 5 Bom.,	643
- v. Wagle	I. L. R., 6 Bom.,	
Loughnan v. Joosub Bhulladina	5 Moore's I. A., 1	
Louis. In the matter of	15 B. L. R., Ap.,	14 6026
	9 B. L. R., Ap.,	95. 10 37)
Love. In re	9 B. L. R., Ap., R., Cr., 44.	1152
v. Ainsworth	22 W. R., 336	956
Lowa Jha v. Bisseshur Singh	. 11 W. R., 6	300, 32
		§ 1487, 511
Lucas v. Stephen · · · · ·	• 9 W. R., 301	5135
Lucheeram v. Mahaniram	· 1 Agra, 10 .	4902
Luchi Behara v. Nityanund Doss	. 19 W. R., Cr., 55	5 972
Luchman Bharti v. Dukharan Bharti	6 C. L. R., 138	4663
Luchman Lall v. Ram Lall	I. L. R., 6 Calc.,	521:8 C. L. }
Hachman Dan C. Rant Dan	241, 220) (
Luchmee Buksh Roy v. Runjeet Ram Panday .	13 B. L. R., 177	
		3 4592
Inchmee Chund. In the matter of the petition of	. I. L. L., 8 Calc.,	
v. Bengal Coal Company v. Zorawur Mull	. I. L. R., 8 Calc.,	317 . 924, 459
v. Zorawur Mun	6 Moore's I. A.,	
Luchmee Chund Radhakishen v. Zorawar Mull .	1 W. R., P. C., 3 I. A., 291.	5: 8 Moore s 2820
	1	333, 174
Luchmeedhur Pattuck v. Rughoobur Singh .	. 24 W. R., 284	6108
Luchmeedhur Singh v. Ekbal Ali	. 8 W. R., 75	2154
Luchmee Kant Ghose v. Bamun Dass Mookerjee .	. 17 W. R., 472	1892, 346
Luchmee Koer alias Bhugobutty Koer v. Futtch Sir		476
Luchmee Koonwar v. Bhugwan Doss	6 W. R., Mis., 1	
Luchmee Narain v. Bhairow Pershad	. 1 Agra, Mis., 4	2648
v. Bhairow Pershad	. 1 Agra, Mis., 5	209
v. Bheemul Doss	. 8 W. R., 500	3727
v. Bhyroo Pershad	. 1 Agra, Mis., 11	
v. Choomun Meah	. 14 W. R., 184	3318
v. Pyle	2 N. W., 150	§ 354, 3
•		368
v. Shudasheo Singh	. 5 W. R., Mis., 1	2 2708, 32

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luchmee Narain Sahee v. Kashukee Dutt Jha Luchmee Narain Shaha v. Jutadharee Holdar Luchmee Narain Shaha v. Jutadharee Holdar	8 W. R., 107 7 W. R., 89 6 W. R., Act X, 46	4527 3427 1604
Koochil Kant Roy.		
Luchmee Narain Singh v. Gibbon	14 W. R., 197 2 N. W., 70	2326 1496
Luchmeenath Singh v. Madho Dass Sahoo Luchmee Persad v. Ramgolam Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 30	1604
Luchmee Pershad v. Fuzeelutoonissa Bibee	17 W. R., 367	4574
Luchmee Pershad Doobey v. Kallasoo	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 620: 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 89: 7 W. R., 175.	2751
· ·	16, 175.	3130, 3135
Luchmee Pershad Narain Singh v. Tiluckdharee Singh .	1	3231 450, 5453
Luchmeeput v. Lekraj Roy	8 W. R., 415	5460
Luchmeeput Dokur v. Jugutinder Bunwary Lall	Marsh., 195: 1 Hay, 459	1884 3775
Luchmeeput Doogur v. Jugut Indur Tewaree	W. R., 1864, Mis., 5 22 W. R., 346	2975, 6107
Luchmeeput Doss v. Enact Ali Luchmeeput Singh. In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 17	4095
v. Adoyto Churn Mullick v. Jowahur Ali	24 W. R., 452	805,5451 1912
v. Jowahur Ali	18 W. R., 497 9 W. R., 147	1612, 1752
v. Jungulee Kullyan Doss v. Khoobunissa	14 W. R., 280	878
v. Moshuruff Ali	25 W. R., 80	303 4943
v. Raj Coomare Dabea	22 W. R., 388	546
Luchmeeput Singh Doogur v. Humphrey	23 W. R., 275 14 W. R., 101	450
v. Mooktakashee Debia	9 W. R., 388	5451, 5454
v. Mooktakashee Debia v. Woomanath Mundul	10 W. R., 490	1753 1494
Luchmenauth Doogur v. Haradhun Mookerjee Luchmeput Dogare v. Sibnarain Mundle	2 Hay, 95 1 Hyde, 97	5586
Luchmessur Singh v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 599 : 3 C. L. R., 427.	5249 ,
Luchmeswar Singh v. Lutf Ali Khan	8 B. L. R., 110	629, 2694
Luchmi Dai Koori v. Asman Sing	[I. L. R., 2 Calc., 213 : 25 W.]	1461, 2172
Luchmi Dass v. Pallat Lall	R., 421. 23 W. R., Cr., 54	4509
Luchmi Narain Singh v. Assrup Koer	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 43	251, 3268
Luchmin Nath v. Maharaja of Vizianagram	7 N. W., 310	5416 4103, 4724
Luchmiput Singh. In the matter of . In the matter of the petition of	14 W. R., Cr., 3 18 W. R., Cr., 43	3611
_	5 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 176: 12 C. }	3663
v. Amir Alum	{ L. R., 22.	209
v. Lekraj Roy	2 W. R., Mis., 56	
v. Secretary of State	{ 11 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 20 W. } R., 11.	2604
v. Sita Nath Doss	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 477: 10 C. } L. R., 517.	204
Luchmissur Singh v. Dakho	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708: 10 C. } L. R., 127.	4/01, 4/01
v. Runglal	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 708: 10 C. } L. R., 127.	4787, 4791
Luchmon Sahai Chowdhry v. Kanchun Ojhain .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 525	3270, 4860
Luchmun v. Saidho	. 4 N. W., 169	2882
Luchmun Chunder Geer Gossain v. Kalli Churn Singh	. 19 W. R., 292	1712, 2498
Luchmun Chunder Singh v. Kaleechurn Singh .	. 12 W. R., 293	276

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 855 : 6 C. ?	02#0
Luchmun Dass v. Giridhur Chowdhry	L. R., 473.	2173
Luchmun Dass v. Narayan	3 N. W., 191	2092, 3850
Luchmun Doss v. Heera Lal	3 N. W., 187	809
v. Priag Dutt	2 Agra, 305	4867
Luchmun Koonwar v. Luchmun Bhukut	7 W. R., 79	3215 2111, 2223
Luchmun Lall v. Mohun Lall Bhaya Gayal	W. R., 1864, 361	4905
Luchmun Lall Doobey v. Hursohoy Lall Luchmun Persad v, Ameer Ali	W. R., 1864, Mis., 15	209
(I. L. R., 8 Cale., 218: 10 C. ?	
Luchmun Persad Singh v. Kishun Persad Singh . (L. R., 425.	3543
Luchmun Pershad v. Juggernath Doss	W. R., 1864, 2	3121
v. Maharanee of Burdwan v. Moonnee Koonwer	17 W. R., 181	4198
v. Moonnee Koonwer	1 Agra, 220	2360 3191
v. Nimhoo Pershad	17 W. R., 266	3204
Luchmun Pershad Gorgo. In the matter of the pe-		
tition of.	18 W. R., Cr., 22	2599
v. Lukhun Churn Kur	3 C. L. R., 74	4965
Luchmun Ramonooj Doss v. Ramhuree Roy	22 W. R., 67	5308
Luchmun Ram Unooj v. Watson	W. R., 1864, 146	184, 289
Luchmun Sáhae Chowdhry v. Seami Jha	5 W. R., Act X, 93	1178
Luchmun Singh v. Kazim Ali Khan	5 W. R., 219	3158 538
v. Mahomed Hossein v. Miriam	1 Agra, Rev., 42	3158, 3334
- Nausin	2 N. W., 185	3497
v. Shumshere Singh v. Tirbani Baksh	L. R., 2 I. A., 58	5132
v. Tirbani Baksh	14 B. L. R., 373	5132
Luchmun Sunae Chowdry v. Gujraj Jha	4 W. R., 45	3107
Luchomun Pershad v. Debee Pershad	1 W. R., 317	2298
Luckeemonee Dossee v. Shunkurree Dossee	2 W. R., 252	6242 4502
Luckhee Debea Chowdhrain v. Gooroodoss Sein	W. R., 1864, Act X, 54 7 W. R., 231	4471
Luckhee Debia Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymeusingh.	W. R., 1864, 237	5748
Luckhee Kant Doss v. Deen Dyal Doss	14 W. R., 82	3335
v. Shib Chunder Chuckerbutty	12 W. R., 416	1137
Luckhee Kant Dutt v. Jugobundhoo Chuckerbutty .	11 W. R., 561	3748
Luckhee Monee Dossee v. Bhoobun Mohun Bose	23 W. R., 147	197
Luckhee Monee Dossia v. Rajkishore Paul	4 W. R., 106	5767
Luckhee Narain Aung Bheem v. Sooruj Monee Pat de Mohadaye.	2 W. R., Mis., 6	92
Luckhee Narain Chuckerbutty v. Ramchand Sircar .	6 W. R., Mis., 63	3497
v. Taramonee Dossee .	3 W. R., 92	2020
Luckhee Narain Roy v. Ram Mohun Doss	3 W. R., 92 13 W. R., 151	2888
Luckhee Prea Dabea v. Juggodumba Dabea	3 W. R., Act X, 8	539
Luckee Prea Debia v. Brindabun Dey	12 W. R., 313	4412, 532
v. Khyroollah Kazee	14 W. R., 367	3248
Luckhee Ram Doss v. Joysunkur Gooho	7 W. R., 236 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 117	4975 4399, 442
Luckhey Money Dossee v. Khetter Coomary Dossee .	13 B. L. R., 146 : 20 W. R., ?	3184, 334
Luckhi Narain Mitter v. Khettro Pal Singh Roy	380 : 24 W. R., 407, note.	4971, 532
Luckhydass Mustoofee v. Jogeshur Mookerjee	Marsh., 562: 2 Hay, 667 .	1060
Luckhy Narain v. Kally Puddo Banerjee . {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 882 : 4 C. L. R., 60.	3835
Luckhy Narain Nagory. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 24	5158
		0.014
Luckmee Ram v. Mahani Ram	1 Agra, 10	2814 5717

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 644 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 177 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 580	197, 198 4248 1509
Lucky Churn Chowdhry v. Budurrunnissa	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 627 : 12 C. L. R., 484.	240
Luddun Sahiba. In the matter of the petition of .	L. L. R., 8 Calc., 736:11 C.	3702
v. Kamar Kudar	(I. I. R., 8 Cale., 736: 11 C.)	3647, 3700 3702
Luddy v. Johnson	L. R., 237. 6 B. L. R., 141	2816
Lukhee Kanto Dass Chowdhry v. Sumeeruddi Lusker	{ 13 B. L. R., 243 : 21 W. R., 208.	6110, 6114
Lukhee Kant Sein v. Ramdeyal Doss	. Marsh., 281: 2 Hay, 288 .	881
Lukhee Monee Dossee v. Brojo Bullub Seal .	. 11 W. R., 132	3365
v. Taramonee Gooptea .	(29: Hay, 67.	2304
Lukheenarain Mitter v. Seetanath Ghose .	{ I Ind. Jur., N. S., 317: 6} W. R., Act X, 8.	5324
Lukhimoni Dasi v. Koruna Kant Moitro	' 3 C. L. R., 509 }	1783, 1994 4400
Lukhi Moni Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle .	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1618
Lukh Ram v. Bunsee Dhur Lukhun Chunder v. Modhoo Mookhee Dossee	5 N. W. 180 5 W. R., 278	223 2342
Lukhun Singh v. Nuffur Sing	. 6 W. R., 311	6106
Lukhykant Bose. In the matter of the petition of	. I. L. R., 1 Calc., 180	5943
Lukhynarain Chuttopadhya v. Gorachand Gossamy	(L. R., 89.	1,00,0140
Lukkea Debea v. Gungagobind Dobey Lukmidas Khimji v. Purshotam Haridas	W. R., 1864, 56	2284 4263
Luleeta Kooer v. Collector of Tirhoot	. 19 W. R., 283	5363
Luleet Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Sowtra Beebee . Luleet Narain Deo v. Juggurnath Misser	10 W. R., 42 8 W. R., 476	5125, 5132 1378
Luleet Narain Gossamee v. Keshub Deb Gossamee	15 W. R., 209 9 W. R., 145	4955
Luleet Narain Singh v. Gopal Singh	9 W. R., 145	1655, 4317 5986
Luleet Narain Gossamee v. Keshub Deb Gossamee Luleet Narain Singh v. Gopal Singh . v. Narain Singh Luleet Pandey v. Byjnath Singh	1 W. R., 333 14 W. R., 285	4918
Luleet Singh v. Ali Reza	. 8 W. R., 322 }	2700 3816 5830
Lullee v. Ram Pershad		313*
Lulleet Biswas v. Prosonnomoyee Dossee	2 Agra, 34	1148
Lungessur Kooer v. Sookha Oiha	. 2 N. W., 249	567 579
Lulloo Siugh v. Thakoor Pershad Lungessur Kooer v. Sookha Ojha Lungut Mahtoon v. Rameshur Roy	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 151 W. R., 1864, Act X, 54	537
Lutafut Hossein v. Chowdhry Mahomed Moonem	22 W. R., 269	4028
Lutchman Sahai Chaodhri v. Rai Jang Bahadur .	(8 I. A., 210.	3407
Lutcheemund Sett v. Komulmoney Dossee . Lutchmeeput Dogaree v. Kenaram Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 9 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 393	129
Lutchmeeput Singh v. Sadaulla Nushyo	[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 698:12]	425
T 1	C. L. R., 382.	3232 1640, 4902
Lutchman Pershad a Dahae Daon	3 Agra, 99	1177
Lutchoo Khan v. Foley Lutchumanan Chetty v. Mutta Iburaki Marakkayer Lutefoonissa v. Goor Supun Docs	24 W. R., 273	4176 3210
Luteefoonissa v. Goor Surun Dass	· 18 W. R., 485	146, 1846
Lutesfoonissa Beebee v. Poolin Behary Sen .	{ 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10 : W. R., }	1606, 3044
	F. B., 31 & 91.	5750

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Luteefoonissa Bibee v. Luckeemonee Dossee Luteefoonissa Bibee v. Rajaoor Ruhman	Marsh., 93:1 Hay, 161 . 8 W.R., 84	5001 3675, 4476 5172
Luteefonnissa Beebee v. Poolin Behary Sein Luteefun v. Bego Jan v. Rajroop Singh Lutifunissa Bibi v. Nazirun Bibi Lutf Ali Khan v. Alzalunissa Begum v. Fikira Singh Lutfulluq v. Sumbhudin Pattuck Lutfullah v. Kirat Chand Luthi Bewa. In re Lutifunnissa Bibi v. Nazirun Bibi Lutpotee Doomony v. Tikha Moodoi Luteefuunissa Bibe v. Poolin Beharee Sein Luvar Chuulial Ichharam v. Luvar Tribhoban Lal Das Luximon Row Sadasew v. Mullar Row Bajee Lyakut Ali v. Court of Wards Lyall & Co. v. Amorabutty Dossee v. Ram Chunder Bagdee v. Sam Mundle Lyell v. Ganga Dai Lyon v. Banessur Paul	W. R., F. B., 31: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 10: 1 Hay, 242. 5 W. R., 120 10 B. L. R., 361: 19 W. R., 185. 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 33 9 B. L. R., 348: 16 W. R., P. C., 20. 6 C. L. R., 20. 6 C. L. R., 20. 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 248: 10 C. L. R., 143. 13 B. L. B., Ap., 30: 21 W. R., 380. 11 W. R., Cr., 24 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 33 13 W. R., Cr., 62 W. R., F. B., 91 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 688 5 W. R., P. C., 67 10 W. R., 423 1. 20 W. R., 12 18 W. R., 07:, 53 11. L. R., 1 All., 60 2 Hay, 120 11 W. R., 189 13 W. R., 94 7 W. R., 354	312 1606, 5750 6061 3510 5230 3293 6114 3488 1884 1982 4273 3741 1506, 3041 2453 3209, 3210 1507 109, 5157 109 1322, 4461 433 3481 4348 4348 4348 4348 4348 4348
M Maadan v. Erlandi	5 Mad., 147	2538
Mackenzie v. Jalla Shib Dyal Singh Paurey	21 W. R., 17 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 358: 3 } W. R., Act X, 153. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 11 W. R., 371. 16 W. R., Cr., 79 11 W. R., 69 8 B. L. R., 459: 17 W. R., 244. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258 5 N. W., 65 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 33 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 48: W. R., F., 165. 25 W. R., 137 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 271 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 378 22 W. R., 156 12 B. L. R., 369 15 B. L. R., Ap., 15 1. L. R., Ap., 15	2796, 3615 1897

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mackillican v. Compagnió des Messageries Maritimes de France. Mackinnon v. Dundas v. Gunnes Chunder Dey v. Shibehunder Seal Mackinnon, Mackenzie & Co. v. Bhugram Doss v. Lang, Moir, & Co. v. Minchin	R., 49. Bourke, O. C., 228. Bourke, A. O. C., 155. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 370. Bourke, O. C., 354. Bourke, O. C., 242. I. L. R., 5 Bom., 584.	658 2687 335 5017 1064 3556 4605
Mackintosh v. Crow	6 Mad., 353 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689: 13 C. L. R., 102. 12 B. L. R., 37: 20 W. R., 358.	595 2729 4890, 5720
	W R., 24 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 689 : 13 C. L. R., 102 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 202	2996 2729 2724
v. Kally Doss Mullick v. Koylas Chunder Chatterjee v. Lull Chand Malee	11 B. L. R., 1: 19 W. R., 234. W. R., 1864, Act X, 59 23 W. R., 332	5589 3810 2741
v. Nobin Money Dossee v. Temple v. Watson v. Wilkinson	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 160	457, 1203 6241 30, 2735 3809 128
v. Wingrove v. Woomesh Chunder Bose u. Woomesh Chunder Bose In the matter of dacleod v. Kunhoje Sahoo v. Macleod v. Macleod v. Macleod v. Macleod v. Mah Wah Yet daenaghten v. Bheekaree Singh v. Lalla Mewa Lall v. Lalla Mewa Lall v. Lalla Mewa Lall v. Lalla Mewa Bose gaequeen v. Fuzzul Mahomed Essan laerae v. Jhoomuck Misser ladabhushi Seshacharlu v. Singara Seshaya dadai Thalavay Kummarasany Mudalyar v. Nallakannu (Taraya Thalavay Kummarasany Mudalyar v. Nallakannu (I. L. R., 4 Calc., 137: 2 C. L. R., 43: 3 W. R., Act X., 121 6 N. W., 214 1 Mad, 220, note 9 W. R., 271 6 B. L. R., 318 7 W. R., 390 2 C. L. R., 323 3 C. L. R., 255 1 L. R., 9 Calc., 656 2 Hyde, 89 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 303	1080, 2724 555 1235 2668, 2671 1921 1555 151 2597 3012 5461 5988 637 1057, 1375 3222
adanmahan Sen v. Chandra Kumar Mockerjee .	5 Mad., 289 9 B. L. R., 328: 18 W. R., 379.	2860 2618
v. Ramdial adan Mohan Biswas v. Stalkart	I. I. R., 6 All., 288 I. L. R., 5 All., 195 9 B. L. R., 97: 17 W. R., 441.	2196 679 1590
adan Mohan Das v. Gaur Mohun Sirkar adan Mohan Lal v. Holloway v. Lala Sheosanker Sahai	1 B. L. R., O. C., 66 6 B. L. R., 293, note I. L. R., 12 Calc., 555 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 482	1209 3174 4042 4872
adan Singh a Madana Di	6 B. L. R., 617, note: 13 W. R., 67.	2885 593, 4926

Name of Case,	Volume and Page. Column of Digest,
	9 B. L. R., Ap., 22: 18 W. 1206, 12
Iadan Thakur v. Lopez	R., 253. 2695
Madaree v. Eulloo Kooeree	. 2 N. W., 450 4959
Aadari v. Malki	I. L. R., 6 All., 428 2482
dadar Saheb v. Sabbarayalu Nayudu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 88 4839
Madary v. Goburdhun Hulwai	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 694 : 9 C. L. } 2763, 52 R., 303.
dadava Naikan v. Appavu Naikan	. 2 Mad., 394
Iadavji Dharramsi. Ex parte	. 12 Bom., 217 5886, 60
Iadda v. Sheo Baksh	I. L. R., 3 All., 385
Jadhab Chandra Bhadory v. Mahima Chandra Mazu dar.	m- { 8 B. L. R., Ap., 83, note: 12 } 2978
	(A B L B 643 · 15 W B (
Madhab Chandra Guho v. Kamalakant Chuckerbutt	y } 0 B. L. K., 043: 15 W. K., 1440
Wallah Chandra Barra Garratta Garratta	3 B. L. R., A. C., 83:11 W.]
Madhab Chandra Roy v. Gangadhar Samant .	{ R., 450.
Iadhab Chandra Rudar v. Amrit Sing Naryan Sing	5 B. L. R., 111 1071
Aadhava v. Narayana	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 244
Iadhavan v. Achuda	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 301
Iadhava Panikar v. Govinda Panikar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 4 67: I. L. R., 1 Mad., 307 251:
Iadhavbhai Shivbhai v. Fathesing Nathubhai	10 B m., 487
Madhav Morar. In re	8 Bom., Cr., 1
Aadhavrav Deshpande v .Ramrav Deshpande .	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 151 36
Iadhavrav Keshav Tilak v. Gungabai	. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 639 241:
Madhavrav Panse v. Bapurav Panse	4 Bom., A. C., 62 438
Madhavrav Raghavendra v. Balkrishna Raghavendı	a · 4 Bom., A. C., 113
Madhobee Dossee. In the matter of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 587: 6 W. R., Mis., 102.
Madho Das v. Kamta Das	1. L. R., 1 All., 539
v. Rukman Sewak Singh	L. L. R., 1 All., 539 233 L. L. R., 2 All., 287 513
Madho Doss v. Sitaram	. 3 N. W., 121 565
Madho Kooery v. Ram Chunder Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 411 342
Madhoo Pershad v. Lalljee Shahoo	9 W. R., 557
Madhoo Proshaud Singh v. Purshan Ram	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 520
Madho Pershad v. Lalla Jeetun Lall	. 117 W R. 98 . 1 610
	(T. T. P. 11 Colo. 111 J. P. 5
Madhopersad v. Gajudhar	(11 1, A., 186,
Madho Prakash Singh v. Murli Manohar	. L. L. N., 5 Mil., 400
Madho Prasad. In the matter of the petition of .	. I. L. R., 3 All., 508 596
v. Ambar	I. L. R., 5 All., 503
V, Diloia Nath	I. L. R., 5 All., 200
Madho Ram v. Dilbur Mahul	2 N. W., 449
Wadho Rao Apa v. Thakoor Pershad	3 Agra, 127 79, 42
Madho Row Chinto Punt Golay v. Bhookun Das Boo	laki [5 W. R., P. C., 33: 1 Moore's] 465
Das.	(1. A., 351.
Madho Singh v. Bindessery Roy	3 Agra, 101
v. Hurmat Ally	3 Agra, 432
v. Jehangeer	2 Agra 229 327
v. Jehangeer v. Mahtab Singh . v. Thakoor Pershad .	3 N. W., 325
v. Thakoor Pershad	. 15 N. W. 35 1 032, a
v	. 5 N. W., 209 65
Madhub Ali Khan v. Hossain Reza Khan	. 4 C. L. R., 52 609
Madhub Anund Moitro v. Joy Koomaree Bibee	. 5 W. R., 201 530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Madhub Chunder Adit Chowdhry v. Ram Kaloo Beparee Madhub Chunder Bera v. Ram Lochun Bera Madhub Chunder Bidyarutton v. Tara Soonderee ?	16 W. R., 151	565 1207 529
Gooptanee. Madhub Chunder Biswas v. Okhoy Chunder Liswas Madhub Chunder Chowdhry v. Buktessuree Debea	13 W. R., 103	5707 4281
Madhub Chunder Dey v. Law	20 W. R., 264 13 B. L. R., 394 8 W. R., 303	1754, 5345 594 541, 4909
Madhub Chunder Dhunput v. Madhub Lall Khan	14 B L. R., 288, note: 15 W. }	842
Madhub Chunder Dutt v. Haradhun Paul	14 W. R., 294	3838
Madhub Chunder Ghose v. Radhika Chowdhrain	Cor., 148	1762 551
Madhub Chunder Giree. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 405	550, 5133 5922, 5928
Madhub Chunder Hajrah v. Gobind Chunder Banerii	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243 9 W. R., 350	5922, 5928 2197
Madhub Chunder Janah v. Rajkissen Mookerjee	7 W. R., 86	1582
Madhub Chunder Mozoomdar v. Sidhee Nuzeer Ali Khan Madhub Chunder Nath Biswas v. Tamee Bewah	8 W. R., 54 5 W. R., 279	2985 3711
Mndhub Chunder Pal v. Hills	7 W. R., 210 1 B. L. R., A. C., 175: 10 W. }	1343, 4554
Madhub Chunder Pal v. Hills	R., 197. 14 B. L. R., 76 : 22 W. R., 370	2857 1111
Madhub Chunder Roy v. Lukhee Jellanee	9 W. R., 212	1110, 1377 1362
Madhub Chunder Sircar v. Banee Madhub Roy	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 673 : 8 C. L. R., 39.	3809
Madhub Ghose v. Bullye Metea Madhub Kissen Sett v. Gour Soonder Sett . Madhub Kyburtho v. Keshub Singh Madhub Lal Khan v. Noyan Ghose Madhub Lershad v. Fool Coomaree Bibee Madhub Thakoor v. Jhoonuck Lall Doss Madhu Singh v. Achraj Singh Madhusdan Chowdher y. Brajanath Chandra	7 W. R., Cr., 11 Cor., 90 9 W. R., Cr., 22 6 C. L. R., 231 19 W. R., 121 12 W. R., 105 9 C. L. R., 369 6 B. L. R., 299	3629 691 1270 2710 4527 4002 3924 3203
Madhusudan Chuckerbutty v. Rymani Dasi .	7 B. L. R., 664, note: 13 W. \ R., 415.	169, 5831
Madhusudan Das v. Adoito Charan Das	8 B. L. R., 316, note: 12 W. R., 85.	170
Madhusudan Kundu v. Ramdhan Ganguli	3 B. L. R., A. C., 431: 12 W. R., 383.	5312
Madhusudan Manji v. Debigobinda Newgi	1 B. L. R., F. B., 49	3748
Madhusudan Sagory v. Nipal Khan	8 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note: 15 } W. R., 440.	2973
Madhusudan Sing v. Collector of Midnapore .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 199: 3 } W. R., 82.	1251
Madon Mohon Poddar v. Purno Chundra Purbot . Madras Railway Compony v. Zemindar of Carvetinaga- ram,	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 297	3456, 5705 1570, 4706 6282
v. Zemindar of Kavetinug-	5 Mad., 139	6282
Madud Ali Khan v. Saleem Abmed Khan alias Kummun Khan.	4 N. W., 142	4871
Madur Khan v. Wooma Moyee Dabee Maduthan v. Subbier	Marsh., 389: 2 Hay, 434 . 6 Mad., 34	4480 5677

Service and the service and th				
<u> </u>	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Madvala bin Gin	pa v. Bhagvanta bin Devji		9 Bom., 260	0004
Mafizuddin v. Ka	rimunissa Bibee	. {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 14 W.)	3234 6089
Magaluri Gurndia	h v Narrana Danaial		R., 381. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359	1949, 3346
Diagam Timmaya	v. Tangattur Kandappa hand v. Manchabhai Kalliand		2 Mad., 82	4053, 4054
			3 Bom., O. C., 79 7 Bom., A. C., 7	1065, 4902 3859
magandas Kneme	nand v. Kam Chandra Airaji		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 137	5814
Maghan Misra v .	Chaminan Teli	. {	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7: 10 W. R., Cr., 46.	4732, 5543
Magistrate of Mal	dah v. Golebunnessa .	. {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 630: 7)	2842
Magju Pandaen v	Randval Tewari		W. R., 191. 8 B. L. R., 50: 15 W. R., 531	2842
Magni Ram v. Jiv	va Lal coola Gazee Koolan		1. L. R., 7 All., 336	5958
Magun Pollan a	Tonog	: :	19 W. R., 198 7 W. R., 474	4800 6188
manaparaya bin P	armaya v. Timaya bin Appay	a	12 Bom., 138	2409
Manabeer Persad	Pranputty Koer	. }	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 709: 7 W. R., 515.	3513
	. Ramwad Sinch		3 Agra 6	2290
manager Pershad	2. Oomroo Single	: !	12 B. L. R., 90 : 20 W. R., 192 1 Agra, 162	2383, 2468 4207
Mahabir Kower	d Singh v. Dumreeram Opad	hya .	W. R., 1864, 166	2085
Mahabir Parshad a	. Debi Dial	: :	8 B. L. R., 38:16 W. R., 221 1. L. R., 1 All., 291	2151 · 1471, 4543
manabir Prasad v.	Basdeo Singh Dhuman Das		I. L. R., 6 All., 234	2401
	Lalaram	. :	I. L. R., 3 All., 527 5 B. L. R., 327, note: 11 W. ?	5440
Mahabir Rai v. Ra		. {	R., 193.	755
		: :	I. L. R., 7 All., 720 10 Bom., 430	4545 3332
Mahadaji v. Vyank Mahadaji (topel Be	aji Govind klekar v. Vithal Ballal		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 197	321, 4784
			I. L. R., 7 Bom., 78	1688 2105
			9 Bom., 249 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 332	906, 1885
	ndra Mule v. Vithal Vishvan	ath .	1 Bom., 185 I. L. R., 7 All., 528	5121
Mahadeo Dubev 2	Bhola Noth Dialit		I. L. R., 5 All., 86	310, 1230 5453
Mahadeo Prasad v.	aroicswar Panday		2 B. L. R., Ap., 20	318, 2773
Mahadeo Singh v. 2	intannisea	()	I. L. R., 8 All., 189	3008
Mahadevappa v. Sr	nivaça Ran	. (R., 169.	3712
rianadeva Kavar a	Sonnani	: :	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 417 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 396	444 5126
Mahadoo Besum v.	v. Ragho Keshav Hubeebool Hossein		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 292	237
			15 W. R., 44	$\frac{6148}{2429}$
	al v. Lakshmi Ammal Firm of Nageshwar Purshot		S Mad., 105	3181, 3469
		am .	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 71	3206
Mahalingaiyan. E: Mahalinga Rau v. V			3 Mad., 191	134, 3056 5521
Wahammad Agest	enkopa Ghosami		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 157	112
Maharaja of D	Ali Khan v. Lalli Begum	. {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 422 : L. R., 9 } I. A., 8.	3634, 4392
Maharaja of Benare			I. L. R., 7 All., 112	2866
Maharaja of Bulran	pore v. Uman Pal Singh	: 11:	I. L. R., 3 All., 575 L. R., 5 I. A., 225	$741 \\ 4226$
v .	The state of the s			

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Maharaja of Burdwan. In the matter of the petition }	7 W. R., 475	3883
v. Chairman of the Darjeeling	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 194: 4 C. ?	4507
Municipality. v. Heera Lall Seal	L. R., 324.	
v. Kristo Kamini Dasi	11 W. R., 54 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 931: 13 C. ?	5422, 5942
v. Luckhee Monee Debee	L. R., 427.	5331
	8 W. R., 359 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351 : 1 C. L. ?	3523
v. Ram Lall Mookerjee . {	R., 158.	2695
v. Sree Narain Mitter .	.9 W. R., 346	1884
v. Tarasundari Debi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 619: 13 C. L. R., 34: L. R., 10 I. A.,	5330
	19.	9990
v. Wooma Soonduree Dossee	10 W. R., 12	6283
Maharaja of Calicut v. Mallichery Kristnan Nambudri .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68 . }	180, 388 5953
Maharaja of Durbhungah. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 21	5845
Maharaja of Vizianagram v . Lakshmi Challaya . $\left\{ ight.$	12 B. L. R., 443:18 W. R.,	4402
v. Suryanarayana	301. J. R., 9 Mad., 307 : I. L.	1679, 2056
Maharaj Gir v. Anandray	R., 13 I. A., 32.	3050
Maharaj Singh v. Beechook Lall	8 Bom., A. C., 25 1 W. R., 233	5891
v. Beela Kooer	W. R., 1864, 320	4543 4961
v. Lallah Bhuchook Lall	W. R., 1864, 294	3723
Mahar Ali v. Amani	2 B. L. R., A. C., 306 . {	3332, 3650 3695
Maharam Sheikh v. Nakowri Das Mahaldar	7 B. L. R., Ap., 17	3118, 5754
Maharani v. Nundolal Misser	1 B. L. R., A. C., 27:10 W.)	2207
Maharani of Burdwan v. Baradasundari Debi	R., 73. 1 B. L. R., F. B., 31: 10 W.)	4237
2 Knishna V D .	R., F. B, 21.	
	I. L. R., 14 Calc., 365 I. L. R., 4 Born., 437	5331 5810
Bombay.	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 408; L. R., 1	4390
Mahashankar Harishankar a Valita rr	8 1. A., 77.	
	6 Bom., A. C., 174 9 Moore's I. A., 268	5922 5365
Mahasingavastha Aiya v. Gopaliyan Maha Singavastha Ayyar v. Gopala Ayyan Mahasadha a Padayyar v. Gopala Ayyan	5 Mad., 425	8594
	6 Mad., 239 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 153	3593 .
Mahatab Chand v. Judoo Mohun Mitter	5 W. R., Act X, 91	2264 555, 55©
v. Tarucknath Mockerjee . Alahatab Chand Bahadoor v. Bengal Coal Company	6 W. R., Mis., 94	3541
v. Brojonath Mitter	10 W. R., 391	2951
Iahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613: 9 C. L. ?	5747
Tahatab Singh v. Ramtahal Misser .	R., 398.	5466
Islandala Bibee v. Ahmed Halcemoozooman	6 B. L. R., 43, note: 10 W. }	3715
tanbood All v. Zur Banco Bibee	10 C. L. R., 293	3704
lanbuban v. Raheemuu	9 W. R., 78 6 N. W., 217	$\frac{247}{443}$
Ishbub Hossein v. Patasu Kumari	1 B. L. R., A. C., 120: 10)	
Iaheum Chund a Mahtah	W. R., 179.	770, 2034
Isheeput Singh v. Lok Inder Singh	3 Agra, 318 2 Agra, 179	2706, 4759

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	*	
Jahendra Nath Mookerjee. In the matter of the peti-	7 B. L. R., Ap., 9	163
Iahendra Singh v. Jokha Singh	19 W. R., 211	2053, 222 5594
Jaherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwar	1 Agra, 106 5 B. L. R., 115: 13 W. R.,	2285 1875
Iahes Chandra Chattapadhya v. Guruprasad Roy Iahes Chandra Mookerjee v. Ramutam Palit	401. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 68	4503
Sahesh Chandra Banerjee. In the matter of .	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1:13 W. R., Cr., 1.	968, 360 6183, 625
v. Barada Debi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 274 : 11 W. }	6259 4195
Iahesh Chandra Das v. Madhab Chandra Sirdar .	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 W. }	4903
Iahesh Chandra Sen v. Tarini	1 B. L. R., F. B., 15 3 Agra, Rev., 10	515 5920 6 101
Taheswar Bax Sing v. Bhikha Chowdhry	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 403: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 122: 5 W. R., 61.	4820, 614
ahima Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Rajkumar Chucker-	1 B. L. R., A. C., 1: 10 W.	3281, 503
fahima Chandra Kundu v. Nuruddin {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 70: 11 W.	4131
Iahima Chandra Roy v. Pyari Mohan Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 43: 11 W. }	1925
Inhima Chandra Shah. In the matter of the petition	4 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 15 W. }	6253
Iahin Bibi. In the matter of	13 B. L. R., 160 {	2094, 361 3701
Ishipatrav Chandrarav v. Nensuk Anandrav Shet	4 Bom., A. C., 199	3161
Iahip Singh v. Chotu	I. L. R., 5 All., 429	2866
Iahi Sahu v. Forbes	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 500: 6 } W. R., Act X, 61.	3344
Mahmooda Bebee v. Hareedhun Khuleefa Mahmood Ali Khan v. Gunga Ram	5 W. R., Act X, 12 3 Agra, 304	1608, 16 3037
Mahmood Lushkur v. Pakar Khan Mahomed Abdul Vakab Sahib v. Comandur Rama)	Marsh., 496	534 406
Samy Aiyengar.	4 Mad., 277	3501
Iahomed v. Ashrufoonnissa	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 759	3320
Wahomed v. Kanizuk Fatima	6 N. W., 231	1441
Mahomed v. Oomdah Khanum	13 W. R., 184	2799 3212
dahomed Abdool Ruzzak v. Sadik Ali	3 Agra, 142	3980
Anhomed Abdul Hye v. Lunjeet Singh {	13 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 22 W. R., 200.	5212
Aahomed Abdur Rahim v. Birju Sahu {	5 B. L. R., 676: 14 W. R.,	2854
Mahomed Aboo v. Lalla Bissessur Dyal Mahomed Afak v. Ekram Ali	21 W. R., 154 14 W. R., 374	1355 3697
Mahomed Afsuruddin v. Beer Chunder Manikya . {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 470: 10 C. ?	
Mahomed Afzul v. Kanhya Lall	L. R., 416.	3243, 35

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jahomed Aga Ali Khan v. Widow of Balmakund	L. R., 3 I. A., 241 : 26 W. R., 32.	5211, 528
Iahomed Ahmed v. Alibur Gazee	. 10 W. R., 337	5070
Jahomed Ahsan v. Mahomed Yasin	. 9 W. R., 106	4908
Iahomed Aizaddi Shaha v. Shaffi Mulla	. 8 B. L. R., 26	5753
Sahomed Akil v. Asadunnissa Bibee	B. L. R., Snp. Vol., 774: 9	2059, 277
[ahomed Aktur v. Reily	. 24 W. R., 447	1733, 420
Jahomed Akul Beg v. Mahomed Koynm Beg .	. 25 W. R., 199	3693
Iahomed Ali v. Batook Dao Narain Singh	. 1 W. R., 52	534, 307
v. Bolakee Bhuggut	. 24 W. R., 330	. 3021
v. Buttook Deo Narain Singh	· 1 W. R., 352	3021
v. Gobar Ali	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 88	3665 442
•	1 N. W., 19: Ed. 1873, 18 . 5 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 14 W. ?	
v. Jugal Ram Chandra	5 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 14 W. } R., 124.	5752
v. Shurum Ali	8 W. R., 422	1736, 618
Iahomed Ali Khan v. Abdul Gunny	[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 744: 12 C.]	4176
•	(L. R., 257.	
v. Kalunder Ali Khan Oomrao Singh	. 4 N. W., 81	4951
Inhoned Ali Khan Chowdhry v. Jadub Chunde	2 N. W., 425	3280, 523
Chuckerbutty.	W. R., 1864, 60	66
Iahomed Altaf Ali Khan v. Ahmed Buksh	. 25 W. R., 121	3733
v. Pertab Singh	. 5 N. W., 91	4806
Inhomed Alum v. Akil Inhomed Amecnoodeen Khan v. Moozuffur Hossei	. 16 W. R., Cr., 68	975
Khan,	5 B. L. R., 570: 14 W. R., P. C., 5.	*337, 14 5059
Jahomed Ameer v. Peryng Singh	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 566: 9 C. I.	569, 305
	R., 185.	
Jahomed Amidoolla v. Durbesh Shaikh	. 24 W. R., 314	779
Ashowed Anjole v. Gouree Pershad Sha Ashomed Ansur v. Sefatoollah	. 6 W. R., 62	235 5280
lahomed Anwar Chowdry v. Raj Chunder Ghose	. 17 W. R , 522	1757
Jahomed Arsad Chowdhry v. Yakoob Ally .	(15 B. L. R., 357 : 24 W. R.,)	3160
	181. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 702: 2 ©. L.)	
Mahomed Arshad Chowdhry v. Sajida Banoo	i R., 46.	3695
Mahomed Arsud Chowdhry v. Oosun Bebeo	. 2 W. R., 217	3748
fahomed Ashruf v. Brojessuree Dossee	{ 11 B. L. R, 118: 19 W. R., }	2199
v. Kureemoddeen	. 24 W. R., 468	3039, 48
Jahomed Askur v. Mahomed Wasuck	. 22 W. R., 413	5316
lahomed Assanoollah Chowdhry v. Shamshir Ali .	. 4 C. L. R., 165	5343
Jahomed Assudeollah Khan v. Karamutoellah .	. 4 N. W., 11	3909
Mahomed Assnr v. Pogose Mahomed Ata-ool-lah v. Mahomed Mohib-ool-lah	. 2 C. L. R., 8	2934 5632
Mahomed Ausur v. Prokash Chunder Sha	. 1 Agra, 231	4199
Mahomed Ayenooddeen v. Kaleedoss Chundo	15 W. R., 279	5337
Mahomed Azeemoollah v. Ali Buksh	5 N. W., 74	765, 51
Mahomed Azeemoollah Khan v. Suhoodra Bebee .	W. R., 1864, 227	663
Mahomed Azim Bhooyah v. Mahomed Somee .	. 21 W. R., 413	5745
Mahomed Azmul v. Chadee Lall Pandey	. 12 W. R., 104	3841
v. Chundee Lalf Pandey	. 7 W. R., 250	2979, 300 4152
	. 3 E. L. R., A. C., 304	4286
Mahomed Badsha v. Nicol, Fleming, & Co		

	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	Mahomed Bahadur Khan v. Collector of Bareilly	13 B. L. R., 292 : 21 W. R., 318 : L. R., 1 I. A., 167.	3128
	Mahomed Bahadur Mozoomdar v. Raj Kishen Singh	10 R. L. R., 401, note: 15 \ W. R., 522.	3801, 3803
	Mahamed Banazeer v. Gungaram	3 Agra, 260	3497, 3520 3239
	Mahomed Basirulla v. Abdulla	4 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. } R., 196, note.	5485
	Mahomed Bassir v. Kureem Buksh	11 W. R., 268 3 W. R., P. C., 37: 8 Moore's } I. A., 136.	6187 . 3633
	Mahomed Buksh v. Mahomed Hossein	3 Agra, 171: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 145.	3256, 5376
	Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry v. Hedaet Ali Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 42	3829
	dhry.	9 W. R., 394	5918
	Mahomed Busheerul Hossein. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 263: 10 C. } L. R., 1.	3565
	Mahomed Busseerooddeen v. Mahomed Khan Kufulbash Mahomed Busheeroollah Chowdhry v. Abemoonissa v. Ramkant Chow	4 W. R., Mis., 13 7 W. R., 513	3132 1393 1454, 3479
	dhry,	16 W. R., 266	3510
	Mahomed Bux v. Abdool Kureem alias Aboo Mahomed Chaman v. Ramprasad Bhagat	8 B. L. R., 338: 22 W. R., }	1736, 4191 5193
	Mahomed Conjee v. Dundas	52, note.) 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 228	1669
	Mahomed Danish v. Mahomed Kaem	25 W. R., 111	1732
	Mahomed Elahee Buksh v. Brojo Kishore Sen .	R., 398. 5 24 W. R., 14	546 1639
	Mahomed Elahee Buksh Chowdhry v. Brojo Kishore Sen Mahomed Ennoos v. Lalla Jamarad Lall	13 W. R., 356	683
	Mahomed Esa Khan v. Keshub Lal	14 W. R., 382 6 W. R., 111	1373 3332
	Mahomed Facz Chowdhry v. Shib Doolaree Tewarce	16 W. R., 103	1899, 302
	Mahomed Faiz Ahmed Khan v. Ghulam Ahmed (I. L. R., 3 All., 490: L. R., 8 I. A., 25.	3675
	Mahomed Faizali Khan v. Gunga Ram Mahomed Fayez Chowdhry v. Jamoo Gazee	1 Agra, 112 I. L. R., 8 Cale., 730	1159, 6151 1104
	Mahomed Fazul v. Oomakant Sein	1 W. R., 159	2883
	Mahomed Fedye Sirdar v. Ozecoodecn	10 W. R., 340	1767, 1846 5948
	Mahomed Foyez Chowdhry v. Goluck Dass Mahomed Fyzooddeen v. Inrut	3 Agra, 285	3002
	Mahomed Gazee Chowdhry v. Abdool Mahomed Ameer-	5 W. R., Mis., 31	3981
•	v. Iall Bebee	10 W. R., 103	5107 5190
	2 Pearse Mohan Modeovice 1	16 W. R., 136	5353
	v. Ram Lall Sen v. Shumbhoonath Misser	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 757	5473 5220
	ari lor con an indiana.	5 B. L. R., 318, note: 11 W.	5116, 513
	w. Leicester	R., 22. 3 7 B. L. R., Ap., 52	5353
	Mahomed Ghasee v. Shunker Lall	11 W. R., 53	3040
	Mahamad Gana Ali Khan v. Harratoonissa	2 W. R., 52 2 W. R., P. C., 13: 9 Moore's t	3633
	Mahomed Gour Ali Khan v. Ahmed Khan	1. A., 504.	4173

Mahomed Gour Ali Khan v. Ashrufloonissa Mahomed Gowhur Ali Khan v. Azeemooddeen v. Gool Begum v. Shurufunissa Begum Mahomed Gulshere Khan v. Mariam Begum Mahomed Hadee v. Sheo Sevuk Doobey Gulahomed Hajra v. Tarasoonderee Brahminee	{ }	2 W. R., P. C., 13:9 Moore's I. A., 492. W. R., 1864, 93	4173
Mahomed Gowhur Ali Khan v. Azeemooddeen v. Gool Begum v. Shurdrunissa Begum Mahomed Gulshere Khan v. Mariam Begum Mahomed Hadee v. Sheo Sevuk Doobe	}	I. A., 492.	4173
v. Gool Begum v. Shurufunissa Begum Mahomed Gulshere Khan v. Mariam Begum Mahomed Hadee v. Sheo Seyuk Doobey	}	W. R., 1864, 93	
Mahomed Guishere Khan v. Mariam Begum Mahomed Hadee v. Sheo Sevuk Doobey)		6152
Mahemed Hadee v. Sheo Sevuk Doohey		T T D O IN TO	
Vahomed Haira a Taracconderge Post-		I. L. R., 3 All., 731 6 N. W., 95	3685
Tagia o. Jarasoonderee Branminee	÷	Marsh., 201: 1 Hay, 577	420, 33 3837
Mahomed Hamidoollah v. Modhoo Soodun Ghose	•.	11 W. R., 298	1751
Mahomed Hamidulla Khan v. Lotful Huq	- {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 744: 8 C.]	3661
Mahomed Haneef Meajee v. Mozhur Ali	٠,	L. R., 164. 15 W. R., 280	
Mahomed Haneff v. Mahomed Masoom	:	21 W. R., 371	145 3694
Mahomed Hashim v. Hossein Ali v. Kaleechurn Banerjee	٠.	21 W. R., 371 19 W. R., 433	989
Mahemed Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	•	13 W. R., 91	4914
	·	5 W. R., Mis., 49	3880
v. Afzul Ally	- 3	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 1: Marsh., 296: W. R., F. B.,	991 Ebs
	(1 05: 2 Hav. 288.	221, 57;
v. Akaya Narayan Pal	- {	2 B. L. R., Ap., 42: 18 W.)	329
v. Akbur Hossein		R., 37, note. 17 W. R., 275	
v. Boodhun Singh alias Roop Nara	in ?		83
Singh. v. Forbes	- 5	7 W. R., 374	564
v. Hadzi Abdullah	•	22 W. R., 316 : L. R., 2 I. A., 1	1618
v. Inodeen		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 727 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 946	163, 203
or opital All		4 W. R., 23	648 1360, 456
v. Kokil Singh	{	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 91 : 9 C. L. 7	
v. Krishno Churn Misser	- (R., 53. 20 W. R., 147	3450, 545
v. Mohsin Ali	,	6 B. L. R., 41: 14 W. R., F. 7	4399
v. Mohsun Ali	{	B., 1.	3714
7).		7 W. R., 195	3237
v. Muntozul Huq v. Okhoy Narain Paul	.	14 W. R., 266 18 W. R., 400	3714
v. Okhoy Narain Paul	:	4 W. R. 70	765 1076
2. Poton		W. R., 1864, Act X 115	1675
	.	20 W. R., 147	5778
v. Purnndur Mahto v. Surahtoonissa Khanum v. Tuqueeroodeen ahomed Hossein Khan v. Khusero Pakaar	.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 287 2 W. R., 89	860, 325
ahomed Hossein Khan v. Khusroo Fakeer	: 1	15 W. R., 284	$\frac{4173}{2715}$
v. Lootf Ali Khan v. Mahomed Nehaluddin Kh		1 N. W., 44: Ed. 1873, 41 18 W. R., 463	564
v. Mahomed Nebaluddin Kl.	. 1	18 W. R., 463	3515
v. Shib Dyal	an	13 C. L. R., 330 5 N. W., 108: Agra, F. B.,	4968
Shorned Hardon House	1	Ed. 1874, 276.	497
		1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 43	2984
v. Lauder	.	W. R., 1864, 247	811
v. Morrison		Cor., 42 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 36	1051
ahomed Imdadally v. Ameer Aly ahomed Inayetoolla v. Akbar Ali	: [2 Agra, 47	$\frac{4179}{3272}$
	.	2 Agra, 47 . 2 Agra, 25 .	2991
Shomed Ismail a Talla Talla Talla Talla	.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 44	1389
Total Latin Co Al ada V. Birtish India Stans M.	:	25 W. R., 39	258, 4403
gation Co.	1	9 W. R., 396	601

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Ismail Khan v: Mahomed Jahir alias Motee	6 N. W., 38 . , .	3103
Mean.	0 N. W., 55 . , .	1
Mahomed Israile v. Wise	13 B. L. R., 118 : 21 W. R., 327	4252, 5628
Mahomed Issa Khan v. Onraet	8 W. R., 26	473
Mahomed Jakee v. Gopee Roy	10 W. R., 5	541
Mahomed Jan v. Ameerun	17 W. R., 180	193
v. Khadi Sheikh	16 W. R., Cr., 75	4626
Mahomed Kalee Shikdar v. Ali Hossein Chowdhry .	3 W. R., Act X, 5	3129 2927
Mahomed Kaloo Chowdhry v. Fedaye Shikdar	8 W. R., 219	886
Mahomed Kalun v. Dinomoyee Dashya	8 C. L. R., 112	5791
Mahomed Kamil v. Abdool Luteef	23 W. R., 51 24 W. R., 254	1735
Mahomed Kana Meah v. Run Mahomed	1 N. W. 205: Ed. 1873, 288	5692
Mahomed Kazem v. Forbes	8 W. R., 257	1376, 3351
Mahomed Kazem Chowdhry v. Forbes	5 W. R., 277	1376, 3350
Mahomed Kazem Jowhurry v. Khetoo Bebee	20 W. R., 150	848
Mahomed Khan v. Shaista Khan	2 N. W., 248	1136
Mahomed Khan Kuzulbash v. Thakoor Singh	2 N. W., 248	210
Mahomed Khuleel v. Sona Kooer	23 W. R., 123	2826
Mahomed Kobeer v. Abdool Azeem	24 W. R., 315	4176
Mahomed Koya v. Kasmi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 206	5666
Mahomed Kumroodeen v. Ujooboonissa	1 N. W., 113 : Ed. 1873, 199 .	1885
Mahomed Kuzulbash v. Mahomed Buseeroodeen	7 W. R., 169	406
Mahomed Kuzulbash Khan v. Mahomed Shah	12 W. R., 48	5434
Mahomed Mahmood v. Safar Ali	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 407	1760, 2735
Mahomed Mahmud Shah. In re	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 66	2662
Maliomed Manaff. In the matter of the petition of .	10 W. R., 332	4442
Mahomed Manoo Bhooyah v. Mahomed Asanoollah Chowdhry.	17 W. R., 349	5752
Mahomed Masik v. Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah Mehal Saheba.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1227
Mahomed Mirza v. Abdool Kureem	25 W. R., 41	4041
Mahomed Morul Hossein v. Hyder Buksh	W. R., 1864, 304	4201
Mahomed Mozuffer Hossein Chowdhry v. Ameerannissa Khatoon.	20 W. R., 280	799
Mahomed Mubson v. Sumputtee Sahoon Chowdhrain .	10 W. R., 305	4976
Mahomed Muddeen Mirdha v. Gopal Lall Tagore	2 Hay, 514	1619
Mahomed Mudsur v. Ram Lol Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 50	279
Mahomed Mudun v. Khodezunnissa alias Khookee?	2 W. R., 181	3731
Bebee. S Mahomed Munoor Mea v. Jybunee S	10 B. L. R., Ap., 29: 19 W. ?	578
-	R., 200.	5105
Mahomed Munsoor v. Umbica Churn Roy	W. R., 1864, 132	3963
Mahomed Muse v. Jijibhai Bhagvan	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 524	3178, 3699
Mahomed Museeh-ood-deen Khan v. Museehooddeen .	1 W. R., 15	1582
Mahomed Myanobool Hek v. Mahomed Synd Khan Mahomed Nasseer v. Kishen Mohun Goyee	W. R., F. B., 92	5303
Mahomed Noor Buksh v, Mahomed Hameedool Hug .	5 W. R., 23	3695
v. Mohun Chunder Poddar	6 W. R., Act X, 67	2886
Mahomed Noor Khan v. Hur Dyal	1 Agra, 67	3672
Mahomed Noor-oollah Khan v. Harcharan Rai	6 N. W., 98	1869
Mahomed Nukshund Khan v. Afzul Begum	3 N. W., 149	91
Mahomed Nuzzeroollah v. Fergusson	2 Agra, 139	1699
Mahomed Ohid v. Kalee Pershad Singh	24 W. R., 320	4812, 4851
Mahomed Rahamatulla v. Ward	5 Mad., 391	5824
	20 W. R., 401	2747, 3050
Mahomed Rasid Khan Chowdhry v. Jadoo Mirdha .	20 W. 10., 401 · · ·	4537

Mahomed Rohimoodeen v. Radha Mohnn Mundul 6 W. R., Act X., 96 Mahomed Ruhwan Khan v. Khajah Buksh 5 W. R., 221 Mahomed Saefoollah v. Anwar Ali 21 W. R., 112 Mahomed Said-ood-deen v. Mahomed Hossein 2 N. W., 438 Mahomed Salamut Hossein v. Sookh Davee 2 A Arra. 116	6094 955 5832 2697, 4610 1634 3695 880 1187
Mahomed Roheemooddeen v. Indoor Chunder Jowhuree 12 W. R., 192 Mahomed Rohimoodeen v. Radha Mohnn Mundul 6 W. R., 4ct X., 96 Mahomed Ruhwan Khan v. Khajah Buksh 5 W. R., 221 Mahomed Saefoollah v. Anwar Ali 21 W. R., 112 Mahomed Said-ood-deen v. Mahomed Hossein 2 N. W., 438 Mahomed Salamut Hossein v. Sookh Davee 2 A Arra. 116	2697, 4610 1634 3695 880 1187
Mahomed Ruhwan Khan v. Khajah Buksh. 5 W. R., 221 Mahomed Sacloollah v. Anwar Ali 21 W. R., 112 Mahomed Said-ood-deen v. Mahomed Hossein 2 N. W., 438 Mahomed Salamut Hossein v. Sookh Davee 2 Arra, 116	3695 880 1187
Mahomed Said-ood-deen v. Mahomed Hossein	1187
Mahomed Salamut Hossein v. Sookh Dayee 2 Agra, 116	
Mahomed Saleh v. Government W. R., 1864, Mis., 26	4020 84
Mahomed Salleh v. Nusseerooddeen Hossein . 10 W. R., 284	$\frac{6242}{2773}$
Mahomed Samee Bhooya v. Alahee Buksh Chowdhry . 10 W. R., 346	3500 3258, 3275
Mahomed Shah v. Usgur Hossein 5 N. W., 151	3048, 5190 306
	1844, 4967
Mahomed Shamsooldeen v. Abdool Huq W. R., 1864, 379 Mahomed Shamsool Hoda v. Shewakram 14 B. L. R., 226; L. R., 2 L.	1827 2268
Mahomed Share Khan v. Bahoo Begum	6127
1878, 84.	
Mahomed Sherun Khan v. Misser Koondun Lall. Mahomed Shufili v. Laldin Abdula	6091 5582
Mahomed Shuhuroolah Chowdhry v. Roomya Bibee 7 W. R., 487	500 1149, 1342
Mahomed Sidick v. Ahmed I. L. R., 10 Bom., 1 .	2282, 2369 3632, 6200
Mahomed Soaduck Golestan v. Forbes	. 4893
Mahomed Tuckee v. Ahmudee Begum 3 N. W., 22	582 5117
Mahomed Tukee Chowdhry v. Luchmenut Singh (W P 201	4549 _*
Wahomed Tumaezooddeen Wundul a Lutkaa Narain	(
Dey Sircar. Mathomed Tuque Beg v. Wallis	5194 1984
Mahomed Tuque Chowdhry v. Judouath Jha . 16 W. R., P. C., 28	3558
Moore's I. A., 363.	4639
Mahamed Wajid v. Townhan S I. L. R., 4 Calc., 402: L. R.,	3660 3640
Mahomed Waris v. Hazee Emamooddeen 6 W. R., 173	3726
Mahomed Warsis v. Pitambur Sen	450 3015
Mahomed Wayez v. Sugeeroonissa Mahomed Yacoob v. Mahomed Zuhoorul Huq 6 W. R., 38 22 W. R., 534	481, 1679 2708
Mahomed Yacoob Hossein v. Chowdry Waheyd Ally 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 29: 4 W. R., Act X, 23.	1615, 2930
Mahomed Yakub Saheb v. Mahomed Jaffer Ali Saheb . I. L. R., 4 Mad., 167	214
Mahomed Zahoor Ali Khan v. Rutta Kooer. (9 W. R., P. C., 9: 11 Moore's)	3127. 1250, 3868
** Rutta Kunwoor	4421, 4857 1030, 6014

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mahomed Zahur Alum v. Chunder Cumar Mahomed Zukacolla v. Bance Pershad Mahomed Zukacolla v. Bance Pershad Mahomed Zuherul Hug v. Butoolun Mahomedan Association v. Bakshi Ram Mahometh Hossein v. Hadzi Abdullah Mahommed Isaack Mushyack v. Azeezoon Nissa Be-? gam.	5 C. L. R., 449 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 135 1 L. L. R., 12 Calc., 67 1 W. R., 79 1 L. R., 6 All, 284 1 L. R., 3 Calc., 727 1 L. L. R., 4 Mad., 341	1502 3891, 3958 5332 3675 4274 163, 2038 4391
Mahram Das v. Ajudhia		5235, 5236
Mahtab Chunder Bahadoor v. Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 All., 452 . { I. L. R., 3 Calc., 351 : 1 C.}	6119
Malitab Koose v. Buldeo Singh Malitab Koomaree. In the matter of Malitab Kuar v. Collector of Shahjahanpur Malitab Ria v. Sant Lal Malitab Singh v. Misree Lall Malitab Singh v. Misree Lall Malitab Singh v. Misree Malitab Malitab Singh v. Misree Malitab Singh v. Mosemoodeen Maidia Saiba v. Nagapa Majiha Singh v. Jhow Lal Mailandi Fakir v. Taripulla Pramanik Mailaraya v. Subbaraya Blut Mainat Koer v. Luchmun Bhuggut Mainath Kur v. Debi Bakhsh Rui Mainath Singh v. Moorta Kooer Mainoddeen v. Nubo Coomaree Debia Majid Hossein v. Jecawon Khewat	L. R., 158.) 4 N. W., 58 19 W. R., 62 1 L. R., 5 All., 419 1 L. L. R., 5 All., 276 2 Agra, 88 25 W. R., 419 Bourke, O. C., 154 1 L. R., 7 Bonn., 96 6 N. W., 354 1 L. R., 7 Bond., 644 1 Mad., 81 1 C. L. R., 250 1 L. R., 250 1 L. R., 250 24 W. R., 247 3 Agra, 243	2695 1620 4949 4014 3965 3969 1116 1193 3118, 3420 221, 5469 2719 5451 3521 4237 5686 1781
Majoram Ojha v. Nilmoney Singh Deo	13 B. L. R., 198: 21 W. R.,	321, 4910 5313
Makandas Kalidas v. Shankardas Haribhai Makath Unni Moyi v. Malabar Kaadapunni Nair Makbul Ahmad v. Iftikharunnissa Begum	12 Bom., 241 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 268 7 N. W., 124	4824, 4829 6195 5768, 5838
Makbul Ali v. Masnad Bibee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 54: 11 W. R., 396.	1786, 2080
Makhan Kuar v. Jasoda Kuar Makhan Lal v. Gulzari Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 399 I. L. R., 6 All., 289	3984
Makhanlal v. Srikrishna Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 44: 11 W. R., P. C., 19: 12 Moora's	2645 510, 1577
Jakhun Kooer v. Tincowree Dutt Jakhun Naikin v. Manchand Ladhabhai Jakond Adit v. Sutkorghun Adit Jakood Adit v. Sutkorghun Adit Jakood Adit v. Sutkorghun Adit Jakood Ali Khan v. Ghazeeooddeen Khan Jaksood Ali Khan v. Ghazeeooddeen Khan Jaktab Beg v. Hasan Ali Jaktun valad Mohidin v. Imam valad Mohidin Jakud v. Bahori Lal Jakud Ravullan v. Mastan Sahib Jakud Ravullan v. Mastan Sahib Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakunda valad Balacharya v. Sitaram Jakundi Lall v. Kaunsila	L. L. R., 6 All., 417	4020, 4187 4108 675 4914 3151 6232 1880, 3526 3369 243 5041 4144 5788 314 3131, 3133 2086 1717, 3299 5492 1353

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Makund Lall Sookul v. Golnk Chunder Dutt Makund Ram v. Pebi Das	9 W. R., 572 6 N. W., 324, note 1. L. R., 6 All., 228 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 359 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 11 1. Mad., 349 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 591 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 193	4016 3869 818 333 261 2062 728 6207
Malcolm v. Gasper Malcebun v. Raseeda Maleshappa bin Karvirappa v. Bassappa bin Ningappa Shetavnekar.	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 278	6026 690 4820
Mahari v. Narso Krishna Malhari valad Raghoji v. Tukaram valad Darkoji Maliok Bapoo Meyan v. Hari Walub Nagurdas Mallama v Venkappa Mallama v. Venkappa Mallama v. Nagannah Mallikarjunudu v. Mallikarjundu Mallik Jawad nl-Hug v. Ramprusad Das Malodes Noshyo v. Bullubbes Kant Dhur Maloji Santaji v. Vithu Hari Malraja dajia Krishuman Rajah v. Narayanasamy Rajah	I. L. R., 9 Bom, 174 . 6 Bom, A. C., 86 5 W. R., P. C., 112 . L. L. R., 8 Mad., 277 6 Mad, 131 . L. R., 8 Mad., 185 3 B. L. R., A. C., 281 13 W. R., 190 . L. L. R., 9 Bom, 520 4 Mad, 281	5419, 5894 3025 1401 848 668 3581, 3092 4561, 6072 3062 1519 3267
Maluk Chand Surma v. Karlu Chandra Surma . {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 399: 5 W. R., 76.	1816
Mammali v. Pakki Mamoola Khanum v. Mahomed Easa Khan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 428	4256 1783
Mamtazul Huq v. Nirbhai Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 711 : 12 C. }	574
Manally Chenna Kesavaraya v. Mangadu Vaidelinga . Manappa Mudali v. McCarthy	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 343 . { I. L. R., 3 Mad., 192 . {	29, 3234 3363 5071, 5701 5735
Mana Vikrama v. Mallicherry Kristnan Nambudri .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 68 . {	180, 388
Mana Vikraman v. Sundaran Pattar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 148 I. L. R., 4 All., 40	5953 3755 2262, 4844
Mancharam v. Pranshankar	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 298	2251, 2454 4300
Muncharam Kalliandas v. Ratilal Lalshankar Mancharji Hirji Readymoney. In re Mancharji Pestanji v. Narayan Lakshumanji Mancharji Sorabji Clulla v. Kongseco Mancharsha Asbpandiarji v. Kamrunisa Begam Mancherji Kawasji Davur v. Mithibai	6 Bom., A. C., 39 5 Bom., O. C., 55 1 Bom., 77 6 Bom., O. C., 59 5 Bom., A. C., 109 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 506	3175, 3457 2671 2536, 6121 6137 4022, 4289 4242
Manchharam Kalliandas v. Bakshe Saheb Mir Mainudin } Khan,	6 Bom., A. C., 231	5681
Maneckji Limji Mancherji v. Goolbai Manecrun v. Luteefun Manekji Framji. In re Maneklal Aunatlal v. Shivlal Bhogilal Manessur Doss v. Collector and Municipal Commis- sioners of Chapra.	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 241 3 W. R., 46 3 Bom., O. C., 167 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 426 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 409	4345, 4530 3146 2664 5594 2848
Mangala Debi v. Dinanath Bose	4 B. L. R., O. C., 72: 12 W. R., O. C., 35.	2259
Mangaldas Nathubhoy v. Krishnabai Mangal Khan v. Mumtaz Ali Mangamma v. Timmapaiya	I. L. R., 6 Bonn, 38 I. L. R., 2 All., 239 3 Mad., 134	2538 4090 4252

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mangina Khatun v. Collector of Jessore {	3 B. L. R., Ap., 144: 12 W. }	5367
Mangniram Marwari v. Dhowtal Roy Man Gobind Doss Mohapatter v. Jankee Ram Man Gobind Sircar v. Umbika Monee Dossia Mangu v. Lal Kandhai Lal	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 569 W. R., 1864, 211 16 W. R., 218 I. L. R., 8 All., 475	2712 1703 2735 3188
Man Gurobinee Dassea v. Khettur Chunder Ghose	2 W. R., Act X, 47 2 B. L. R., A. C., 188: 11 }	8, 530 1040
Mani Chandra Dass. In the matter of	W. R., 62. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 404	3172, 6056
Manickavelu Mudali v. Arbuthnot & Co. Manickbai v. Hormasji Bomanji Manick Chand v. Bishaishur Bukhsh Singh	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 547	6197 4536
Manick Chund v. Jomona Dass	7 C. L. R., 88 {	4680, 5851 5855
v. Jomoona Doss	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 645 . {	4680, 5851 5855
Manick Chunder alias Protap Chunder Roy v. Raj Luckhee Dossee.	19 W. R., 252	687
Manick Chunder Doss v. Dwarkanauth Doss Manick Chunder Dutt v. Bhuggobutty Dossee Manick Chunder Roy v. Lallmon Sheikh Manick Marce Chellician Pare Chunder Por	2 Hay, 502 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 443 8 W. R., 214 17 W. R., 510	5301 2130 5827, 5830 669
Manick Moyee Chowdrain v. Poorno Chunder Roy Manickram v. Ramyad Ram v. Roopnarain Singh	2 W. R., 63 Marsh., 5:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	6247 884 .
Manick Sett v. Khettermohan Gossamee Manick Singh v. Pirthee Singh	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101	4911 4997
Manickya Moyee v. Boroda Prosad Mookerjee .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 355: 11 C. L. R., 430.	3155, 4288 4613
Manikam Mudali v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 63	463
Manik Chand v. Madhoram	3 B. L. R., P. C., 5: 11 W. R., P. C., 42: 13 Moore's I. A., 1.	36, 1348
Manikji Mehervanji v. Rahimtulla Alubhai Manikji Shapnji Kaka. In re Manikji Sorabji v. Cama Manikial Atmaram v. Manehershi Dinsha	1 Bom., Ap., 1	5879 2670 1094 2550, 3386
Maniklal Baboo v. Ramdas Mazumdar	1 B. L. R., A. C., 92: 10 W. }	1750, 4143
Maniklal Venilal v. Lakha Manikya Row Lakshmayyah v. Manikya Row Gopal Row	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 429	408, 5425 4416
Mani Lall Sahu v. Collector of Sarun	6 B. L. R., Ap., 93: 14 W. } R., 424.	40
Maniram Deb v. Debi Charan Deb	4 B. L. R., F. B., 97: 13 W. R., F. B., 42.	1765, 4454
Maniram v. Mashihan	4 B. L. R., Ap., 66	1378, 4523
Maniruddin v. Gaur Chandra Shamadar	7 B. L. R., 165: 15 W. R., Cr., 89.	6192
Maniruddin Ahmed v. Ram Chand Manirullah v. Ramzan Ali Mani Shankar Hargovan v. Trikam Narsi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 341 1 C. L. R., 298 5 Bom., A. C., 42	322, 4032 56 2854
Maniswar Das v. Bir Pertab Sahu	6 B. L. R., 646: 15 W. R.,	414
Maniyam Narasimma Ganndan v. Ayya Krishnama Chariyar.	7 Mad., 37	4578
Manjanatha v. Narayana Manjanath Badrabhat v. Venkatesh Govind Shaubhog Mankee Koer v. Khedoo Lall	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 362 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 54 2 Hay, 623	1865, 498 2476

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
v. Ran Kishore v. Tava Singh Manly v. Manly v. Patterson y. Manmahim Dasi v. Balak Chandra Pandit Manmal valad Surat Mal v. Dashrath valad Narayan Manmohini Chowdhrain v. Premehand Roy Manmalal v. Bank of Bengal Manna Singh v. Ramadhin Singh Manni Kasaundhan v. Crooke Mannadal v. Bank of Bengal Manni Kasaundhan v. Crooke Mannu Lal v. Pegue Manockji Petit Manufacturing Company v. Mahalaxmi Spinning and Weaving Company. Manohar Jetit Manufacturing Company v. Mahalaxmi Spinning and Weaving Company. Manohar o. Gebiapa Kanohar Panivar Potanis. Ex parte. Manohar Jetit Manaur Ali Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Milhabhai I. Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Milhabhai Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Milhabhai I. Manohar Ganesh Tambekar v. Chutabhai Mahadev I. Janahar Chandar v. Chutabha	L. R., 3 All., 233 B. L. R., 175, note: 10 W. B. L. R., 175, note: 10 W. L. R., 6 Bom., 617 L. R., 6 Bom., 81 Bom., 396; 2nd Ed., 374 B. L. R., 0 C., 28 L. R., 5 All., 40 L. R., 9 Bom., 219 L. R., 8 Bom., 247 L. R., 4 Bom., 26 L. R., 1 All., 476 L. R., 1 All., 476 L. R., 9 Bom., 371 L. R., 7 Calc., 225; 8 C. L. R., 126 R., 6 All., 466 L. R., 9 Bom., 443 L. R., 6 All., 617 L. R., 1 All., 466 L. R., 9 Bom., 443 L. R., 6 All., 617 L. R., 1 All., 466 L. R., 40 All., 402 M. R., 210 W. R., 240 All., 102 J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ianu Miya v. Empress	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 371: 11 C. } L. R., 52.	2756
danwar Ali v. Annodapersad Rai	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 644: 6 C. L. } R., 71: L. R., 7 I. A., 1. }	3427
Maracho Kocer v. Chutoorbhooj Sahoy	. 24 W. R., 459	3501
larakar v. Parameswaran	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 140	3764, 608
larakar Akath Kondarakayil Mamu v. Punjapatath Kuttu.	} I. L. R., 6 Mad., 61	3964
Tarakkal v. Kandappa Gonndan	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	3739
Iarana Ammanna v. Pendyala Perubotulu	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 230	3956, 396
Jaratib Ali v. Abdul Hakim Jarcar v. Sigg	. I. L. R., 1 All., 567	4416 1052
Jari v. Chinnammal	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 239 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 107	2312
Aariam Begum v. Rye Churn Dutt	. 13 W. R., 269	6102
Iarkundi Dial v. Ram Baran Rai	. I. L. R., 2 All., 735	5201
farshall. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 421; and on appeal, 10 C. L. R., 591.	2640
Aarthamma v. Kittu Sheregara	6 Mad. 91	5663
Aartin v. Baker	· 15 B. L. R., 372	4325
v. Lawrence v. Pursrun v. Sheoram Lal Aaruti Narayan v. Lilachand	I. T. R., 4 Calc., 655	1042
v. Pursrain	2 Agra, 124 1. L. R., 4 All., 232	3886 4812
Agruti Narayan v. Lilachand	I. L. R., 4 All., 232 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 564	1468, 241
darwady Beejarajoo v. Haynes	. 6 Mad., 83	5686
Jarwady Beejarajoo v. Haynes 'Mary Stuart," The, v. The ''Nevada'' Jasarat-un-nissa v. Adit Ram	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 866	126
	I. L. R., 5 All., 568	4774 4503
v. Fergusson	15 W. R., Cr., 1 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 304	5901, 621
	. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 670	6220
v. Grish Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Hedger	· 24 W. R., 34	4593
Mashishuree Dossee v. Ram Sagur Singh	W. R., 1864, 306 W. R., 1864, Act X, 69	36 546
Washook Ali Khan v. Jowala Buksh	. 2 N. W., 290	3335
Mashook Ameen Suzzada v. Marem Reddy	. 8 Mad., 31	3889
Mashoollah Khan v. Ram Lall Agurwallah	. I. L. R., 6 Cale., 6	5890
Mascoma Bebee v. Nazur Fatma	. 1 N. W., 117 : Ed. 1873, 203 . 1 W. R., Mis., 12	5803
Anssint Meah v. Sham Doss	. 22 W. R., 189	6137
Anssim Mollah v. Panjoo Ghoramee	. 21 W. R., 373	1167
Assuma Bibi v. Collector of Ballia	. I. L. R., 7 All., 687	1252
Matadeen Doobey v. Chundee Deen Doobey	. 6 N. W., 118	2918 2918, 291
latadeen Roy v. Mussoodun Singh	. 10 W. R., 293	1500
Antangini Debi v. Jaykali Debi	5 B. L. R., 466: 14 W. R., O. C., 23.	2525
Iata Parshad v. Janki	O. C., 23.	2864
lata Pershad v. Bhageeruthee	. 7 N. W., 226 2 N. W., 78	2208
v. Bhugmanee	. 1 N. W., 75 : Ed. 1873, 128 .	4033
Iata Prasad v. Gauri	. I. L. R., 3 All., 59	5699
Antapulut Singh v. Mata Dyal	. 3 Agra, 275 . I. L. R., 1 Mad., 196	3043 1996
Mathews v. Giridbarilal Fatechand	. 7 Bonn., O. C., 1	2048
Aathoo Sahoo v. Lalah Ameer Chand	(15 B. L. R., 303: 24 W.)	3969
Wathra Parshad. In the matter of the petition of	R., 24.	5123, 59
Mathura Das v. Babu Lal	. I. L. R., 1 All., 296 I. L. R., 1 All., 683	3208
v. Mitchell	I. L. R., 4 All., 206	4191, 48

Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mathura Das v. Nathuni Lall Mahta . Mathuradas Govardhundas v. Fatma Ulka Begam Mathuradas Jagjivandas v. Natha Baja Mathuradas Lowji v. Goculdas Madhowji Mathuradas Lowji v. Goculdas Madhowji Mathuradas Nandvalabh v. Bai Amthi Mathuradas Ranchoddas v. Kalla Khushal . Mathura Mohun Saha v. Gyaram Haldar . Mathura Naikin v. Esu Naikin . Mathuranath Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the juice of the strick	:	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 731	5473 1901, 592: 5660 6060 3231 4828 1599 2116, 2220 2458
Mathuranath Dutt v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee	pe- { }	9 B. L. R., 354: 17 W. R., Cr., 55. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 128	5946 2081
Mathuranath Kundn v. Campbell	{	9 B. L. R., 115, note: 15 W. R., 463.	4925
v. Steel Mathura Pandey v. Ram Rucha Tewari	. {	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 533 . 3 B. L. R., A. C., 108 : 11 W. R., 482.	530, 3330 902, 1722
Mathura Persad Singh v. Luggnn Koer Mathura Prasad v. Durjan Singh Mati Singh v. Lilanand Singh Matonginy Dassee v. Chowdhry Junmunjoy Mullick		I. L. R., 9 Calc., 615 I. L. R., 2 All., 639 2 B. L. R., A. C., 173 25 W. B., 513	2017, 5761 2728 2724 3416 438, 3266
Mattongeney Dossee v. Ramnarain Sadkhan	{	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 83 : 2 C. L.)	4808
Matuki Misser v. Queen-Empress		R., 428. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 619 .	2600, 4366
Matungee Churn Mitter v. Moorrary Mohun Ghose	3	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 175 : 24 W. R., 453.	5333
Matunginee Debea v. Gugun Chunder Bhooy Matunginee Dossee v. Haradhun Doss	•	2 W. R., Mis., 41	4215
	:	5 W. R., Act X, 60 24 W. R., 25	531 1216
Mauji Ram v. Tara Singh Mayulali Amirudin Sharif r. Ganda S. Ma		2 W. R., 4 . I. L. R., 3 All., 852 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 339	6231 3850
a Tribhuvandas Jagrivandas		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 258	3903 786, 3544
Mayadeb Gossami. In the matter of the petition of. Mayandi v. McQuhae	1	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 762 : 8 C. L. R., 292.	1799
Mayandy Tevan v. Naranaiyan Mayaram v. Lachho		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 124 4 Mad., 108	3602 1173
V. Prag Dat		I. L. R., 2 All., 631 I. L. R., 5 All., 44 2 Bom., 331 : 2nd Ed., 313	4550 5806
dayayanjari Chumaren a Nimini M		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 661	2510 5224
aayer o. sowiatoonissa		2 Mad., 109 2 W. R., Act X, 96	3763 3129
Jayhew v. Tulloch	- 1		2804, 2806
Iayho v. Williams Iayna Bai v. Uttaram		2 N W 968.	5658 4668
Iayor of Lyons v. Advocate General of Bengal .	:	2 Mad., 196 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 303 : 26 W. R., 1 : L. R., 3 I. A., 32.	2322
a Fort I 1: 0	1	R., 1: L. R., 3 I. A., 32.	728
Lizeu0011889 Deeboo a Progen D 1		1 MOOTE S 1. A., 175	1576
Iazhar Ali v. Budh Singh		7 N. W., 336	3457, 3526 1120
18ZBar Ali Khan a Sand Nr. 1	.	I. L. R., 7 All., 297	3728
Luzzum Aliv Anan a Pirthag Cingl		I. L. R., 2 All., 769	2725
IcConnell v. Mayer IcGavin v. Wilson	11	3 Agra, 263 2 N. W., 315	1715 1706
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 1	l Ind. Jur., N. S , 405	4603

Name of Case.		-		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
McGiveran v. Hurkhoo Singh				18 W. R., 203	1625
McGiveron v. Duriaw Chowdhry	:		:	20 W. R., 479	1629
McLvor v. Stainbank				5 Mad., 70	1386
McKellar v. Wallace			.	5 Moore's I. A., 372 .	31, 4636 4659
McCorkindale. In the matter of			{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 1: 6 C. L.	459
v. Young				18 W. R., 466 {	3317, 3333
Meah Jan Munshi v. Kurruna Mayi Debi				8 B. L. R., 1	2890, 3013
Meah Khan v. Narain Chunder Chowdhry .		•		18 W. R., 197	5305 5480, 5589
Meajan Khau v. Akally			:	Marsh., 384: 2 Hay, 426	4245, 458
Mean Jan. In re				5 W. R., Mis., 20	666
Meanooddeen v. Greesh Chunder Roy Chowdl	iry .			7 W. R., 230	4193
Meckjec Khetsee v. Kasowjee Devachund .	-	•		4 C. L. R., 282	5880
Meda Bibi v. Imaman Bibi			•	I. L. R., 6 All., 207	3324
Medhi Ullee Khan v. Mahomed Wajid Ullee			{	1 N. W., Pt. II, 10: Ed. }	4869
Meechoo Chunder Sircar v. Ravenshaw .			{	11 B. L. R., 9: 19 W. R., 3	2845
Meelan Khalifa v. Dwarkanath Goopto .				1 W. R., Cr., 7	5556
Meer Nawaub. In the matter of				1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 315	6179
Mecrun v. Najecbun			. 1	2 Agra, 335	3659
Meethun Bibee v. Busheer Khan			{	7 W. R., P. C., 27: 11 Moore's I. A., 213.	2761
		•	ť	Moore's I. A., 213.	4668, 594
Mee Tsec. In the matter of		•	٠	15 W. R., 351	4870
Megh Lal Pooree v. Mohammed Dutt Jha .		•	•	8 C. L. R., 369	5424, 546
Megh Lall Pooree v. Shib Pershad Madi .			:	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 34	5424, 540
Megh Narayan Sing v, Radha Prasad Singh			{	4 B. L. R., A. C., 200: 13 } W. R., 224.	208, 79
Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Burral			6	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197: 23 W.)	5123
		•	ſ.	R., 438.	1
v. Zakir Hussain		•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 280	2782 1440, 335
Meghraj Singh v. Rashdharee Singh		•	•	17 W. R., 281 8 Bom., O. C., 169	1397, 584
Megraj Jagannath v. Gokaldas Mathuradas		•	•	7 Bom., O. C., 137	2575
Megraj Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh		•	:	1 Ind. Jnr., N. S., 78	6118
Meharban Khan v. Muhboob Khan				7 W. R., 462	1811
Mehdee Ally Khan v. Luchmeeput Baboo .				7 W. R., 462	663
Mehdee Beg v. Zellal Thakoor				15 W. R., 530	1474
Mehdee Hossein Khan v. Anjud Ali			٠	6 N. W., 259	1168
Mehdi Husain v. Madar Bakhsh			٠	I. L. R., 2 All., 889	308
Meher Ali v. Golam Nuzuff		•		11 W. R., 333	3672 3694
w. Showkut Ali			•	8 W. R., 39 22 W. R., 402	5762
Meherban Singh v. Sheo Koonwer		•	•	1 Agra, 106	2422
Meher Chand Sahoo v. Moroolyram			:	14 W. R., O. C., 7	3317
Meher Jan v. Gerda				25 W. B., 270	3823
Meheroonissa v. Bhashaye Merdha				2 W. R., Act X, 29	2762, 36
v. Roushan Jehan			٠	17 W. R., 396 10 W. R., 220	842, 35
Meheroonissa Bibee v. Hur Churn Bose .			٠	10 W. R., 220	4247, 53
Meheroonnissa v. Abdool Gunee	•	•	٠	1 17 W. R., 509	4144, 47
Mehervanji Mancharji v. Punja Velji Mehervanji Mancharji v. Punja Velji	•	•	٠	5 Bom., O. C., 147 1 N. W., 60: Ed. 1873, 114	5718 442
Mehpal Roy v. Hidayutoollah	•	•	•	1 11. W., DU: Ed. 1575, 114 .	992

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Michran v. Kubiran Michtab Singh v. Purina Michur Ali v. Kunhyee Michuroonissa Khatoon v. Wise Micislokach. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., 60, note: 13 W. R., 49. 3 Agra, 241 1 Agra, Rev., 13 . 15 W. R., F. B., 2, note 17 W. R., Cr., 49.	3332, 3650 3416 1680 5139 3741
Melaram Nudial v. Thanooram Bamun	9 W. R., 552	2439, 5095
Melgirappa bin Solbappa Teli v. Shivappa bin Erappa .	6 Bom., A. C., 270	5100 2193, 2200
Mellus v. Vicar Apostolic of Malabar	TTD OM-1 COT	1780, 4412
Meltoon Bibee v. Gibbon . Memon Hajee Haroon Mahomed v. Abdul Karim Memadee Mahomed Cazun Serazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoosbey. Menglas Tea Estate. In re Mengur Mundur v. Hurce Mohun Thakoor	12 W. R., 101 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 91 6 Moore's I. A., 27 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 383 23 W. R., 447	6052 84, 94 4628 2768 5857 2589, 3143
Marbai v. Perozebai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 268 . §	2045, 2265
Mercantile Credit and Financial Association. In re	3 Bom., O. C., 125 9 Bom., 27	6051 935 2626
(Damaskar's case).	8 Bom., O. C., 117	2669
Mercer v. Narpat Rai Merjah Janand v. Krishto Chunder alias Kinoo Lahary Merwanji Hormusji v. Rustomji Burjorji Merwanji Nowroji v. Ashabai Mether Ali v. Queen-Emuress Mewa. In the matter of Mewa Koonwer v. Lalla Oudh Beharce Lall Mewa Kuwar v. Hulas Kuwar Mewa Kull v. Beharce Lall	L. L. R., 1 All., 730 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 507 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 628 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 1 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 530 6 N. W., 254 2 Agra, 311 13 B. L. R., 312: L. R., 1 I. A., 157, 14 W. R., 195	422 5080 4330 5242, 5714 5159, 5577 5913 2339, 2366 1684, 3412 307, 4896
v. Mahato Mewa Lall Thakur v. Bhujhna Lall Mewa Sing v. Azeezooddeen Khan	25 W. R., 152 13 B. L. R., Ap., 11 13 W. R., 311	2762 1488 1914
Mhalsabai v. Vithoba Khandappa Gulve Mia Khan v. Bibijan Mian Jau v. Man Singh Michael v. Ameena Bibi	7 Bom., Ap., 26	682, 2117 2131 8730 5470 5585
v. Gordon . Micharaya Gúruvu v. Sadasiva Parama Guruvu . Michell. In the matter of	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 271 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 319 1 C. L. R., 339	3168 180 1126, 1322
Miller. In re.	4 B. L. R., A. C., 72, note: }	5922
v. Administrator General of Bengal	12 W. R., 103.	5901
v. Barlow	15 W. R., 412	1245 2638, 2699
v. Beer	6 C. L. R., 294 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 946 : 4 C. ?	3099 5611
v. Chartered Mercantile Bank of India, London,	L. R., 460.	5715
and China.	6 B. L. R., 701	1527, 2642
	O D. H. IV., 200	1 085, 1219

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	· Column of Digest.
Miller v. Mon Mohun Roy	(I. L. R., 7 Calc., 213: 8 C.	
v. Nasmyth's Potent Press C.	. (L. K., 213.	2628
v. Ram Ranjan Chakravarti		I. L. R., 8 Calc., 312	3105
v. Runga Nath Moulick .		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1014	4714
·	. {	I. L. R., 12 Cale., 389 .	2382, 338
v. Sheo Prasad	5	I. L. R., 6 All., 84 : L. R., 10	3380
Mills v. Mitchell	. 5	1. A., 98.	2643
v. Modee Pestonjee Khoorshedjee		Bourke, O. C., 18	3101
		2 Moore's I. A., 37	1 50 101
Mina Konwari v. Juggat Setani	. 1	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 196: 13 C.)	7500
Minakshi v. Velu	U	L. R., 385 : L. R., 10 I. A.,	1705, 345
7 Viranno		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 373	4677
Mina Kumari Bibee v Taget Catta Tu	.	1. L. K., 8 Mad., 89	2154, 253
	.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 220	5449
		6 C. L. R., 135	1845
ulfabivi v. Velisvanna	.	8 W. R., 327	1352
Miritherjeet Singh v. Choker Narain Singh		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 464 2 W. R., 58	3639
21. toolijoy Chuckerbutty v. Baroda Kant Pow	. 1	6 W. R., Act X, 18	2989
Wirtunjoy Bose v. Shumsheroonnissa Begum	- 1	8 W. R., 202	4169 1934-
Tintania Cl Shumsheroonnissa Begum	.	W. R., F. B. 60	1 47.01
Irtunjoy Chuckerbutty v. Cochrane	٤	4 W. R., P. C., 1: 10 Moore's	1
Airtunjoy Dutt v. Kameenee Dossee	4	1. A., 229.	2706, 458
	.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 95	1208
	: 1	10 W. R., 466 7 W. R., 156	3013
Iisajoolnissa v. Bunseedhur		1 N. W., 193 · Ed 1972 977	1471 4596
lisir Ragho Bardial v. Sheo Baksh Singh .	§	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 439 12 C. L.	
lisrain v. Gopal Lall Doss			5066
isri Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh		10 W. R., 376	4586
ioni I al If	3 1	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 13: Marsh., 527: W. R., F. B., 116.	210
isrr Lal v. Mozhar Hossein isser v. Naser Ali		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 262	
isser Debegaranda a D. 13	. 2	21 W. R., 33	3889 1733
	• 8	N. W., 116	1216
	. 3	Agra, 199	171
	. 1	7 W. R., 504	3010
itchell v. Gladstone		Cor., 63	4320
v. Mathura Das	(t	Ind. Jur., N. S., 406	2686
v. Oriental Gas Company ithibai v. Limji Nowroji Banaji	[]	L. R., 8 All., 6: L. R., 12 L. A., 150.	4816, 4845
ithibai v. Limii Normoii Dany	. 1	Ind. Jur., N. S., 323	2677
v. — v.	. I	. L. R., 5 Bom., 45	217
	{ I	. L. R., 5 Bom., 506 : and on	4240
tho Lall v. Seeta Ram	()	appear, 1, 1, R., 6 Bom., 151, 71	
tna v. Fuzirub	c 6	Agra, Rev., 40	1645
o. Euziiub	310	B. L. R., 148: 15 W. R., P. C., 15: 13 Moore's I.	2748
Addition to the second	()	A., 573.	2/40
trajit Singh v. Tundan Singh	{ 3	B. L. R., Ap., 88: 12 W.	2022
tta Kunth Andhinama	· ·	16., 14.	1611
tta Kunth Audhicarry v. Neerunjun Audhicarry	3 1	4 B. L. R., 166: 22 W. R.,	2454, 4300
	()	457.	
itterjeet Singh v. Duli Chund	. 5	N. W., 206 : Ed. 1873, 289 . C. L. R., 243	4853
Control Contro	. 10	ANG	3923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mitterjeet Singh v. Heirs of the widow of Juswunt Singh. v. Mookh Lall Singh v. Radhapershad Singh Mitthoo Lall v. Gholam Nuseer-ood-deen Mittoo Lall v. Mahtab Kooeree Mittrajit Singh v. Raghubunsi Singh Mittun Bibee v. Buzloor Khan Mittun Bibee v. Buzloor Khan Mittunget Singh v. Fitspatriek Miya Gulam Nabi v. Kharanbibi Mizajool Nissa v. Bunshee Dhur Mobaruck Ali v. Ameer Ali v. Soomee Kunja Charee Mobaruck Shaw v. Toofany	6 W. R., P. C., 15: 3 Moore's I. A., 42. 25 W. R., 139 23 W. R., 368 3 Agra, 276 8 W. R., 361 19 W. R., 98 8 B. L. R., Ap., 5 8 W. R., 392 1 W. R., 53 11 W. R., 206 6 Bom. A. C., 177 1 N. W., 193: Ed. 1873, 277 21 W. R., 252 3 N. W., 168 I. L. R., 44 Calc., 206: 2 C. L. R., 44 Calc., 206: 2 C.	5369 4003 6187 4306 194, 3452 226 2154 5623 4931 1609 312 249 5387, 5340 203, 1880
Mobaruk Ali v. Boistub Churn Chowdhry v. Indad Ali Mobarukoonissa Begunu v. Ozeer Jemadar Mobkoonissa v. Dewan Ali Moolalatha. Ex parte Modee Huddin Jowardar v. Sandes Modee Kaikhooscrow Hornusjee v. Cooveerbhaee Modho Bibee v. Ram Manicko Dey Modhoo Bibee v. Ram Manicko Dey Modhoo Dossia v. Nobin Chunder Roy Modhoo Dyal Singh v. Golbur Singh v. Kolbur Singh Modhoo Dyal Singh v. Golbur Singh Modhoo Boedun v. Beemoolah Biswas Modhoo Soodun v. Kaemoolah Biswas Modhoosoodun Chaterjee v. Juddooputty Chnekerbutty Modhoosoodun Churcherbutty v. Nifur Bawal Modhoosoodun Churcherbutty v. Nifur Bawal Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey	11 W. R., 25 16 W. R., 260 8 W. R., 107 4 W. R., Mis, 22 1 L. R., 2 Mad., 75 12 W. R., 439 4 W. R., P. O., 94: 6 Moore's 1 A., 448. 1 L. R., 5 Born., 600 6 W. R., 6iv. Ref., 31 16 W. R., 25 9 W. R., 511 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1018 13 W. R., 167 9 W. R., 592 9 W. R., 115 8 W. R., 115 8 W. R., 167 9 W. R., 327 9 W. R., 115 8 W. R., 473 1 W. R., 196 15 B. L. R., 361	2700, 3835 4101 5734 411, 5375 198, 5733 1582, 3018 2265, 2022 2739, 4242 2739, 4241 5071 4523 2189 2189 2189, 5725 5728 2034, 5025 2034, 5025 2034, 5025 2034, 4245 4414 4444 4444
w. Molendronath Mookerjee Modhoosoodun Ghose «Romanth Ghose » Joyram Hezrah. Modhoosoodun Koondo «Madhub Chunder Ghose » Joyram Hezrah. Modhoosoodun Koondo «Madhubram Sewloll Modhoo Soodun Manjee » Dabee Gobind Newgee Modhoo Soodun Mojomdar «Brojonath Koond Chowdhr Modhoosoodun Mookerjee » Jadub Chunder Banerjee — «Kirtee Chunder those Modhoo Soodun Mulick » Paneh Cowree Mulick Modhoo Soodun Roy Chowdhry » Bhikaree Roy Chowdhry Modhoosoodun Sandial » Soroop Chunder Sirear Chowdhry Modhoosoodun Singh » Collector of Midnapore — «Noran & Co. — « Prithee Bullub Paul	15 W. R., 161 10 W. R., F. B., 36 5 W. R., Act X, 44 3 W. R., 194 18 W. R., 7 7 W. R., 302	4414, 4609 1490, 1884 959 5719 3748 3152, 3180 2275, 2437 3480, 3508 6221 2943 3334, 4650 93 4245, 4588 81, 4257 5122

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Modhosoodun Singh v. Purtee Bullub Paul	. W. R., 1864, 140	-
	6 C. L. R., 417	67
	18 W. R., Cr., 26	2805
	6 W P Ch. D.c 1"	389
	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 15 10 B. L. R., Ap., 35	5674
Modun Mohun. In the matter of the petition of		5241
Modun Mohan Ch	. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 376	4693
Modun Mohun Chowdhry v. Ashad Ally Beparce.	{ L. R., 10 Calc., 68: 13 C. L. R., 51.	3431
Modun Mohnn Doss v. Gokul Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269: 5 W. R., P. C., 91:10 Moore's	1366
Modun Mohun Ghose Hazra v. Boroda Sondori Dasia	1. A., 563.)
	. 8 C. L. R., 261	2800
Mornkhurt Moolk Musseerud Dowle Swed S. L.	. 23 W. R., 58	4980
Khan v. Mackintosh.	2 Hay, 123	2704
Mofuzel Hossein v. Golam Ambiah	10 B. L. R., 381, note: 10 W.)	1020
Mofuzzel Hossoin a Thinnel Lawren	(R., 196. (4823
Mofuzzel Hossein v. Tussooduk Ali Khan	W. R., 1864, Act X, 89.	536
	. 3 Agra. 288	3734
Johabat Ali v. Ali Mahomed Kulal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 80: 12 W. R. 1	3161
Johabeer Doss v. Lalla Roy	1 W. R., 12	303, 467
Iohabeer Pershad Singh v. Collector of Tirhoot .	13 W. R., 423	97, 98
v. ———		3778
•	15 W. R., 137	5363
v. Mohabeer Singh	15 W. R., 137 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 591: 9 C. }	4178
Johabeer Singh. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., Cr., 48	5161
ohabiy Powled Si Dhujjoo Singh	20 W. R , 172	352
	9 C. L. R., 134	5461
	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 150	213
ohabuth Ally v. Mymonissa	Marsh., 361	3645
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 244	4303
Transfer at unum v. Cowell	15 W D 445	4336, 5313
obodel C. Modhoo Mundul	15 W. R., 445 16 W. R., 59	897
Ulliuden Shaha a Shoo Sahan C.	0 W D 950	6236
	12 W. R., 22	
Offaceo Misser & Loberco Misser	24 W. R., 250	3340
Juntulava Chowdhrain a Dan Cu		1453, 4133
	19 W. R., 35	6222
	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 583	3166
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	3052
blamed Beg v. Jugger Nauth Dass; claim of Omer-		4152
blamed Elahee Buksh v. Kally Mohun Mookho	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
padhya. Many Mohun Mookho-	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 589 : 5 C. L. ?	3877
hamed Eshak To the matter co	R., 519.	
blamed Ghons v. Kurreemoonissa	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476	3609
	1 Agra, Rev., 52	2918
manieu masik v. Walkoi Mulibadasi II	23 W. R., Cr., 34	- 1302
Mehal Saheba,	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380	1227
hamed Villayet-ool-lah Khan v. Ahmed Hussun Khan	3 Agra, 70	4535
hammed Singh v. Mughy Chowdhrain	I W. R., 253	1181
	7 W. R., 63	1595
	10 W. R., 112	
difficulty Digith v. Conductorniana	15 W. R., 271	1736
mana o, paqoqiii .	7 Bom., A. C., 69	95
		3077
han Chand Kandu v. Azim Kazi Chowkidar .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 233: 12 W. 7	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mitterjeet Singh v. Heirs of the widow of Juswunt {	6 W. R., P. C., 15: 3 Moore's }	5369
v. Mookh Lall Singh	25 W, R., 139	4003
v. Radhapershad Singh	23 W. R., 368	6187
Mitthoo Lall v. Gholam Nuseer-ood-deen	3 Agra, 276	4306
Mittoo Khan v. Ruhman Khan	0 W D 901	194, 3452
Mittoo Lall v. Mahtab Kooeree	19 W. R., 98 8 B. L. R., Ap., 5	226
Mittrajit Singh v. Raghubunsi Singh	SRIR An 5	2154
Mittun Bibee v. Buzloor Khan	8 W. R., 392	5623
Mittunal Sahoo v. Nadur	1 W. R., 53	4931
78'11 1 4 61 7 . Witness 1 1 1	11 W. R., 206	1609
Mitturjeet Singh v. Fitzpatrick	0 D A C 177	312
Milya Gulam Nabi v. Kharanbibi	6 Bom., A. C., 177	249
Mitturject Singh v. Fitzparrick Miya Gulam Nabi v. Kharanbibi Mizajool Nissa v. Bunshee Dhur Mobaruck Ali v. Ameer Ali	1 N. W., 193: Ed. 1873, 277 .	
Mobaruck Ali v. Ameer Ali	21 W. R., 252	5337, 5340
v. Soomee Kunja Charee	3 N. W., 168	203, 1880
Mobaruck Shaw v. Toofany	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 206: 2 C. ?	5292
Mobaruk Ali v. Boistub Churn Chowdhry	11 W. R., 25	2700, 3835
	16 W. R., 200	4191
Mobarukoonissa Begum v. Ozeer Jemadar	8 W. R., 107	5734
Mobkoonissa v. Dewan Ali	4 W. R., Mis., 22	411, 5375
Modalatha. Ex parte	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 75	198, 5733
Modee Huddin Jowardar v. Sandes	12 W. R., 439	1582, 3018
35 1 75 11 25 1 27 1	4 W. R., P. C., 94: 6 Moore's ?	265, 622
Modee Kaikhoosorow Hormusjee v. Cooveerbhaee .	I. A., 448.	4242
34 11 15		2739, 4295
Modhe v. Dongre	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 609 . }	4411
Modhoo Bibee v. Ram Manicko Dey	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 31	5071
Modhoo Dossia v. Nobin Chunder Roy	16 W. R., 25	4523
Modhoo Dyal Singh v. Golbar Singh	9 W. R., 511	2189
v. Kolbur Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 1018	2189
of Holdar Singh		3515, 5725
Modhoomutty Debia v. Dhunput Singh	. 13 W. R., 167 {	5728
Modhoo Ram Dey v. Boydonath Doss	9 W. R., 592	2934, 5025
Modhoo Soodun v. Kaemoolah Biswas		2092
Modhoosoodun Chatterjee v. Juddooputty Chuckerbutty	9 W. R., 327	3054, 4298
Modhoosoodun Charterjee v. Jaddooputty Charkerbutty	9 W. R., 115	2929, 2930
Modhoosoodun Chuckerbutty v. Nufur Bawal	1 W. R., 196	531, 540
Modhoosoodun Dey v. Bissonath Dey	15 B. L. R., 361	4568
v. Mohendronath Mookerjee .	9 W. R., 206	4414, 4609
Modhoosoodun Ghose v. Romanath Ghose	12 W. R., 65	1490, 1884
Modhoosoodun Ghose alias Madhub Chunder Ghose	13 W. R., Cr., 39	959
v. Joyram Hazrah.)	
Modhoosoodun Koondoo v. Madhubram Sewloll .	15 W. R., 161	5719
Modhoo Soodun Manjee v. Dabee Gobind Newgee	10 W. R., F. B., 36	3748
Modhoo Sooduu Mojoomdar v. Brojonath Koond Chowdhr	y 5 W. R., Act X, 44	3152, 3180
Modhoosooduu Mookerjee v. Jadub Chunder Banerjee	3 W. R., 194	2275, 2437
v. Kirtee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 7	3480, 3508
Modhoo Soodun Mulick v. Panch Cowree Mulick	7 W. R., 302	6221
Modboo Soodun Roy Chowdhry v. Bhikaree Roy Chowdhry.	5 W. R., Mis., 11	2943
Modhoosoodun Sandial v. Soroop Chunder Sircar		3334, 4650
Chowdhry.	I. A., 431.	
	Marsh., 244	93
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Collector of Midnapore .		10'12 1200
	11 W. R., 43	4245, 4588
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Collector of Midnapore		4245, 4588 81, 4257 5122

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Modhoosoodun Singh v. Purtee Bullub Paul Modhn Soodun Chowdhry v. Cochrane Modnarain Puri. In the matter of the petition of Modoosoodun Mozoomdar v. Bindobashiny Dossee Modoosoodun Paul v. Doyal Chand Mullick Modous Mohun. In the matter of the petition of	W. R., 1864, 140 6 C. L. R., 417 18 W. R., Cr., 26 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 15 10 B. L. R., Ap., 35 1 L. R., 4 Calc., 376	67 2805 389 5674 5241 4693
Modun Mohun Chowdhry v. Ashad Ally Beparce.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 68: 13 C. L. R., 51.	3431
Modun Mohun Doss v. Gokul Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269: 5 W. R., P. C., 91: 10 Moore's	1366
Modun Mohun Ghose Hazra v. Boroda Sondori Dasia Mofizooddeen v. Amooddeen Mofukhurl Moolk Musseerud Dowla Syed Sufdar Ally Khan v. Mackintosh	I. A., 563.) 8 C. L. R., 261	2800 4980 2704
Mofuzel Hossein v. Golam Ambiah	10 B. L. R., 381, note: 10 W.?	4823
Mofazzel Hossein v. Tussooduk Ali Khan Mogul Begum v. Fukeerun Beebee Mohabat Ali v. Ali Mahomed Kulal Mohaber Doss v. Lalla Roy	R., 196. W. R., 1864, Act X, S9 . 3 Agra, 288 . 3 B. L. R., Ap., 80 : 12 W. R., 1 1 W. R., 12	536 3734 3161 803, 4676
Mohabeer Pershad Singh v. Collector of Tirhoot	13 W. R., 423 {	97, 98 3778
v	15 W. R., 137	5363
v. Mohabeer Singh . [In the matter of the petition of]	L. R., 164.	4178
Iohabir Pershad Singh v. Olpherts Iohabir Singh v. Ram Berkhawa Charles	15 W. R., Cr., 48 20 W. R., 172 9 C. L. R., 134 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 150 Marsh., 361	5161 352 5461 213 3645
Ionadeay Kooer v. Haruk Narain Iohadeb Mundul v. Cowell	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 244 15 W. R., 445 16 W. R., 59	4303 4336, 531: 897
Ionadeo Laff v. Nundun Lalf Iohadeo Misser v. Lahoree Misser Iohamaya Chowdhrain v. Durga Churn Shoka	9 W. R., 359 12 W. R., 22 24 W. R., 250 9 C. L. R., 332	6236 3340 1453, 413; 6222
Johannaya Hossee v. Bindoo Bashinee Dossee	19 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 533 3 B. L. R., A. C., 304	3166 3052 4152
Schemed Flahes D. l. l. 77 12 25 25	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 589 : 5 C. L. } R., 519.	3877
ohamed Golah v. Mohaham Singh	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 476 1 Agra, Rev., 52 23 W. R., Cr., 34	3609 · 2918 · 1302
Mehal Saheba. Malkai Mukhadrai Uzwa Badshah	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 380 .	1227
ohammed Singh v. Mughy Chowdhrain ohamoya Dossee v. Doyamoye Chowdhrain oha Moyee Dossee v. Joodhister Deb ohannudee Begum v. Oondutoonissa	3 Agra, 70 . 1 W. R., 253 . 7 W. R., 63 . 10 W. R., 112 . 15 W. R., 271 .	4535 1181 1595 1736 95
	7 Bom., Å. C., 69 3 B. L. R., A. C., 293 : 12 W. } R., 45.	3077 3196

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohandas v. Krishnabai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 597	2301
Mohan Ishwar v. Haku Rupa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 638 . }	616, 2074 2796, 3859
Mohan Kooer v. Ajoodhya Doss	20 W, R., 119	4066 4592
Mohan Lal v. Ram Dial	I. L. R., 2 All., 843	5030
Mohanlal Jechand v. Amrat Lal Becherdas	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 174	1342, 4579
Mohan Lall v. Nathu Ram	1 B. L. R., O. C., 144	355 4408
Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishun Chandra Chatterjee .	5 B. L. R., 164, note: 11 W.	
Mohan Lall Sukul v. Ulfutunnisa	R., 98.	3457
Mohan Manor v. Togu Uka . ·	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 224	3948
Mohan Ram Jha v. Shib Dutt Sing	8 B. L. R., 230: 17 W. R., }	5366
Mohansing Chawan v. Conder	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 478	3273
Mohanund Banerjee v. Shushee Shekhur Chatterjee .	20 W. R., 132	5197
Mohanund Chowdhry v. Eglinton	1 W. R., 343	1390
Mohanund Holdar v. Akial Mehaldar Moharaj Singh v. Lalla Bheechuck Lall	9 W. R., 118	5457 3712
Mohatab Chand v. Chittro Coomaree Bibee	16 W. R., 201	6
Mohedeen Ahmed Khan v. Mahomed	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 132	1811, 3694
Mohee Lall v. Khetaram Marwary	25 W. R., 76	6076
Moheem v. Raheemotoollah Moheeooddeen v. Ahmed Hossein	Marsh., 341: 2 Hay, 433 14 W. R., 384	5246 2603
Moheeooddeen Ahmed v. Elahee Buksh	6 W. R., 277	3669
v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry	3 W. R., Act X, 142	5817
Moheeput Singh v. Etbaree Chowdhry	19 W. R., 226	422
Mohendra Lal Khan v. Rosomoyi Dasi Mohendro Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Surbo Rokhya	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 207	1741 1515
Dabia.	11 11.11.004	
Mohendro Coomar Dutt v. Heera Mohun Coondoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 723	5457, 5462 3305
Mohendro Lall Bose v. Jadub Kissen Singh Mohendro Narain Singh v. Lalla Ruttun	14 W. R., O. C., 5 Marsh., 289: 2 Hay, 278 .	553
Mohendronath Ash v. Beedobodun Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 220	5241
Mohendronath Bose v. Nussee Mangee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224	370
Mohendro Nath Dawn v. Ishun Chunder Dawn Mohendronath Dutt v. Koylash Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 56 6 W. R., 245	2676 1509
Mohendro Nath Mojoomdar v. Futtick Parooe	24 W. R., 319	5771
Mohendro Nath Mookerjee v. Bama Soonduree Dabea	15 W. R., 493	163
Mohendro Nath Mukerjea v. Naffur Chunder Pal Chowdhry.	1 C. L. R., 537	3426
Mohendro Nath Mullick v. Rakhal Doss Sircar .	10 W. R., 344	1676
Mohesh Chunder. In the matter of	20 W. R., Cr., 55	4113, 5155
Mohesh Chunder Bagehee v. Dwarkanath Moitro . Mohesh Chunder Banerjee v. Chunder Monee Dabee	9 W. R., 139	5336 6090
	10 B. L. R., 150, note: 15)	
v. Chunder Monee Debee	W. R., 237.	5314
v. Chundra Monee Debia	9 W. R., 486	4952
v. Ramprosonno Chowdhry	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 539 : 6 C. L. R., 280.	1120, 1176 5323
Mohesh Chunder Biswas v. Gooroopersad Bose .	Marsh., 377 : 2 Hay, 473	1681
v. Taramonee Dossee .	9 W. R., 240	3539
Mohesh Chunder Bose v. Thakoor Doss Gossamee	20 W. R., 425	885
v. Ugrakant Banerjee Mohesh Chunder Brohmocharee v. Dino Bundhoo Bose	24 W. R., 127	2490 1732
Mohesh Chunder Bundopadhya v. Joykishen Mooker-	15 B. L. R., 248, note: 22)	5072
jee.	W. R., 362.	5072

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Mohesh Chunder Chakladar v. Gungamonee Dossee .	18 W. R., 59	551
Mohesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Issur Chunder Chatterjee .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 266 {	1698, 3391 6122
v. Kamini Kumari Dabia .	I, L. R., 12 Calc., 313	1073
Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry v. Mohun Lall Sircar Mohesh Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Koylash Chunder	8 W. R., 80	3539 2242
Chuckerbutty. Mohesh Chunder Doss v. Madhnb Chunder Sirdar		300, 5873
Mohesh Chunder Gungopadhya v. Bishonath Doss	13 W. R., 85	5180
Mohesh Chunder Khan. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 417	4495
Mohesh Chunder Kopali v. Mohesh Chunder Dass Mohesh Chunder Lahoory v. Sumbhoo Chunder Roy ?	10 C. L. R., 553	1798
Chowdhry.	2 Hay, 303	1567
Mohesh Chunder Moiter v. Buloram Moiter	6 W. R., 94	384
Mohesh Chunder Mookerjee. In re	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	1047 4397, 4405
v. Ramoottum Palit	14 W. R., 163	4503
Mohesh Chunder Roy. In the matter of	10 C. L. R., 571	4738
v. Chunder Mohun Roy .	14 B. L. R., 273 : 23 W. R., }	2335
Mohesh Chunder Sein v. Collector of Dinagepore	16 W. R., 312	83
Mohesh Chunder Sen v. Juggut Chunder Sen	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 212	1750
Wohesh Chunder Shah v. Shoshee Mookhee Debia Mohesh Chunder Singh Surma v. Bhoobun Moyee {	6 W. R., 196 13 B. L. R., 217, note: 18}	5769 494
Debia. (Mohesh Dutt v. Begnarain Singh	W. R., 252.	4940
Moheshee Lall v. Christian	6 W. R., 250	3710, 371
Mohesh Lal v. Bawan Das	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 961: 13 C. L. R., 221: L. R., 10 I. A.,	3930
(62.	3136, 320
v. Busunt Kumaree {	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 340: 7 C. L. R., 121.	3235
Mohesh Lall v. Christian	8 · W. R., 446	3706
Mohesh Mahto v. Piru	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 470:1 C. } L. R., 33.	5747
NT 1 - 1 THE	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 282 : 25 W.	5157, 5159
Mohesh Mistree. In the matter of the petition of	R., Cr., 30, 67.	
Mohesh Mundul v. Bhola Nath Mundul	3 C. L. R., 404	464, 198 464, 198
	Marsh., 592: 2 Ind. Jur., O.)	447, 194
Mohesh Narain Singh v. Kishnanuud Misser . }	S., 1: 5 W. R., P. C., 7: 9	4212, 421 5436, 547
Mohesh Nath v. Hurro Mohun Ghosal	Moore's I. A., 324.	5548
Mohesh Roy v. Boodhun Mahtoon	18 W. R., 315	1846
Moheshur Buksh Singh v. Collector of Ghazeepore v. Gunoon Koonwar	2 Agra, 214	$231 \\ 2261$
Moheshur Mondul v. Koilash Nath Mondul	6 W. R., 245	5684
Moheshur Singh v . Government of India	3 W. R., P. C., 45: 7 Moore's I. A., 283.	4499, 463 5107, 512
v. Ramaput Singh	W, R, F. B., 7: 1 Ind. Jur., 3 O. S., 35.	4198
Mohessur Buksh Singh v. Jhubboo Chowdhry	2 Hay, 68	1494
v. Muthoora Pershad	8 W. R., 515	324, 145
v. Sheo Narain Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 59 11 W. R., 573	4406 4162
Mohessur Roy v. Collector of Rajshahye	16 W. R., 263	3851

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Moheswar Das v. Carter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 210: 12 C.)	658
	L. R., 122.	i
Moheyodin v. Chhotibibi	I. L. R., 5 Boin., 578	2851 1840
Mohi Chowdhry v. Dhiro Missrain	6 C. L. R., 139	5044
Mohidin v. Muhammad Ibrahim	1 Mad., 245	1811, 369
Johidin Husser Jaheb. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 44	3597
Mohidin Sahib v. Khader Sahib	2 Mad., 42	3130
dohima Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Poorno Chunder)	11 W. R., 165	1745, 176
Banerjee. Johima Chunder Chund v. Mothooravath Ghose .	9 W. R., 151	1742
Aohima Chunder Dey v. Gooroodoss Sein	7 W. R., 285	1596, 531
-	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 768 : 2 C.)	
Mohima Chunder Dey Sirear v. Hurro Lall Sirear	L. R., 364.	4459
V 11 01 1 70 T 1771 71 11 1 "	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 736: 9 C. L.)	1410 410
dohima Chunder Dhur v. Jugul Kishore Bhuttacharji 🪦	R., 471.	1410, 413
Mohima Chunder Ghoso v. Madhub Chunder Nag	24 W. R., 80	1167
v. Nobin Chunder Adhikaree .	8 W. R., 449	849
Mohima Chunder Mookerjee v. Ram Churn Roy	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 16	5702
Mohima Chunder Mozoomdar v. Asradha Dassia .	15 B. L. R., 251, note: 21 }	5075
	W. R., 207.	4140
Johima Chunder Mullick v. Buroda Soonduree Dossee .	12 W. R., 147	2503
dohima Chunder Roy v. Durga Monce	23 W. R., 184	5706
Mohima Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Ram Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry.		1700, 251
v. Wise	25 W. R., 277	1749
Mohima Chunder Sein v. Pitambur Shaha	9 W. R., 147	3039, 307
Mohima Runjun Roy Chowdhry v. Nobo Coomar Misser	18 W. R., 339	5607
Mohim Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Churn Gooho	10 W. R., 452	5279
Mohim Mundul v. Kala Chand Nack	13 W. R., 147	5678
Mohindro Bhoosnn Biswas v. Shoshee Bhoosnn Biswas .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 882	4276, 428
Mohindro Lall Mookerjee v. Rookiney Dabee	Cor., 42	2110
Mohindro Nath Mitter v. Koylas Nath Banerjee	Cor., 1: 2 Hyde, 121	1064 5328
v. Pokhur Doss Paul	W. R., 1864, 382	1676
v. Ram Coomar Kurmokar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 77	1539
Johinee Mohun Doss v. Assanoollah	17 W. R., 73	40,739
v. Juggobundoo Bose	7 W. R., 103	41
v. Juggobundoo Bose v. Ram Kant Chowdry	15 W. R., 822	3776
Aobini Chunder Mozumdar v. Jotirmoy Ghose	4 C. L. R., 422	5318
Aohini Mohun Doss v. Juggobundoo Bose	9 W. R., 312	39
Iohinudin v. Manchershah	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 650	3675, 614
Mohiny Mohun Das v. Krishno Kishore Dutt . }	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 802: 12 C.	4182
Johiny Mohun Dass Chowdhry v. Bhoobun Joy Shaha	L. R., 337.	5471
Johiny Mohun Roy v. Ichamoyee Dassea	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 612	1583
Iohiooddeen Mahomed v. Ram Kishore Koondoo	22 W. R., 311	5316
Iohip Narain v. Shaw	25 W. R , 250	5974
Ichno Bibee v. Juggurnath Chowdhry	2 W. R., 78	3705
Iohomuddy Begum v. Oomdutoonissa	13 W. R., 454	77, 93
Iobroo Kooeree v. Gunsoo Kooeree	8 W. R., 385	2447
Iolisum Ally v. Balasoo Koer	2 Hay, 576	1816, 615
Johnbut Mundul v. Shoorendronath Roy	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 20	4872
Mohumdee Begum v. Bairam Khan	1 Agra, 130	3701
John Chunder Divisi Chunder Bundopadhya	1 C. L. R., 152	3917
Johnn Chunder Dhur v. Kidge	Marsh., 381: 2 Hay, 419 .	5763

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mohun Chunder Kurmokar v. Mohesh Chunder Kur mokar.	L. L. R., 9 Calc., 568	3539
Mohun Dass. In the matter of the petition of .	{ I. L. R., 6 Cale., 11 : 6 C. L. } R., 265.	4671
v. Lutchmun Dass	(I. L. R., 6 Calc., 11: C. L.)	4671
Mohun Geer v. Tota	R., 265. 4 N. W., 153	2258
Mohun Gohind Sein n Nittava Halder	. 6 W. R., Act X, 101	2927
Mohun Kishen v. Bhoobun Shyam Mohun Koowur v. Zoramun Singh	7 W. R., 406	357
Mohun Koowur v. Zoramun Singh	Marsh., 166: 1 Hay, 372	2192 3955
Mohun Lal v. Ali Afzul	. W. R., 1864, 219 · · ·	5809, 6148
Mohun Lal Bhaya Gyal v. Lachman Lal	3 N. W., 336 5 B. L. R., 663 : 14 W. R., 73	5028
Mohun Lall v. Baroo Mull	6 N. W., 69	1891
Mohun Lall v. Baroo Mull	. 2 Agra, Mis., 6	3822
2 January Lell	6 N W 946	4042
v. Noor Ahmud v. Noor Khan	. 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 202 ·	4562
v. Noor Khan	. 3 Agra, 218	4400
Mohun Lall Mitter v. Buhoo Soonduree Debia .	. 10 W. R., 145	4408 5485
v. Choolun Singh	. 1 Hay, 438	156, 1813
Mohun Lall Roy v. Urnopoorna Dassee	9 W. R., 566 23 W. R., 105	368, 2693
Mohun Lall Shaha v. Joy Narain Shaha Chowdhry		3962 4005
Mohun Lall Sookool v. Goluck Chunder Dutt .	{ I. M. R., P. C., 19: 10 Moore's }	4017
Mohun Lall Sookul v. Bebee Doss	. 7 Moore's I. A., 428	4639
v	8 Moore's I. A., 193	4632, 4638
Mohun Loll Sookul v. Debee Doss Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 9: 8 Moore's }	4632
Mohun Lall Tagore v. Collector of Tirhoot .	1 W. R., 350	2888
Mohun Mundur v. Brij Bhookun Singh	1 W. R., 350 9 W. R., 128	297, 6235
Mohun Sahoo. In the matter of	. 11 W. R., 245	5708
v. Chutoo Mowar	. 21 W. R., 34	142
Mohun Singh v. Ghuriba	6 B. L. R., 495: 15 W. R., P. C., 8	4651
v. Jugbutty Kooer v. Kareem Oonissa Begum v. Shib Koonwer	. 24 W. R., 297 · · ·	5760
v. Kareem Oonissa Begum	. 8 Mad., 57 · · ·	1539, 5719 6125
v. Shib Koonwer	. 1 Agra, 85	4/097
Mohun Sirdar v. Obhoy Churn Mookopadhya .	. 13 W. R., Cr., 72	676
Mohun Soondur Koonwar v. Ramanoogro Narain Mohur Ali Khan v. Ram Ruttun Sein	3 W. R., Mis., 3	5180, 5187
Mohur Mandar. In the matter of	8 C. L. R., 431	4108
Mohur Matoon v. Umatum	18 W. R., 499 · · ·	5756
Mohur Ram Moodee v. Karbaree Sirdar	. 18 W. R., 312 · · ·	5660
Mohurum Shaikh v. Nowkurree Dass Mohuldar .	. 14 W. R., 357	3118 4720
Moidin v. Chandu	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 273	2645
v. Sundaramurthia	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 9	5588
Moincollah v. Goluck Monee Chowdrain	14 W. R., 450	2706
Moizzunnissa v. Mooraree Dhur Dey	22 W. R., 314	248
Mojon Mollo v. Dula Ghazi Kulan	19 R L R 492 note	5411
Mokha Harakraj Joshi v. Biseswar Doss	5 B. L. R., Ap., 11:13 W.	747
,	(R., 344. . 16 W. R., 259	1247
Mokhoda Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Mitter	23 W. R., 11	582, 5921
Mokoond Chunder Roy v. Prankissen Paul Chowdhry		2982
Mokoond Narain Deo v. Jonardun Dey Burnick	15 W. R., 208	4141, 4973
	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 104	. 2475

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
okoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory	. 22 W. R., 302	5280
skoondy Lall Doobey v. Crowdy	. 17 W. R., 274	5189
kuddims of Mouza Kunkunwady v. Enamdar		1684, 439
Brahmins of Mouza Soorpal	[I. A., 383.	4646
kund Lal v. Hurbullubh Narain Singh	. 12 C. L. R., 136 · · ·	4901
1 1 T 11 CI + T 11	T T D 10 0 1 1001	316, 33
kund Lall v. Chotay Lall	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1061	3872, 424 5797
okund Mooraree Biswas v. Wooma Churn Mookerje	e 23 W. R., 173 . · .	5984
okundo Lall Roy v. Bykunt Nath Roy	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 289 : 7 C.]	2289
	L. R., 478.	4101
okut Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	. 6 N. W., 16	4101 3134, 330
olakatalla Naganna v. Pedda Narappa	7 Mad., 288	281
olka v. Sumput Koonwar olla Abdoor Rub v. Hurryhur Mookerjee	6 W. R., Mis., 62	4165
ona Abdoor Edo v. Harrynar Mookerjee		31.09
ollwo, March, & Co. v. Court of Wards	10 B. L. R., 312: 18 W. R., 384: L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 86.	4320
onwo, march, a cor or court or march	Vol., 86.	igo ao
v. Pertab Chunder Singh .	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	4518
oltan Allee v. Shew Buksh	. Marsh., 603	5781
ona Bibee v. Comed Ali	· 16 W. R., 276	4970, 622
onajan v. Kashi Nath Panday	. 5 C. L. R., 305	1868
ona Sheikh v. Ishan Bardhan	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 581	961
ona Singh v. Mosrad Singh	. 5 W. R., 203	3727
oneekurnika Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensin		5117
onee Lall v. Fuzul Hossein	. 14 W. R., 337	4293
Ioneemotho Nath Day v. Aushootosh Day	. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 24	2075, 249
Ioneerooddeen v. Ramdhun Bajeekur Ioneerooddeen Merdha v. Kennie	. 18 W. R., Cr., 28	3700 2994
Ioneerooddeen Mojoomdar v. Parbutty Churn Ghose	. 4 W. R., Act X, 45 15 W. R., 121	4475
Ionemothonauth Dev v. Ononthnauth Dev	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	2136, 254
Ionessur Doss v. Kishen Protab Shahee	. 23 W. R., 427	414
Ioney Mohun Dey v. Dinonath Mullick	. 22 W. R., Cr., 11	5525
Iongola Dossee v. Saroda Dossee	. 12 B. L. R., Ap., 2	3854
Iongola Koiborto v. Annoda Ram, In the matter of	. 12 C. L. R., 277	3219
Iongula Dossee v. Sharoda Dossee	. 20 W. R., 48	3854
Ionindrobhoosun Biswas v. Shosheebhoosun Fiswas	. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 866	911
Ionindro Chunder Sirkar v. Moneeruddeen Biswas	{ 11 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 20 W. }	- 3003
	R., 230.	
Ioniram Kolita v. Keri Kolitani	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 776: 6 C. L.)	2502, 252
Ioni Roy v. Rajbunsee Kooer	R., 322 : L. R., 7 I. A., 115.) 25 W. R., 393	5040
Ionji Premji Sett v. Maliyakel Koyassan Koya Haji	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 59	180.36
Ionkee Dumber Sahee v. Monkee Bhullunder Sahee	. 15 W. R., 423 · · ·	293
Ionmohinee Dabee v. Sreedhama Churn Ranna .	. 14 W. R., 302	770
Ionmohinee Debee v. Binode Beharee Shaha .	. 25 W. R., 10	1732
Ionmohinee Debi v. Secretary of State	. 13 B. L. R., 359 : 22 W. R., 106	2049
Ionmohinee Dossee v. Bishen Moyee Dossee .	. 7 W. R., 112	4796
v. Khetter Gopaul Dey	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 127: 24 W.	183
Ionmohinee Joginee v. Jugobundhoo Sadookha	R., 362.	1697
Ionmohiney Dossee v. Koylashnath Chund .	. 2 Hav. 154	336
Mon Mohun Buksee v. Gunga Soondery Dabee .	[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 181: 11 C.]	3159
	(L. R., 34.	
Ionohur Chowdhry v. Nursingh Chowdhry .	. 11 W. R., 272 · · ·	4129
Ionohur Doss v. Gungapershad	. 2 N. W., 264	4144
v. Seetul Pershad	. 23 W. R., 418	4883

Aonohur Doss v. Kally Dhun Dobey	8 W. R., 116 Bourke, O. C., 110 Cor., 121 I. L. R., 3 Cale, 231 I. L. R., 3 Cale, 473 23 W. R., 35 4 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 9 Cale, 283: 12 C. L. R., 434 I. L. R., 5 Cale, 756: 7 C. L. R., 228, 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 15 W. R., 246 Cor., 8	3106 5533 4236 2597 457 2702 3247 4873 4864, 4747 5344 4211, 5354
v. Khodrum Begum v. Khoolsun Begum v. Macnaghten v. Romanauth Law v. Situl Pershad Jonohur Khan v. Troyluckho Nath Ghose Jonohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur Jonohur Mookerjee v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	Bourke, O. C., 110 Cor., 121 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 231 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473 23 W. R., 325 4 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 283: 12 C. 1 L. R., 434 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756: 7 C. L. 2 R., 228. 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 1 S. W. R., 21	5533 4236 2597 457 2702 3247 4873 4664, 4747
v. Komanauth Law v. Situl Pershad Jonohur Khan v. Troyluckho Nath Ghose Jonohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur Jonohur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	Cor., 121 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 231 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473 23 W. R., 325 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 283: 12 C. 2 L. R., 434. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756: 7 C. L. 3 R., 228. 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 1 U. W. R., 27 5 W. R., 246	2597 457 2702 3247 4873 4664, 4747 5344
v. Komanauth Law v. Situl Pershad Jonohur Khan v. Troyluckho Nath Ghose Jonohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur Jonohur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 231 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473 23 W. R., 325 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 283: 12 C. 1 L. R., 434. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756: 7 C. L. 2 R., 228. J. W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 15 W. R., 21	457 2702 3247 4873 4864, 4747 5344
v. Komanauth Law v. Situl Pershad Jonohur Khan v. Troyluckho Nath Ghose Jonohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur Jonohur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 473 23 W. R., 325 4 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 283: 12 C. L. R., 484 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756: 7 C. L. R., 228 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 1 W. R., 246	2702 3247 4873 4864, 4747 5344
Jonohur Khan v. Troyluckho Nath Ghose Jonohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur Jonohur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	23 W. R., 325 4 W. R., 325 5 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 283 : 12 C. L. R., 43 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756 : 7 C. L. 2 R., 228. 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 1 5 W. R., 246	3247 4873 4864, 4747 5344
Jonohur Khan v. Troyluckho Nath Ghose Jonohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur Jonohur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	4 W. R., 35 I. L. R., 9 Cale., 283: 12 C. L L. R., 434 I. L. R., 5 Cale., 756: 7 C. L. R., 228 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1	4873 4864, 4747 5344
Monohur Lall v. Gouri Sunkur	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 288: 12 C. L. R., 434. I. L. R., 5 Cale., 756: 7 C. L. R., 228. 1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1 18 W. R., 246	4664, 4747 5344
Ionohur Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee Jonohur Pal v. Wise	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 756 : 7 C. L. R., 228. I. W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1	5344
v. Huromohun Mookerjee v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee donohur Pal v. Wise	1 W. R., 27 5 W. R., 1	5344
Monohur Pal v. Wise	5 W. R., 1	
Monohur Pal v. Wise	15 W. R., 246	
	15 W. R., 240	3107
		4524
Ionomothonath Day v. Aushootosh Day	COF., O	2102, 4019
v. Greender Chunder Ghose	24 W. R., 360	1372, 4807
v. Sreenath Ghose	20 W. R., 107	5313
Aonomothonath Dey v. Glascott	20 W. R., 275	5285
Monoour Hossein v. Kanhya Lall	3 W. R., 218 7 W. R., 297	5300
9. Sreenath Ghose Monomothonath Dey w. Glascott Monoour Hossein v. Kanhya Lall Monoshe v. Abdool Hossein Monowar Khan v. Abdoollah Khan Monsoor Ali v. Ramdyal Montoora v. Ablak Roy Locad Mullick v. Belat Mullick Woochee Ram Maihee v. Bissambhur Roy Chowdhry	3 N. W., 177	3702
donowar Khan v. Abdoollah Khan	3 W. R., 50	3748
donsoor An v. Kamayai	11 W. R., 197	5125
Jontoora v. Ablak Koy	9 W. R., 385	6008
100ad Mainek v. Delat Mainek	5 W. 10., 500	1769, 3419
Moochee Ram Majhee v. Bissambhur Roy Chowdhry .	24 W. R., 410 {	4229
Mookhesur Rai v. Ramphul Sahoo	5 N. W., 70	450
Mookhya Huruckraj Joshee v. Ram Lall Gomashta .	14 W. R., 435	5764
Mookoond Narain Deb v. Mooralee Mohun	6 W. R., 91	4134
Mookoondonath Bhadoory v. Shib Chunder Bhadoory .	19 W. R., 102	5582
Mookta Kashee Dabee v. Gungadass Roy	14 W. R., 483	3497
Mooktakashy v. Luckee	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 4	4469
Mooktakeshee Debea v. Collector of Burdwan	12 W. R., 204 · · ·	5211,6094
Mookta Keshee Debee v. Kunuck Monee Debee	7 W. R., 267	434, 5454
v. Oomabutty	14 W. R., 31: 8 B. L. R.,	1172
	396, note. 10 W. R., 137	5451, 5454
Mooktakeshee Debia v. Luchmeeput Şingh Doogur	12 W. R., 233	2234
v. Wooma Churn Bhuttacharjee . Mooktakeshee Debia Chowdhrain v. Collector of Moor- ?	4 W. R., 30	5590
shedabad.		1765, 5186
Mooktakeshee Dossee v. Koylash Chunder Mitter .	7 W. R., 493	4481
n. Pearce Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 158	6071
Mooktaram Bhuttacharjee v. Hurro Chunder Roy		5378
Mookta Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Muthooranath Ghose.	3 W. R., Act X, 156	2888
Mooktokashee Dassia v. Brojunder Coomar Roy . Mookto Keshee Debee v. Anundo Chunder Chattopadhya		480
Mookundee Misrain v. Sheo Lochun Pattuck	21 W. R., 39	231
Mr 1 3.7 3 .	4 N. W. 109	5097
Moola v. Nundy Moolchand v. Cheetree v. Robinson	4 N. W., 109 1 N. W., 175 : Ed. 1873, 254	5182
v. Robinson	1 B. L. R., O. C., 68	465
Mool Chund v. Ajoodhya Pershad	3 N. W., 162	3874
v. Rajnarain Ghose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 330	5623
v. Rajnarain Ghose Moolji Lilla v. Gokuldas Vulla	T. L. R., 8 Bom., 154	2348, 235
Moblook Chand Mundul v. Moodhoosoodun Bachas-		3801
putty. Mooltan Mull v. Gunsam Doss	3 N. W., 75	166, 384
Moona v. Chandmonee Gossain	7 W. R., 206	1403,310

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Moona v. Jey Mungul Singh	4 N. W., 164	4814
Moondar Bibee v. Honooman Pershad	11 W. R., 277	4162
Mooneappah v. Vencatarayadoo	6 Mad., 32	342
	5 Mad., 326	1967
Aooneappa Oodian v. Queen	3 Mad., 352	6076
Moonee Rangappen. Ex parte. Moonee Ummah v. Municipal Commissioners for the	8 Mad., 151 · · ·	3574, 3769
Town of Madras. Mooney v. Mussooree Savings Bank	6 N. W., 319 · · ·	4604
Moonye Surmah v. Lomun Surmah	2 W. R., 288	2342
-	T 0 T D 000	367, 1482
Moonzoorad Dowla v. Mehidi Begum	7 C. L. R., 206 · · {	2697
Mooradee Bebee v. Syefoollah	W. R., 1864, 318	4587
Moorajee Poonja v. Visranjee Visenjee	I. L. R., 12 Cale., 658	278
Mooraree Doss v. Bucha Singh	4 N. W., 122	4589
Mooraree Moohajim v. Mahomed Akmal	22 W. R., 161	5129
Mooraree Singh v. Puryag Singh	2 C. L. R. 189	4947
	2 C. L. R., 189 15 B. L. R., Ap., 3	5642
"Moorhill." In re the Mooroobbee Sahoo v. Latoo Coomar	W. R., F. B., 70	5075
Moorooppee Sanoo v. 12100 Coomar	9 W P 106	4536
Moorooly Ram v. Huree Ram Moorul Singh v. Molum Kooer	8 W. R., 106 9 W. R., 167	1503
Moorul Singh v. Mohun Kooer		3561
Moorut Koonwar v. Dhurm Narain Singh	2 W. R , Mis., 7	4673, 587
Moosa v. Essa	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 241	1587, 298
Moosey Khulerey v. Mahomed Tuquee	1 Agra, Rev., 3	2986
Mootee v. Roora Moothora Kant Shaw v. India General Steam Naviga-		659
tion Company.	L. R., 342.	5663
Moozdeen Gazee v. Dino Bundhoo Gossamee .	13 W. R., 99 · · ·	3596
Moparti Pitchi Naidu v. Vuppala Kondamma .	6 Mad., 136	6026
Morad Ali v. Jeebun Bux	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 354	546
Moran v. Bindubasinee Debia	W. R., 1864, Act X, 5	3089,387
v. Dewan Ali Sirang	8 B. L. R., 418 · ·	1820, 310
v. Mittu Bibee	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 58 .	3778, 583
. 8	T T D 0 C 1 000	271
v	, I. L. R., 2 Calc., 228	2007
v. River Steam Navigation Co.	14 B. L. R., 352	1615
Moran & Co. v. Anund Chunder Mozoomdar .	6 W. R., Act X, 35	5196
Mora Rabha v. Dhew Rabha	17 W. R., 158	3299
Morbhat Purchit v. Gangadhar Karkare	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 234	404,517
Moreshwar Bapuji Phatak v. Kushaba Shankroji .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 248	404,017
Morgan. In the matter of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 633: 7 C. L. R., 29: 9 C. L. R., 385.	2640
	L. R., 29: 9 C. L. R., 385.	F4F0 540
v. Abdool Hye v. Kirby v. Leech v. Morgan	23 W. R., 393	5458, 549 4576
v. Kirby	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 46	
v. Leech	. 2 Moore's I. A., 428	4632, 597
v. Morgan	I. L. R., 4 All., 306	1546, 155
Morison v. Administrator General of Magras .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 515	6201
Mor Joshi v. Muhammad Ibrahim	10 Bom., 344	483
Morno Moyee Debee v. Bheem Koomar Chowdhry	6 W. R., 231 · · ·	6238
Moro Balkrishna Mule v. Shek Saheb valad Badrud-	5 Bom., A. C., 199	5013
din Kamble.) ' '	
Moro Desai v. Ramchandra Desai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 508	4177
Moro Vishvanath'v. Ganesh Vithal	. 10 Bom., 444	2350, 245
		2472, 607
Moro Vithal v. Tukraram valad Malharji	5 Bom., A. C., 92	3064, 478
Morris v. Atmakuru Lutchmana Row	6 Mad., 43 .	2808
v. Baumgarten	Bourke, O. C., 127 : Cor., 152	2804, 280
v. Muthusami Pillai	6 Mad., 363 · · ·	5256
	1	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Iorris v. Pauchanada Pillay	5 Mad., 135	1818 3182, 5256
v. Sambamurthi Rayar	6 Mad., 122	5874
v. Sapamtheetha Pillay	6 Mad., 45	3183, 481
a Sivaramayyan	7 Mad., 242	3191
Moru bin Pattaji v. Gopal bin Satu	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 120	3116, 335
forun Moyee Dabea v. Eeejoy Kishen Gossamee	W. R., F. B., 121	2129 3283
Iosaheb Ali v. Nund Kishore	24 W. R., 69	1211
Iosechun v. Munoorun Ioshahel Singh v. Konomutty Bewa Ioshaollah Khan. In the matter of	15 W. R., 172	355
Ioshaollah Khan. In the matter of	14 W. R., 445 · · ·	4345
Ioshaullah v. Ahmedullah	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 78 .	200, 315 3155
Ioshingan v. Mozari Sajad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 271 .	185
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 602: 6 }	
Aosoodun Lall v . Bekaree Singh $\left\{ \right.$	W. R., Mis., 109.	2707
Iosson. In the goods of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 139	1236
losuruf Ali Chowdhry v. Janokenath Odhicaree	W. R., 1864, Mis., 40	3147 5118
Aotee Chand v. Radhamadhub Chand Lotee Chund Doss v. Moorulee Dhur Doss	15 W. R., 432	4281
Totee Doss v. Modhoosoodun Chowdhry	1 W. R., 4.	2254
Iotee Dossee v. Beeta Hurukmun Singh	11 W. R., 64	2808
Notee Lall v. Bhoop Singh	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245: 8 W.	1432, 174 2781, 408
v. Janki Roy	R., 64.	4207
v. Ram Doss	W. R., 1864, Mis., 38	238, 83
	8 W. R., 64: 2 Ind. Jur., N. ?	1432, 174 2781, 403
v. Ranee	S., 245.	
Totee Lall Aduck v. Judooputhee Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 44	4169 5260
Aotee Lall Bose v. Howrah Municipality	1 N. W., 155: Ed. 1873,)	0200
Notee Lall Mookerjee v . Kandhai Lall $\Big\{$	237 : Agra. F. B: Ed. >	849
(1874, 185.	
Motee Lall Opadhiya $v.$ Juggurnath Gurg \ldots . $\left\{ ight.$	5 W. R., P.C., 25:1 Moore's }	4137
Iotee Ram v. Kaisree	I. A., 1. 3 2 Agra, 52	4826
Aotee Ram Sahoo v. Khuleeloollah	2 Agra, 338	2076
Notee Roy v. Meajan	20 W. R., 443	3010
Intee Sahoo v. Forbes	6 W. R., 278	1374, 33
Iotee Saboo v. Forbes Iotee Singh v. Leelannad Singh Iotee Soonderee v. Indrajit Kowaree	11 W. R., 49	3416 202
Aotendro Chandra Ghose v. Mohendro Nath Ghose .	Marsh., 112 10 C. L. R., 330	3523
Iothoora Lall Paul v. Chrinebash Dutt	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 24	3288
Iothoora Mohun Roy v. Huree Kishore Roy	18 W. R., 286	1454
v. Peary Mohun Shaw . {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 259 : 2 C. L.	1774,58
Aothoora Nath Bhoomick v. Kenaram Kurmokar .	R., 409. 7 W. R., 33	986,11
Aothooranath Chatterjee v. Khetturnath Biswas	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1639
Aothoora Nath Chuttopadhya v. Kristo Kumar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 369	1119, 11
Ghose.	1	1161
Mothogranath Dey v. Gopal Roy	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 16 .	1101 5108
Mothoora Nath Gungopadhya v. Sheeta Monee	9 W. R., 354	2311
Mothogranath Sircar v. Nil Monee Deo	14 W. R., 356 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1632
Nothooranath Tewaree v. Brindabun Tewaree	14 W. R., 327 · · ·	180
dothoora Pershad Singh v. Shumbhoo Geer	19 W. R., 413 · · ·	820
Mothoor Chunder Doss. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 509	4111

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		40.00
Iothoor Chunder Kurmokar v. Manick Chunder Bungo	6 W. R., 192	4302
othoor Ghose. In the matter of	11 W. R., Cr., 10	959
othoor Mohun Mundul v. Khemunkuree	5 W. R., P. C., 182	4867
othoor Mohun Pal Chowdhry v. Ram Lal Bose	4 C. L. R., 469	569
othoormohun Roy v. Bank of Bengal {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 392 : 1 C. L. R., 507.	466
v. Jadoomoney Dossee	10 B. L. R., 122	2820
v. Soorendro Narain Deb .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 108: 24 W.	1079, 3740
othoornath Chuckerbutty v. Kissen Mohun Ghose	W. R., 1864, Mis., 9	2777
Iothura Nath Dutt v. Borkant Nath Dutt	11 C. L. R., 312	3370
loti Bhagvan v. Harjivan Girdhurdas	2 Bom., 34: 2nd Ed., 32	4903
loti Bibi v. Bikanu	I. L. R., 2 All., 772	290
Ioti Chand v. Mahomed Hossein Khan	7 N. W., 147	500, 3707
Iotichand Jaichand v. Dadabhai Pestanji	11 Bom., 186	182, 143
- 1	. (1679
Iotigavri v. Pranjivandas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 302	309
Ioti Kahanji v. Dip Chand Virchand	5 Bom., A. C., 81	4144
Ioti Lal v. Moti Lal	I. L. R., 6 All., 78	2576
v. Munshook Kuramchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 328	5842
Iotilal Ramdas v. Jamnadas Javerdas	2 Bom., 42: 2nd Ed., 40	2794, 601
Iotiram Rupa Chand. In re	11 Bom., 21	3860 2719
Iotoji bin Ratnaji v. Husen Ioula Buksh v. Jodoonath Sadoo Khan	6 Bom., A. C., 8	1618
v. Kuruck Lall	21 W. R., 267	689
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 777 : L. R.,	
Moung Hmoon Htaw v. Mah Rpwah	11 I. A., 109.	647
Noung Htoon Oung. In the matter of	21 W. R., 297	151
Ioung Khine v. Burn	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 2	12
Houng Shoay Att v. Ko Byaw	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 330: L. R. 3 I. A., 61.	1560
Mouzzum Ali Khan v. Sheoruttun Singh	3 Agra, 277	1624
Mowla Buksh v. Ali Khan	9 W. R., 101	4441
v. Hodgkinson	24 W. R., 150	3094
v. Kishen Pertab Sahi	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 102	3094
Mowla Koomaree v. Mutty Singh	25 W. R., 129	4180
Mowlas Koonwar v. Shiva Sahai	1 Agra, Rev., 65	1744
Wowri Bewa v. Surendra Nath Roy {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 184 : 10 W. R., 178.	3151, 579
Mozaffur Ally v. Girish Chandra Das	1 B. L. R., A. C., 25: 10 W. R., 71.	290, 328
Mozhuruddin v. Gobind Chunder Nundi	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 436	3032
Wozuffur Ali v. Kumeernnissa Bibee	W. R., 1864, 32	3648
Mozuffer Wahid v. Abdus Samad	6 C. L. R., 539	4465
Mrinamoyi Dabia v. Jogodishuri Dabia {	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 450 : 5 C. L. R., 361.	82, 385
Mrinmoyee Dabea v. Bhoobun Moyee Dabea	15 B. L. R., 1 : 23 W. R., 43 {	1837, 316
		3347 3013
Mrityunjaya Sirkar v. Gopal Chandra Sirkar Muazzim Ali Khan v. Sheo Pershad	2 B. L. R., A. C., 131 7 N. W., 259	2865
Mubarik Ali v. Kadir Buksh	7 N. W., 259	372
		1339, 227
Muchoo v. Arzoon Sahoo	5 W. R., 235 }	5096
Muchul Kooer v. Laljee	2 N. W., 112	3147
Mudaree Lall v. Gilmore	3 Agra, 196	4595

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Iuddomutty Guptee v. Bamasoondery Dossee	14 B. L. R., 21 }	88, 194 2234, 238 3209, 413
uddoosooden Dey v. Bamachurn Mookerjee	1 Hyde, 100 W. B., F. B., 36	5873 2886
Iuddoosoodun Chowdry v. Koylas Chunder Shaw	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 12	355
uddun Gopal Lall v. Gowrunbutty	15 B. L. R., 264: 23 W. R.,	2164
v. Gowurbutty	21 W. R., 190	2393, 4263
uddun Gopal Thakoor v. Ram Buksh Pandey	6 W. R., 71, 74	2155
Juddun Lall v. Komul Bibee	8 W. R., 43 14 W. R., 335	2240 4310
Iuddun Mohun v. Kartick Nath Pandey	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 29	3880
v. Puddo Monee Dossee	17 W. R., 139	493
uddun Mohun Ghosal v. Nuboram Chuckerbutty .	2 W. R., 69	2841
Inddun Mohun Mitter v. Peer Bukshun	7 W. R., 485	841 555
uddun Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Chundee Sekhur?		
Bhuttacharjee.	25 W. R., 115	2986
v. Ram Chunder	2 W. R., Mis., 41	220
uddun Mohun Shaha v. Anundmovi	5 C. L. R., 49	2487
v. Bharut Chunder Roy	11 W. R., 249	478
uddun Mohun Tewareé v. Nand Kishore Doss	5 W. R., 295	3156
uddun Ram Doss v. Israil Ali Chowdhry	21 W. R., 291	6223
uddun Thakoor v. Kantoo Lall	14 B. L. R., 187 : 22 W. R., 56 : L. R., 1 I. A., 321.	2164
v. Morrison	18 W. R., 253	1219, 269
udhoo Manjee v. Nilmonee Singh Deo	18 W. R., 533	381
udhoo Mokee Dabee v. Gunga Govind Mundle	W. R., 1864, 299	243, 506 3054, 429
udhoo Soodun Chatterjee v. Juddooputty Chuckerbutty udhoosoodun Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the?		
petition of.	28 W. R., Cr., 60	1272
udhoosoodun Gossamee v. Hills	10 W. R., 242	6095
udhoo Soodun Roy v. Sreeputty Bhuttacharjee udhoosoodun Shaha v. Bejoy Gobind Chowdhry	25 W. R., 488 21 W. R., Cr., 55	4932 4496
v. Gopal Shaikh	22 W. R., 508	3806
udhun Mohun Doss v. Gokul Doss	10 Moore's I. A., 563: 5 W.)	4661
	R., P. C., 91.	810
udhun Mohun Singh v. Kanyee Doss Chuckerbutty udoosoodun Sha v. Haridass Dass	12 B. L. R., 201	974
udun Bhukut v. Dooar Bharatee	8 W. R., 320	3506
udun Mohan Roy v. Gourmonee Goopto {	B. L. R., Sap. Vol., 31: W.	5078
	R., F. B., 126.	6039
udun Mohun Dut v. Futtarunnissa udun Mohun Poddar v. Bhoggomanto Poddar	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 297 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 923	4199, 489
udun Mohun Singh v. Ram Dass Chuckerbutty .	6 C. L. R., 357	2700, 38.
udun Singh v. Nurput Singh	2 W. R., 290	1178, 304
udvirapa Kulkarni v. Fakirapa Kenardi	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 427 2 W. R., 74	3274 5459
ufasa v. Mahomed Akbar Gazee		1
Bibee.	7 W. R., 194	1735
ufti Jalaluddeen Mahomed v. Shohorullah . {	15 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 22 W. R.,	1242
ugneeram Chowdhry v. Gonesh Dutt Singh	422. 5 W. R., 134	3769
v. Gunesh Dutt Singh	W. R., 1864, 275	2609, 44
ugnee Roy v. Lall Khoonee Lal	6 W. R., Act X, 19	541

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mugno Moye Debia v. Hur Chunder Racot	3 W. R., Act X, 146 8 W. R., 479	336 3817
Muhamdee v. Nazirun	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 19: 6 C. L.	77, 163
Muhamdi Begam v. Abbas Ali Khan	R., 210. } I. L. R., 5 All., 531	5687
Auhammad v. Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 203	5951, 5954
v. Imamuddin	2 Bom., 53: 2nd Ed., 50 I. L. R., 7 All., 199	3731 5238
v. Tirumala Chariar	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 205	3059
Muhammad Abdul Kadar v. E. I. Railway Co	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 375	2813
Juhammad Abdul Majid v. Fatima Bibi	I. L. R., 8 All., 39 : L. R., 12 }	3734
Iubammad Abdul Rahman Khan v. Muhammad Qutab-	I. L. R., 6 All., 446	4088
Juhammad Abid v. Muhammad Asghar	I. L. R., S All., 64 . {	175, 378 3447
W. I I Al. T. C. DIEDER D	5	2860, 2916
Muhammad Abu Jafar v. Wali Muhammad	I. L. R., 3 All., 81 . }	5084
Muhammad Ali v. Debi Din Rai	1. L. R., 4 All., 420	806 4085
Juhammad Ali Bava Labbi v. Mohiadin Nainar	1 Mad., 107	3816
Muhammad Alladad Khan v. Muhammad Ismail Khan	I. L. R., 8 All., 234	3636
Muhammadan Association of Meerut v. Bakshshi Ram . Muhammad Ashgar Ali v. Muhammed Zain-ul-abdin	I. L. R., 6 All., 284 I. L. R., 5 All., 573	4274 3258
Auhammad Awais v. Har Sahai	I. L. R., 7 All., 716	3643
Muhammadbhai Dharamsi v. Bhanji Topan	3 Bom., O. C., 64	4530
Muhammad Bukhsh v. Muhammad Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 294	3327
Muhammad Ewaz v. Birj Lal	4 I. A., 166.	4801
Muhammad Faiz Ahmad Khan v. Ghulam Ahmad Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 490 : L. R., 8 I. A., 25.	3673
Muhammad Habibullah Khan v. Safdar Husain Khan .	I. L. R., 7 All., 25	3171, 3358
Muhammad Hamin Khan. In re	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 178	6044
Muhammad Hasan v. Munna Lal Muhammad Ibrahim v. Gulam Ahmed	I. L. R., 8 All., 434	1346 1357, 3701
Muhammad Ismail v. Chattar Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 69	336
Muhammad Ismail Khan v. Fidayat-un-nissa	I. L. B., 3 All., 723	3634, 3692
Muhammad Janula v. Venkatanayar	I. L. R., 8 All., 516 2 Mad., 79	1347, 4656 3205, 3219
Muhammad Latif v. Gobind Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 382	4555
Muhammad Malik Khan v. Nirhai Bibi	I. L. R., 7 All., 761	6091
Muhammad Mohidin v. Ottayil Ummache	1 Mad., 390	1063, 6119 4979
Muhammad Sileman v. Satu valad Harji	5 Bom., A. C., 90	5691
Muhammad Sulaiman v. Muhammad Yar	I. L. R., 6 All., 30	1493
Muhammad Umar v. Kamila Bibi	I. L. R., 4 All., 34	3502 1770, 3973
Muhammad valad Abdul Mulna v. Ibrahim valad Hasan .	3 Bom., A. C., 160 . {	4902
Muhammad Yakoub v. Muhammad Ismail	9 Bom., 278	4165
Muhammad Yussub v. Ahmed	1 Bom., Ap., 18 {	3699, 4210 5265
Muhammad Yusuf v. Peniusular and Oriental Steam Navigation Company.		5646
Muhammad Zaki v. Chatku	I. L. R., 7 All., 120	3383
Muhammed Esuf Sahib v. George Muhbool Buksh v. Suheedun	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 385	5716 · 4711
Muhesh Doobey v. Kishun Doobey	14 W. R., 378	2444

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Muhesh Dutt Pandey v. Seetul Sonar Muhessur Buksh Singh. In the matter of the petition of v. Meghburn Singh Muhi-ud-din Ahmad Khan v. Majlis Rai Muhkun v. Jusram Muhwoo v. Heeraram Misser Muhab v. Ram Dyal Muhunde Beg v. Hossein Ali Mujavar Ibrambibi v. Mujavar Hussain Sheriff Mujedonissa v. Dildar Hossein Mujedonissa v. Dildar Hossein Mujedonissa v. Oslar Hossein Mujedonissa v. Dildar Hossein Mujedunnissa v. Majavar Hussain Sheriff Mujedunnissa v. Sheriff Mukah d. Lal Dubei v. Crowdy Mukant Lal v. Nasiruddin Mukhodo Hi v. Wajid Hossein Mukhodomun v. Bhugwan Doss Mukdoomun v. Bhugwan Doss Mukdoomun v. Bhugwan Doss Mukdoomun v. Fakir Mukhoda Dossee v. Queen Mukhoda Soondury Dasi v. Ram Churn Karmokar	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 146 5 W. R., Mis., 33 9 B. L. R., 150: 16 W. R., P. C., 5. C., 5. L. L. R., 6 All., 231 4 N. W., 165 2 N. W., 26 1 L. R., 3 Mad, 95 14 W. R., 216 20 W. R., 178 3 Agra, 239 B. L. R., Ap., 95 1. L. R., 4 All., 446 25 W. R., 239 24 W. R., 33 24 W. R., 239 24 W. R., 33 24 W. R., 286 1. L. R., 3 All., 382 18 W. E., Cr., 4 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 871: 11 C. 7	2028 4406 35, 4870 3389 5271 1200, 3842 3147, 3174 4298 3670 3063, 4260 4189 1658 5189 5664 4463 1933 327, 5767 195 4490
Mukhar Bahadur v. Brojraj Singh Chowdhry Mukhur Lall v. Sreekishen Singh Mukhun Lall Panday v. Koondun Lall	L. R., 194. 9 C. L. R., 143 8 W. R., 92 15 B. L. R., 228: 24 W. R., 75: L. R., 2 I. A., 210.	5188 4433 3245, 4763 4797, 4800 4806
Mukim Mulliek v. Ramjan Sirdar	9 C. L. R., 64 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 182 5 W. R., P. C., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 142: 10 Moore's	2023 3212, 3215
Mukoond Narain Deo v. Joy Coomaree Debia Mukoontaht v. Jugwunt Lall Mukrumunnissa v. Abdool Jubbar Muksood Ali v. Gowhur Ali Mukta Bibee. In re	I. A., 362. 1 W. R., 16 3 Agra, 389 17 W. R., 171 W. R., 1804, 364 17 W. R., Cr, 11 8 B. L. R., 396, note: 14 W.	2852 3160 91 3196 253
Muktakasi Debi v. Ubabati Muktakeshi Debee Chowdhrain v. Sajed Sheik Mukun Mulliok v. Ramgan Sirdar Mukurbhanoo Deo v. Kostoora Koonwaree Mukurdhooj Singh v. Ram Churn Mula Raj v. Debi Dihal Mulbai. In the goods of Mulchand v. Balgobind v. Bhikari Das v. Motlehand Hargovandas v. Shib Charan Lal Mulchand Badharsha v. Bai Mancha Mulchand Chutumal v. Sundarji Naranji Mulchand Gulabchand v. Girdhar Madhay	2 B. L. R., Ap., 5 9 C. L. R., 64 5 W. R., 215 1 N. W., 14: Ed. 1873, 12 1 L. R., 7 All., 371 2 Bonn, 292: 2nd Ed., 276 I. L. R., 1 All., 610 I. L. R., 7 All., 624 9 Fonn, 37 I. L. R., 2 All., 676 I. L. R., 2 All., 676	1587 1680 2039 2974 3463 3695 3892 4087, 4894 668 1232 2511 4584 342, 3206
Mulchand Joharimal v. Suganchand Shivdas Mulchand Kuber v. Lallu Trikam Mulchand Ranchoddas v. Chhagan Naran	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 23 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 404 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 74	1035, 2576 2819 3940 1923

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Mulji Bechar v. Anupram Bechar v. Jetha Jeshankar fulkah Do Alum Nawab Tajdar Bohoo v. Jehan Kudr {	7 Bom., A. C., 186 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 239 2 W. R., P. C., 55 : 10 Moore's }	139, 4773 5819 3656
Iulka Jehan Sahiba v. Deputy Commissioner of	I. A., 252.	1027
Lucknow. v. Mahomed Ushkurree Khan	L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., 192:	3692, 3701
Iulka Mukhdra, Begum of ex-King of Oudh v. Te-	14 W. R., P. C., 24	1729
kaeth Roy. Iulial Jaffarji Tyeb Ali v. Yacali Kadar Bi Iulleeka v. Jumeela v. —	7 Mad., 128 5 W. R., 23 11 B. L. R., 375 : L. R., I. 3 A., Sup. Vol., 135. 3 I'. L. R., 10 Calc., 1112 . I. L. R., 6 Calc., 194 : 6 C. L. 1	699 3648 3331, 3332 3648, 3654 3676
fullick Ahmed Zumma alias Tetur v. Mahomed Syed { fullick Amanut Ali v. Ukloo Passe fullick Enact Ali v. Wahed Ali fullick Fukerun. In the matter of	R., 573. 25 W. R., 140 13 W. R., 315 11 W. R., Cr., 6 5 B. L. R., 174: 13 W. R., 7	3483 4901, 4924 3528 4726
Aullick Karim Baksh v. Harrihar Mandar }	440.	6071
Mullick Mahomed Yakoob v. Chowdhry Shaikh Zuhoo- rul Huq. Mullins v. Beddy fullins v. Beddy fullo. In the matter of the petition of fulraz Lachmia v. Chalakany Veneata Rama Jagana-	25 W. R., 309	3481 1034, 3203 910 5488
dha Row. Muluk Fuqueer Buksh v. Laller Manohur Doss Mumford v. Peal Mumtaz Begum v. Fatch Husain Mumtaz Bibee v. Grish Chunder Chowdhry Mumtaz Hossein v. Brij Mohun Thakoor Mumbasee Kooer v. Nowrungee Lall .	2 Moore's I. A., 54 2 N. W., 29 I. L. R., 2 All., 857 I. L. R., 6 All., 391 22 W. R., 376 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 888 I. L. R., 4 Salc., 888	2531 4866 3311 4916 570 229, 2649 673
Munbasi Kooer v. Nowruttun Kooer	8 C. L. R., 428 {	2164, 3435 3917
Mnohershaw Bezonji v. New Dhurumsey Spinning and } Weaving Co. Munda Chetti v. Timmaju Hensu Mundakinee Debee v. Collector of Beerbhoom Mundy Chinna Comarappa Setti v. Ramasamy Setti	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 576 . { 1 Mad., 380 1 W. R., Mis., 27 4 Mad., 409	1726, 3794 4628, 6276 2282, 2458 2840 3173
Munce Dutt Singh v. Campbell	11 W. R., 278; affirmed on re-	2981, 5761
Muneekurnicka Chowdharain v. Anund Moyee Chow-	8 W. R., 6	1608
Munecram Acharjee v. Turungo Munecrooddeen Merdha v. Kennie Munecrooddeen Merdha v. Parbutty Churn Ghose Munecroodeen v. Kadir Buksh Munecruddeen v. Mahomed Ali Munecrun v. Museehun Mungamuru Ananta Lakshminarasu Pantalu v. Yarla 7	7 W. R., 173 4 W. R., Act X, 45 1.5 W. R., 121 24 W. R., 410 6 W. R., 67 13 W. R., 139 7 Mad., 22	2699, 3334 1635 494 5123 3035 1450, 1900 3198
gedda Aukinid. Mungazee Chaprassee v. Shibo Soonduree Munger Bhooyan. In re Munghroo Manjhee v. Gyaram Nundee	21 W. R., 369 10 W. R., Cr., 56 14 W. R., 253	5331, 5339 1003 4871

Name of Case.	a Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munghur v. Mahomed Busheerul Hossein	I. L. R., 8 Celc., 263: 10 C. L. R., 1. 2 W. R., Mis., 17	3565 1867
2		4491, 4497
Munglo v. Durgavarain Nag	25 W. R., Cr., 74 }	5150
Mungol Prashad Dichit v. Shama Kanto Lahory	2 N. W., 454	3742 3216, 3470
Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 708 .	3490
Mungroo Sahoo v. Jeydar Singh	2 Agra, 231	3256
35 1 D 1 1 D 11	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 51 : L. R., 87	449, 3130 3133, 3470
Mungul Pershad Dichit v. Grija Kant Lahiri .	I. A., 123: 11 C. L. R., \	3490, 4982
Munia v. Balak Ram	I. L. R., 2 All., 513	2237
v. Puran	I. L. R., 5 All., 310	2494
Muniappah Naidu v. Iyasamy Mudely Muni Chundra v. Hariram Abom	5 Mad., 313 8 C. L. R., 254	4909 99
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 28: 14 W.	5651
In the matter of the petition of.	R., Cr., 67.	9031
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta	7 B. L. R., 516	4109
v. Amanat Ali. Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Mahomed Ali.	7 B. L. R., 499: 16 W. R., }	4109, 4112
Municipal Commissioners for the Suburbs of Calcutta v. Zamir Shaikh.	16 W. R., Cr., 4	505, 5652
Municipal Committee of Dacca. In the matter of .	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 38	507
Municipal Commissioners of Madras v. Branson	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 201	2620, 3575 3600
Municipal Commissioners of Mangalore v. Davies Municipal Commissioners of Mannargudi v. Nallapa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 65 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 327	3600
Municipal Commissioners of Negapatam v. Sadaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 74	3600
Municipal Committee of Moradabad v. Chatri Singh .	I. L. R., 1 All., 269	334, 4077
Municipality of Poona v. Mobaulal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 51	617 699
Munirakhun Singh v. Bhodoy Singh	12 W. R., 133	3916
Munir-ud-din Khan v. Abdul Rahim Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 674	222
Muuisami v. Dakshanamurthi	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 371	3545
Muniyan v. Periya Kulandai Ammal	1 Mad., 184	1900 2359
Mun Mohinee Dossee v. Ichamoye Dossee	13 W. R., 60	2602
	15 W. R., 352	1466
v. Joykissen Mookerjee	W. R., F. B., 174	$\frac{4208}{4151}$
Mun Mohun Dey v. Sreeram Roy	14 W. R., 285	1610
Mun Mohun Ghossal v. Pureshnath Roy	22 W. R., 174	4672
Munmohun Singh v. Amritnath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 167	5093
v. Watson & Co	W. R., F. B., 22:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 78	1605
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 Hay, 398	5328
Munna Jhunna Koonwar v. Laljee Roy {	1 W. R., 121	80, 3192
		3308, 4962 5488
Munna Singh v. Gajadhar Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 577	
Munnee Dutt Sing v. Campbell	review, 11 W. R., 278. 7 W. R., P. C., 29:4 Moore's 7	2981, 5796
Munni Ram Awasty v. Sheo Churn Awasty	I. A., 114.	275, 988
Munnoo Bebee v. Jehandar Khan	1 Agra, 250	3683
Wunnes Lele Post Phesbur Singh	3 Agra, 389	3286 2019, 4013
Munnço Lal v. Reet Bhoobun Singh	U 11. A., 200	4010, 401

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Munnoo Lall v. Choonee Shahoo v. Lalla Choonee Lall Munnoo Lall v. Reetbhungun Singh Munnoo Singh. In the matter of the petition of v. Arrut Lall Munohur Doss v. Deen Dyal Munohur Paul v. Wise Munoo Dossee v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	7 W. R., 116 21 W. R., 21: L. R., 1 I. A., 144. 9 W. R., 544 19 W. R., 306 5 W. R., 234 3 N. W., 179 15 W. R., 246 15 W. R., 245 13 B. L. R., 124: L. R., I.	5480 1706 441 5942 6242 2978, 4583 5935 747 2037
Munorunjun Singh v. Leelanund Singh Mun Peary v. Shiva Deen Munraj Muthon v. Hudson Munraj Muthon v. Hudson Munrakhun Lall v. Raheem Buksh Munrakhun Ray v. Juggat Doss Munro v. Baluok Singh Munraunjun Singh v. Leela Nund Singh — v. Lelanund Singh Munsaran Doss Kurmokar v. Gridharee Ram Doss Munshad Bibee v. Luchunegut Singh Munshi Sheikh. In the matter of the petition of Munsoer Ali v. Azmut Ali — v. Bunoo Singh	A, Sup. Vol., 181.	3977 522 4915 326 5346 401 2033, 3068 4209 5127 1014 4822 1617, 2930
v. Bunoo Singh v. Harvey Munsoor Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan Munsoor Bibee v. Ali Meah Munsoorcoddeen v. Mahomed Sufdar Munsuk Mosundas v. Shivram Devising Munsurunnisas Bibi v. Mohesh Chunder Bagehi Mupanagari Narayanan Mayar v. Virupatchan Nam- budripad. Muppid Papaya v. Ramana Murar Daya v. Nagria Ganeshia Murari Singh v. Pryag Singh Murari Singh v. Pryag Singh Murari Sukuldas v. Parratibai Murch. In the goods of Murdan Ali v. Tufnzzul Hossein	11 W. R., 291 8 W. R., 399 17 W. R., 358 23 W. R., 259 1. L. R., 259 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 683 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 683 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 85 6 Fom., A. C., 17 1. L. R., 6 Pom., 725 1. L. R., 1 Oalc., 362 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 177 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 177 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 177 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 725 16 W. R., 78	1 482, 3929 3962, 4685 6138 2773 2447 5703 5315 3764 2257 2838 2839 5438 2335 1239 1135, 1453 5144
Murder Kocer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh Muringa Mangalath Gopalan Nayar v. Valia Tamburatti. Murlidhar v. Ishri Prasad. v. Parsotam Dass v. Supdu Murli Rai v. Ledri Murray, In ro Murray Bibee v. Mahomed Jamal Murugayi v. Viramakali Musabi v. Shamuddin Hismuddin Musabie v. Shamuddin Hismuddin Musabde Mahomed Cazum Sherazee v. Ally Mahomed Shoestry.	I. L. R., 6 All., 576 I. L. R., 6 All., 576 I. L. R., 2 All., 91 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 149 I. L. R., 7 All., 851 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 58 12 W. R., 380 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 226 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 164 4 Bom. A. G. 35	210 3760 1186 188, 5619 3861 4081, 5205 2639 2885 2524 5606 230 4650, 6132

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Musa Miya Sabeb v. Gulam Husein Muse Ali Adam. In re Musharraf Begum v. Ghalib Ali Musmad Ali Chowdhry adias Moochee Mean. In the ?	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 100 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 653 I. L. R., 6 All., 189	5744 964 787
matter of the petition of. Mussahur Daoudh. In re Musseroddeen v. Khoda Bux	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 512 6 W. R., Cr., 92 10 W. R., 386	5605 3254, 5480 5553 5686
Mussitee Khanum v. Hookoom Bibee	15 W. R., 88 I. L. R., 4 All., 500 : L. R., 3 9 I. A., 70.	6235 4660, 6218
Mustan Saib v. Brooks Musutti v. Shekharan Musyatulla v. Noorzahan Mutha v. Sami Muthaya v. Muthaya Muthaya Chetti v. Allan Muthaya Chetti v. Allan Muthaya Chetti v. Japun Saib	7 Mad., 347 L. L. R., 6 Mad., 41 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 808 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 200 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 334 L. L. R., 4 Mad., 209 4 Mad., 22 L. L. R., 2 Mad., 140 L. L. R., 2 Mad., 140	424 822 569, 3026 3917 5647 2807 227 4102
Muthoora Doss v. Kanoo Beharee Singh	21 W, R., 287 { 20 W. R., 53	2078, 2084 2089 3159
Muthoora Koonwaree v. Bootun Singh Muthoora Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun Shaha.	13 W. R., 31	2390 4347 5018
Muthoora Nath Chuckerbutty v. Heera Lall Doss Muthoora Nath Doss v. Raickomul Dossee Muthoora Nath Koond v. Sameeruddee Mollah Muthooranath alojoomdar v. Mohobuttoonuisaa Bibee Muthoora Nath Mozoomdar v. Turinee Churn Singh Muthoora Pershad Singh v. Shumboo Geer Muthoora Dershad Singh v. Shumboo Geer	17 W. R., Cr., 55	4920, 6182 485 537 1215 45 3468 475, 1504
Muthora Nath Pal v. Chundermoney Dabia Muthora Nath Pal v. Chundermoney Dabia Muthra Chowdry v. Sheoruttun Mull Muthra Doss v. Magh Singh Muthra Pershad v. Bundes Roy Muthumadeva Naik v. Sevattamuthumadeva Naik	I. L. R., 4 Cale., 817 I. L. R., 1 All., 527 6 N. W., 126 2 N. W., 207 5 N. W., 20 7 Mad., 160	3945 1328, 4360 821 142, 5755 1202, 4907 4991
Muthur Ali v. Sheo Sahoy Singh Muthursami Pillai v. Muthu Chidambara Chetti Mutirakal Kovilagatha Rama, Varma Raja v. Queen Mutloob Ali v. Kiria Mutlalagiri v. Muttayyar Muttaunale, bank of Madras	7 Mad., 100 W. R., 1864s, 281 7 Mad., 356 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 351 1 Agra, 276 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 357 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 115	5799 6090 5599 6095 5420 665
v. Chinnana Gounden	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 220 . {	4952, 6085 6090
v. Kamakshy Ammal v. Venga Laksmiammal Muttasvami Gaundan v. Subbiramanyya Gaundan Muttaya v. Sivaraman	2 Mad., 337 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 32 10 Mad., 309 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 229	2435 2312 2378 2614
Muttayan Chetti v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinna Tambiar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 370 . { I. L. R., 6 Mad., 1 : L. R., 9 }	2158, 2370 2497, 5506
v. Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatam- biar (Zemindar of Sivagiri).	I. A., 128: 12 C. L. R.,	2159
Muttealaummal v. Chellayammal	5 Mad., 98	285

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Iutteeram Kowar v. Gopaul Sahoo	11 B. L. R., 416 : 20 W. R.,	2198
Inttirangot Manakal Bhavathradan Bhatta Thiripad	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 99	3236
v. Eraugot Trikovil Pishareth Rama Pisharoti. Muttiya Pillai v. Western	1 Mad., 27	5879
Iutto a. Ilahi Begam	I. L. R., 6 All., 65	5118
Auttra Pershad v. Rampershad	6 N. W., 39	862
Muttu v. Kudalalaga Muttu Chetti v. Muttan Chetti	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 97	4305 4993, 5837
Muttu Chidambara v. Karuppa	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 382	4305
Muttukaruppa Kaundan v. Rama Pillai	3 Mad., 158	134, 5624
Muttukaruppan Chetti v. Mutturamalinga Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 47	5443
Muttukumarappa Reddi v. Arumuga Pillai .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 145	5034
Muttumira v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 590	4113 1758, 2219
Muttu Ramalinga Setupati v. Perianayagum Pillai	L. R., 1 I. A., 209 . {	2242
Muttusam y Jagavira Yettapa Naikar v. Venkatasubha Yettia	} 2 Mad., 293	2417
Muttusamy Mudaly v. Sadagopa Gramany	4 Mad., 398	3588
Muttu Vaduganadha Tevar v. Dorasingha Tevar .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 290: L. R., 3 S I. A., 99.	2496, 5506
Muttuvelu Pillai v. Vythilinga Pillai	. 5 Mad., 185	810
Muttuvelu Pillay v. Samu Pillay	. 5 Mad., Ap., 2	4528 3579
Muttu Viran Chetti v. Kattama Natchiyar Muttu Vizia Ragunada Rani Kolundapuri Nachiar alias Kattama Nachiar v. Dorasinga Tevar		2286, 2291 2803
Muttyjan v. Ahmed Ally	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 370: 10 C.]	3641
	L. R., 346.	4384
Mutty Khan v. Mungloo Mutty Lall v. Chogemull	5 W. R., Cr., 50	2574
Mutty Lall Chuttopadhya. In the matter of	. 16 W. R., Cr., 74	2590, 5546
Mutty Lall Ghose. In the matter of	. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 308	1315
Mutty Loll Seal v. Annundo Chunder Sandle .	. 5 Moore's I. A., 72	1823
v. Dent	. 5 Moore's I. A., 328 4 Moore's I. A., 382	591 6047
	5 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 774: 9	
Muttylall Sen Gwyal v. Deshkar Roy	(W. R., 1.	2059
Mutty Ram Sahoo v. Mohi Lall Roy	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 291 : 7 C. } L. R., 433.	2846
Mutty Soonur v. Gundur Soonur	. 20 W. R., 129	3037
Mutu v. Veerapah Chetty	8 B. L. R., Ap., 91: 17 W. }	4743
Mutukdharee Lall v. Fuzul Hossein	. 6 W. R., Mis., 131	4799, 4848
Mutura Kant Shaha v. Meajan Mundul	. 5 C. L. R., 192	3017
Mutuswamy Jagavera Yettappa Naiken v. Vencatas- wara Yettappa.	{ B. L. R., P. C., 15: 11 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 203.	2417
Mutusawmy Jagavera Yettapa Naiker v. Venkataswara Yettia.		4633
Muzaffar Husain v. Ali Husain	I. L. R., 5 All.; 297	5415
Muzboot Singh v. Chunder Mashee Kooer	. 16 W. R., 44	2746
Muzhur Ali v. Basoo	. 8 W. R., 47	4428
v. Ganesh Kooer	. 3 N. W., 46	5220
v. Gundowree Sahoo	. 25 W. R., Cr., 72	4104,4109
Muzhur Ali alias Sat Cowree Meah v. Nawab Nazim	900 0 000	1 .
of Bengal.	7 W. R., 308	3820

Name of Case.		Volume and Page	Column of Digest,
Muzhur Hossain v. Denobundoo Sen		Bourke, O. C., 119	5533 1405, 1769 4036, 4399
Muzhur Hossein v. Dinobundoo Sein v. Hur Pershad Roy Muzhurool Huq v. Puhraj Ditarey Mohapattu Myenooddeen v. Rammone Chowdhrain Mymonissa v. Mohabuth Ally Myna Boyee v. Oottoram	r : :	Bourke, A. O. C., 40 15 W. R., 353 13 W. R., 235 7 W. R., 182 2 Hay, 404 2 W. R., P. C., 4: 8 Moore's L. A., 400.	6052 5536 3961 3661 5635 3645 2322, 4638 4694
N			
Nabadwip Chandra Shaw. In the matter of	the peti- }	1 B. L. R., O. C., 47	4901
charjee. Naba Kumar Banerjee. In the matter of the Nabakumar Haldar v. Bhabasundari Debi Nabi Bax v. Didar Bax Shah Nabin Chandra Mazumdar v. Mukta Sundari Nabin Chandra Mitter v. Mahes Chandra Mit Nabira Rai v. Achampat Rai Nabjan Bibi v. Chand Bibi Nabu Kristo Mookerjee v. Parbutty Churi charjee. Nachiyappa Mudali v. Ayyasami Ayyar Nadira Chand Roy v. Baikant Nath Misser Nadir Hossein v. Bisseu Chand Bassarat	petition of. Debi tter	3 B. L. R., Ap., 130 . { 2 B. L. R., A. C., 276 8 B. L. R., 566: 20 W. R., 459: 14 Moore's I. A., 152.) 5 B. L. R., Ap., 45 3 B. L. R., A. C., 375 3 B. L. R., A. C., 375 3 B. L. R., A. C., 10: 11 W. R., 326. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 38: 15 W. R., 399. 3 B. L. R., Ap., 111: 12 W. R., 69. 1 L. R., 3 All., 422 1 L. R., 3 All., 422 1 L. R., 401: L. R., 10 I. A., 133. 13 W. R., 23 14 W. R., 27 4 B. L. R., A. C., 33, note 2 W. R., Act X, 2 3 C. L. R., 437	83, 753 8850 1359 4202, 5106 3613 2206 6185 5051 1168, 6106 4768 2064 1869 3148 882 5740 5185 742, 102; 2031
v. Pearoo Thovildarinee Nadirjam Bibee v. Kureemoonisa Chowdhrain Nadirjamma Chowdhry v. Ram Chunder Sur Naduronissa Bibee v. Aghur Ali Chowdhry Naduvath Mannakel Subramanyan Nambudri Nafar Chand Pal Chowdhry. In the matter- tition of. Nafer Chunder Mundul v. Baikanto Nath Ro Naffar Chand Pal Chowdhry v. Sandes Nagabhushanam v. Seshamma Garu Nagaliuga Mudali v. Subbiramanya Mudali Nagaliutchmee Ummal v. Gopoo Nadaraja Ch Nagapapa bin Hulgappa. In the matter of tion of.	pad	14 B. L. R., 425, note: 19 W. Q., 255, 12 W. R., 121 W. R., 1864, 362 Y. W. R., 1864, 362 T. W. R., 103 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187 G. B. L. R., Ap., 126 4 C. L. R., 156 8 B. L. R., Ap., 36, note: 10 W. R., Ap., 36, note: 10 W. R., Ap., 36, note: 10 G. W. R., 30 Mad., 180 1 Mad., 77 G. Moore's I. A., 309 5 Bom., A. C., 215	448, 3506 3919 476 2609 1695 1540 5980 797 5130 2117 2458 284, 253 4524

Name of Case. Volume and Pr	rige. Column of Digest.
Nagappa Udapa v. Subba Sastry 2 Mad., 367 . Nagar v. Shurintoollah 20 W. R., 77	2116 880
Nagardas v. Conservator of Forests, Bombay . [I. L. R., 4 Bom., 26 7 I. A., 55.	4 : L. R., 2935
Nagar Mal v. Macpherson I. L. R., 3 All., 766	4427
Nagasawmy Naik v. Rungasamy Naik 8 Mad., 46	360, 36
Nagayasami Kemaya Naik (Zemindar of Saptur) v. } 7 Mad., 53	2914
Nagendra Lal Chatterjee. In the matter of the peti-	
tion of. Nagesh Bhat v. Balvantrav 9 Bom., 151	4826
Naginbhai v. Abdulla I. L. R., 6 Bom., 71	7 479
Nagindas. In the goods of 3 Bom., O. C., 135	4844 812,543
Nagindas Devchand v. Natha Pitamber 10 Bom., 297 Nagindas Jeychand v. Halalkhore Nathwa Gheesla	
Nagindas Jeychand v. Halalkhore Nathwa Gheesla I. L. R., 5 Bom., 46 Nagireddi v. Ramanna I. L. R., 7 Mad., 59	
Nago Kanaturia v. Babaji Katari I. L. R., 8 Bom., 61	0 4765
Nago Mahadev v. Narayan Ramchandra I. L. R., 4 Bom., 40	35 4068, 525
Nagova kom Jakan Gauda 3 Bom., A. C., 108	2858
Nag Thakur v. Madnaji Sadashiv I. L. R., 8 Bom., 2: Nagu v. Yeknath I. L. R., 5 Bom., 40	
Nagu v. Yeknath 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 40 Nagubai v. Motigir Guru 1 Bom., 5	6143
Nagurehand v. Doorga Doss Chowdhry 11 W. R., 137	4249, 600
Nagur Singh v. Mushunund Khan Sirdar 11 W. R., 309	1731
Nahal Chand v. Bai Shiva I. L. R., 6 Bom., 4	
Nahalchand Harakchand v. Hemchand I. L. R., 9 Bom., 3	2298, 231
Nahana v. Dya Ram Nahanibai v. Nathu Bhau I. L. R., 7 Bom., 4	
Naharmul Marwari v. Sadut Ali	5441
Nahor Habi Tea Company. In re 3 B. L. R., Ap., 11	952
Naigar Timapa v. Bhaskar Parmaya I. L. R., 10 Bom.	
Naik Ram Singh v. Murli Dhar I. L. R., 4 All., 371	4081
Naimudda Jowardar v. Moncrieff 3 B. L. R., A. C., 5	$\begin{cases} 322, 159 \\ 2794, 489 \end{cases}$
7 7 7 8 11 0F	(2,03, 20
Nainsukh Rai v. Umadai	5002, 519
Nait Ram v. Shib Dat I. L. R., 5 All., 23	3 . 1378
Neihan at Mahamad Walti Khan	72: 12 C.} . 806
(11. 10., 0/1.	, , ,
Najiballa Mulla v. Nusir Mistri	16:8 С. п.
Najibunnissa. In the matter of the petition of 4 B. L. R., A. C., 5	5 . 3633
Naki Hazi. In the matter of 8 C. L. R., 72	4741
Nakur Chunder Saha v. Judoo Nath Chuckerbutty . 24 W. R., 389 .	879
Nalit Mohan Das v. Ganga Dhye 7 B. L. R., 333	4410
Nallana Gaundan v. Palani Gaundan 2 Mad., 420	3976
Nallappa Goundan v. Ibram Sahib I. L. R., 5 Mad., 7: Nallapa Reddi v. Bilammal 2 Mad., 182	2082
Nallatambi Mudaliar v. Ponnusami Pillai	
Nallatambi Pattar v. Chinnadeyvanayagam Pillai . 1 Mad., 109	. 3046,35
Nallathambi Battar v. Nellakumara Pillai 7 Mad., 306 .	. 1573, 173
Namasevayam Pillay v. Annamıni Ummal 4 Mad., 339 .	2437
Namasivaya Chetti v. Sivagami 1 Mad., 374 •	2509 1100
Namasivaya Gaundan v. Kylasa Gaundan 7 Mad., 200	3757
Nambiatan Nambudiri v. Nambiatan Nambudiri 2 Mad., 110 .	

Jamboory Seetapaty v. Kanoo Colanoo Pullia Jana Bayaji v. Pandurang Vasudev Janabhai Narofondas v. Ramshet Govindshet Janabhai Rustamji v. Pestanji Jamsetji Janabhai Srabji Mestri. In the goods of Janabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai v. Jana bin Lakshman v. Anant Babaji Janack Chand v. Teluckdye Koer Janah Atias Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee Janakchand v. Ram Narayan Janakram v. Mehin Lal Jana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao anakrand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai Jancy Atias Zuhoorun v. Burgess Janak Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	by one of the state 7 W. R., P. C., 7: 3 Moore's I. A., 359. 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 97 6 Bom., A. C., 156 6 Bom., A. C., 156 6 Bom., A. C., 31 11. Eom., 87 7 Bom., A. C., 46 9 Bom., A. C., 46 9 Bom., S9 1. L. R., 2 Bom., 353 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 266: 4 C. L. R., 358. 1. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 96 2 Agra, 171 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1. W. R., 272 1. B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. R., 130.	2828 5959 5780 3047 6197 2455 5129 4766 4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 338 2499, 271	
Vana Bayaji v. Pandurang Vasudev Lanabhai Narotomdas v. Ramshet Govindshet Lanabhai Ratsamji v. Pestanji Jamsetji Lanabhai Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of Lanabhai Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of Lanabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai Vanabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai Lanabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai Lanabhai Vallabhdas v. Anant Babaji Lanak Chand v. Teluckdye Koer Lanak Adias Narain Rao v. Junna Baee Lanakram v. Mehin Lal Lana Naruin Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao V. Hurree Punt Bhao V. Hurree Punt Bhao Lanah Lanabhai Lanabhai Lanah Lanah Lanabhai Lanah Lanabhai Lanabhai Lanah Lanabhai Lanah Lanabhai Lanabhai Lanabhai		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 97 6 Bom., A. C., 156 6 Bom., A. C., 31 11 Bom., 87 7 Bom., A. C., 46 9 Bom., 89 L. L. R., 2 Bom., 353 L. L. R., 5 Calc., 265: 4 C. L. R., 358 2 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 L. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh, 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	5959 5780 3047 6197 2455 5129 4766 4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 338 2499, 271
Janabhai Narotondas v. Ramshet Govindshet Janabhai Ratamji v. Pastanji Jansetji Janabhai Strabji Mestri. In the goods of Janabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai v. Janabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai v. Janabiu Lakshman v. Anant Babaji Janack Chand v. Teluckdye Koer Janachahai Narain Rao v. Junna Baee Janachahad v. Ram Narayan Janakram v. Mehin Lal Jana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punt Bhao Janahadias Zuhoorun v. Burgess Janak Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee		6 Bom., A. C., 156 6 Bom., A. C., 31 11 Bom., 87 7 Bom., A. C., 46 9 Bom., 89 1. L. R., 2 Bom., 353 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 286: 4 C. L., R., 358. 2 Agra, 192 1. L. R., 2 All., 181 1. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 131 1. W. R., 272 1. B. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1. W. R., 272 1. B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	5780 3047 6197 2455 5129 4766 4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
Janabhai Rustamji e. Pestanji Jamsetji Janahahi Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of Janabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai v. Janabhai Lakshman v. Auant Babaji Janah abias Narain Rao v. Junna Baee Janah abias Narain Rao v. Junna Baee Janakchand v. Ram Narayan Janakram v. Mehin Lal Jana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund Janahand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai Janey abias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	٠	6 Bom., A. C., 31 11 Bom., 87 7 Bom., A. C., 46 9 Bom., 89 1. L. R., 2 Bom., 353 1. L. R., 5 Calc., 265: 4 C. L. R., 358. 2 Agra, 192 11. L. R., 2 All., 181 1. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 1. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1. W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	3047 6197 2455 5129 4766 4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
Janabhai Sorabji Mestri. In the goods of anabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai v. Janabhai Vallabhdas v. Nathabhai Haribhai v. Janabhai V. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Janabhai V. Janabh	٠	11 Bom, 87 7 Bom, A. C., 46 9 Bom, 80 1 L. R., 2 Bom, 353 1 L. R., 5 Calc, 265: 4 C. L., R., 358 2 Agra, 192 1 L. R., 2 All., 181 1 L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 1 L. R., 1 Bom, 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., 3 Bom, 131 1 W. R., 272	6197 2455 5129 4766 4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
Tana bin Lakshman v. Auant Babaji Janack Chand v. Teluckdye Koer Janah alias Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee Janakchand v. Ram Narayan Janakram v. Mehin Lal Jana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao Janahran v. Rama Nund Janchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai Jany alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess Janda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	٠	9 Bom, 89 I. L. R., 2 Bom, 353 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 265: 4 C. L. } R., 358. 9 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh, 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 9 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom, 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	5129 4766 4482 5024 558 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
Janach Lakshman v. Auant Babaji Janach Atlas Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee Janak Atlas Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee Janak Atlas Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee Janak Arain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao Janahand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai Janawa Janak Jumo Jumo Jumo Jumo Jumo Jumo Jumo Jumo	٠	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 538 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 265: 4 C. L. R., 558. 2 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 I. W. R., 272 I. B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.	4766 4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
fanack Chand v. Teluckdye Koer fanah alias Narain Rac v. Jumna Baee anakchand v. Kam Narayan anakram v. Mehin Lal ana Naruin Rac v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao anchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai ancy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	٠	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 265; 4 C. L.] R., 358. 2 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh, 436; 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 I. W. R., 272 I. B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	4482 5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
fanah alias Narain Rao v. Jumna Baee fanakchand v. Ram Narayan anakram v. Mehin Lal fana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao anchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai ancy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess fanda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	٠	R., 358.) 2 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96.) 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 I. W. R., 272 I. B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	5024 358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
Anakchand v. Ram Narayan anakram v. Mehin Lal ana Naruin Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund anchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai anoy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	٠	2 Agra, 192 I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. 7	358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
Anakchand v. Ram Narayan anakram v. Mehin Lal ana Naruin Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund anchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai anoy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee		I. L. R., 2 All., 181 I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.)	358 1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
anakram v. Mehn Lal ana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund Janchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai ancy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee		I. L. R., 1 All., 487 6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh, 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. 7	1091, 461 4637 2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
ana Narain Rao v. Hurree Punt Bhao v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund Janchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai ancy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee		6 Moore's I. A., 464 Marsh., 436: 9 Moore's I. A., 96. 96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 131 I. W. R., 272 I. B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. 7	2528, 466 6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
v. Hurree Punth Bhao v. Rama Nund fanchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai aucy alias Zuhoorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	المراد و المحاد و الم	96. 2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. 7	6244 1678, 336 2499, 271
v. Rama Nund fanchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai anoy <i>alias</i> Zuhooyun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	من د د د استان درمان	2 Agra, 171 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131 1 W. R., 272 1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W. 7	1678, 336 2499, 271
(anchand Hansraj v. Bapusaheb Rustambhai ancy <i>alias</i> Zuhoorun v. Burgess 'anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	، د د الحمد د د د	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 131	2499, 271
ancy alias Zuhorun v. Burgess anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	، مرسا نمرسا ه	1 W. R., 272	
anda Kumar Banerjee v. Ishan Chandra Banerjee	و المحمل المحمل و	1 B. L. R., A. C., 91: 10 W.	3693
•	2	R., 130.	
	{		5662
anda Kumar Shaha v. Gaur Sankar	- (5 B. L. R., Ap., 4: 13 W. R.,	1367, 327
anda Lal Rai v. Bonomali Lahiri		305. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 544	2776, 578
andan Misser v. Chatter Bati	- 1	13 B. L. R., Ap., 33	5837
anda Rai v. Raghunandan Singh		I. L. R., 7 All., 282	3538
_	6	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 13 W. ?	860, 309
andipat Mahata v. Urquhart	1	R., 209.	4445, 546
andkishor Balgovan v. Bhagubai Pranvalubhdas	:	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 95	2616, 456
and Kishor Das v. Ram Kalp Roy	- {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 15 W.	5749
and Kumar v. Radha Kuari		1. L. R., 1 All., 282	5046
and Lal v. Naitram		7 N. W., 105	3300
and Ram v. Fakir Chand	5	I. L. R., 7 All., 523 . {	351, 36
	5		376
v. Muhammad Bakhsh · · ·	.	I. L. R., 2 All., 616	194 3302, 584
v. Ramprasad	- 1		3477
v. Sitla Prasad	.	I. L. R., 8 All., 545 I. L. R., 5 All., 484	2577
andram Motiram v. Kacha Bhau		I. L. R., 9 Bom., 526	4521
andram Sardarmal v. Bhavani Haibati		6 Bom., A. C., 241	4614
andram Sundarji Naik v. Balaji Vithal		5 Bom., A. C., 153	5783, 608
andvallabh v. Allibhai Isyagani	.	6 Bom., A.C., 254	. 3141
anerbi Kunwar v. Kasturi Kunwar		4 B. L. R., A. C., 581 · ·	3524
angali v. Raman	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 226	4816, 611
anhak Joti v. Jaimangal Chaubey	:	I. L. R., 3 All., 294	2161
Ianha Malla v. Empress			345 6075
Janhu Koer v. Somirun Thakur		12 B L B 113 20 W B 22	4667
fani Bibee v. Hafizullah		12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33	
lanjundepa v. Hemapa		12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33 8 C. L. R., 287	4839
Ian Karay Phaw v. Ko Htaw Ah		12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33 8 C. L. R., 287 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1073 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 16	4839
-		12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33 8 C. L. R., 287	4839 3948
Iankoo Koeree v. Nund Coomar Paurey Janku v. Board of Revenue		12 B. L. R., 113 : 20 W. R., 33 8 C. L. R., 287 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1073 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 16	4839

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columu of Digest,
Nanku Roy v. Mahabir Prasad	8 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 11 W. R., 405.	2883
Nannee Bibee v. Surwar Hossein	. 7 W. R., 522	91
Nanomi Babuasin v. Modhun Mohun	{ I. L. R., 13 Calc., 21 : L. R., }	2185
Nanco Roy v. Jhoomuck Lall Doss	12 B. L. R., 292, note: 18 W. }	322, 118
Nanuk Pershad. In the matter of the petition of	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 40 : 6 C. L. }	182
v. Lalla Nitya Lall	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 40: 6 C. L. }	182
Naoroji Beramji v. Rogers	. 4 Bom., O. C., 1	1578, 296 4239, 580
Naraganti Achammagarn v. Venkatachalapati Nayaniv	aru I. L. R., 4 Mad., 250	6042
	1 W. R., P. C., 30: 9 Moore's)	2327 1785, 312
Naragunty Luchmedavamah v. Vengama Naidoo	{ I. A., 66.	4649
Narain Acharjee v. Gregory Narain Acharjee Chowdhry v. Mohamoya Dabee Cho	8 W. R., 304	206
dhrain.	W- } 10 W. R., 240	3506
Naraina Putter v. Aya Putter	. 7 Mad., 372	6081
Naraina Putter v. Aya Putter Narainasami Pillai. In the matter of the petition of Narain Babu v. Gouri Pershad Bias	. 4 Mad., 91	4796, 480 3305
	(I. L. R., 4 Calc., 103 : 3 C. L.)	
Narain Chunder v. Tayler	R., 151.	64, 521
Narain Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Dataram Roy .	{ I. L. R., 8 Calc., 597: 10 C. L. }	4834, 603 6148
Narsin Chunder Dhur v. Cohen	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 565	1763
Narain Coomary v. Ramkrishna Dass	5 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 364: 6 C. L.)	4795
Narain Das. In the matter of the petition of .	R., 286. I. L. R., 1 All., 610	1269
	. I. L. R., 6 All., 179	2728
v. Lachman Singh	. I. L. R., 3 All., 135	1486
Narain Dass v. Newton	I. L. R., 7 All., 693 6 N. W., 43	14 2808
v. Nownit Lal	(I. L. R., 4 Calc., 809 : 4 C. L.)	2396
	R., 67. I. L. R., 3 All., 189	
Narain Dat v. Bhairo Bakhshpal	8 W. R., 425	5043 334, 444
Narain Dhara v. Rakhal Gain	(I. L. R., 1 Calc., 1: 23 W.)	2320, 243
) R., 334.	
Narain Doss v. Estate of the ex-King of Delhi v. Maharajah of Burdwan	. 10 W. R., P. C., 55 10 W. R., 174	2712, 311 5783
v. Mahatab Chund Bahadoor	. 7 W. R., 137	2419
2 Mahtah Chunder	. 10 W. R., 174	5783
v. Niddha Lall v. Ram Coomar Mytee	. 3 Agra, 19	3434 5669
Narain Doss Bepary v. Soobul Bepary	1 W. R., 113	3014
Narainee Dabee v. Chundee Churn Chowdhry .	. 11 W. R., 512	5929
Narainee Dossee v. Nurrohurry Mohonto	. Marsh., 70	6108
Narainee Koonwaree v. Joogul Kishore Roy Narain Geer v. Gour Surun Doss	6 W. R., 309 23 W. R., 368	4587 6177
Narain Gree v. Goluck Chunder Mytee	. 22 W. R., 102	1450
Naraini Kuar v. Durjan Kuar	. I L R 2 All 738	4275
v. Piarey Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 738 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 461: 9 C. L.	4275
Narain Khootia v. Lokenath Khootia	[L. L. R., 7 Calc., 461: 9 C. L.]	2149, 313
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	{ R., 243.	

Name of Cage.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Narain Lall v. Lalla Nund Kishore Lall	19 W. R., 78	3212
Narain Mal v. Kooer Narain Mytee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 251	676
Narain Mundul v. Bhookto Mahato	25 W. R., 56	3045
Narain Pattro v. Aukhoy Narain Manna	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 152	5807
Narainpersad Mytee v. Roodur Narain Mungle	2 Hay, 490	2240
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 304: 11 C.)	
Narain Roy v. Opnit Misser	L. R., 417.	5184
v. Sreenath Mitter	9 W. R., 485	3504, 4436
Narain Sahai v. Posoo	2 Agra, 78	6053
Narain Sahoo v. Ochoot Sahoo	14 W. R., 233	5400
Narain Singh a Bhanrah Churn Panda	8 C. L. R., 350	885
v, Madho Parshad	7 N. W., 153 I. L. R., 1 All., 277	1118
v. Muhammad Faruk	I. L. R., 1 All., 277	4539
v. Munsur Racot	25 W. R., 155	5189
v, Madho Parshad v, Muhammad Faruk v, Munsur Racot v, Ram Lall Mookerjee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 370	4525
	I. L. R., 1 All., 325; L. R., 4)	
v. Simbhoo Singh	I. A., 15.	5215
Narain Singh Roy v. Nurendro Narain Roy	22 W R 296	1748, 1985
Narain Sooboddhee. In the matter of the petition of	22 W. R., Cr., 37	5542
Narain Sooboodhi. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 6	5537
Narakant Mazumdar v. Baradakant Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 31	1438
Naran Appa Aiyan v. Nanna Ammal alias Parvathy ?	8 Mad., 97	3181, 3469
Ammal. Naranbhai Virjbhukandas v. Naroshankar Chandro Shankar.	4 Bom., A. C., 98	2771, 576
Narandas v. Bai Manchha	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 217	1864
Naranji Bhikabhai v. Dipa Umed Naranjoli Chirakal Kunhi Raman v. Naranjoli Chirakal	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 3	4963
Puttalathu Kunhunni Nambiar.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 314	6083
Naran Purshotam v. Dalatram Virchand	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 538	3945, 614
Narappa bin Appa Hegdi v. Gapaya bin Kapaya .	2 Bom., 361 : 2ud Ed., 341 .	769, 176 2132, 213
Narasamal v. Balaramacharlu	1 Mad., 420	2132, 213
	1	2216
Narasanua v. Gavappa	3 Mad., 270	4753, 4825
o, Gurappa Narasayya v, Jungasi v, Narasimma v, Venkatakrishnayya Narasayya Chetti v, Guruvappa Chetti	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 424	2186
Narasayya v. Jungam	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 418	4834
v. Narasimma	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 510	2645
v. Venkatakrishnayya	I. L. K., 7 Mad., 397	1888
Narasayya Chetti v. Guruvappa Chetti	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 378	4766
Narasidavur v. marana Kaundan	2 Mad., 440	5656
Narasımah v. Venkatadri .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 290 .	2192, 251
Narasimha. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 201	4106
Narasimha Rau v. Lakshmipati Rau	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 263	1200
Narasimha. In re Narasimha Rau v. Lakshmipati Rau Narasimharav Krishnarav v. Antaji Virupaksh	2 Bom., 64: 2nd Ed., 61 .	296, 223
Narasimma v. Kistnama	1 Mad., 456	6243
Narasimma Chariar v. Kristna Tata Chariar	6 Mad., 449	2838
Narasimma Charya v. Raghupathyacharya	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 176 .	1440, 327
Narasimma Thatha Acharya v. Anantha Bhatta	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 391 .	2251
Narasimulu v. Somanna	I. L R., 8 Mad., 167 .	4840
Narasu Reddi v. Krishna Padayache	1 Mad., 358	3114
Narattam Das Chowdhry v. Rosopyari Chowdhrain .	3 B. L. R., A. C., 271	320
Narayan v. Chintaman	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 393	1574
v. Satvaji	9 Bom., 83	2500
Narayana. In re	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 49	4509
-		249, 339
v. Krishna	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 214 .	2361, 434
v. Narayana		3765
v. Narayana	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 284	1 5100

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Jarayana Aiyan v. Srinivasa Aiyan	2 Mad., 435	3832
arayana Charya v. Narso Krishna	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 262	2178
arayana Chetti v. Champion	T T D 7 M 3 3	3316
arayana Malya v. Govind Shetty	6 Mad., 18	6016
	TTD 7 W. 1 915	
arayanan v. Kannan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 315	3755
v. Sridharan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 165	3753
arayanan Chetti v. Karuppathan	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 251 2 Mad., 383	5849
arayanan Chetty v. Jensen	2 Mad., 383	2586
arayana Pillay v. Ramasawmy Thavutharan .	8 Mad., 100	3413
arayanappa v. Bhaskar Parmaya	7 Bom., A. C., 125	3204, 330
arayanasami v. Samidas	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 293	2235
arayanasami Ayyar. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 182	3621
arayanasami Mudali v. Kumarasami Gurukkal .	7 Mad., 267	4479
arayanasamy Naikar v. Velu Pillay	4 Mad., 188	2859
rayana Sanabhaga v. Narayana Nayak	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 327	3028
arayana Sawmy Naick v. Saravana Mudaly .	6 Mad 50	
	6 Mad., 58	5493
arayanasvami Chetti v. Arunachala Chetti .	. 1 Mad., 487, note	2529
arayan Ayyar v. Lakshmi Ammal	3 Mad., 216	241,578
v	3 Mad., 289	2449
arayan Babaji v. Nana Manohar	7 Bom., A. C., 153 {	2119, 225 2303, 238
arayan Babaji Dabholkar v. Pandurang Ramchandra Dabholkar.	12 Bom., 148	4473, 488
arayanbhai Lalbhai v. Gangakrishna Balkrishna	4 Bom., A. C., 87	5115, 599 2221, 23
arayan Bharthi v. Laving Bharthi	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 140 . {	4256
arayan Dhivray v. Kashi	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 67	3050
arayan bin Babaji v. Gungaram bin Krishnaji .	5 Bom., A. C., 157	2500
arayan bin Raghoji v. Bholagir Guru Manjir arayan bin Sidoji v. Davudbhai valad Fatebhai	6 Bom., A. C., 80 9 Bom., 238	52 5119
arayan Das v. Maharaja of Burdwan	1 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W.	3165
arayan Deshpande v. Anaji Deshpande	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 130	2347, 547
v. Rangubai	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 127	2713, 581
arayan Dev v. Gajanan Dikshit	10 Bom., 1	5975
arayanga Devu v. Harischandana Devu	1 Mad., 455	2062
arayan Gop Habbu v. Pandurang Ganu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 685	5049
arayan Govind Ok v. Gauesh Atmaram Fadke .	7 Born., A. C., 118	4615
arayani v. Govinda	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 352	3762
arayani Dayi Debi v. Chandi Charan Chowdhry	3 B. L. R., Ap., 65	5929
arayan Krishna Laud v. Collector of Bombay .	5 Bom., O. C., 1	2913, 57
v. Norman	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 145	165
arayan Mandal v. Beni Madhab Sircar	{ 4 B. L. R., F. B., 32 : 12 W. } R., F. B., 21.	3147
arayan M. Pendshe. In re	11 Bom., 102	1222
arayan M. Pendshe. In re arayan Nagarkar v. Vithu Jakhoji	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 539	1569, 430
arayan Naik. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 34	967
arayan Raghunath v. Bhagvant Anant	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 238	1234
v. Kashinath	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 299	5828
arayan Ramchandra v. Dhondu Raghu	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 173	5858
arayanrav Damodar v. Balkrishna Mahadev		
. Aspert Terrorder of Descripting Brundles	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 529	1438
arayanrav Ram Chandra Pant v. Ramabai .	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 415 : L. R., 6 I. A., 114 : 6 C. L. R., 162.	2425, 337
arayan Sadanand Bava v. Balkrisna Shideshvar		7950
arayan Sadashiv v. Bapuji Balal	9 Born., 413	1358
arayan banashiy v. Dapuji Datai	. 7 Bom., A. C., 140	5834

Name of Case	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Narayan Shamji v. Gujerat Trading Company Narayan Succaram v. Bhawoo Dajee Narayan Undir Patil v. Motilal Ramdas Narayan Venkoba v. Pandurang Kamat Narayan Venko Kalgutkar v. Sakharam Nagu Kore- gaumkar. Narayan Visaji v. Lakshuman Bapuji Narayan Vithe Parab v. Krishnaji Sadashiv Narayan Vyankatesh v. Dhandu Damodhar	3 Bom., O. C., 20 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 154 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 45 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 526 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 462 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 233 4 Bom., A. C., 167	946 6121 630, 1684 3954 604, 625 2877 3057 5244 238 2415, 2428
Narbadabai v. Mahadeo Narayan Narbadashankar v. Rugh-nath Ishvarji Narbhase Singh v. Luchnuee Narain Pooree Narbheram Kisandas v. Navnidram Kushiram Rarendra Narain Roy v. Bishun Chundra Das Narendra Narayan Roy Chowdhry v. Ishan Chandra	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 99 2 Bom., 349 11 W. R., 307 5 Bom., A. C., 46 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 182 13 B. L. R., 274: 22 W. R., }	3200 3723 239 4205 5194, 5201
Sen. Karendronarain Singh. In re Karhar Govind Kulkarni v. Narayan Vithal Narhar Singh v. Dirgnath Kuar Narisi. In re Narmada Bai. In the matter of the petition of Naro Damodar Ghugri v. Collector of Poona	22.	6010 2109 2413 4344 28 4390
Naro Ganesh Datar v. Muhammad Khan Naro Hari Bhave v. Vithalbhat Narotam v. Nanka Narotam Bapu v. Ganpatrav Pandurang Narotamdas Bhagtandas v. Dayabhai lehhachand Narotam Dass v. Shoo Pargash Singh	9 Bom., 280 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 648 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 473 8 Bom., 0. C., 69 6 Bom., A. C., 34 I. L. R., 10 Cale., 740: L. R., 2	3972, 4257 2212 4561 3322 4227
Narottam Jagjivan v. Narsandas Harikisandas Narpat Singh v. Mahomed Ali Hussain Khan Narranda Tautri v. Ukkoma Narrandas Hemraj v. Vissandas Hemraj Narronji Bhimji v. Mugmram Chandaji Narsappa Lingappa v. Sakharam Krishna Narsidas Jitram v. Joglekar Narsinbat bin Bapublat v. Chenapa bin Ningapa Narsingdas Multan Chand v. Nahanubai Narsingh Das v. Mangal Dubey v. Narain Das	11 I. A., 83, 3 Bom, A. C., 6 1. L. R., 11 Calc., 1 6 Mad., 267 I. L. R., 6 Bom, 134 I. L. R., 6 Bom, 103 6 Bom, A. C., 215 I. L. R., 4 Bom, 57 I. L. R., 2 Bom, 479 7 Bom, O. C., 183 I. L. R., 5 All., 163 I. L. R., 2 All., 763 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 20; 10 C.)	2532 2062 3212 3321 3179, 3221 2309 3924 2374 424 4039 3485
Narsingh Sewak Singh v. Madho Das	L. R., 225.	3641 3482
Narsing Rao Rama Chandra v. Luxuman Rao Narsingrav Ramchandra v. Venkaji Krishna Narsinvacharya v. Svami Raya Charya Naru v. Gulabsing Naru Pira v. Naro Shidheshvar Nasarvanji Aspandiarji v. Shivji Manikbhai Nasarvanji Hormasji v. Narayan Trimbak Patil Nasarvanji Hormasji v. Narayan Trimbak Patil Nasarvanji Pestunji v. Deputy Commissioner of Cusfoms v. Nasarvanji Darasha	R. 250. J I. L. R., 1 Bom., 318 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 395 5 Bom., A. C., 53 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 83 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 28 9 Bom., 438 4 Bom., A. C., 125 2 Bom., 2nd Ed., 75 2 Bom., 366: 2nd Ed., 345	4804 905 3861 6077 6146 5691 3782 2973, 4589 1561 35
Naserooddeen Ahmed v. Oomeedeh Nasibun. In the matter of the petition of v. Preosunker Ghose	3 Agra, 1	1347 5832 5832

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nasir Ali v. Government Nasir bin Abdul Habib Fazal v. Dayabhai Itchachand	3 Agra, 394	5799 3290, 3291
Nasir Husain v. Mataprasad	. I. L. R., 2 All., 891	1107
v. Sughra Begum	. I. L. R., 5 All., 505	3673
Nasir Khan v. Karamat Khan	. I. L. R., 3 All., 168	5691
Nasiruddin v. Khodabaksh	2 B. L. R., S. N., 7	5686
Nasiruddin Khan v. Indronarayan Chowdhry .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 367 : 5 W. R., 93 : 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 147.	5121
Nasoo v. Mahatal Beebee	4 W. R., 7	3660
Nasrat Husain v. Hamidan	I. L. R., 4 All., 205	3651
Nasrudin v. Venkatesh Prabhu	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 382	
Nassib Chowdry v. Nannoo Chowdhry		813
-	15 W. R., Cr., 47	5994
Nassir v. Chunder	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 951: 9 W. R., Cr., 41.	6191
Nassir Jan. In the matter of the petition of .	7 B. L. R., 144: 15 W. R.,	5935
Natabar Nandi v. Kalidass Pali	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 608: 9 C. S	5246, 5431 5666, 5681
Natall v. Natall	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 12	1553
Natcharammal v. Gopalukrishna	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 126	2436
Natesa Ayyar v. Venkataramayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 135	487
Natha Hari v. Jamni	8 Bom., A. C., 37	1920, 4944
Natha Hira v. Jamardan Ram Chandra		5403
	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 503	3307
Nathaji Krishnaji v. Hari Jagoji	8 Bom., A. C., 67	2127, 2138
Tatha Chambas Tadha Cinah	T T D 0 1 1 100	141, 3328
Natha Singh v. Jodha Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 406 . {	4213, 4397
7.111	100	4437
Vathi v. Daud	2 Bom., 309: 2nd Ed., 293	3331
Vathibai. In the goods of	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 9 I. L. R., 7 All., 36	2296, 2439
Vathmal Das v. Tajammul Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 36	830
Vathooram v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	Cor., 118	6095
Vathooram Shaha v. Doorga Manjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92	1588
Nath Prasad v. Baijnath	I. L. R., 3 All., 66	2863, 5671
v. Ram Paltan Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 218	3354
Vathu v. Badri Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 614	3257
lathu Achalai Ayyangar v. Parthasaradi Pillai	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 114	3596
lathubhai Bhailal v. Javher Kaiji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 121	2212
Tathubhai Panachand v. Mulchand Hirachand	5 Bom., A. C., 196	2500
Tathud Bi v. Jafar Husain	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 365	392
Vathu Ganesh v. Kalidas Umed	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 365	5664
Vathu Lal Chowdry v. Chadi Sahi		8100
	R., 446.	2189
Tathuni Mahton v. Mauraj Mahton	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 149	4254, 4261
Tathuram v. Phulchand	I. L. R., 6 All., 581	4805
Vathu Sadashiv v. Ramchandra Annaji	5 Bom., A. C., 76	4132
Isthu Sheikh v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 405 . {	1966, 1977
		1981
Vattam Venkataratnum <i>alias</i> Balakonda Venkata Na- rayana Row v. Nattam Ramaiya <i>alias</i> Balakonda Rama Row.	2 Mad., 470	2055, 2748
Vattu Miah v. Nandrani	8 B. L. R., 508: 17 W. R.,	5690
Vatukhee Koeri v. Chowdhry Chintamun Singh	309.	
	20 W. R., 247	2222, 2232
Januhat Singh v. Kishan Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 753	1472
Jaunhee Koonwar v. Kustooree Koonwar	13 W. R., 141	3524
aunhoo Singh v. Tofan Singh.	14 W. R., 228	2799, 6085

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Naun Singh v. Rash Behari Singh Nauth Sahoy v. Jugdum Sahoy Navalmal Gambhirmal v. Dhoudiba bin Bhagvantram Navalram Atmaram v. Namdkishor Shirvaurayan	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 255 2 Hay, 499	6086 2023 3310 2493
Navivahoo v. Narotamdas Candas	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 5 . {	1042, 3093 5726
Navlu v. Ragbu Navroji Pestanji v. Mansukh Jayachand Navsa bin Biba v. Raba bin Bahiru Nawab Ali v. Uzir Mahomed	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 303	4021 5706 306 1894 2741, 4065
Nawab Nazim of Bengal v. Amrao Begum	21 W. R., 59	4397
v. Heera Lall Seal v. Prosono Narain Deb v. Raj Coomaree Debee v. Ram Lall Ghose atias Jogo bundhoo Ghose.	10 B. L. R., 444	458 6236 3334, 3819 1582
	5 Moore's I. A., 499	266
Nawabun v. Jumeerun Nawabun issa v. Fuzooloonissa		3702
Nawab of Surat. In re Nawabun v. Jumeerun Nawabunnissa v. Fuzooloonissa Nawal Singh v. Bhagwan Singh Nawazish Aly Beg v. Vilaytee Khanum Nayanchandra v. Narnyan Nayan Manni v. Goda Shangara Nuzimoodeen Ahmed Chowdhry v. Wise	I. L. R., 4 All., 427 2 Agra, 23 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 298 1 Mad., 252 5 W. R., 282	2297 809 317 1427 1731
Nazimudin Hossein v. Lloyd	6 B. L. R., Ap., 130: 15 W.	3118, 339
Nazina Bibee v. Juggomohun Dutt Nazir Khau v. Proladh Dutta Nazir Khau v. Proladh Dutta Nazirondeen Khan v. Inder Narain Chowdhry Nazirun. In the matter of the petition of Nazur Ali Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan Nemmt Ali v. Gooroo Ibes Nechterlein. In the goods of Needoo Monee Dossee v. Saroda Monee Dossee Neelanund Singh v. Nusseeb Singh Neel Kanto Pundit v. Juggobundoo Ghose Neel Kunth Sahee v. Asmun Matho Neel Madhub Dutt v. Radha Mohun	R., 232. 14 W. R., 461 I. L. R., 4 Cale., 659 5 W. R., 237 11. L. R., 6 Cale., 19: 6 C. L. 7 1 W. R., 305 1 W. R., 305 1 B. L. R., 0, C., 19 2 W. R., 61, 62 6 W. R., 80 12 B. L. R., Ap., 18 3 N. W., 67 3 W. R., 205 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. 8 14 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. 8 14 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. 8 14 Ind. Jur., N. S., 356: 6 W. 8	3885 2029 3711 77, 163 266 1739 3084 5121 1787 1842 5485 4954 530, 5738
Neelmonee Singh Deo v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co	k., 152.	6121
Neelmoney Singh Deo v. Chutterdhun Singh v. Government v. Shobhan Bibee	Marsh., 308: 2 Hay, 226 Marsh., 600	2003 235, 5009
Neeloo Bebee v. Misser Biswas Neemchand Sahoo v. Ram Golam Singh Neem Chund Dey v. Anund Coomar Roy Chowdhry Neerbhoy Singh v. Ram Pershad Singh Neerunjun Mookerjee v. Oopendro Narain Deb Neet Lall v. Miller Nehal Chunder Mistree v. Huree Pershad Mundul Nehaloo v. Kishen Lal v. Nawal Nehaloonissa v. Dhunnoo Lall Chowdry Nehal Singh v. Ali Ahmed Nehalou v. Than Singh	7 W. R., 147 18 W. R., 261 10 B. L. R., 57 11 W. R., 420 8 W. R., 183 I. L. R., 2 All., 150	5683 214, 3800 228, 623 497 1462, 451 239 4151 2526 2071 3040 291 4559

Name of Case. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wehora Roy v. Radha Pershad Singh	
5 R T. P. 649 . 14	W. R., 3 2741, 4411
Nekram Jemadar v. Iswariprasad Pachuri · · } 305.	3 3018
Nellaikumaru Chetti v. Marakthammal Nellaya Variyath Silapani v. Vadikapat Munakel Ashtamurti Nambudri.	2512 1459, 3768
Nellikel Edatthil Itti Pungy Achen. Ex parte 2 Mad., 240	4726
Nemai Charan Dhabal v. Kokil Bag { I. L. R., 6 Calc., 53 L. R., 487.	4:7 C. 4805, 5801 6140
Nemchand v. Savaichand I. L. R., 5 Bom., 84, r. Nem Roy v. Bhatut Roy	note . 2839
v. Lalmun Roy 25 W. R., 376 .	303, 4779
Neomutoolah v. Govind Chunder Dutt	
Neomutoolad v. Govind Chunder Dutt	. 3127, 5591
Nepoor Aurut v. Jurai	19 W. 3740
Nesbitt. In the goods of	. 3084
Netai Luskar v. Queen-Empress Nettietom Perengaryprom <i>alias</i> Panisherry Damodhen Nambudry v. Tayanbarry Parameshwaren Nambu- 4 Mad., 472	. 4432
dry, Netye Roy v. Odeet Roy 10 W. R., 241	5386
Nam Bearbhoom Cool Co a Bulgram Mohate [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 175 :	
Rulayam Mahata	.)
New Flaming Spinning and Wasying Co. In the matter.)	,
of. I. L. R., 3 Bom., 439	939
v. Kessowji Naik. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 373	932, 3172
Newaj v. Maksud Ali I. L. R., 12 Calc., 131	() 00146
Newsi Bundonadhya v. Kaliprosonno Ghose [I. L. R., 6 Calc., 543:	8 C. L. } 1633, 4151
Newsjee v. Lloyd	. 1743
Newar. In the matter of 7 N. W. 230 .	1533
Newton, In re	W. R., }
35: 14 Moore's I. A In re the goods of 8 B. L. R., Ap., 76	., 267. 5
v. Kurneedhone	755
Neynum v. Muzuffer Wahid 11 W. R., 265	1752, 5358
Neypal Singh v. Guyadut	1761, 5074
No. 11	09: 7 (
Nga Hoong v. Queen	2905
Nga Tha Yah v. Burn	11 W.}
2. Mi Khan Mhaw 5 5 B. L. R., 371: 13	
Niamat Ali v. Asmat Bibi	4283
Niamut Khan v. Bhadu Buldia	5022
v. Phadu Buldia I. L. R., 6 Calc., 319	5022

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Niamutulla v. Gopal Saha	{ 11 B. L. R., Ap., 6: 14 W.} R., Cr., 63.	977
Nibarun Chunder Dass v. Bhuggobutty Churn Chatterje Nichhabhai Pragji v. Issekhan Haji Abdula Khan	20 W. R., Cr., 40	4748 3731, 490
Wahalla a Wilson	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 560: 3 C. L. R., 361.	2066
Nicol & Co. v. Castle Nidha Chowdhry v. Bundah Lall Thakoor Nidhee Singh v. Bissonath Doss	9 Bom., 321 6 W. R., 289 24 W. R., 79	598 1675 471
Nidhi Krishna Bose v. Nistarini Dasi	13 B. L. R., 416 : 21 W. R., 386.	3042
v. Ramdoss Sein	20 W. R., 341	5182
	I. L. R., 7 All., 230 . { I. L. R., 7 All., 436	316, 279 5896 3908
Nidhoo Monee Joginee v. Kishen Nath Banerjee .	20 W. R., 442	1629, 274
Vidhoomoni Debya v. Saroda Pershad Mookerjee .	L. R., 3 I. A., 253: 26 W. R., 91.	2114, 227
Vidhoo Sircar v. Phillippe	1 10 W. R., 153	1758
Vidra Dossee v. Abdool Wahed	25 W. R., 532 11 W. R., Cr., 7	475 3618
Nightingale v. Faiz-ulla	I. L. R., 4 All., 334 I. L. R., 7 All., 362	2944 4230, 468
Vihal Chand alias Chutto Lall Dass v. Rameshari Dassee.	L. R., 9 Calc., 214: 12 C.	228
Vihal Khan v. Hur Churn Lall Vihal Singh v. Kokale Singh	1 Agra, 219 I. L. R., 8 All., 29	2190 4555
Vijabutoolla v. Wazir Ali	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 910:10 C. L. R., 333.	3149
Nijamudin v. Mahainmadali Nikka Mal v. Sulaiman Shikoh Gardner	I. L. R., 2 All., 193	3201, 320 3904
Nilakandan v. Thandamma	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 460 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 414 : L. R., 1	3257, 418 3972
	12 I. A., 171.	5012
Vilambar Sen v. Kali Kishor Sen	3 B. L. R., Ap., 94: 12 W. R., 28.	1497
Vilambur Sein v. Pitambur Sein Vilatatchi v. Venkatachala Mudali Vilava kom Rachappa v. Eudraya bin Rachappa	5 W. R., Mis., 28 1 Mad., 131 12 Bom., 141	2708 50, 57 4765
Vilayatatchi v. Venkatachalam Mudali Nil Comul Banerjee v. Mudoosoodun Chowdhry	1 Mad., 250	5788 5717
Vil Comul Lahuri v. Jotendro Mohun Lahuri	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 178: 8 C. L.)	2143
Niljaree v. Mujeeboollah	R., 401. 19 W. R., 209	3114 5717
Vil Kamal Bauerjee v. Madhusudan Chowdry Vilkamal Lahuri v. Gunomani Debi	6 B. L. R., 177 7 B. L. R., 113 : 15 W. R., P. ?	3335, 38
Nilkant Bhuttacharjee. In the matter of the petition	C., 38.	6157 5588
of Vil Kant Biswas. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 29	3882
Vilkant Chatterjee v. Peari Mohan Das	3 B. L. R., O. C., 7: 11 W.	1946, 22 2737, 42
Vilkanth v. Dattatraya	R., O. C., 21. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 103	3230
Nilkanth Anaji Kargupi v. Baslinga	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 104	2097
Nilkanthapa v. Magistrate of Sholapur Nilkanth Surmah v. Bishen Bashee	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 670 6 W. R., 322	4421 5746
v. Soosela Debia	6 W. R., 324	1198, 62

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
T		20 W. R., 328	51
Nil Kant Sahoo v. Jugoo Sahoo		7 W. R., 30	4012
Nilkissen Thakoor v. Beer Chunder Thakoor Gossain	.	2 W. R., Mis., 23	281
Nil Komul Chuckerbutty v. Shama Soonduree .	.	6 W. R., Mis., 46	5453
Nilkomul Ghose v. Nobin Chunder Bose		9 W. R., 463	1887
Nilkomul Mookhopadhya v. Anund Chunder Lushkur	٠,	19 W. R., Cr., 6	4097
Nikomul Roy v. Robinee Dossia		13 W. R., 330	1488, 1869
Nil Komul Sein v. Danesh Shaikh		15 W. R., 469	5176
		12 B. L. R., 287: 17 W. R., }	
Nilkomul Shaw v. Reed	1	513.	5904
Nilkristo Deb Barmono v. Bir Chandra Thakur .	S	3 B., L. R., P. C., 13: 12 W. R., P. C., 21: 12 Moore's I.	2227
Children and the childr	1	A., 523.	
Nilkund Anantapa v. Menshi Apuraya	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 346	2574
Nilkunt Chuckerbutty v. Sheo Narain Koonwar .		8 W. R., 276	1762, 4904
Nilkunth Sahee v. Hunooman Pershad		3 N. W., 136	1124
Nilkunto Dey v. Hurro Soonderee Dossee	{	I L. R., 3 Calc., 414: 1 C. ?	418
Nillumbhur Mastophy v. Doorga Churn Biswas .	•	L. R., 412.) 2 W. R., Act X, 94	2989
THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY .	÷	3 B. L. R., P. C., 27: 12 W.	
Nilmadhab Das v. Biswambhar Das	3	R., P. C., 29: 13 Moore's I. }	2129, 2130 6076
	~	A., 85. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 18:13 W. R.,)	
Nilmadhab Karmokar v. Shibu Pal	1	410.	5316
Nilmadhab Singh Das v. Fatteh Chand Saha .	{	3 B. L. R., A. C., 310: 12 W. } R., 222.	4781
Nilmadhub Chuckerbutty v. Ramsodoy Ghose .		I. L. R., 9 Calc., 857	3312
Nilmadhub Doss v. Bissumbhur Doss		21 W. R., 411	1207, 2696
Nilmadhub Ghosal. In the matter of the petition of		19 W. R., Cr., 1 {	4736, 4740
-	- 1	(4741 1341
Nilmadhub Gossamee v. Chunder Mookhee Gossamee	• .	22 W. R., 397	2837, 5649
Nilmadhub Mookerjee v. Dookeram Khottah .	•	15 B. L. R., 161	3545
Nilmadhub Mundul. Ex parte		2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 169 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 442: 9 C. L.	
Nilmadhub Shaha v. Srinibash Kurmokar	ž	R., 137.	535
Nilmadhub Surnokar v. Kristo Doss Surnokar .		5 W. R., 281	3189
Nilmani Burnick v. Puddo Lochan Chuckerbutty .	{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 379:5 W. R., Act X, 20.	2889
Nilmani Singh v. Annadaprasad Mookerjee .	5	1 B. L. R., F. B., 93: 10 W	8, 4873
v. Madhab Singh		R., F. B., 41. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 195	2038
Nilmonee Banerjee v. Shurbo Mungola Debee		6 W. R., 14	6231
v. Shurbo Mungula Debee		7 W. R., 193	4527
Nilmonee Bose v. Mohima Chunder Dutt		17 W. R., 516	363, 6230
Nilmonee Chowdhry v. Zuheerunissa Khanum .	. 1	8 W. R., 371	1752, 4185
Nilmonee Roy v. Hills	.	4 W. R., Act X, 38	5325
Nilmonee Shaha v. Ram Churn Deb		6 W. R., Mis., 45	5482
Nilmonee Singh v. Bukronath Singh		10 W. R., 255	2038
v. Chunder Kant Banerjee		14 W. R., 251	1582, 1620
v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co		9 W. R., 371	6120
Nilmonee Singh Deo v. Chunderkant Banerjee .	{	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 125: 25 W. R., 200.	1620
v. Heera Lall Chowdhry		23 W. R., 442	1439
v, Issur Chunder Ghosal .		9 W. R., 92	1076
v	. [9 W. R., 121	1382
v. Kashee Mahtoon		25 W. R., 206	5592
v. Ooma Churn Roy	.	19 W. R., Cr., 49	1532

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 757 : 3 C. L.)	2950
	i R., 211.	768
	2 W. R., 161	2342
Vilmoney Bhooya v. Gunga Narain Shahur	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429	4670
Vilmoney Sing. In the matter of the petition of		2778
Vilmoney Singh v. Chinibas Mahanti	R., 405.	
v. Heera Lall Dass	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 23 : 8 C. L. R., 257.	1735, 496
v. Issur Chunder Ghosal	9 W. R., 92	5
v, Ram Chuckerbutty	21 W. R., 439	1625
v. Ram Chuckerbutty v. Sagurmonee Debia	12 W. R., 441 · · ·	1627
Vilmoney Singh Deo v. Anunt Ram Putnaik .	15 W. R., 393	1605 2416
v. Baneshur v. Bhobany Churn Pauda v. Government v. Government	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 91	310
v. Bhobany Churn Panda .	Marsh., 327: 2 Hay, 305	2058
v. Government	6 W. R., 121 18 W. R., 321	5596
v. T. v. c. 1 D	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 256	2416
v. Hingu Lall Singh Deo	7 W. R., 29	137
v. namanoogran nov	Marsh., 518	1582
v. Ramigopani Singh Onowdiny	8 C. L. R., 335	3491
	16 W. R., 173	529
n. charotta I trishad brownige	18 W. R., 434	9
v. Sheo Tewary	W. R., 1864, 324	5593
	W. R., 1864, 324 . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19: 13 C.) L. R., 314: L. R., 10 I. }	4670
Vilmoni Singh Deo v. Bhoyharini Debi	L. R., 314: L. R., 10 I. A., 80.	4070
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 187: L. R., }	2041
v. Bukronath Singh	9 I. A., 104.	2091
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 388: 10 C.)	0054
v. Ram Bandhu Rai	L. R., 393 : L. R., 8 I. A., 2	2954
	90.	558
v. Ram Golam Bondopadhya .	21 W. R., 154	000
m	L. R., 361: L. R., 9 I. A., {	1886, 5930
v. Taranath Mukerjee	174.	,
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 19: 13 C.	
v. Umanath Mookerjee	L. R., 314 : L. R., 10 I. A., }	4670
or omenatic acoustics .	80.	
Nilmony Bhuttacharice. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 68	978
Nilmony Mookhopadhya v. Aimunissa Bibee .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 156	2024
	14 B. L. R., 382 : 23 W.)	1423
Vilmony Singh Deo v. Kalee Churn Bhuttacharjee	R., 150: L. R., 2 I. A.,	1400
****	83. 25 W. R., 546	3497, 352
v. Nilcomul Tuppadar	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 35	5247
Vilo Pandurang v. Rama Patloji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 24	3371, 5010
Vilo Ramchandra v. Govind Ballal	6 Bom., A. C., 75	5726
Nilrutton Sein. In the matter of	16 W. R., Cr., 45	5552
Wilamber Sen v. Haranund Sooree	W. R., 1864, Act X, 10.	539
Vilvaru v. Nilvaru	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 110	5092
Nimaye Churn Poojaree v. Mooroolee Chowdhry .	1 W. R., 108	2242
	21 W. R., 365 · · ·	901, 224
Nimaye Churn Puteetundee v. Jogendro Nath		0000
Nimaye Churn Putcetundee v. Jogendro Nath Banerjee.	10 W P 152	6283
Nimaye Churn Puteetundee v. Jogendro Nath Banerjee. Nimaye Churn Sein v. Rammonee Beebee	10 W. R., 152	6283 814
Nimaye Churn Putcetundee v. Jogendro Nath Banerjee.	10 W. R., 152 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 458 8 W. R., 127	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nimdhari Sing v. Kachuu Sing Ningangavda Patil v. Satyangavda Patil Ninganga v. Gangawa Nirban Singh v. Kumla Sahoy Nirman Singh v. Rumla Sahoy Nirman Singh v. Phulman Singh Nirmul Chandra Mookerjee v. Doyal Nath Bhuttacharjee Nirod Krishno Roy v. Woomanath Mookerjee Nirud Muhtoon v. Deep Koonwar Nirunjun Acharjee v. Kuralee Churn Banerjee Nirunjun Rai v. Rujjoo Rai Niruanaya v. Nirvanaya v. Nirahadiney Dossee v. Cally Kristo Ghose Nisaan Singh v. Jugdeo Singh Nisaa Hossein v. Ramgolam Sing Nissar Murtojah v. Dhunwunt Roy Nistarinee v. Kalee Pershad Doss Chowdhry Nistarinee Debia v. Dictor of 24-Pergunnahs Nistarinee Debia in the matter of Nistarinee Debia in the matter of Nistarinein Dassee v. Debnath Bose Nistarini Dasi v. Bonomali Chatterjee v. Makhan Lal Dutt Nistarini Debi v. Brojo Nath Mookhopadhya Nistariny Dossee v. Anundmoye Dossee Nitat Charan Pyne v. Ganga Dasi Nitai Shaha v. Shubal Shaha	4 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 13 W. R., 162. 11 Bom., 232 1. L. R., 10 Bom., 433 1. T. W. R., 845 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 130 1. L. R., 2 Calc., 130 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 718: 3 C. L. R., 234 8 W. R., 2 1 W. R., 197 5 N. W., 166 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 365 Cor., 24 4 B. L. R., Ap., 97 18 W. R., 27 21 W. R., 53 23 W. R., 33 23 W. R., 33 23 W. R., 35 23 W. R., 55 21 W. R., Cr., 10 Marsh., 609 21 W. R., 55 21 W. R., 55 21 W. R., 57 21 W. R., 58 22 W. R., 58 23 W. R., 35 24 W. R., 58 25 Calc., 941: 4 C. L. R., 278 26 L. L. R., 5 Calc., 941: 4 C. L. R., 278 27 B. L. R., 11: 17 W. R., 422 20 C. L. R., 561 4 B. L. R., 11: 17 W. R., 334 20 C. L. R., 525, note 2 B. L. R., 5. N.: 10 W. R., 349 4 B. L. R., 0 C., 265, note 2 B. L. R., S. N.: 10 W. R., 349 4 B. L. R., S. N.: 10 W. R., 349 4 B. L. R., S. N.: 10 W. R., 349 4 B. L. R., S. N.: 10 W. R., 349 4 B. L. R., S. N.: 10 W. R., 349 4 B. L. R., Ap., 24: 12 W.	of Digest. 512 2850 223 2812 5032 2812 5032 4337 3558 3714 3374 5379 2277 2804 4317 5130 980, 1958 2320 535 87 4238 763 1622 1442, 2458 3000, 4970 3225 2149 1094
	R., 278. B. L. R., 11: 17 W.R.,	1442, 2430
Nistarini Debi v. Brojo Nath Mookhopadhya Nistariny Dossee v. Anundmoye Dossee	10 C. L. R., 229 2 C. L. R., 561 4 B. L. R., 0. C., 265, note	3909, 4970 3325 2149
Nitai Shaha v. Shubal Shaha	1 349.	1
Nitambini Chowdhrain v. Shashi Mukhi Chowdhrain	R., 518. 1 N. W., Pt. III, 47: Ed.	'
Nitaram v. Nanuck Dass	1873, 103.	3981
Nitia Nund v. Mya Run	5 8 Moore's I. A., 199: 1 W.	4173, 465
Nitrasur Singh v. Nund Lall Singh	R., P. C., 51. 23 W. R., 343	580
Nittai Chandra Pal v. Thakur Des Biswas Nitta Kolita v. Bishuuram Kolita	8 B. L. R., 258, note 2 B. L. R., Ap., 49	429 3246
Nittanund Murdiraj v. Sreekurun Jugger Nath Bewar tah Patnaick.	3 W. R., 116 · ·	2329
Nittanund Roy v. Bydonath Mohapathur	W. R., 1864, 350 7 B. L. R., 1:15 W. R., 300	
Nittianand Ghose v. Krishna Dyal Ghose Nitto Gopal Ghose v. Mackintosh	6 W. R., Ci. Ref., 11	. 5251, 650
Nitto Kallee Debee v. Obhoy Gobind Chowdhry	. 5 W. R., Mis., 10	677
Nitto Kissoree Dossee v. Jogendro Nauth Mullick Nittyanund Gossain v. Shama Churn Chatterjee	L. R., 5 I. A., 55 23 W. R., 163	. 6031
Nittyanund Koondoo v. Nugendro Chunder Ghose	16 W. R., 299 · ·	3509 1504
Nittyanund Kur v. Rajbullubh Adya	25 W. R., 267 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 76	1843
Nittyanund Roy v. Abdar Raheem	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 76 . 5 W. R., 26	5089
Nittyanund Surmah v. Kasheenath Nyalunkar	5 C. L. R., 368	680, 12
Nittyo Kali Debia v. Kedar Nath Chatterjee Nityanund Ghose v. Kissen Kishore	W. R., 1864, Act X, 82	. 2930, 29
Nityanundo Bur v. Kalachand Bur	. 24 W. R., Cr., 75	982
Nitye Gopal Sircar v. Nagendra Nath Mitter .	. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 429 .	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column
		Digest.
Nitye Laha v. Soondaree Dossee Nivath Singh v. Bhikki Singh Nixon v. Chartered Mercantile Bank Nizam of Hyderabad. In re Nizam-d-din v. Zaheda Bibi Nizamud Ali v. Ramesh Chandra Roy Noban Nusya v. Dhon Mahomed Nobbo Doorga Debee v. Kistarcenee Dossee	3 B. L. R., A. C., 78: 11 W. (R., 430.	2434 5758 2671 5954 3677 330 4797 536
Nobbo Kissen Singh v. Kaminee Dassee {	R., Mis., 35 : Bourke, A. O. }	3150
Nobeen Chunder Dutt v. Madhub Chunder Mundul Nobeen Chunder Ghose v. Ram Gogenath Gope Nobeen Chunder Sil v. Bhobasconduri Dabee Nobeen Kishen Bose v. Shofatoollah Nobeen Kishen Mookerjee v. Shib Pershad Pattack v. Shib Pershad Pattack	C. S8.	5238 696 4669 4148 2794, 2796 536 3010, 5311
Nobeen Kishore Roy v. Jogesh Prokash Gangooly Nobin Chand Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	9 W. R., 161 10 W. B., 272 25 W. R., Cr., 32	5128 41 6270
Nobin Chunder Roy v. Magantara Dassya Nobin Chunder v. Buroda Kant Shaha Nobin Chunder Banikya v. Empress Nobin Chunder Chowdhry v. Dokhobala Dasi	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 924 19 W. R., 341	5016 5659 5165, 5945 2361 5141
v. Gridharee Lall Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gurupersad Doss v. Issur Chunder Chucker-	(3 11.10., 000	3397 3397
	[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 11: 24 W.]	1
Nobin Chunder Doss v. Nim Chand Doss Nobin Chunder Dutt. In the matter of	17 W. R., 191 17 W. R., Cr., 50 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677: 9 C. L. ?	5064 5163 4463
v. Modun Mohun Pal Nobin Chunder Gossamee v. Dribo Moyee Pebee Nobin Chunder Koondoo v. Jogendronath Bhuttarcharje v. Sreedhar Bhuttacharjee Nobin Chunder Kurr v. Rojomoye Dossee Nobin Chunder Mockerjee v. Prosunno Coomar Banerje Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar v. Jutadharee Holdar v. Kenny	{ R., 233. 17 W. R., 520 ee 25 W. R., Cr., 18 15 W. R., 24 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 264 ee 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 126 2 W. R., 289 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8	5683 4496, 4501 6096 3194 1069 4953 3199, 3236
Nobin Chunder Mudduck. In the matter of the peti- tion of.	3 22 111 201, 621, 20	43S1 22S3
Nobin Chunder Perdhan v. Janardhun Misser Nobin Chunder Roy v. Brojendro Coomer Roy v. Goors Gobind Surmah v. Rup Lall Das	W. R., F. B., 67 22 W. R., 363 12 C. L. R., 541 14 W. R., 447 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 377	900
Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bhowanee Pershad		. 532, 2792
v. Pearce Khanum v. Radha Pearce Debia	3 W. R., 143	5104 1993
Manager and the Committee of the Committ		10 M 2

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Nobin Chunder Sen Chowdhry v. Nobin Chunder Chuckerbutty.	22 W. R., 46	576, 3014
Nobin Chunder Shaha v. Raj Narain Shaha Nobin Chunder Shahoo v. Suroop Chunder Doss	9 W. R., 582	77 3317
Nobin Chunder Sircar v. Gour Chunder Shaha .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 759 : 8 C. L. R., 161.	1602
Nobin Chunder Vodro v. Kedar Nath Chuckerbutty Nobin Chundra Banikya. In the matter of the peti- tion of.	16 W. R., 228 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 560: 10 C. L. R., 369.	5669 346, 1154 5165, 5945
Nobin Kalee Debea v. Bindobashince Debea Nobin Kishor Roy v. Jages Prasad Gangopadya	5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5 6 B. L. R., 343 : 14 W. R., 352	5684
Nobin Krishna Bose v. Mon Mohun Bose	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 573 : 9 C. L. } R., 182.	1124
Nobin Krishna Chakravati $v.$ Ram Kumar Chakravati	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 605 : 9 C. L. }	5674
Nobin Krishna Mookerjee. In the matter of the peti- tion of.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194	489
v. Chairman, Suburban Municipality.	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 194	489
v. Rassick Lali Laha	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1047 .	1802, 5150
Nobin Kristo Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of. v. Russick Lall Laba	} I. L R. 10 Calc., 268	1302, 130
Nobin Mohun Ghossal v. Gopal Chunder Mookerjee Nobo Chunder Banerjee v. Ishur Chunder Mitter Noboccomar Biswas v. Oman	11 W. R., 538 12 W. R., 254 7 W. R., 148	1453 2776 1642
Nobo Coomar Bose. In the matter of	{ 12 B. L. R., 457, note : 17 W. } R., 431.	2721
Nobo Coomar Chuckerbutty o. Koylashchunder Barooce Nobo Coomar Doss v. Gobind Chunder Roy — v. Kewata Mug Nobo Coomar Ghose v. Kishen Chunder Bauerjee Noboccomar Mookhopadhya v. Siru Mullick Nobodeep Chandra Shaw. In the matter of the peti- tion of:	17 W. R., 518 9 C. L. R., 305 10 B. L. B., 358 W. R., 1864, Act X, 112 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 94 1 B. L. R., 0 C., 47	3182, 570 1748, 449 289, 120 1636 3345 4801
Nobodcep Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojendro Lall Roy	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 406: 9 C. L. } R., 117.	2955, 4970
Nobodeep Chunder Shaha v. Sonaram Dass .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 592 : 3 C. L. R., 359.	1639
Nobodeep Chunder Shaw. In the matter of Nobodeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Seeb Loll Nobodeep Chunder Sirkar. In the matter of the peti-	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 68	2661 8
tion of. Nobodip Chunder Biswas. In the matter of the peti-	} 11 W. R., Cr., 2	1953
tion of.) 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 808	665
Nobodoorga. In the matter of the petition of Nobo Doorga Dossee v. Foyzbux Chowdhry	. 7 C. L. R., 387	4665 4966
Nobo Gopal Roy v. Amrit Moyee Dossee	R., 40.	2411
Nobo Gopal Sircar v. Srinath Bundopadhya .	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 877: 11 C.]	5324
Nobo Kanth Dey v. Borodakanth Roy	L. R., 37.	549, 1390
Nobo Kishen Bose v. Mazamooddeen Ahmed Chowdhry Nobo Kishore Chuckerbutty. In the matter of Nobokishore Dass v. Protap Chunder Banerjea Nobokishore Dey v. Rankishen		5874 1625 4361, 4493 1541 1424, 4173

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nobokishore Sarma Roy v. Harinath Sarma Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1102	2490
Nobo Kissen Singh v . Kaminee Dassee $\left\{\right.$	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 349: 2 W. R., Mis., 35: Bourke, A. O. C., 38.	3150
Nobo Kisto Koondoo v. Mahomed Sheikh	10 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 19 W. }	579
Nobo Kristo Bhunj v. Rajbullub Bhunj Nobokristo Mojoouddar v. Tara Monee Nobo Kristo Mookerjee v. Parbuthy Churn Bhuttaeharjee Nobokristo Mukerjee v. Secretary of State for India Nobokristo Sivar v. Kalaehand Doss Nobo Lal Khan v. Adheeranee Naruin Koonwaree	3 W. R., 134 12 W. R., 320 13 W. R., 23 f. L. R., 11 Calc., 632 12 W. R., 470 5 W. R., 191	3330 1625, 4149 1454 495 322 4206 3133, 3235
Nocoor Chunder Bose v. Kally Coomar Ghose	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 328 . {	3306
Nocoordass Mullick v. Jewraj Baboo	12 B. L. R., 263	2993 1166
Chuckerbutty.	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 732 : 5 C. L.)	
Noferdoss Roy v. Modhu Soondari Burmonia . {	R., 551.	2489
Nogender Chunder Ghose v. Mahomed Esof Nogender Chundro Ghose v. Dossee Nogreudro Chundro Mittro v. Mishem Esonff Nogreudro Chundro Mittro v. Kishen Soondory Dassee Nohm v. Jaffer Hossein Nohmollah Pramanick v. Grish Narain Moonshee Nohmollah Pramanick v. Grish Narain Moonshee Nohjabut Ali Chowdhry v. Moha Busseeroollah Chowdhry Nolita Mohan Roy Chowdhry v. Dinonath Mookerjee Nolitandun Doss. In the matter of Nomau Mollah v. Lali Mohun Tagadgeer Nomulla Kadoad. In the matter of Nondo Singh Monda v. Anand Singh Monda Noor Almed. In the matter of v. Lulta Pershad Noora Hossein v. Ran Coomar Sahee Noora Hossein v. Ran Coomar Sahee Noor Ali v. Imteazoodeen Khan Noor Ali Miau Khondkar v. Ashanullah Noor Ali Miau Khondkar v. Ashanullah Noor Ali Miau Khondkar v. Ashanullah	10 B. L. R., 406: 18 W. R., 113 12 Moore's I. A., 107 8 W. R., P. C., 17 19 W. R., 133 2 N. W., 195 I. L. R., 8 Cale, 674 11 B. L. R., 42; 20 W. R., 5 13 B. L. R., 42; 7, note 11 B. L. R., Ap., 33 15 W. R., 279 11 C. L. R., 416 5 N. W., 30 4 N. W., 135 5 W. R., 242; 20 W. R., 5 2 N. W., 388 2 N. W., 189 2 S. W. R., 826 3 Agra, Rev., 2 1 L. R., 12 Cale, 13 1 L. R., 11 Cale, 608 W. R., 846, 347	38 4633 2516, 5360 1411, 2112 4439 5791 815, 5448 5130 2664 1056 602 3180 6489 4875 4443 3749 2976 571 1638 318
Noor Bibee v. Naivas Khan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221	3645 5835, 5837
v. Rumzan	24 W. R., 198	5851 2889
Noor Buksh v. Mean Jan	6 W. R., Act X, 60 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 279: 7 C. L.	1023,6267
Noor Jehau Begum v. Mashitty Khanum Noor Mahomed. In the matter of the petition of v. Nilratum Bagchee v. Zuhoor Ally Noor Mahomed Mundul v. Hurriprosonno Roy Noor-Ol-Hossein v. Mooneeram Noorl Hossein v. Omatool Fatima	R., 385. 3 5 8 C. L. R., 7 25 W. R., Cr., 31 18 W. R., Cr., 2 11 W. R., 34 W. R., 1864, Act X, 75 4 N. W., 103 25 W. R., 344	419 1535 4733 2773 1657 3730 5470
Noorul Hossein alias Waheed Jan v. Fabre-Tonnerre .	24 W. R., Cr., 26	15, 5289
Noorun v. Khoda Buksh	1 Agra, 50	1500, 491

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Norender Narain Singh v. Dwarkalal Mundur Noronarain Roy v. Neemaeechand Neogy Norrotom Sikdar v. Juggernath Shaw Northern Assam Tea Company. In the matter of Noshai Mistri v. Empress Nosheeram Roy v. Shushee Ehooshun Roy Noubat Rai v. Bajrangi Lal Noujan v. Queen Noushaba Scotlan Begum v. Nubeerah Sooltan Begum Nousha Begum v. Umrao Begum Nowab Khan v. Rughoonath Doss Nowab Khan v. Rughoonath Doss Nowab Singh v. Kokil Singh Nowa Kowar v. Abdool Ruheem Nowat Kowar v. Abdool Ruheem Nowat Rowar v. Abdool Ruheem Nowat Rowar v. Abdool Ruheem Nowat Rowar v. Abdool Ruheem Nowat Kowar v. Abdool Ruheem Nowat Ram v. Durbaree Singh Nowbut Rai v. Bhagmanee Nowbut Ram v. Durbaree Singh Nowbut Ringh v. Chutter Dharee Singh Nowcouree Chunder Surmah v. Birmomoyee Dabea Nowcowtee Mundul v. Mookta Bibee Nowindh Singh v. Schuu Koeer Nowcowtee Mundul v. Mookta Bibee Nowindh Singh v. Schuu Koeer Nowladoon v. Bala Dhurmaji Nowlas Kooere v. Lalljee Modi Nowijee Nusserwanjes v. Johannes Nowutton Keer v. Guroe Dutt Singh Noyna Misser v. Rapikun Nothee Buksh v. Hingon .	2 Agra, 149 20 W. R., 474 24 W. R., Cr., 70 W. R., 1864, 374 7 W. R., 380 6 W. R., Cr., 80 1 L. L., 13 Calc., 219 2 Agra, 5 2 Agra, 145 19 W. R., 223 5 N. W., 102 8 W. R., 169 2 W. R., 181 8 N. W., 12 8 B. L. R., 389, note 1 L. L., 2 Bom., 91 1 Agra, Rev., 65 2 W. R., 181 9 W. R., 202 1 Hyde, 293 6 W. R., 193 1 L. R., 900 21 W. R., 193 L. L. R., 900 21 W. R., 60 + 413	1678, 2387 3364 5764 2488, 5805 6111 8770 5780 4160 2446 5716 1608 2373 5880 2154 54, 3024 2925
Nubbi Buksh v. Chasni	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 168: 7 C. L. R., 282. 7 W. R., 481	229 4432
Nubee Buksh. In the matter of v. Ram Suhai V. Ram Suhai V. Keleo Lushker	1 Agra, Rev., 57 22 W. R., 4	1645 3728
Nubee Buksh alias Golam Nubee v. Kaloo Lushker Nubeen Chunder Bullub v. Bhoobun Chunder Mundul	. 15 W. R., 526	5282
Nubeen Chunder Paul v. Stephenson	. 15 W. R., 534	\$13,4245 4246,4285 4403
Nubo Coomar Chatterjee v. Ramdhun Chatterjee . Nubo Coomar Ghose v. Oozir Shikdar .	. 15 W. R., 266	6173 4171 5242
Nubo Doorga v. Seetamonee Nubo Doorga Dossia v. Dwarka Nath Roy .	. 24 W. R., 301	3067
	20 W. R., 164 11 W. R., 188	3457
Nubo Kishen Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry	: 11 W. R., 188	847, 191
v. Debnath Roy Chowdiry v. Hurish Chunder Banerjee		. 564
v. Kalachand Mookerjee	. 15 W. R., 438 · ·	. 1600, 297

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Nuho Kishen Mookerjee v. Srecram Roy Nubo Kishen Roy v. Gobind Chunder Sein Nubo Kishore Biswas v. Jadub Chunder Sircar Nubo Kishore Bose v. Pandul Sircar	15 W. R., 255 6 W. R., 317 20 W. R., 426 8 W. R., 312	3000 5983 5130, 5141
Nubo Kishore Doss v. Jay Doorga Dossee Nubo Kishore Mojoomdar v. Anund Mohun Mojoom- dar.	22 W. R., 189	1596 6199 1473, 1927 2707
Yubo Kishore Mundul v. Fukeer Paramanick Nubokisto Mookerjee v. Ramessur Goopto . Nubo Kristo Mojoondar v. Tara Monee Nubo Kristo Mookerjee v. Nadiar Chund Hattee .	17 W. R., 558 18 W. R., 412, note 12 W. R., 320 12 W. R., 374	1660 568 1625, 4149 767
Nubo Lali Khan v. Maharanee of Burdwan	9 W. R., 590	5621 4923, 4925
Nubo Tarinee Dossee v. Gray Nubudeep Chunder Sircar v. Lalla Sheeb Loll	11 W. R., 7	4937 525
Nubungo Moonjuree Dabea v. Goluckmonee Dabea Nudar Chand Bhooya v. Reedoy Mundul	Marsh., 45: 1 Hay, 76 11 B. L. R., 424, note: 17 W. R., 458.	3984 5130
Nuddea Kishore Doss v. Bungshee Mohun Doss	17 W. R., 210 7 W. R., 153	5468, 5477 3036
Nuddear Chand Sein v. Kishoree Lall Chuckerbutty .	7 W. R., 463	4590, 4792 4821
Juddyabashee Doss v. Reza Chowdhry	15 W. R., 337 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1092	1896 1473, 1867
v. Meajan Vudiar Chand Shaha v. Prannath Shaha Vudyar Chand Chuckerbutty v. Roop Doss Bancrjee	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 820 21 W. R., 8 22 W. R., 475	3019 1358, 4858 4004
Vuffar Ali Biswas v. Rameshar Bhunick Juffar Chunder Shaha v. Gossain Jysingh Bharuttee Juffer Chunder Banerjee v. Guddadhur Mundle	3 C. L. R., 28	3840 1592, 1597 5361
Juffer Chunder Bhutto v. Jotendro Mohun Tagore	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 505 : 8 C. L. R., 553.	1571
Juffer Chunder Paul v. Nuduroonissa Beebee	9 W. R., 387 12 B. L. R., 53: 19 W. R., 7	1198, 1881
Juffer Chunder Paul Chowdry v. Poulson	175.	4997
v. Soorendronath Roy uffer Chunder Shah v. Gunga Dutt Bharutty uffer Mytee v. Monohur Sirdar	14 W. R., 410 11 W. R., 190 13 W. R., 334 24 W. R., 434	5980 1658 541
Tuffur Chunder Chunder v. Khoodeeram Poramanick . Tuffur Merdha v. Ram Lall Adhicary Tuffus Banoo v. Mahomed Sufdar	15 W. R., 308	5808 3551 1406
Tufur Chunder Paul Chowdhry v. Luckheemonec Dabee Tufusa v. Mahomed Akbar Gazee	9 W. R., 300 2 W. R., 74	5018 4212
Tugender Chunder Ghose v. Dossee v. Kaminee Dossee	8 W. R., P. C., 17	2516, 5860 2516, 5360
ugendro Chunder Ghose v. Musruff Bibee	3 W. R., 213 15 W. R., 17	4956 2883, 5831
ugendur Narain v. Rughoonath Narain Dey	W. R., 1864, 20 }	1341, 1342 2318, 5020
Tugur Mull v. Azeemollah Tujecboonissa v. Zumeeran	1 N. W., 146 : Ed. 1873, 228 . 11 W. R., 426	1812 3633
Tujeemooddeen Ahmed v. Hosseinee Tujeeni Mullick v. Erfan Mollah	4 W. R., 110 22 W. R., 298 15 W. R., 95	3649 849 5482
v. Zuhoorun	10 W. R., 45 15 W. R., 232	333, 3633 3395

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Nujuf Ali v. Patterson	2 N. W., 104	4126
Aulul All S. Lacographi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 218	3521
Vukanna v. Ramasami	10 W. R., 69	5800
Nukehad Singa v. Hunooman Dutt Singa	17 W. R., 179	2704
Vullit Biswas v. Prosunno Moyee Dossee	21 W. R., 249	2338
Vullit Chunder Gohoo v. Bagola Soonduree Dossee .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 381	962
Number v. Ambu		4898
Tund Coomar Bannerjee v. Barry	Marsh., 121: 1 Hay, 260	1895, 192
Nund Coomar Foutehdar v. Bunso Gopal Sahoy	23 W. R., 342	1000, 102
Wund Coomar Lall v. Razeeooddeen Hossein . }	10 B. L. R., 183 : 18 W. R.,	2152
Nund Coomar Singh v. Gunga Pershad	3 W. R., 207	1145
v. Gunga Pershad Narain Singh ·	10 W. R., 94	4154, 517
Vund Doolal Sircar v. Dwarkanath Biswas	2 W. R., 9	3183
and Duntpat v. Tara Chund Pritheebarce	2 W. R., Act X, 13	1760
Tundeeput Mahatah v. Urquhart	9 W. R., 355	4324
Inndeeput Mohatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Nundeeram Singh v. Inderchund Dogare	Cor., 89	1386
Nunde Lall v. Tayler	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 55: 5 W. R., 37.	472
Nund Kishore v. Nuthoo Ram	1 Agra, 223 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947: 93	144
Nund Kishore Doss v. Maharaja of Burdwan .	W. R., 236.	3447
Nund Kishore Lal v. Kureem Buksh Khan	5 W. R., Act X, 62	1582
Nund Kishore Lall v. Mohun Lall	22 W. R., 164	5808
v. Sheo Dyal Oopadhya	11 W. R., 168	4193
Nund Kishore Singh v. Huree Pershad Mundul	13 W. R., 64	5044, 521
v. Ismed Kooer	20 W. R., 189	3012
v. Ram Kishore Singh Deb	17 W. R., 359	2746
Nund Koomar Lall v. Shumboo Singh	8 W. R., 34	3425
Nund Lall v. Baluk	2 Agra, 122 Marsh., 209: 1 Hay, 532	3079, 398 3894
		3352, 488
	C. L. R., 45.	1
v. Abu Syed	5 C. L. R., 45	4888
Nund Lall Doss v. Delawur Ali	11 W. R., 244 ·	5434
Nund Lall Roy v. Gooroo Churn Bose	15 W. R., 6 10 W. R., Cr., 31	5308
Nund Lall Scotrodhor v. Bhagirutty Scotran	10 W. R., Cr., 31	975
Nund Mohun Chowdhry v. Goluck Nath Neogee	11 W. R., 99	6236
v. Manikarnika Chowdhrain .	W. R., 1864, 119 22 W. R., 293	4610
Nund Mohun Chuttoraj v. Rohinee Debia	22 W. R., 293	2027
Nundo Coomar Bukshee v. Koonjo Kishore Roy	6 W. R., Mis., 73	5622
Nundo Coomar Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Bhutta- (12 B. L. R., Ap., 9	3469
charji.	-	1
Nundo Gopal Roy v. Jankeeram Chuckerbutty . •	W. R., 1864, 316	3223
Nundo Kishore Haldar v. Anundo Chunder Chatterjee 🕠	23 W. R., Cr., 64	5151
Nundo Kishore Lall v. Ramsookhee Kooer	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 215: 4 C. L. R., 361.	3211
Nundo Kishore Singh v. Lalla Burjun Lall	15 W. R., 154	1448
Nundo Kumaree Peshagur v. Anund Mohun Gooho .	24 W. R., Cr., 68	4104
Nuudo Lal Bose v. Corporation for the Town of Cal-	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 275	652, 69
outta.		
Nundo Lall Bhuttacharjee v. Bidhoo Mookhy Debee .	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 17	5039
Nundolall Mitter v. Prosunno Moyee Debia	19 W. R., 333	1823, 274
Nundo Lall Mookerjee v. Chunder Kant Mookerjee .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 356 .	383
Nundo Pershad Thakur v. Gopal Thakur	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1008 .	3712, 372 3727
Nund Pandah v. Gyadhur		1743
round rounder to Cryaunur	10 W. R., 89	1/45

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
und Ram v. Chootoo		1 Agra, 255	2342, 6102
undram Dyaram v. Dula Bhace Kurparam	. 1	1 Moore's I. A., 414	3123
undram Ghose v. Mannoo Bibee		10 W. R., 177	4787
undun Lall v. Lloyd	:	22 W. R., 74	1163, 1179
v. Smith		7 W. R., 188	3799
		21 W. R., 446	5837
undun Misser v. Chittar Buttee	.	W. R., 1864, 377	
undun Singh v. Koolsoom unhoo Mahtoon v. Teeloco Kooer			3127, 3128
unhoo Mahtoon v. Teeloco Kooer		18 W. R., 313	5779
unhoo Sahoo v. Boodhoo Jummadur	•	13 W. R., 2	1689
unhoo Shahee v. Rampershad Narain Singh .	•	21 W. R., 38	1172
unhuk Sahoo v. Juggessur Oopadhya	•	20 W. R., 408	5399
unkee alias Parbuttee v. Besessurnath	•	3 Agra, 428	1709
unkoo Lall v. Dhunesh Kooer	•	17 W. R., 497	1923
unkoo Singh v. Purm Dhun Singh		12 W. R., 356	675, 212
ura Bibi v. Jagatnarain		I. L. R., 8 All., 295	3444, 418
uraddin Mahomed v. Asgar Ali		12 C. L. R., 312	3726
ur Ahmad v. Altaf Ali	.	1. L. R., 2 All., 58	439, 558
urbibi v. Husen Lal		I. L. R., 7 Bom., 537	5685
ureem Mundle v. Boramdy Sirdar		25 W. R., 208	5093
urendro Narain Roy v. Narain Singh Roy .		22 W. R., 296	1748, 198
ruishan a Marfan Mundul	•	11 C. L. R., 91	6081
urjahan v. Marfan Mundul	•	3 W. R., 6	3106
urjoon Sahoo v. Moojeerooddeen	٠.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 649 .	3686
ur Kadir v. Zuleikha Bibi	•	0 W D 401	5370
urkoo Ram v. Ramjoorawun Singh	•	9 W. R., 481	
ur Mohammed v. Thakoor Bibi	•		3162
nr Muhammad v. Abubakar Ibrahim Meman	•	8 Bom., O. C., 29	425
uronarain Doss v. Brojo Mohun Doss	٠	W. R., 1864, 70	1137
Turonath Das Roy v. Goda Kolita	٠	20 W. R., 342	2347
furrender Narain Singh v. Sreenarain Doss .	٠	18 W. R., 262	497
furrohurry Mohonto v. Narainee Dossee	Ş	1 Ind. Jur., O., S. 9: W. R., }	145,610
-	ć	F. B., 23: 1 Hay, 234.	
lursey Kessowji. In the matter of the petition of	٠	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 270	2664
fursey Spinning and Weaving Co. In the matter of	•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 92 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 558	937
lursingdas Rughunathdas v. Tulsiram bin Doulatram		I. L. R., 2 Bom., 558	5693
Inrsingh Churn Sein v. Eidya Dhuree Dossee .		2 W. R., 275	819
Jursingh Deb v. Ram Mohun Mookerjee		Marsh., 176: 1 Hay, 379 .	4406, 624
v. Roy Koylashnath		9 Moore's I. A., 55	5503
Jursingh Doss v. Kumrooddeen		20 W. R., 412	5242
v. Moosharoo Bhandaree		25 W. R., 282	3234
		9 37 377 9177	916, 23
v. Narain Doss	•	3 N. W., 217	2387, 333
v		26 W. R., 17	2372
	•		07.40.00
fursingh Doyal v. Hurryhur Saha	{	R., 489.	3140, 32
Jursingh Gariwan v. Puttoo Ostagur	,	20 W. R., 420	384
	•		2132, 22
Tursingh Narain v. Bhuttun Lall	•	W. R., 1864, 194.	2309
Jursingh Narain Singh v. Ameerun		22 W. R., 551	3377
v. Roghoobur Singh .		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 609	5400
Tursingh Narayan Singh v. Lukputty Singh .		I. L. R., 5 Calc., 333	3080
Tur Singh Roy v. Anderson		16 W. R., 21	3840
Vursingh Roy v. Anderson		19 W. R., 125	3839, 57
Nurubdessur Pershad Roy v. Jungole		24 W. R., 49	581
Nur-ul-hasan v. Muhammad Hasan		I. L. R., 8 All., 573	3488
Nurunnissa v. Roushan Jan		2 B. L. R., Ap., 1	4250
Nuseeboonissa v. Danush Ali	•	3 W. R., 133	3649
Nusibunnissa Bibee v. Erad Ali	•	4 C. L. R., 413	5527

Name of Casu.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Kusrun Beebee v. Watson & Co. Lusrut Ali v. Zeinunnissa Lusrut Banoo v. Mahomed Sayem Lusrut Reza v. Umbul Khyr Bibee Lussebun Bibee v. Ashruff Ally Kusseehon Bibee v. Ashruff Ally Kusseerooddeen Biswas v. Ujjul Biswas Kusseerun v. Gouree Sunker Singh Kusserun v. Gouree Sunker Singh Kusserunojee v. Pursotum Dass Kusserwanjee Pestonjee v. Mynoodeen Khan	17 W. R., 273 3 W. R., 215 16 W. R., 146 18 W. R., 230 8 W. R., 300 8 W. R., 300 8 W. R., 309 17 W. R., 68 22 W. R., 192 7 W. R., 192 7 W. R., 499 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 298 6 Moore S. I. A., 134 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 266 6 W. R., Cr., 42 13 W. R., 234 11 W. R., 234 11 W. R., 241 11 L. R., 10 Bom., 202 2 Agra, 279 8 W. R., 267 1 N. W., 1 3 Agra, 286 W. R., 1864, 271 10 B. L. R., 200: 18 W. R., 34 3 Agra, 30 Mursh, 91: 1 Hay, 157 24 W. R., 3 18 W. R., 242 Marsh, 555: 2 Hay, 651 1 W. R., 2164 Marsh, 555: 2 Hay, 651 1 W. R., 242 Marsh, 555: 2 Hay, 651 1 W. R., 242 Marsh, 555: 2 Hay, 651 1 W. R., 266 W. R., 1864, 378 2 W. R., 84 3 B. W. R., 34 3 W. R., 242 Marsh, 555: 2 Hay, 651 1 W. R., 206 W. R., 1864, 378 2 W. R., 1804, 128 2 W. R., 140 5 Mad, 123 5 W. R., 77	4788 2792, 2015 3732 4227 3707 3684 4345 1766 3272 5720 4238 5781 1183 308, 2028 5588 533 1766 4490 1116 1149 2515 214 4370 4829 4829 4829 4829 4829 4829 4829 4829
0		
Oahad Buksh v. Bindoo Bashinee Dossee Oakes v. Jackson Obedul Hossein v. Golack Chunder Obedur Heas v. Mahomed Muneer Obhoya Churn Bey v. Rajendro Coomar Ghose Obhoya Churn Simje v. Mohesh Chunder Doss Obhoy Chandra Mookerjee. In the matter of v. Mohamed Sabir Obhoy Chunder Bundopadhya v. Nilambur Mookerjee Obhoy Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Pearee Mohun Gooho Obhoy Chunder Sidar v. Radha Bullebh Sen	7 W. R., 208 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 134 S. W. R., 443 I. G. W. R., 88 22 W. R., 406 23 W. R., 202 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78: 13 C. L. R., 410 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 78: 13 C. J. R., 440 W. R., 1864, 73 13 W. R., F. B., 75 I. C. L. R., 549	2386 1112, 5832 3271 3679 4463 1433 4487 4487 5319 2373, 4321 1659

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Obhoy Churn Chowdhry v. Collector of Dacca	4 W. R., 59 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 410: 9 C. L. ?	65
Obhoy Churn Coondoo v. Golam Ali alias Nocowry Meah	R., 361.	5444
Obhoy Churn Dey v. Lukhy Monee Bewa	2 C. L. R., 555	4146 4955
v. Rajendro Coomar Ghose	16 W. R., 288	1750
Obhoy Churn Doss v. Meer Saheb Ali Obhoy Churn Dutt v. Huro Chunder Doss Buxee	13 W. R., 150	3237, 3293
v. Modhoo Soodun Chowdhry	9 W. R., 330	3526
v. Nobin Chunder Dutt	10 W. R., 298	5280
Obhoy Churn Ghose v. Gobind Chunder Dey	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 237 1 C. L. R., 394	2353, 3370 5180
Obhoy Churn Mohapattur v. Kanye Rawot Shunkur Dobey	12 W. R., 500	4852
Obhoy Churn Mookerjee v. Pearee Dossia	22 W. R., 270	838
v. Punchanun Bose	Marsh., 564: 2 Hay, 630 .	472
Obhoy Churn Mullick v. Woomes Chunder Paul	2 Hyde, 263	6094
Obhoy Churn Mustafi v. Uma Churn Mustafi	1 C. L. R., 362	4666 531
Obhoy Churn Newgee v. Sristidhur Bagdee Obhoy Churn Nundee v. Bhoobun Mojoomdar	12 W. R., 524	5064
Obhoy Churn Nundi v. Kritarthamoyi Dossee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 284	3187, 3227
Obhoy Churn Pal v. Kali Prosad Chatterjee	6 C. L. R., 260	1431, 4204
Obhoy Churn Roy v. Asanoollah	2 W. R., Act X, 81	6174
Obhoy Churn Roy Chowdhry v. Nobin Chunder Roy Chowdhry.		1144
Obhoy Churn Sen v. Dabendro Nath Mullick .	8 C. L. R., 437 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 72: 10 C. L.	1195
Obhoy Churn Sirkar v. Huri Nath Roy	R., 81.	4190
Obhoy Gobind Chowdhry v. Beejoy Gobind Chowdhry .	9 W. R., 162	135
v. Hury Churn Chowdhry .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 277 8 W. R., 518	4249, 4420
v. Kenny · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	W. R., F. B., 163	3192
Obhoykant Lahoree v. Ramsoonduree Dabee Chowdhrani	20 W. R., 209	1136
Obilashery Debia. In the matter of the petition of .	9 W. R., Cr., 18	683
Oddit Chunder Paul v. Comolokanto Paul	Marsh., 401: 2 Hay, 529 .	4935
Oddoyto Chunder Koondoo v. Prosunno Koomar Bhut- tacharjee.	2 W. R., 325	2080
Odhesh Coomar Singh v. Ram Gobind Singh Odhiranee Narain Koomaree v. Nawab Nazim of Ben-	9 W. R., 145	2887
gal.	3 111 201, 22	36
Odhoya Pershad v. Mohadeo Dutt Bhandaree	17 W. R., 415	200 1815, 3068
Odit Narain v. Maheshur Bux Singh	Agra, F. B., 52: Ed. 1874, 39	5764
Odit Narain Singh v. Dhurm Mahtoon	W. R., 1864, 263	2205
Odiutzuman v. Mohiooddeen Ahmed alias Moguljan .	9 W. R., 241	1752
Odoit Roy v. Radha Pandey	7 W. R., 72.	1353
Odoy Churn Rana v. Bhujohury Janah	11 W. R., 310	3953 2664
Odoytoo Churn Roy, In re Odyte Narain Singh v. Ubhurun Roy.	4 W. R., Act X, 1	4171
Official Assignee v. Frazer	. 14 W. R., O. C., 51	3350, 4681
v. Ramalinga · · ·	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 79	2662
Official Trustee of Bengal v. Krishna Chandra Mo-] I. L. R., 12 Calc., 239: L.)	320
zumdar.	R., 12 I. A., 166. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 536:3 C.	2032, 4763
Oghra Singh v. Ablakh Koer	L. R., 434.	4825, 4831
Ogle v. Thomas	. 2 Hyde, 279	2676
-v. Nashholm	. Bourke, O. C., 171	600
Ognee Coomar Roy v. Kumolakant Roy	. 11 W. R., 38	5633 4176
Ograkant Chowdhree v. Mohesh Chunder Sickdar	. 4 C. L. R., 40	4176

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ohced Khan v. Collector of Shahabad	9 W. R., 502	671, 3693
Oh Ling Tee v. Awkinifee		128, 4274
Ojheoonissa Begum v. Rusutm Ali	W. R., 1864, 219	3706, 3722
Ojooderam Khan v. Nobinmoney Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 396	6019
Ojoodhya Persad v. Ehugwantajah	Marsh., 12	4964
Ojoodhyapershad Singh v. Ramsurn	6 W. R., 77	2151
Okhetoonissa v. Koochil Sirdar	2 W. R., 45	3121
Okhil Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	2 W. R., 45. 1 C. L. R., 48	4490, 4731
Okhorah Soot v. Bheden Barianee	10 W. R., 34 · · ·	2524, 4280
Okhoy Chunder Dutt v. Erskine	3 W. R., Mis., 11	5470, 5588
Okhoy Coomar Chatterjee v. Dhiraj Mahtab Chund	22 W. R., 299	2740, 5324
Okhoy Coomar Chuttopadhya v. Mahatapchunder Ba-	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 24	4892
door.	, ,	4000
Okhoy Kumar. In the matter of	, 7 C. L. R., 393	1296, 5547
Okhoy Teli v. Modhoo Sheikh	19 W. R., Cr., 55	4750
	(10 B. L. R., 15: 16 W. R.,	
Okoor Persaud Bustooree v. Fool Coomaree Dabee	P. C., 35 : 14 Moore's I. A., }	3317
	(134.)	
Olaga Sundaram Pillay v. Muttien Chetty	4 Mad., 227	213
Oleo-unissa v. Buldeo Narain Singh	7 W. R., 151	3261
Olner v. Lavezzo	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 878	5722
Olongo Moonjoree Dossee v. Gobind Nath Sen .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 13	669
Olpherts v. Mahabir Pershad Singh	(11 C. L. R., 494 : L. R., 10 I.)	F 403
•	11 C. L. R., 494 : L. R., 10 I. A., 25.	5461
Oma Churn Banerjee v. Collector of Hooghly .	15 W. R., 525 1 B. L. R., S. N., 25: 10 W.	6041
Oman v. Pramathanath Roy	R., 256.	1725
v. Ram Gopal Mozoomdar	R., 256.	3836
Omanath Chowdhry v. Nujeeb Chowdhry	8 Moore's I. A., 498	4637
Omanath Roy Chowdhry v. Suroop Chunder Bose	. 10 W. R., 485	4347
Omar v. Abdool Guffoor	9 W. R., 425 · · ·	3009, 4780
Omarchand Mahata v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal .	. 11 W. R., 229	5812
Omar Salmia Bibi v. Lakhi Prya Debi	7 B. L. R., 617, note: 10 W. }	1408
Omda Bebee v. Acowrie Singh	R., 47.	758
Omda Khanum v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	(12 B. L. R., 451 : 20 W. R.,)	1079, 1091
· · ·	317 : 21 W. R., 352. 22 W. R., 367	2718
Omed Ali v. Nidhee Ram	· 22 W. R., 367	1091, 6157
v. Nittyanund Roy	· 24 W. R., 171	3806
Omerchand. Claim of	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 248	896
Omer Dutt Jua v. Burn	. 24 W. R., 470	1739
Omer Kurim v. Lala Shewan Lall	. 4 C. L. R., 291	5690
Omertolall Daw. In the matter of	. 13 B. L. R., Ap., 2	2667
Omesh Chunder Mundul v. Thakoordoss Mookerjee	. 23 W. R., 345	6223
Omesh Chunder Roy v. Dukhina Soondery Debia	. W. R., F. B., 95	4203
Omesh Chunder Sen v. Ichanath Mozumdar	. 21 W. R., Cr., 64	2925
Omirta Lall Chowdhry v. Kalee Pershad Shaha .	. 25 W. R., 179	1845
Omirto Lall Bose v. Arbach Cazi	. 4 W. R., Act X, 47	1642
Omirto Lall Dey v. Howell	. 2 C. L. R., 426	3137
Omirto Moyee Dossee v. Gooroo Doss Roy	. 17 W. R., 395	224
Omoola Koowur v. Gohun Patuck	. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 36	5267
v. Sohun Patuck	1 Hay, 29	5267
Omra Nushyo v. Gugun Sootur	. 11 W. R., 130	5924
Omrao Begum. In the matter of the petition of .	. 13 W. R., 310	3092, 5295
v. Commissioners under Act XVII of 187		4065, 5925
A	(I. L. R., 9 Calc., 704: 12 C.)	
v. Government of India	L. R., 595 : L. R., 10 I. A., }	4065, 4260
	(39.	

Name of Cuse.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Omrao Mirza, v. Jones	1 N. W., 9: Ed. 1874, 8 . I. L. R., 10 Calc., 599	3240 1247
Omrao Singh v. Man Koonwer v. Pertab v. Premuarain Singh	12 C. L. R., 148	5971, 6075 2416 2285, 2297 1899
Omrit Koomaree Dabee v. Luckheenarain Chuckerbutty		2300
Omrito Lall Dey. In the matter of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 78 .	392, 395 2095
	6 W. R., Cr., 90 1. L. R., 10 Cale., 350 4 C. L. R., 455 25 W. R., 212 25 W. R., 202 13 W. R., 202 6 W. R., 167	1461, 6150 3628 2857, 2957 4038 3 2233 4957 5134 4112
Oncoroop Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of.	6 W. R., Mis., 45	282
v. Heera Monee Dossee	11 W. R., 418	320, 6231
Onraet v. Kishen Soonduree Dossee	6 W. R., Mis. 4	271 4146
- v. Sankar Dutt Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 14 W. R., 26	1487
Onungo Moonjuree Dossia v. Punchanun Bose . Oodai v. Bhowanee Pershad	12 W. R., 72	5445 5210
Ordeveland Boodsii a Bhaskar Jaconnath	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 371	1073
Oodey Kurrun v. Chait Ram	2 Agra, 125 2 Agra, 241	5375
	. 2 Agra, 241	2916
Orderts a Goral	5 N. W., 197 2 Agra, 234	2504 5741
Oodnov Chunder Mullick & Petamber Pyne .	2 Agra, 234 6 W. R., Mis., 75 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271	19.)2
Oodhun Misser v. Hoobdar Singh Oodia v. Bhopal Oodit Doss v. Government	. 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 271 .	2409
Oodia v. Bhopal	. 3 Agra, 137	4999
	Marsh., 259: 2 Hay, 117 2 W. R., Act X, 15	2989
Oodit Purkash Singh v. Martindell	. 4 Moore's I. A., 414	3905
Oodit Rai v. Ramgobind Singh	. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 206	3015
Codiat Zuman. In the matter of the perition of	8 W. R., 109	221, 5939
Oodia v. Barkat	3 W. R., 183	4750 80, 141
Oodoychand Luskur v. Nobocoomar Poramanick .	. 10 W. R., 428	3498
Oodoychand Luskur v. Nobocoomar Poramanick . Oodoychurn Mitter. In the matter of	. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 411	674, 229
Oodoyessurree v. Hurokishore Dutt	. 4 W. R., 107	3411
Oodoy Monee Dabee v. Bishonath Dutt	. 7 W. R., 14 9 W. R., 455	1785 3190
Oodoynarain Sirear v. Kristo Chunder Roy Chowdhry		553
Oodoy Singh v. Paluck Singh	. 16 W. R., 271 {	878, 287
Oodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Jonab Ali Chowdhry . Oodoy Tara Chowdhranee v. Khaja Asanoollah	. 17 W. R., 358	1169
Oodwunt Mahtoon v, Biddhi Chand Chowdry	13 B. L. R., 216, note: 18 W.	494
Oognee Chowdrain v. Keramutoollah	R., 207. 17 W. R., 219	4269
Oojagur Roy v. Ram Khelawan Singh	10 W. R., 384 8 W. R., 220	5393
Oojagur Roy v. Ram Khelawan Singh Oojan Dewan v. Prannath Mundul	. 8 W. R., 220	6279
Oojulmoye Dossee v. Chunder Koomar Neogee .	. 12 W. R., F. B., 18	2844

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
		1010
Oojul Mundul v. Herasutoollah Mundul	7 W. R., 150	4849
Ookerda Poonsey v. Steam-ship "Savitri"	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 408	5616
Oolagappa Chetty v. Arbuthnot	14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282.	2236, 2442
311	13 W. R., 197	3578, 6071 3846
Oolfut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., 135	143
Oomabuttee v. Parushnath Pandey	12 W. R., 521	5231
Ooma Churn alias Gopal Chunder Roy Mozoomdar v.)	1	
Girish Chunder Banerjee.	25 W. R., 22 · · ·	1380, 5771
Ooma Churn Banerjee v. Rajluckhee Debia	25 W. R., 19	3000
Ooma Churn Biswas v. Shibnath Bagchee	8 W. R., 14	3798, 6090
Ooma Churn Dutt v. Beckwith	5 W. R., Act X, 3	5072
Ooma Churn Koondoo v. Bhoobun Mohun Pal	10 W. R., 98	6178
Oomadhur Bhut v. Mahomed Luteef	1 W. R., 229	536
Ooma Dutt Chowdhry v. Hunooman Chowdhry	22 W. R., 453	4312
Ooma Lochun Mojoomdar v. Nittye Chund Poddar	14 W. R., 467	2994
Oomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Raghoonath Mitter .	Marsh., 43 : W. R., F. B., 10 :)	1674, 4988
	1 Hay, 75.	5089
Oomanund Roy v. Suttish Chunder Roy	9 W. R., 471	5119 2801
Ooma Soonduree Dossee v. Bipin Beharce Roy	13 W. R., 292	4589
Oomatara Debia v. Peena Bibee	2 W. R., 155	2696
Oomatool Fatima v. Azhur Ali	15 W. R., 356 19 W. R., 22	327
	5 W. R., 132 : 1 Ind. Jur., N.)	
Oomda Bibee v. Jonab Ali	S., 143.	3632
Oomed Ali v. Mehdee Hossain	2 N. W., 2	1743
Oomedee v. Cheda Lall	2 Agra, 264	6149
Oomesh Chunder Shaha v. Manick Chunder Bonick	8 W. R., 128	4302
Oommut Fatima v. Bhujo Gopal Doss	13 W. R., 50	1748
Oomrao Begum v. Hamid Jan	3 Agra, 279 · · ·	3418
v. Khooseram	1 N. W., 181: Ed. 1873, 260 .	3378
v. Nawab Nazim of Bengal	24 W. R., 28	4862
	1 Agra, 224	3962
Oomrao Doolhaen v. Aga Meer	12 W. R., 119	676
Oomrao Singh v. Methab Koonwer	3 Agra, 103a	1710, 2111
	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed.	2119, 5821
	1873, 168.	1488
v. Shimboo Nath	2 N. W., 38	5379
Oomur Shah v. Rumzan Ali	10 W. R., 363	5280
Oomutoonnissa Beebee v. Ooreefoonissa Beebee	4 W. R., 66	3734
Oonkur Dass v. Heera Singh	1 Agra, 141	3832
Oo Noung v. Moung Htoon Oo	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 322	1528, 4777
Oopendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose .	. 17 W. R., 370, note	312
Oopendro Mohun Moostafee v. Poorno Chunder	19 W. R., 85	1782, 5622
Bhuttacharjee.)	
Oopendronath Roy v. Kalee Churn Roy	8 W. R., 394	4468
Oopendur Mohun Mustafee v. Tripp	. 5 W. R., Mis., 40	3516
Oorhya Kooer v. Rujoo Nye Sookool	. 14 W. R., 208	2293 2878, 3000
Oosman Khan v. Chowdhry Sheoraj Singh	5 N. W., 42	4923
Ootacamund Municipality v. O'Shaughnessy .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 38 .	3600
Ootshub Narain Chowdhry v. Chittra Recka Goopta	. 17 W. R., 154	4759,5018
Oottum Singh v. Ram Surun Lall	23 W. R., 287	3775
Octum v. Mullick Gholam Hossein	2 Hay, 359	288
Ootum Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Obhoy Churn Misser	W. R., F. B., 67	2283
Opender Narain Mookerjee v. Gudadhur Dey .	25 W. R., 472	26
•		

	,	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Opender Narain Mookerjee v. Gudadhur Dey	25 W. R., 476 1 L. R., 12 Calc, 473 10 W. R., 347 12 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 21 W. R., 245. 4 B. L. R., O. C., 53 5 B. L. R., Ap., 34 1. L. R., 3 All., 91: 7 C. L. R., 295: L. R., 7 L. A., 197. J. L. R., 9 Calc., 880: 13 C. L. R., 412. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 265 1. L. R., 12 Calc., 642 1. L. R., 9 Calc., 604: 13 C. L. R., 9 Calc., 604: 13 C. L. R., 10 Calc., 713 3 B. L. R., Ap., 14 3 Bom., O. C., 1	26, 3527 1803 2129 1238 6204 1554 2803, 6202 4595 2679, 4629 3113, 4288 1078 4526 129 2650 933 760
Finance Corporation. Orjoon Sahoo v. Anund Singh	2 Bom., 282: 2nd Ed., 257 . 10 W. R., 257	1105, 1734 4930
Oroon Chunder Mundul v. Romanath Rukheet Oseemunnissa Khatoon v. Ameeroomissa Khatoon Osman Singh v. Chummun Mahtoo Osseemooddeen v. Futteh Mahomed Ossuff v. Shama Ostoole v. Haridas Otioolla v. Aseerun Oudh Behari Lal v. Brajamohan Lal Oudh Behari Lal v. Brajamohan Lal Oudh Behari Narain Singh. In re Ounda v. Raheem Shere Khan Outar Singh v. Ablakhee Koonwer Overend, Gurney, and Co. v. Steele Owners of the ship "Evenhilda" v. British India Stean Navigation Company. Ozeer Mahomed v. Bydnath Doss Chowdhry Ozeeroonissa Bibee v. Ramdhun Roy Ozeerun v. Heeranund Sahoo	10 W. R., 260 20 W. R., 162 15 W. R., 87 7 W. R., 259 1 L. R., 5 Calc., 558: 5 C. L. R., 21 1 L. R., 24 All., 869 7 W. R., 194 22 W. R., 195 4 E. L. E., Ap., 41: 13 W. R., 128, 128, 128, 128, 10, 138 2 Agra, 32, 18, 8, 39 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 39 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40	3236 827 328 5650 3740 1226 1453 1632
P		1 4 4 5 2
P. v. P. Paba Khoji. In re. The petition of Pabitra Dasi v. Damudar Jana . Pachaiperumal Chetti v. Savayyar Andoni Kurusu Ravvel Pachaoni Awasthi v. Ilahi Baksh Pachoo Rare v. Goorco Churn Dass . Padagaligum Pillai v. Shanmugham Pillai	9 B. L. R., Ap., 6 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 272 7 B. L. R., 697: 24 W. R., 397, note. 6 Mad., 397 I. L. R., 4 All., 478 15 W. R., 556 2 Mad., 333	1553 616, 617 318, 2267 4762 233, 2796 5701, 5747 1424

		~
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Padamani Dasi v. Jagadamba Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 56	2988
Padarath Singh v. Raja Ram Paddo Sundari Dasi. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 4 All., 235 I. L. R., 3 All., 304	111
Paddo Sundari Dasi. In the matter of the petition of . Padmakar Vinayak Joshi v. Mahadev Krishna Joshi	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 21	3854
Padmakumari Debi Chowdhrani v. Court of Wards	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 302 : L. R.,	2140
Padma Lochan v. Sirdar Khan	8 I. A., 229. § 3 B. L. R., Ap., 91	773
	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W. R., 283.	4281
Padmamani Dasi v. Jagadamba Dasi	6 B. L. R., 134	2455, 2464 2826, 4306
Padmanabha. In re	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 18	1302
v. Thanakoti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 119	1895
Padmavati. Ex parte	5 Mad., 415	4376
v. Doolar Singh	7 W. R., P. C., 41: 4 Moore's I. A., 259.	2280
Padu Malhari v. Rakhmai	10 Bom., 435	4773, 4774
Padsha v. Tiruvembala Pagi Partap Hamir v. Varajlal Mulchand	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 479 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 259	3596 5666
Pahaladh Singh v. Luchmunbutty	12 W. R., 256	4254, 4261
Pahalwan Singh v. Muhessur Buksh Singh	12 W. R., 256 9 B. L. R., 150: 16 W. R., P. C., 5.	35, 4870
v. Muheshur Buksh Singh	12 B. L. R., 391: 18 W. R.,	5071
Pahlud Singh v. Ahlud Singh	182. 6 N. W., 91	5685
	I. L. R., 4 All., 55	5032
Paidal Kidavu v. Parakal Imbichuni Kidavu	1 Mad., 13	3763
Paigi v. Sheonarain	I. L. R., 8 All., 78 2 B. L. R., Ap., 12	5098 760, 6243
Paigi v. Sheonarain Pakaktar v. Jakriram Bhakat Pakatur v. Jakriram Bhokath	2 B. L. R., Ap., 12 11 W. R., 5	760, 6243
Pakhandu v. Manki	I. L. R., 3 All., 506	3852
Pakir Razu v. Jaganadha Narayana Ramachandra Razu	T T D H M. J MOO	5595
v. Zamindar of Salnr Palak Dhari Rai v. Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268 I. L. R., 2 All., 65	5595 268
Palamcottah Municipality v. Annasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 100	3602
Palani v. Selambara	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 267	4805
Palani v. Selambara v. Sivalinga Palaniapa v. Raya Palaniapa pa Chetty v. Rayappa Chetty	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 6	5338
Palamappa v. Kaya Palamappa v. Kaya Palamappa v. Kaya Paranna Chatty	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 325 4 Mad., 119	3594 , 384, 5220
Palanivellappa Kaundan v. Manuaru Naikan	2 Mad., 416	2387
Palaniyaudi Kaundan v. Muttusami Kaundan	2 Mad., 441	5776
Palaniyappa Chetti v. Arumugam Chetti	2 Mad., 26	477, 1812
Palany Andy Pillay. Ex parte		$\frac{2215}{3174}$
Palavarapu Mutanna v. Chauduri Narappa	4 Mad., 330	5144
Palkonda Zamindar v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 91	3166
Palliagatha Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 57	3221
Pallikunath Ramen Menon v. Mullankaji Sri Kumaran Nambudri.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 31	10
Palmer v. Balgobind Doss	7 W. R., 230	3837
a. Cohen	1 Hyde, 123	1060
v. Madhoo Persand v. Secretary of State for India Palmer's Estate. In re	2 Agra, 131 2 Agra, 194	2698
Palmer's Estate. In re	Z Agra, 194	1376 3167
Palmor's Estate. In re Paltu v. Janki Prasad	Cor., 68 6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Paltu v. Janki Prasad Palut Bhugut v. Monohur Bhugut Pana Ali v. Sadik Hossein	15 U. M. R., 171	177, 1234
Pana Ali v. Sadik Hossein	7 N. W., 201	2088
Panamchand valad Surajmal v. Bhivraj valad Dashrat .	6 Bom., A. C., 38.	3530

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Panaollah Nushyo v. Nubodeep Chunder Shaha	15 W. R. 270	2998
Panappa Mudali v. Srinivasa Mudali	3 Mad., 86	4054
Panaul Huq v. Kishen Mun Dabee	9 C. L. R., 297	790
Panappa Mudali v. Srinivasa Mudali Panaul Huq v. Kishen Mun Dabee Pancham v. Jhinguri Pancham Singh v. Ali Ahmad Panchanada Tambiran. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 4 All., 278	5124
Pancham Singh v. Ali Ahmad	L. L. R., 4 All., 58	1142
Panchanada Tambiran. In the matter of the petition of	4 Mad., 229	1048, 1989
Panchanadayyan v. Nilakandayyan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 191	1430, 4391
Panchanan Bose v. Gurudas Roy Panchanan Mookerjee v. Radhanath Mookerjee	9 B. L. R., 187:18 W.R., 317	5135
Panchanan Mookerjee v. Radhanath Mookerjee	4 B. L. R., A. C., 213	5129 697, 2502
Panch Cowree Mahtoon v. Kalee Churn ,	9 W. R., 490 {	4032
Panchcowrie Mull v. Chumroolall	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 563 : 2 C. L. R., 121.	1573
Panchi Dassi v. Ahmedulla	12 C. L. R., 444	4769
	8 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 16 W. R.,	
Panchudas v. Shudhamayi	Cr., 72.	3742
Pandah Gazi v. Jennuddi	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 665 : 2 C. L. R., 526,	3285
Pandaiya Telaver v. Puli Telaver	1 Mad., 478 {	2319, 2416 2440
Pandey Bishonath Roy v. Bhyrub Singh	7 W. R., 145	4859
Pandit Hait Ram v. Mulu	7 N. W., 110	5388
Pandoorung Buhal Pundit v. Balkrishen Hurbajce (Mahajun.	5 W. R., P. C., 124: 2 Moore's } I. A., 60.	1666
Pandu v. Devii	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 287	4532
v. Surbomongola Dossee	1 W. R. 22	1578
Pandurang v. Krishnaji Vyanktesh	12 Pom., 65	2279
Pandurang Anandray v. Bhaskar Shadashiy	1 W. R., 22 12 Pom., 65 11 Bom., 72	2396, 5386
Pandurang Annai v. Keshavii Jadhavii	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 742	6232
Pandurang Govind v. Balkrishna Hari	6 Pom., A. C., 125	4173, 4177
Pandurang Kamti v. Venkatesh Pai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 95, note	2384
Pandurang Kamti v. Venkatesh Pai Pandurang Ramchandra v. Narayan Pandurang Sadashiv v. Moro Vasudev Pandurang Sakharan v. Vadasehwar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 300	1037
Pandurang Sadashiv v. Moro Vasudev	6 Bonn., A. C., 68	5129 3050
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 70	110
Pandurungy Annachariyar v. Iyathory Mudaly Pandya Nayak, In re	4 Mad., 443	21, 250
Panioty v. Dwarka Mohun Dass	4 C. L. R., 145	4614
		1634, 3016
v. Juggut Chunder Dutt	9 W. R., 379	4168
v. Parbutty Chowdhrain	8 W. R., 492	1695
Panjab Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 579	1287
Dan 17 Dha 17	6 N. W., 19: Agra, F. B., }	161
	1874, 298.	
Panna Lall v. Gopiram Buzuriah	3 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1761
Pannalal Seal v. Bama Sundari Dasi	6 B. L. R., 732	48, 220
Panye Chunder Sircar v. Hurchunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 496	5272 5211
Papammal v. Ramaswami Chetti Papasastrial v. Anuntarama Sastrial Parabdi Sahani v. Mahomed Hossein	2 Mad., 365	1864
Parahdi Sahani v. Mahamad Hassain	1 B. L. R., A. C., 37	1575, 481
Parabhudas Hirachand v. Dhondu	2 Bom., 233 : 2nd Ed., 222	4821
Paradutt Lall v. Ruttun Singh .	2 Born., 233: 2nd Ed., 222 5 N. W., 242	5447
Paradutt Lall v. Ruttun Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 301	792
Paraga Kuar v. Bhagwan Din Parakh Govardhanbhai Haribhai v. Ransardas Dulabhdas	12 Bom., 51	1068
Parakut Assen Cutty v. Edapally Chennen	2 Mad., 266	3191
Param v. Achal	I. L. R., 4 All., 289	315, 117
Paramma v. Ramachandra	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 302	1072,619
Param Shook Doss v. Rasheed-ood-Dowlah	7 Mad., 285	395, 355

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Param Singh v. Lalji Mal Param Sukh v. Ram Dayal Paran Chandra Pa v. Karunasayi Dasi Paran Chunder Ghose v. Chukkun Lall Roy	I. L. R., 1 All., 403 I. L. R., 8 All., 650 7 B. L. R., 90: 15 W. R., 268 20 W. R., 402	1687, 3429 1872 2088 5727
Paran Kusam Narasaya Pantulu v. Stuart	. 2 Mad., 396	464, 2784 6277
Paranjpe v. Kanade Paran Singh v. Jawahir Singh Parasara Bhattar v. Rangaraja Bhattar Parasoorama Pillay v. Ramasawuny alias Coolla Rama	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 148 I. L. R., 6 All., 366 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 202	818 3515 2122, 2288
sawny. Parasram v. Gardner Parasurama Chedumbraiyan v. Kristnaiyan Paravartani. Ex parte	I. L. R., 1 All., 355 5 Mad., 462 1 Mad., 197	5656 3475 5674 4280
v. Ambalavana Pillai Parbati v. Sundar Parbati Charan v. Panchanand Parbati Charan Mookerjee v. Rajkrishna Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 All., 1 I. L. R., 6 All 243 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 162	2133, 4305 1889, 5704 4203 1577, 2213
v. Ramuarayan Mati Lal	5 B. L. R., 396: 16 W. R., 164, note.	3290, 3291 3438
Parbati Charan Mukhopadhya v. Kali Nath Mukho- padhya.	5 6 D. L. K., Ap., 75	338, 2855
Parbati Churn Deb v. Ain-ud-deen	{ I. L. R., 7 Calc., 577 : 9 C. L. } R., 170.	4262, 4300
Parbatti v. Bhikun	8 B. L. R., Ap., 98	11, 2770 4290
Parbhudas Pranjivandas v. Ramlal Bhagirath Parbhudas Rayaji v. Motiram Kalyandas	3 Bom., O. C., 69 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 203	923, 1065 4389
Parbhu Narayan Singh. In re	3 B. L. R., A. C., 370: 12 W.	224
Parbuttee v. Krishan Purtab Bahadur Sahie Parbutti v. Misser Chimmun Lall Parbutti Churn Bose. In the matter of Parbutti Churn Mozoomdar v. Nobin Chunder Sen Parbutti Dassi v. Ram Chand Bhuttacharjee	R., 323. 5 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 44 1 Agra, 82 3 C. L. R., 406 13 C. L. R., 195 3 C. L. R., 576	4294 1373 4740 871 4474
Parbuttinath Roy v. Tejomoy Banerji	. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 303 .	558, 3210 4247
Parbutty v. Girdaree Lall v. Greedharee Lall	6 W. R., Mis., 125 4 W. R., Mis., 15	5452 6091
v. Higgin	. 17 W. R., 475	11, 2770
Parbutty Bewa v. Woomatara Dabee Parbutty Churn Doss v. Protap Chunder Sen	. 14 B. L. R., 201	4290 3054 1173, 5782
Parbutty Churn Sen v. Mondari	5 I. L. R., 5 Calc., 594: 5 C.)	579
Parbutty Churn Soor v. Promothonath Ghose Parbutty Coomar v. Sudabut Persad Parbutty Dassi v. Purno Chunder Singh Parbutty Mallares v. Purno Chunder Singh	L. R., 513. W. R., 1864, 174 2 Hay, 315 L. L. R., 9 Calc., 586	5254 2358 1841
Parbutty Moflessa v. Rajoo	W. R., 1864, 88 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 276: 1 C. L.	3397
Parbutty Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Mudho Paroe . Parecchut v. Rugho Goordeo	t R., 592.	3232, 3411
Parell Mill Company. In re Parell Spinning and Weaving Company v. Manek Haji	2 N. W., 48 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 211 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 483	847 954 3357
Pareshmani Dasi v. Dinanath Das	{ 1 B. L. R., A. C., 117: 11 } W. R., O. C., 19, note.	2335

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
arcsh Narain Roy v. Gaur Sunker Bhumick .	{ 6 B. L. R., Ap., 154: 15 W. }	1627
aresh Nath Dey v. Nabin Chandra Dutt	5 B. L. R., Ap., 77, note: 12 W, R., 93.	170
aresnath Mookerjee v. Binadiram Sen	{ 4 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. }	4346
ares Nath Mukhopadhya v. Kistomohan Saha	3 B. L. R., Ap., 105; 12 W. ?	2701, 577
areyasami <i>alias</i> Kottai Tevar v. Saluckai Tevar <i>alias</i> Oyya Tevar	R., 50.	336, 1406 2325, 2394 2518
ariag Dutt Roy v. Fekoo Roy	. 9 W. R., 160	539
arichat v. Zalim Singh	{ I. L. R., 3 Calc., 214: L. R., } 4 I. A., 159.	2153, 241
arimi Bapurazu v. Bellamkonda Chinna Venkayya arkash Ojha v. Dusruth Ojha arke Pittar. In the matter of arke Pittar & Co. In the matter of	3 Mad., 238	8771 4340 2666 2668
armanandas v. Venayekrao	I. L. R., 7 Born., 19: 12 C. L. R., 92: L. R., 9 I. A.,	2545
armaya v. Sonde Shrimiyasapa	86. I. L. R., 4 Bom., 459	4826
armeshar Dat v. Hari Naik	. 7 W. R., 357 {	364,.37 1452
armeshari Proshad Narain Singh v. Mahomed Syud	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 608: 7 C. L.]	1984, 457
armselaur Rai v. Bisheshar Singh 'arrakel Kondi Monon v. Vadakentil Kuuni Penna 'arrott v. Ram Suhay Singh 'arry & Co. v. Appasami Pillai 'arshadi Lal v. Chumi La 'v. Muhammad Zain-ul-abdin 'v. Rum Dial 'arshotana Ranchod v. Jagjivan Mayaram 'arsham v. Hiraman 'arsham v. Hiraman 'arsidah Narain Singh v. Honoman Sahai 'arsotam Keshavdas v. Kalyan Rayji 'arsotam Lal v. Lijai 'artab Singh v. Beni Ram 'arthasaradi. In re	K, 504. J. L. R., 1 All., 53 2 Mad., 41 W. R., 1864, 35 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 407 I. L. R., 6 All., 142 J. L. R., 5 All., 573 I. L. R., 2 All., 744 I Bom., 60 I. L. R., 268 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 413 II C. L. R., 268 I. L. R., 2 All., 101 I. L. R., 2 All., 101 I. L. R., 2 All., 61 I. L. R., 8 Mal., 144	2476 3757 4941 1999, 312 2653, 344 3258 1472 4820 4440 2398 1584 5515 819 5861
arthasaradi Ayyangar v. Chinna Krishna Ayyangar	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 304 . }	5033, 526 5269
harum Soohb v. Seota Ram Parush Nath Misser v. Bundah Ali Arushullah Mundul v. Kheroo Mundul Parushath Shaha v. Brojolal Gossain Parvathi v. Manjayakarantha	2 Agra, 119	2895 3378 1223 1378 2082, 52 1362, 28 5651
Parvati v. Bhiku	. 4 Bom., A. C., 25	2525, 49
v. Kisan Sing Passamma Row Garu v. Toleti Venkiya Passunore v. Calentta Docking Company Pasupati Latchmia v. Pasupati Muthambhatlu Patankar v. Devji Patashe Dobain v. Hurdeo Narain Sahoo Pater v. Gordon	5 Mad., 198	2260, 35 634 2646 1888 821 2704 4608

Name of Ca 2. Volume and Pag	e. Column of Digest,
Pathoo Lal v. Radhika Doss 3 N. W., 106	6119
Pathuma v. Salimamma I. L. R., 8 Mad., 83	5069
Patil Hari Premji v. Hakamchand I. L. R., 10 Bom., 36	3 2406
Patinharipat Krishnan Unni Nambiar v. Chekur Ma-	4251
Pattabhiramier v. Venkatarow Naicken	W. R., ore's I. 3956, 6009
A., 560.)
Pattakara Annamalai Goundan v. Rangasami Chetti . I. L. R., 6 Mad., 365	795
Pattaravy Mudali v. Audimula Mudali 5 Mad., 419 .	4880
Pattarey Sircar v. Surno Moyee 24 W. R., 185	563
Patterson v. Secretary of State for India I. L. R., 3 All., 669	653
Patthauji. In re 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 202	
Patton v. Huree Ram	2785
Pattu Lal v. Luchman Parshad 7 N. W., 155 .	3876
Paul v. Donohoy 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 27	7 1946
Paul Doss. In the matter of	4110
Pauliem Valoo Chetty v. Pauliem Sooryah Chetty [I. L. R., 1 Mad., 252] 4 I. A., 109.	: L. R., }
Paul Rai v. Ram Hit Panday 1 Agra, 139	1777
Paupamma v. Chinna Reddy 5 Mad., 432 .	5685
Paupayya v. Narasannah I. L. R., 2 Mad., 216	1865, 1913
Pavaday Chetti. Ex parte 2 Mad., 319	1044
Pava Nagaji v. Govind Ramji 10 Bom., 382 .	2717
Payappa bin Sheshappa Nadni v. Dhondo Narayan 5 Bom., A. C., 26	1542
Payn v. Administrator General of Bengal I. L. R., 6 Calc., 766 : R., 221.	6 C. L. 6020
Payne Constable	3113
Payyayinda Avidatha Peringadi Ibrayi v. Pudiya 3 5 Mad., 283	5266
Peacock v. Byjnath I. L. R., 10 Calc., 58	1038
Peal v. Campbell	6195
Penree Bewah v. Nokoor Kurmokar	5696
Pearce Daye v. Hurbuns Koer	266
Pearee Dayee v. Hurbunsee Kooer 19 W. R., 127	5266
Pearee Debea v. Boydonauth Baugh Marsh., 413: 2 Hay,	537 . 3776
Pearee Lall v. Bukhoree Lall 12 W. R., 124	2343
Pearee Lall Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of . 7 W. R., 130	5917
Pearce Lall Shaha v. Woomesh Chunder Mozoomdar . 9 W. R., 140	2069, 3199
Pearee Mohun Bera v. Shama Churn Mytee 19 W. R., 34	775
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Gobind Chunder Addy 10 W. R., 56 .	3317, 3382
Pearee Mohun Bose v. Hurish Chunder Ghose 17 W. R., 141 .	756, 768
Pearee Mohun Dutt v. Gooroodoss Dutt 20 W. R., 401	1487
v. Radha Madhub Mookerjee . 10 W. R., 427 .	1611
Pearee Mohun Ghose v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co	555, 558
Pearee Mohun Mitter v. Mohendro Narain Singh 23 W. R., 465 .	3204
Pearce Mohun Mookerjee v. Annund Moyee Debia . 9 W. R., 158 .	1607
v. Brojo Mohun Bose 21 W. R., 36	6175
v 22 W. R., 423 .	6175
v. Gosto Behary Dey 26 W. R., 104 .	690, 5382
v. Koylash Chunder Byragee . 23 W. R., 58	1603
v. Madhub Chunder Ghosal . 9 W. R., 489	6233
v. Raj Kristo Mookerjee . 21 W. R., 259 .	3067
v 20 W. R., 385 .	3798, 3801
Pearee Mohun Poddar v. Jugobundhoo Sen . 20 W. R., 385 . 24 W. R., 418	4467.
Pearce Mohan Roy v. Chunder Nath Roy 18 W. R., 408 .	4264

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pearcemohun Roy Chowdhry v Assadkhan Pearcemohun Soor v. Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry Pearce Monee Bibee v. Madhub Singh Pearce Monee Dosse v. Collector of Beerbhoom v. Thakoor Doss Dutt	18 W. R., 444 7 W. R., 258 15 W. R., 93 8 W. R., 300 21 W. R., 443	5697 6127 2350 5436 628
Peareenath Brohmo v. Juggessuree alias Rakhalee Dossee.	15 W. R., 128	1903
Paure Soondure Dossee v. Eshan Chunder Bose Peares Soonduri Debia v. Blubo Soonduree Debia Peari Mohun Dutt v. Borkant Nath Dutt Peari Mohun Mockhopathya v. Jadahu Chunder Baboo Peari Mohun Mukerjee v. Audhiraj Aftab Chand . Peari Mohun Mukerje v. Banshi Maghi Peari Mohun Mukherji v. Banshi Maghi	16 W. R., 302 23 W. R., 32 11 C. L. R., 312 23 W. R., 385 10 C. L. R., 526 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 757 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 745	3843 1879 3370 580 7 1613 1740
Pearson v. Madhub Chunder Ghose Pearun v. Ahmed Ali Khan v. Ram Narain Singh alias Runnoo Singh	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 387, note 4 W. R., Mis., 7 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 213	. 5641 3816 3022
Peary Lall v. Bhawoot Keer	W. R., F. B., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., 3	2360
Peare Lall Mozoomdar v. Komal Kishore Dassia . Peary Mohun Doss v. McArthur — v. Parbutty Churn Mookerjee . Peary Mohun Ghosaul v. Harran Chunder Gangooly .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 30 Marsh., 637 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 40 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 261	5017 546 752 5717, 5970
Peary Mohun Gooho. In the matter of the peti ?	11 B. L. R., 312	4443
tion of.	24 W. R., Cr., 40	4505
Peary Mohun Roy v. Chundee Kanth Roy	11 W. R., 90	5831 3396
v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 639	6067 6067
Pedda Amani v. Zemindar of Marungapuri	13 C. L. R., 80 14 B. L. R., 115: 21 W. R., 358: L. R., 1 I. A., 268, 282.	2442, 3578 6071
Peddamuthulaty v. Timma Reddy	2 Mad., 270 {	50, 1577 2942
Peddamuttu Viramani v. Appu Rau	2 Mad., 117 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 286: 8 C.)	2313 2327
Pedda Ramappa v. Bangari Seshamma	L. R., 315: L. R., 8 I. A., 1.	
Pedda Siva Reddi. In re Pedda Veneatapa Naidoo v. Aroovala Roodrapa (Naidoo	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 238 6 W. R., P. C., 13: 2 Moore's } I. A., 504.	5538 4458
Peeloo Chowdhrain v. Court of Wards Peera v. Shimbhoo	7 W. R., 219	5621 145, 4557 5189
Peerbux v. Mowzah Ally	W. R., F. B., 10: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7: Marsh., 25:	2979
Peer Mahomed v. Nekjan Bibee	1 Hay, 89. 25 W. R., 49 21 W. R., Cr., 66	4330 2912
Peetambur Chatterjee v. Kaleechurn Roy	11 B. L. R., 137, note: 14 W.	2721
Pegoo Jan v. Mullick Waizooddeen	13 W. R., 396	2244 4032, 5141
Peitsch v. Commercial Banking Corporation	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278	945 5638

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pelaram Kotal v. Nund Coomar Chuttoram	6 W. R., Act X, 45	1642
Peli v. Valetta	5 C. L. R., 464	4523
Pem Kooer v. Upper Balee Sing	2 N. W., 86	538, 2331
em Kooer v. Upper Balee Sing emraj Bhavaniram v. Narayan Shivaram Khisti	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 215	4200, 4459
Pendse v. Malse	3 Bom., A. C., 94	289, 5822
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Konnylall Dutt.	2 Hyde, 21	3072
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Manikji Nasarvanji Padsha.	4 Bom., O. C., 169	593, 1764
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. j	Bourke, A. O. C., 166: 5 Bom.,	3791
Secretary of State for India. Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. Small	Ap., 1. Bourke, O. C., 309	601
Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. v. ?	5 Bom., O. C., 113	593
Somaji Vishram. Penuballi Subharamareddi v. Bhimaraju Ramaya .	2 Mad., 21	3341
People's Bank v. Obbard	2 Hyde, 57	467
•	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 811: 6 C. L. ?	
Pepin v. Chunder Seekur Mookerjee }	R., 167.	1201, 3314
Perammal v. Venkatammal	1 Mad., 223	2313
Pereira. In the matter of	1 Mad., 217	2671
Pereira v. Pereira	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 118	1548
Pergash Koer v. Mahabir Pershad Narain Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 582	4011, 6034
Periandi v. Angappa	I. L. R. 7 Med. 423	3956
Periasami v. Periasami	L. R., 5 I. A., 61 : I. L. R., 1 Mad., 312.	2325, 2394 2450
Peria Sidden v. Doddali	6 Mad., 307.	1425
Periya Gaundan v. Tirumala Gaundan	1 Mad., 206	1415, 1469
Periyanayagam Pillai v. Virappa Naikan	7 Mad., 51	3589
Periyanna Chetty v. Govinda Gounden	5 Mad., 104	6237
Periyasami v. Seshadri Ayyangar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 11	3746
Perladh Sein v. Bhoodoo Singh	10 Moore's I. A., 78	4640
v. Gunness Lall Tewary	25 W. R., 540	3183
Perladh Sen v. Runjeet Roy	W. R., 1864, 68	3289
Perladh Singh Bahadoor v. Broughton	24 W. R., 275	2748, 4027
Perlathail Subba Rau v. Mankude Narayana	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 113	3581
Permeshree Doss v. Raikoondun Singh	3 Agra, 3	4549
Permessur Dutt Sahee v. Audh Sahajee	5 W. R., 40	4299
Permessurce Pershad Narain Singh v. Nubee Buksh	2 W. R., Act X, 101	3800
Perrin v. Ledlie	15 W. R., 364	4770
Perroux v. Luchmeeput Singh	12 W. R, 113	6095
Pershad v. Shivaram	2 N. W., 59	1488
Pershad Doss v. Denonath Dey	2 Hyde, 14	3200
Pershadi Lal v. Irshad Ali	2 N. W., 100	3715
Pershad Sein v. Rajendra Kishore	7 W. R., 338	274
Pershad Singh v. Chedee Lall	15 W. R., 1	3363, 3396
'Persia." In re the	6 Bom., O. C., 138	5647
Pertab Chunder Banerjee v. Omur Sirdar	25 W. R., 89	3
v-Philippe	2 W. R., Act X, 56	2931
Pertab Narain Singh v. Subhao Kooer	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 626: 1 C. L. R., 113: L. R., 4 I. A., 228.	2535, 4226
v	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 184 : L. R., 5 I. A., 171.	4657
a Tuilskingth Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 186: L. R., ?	2515, 4228
v. Trilokinath Singh	11 I. A., 197.	5058
Pertab Singh v. Khoosial Singh Peru Bepari v. Ronno Maifarash	2 Agra, 90	2267
	I. L. R. Calc., 164	417

Name of Case.		, Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Peru Nayar v. Ayyaappan Nayar		I. L. R., 2 Mad., 282	3761
Pestanji Cursetji. In re		3 Bom., O. C., 42	408
Pestanji Mancharji Wadia v. Matchett		7 Bom., A. C., 10 . {	1033, 4280 5828
Pestanji Shapurji Kaka. In re		8 Bom., O. C., 37	2073
Pestonjee Eduljee Gurdur v. Mahomed Ali		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 541	4260
Pestonjee Nesserwanjee v. Gool Mahomed Sahib .		7 Mad., 369	4594, 4595
Pestonjee Nuserwanjee v. Manockjee & Co. Pestonjee Nusserwanjee v. Maneckjee	•	1 Ind. Jnr., N. S., 69	352 $181,362$
		12 Moore's I. A., 112: 10 W. ?	181, 361
v. Maneckjee & Co.	ં	R., P. C., 51.	362
Pestonji Bezonji v. Abdool Rahiman		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 463	3380, 4886
Petambar Baboo v. Nilmony Singh Deo	°	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 793	5102 1073, 1724
Petamber Manikjee v. Motee Chund Manikjee 🔹	. }	5 W. R., P. C., 53:1 Moore's L. A., 420.	4653
Petambur Dutt v. Hurrish Chunder Dutt		15 W. R., 200	2353
Petambur Jugi v. Nasaruddy		25 W. R., Cr., 4	4105, 6160
Petambur Shaha v. Kuroona Moyee Debea	•	W. R., 1864, 321 6 W. R., 92	3174 1509
Peter v. Dufour		23 W. R., 62	1036
Peters v. Manuk		13 B. L. R., 383 : 22 W. R., 175	1215,5900
Petman v. Bull		I. L. R., 5 Ali., 371	4335, 6017
Petumber Bhugutt v. Muthoora Dass		1 Agra, 121	4595 3445
Petumber Dey v . Juggunnath Roy	٠ :	18 W. R., 130	
Petumber Mundle v . Cochrane	. į	O. C., 339.	2627
v. Gocool Doss Soonderjee	. {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 327: Bourke, O. C., 240.	424, 2627
Petumber Shadoo Khan v. Doyamoyee Dossee		12 W. R., 17	5706
Phate Saheb Bibi v. Damodar Premji		I. L. R., 3 Bom., 84	3662 1815
Pheloo Monee Dossia v. Greesh Chunder Bhuttach Phillips v. Nund Coomar Banerjee	arjee .	8 W. R., 515	6103
Phillott v. Morris	:	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375	6215
Phiran v. Bahoran		7 N. W., 367 {	173, 375
			1702 5763
Phoodee Bibee v. Gobind Chunder Roy Phookun Pandey v. Sookkia		22 W. R., 272 10 W. R., 436	2355
			1362, 2837
Phoolbasee Koer v. Parjun Singh		12 W. R., 369 {	5650
Phoolbas Kooer v. Lalla Juggessur Sahoy .		18 W. R., 48	2391, 4254 4261
			902, 2346
T 11 T 0 11		14 TT D 040	2445, 2515
		14 W. R., 340 }	3160, 4254
		TT DIGI SSS T D	4261 2392, 3159
Phoolbas Koonwur v. Lalla Jogeshur Sahoy	. :	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 226 : L. R., 3 I. A., 7 : 25 W. R., 285.	3160, 4649
Phool Bibee v. Goor Surun Doss		18 W. R., 485	146, 184
Phool Chund v. Shiva Pershad		2 Agra, Mis., 4	4245
Phool Chund Brojobassee. In the matter of		16 W. R., Cr., 35	2599 1408
Phool Chunder Lall v. Sheorance Koonwar .		9 W. R., 104 9 W. R., 107	2206
Phool Chund Lall v. Rughoobuns Suhaye .		2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 50:7 W.	
Phool Coomaree Beebee v. Oonkurpershad Boistol	bee	R., 67	5 0111,000
Phoolharee v. Bishessur Pershad		. 3 Agra, 301 · · ·	5728 1496
Phool Koer v. Sheoburun Singh	•	. 12 W. R., 489	1490

	1	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Phool Koer alias Kunhya Koer v. Dabeepershad Phool Koomaree Bibee v. Woonkar Pershad Rus- toby.	12 W. R., 187	282, 2203 · 3114 ·
Phool Koonwar v. Chardon	6 W. R., Act X, 89 I. L. R., 1 All., 661	6154 2495
Phulahra v. Jeolal Sing	T. L. R., 6 All., 52	2916, 2975 5083
Phul Chand v. Lachmi Chand	I. L. R., 4 All., 486 I. I. R., 4 All., 309 I. L. R., 7 All., 340 8 B. L. R., 385: 17 W. R.,	2162 2184 2644 2447
Phuljhari Kooer. In the matter of the petition of	102.	1
Phul Koeri alias China Koeri, In re Phul Kuar v. Murli Dhar v. Surjan Pandey Phulman Rai v. Daui Kuari Piarey Ind v. Saliga Piari v. Khiali Ran Pichandi v. Kandasami Picharkuty Mudali v. Narnyanappa Aiyan	8 B. L. R., 388, note I. L. R., 2 All., 527 I. L. R., 4 All., 249 I. L. R., 1 All., 452 I. L. R., 2 All., 394 I. L. B., 3 All., 587 I. L. B., 3 All., 539 2 Mad., 243	2446 3888 6241 4545 3167, 6054* 4887 3222 1095
Pierce v. Opendra Shetti Ganapathy	7 Mad., 364	4405, 4414
Pietsch v. Commercial Banking Corporation v. Eastern Bengal Indigo Company Piffard. In the natter of Pigou v. Mohamed Aboo Syed v. Ram Kishen Pigue v. Golabram Pillans v. Peniusular and Oriental Steam-ship Com-	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 363 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 278 1 Hyde, 79 3 C. L. R., 253 2 W. R., 301 1 W. R., 75 1 Ind., Jur., O. S., 68	945 5638 1040 5005 591 588, 2211 *
pany. Pinsent. Ex parte Piran v. Ram Buksh Pirathi Singh v. Vencatramanayyan Pirbhai Khimji v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 276 2 Agra, 346 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 419 8 Bom., O. C., 59	482 1656 5787 690
Pirbhai Raviji v. Nenbai. Pirjada Nasarudin v. Venkat Prablu Pirjade v. Pirjade Pirthee Singh v. Dya Kishun	3 Bom., O. C., 164 9 Bom., 113 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 681 5 N. W., 226 : Agra, F. B., 2 Ed. 1874, 278.	3115 1891 3195, 3493 5233
	12 B. L. R., 238:20 W. R., 21: L. R., I. A., Sup.	2414, 2426
v. Sheo Soonduree v. Sheo Soonduree Pirthipal Singh v. Husaini Jan Pirthiram Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of . Pirthi Singh v. Sobhan Singh Pirtam Koormy v. Bhikaree Pitambar Dhari v. Sambhajirav Pitambar Sadhu Khan. In re Pitamber Dey Mozoomdar v. Ishan Chunder Dutt Biswas. Pitamber Girdhar. In the matter of	Vol., 203. 8 W. R., 261 I. L. R., 4 All., 361 20 W. R., Cr., 51 I. L. R., 4 All., 1 2 N. W., 364 8 Bom, A. C., 185 6 B. L. R., 390, note 18 W. R., 169 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 638 {	2280, 2284 3642 4495 82, 3856 5182 609 5706 93 4531, 4670 5117
Pitamber Narayandas v. Vanmali Shamji	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 1	3909
Pitamber Panda v. Damoodur Doss	24 W. R., 129 {	5329, 5338 5339

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Pitamburee Chowdhrain v. Nobin Kristo Mookerjee .	18 W. R., 205	5308
Pitambur Chuckerbutty v. Bhyrubnath Paleet	15 W. R., 52	1135, 1137
Pitambur Dey. In the matter of	17 W. R., Cr., 57	1453, 5675 4859
Pitambur Kurmokar v. Ramtunoo Rov	10 W. R., 123	1640
Pitambur Misser v. Ram Surun Sookool	25 W. R., 7	3906
Pitambur Mookerjee v. Hurce Narain Thakoor	W. R., 1864, 50	4399
Pitambur Pyne v. Toolsee Dossee Pitambur Sen v. Debnath Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 39 18 W. R., 132	4294, 4446 3337
Pitambur Shaha v. Ramjoy Ghose	7 W. R., 92	4860, 507
Pitam Singh v. Ujagar Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 651	993
Pitaye Ahung v. Girghee Koer Ajooah	11 W. R., 365	5822
Pitchakutti Chetti v. Kamalla Nayakkan	1 Mad., 153 {	697, 306
v. Ponnamma Natehiyar	1 36 3 740	5804 3579
Pitchayya v. Narasayya	I. L. B., 7 Mad., 246	1134
Pitchi v. Ankappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 102	961
Pittapur Raja v. Buchi Sitayya	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 219	4661,502
Ditto alan Dan Britton Rau	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 520	4878 1356
Pittumber Doss v. Rutton Bullub Doss	W. R., 1864, 213	1363
Pitum Koonwar alias Munar Bibee v. Joy Kishen	(2301, 232
Doss.	(0 W.W., 101)	2528
Piziruddin v. Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	[B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 75: 2]	2058
Pleaders of the High Court, Bombay. In re .	W. R., 15. 5 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 105	4435
Pogha Mahton v. Gooroo Baboo	14 W. R., 114	753
Pogose v. Anund Chunder Gohoo	1 W. R., 81	4618
v. Aozan Bibee	18 W. R., 274	5627
v. Ashanoollah	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 710, note	5938
v. Bank of Bengal	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 174	590
v. Boistub Lall	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1: 6 W. R., Mis., 104.	3469
v. Catchiek	1. L. R., 3 Calc., 708: 2 C. 7	186, 593
	L. R., 278.	
v. Delhi and London Banking Co. v. Ekram Hossein	T. L. R., 10 Calc., 951 15 W. R., 483	2585 5106
v. Fukurooddeen Mahomed Ahsan alias Ali-	1	1
mooddeen Chowdhry.	25 W. R., 343	1922
v. Mokoond Chunder Surma	25 W. R., 36	1750
v. Rajoo Dhopee	22 W. R., 511	4926
Pohkar Pershad v. Punchum Rae Poholi Mullick v. Fukeer Chunder Patnaik	2 N. W., 235 22 W. R., 349	358 5072
Pokhraj Singh v. Gossain Munraj Poorce	12 W. R., 281	5407
Pole v. Gordon	1 2 Hyde, 289: Cor., 83:	4603
Poli v. Narotum Bapu	6 Bom., A. C., 183 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 234	2304
	[I. L. R., 5 Cale., 50 : 4 C.)	
Polokdhari Roy v. Radha Pershad Singh	L. R., 342.	204
Polokdhari Rai v. Radha Persad Singh	[L. L. R., 8 Calc., 28 : L. R.,]	204
Ponambilath Parapravan Kunhamod Haji v. Ponam-	8 I. A., 165.	
bilath Paraprayan Kuttiath Haji.	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 169	3759,37
Ponampilath Parapravan Kuthath Haji v. Ponampilath	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 79	3538
Parapravan Bavotti Haji.	1. II. II., 5 MINI., 19	
Ponacollah v. Tumeezooddeen ·	2 W. R., 32	379

		Column
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of Digest,
•		Digest.
Ponnambala Mudaliyar v. Varaguna Rama Pandia	7 Mad, 117	2841
Chinnatambiar.	7 Mad., 117	
Ponnappa Pillai v. Pappuvayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 1	2169, 5393
· · · ·	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 343	2186
Ponnusami v. Thatha	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 273	2265
Ponnusami Chetti v. Krishna Aiyyar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 222	1541,5890
v. Queen · · · ·	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 69	463 4099, 5262
0,	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203 . {	5267
73 * AT. 1 Demonsor Assess	T T D 9 35 1 000	1148, 5905
Ponnusami Nadan v. Dorasami Ayyan Ponnusami Pillai v. Pachai		1541
		906, 2856
Ponnusamy Tevar v. Collector of Madura	3 Mad., 35	5876
Ponnusawmi Taver v.	5 Mad., 6	2617, 4572
Poolin Beharee Sein v. Neemaye Chand	7 W. R., 472	1612
377 4. 0.0	(138, 4148
		4401
Poolin Behary Sein v. Luteefoonnissa Bibee .	Marsh., 107: 1 Hay, 242 .	1606, 5750
Poolunder Singh v. Rampershad		2084
Poona Churn Pal. In the matter of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 447	5945
Poona Kooer. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 101 : 24 W. R., 376.	5115
		3598
Poonen. Ex parte. Poonheet Kooer v. Kishen Kishore Narain Singh.	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174	3364
Danit Chal a Madha Dhat	23 W. R., 419 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 270	4358
Toolit brigh & Made Bide	15 B. L. R., Ap., 5:23 W.	i
Poonoo Bibee v. Fyez Buksh	15 B. L. R., Ap., 5:23 W. R., 66.	1107
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 253: 8 C. ?	4513
Poorna Chunder Sen v. Prosunno Coomar Dass .	L. R., 443.	4919
Poornanund Surkhel v. Huro Soonduree Debia .	13 W. R., 208	3513
Poornimah Chowdhrain v. Dropodee Dossee	W. R., 1864, 103	2349
Poorno Chunder Banerjee v. Ram Kanaye Ghose .	24 W. R., 243	5345
Poorno Chunder Bose v. Nobin Chunder Ghose	14 W. R., 77	5399
Poorno Chunder Chatterjee v. Chunder Coomar Roy	24 W. R., 171	5754
Poorno Chunder Coondoo v. Prosonno Coomar Sikdar Poorno Chunder Doss Chowdhry v. Sreenath Goopto	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 123	3449
Poorno Chunder Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Doss	6 W. R., 173 17 W. R., 87	5325 3290
Poorno Chunder Gangooly v. Kishen Chunder Ghose	5 W. R., 112	1140
Poorno Chunder Ghose v. Gobind Chunder Mookerjee	22 W. R., 28	4758
Poorno Chunder Mookerjee. In the matter of	Bourke, O. C., 377	455
Poorno Chunder Roy v. Balfour	9 W. R., 535	506
v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee v. Kristo Chunder Singh	14 W. R., 473	5607
v. Kristo Chunder Singh .	23 W. R., 171	578
n Nund Tall Ghose	7 W. R., 25	4934
v. Stalkartt	10 W. R., 362	2926, 4413
Poorno Doss v. Oojoodhiproshad	3 W. R., Act X, 16 1 W. R., 249	2915
Poorno Persad Roy v. Chunder Nath Chatterjee .	1 W. R., 249	5776
Poorno Singh v. Hurry Churn Surmah	10 B. L. R., 117: 18 W. R.,	3711
Poorsuttum Chunder v. Gour Soonder Pandey .	440.	5738
Poorun Chand Galeecha v. Paresh Nath Singh .	18 W. R., 283 12 W. R., 82	5758 5264
Poorumull v. Toolsee Ram	3 Agra, 350	2439
Poorun Narain Dutt v. Kasheessuree Dossee	3 W. R., 180	2247
Poorun Singh v. Kasheenath Singh	6 W. R., 20	3158
	15 B. L. R., 264 : 23 W. R.,	
Poosun Lall Sahoo v. Gowrunbutty	365.	2164
Pootee Begum v. Indurjeet Kooer	12 W. R., 201	827
	1	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column
Name of Case.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Digest.
Poran Bebee v. Ally Khan Poran Chunder Ghose v. Gopeenath Singh Poran Misra v. Harsaran Misra Poran Mundul Mollah v. Sham Chand Ghose Poran Sookh Chunder v. Parbutty Dossee Poresh Naraiu Mondul v. Khettro Monee Debia Poresh Narain Roy. In the matter of v. Kassi Chunder Talukdar v. Surnt Soonduree Debee v. Watson v. Watson & Co. Poresh Narain Roy v.	W. R., 1864, Act X, 61 8 W. R., 505 8 B. L. R., Ap., 55 1 W. R., 228 I. L. R., 3 Cale., 612 : 1 C. 1 L. R., 3 Cale., 612 : 1 C. 1 L. R., 404 20 W. R., 284 16 W. R., Cr., 45 L. K., 4 Cale., 661 16 W. R., 100 5 W. R., 283 17 W. R., Cr., 3 23 W. R., 451 3 C. L. R., 543	4394 6237, 6239 6151 4274, 5778 1431, 4520 5072 1487 4732 2991 6224 38, 4469 4500 4653 1692
Poreshnath Mukerji v. Anathnath Deb	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 265 : L. R., 3 9 I. A., 147.	1707
Poreshnath Mundul v. Khettromonee Debia Poreshnath Roy v. Bishroop Dutt v. Gopal Kristo Deb Porgash Paray v. Hachim Poromanand Khasnabish v. Khepoo Paramanick Port Canning Company. In the matter of v. Smith v. Smith	20 W. R., 284 W. R., 1864, Act X, 16. 15 W. R., 61 7 W. R., 61 7 U. R., 417 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 354 6 B. L. R., 278 7 B. L. R., 583 16 W. R., 208 21 W. R., 315 L. R., 1 L, A., 124	5118 5381 3151 5658 824 926 940 5840, 5873 4050, 4788
"Portugal." In the matter of the ship v. Postmaster of Bareilly v. Earle . Potityabun Seu v. Chunder Caunt Mookerjee Potter v. Gentle Poulson v. Chowdhry v. Dunn	3 N. W., 195 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 329 Bourke, O. C., 41	637 638, 2834 657 3219 4452 3337 5450
v. Madhusudan Pal Chowdhry	R., Act X, 21. 6 N. W., 54	040, 5129
Powell. In the goods of	1 N. W., 133 : Ed. 1873, 217 .	6063 1590
Poynor Bibee v. Nujjoo Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 437 : 5 C. L. R., 524.	4530
Prabhacara Row v. Potannah Prabhakarbhat v. Vishwambhar Prabhakar Chintaman Dikshit v. Pandurang Vinayak Dikshit.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 1 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 313	3499, 3528 4425, 5873 4023
Prabhulanadha Pillay v. Ponnuswamy Chetty .	6 Mad., Ap., 1	2706
Prabhuram Hazra v. Robinson	3 B. L. R., Ap., 49: 11 W. R., 398.	2753, 4853 5801, 6150
Prag Chaubey v. Bhajan Chaudhri Prag Das v. Hari Kishen . Pragdutt Raoot v. Luchmun Pershad . Pragi Lal v. Fateh Chaud .	I. L. R., 4 All., 291 I. L. R., 1 All., 503 3 N. W., 111 I. L. R., 5 All., 207	3239 2514 36 403
v. Maxwell	. I. L. R., 7 All., 284 .	3228, 328 3315, 560
Pragji Rudarji v. Endarji Bhimbhai	9 Bom., 257 10 Bom., 346 1 B. L. R., A.C., 197: 10 W. R., 291.	. 4879 3286, 525

Name of Case.	. Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prahlad Sen v. Budhu Sing	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W.) R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I. A., 275.	1030, 6117
v. Dargaprasad Tewari	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 W. R., P. C., 6: 12 Moore's I.	1030, 4172 6283
v. Gopee Bebee	A., 286. 4 N. W., 40.	2752, 2753
v. Rajendra Kishore Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111:12 W. R., P. C., 6:12 Moore's I. A., 292.	66, 280 1030,4455
v. Run Bahadur Singh	2 B. L. R., P. C., 111: 12 Moore's I. A., 289: 12 W. R., P. C., 6.	142, 1030 3430
Praim Sookh v. Abbas Aly Pramada Dasi v. Lakhi Narain Mitter Pramatan Tupen Nambudripad v. Madatil Ramen Pramatha Bhusana Deb Roy v. Doorga Churn Bhat.	2 Agra, Rev., 4	2917 4882 3763
tacharji. Pran Bandhu Chatterjee v. Madusudan Patra	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 413 13 B. L. R., Ap., 12	4484 1433
Pran Bandhu Sirkar v. Sarbasundari Debi	3 B. L. R., A. C., 52, note: }	5411
Pran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee Prangour Mozoondar v. Himanta Kumari Debya. Pran Huree Doss v. Parbutty Churn Mojoondar Pranjivandas Harjivandas v. Mayaram Samaldas Pranjivandas Tulsidas v. Devkuvarbhai Pranjivan Dayaram v. Bai Reva Pranjivan Gavan v. Jai Slankar Bhagvan Pranjivan Govardhondas v. Baju Pran Khan. In the matter of the petition of	11 W. R., 417 I. L. R., 12 Cale, 597 13 W. R., 227 1 Bom., 148 1 Bom., 180 1. L. R., 5 Bom., 482 4 Bom., A. C., 46 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 34 17 W. R., 238	752 5321, 5482 1640 2616, 4565 2305 1344 605 3552, 3943 678, 679
Prankishen Bauerjee v. Juggobundoo Dutt . Pran Kishen Bhuttacharjree v. Bukshee Cazee Prankishen Deb v. Lokenath Singh Mojoomdar .	7 W. R., 207 10 W. R., 26 10 W. R., 445	4129 5133 4137
Prankishen Paul Chowdhry v. Mothoora Mohun Paul Chowdhry.	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 73: 5 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's I. A., 403.	2359
Prankishen Surma v. Ranrooder Surma Prankishore Deb v. Kishore Chunder Chowdhry Prankishore be v. Chunde Churn Biswas Pran Kishore Gossami v. Dinobundhoo Chatterjee Prankissen Bagchee v. Moundohinee Dassee Prankissen Laha v. Noyanmoney Dassee Prankisto Banerjee v. Nuzimooddeen Prankisto Banerjee v. Nuzimooddeen Prankisto Buswas v. Nobodip Chunder Biswas Prankisto Chunder v. Banassondery Dossee Prankisto Chunder v. Bissonath Chunder Prankisto Chunder v. Ransasondery Dossee Prankisto Chunder v. Ransasondery Dossee Prankisto Chunder v. Banasoondery Dossee Prankrishna Chandra. In the matter of the petition of Prankrishna Chandra. In the matter of the petition of Prankrishna Dey v. Biswambhar Sein	Marsh, 214 16 W. R., 287 21 W. R., 328 19 W. R., 429 9 W. R., 291 17 W. R., 38 11 L. R., 5 Calc., 222 9 W. R., 397 L. L. R., 6 Calc., 668 9 W. R., P. C., 6 4 B. L. R., A. C., 35, note: 10 } W. R., 115. 6 B. L. R., A., 80 8 B. L. R., Ap., 80 2 B. L. R., Ap., 80 2 B. L. R., Ap., 80 2 B. L. R., Ap., 80 2 B. L. R., Ap., 80 2 B. L. R., Ap., 101 2 B. L. R., A. C., 207: 11	5267, 5537 248, 316 4188 1163 1623 2492 3480 665 2551 1326 5740 1326 910 4256, 6031
Pran Krishna Surma. In the matter of the petition of	W. R., 81.	
Prankristo Deo v. Kalee Doss Deo Prankristo Mozoomdar v. Bhageerutee Gooptia	L. R., 6. 7 W. R., 460 20 W. R., 158	1339, 2938 5784 2343

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prankristo Roy v. Collector of Moorshedabad	15 W. R., 205	4343
v. Huro Chunder Roy	10 W. R., 435	2617
Prankumari Dasi v. Abinash Chandra Mookerjee	9 B. L. R., 210	1214
Prannath Bhadoory v. Sreekant Lahoory	2 C. L. R., 257	313, 5143
Prannath Chowdhry v. Ganendro Mohun Tagore	3 W. R., 108	3089
v. Kashinath Roy Chowdhry.	W. R., 1864, 169	2342
v. Mirnomoyee Chowdhry	W. R., F. B., 39	1757
v. Ramrutton Roy	4 W. R., P. C., 37 9 Moore's I. A., 431	3983 3072
Prannath Mitter v. Mothoornauth Chuckerbutty	6 W. R., Mis., 65	1926
v. Sumbhoo Chunder Nath	7 W. R., 430	. 441
Prannath Roy v. Troyluckonauth Roy	14 W. R., 284	3260
Pran Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Kasheenath Chowdhry .	2 W. R., Mis., 26	179
v. Mohehoodeen Ahmed .	6 W. R., Act X, 39	4149
v. Preonath Roy Chowdhry.	8 W. R., 398	4949
v. Rookea Begum	7 Moore's I. A., 323	3983
v. Surnomoyee	7 Moore's I. A., 553	4634 5060, 5769
Prannath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of	2 C. L. R., 33 25 W. R., Cr., 69	3866, 5911
v. Madhu Khulu	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 96	3033
Pran Nath Surma Jowardar v. Surut Chunder Bhutta-	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 460: 10 C. L. R., 484.	2286
Pranputtee Kooer v. Lalla Futteh Bahadur Singh .	2 Hay, 608	1414
Pranshankar v. Prannath Mahanand	1 Bom., 12	5262
Prasanna Chandra Bose v. Prasanna Chandra Roy	7 B. L. R., Ap., 35: 15 W. R., 343.	2811
Prasanna Kumar Sandyal v. Mathuranath Banerjee	8 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 15 W. R., 487.	1409
Prasannamayi Dasi v. Kadambini Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 85	2541, 2822
Prasannamayi Debi v. Chandranath Chowdhry .	2 B. L. R., S. N., 5: 10 W. R., 361.	3801
Prasidha Narayan Koer v. Man Koch	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 330: 11 C. L. R., 554.	5177, 5183
Pratab Daji v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central Judia Railway Company.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 25	4703
Pratabnarayan Das v. Court of Wards {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 21: 11 W. R., 343.	2154
Pratap Chandra Binwa v. Swarnamayi	3 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 12 W. R., 5.	3334, 3336
Pratab Chandra Burua v	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113: 13 W. R., F. B., 15.	3825, 4873
Pratap Chandra Singh v. Mollwo, March, & Co	3 B. L. R., A. C., 238	4319
Pratapuarayan Mookerjee v. Madhu Sudan Mookerjee	8 B. L. R., 197: 16 W. R.,	5109, 5632
Prataprav Gujar v. Bayaji Namaji.	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 141	1584
Prayag Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 103	4096
v. Fuzool Hossein	6 C. L. R., 206	4501
Preeonath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry .	8 W. R., 100	3535
Premabhai Hemabhai v. Brown	10 Bom , 319	4593
Premanund Ghose v. Shoorendronath Roy	20 W. R., 329	5191
Premanund Gossamee v. Ram Churn Deb	20 W. R., 482 10 W. R., 205	4867 4152
Premchand Burral v. Collector of Calcutta	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 103	2949
Prem Chand Kybutta v. Huree Doss Kybutta	22 W. R., 259	3403
Prem Chand Laha v. Addoito Doss	20 W. R., 445	6280
Premchand Peparah v. Hulaschand Peparah .		2419

		-
Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prem Chund Dan v. Darimba Debia Premji Ludha v. Dossa Doongersey Premji Trikamdas v. Madhowji Munji Prem Lall Chowdhry v. Brown Prem Lall Chowdhry v. Brown Prem Lall Chowdhry v. Brown Prem Moyee Bewah v. Nobin Chunder Chowdhry Prem Narain Singh v. Parasram Singh Ormoo Beebee v. Dassoo Debia Prem Sahoo v. Nyamut Ali Prem Sahoo v. Nyamut Ali Prem Sahoo v. Nyamut Ali Prem Shook v. Bheekoo Prem Sook v. Pirthee Ram Premsook v. Dishree Ram Premsook Chunder v. Rajkisto Mitter Prem Soonduree Dossia v. Grish Chunder Bhuttacharjee Prem Sukh Das v. Bhupia Prem Shek dossa v. Grish Chunder Bhuttacharjee	15 W. R., 238 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 358 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 447 6 W. R., Act X, 92 13 W. R., 36 4 W. R., 36, 447 6 W. R., Act X, 92 13 W. R., 36 6 W. R., Act X, 89 8 Bom., A. C., 195 2 W. R., 303 2 Mgra, 242: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 149. 2 Agra, 222 1 Hyde, 145 10 W. R., 194 I. L. R., 2 All., 517 13 W. R., 161 15 W. R., 161	2355 3223, 4295 4601, 5530 1591 1020 6041 1080 809 1614 2051, 5504 4200 2811 4438 770, 4676 6155 2092 5339
Preo Madhub Sirear v. Bissumbhur Sirear Preonath Chowdhry v. Beepin Beharee Chuckerbutty v. Ramdhun Preonath Sirear. In the matter of the petition of President of the Municipal Commission, Guntur, v. Sri.	11 W. R., 120 11 W. R., 104 13 W. R., 325	1911, 3519 4272, 4938 177, 1195 672
kakulapu Padmarazu. Prestomjee Dhunjeebhoy v. Gregory Priag Lall v. Brockman	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 124 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 412 13 W. R., 346	3355 2069 1646
Price v. Khilat Chandra Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 13 W. R., 461.	506, 4976
Pringle v. Jafar Khan Prithee Bullubh Pal Sree Chundum Mari Sultan v. } Haradhun Shome. Prithee Ram Chowdhry v. Chidam Chundee Shaha	I. L. R., 5 All., 443	1070 912 4152
Prithee Singh v. Court of Wards	23 W. R., 272	1843, 2280 2295
Pritun Koormee v. Edil Singh	18 W. R., 464	417
Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty v. Prasanna Chandra Roy Chow-	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237 : 11 W. R., 120.	4272, 4938
dhry. Probhoo Doss v. Sheo Nath Roy Proby v. Bell	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249 W. R., 1864, Act X, 27 20 W. R., 6	177, 1195 138 4421
v. Proby	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 357: 5 C.)	1553
Proceeds of the "Asia." In re Prodhan Gopaul Singh v. Bhoop Roy Ojha Prodhan Ram v. Jera Kooer Prohibitory notice under Bombay Regulation XII of)	L. R., 1. 5 Bom., O. C., 64 9 W. R., 570 17 W. R., 189 8 Bom., Cr., 23	637 3190 675 4094
1827. In the matter of. Problad Tewar Manjee v. Debnarain Ghose Prokash Chunder Doss. In the matter of the petition	18 W. R., 247 12 W. R., Cr., 64	1354 1047
of.		
Prokash Chunder Dass v. Tarachand Dass	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 82: 12 C. }	4774
Prokash Chunder Lahory v. Poorno Chunder Roy Prokas Singh v. Jogeswar Singh	21 W. R., 410 2 B. L. R., A. C., 12	3541 3721, 3724

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Promotho Dossee v. Radhika Pershad Dutt Promotho Nath Banerjee v. Jogendro Nath Roy Promotho Nath Ghose v. Jodoo Nath Sen v. Promothonath Roy v. Poorno Chunder Fanerjee v. Watson & Co. v. Watson & Co. Prosad Doss Dutt v. Ramdhone Mullick Prosad Doss Dutt v. Ramdhone Mullick Prosad Doss Mullick v. Kedar Nath Mullick Prosonno Roy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India. Prosonno Chunder Roy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdry v. Koylash Chunder Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Coomar Pal Chowdhry v. Mudden Mohun Pal Chowdhry. Prosonno Chunder Banerjee v. Gouree Dass Bhuttacharjee v. Kristo Chytunno Pal. Prosunno Chunder Banerjee v. Kristo Chytunno Pal. Prosunno Chunder Banerjee v. Kristo Chytunno Pal.	14 B. L. R., 175	2230 / 2987 1405 1433 3838 3153 3986 497, 1132 497, 1132 475, 4936 6274 4718 4411 4888 4943 8511
Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In re. Bose. Prosunno Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Nubokristo Chatterjee. Prosunno Coomar Chatterjee v. Empress	7 W. R., 422 11 B. L. R., 372, note	5661 5863 3361 5863 4102, 436
Prosunno Coomar Dutt v. Chytunno Churn Bidyalunkar. Prosunno Coomaree Debea v. Rutton Bepary . { Prosunno Coomar Ghose. In the matter of the petition of.	10 C. L. R., 25	3004 5777 3004, 304 5157
v. Sham Lal Guugopadhya v. Sham Lal Guugopadhya v. Tarrucknath Sirear Prosunno Coomar Ghuttuck v. Wooma Churn Mooker- jee. Prosunno Coomar Mookerjee v. Buldeo Narain Singh v. Shama Churn Mooker-	5 W. R., Mis., 8	5620 2533 2090 136
Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry v. Radha Nath Dey Chowdhry. Prosunno Coomar Paul Chowdhry v. Ramdhun Chatter- jee. Prosunno Coomar Roy v. Haran Chunder Chatterjee. Prosunno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Gooroochurn Sein .	17 W. R., 451	3369 1659 549 4000 4246

	1	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Prosumno Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Kashee Kant }	5 W. R., Mis., 31	3204
Prosumno Coomar Shaha v. Gooroo Pershad Roy Prosumno Coomar Sircar v. Ram Coomar Parooev	1 W. R., 25 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 53	777 1994
Prosunno Kumari Debya v. Golab Chand Baboo .	14 B. L. R., 450: 23 W. R., } 253: L. R., 21. A., 145.	2253
Prosunno Moyee Dossee v. Bhubo Tarinee Dossee	10 W. R., 494 22 W. R., 275	565, 426 3342*
v. Koonjo Beharee Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, 157	2252
v. Wooma Moyee Dossee Prosunnomoyi Dasi v. Kalidas Roy	14 W. R., 409	2603 2984, 447
Prosunnonath Dutt v. Judoonath Paul Prosunnonath Lahiree v. Tripoora Soonduree Dabee	9 W. R., 589	5127 1771, 588
Prosunno Nath Roy v. Jogut Chunder Pundit Prosunno Pandey v. Gungaram	3 C. L. R., 159 W. R., 1864, 2	3813 3127
Prosuno Moyee Dossee v. Soondur Coomaree Debia Protab Chunder Burooah v. Kantaeswurree Dabee	2 W. R., Act X, 30	7, 529, 53
Protab Chunder Burrocah v. Surnomoyee	2 W. R., 250 19 W. R., 361	3235 1755
Protab Chunder Chowdhry v. Brojolal Shaha . {	B. L. R., Sap. Vol., 638: 7 W. R., 253.	3403, 495
Protab Chunder Dutt v. Koorbanissa Bibee Protab Chunder Mukerjee v. Empress	14 W. R., 130 11 C. L. R., 25	879 263
Protab Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Joymonee Dabee	1 W. R., 98	* 2489
Protap Chunder Bauerjee v. Krishto Kishore Shaha Protap Chunder Borooah v. Collector of Gowalpara v. Surno Moyee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 885 22 W. R., 216	4407 327,609
Protap Chunder Chowdhry v. Shukhee Soondaree Dassee	14 W. R., 151 2 C. L. R., 569	2699 3374
Protap Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Panioty {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 506: 12 C. L. R., 488.	5440
Protap Chunder Dass v . Arathoon $\left\{ \right.$	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 455: 10 C. L. R., 443.	1114, 171
v. Gour Chunder Roy . {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 132 : 2 C. L. R., 455.	4619
Protap Chunder Doss v. Peary Chowdhrain . {	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 174: 9 C. } L. R., 453.	1910, 579
Protap Chunder Ghose. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 848: 12 C. L. R., 407.	3808
Protap Chunder Roodro v. Hnro Monee Dossin	24 W. Ř., 188	374
Ghose. Protap Chunder Singh v. Chunder Coomar Roy	4 C. L. R., 168	4267
v. Gooroodoss Roy	W. R., 1864, 76	5797 5797
Protap Narain Mookerjee v. Kartick Chunder Mookerjee		$\frac{678}{4184}$
Protap Narain Singh v. Monohur Doss Protima Aurat v. Dukhia Sirkar	W. R., 1864, 96	2187
Protona Kolita v. Mottea Kolita	R., 450. 11 W. R., 334	1094 4764
Provabutty Dabee v. Mohendro Lall Bose	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 453 21 W. R, 140	4568
Puchooa v. Mahomed Tala Assudoolah Pudar Bindoo Mahantee v. Mohesh Chunder Sen	2 Agra, 217 20 W. R., 183	4146 537, 134
Puddo Koomaree Debee v. Juggut Kishore Acharice	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 615	· 2140
Puddolabh Deo v. Obhoyram Singh Puddolabh Roy v. Ram Gopal Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 30 I. L. R., 9 Cale., 133: 11 C.)	535
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	L. R., 333.	112

		•	Column
Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	of Digest.
Puddo Lochun v. Sirdar Khan		. 12 W. R., 23	773
Puddolochun Bhadoori v. Chunder Nath Roy .	{	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171: 5 W. R., Act X, 51.	1663
Paddomokey Dossee v. Shama Churn Chuckerbutty		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 226	4407
Puddomonee Dassee v. Juggodumba Dassee .		25 W. R., Cr., 2	4484, 4489
Puddomonec Dossec v. Baneekant Ghose	٠	9 W. R., 344	1176 1747
o. Disseshir Dutt Chowally .	•	(1503, 1711
v. Dwarkanath Biswas .		25 W. R., 335	2056, 2190 4311
v. Roy Muthocranath Chowdhry	5	12 B. L. R., 411: 20 W. R.,	438
Puddomonee Dossia v. Jholla Pally		7 W. R., 283	4172
v. Puromanund Sein		7 W. R., 158	1598
Puddum Mookhee Dossee v. Rayee Monee Dossee .		12 W. R., 409	2415, 2466 1100, 1560
Pndishary Krishnen v. Karampally Kunhunni Kurup Pndiyakovilagalla v. Allunannalatta Kadinni	:	1 Mad., 146	3442
Pudiyaporayil Mamy v. Madakarath Amman Kutti		3 Mad., 199	4757
Pudiyar Vasudavan Nambudripad v. Keyaka Kovila gatha Valia Rany.	- }	4 Mad., 231	779
Pugardin Ravutan v. Moidinsa Ravutan		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 414 . {	172, 377 5953
Puhlwan Thakoor v. Godooree Koonwar		W. R., F. B., 142.	. 1585
Puhoop Singh v. Khooman		3 Agra, 313	2321
Puju bin Kadan v. Malhari bin Rama	٠	1 Bom., 171	5631 672
Pulash Monee Dossee v. Anund Moyee Dossee .	i	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 904: 97	138, 4148
Pulin Behari Sen v. Watson	3	W. R., 190.	4401
Pulisanki Reddi v. Queen Pullen Chetty v. Ramalinga Chetty	٠	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 20 5 Mad., 368	1267 1393
Pultoo Roy v. Greedharee Singh	ş	W. R., F. B., 12: 1 Ind. } Jur., O. S., 5.	67
Pultun Singh v. Reshal Singh	,	1 W. R., 7	3079, 3982
Puna Bibee v. Khoda Baksh Beparee		22 W. R., 396	4915 5668
Pnna Karuppana Pillai v. Virabadra Pillai	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 277	3481
Punchanan Bose. In the matter of the petition of		15 W. R., Cr., 41	4103
Punchanun Bose v. Oomanath Roy Chowdry	٠	14 W. R., 160	292 1599, 4589
Punchanun-Bose v. Peary Mohnn Deb Punchanund Dass Chowdhry v. Taramoni Chowdrain	:	2 W. R., 225	5852
Punchanund Ojhab v. Lalshan Misser		3 W. R., 140	2492
Punchanun Ghose v. Brojendronarain Deb	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 38	1201 4618
Punchanun Ghose v. Daly Punchanun Mullick v. Heera Lall Seal	:	15 B. L. R., 331	34
Punchanun Muzoomdar v. Doorga Nath Roy .		W. R., 1864, 300	696
Punchanun Roy v. Modoosoodun Roy	•	W. R., 1864, 245	4980 6101
v. Troyluckhomohinee Dossee . Punchanun Sircar v. Gunesh Mundul	•	14 W. R., 466 8 W. R., 214	5827, 583
Punchoomoney Dossee v. Troylucko Mohiney Dossee Punchum Singh v. Hoormutoonnissa	:	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 342 5 N. W., 64	2540 5637
v. Mungle Singh		2 Agra, Pt. II, 207 .	
Punjab v. Petum Singh		6 N. W., 192	1145
Punja Kuvarji v. Bai Kuvar	:	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 20	4575
Punju v. Oodoy		18 W. R., 337	1386, 487 5663
Punjum Singh v. Ameena Khatoom		6 W. R., 6	3977, 40

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Punna v. Juggur Nath Punnett v. Vivayak Pandurang	1 Agra, 236	3706 2626
Punnoo Singh v. Nirghin Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 298: 8 C. ?	1601,6115
Punnun Singh v. Meher Ali	L. R., 310.	1380
Puran Chunder Chatteries v. Greesh Chunder Chatteries	W. R., 1864, 365 9 W. R., 450	5766
Puran Chunder Mookeriee v. Protan Narain Paul	19 W. R., 120	4457
Puran Chunder Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee	17 W. R., 298 I. L. R., 4 All., 482	3822
Puran Dai v. Jai Narain . Puran Mal v. Ali Khan .	I. L. R., 4 All., 482 I. L. R., 1 All., 235	2198 487
v. Padma	I. L. R., 2 All., 732	2060, 2872
Puran Mudduck v. Ooday Chand Mullick	3 W. R., 29	1360, 4565
Puran Santra v. Tajooddeen	5 W. R., Act X, 20	4925
Puran Telee v. Bhuttoo Dome Purappavanalingam Chetti v. Nullasivan Chetti	9 W. R., Cr., 5	3629 1449, 2233
Purasara Bhattar v. Ranga Bhattar .	I. L. R, 2 Mad., 202	1406
Purhas Days a Gama Dhuinn Cin L	5 W. R., Mis., 4	447
Purbhoo Narain Singh v. Lelanund Singh Purbhoo Tewaree v. Ramjeawun Patuck	2 W. R., 256	3121
Purbhudas Velji v. Chugun Raichand .	1 N. W., 65 : Ed. 1873, 119 . I. L. R., 8 Bom., 196 .	4868, 5062 2646
Purbooddeen Mullick v. Molaem Bibee	14 W. R., 149	2746
Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh	1 Agra, 111	3253
Puredag Singh v. Purtab Narayan Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W.	5316
Pureeag Lall v. Ram Jewan Lal	R., 253. 1 W. R., 264	4169
Pureeag Singh v. Jogessur Sphave	8 W. R., 111	1355
v. Kheer Singh . v. Shib Ram Chunder Mundul	8 W. R., 280	5796
	3 W. R., 165	66 1423, 3351
Pureejan Khatoon v. Bykunt Chunder Chuckerbutty .	7 W. R., 96 {	3803
- v.	9 W. R., 380	1432, 1724
Purce Soonduree Dabee v. Chunder Shekhur Ghossal	15 W.R., 252	4914 4618
Puree Soonduree Debia v. Bholanath Poodro	8 W. R., 159	556
Puresh Narain Roy v. Watson Puresh Nath Ghose Mundul v. Krishto Lall Dutt	23 W. R., 229	242
Puress Rai at Justin Singh	23 W. R., 50	571
Puriag Bhuggut v. Donzelle	23 W. R., 50 2 Agra, Pt. II, 201 14 W. R., 21	6130 1234
Purgas Rai v. Juggun Singh Puriag Bhuggut v. Donzelle Puriag Dutt v. Brojo Koonwar	9 W. R., 503	323
Purikeet Saho v. Kadhakishen Sahoo Puri Sundari Debi v. Drobomayi Debi Purmanundas v. Cormack Purmanandas J. Cormack Purmanandas J. Cormack	3 W. R., 221	2020
Purmanundas v. Cormock	3 W. R., 221 7 B. L. R., Ap., 10	4618 942
Purmanandas Jeewandas. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 326 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 109	3073
Purmanandas Jeewandas. In re Purmanand Das Jiwandas v. Dharsey Virji v. Jamnabai Purmanund v. Orumbah Koer	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 101	4786, 4791
Purmanund v. Orumbah Koer	I. L. R., 10 Bon., 49	3432, 3910
Purmanund Sein v. Puddo Mones Dosses	W. R., 1864, 143 9 W. R., 349	2188 1643
Purmaya v. Sonde Shiniyasapa Purmeshur Rai v. Hidayutoollah	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 459	4804
Purmeshur Rai v. Hidayutoollah	1 N. W., 60: Ed. 1873, 114 .	442
Purmessuree Dossee v. Nobin Chunder Tarun Purmessuree Dutt Jha v. Joynauth Thakoor	24 W. R., 305	5425
* urmessuree Marain Singh a Pomacaoddoon Abmod	15 W. R., 326 5 W. R., 226	1454 5116, 5123
t dimessuree Pershad Narain Singh v. Jankee Kooer .	19 W. R., 90	339
Purmessur Ojha v. Goolbee	11 W. R., 446	2088, 2089
Purmessur Singh v. Soroop Audhi Karee	13 W. R., Cr., 40	3746 5155, 6265
The state of the s		•

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Purna Chandra Ganguli v. Madan Mohan Mazumdar { Purna Chandra Mookorjee v. Sarada Charan Roy {	6 B. L. R., 617, note: 13 W. R., 67. B. E. R., Ap., 21:11 W. R., 241.	2885 1879, 1927
Purna Chandra Roy v. Abhaya Chandra Roy Purnamal Deka Kohta v. Mayaram Deka Kohta Purnamasi Dayi. In re Purna Narain Audhikar v. Hemokant Audhikar Purna Narain v. Rookince Gooptani	4 B. L. R., Ap., 40 10 C. L. R., 201 8 B. L. R., 395, note 6 C. L. R., 46 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 793	403, 473 2853 2447 3348 1607
Purnima Chowdhrain v. Nittanand Shah Purno Chunder Roy v. Sadut Ali Puroma Soonduree Dossee v. Prollad Chunder Doss v. Tara Soonduree Dossee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 3: W. } R., F. B., 82. 2 C. L. R., 31 12 W. R., 289 9 W. R., 343	27 1592 2996, 4786 94
Purran Chunder Ghose v. Mutty Lall Ghose Jahira { Purranjote v. Deon Panday	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 50: 2 C. L. R., 543. 2 C. L. R., 352	552 6022
Purseedh Narain Singh v. Bissessur Dyal Singh Pursewalkum Hindu Janobacara Nidhi v. Narayana	7 W. R., 148	4200 921
Acharry. Purshadee Lall v. Umbika Pershad Lall Purshotam Bapu v. Dattatraya	11 W. R., 290 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 669	5581 3034
Furshotam Dapu v. Tribhovandass v. Mahanant Suraj- bharthi Haribharthi.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 588 .	5418
Purshotam Lalbhai v. Bhavanji Partab Purshotam Mancharam v. Abdul Latif Purshotam Mansukh v. Ramchhod Purshotam Purshotam Shana Shenvi v. Vasudev Krishna Shenvi	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 360 6 Bom., O. C., 67 8 Bom., A. C., 152 8 Rom., O. C., 196	1517 3206 663, 4948 2139, 2528
Purshotam Sidheshvar v. Dhondu Amrit Purshotam Talvar v. Mudkangagavda Shidanyavda Purshottam Vithal v. Purshottam Iswar Pursidh Narain Rai v. Mahomed Shookool Huq	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 582 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 420 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 532 I. N. W., 38 : Ed. 1873, 35	5892 2098 5374, 5451 4753
Pursid Narain Sing v. Honooman Sahay	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 845 : 5 C. L. R., 576.	2161, 247
Purson Chund Golacha v. Kajooram	10 B. L. R., 355: 19 W. R., 303.	5719 3106
Pursoo Ram v. Byjnath Lall	10 W. R., 475 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 117: 25 W.	3608
Pursotum Doss v. Oodey Narain Mull Pursun Gopal Paul Chowdhry v. Poornanund Mullick Pursun Lel o. Government Pursun Koer v. Palut Roy Purtab Chunder Borocah v. Bhuggobutty Dabea Purunsoth v. Soobhan Puruns Ram v. Deo Koer — v. Juyuntee Pershad	R., Cr., 52. 1 Agra, Mis., 3 21 W R., 272 W. R., 1864, 102 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 442 Marsh., 59: 1 Hay, 131 2 Agra, 323. 4 N. W., 29 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 154.	436 4892, 497 1672 3400 1912 4891 167 762
Purvatha Vurdhay Nauchiar v. Jayareva Ramakomara Ettyapa Naicker.	4 W. R., P. C., 31	4127, 464 805
Pusai v. Mahadeo Prasad Pushong v. Munia Halwani	1 B. L. R., A. C., 95: 10 W. R., 128.	
Pusi v. Mahadeo Prasad Putali Meheti v. Tulja Putanvitil Teyan Nair v. Putanvitil Ragavan Nair Putbulwant Rao v. Futtehooddeen Puthuram Chowdhry v. Kuthe Narain Chowdhry	I. L. R., 3 All., 122 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 223 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 171 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 143 1 W. R., 124	2200, 221 3183, 495 3762 5827 34

Name of C	ase.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Putona Kolita v. Mutia Kolita Putocaram v. Sham Lull Sahoo Putsshee Kooer v. Sheopershad Fuyikuth Ithayi Umah v. Kaii Pyari Chand Mitter. In the u v. Frazer	Ram Oopadhya	: : a : :	2 B. L. R., Ap., 46 7 W. R., 206 24 W. R., 61 I. L. R., 3 Mad, 248 6 B. L. R., 558 6 B. L. R., Ap., 40	4764 4557 5753 3744 2660 3350, 4681
Pyari Lall v. Rooke . Pyari Lall. In the matter of	• • •	. {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 305 : 12 W. R., 199.	2844 1836
Pyari Mohan Mookerjee v. Kir Pyari Mohan Singh v. Gazi	a Bewa.		2 B. L. R., A. C., 226 2 B. L. R., A. C., 337 : 11 W.	5831
Pyaroo Tuhovildarinee v. Nazi	r Hossein	5	R., 270, 23 W. R., 183	1183, 1196
Pylwan Jarkan Sanib Vasthat	h v. Jenaka Raja	Tevar .	8 Mad., 87	3473 3236
				*
Q				
Quarme. In re			I. L. R., 8 Mad., 503	432
Quarry. In the matter of		. {	I. L. R., 2 All., 511: L. R., 7	4635
Queen v. Abbas v. Abbas Ali Chowdhry			2 Hyde, 247	5207
v. Abdool	: : :	: :	6 B. L. R., 74 W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	4100 6189
v. Abdool Azeez			10 W. R., 23	1260
v. Abdool Azeez Khan	: : :	: :	7 W R., Cr., 59 14 W. B., Cr., 36	5553 963, 3631
v. Abdool Huq v. Abdool Jaleel			20 W. R., Cr., 57	4729
v. Abdool Kurreem		: :	W. R., 1864, Cr., 5 14 W. R., Cr., 32	721, 4369 2923
v. Abdoollah v. Abdul Ali			2 N. W., 401	3615
v. Abdul Biswas	•		25 W. R., Cr. 45	2912
v. Abheen Parrida .			20 W. R., Cr., 17	975 5910
v. Abool Hossein .			1 W. R., Cr., 48	5552
v. Acheet Lall		• , •	23 W. R., Cr., 19	. 5912
v. Adapa Venkanna		: .	17 W. R., Cr., 46 I. L. R., 4 Mad., 327	1155 3616
v. Adhya Thakoor			17 W. R., Cr., 33	711
v. Adjoodhya Pershad			2 N. W., 188	5821
- v. Ahmed Ali	: : :	: :	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13 22 W. R., Cr., 42	$6265 \\ 1272$
v. Ahmed Ally		•		1805, 1961
v. Ahmedoollah			11 W. R., Cr., 25	6273
- v. Aidrus Sahib .			2 W. R., Cr., 60	2906
v. Aimona			1 W. R., Cr., 43	1963 4350
v. Akbar Kazee v. Akulputtee Gossain			1 W. R., Cr., 21	4710
		٠ .	5 W. R., Cr., 58 . 7 B. L. R., 482, note : 12 W.)	2733
v. Ala Buksh		. {	R., Cr., 24.	4109
v. Allah Buksh .		. {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 133: 13 B.	4332
v. Aman v. Amanoollah Mollah			5 N. W., 130	1333
AQIMI			6 W. R., Cr., 5	1804

_		4	Name of	Case,					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Que	n a	o. Amanulla						5	12 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 21 W.	1000
	. ,	. Amarut .				•	•	. 7	R., Cr., 49. 4 W. R., Cr., 20	1290
		. Ambigara Hu	lacon	ь		•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 20	6189
		. Ameer	negu	•	•	ю	2 °	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 163	1022
		. Ameer Ali Kh	an.	•		•	•	ه	2 N. W., 5 3 N. W., 133 13 W. R., Cr., 63	4449
		. Ameer Chand	Nobatt	٠,	•	•	. ,	•	3 N. W., 133	1962
			210216066	.04	•		•	:	13 W. Is., Cr., 63	6251, 6269
-		. Ameer Khan						3	7 B. L. R., 240: 15 W. R.,	6028
-	6	,			•				Cr., 69. 7 B. L. R., 244, note	
	21								7 B. L. R., 250, note: 15 W.	5947
			9	٠	•	*6		5	R., Cr., 60.	5947
_	e	,							2 N W 119	
		. Ameerooddeen							2 N. W., 113 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 307	6258
		 Ameeruddeen 							12 W. R., Cr., 36	714 4100, 4361
		. Amgad Bagea	h.						2 W. R. Cr. 61	2938
	v	. Amir Jan							2 W. R., Cr., 61 , 7 N. W., 302	4353
	ø	. Amir Khan						6	6 B. L. R., Ap., 87, note: 127	
			•	•	۰			{	W. R., Gr., 35.	· 725
	v								9 B. L. R., 36: 17 W. R., Cr., ?	519, 1803
		A ! t		•		٠	•	1	15.	2906
	υ.	. Amirtam .			•			.	I. L. R., 4 Mad , 335	5569
	2)	. Amiruddia						5	7 B. L. R., 63: 15 W. R., Cr.,)	399. 1801
		Amiruddin Fa	1	•		•	۰	1	25.	6172
	0	Anoo	Keer	•				- 1	21 W. R., Cr., 29	6270
_	a.	Anthony	•		•				W. R., 1864, Cr., 16	5564
				•	•	•	•		1. L. R., 6 Mad., 280	4112
-	υ.	Anunto Chucke	erbutty					{	14 B. L. R., 295, note: 22 W.	4115
	υ.	Anunto Rurna	gat					6	R., Cr., 1.	
	υ.	Aravi .	500	•		•		.	6 W. R., Cr., 57 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 17	4055
	υ.	Arayi Araz Sirear	•	•	:		•	. 1	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 17	4691
	υ.	Arum ige Nade	n	•	•	•	•	. 1	10 W. R., Cr., 29	3864
	v.	Arunja Bewa		:	:	•	•	.	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 200, note .	4353
	υ.	Ashruff Sheik			•	•	•	. 1	19 W. R., Cr., 32	3862
	v.	Arum iga Nada Arunja Bewa Ashruff Sheik Assanoollah			•	• :	•	. 1	6 W. R., Cr., 91	6249
				•	•	•	•	:	13 W. R., Cr., 15	5154, 6262
	٥.	Asanulla, .	•	•				3 1	6 B. L. R., 693, note: 10 W. R., Cr., 21.	4855
	**	Askur .						-1		
		-		•			9	.	W. R., 1864, Cr., 12	17, 2937
	v_{\bullet}	Assam Shureeff						.		5291 1671
	υ.	Assuf Ali Khar	1						3 N. W., 126	3614
	υ,	Audhun Roy						. 1	14 W. R., Cr., 24	1967
	v.	Azimoodeen						. 1	11 W. R., Cr., 15 .	
_	v.	Baboolun Hijra	ıla.						5 W. R. Cr. 7	2007
-	υ.	Bachu .						.1	5 W. R., Cr., 7	706, 1335 4724
-	v.	Bachun Kadir							7 N. W., 328 23 W. R., Cr., 6	490
	v.	Bahadur Singh							4 N. W., 128	2441, 3739
_	v.	Baijoo Chowdka	у.	•					25 W. R., Cr., 43	24, 1021
	v.	Baijoo Chowdes Baijoo Koormed Baijoo Lall	٠. ١						5 W. R., Cr., 76	955
_	v.	Baijoo Lall	•						I. L. R., 1 Calc., 450	1314
	v.	Baikantha Natl	ı Baneı	jee				. 1	3 B. L. R., F. B., 2, note	23
	v	Dajo raura						4 1	19 W. R., Cr., 37	5883
		Bakhoree Chow		•				. 1	5 W. R., Cr., 98	1980
	v	Baktear Maifara	ız.					{	6 B. L. R., 698: 15 W. R.,	
		Bakur Khan		•	•	•	•	(Cr., 64.	4920
									5 N. W., 213	

	Name of C	ase.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oneen :	v. Balkishen . *						2 N. W., 447	959
•	v		• .			{	3 N. W., 166: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 252.	1102
	v. Ballabh Kant Bhatta	charje	ee			· {	7 B. L. R., 324, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 36.	4493
	v. Banda Ali .					5	6 B. L. R., Ap., 95: 15 W. ?	5564
	v. Banee Madhub Doss						R., Cr., 7. 9 23 W. R., Cr., 3	5913
	v. Bance Madhub Ghos	е					8 W. R., Cr., 1	1258 2011
	v. Banessur Biswas	•	•	•	•	•	18 W. R., Cr., 46	5548
	v. Bani Doss		•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 2	503
	v. Bani Madhub Banerj v. Basooram Dass .	ee	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 36	6278
	v. Bassoo Rannah .	:	:	:		:	2 W. R., Cr., 29	555 5
	v. Bawool Manjee .						2 W. R., Cr., 29 8 W. R., Cr., 32	5996
	v. Bawul Singh .						1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 306	3614
	v. Bazu					9	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 750: 8 ?	713, 1267
		•	•	•	•	Ś	W. R., Cr., 47.	489
	v. Bebheki Pathak		•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 12	1331
	v. Bechoo Saout .	•	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 35 12 W. R., Cr., 37	4368
	v. Beegun Mahtoon v. Begarayi Krishna Sa	*	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 373	5882
		itanu	•	•	•	•	2 N. W 349	4112
	v. Begum v. Beharee Dosadh	•	•	:			2 N. W., 349 7 W. R., Cr., 7	1788, 5152
	v. Behary Lall Bose	:	:				7 W. R., Cr., 44	25
	v. Behary Singh .						7 W. R. Cr., 3	4451
	v. Belat Ali					{	7 W. R., Cr., 3	1022
	v. Belilios						20 W. R., Cr., 61	4628
	v. Beshor Bewa	•		•	•		18 W. R., Cr., 29	2582
,	v. Bhadoo Poramanick	•	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 23	2584 975
	v. Bhagabati Sathran	•	•	•	•		7 B. L. R., 9, note 2 B. L. R., F. B., 21: 10 W.)	1
	v. Bhagai Dafadar	•	•	٠	•	3	R., Cr., 43. 8 W. R., Cr., 53	1040, 2896
	v. Bhagidhone Katcha	ri •				•	8 W. R., Cr., 53	2901
-	v. Bhairo Misser	•	•		•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 51	709
	v. Bhakoas Tutum			•	•		7 W. R., Cr., 13	1962
	v. Bhamour Doosadh v. Bharut Chunder	٠	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 41	5573 721, 5992
		٠	•	۰	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 2	1009, 1800
_	v.Bheebeekee .	٠	•	•	٠	•	4 N. W., 16 }	1855
	v. Bheekoo Kalwar					{	10 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 19 W. R., Cr., 15.	2624
	v. Bheekun						8 W. R., Cr., 30	4533
	v. Bheekun Doss .						7 W. R., Cr., 114	1791
	v. Bhekoo Singh .						7 W. R., Cr., 108	721, 1796
	v. Bhikaree		•				4 N. W., 88	971
	v	•	•		•		15 W. R., Cr., 63	1800
-	v. Bhista bin Madanna		•	•	•		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	389
	v. Bhitto Kahar .	•	•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 123	1953, 1982
	v. Bhohisan Mahatoon	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 3	5605 975
(v. Bhola Nath Banerje		•	. •	•	;	7 W. R., Cr., 31 7 B. L. R., 564: 16 W. R.,	9/5
	v. Bholanath Mookerje	e.	•	•	•	₹	Cr., 28.	6253
	v. Bholanath Sen .					- {	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 23: 25 W. R., Cr., 57.	1262, 2766
	v. Bholay Pramanick						17 W. R., Cr., 32	2011
					-	•	1 was or s on	- WULL

	Name o	of Case	·.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Quee	n v. Bhoobun Mohun					-	11 W D On 20	E 2 0 1
	v. Bhoobun Singh .	•	•	•				5561
	v. Bhoodeea .	•	•		•	۰		5598
	v. Bhoetoo Mullick .	•	•		•	. •	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5546
	v. Ehugner Putwa	•		•	•		6 W. R., Cr., 85	5572
	v. Bhugwan Ahir .	•	•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 9	6267
	v. Bhugwan Chunder I		1		•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 65	1955
	v. Bhugwan Lall .	roone	100		•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 4 15 W. R., Cr., 3	5888
	v. Bhungee Aheer .	•	•	•		•	15 W. R., Cr., 3	398
	v. Bhutia	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 5	2938
	v. Bhuttun Rujwan	•	•	•	•		7 N. W., 295	4378
		٠	•	•		٠.	12 W. R., Cr., 49	1008
-	v. Bhyro Dayal Sing					5	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4: 11 W. ?	1806, 409
	v. Bhyrub Seal .				-	(R., Cr., 46.	4489
	o. Diljido Beat .	•	•		•		W. R., 1864, Cr., 27	5552
	v. Bidu Noshyo .					(13 B. L. R., 325, note: 11 W.	
	o. Blue Roshyo .	•	•	•	•	- 3	R., Cr., 37: 12 W. R., Cr., {	1977
						(11.	
	v. Bidur Ghose .					. (7 B. L. R., 9, note: 12 W. R., 7	976
	v. Bilash Mosulmany					` ₹	Cr., 27. 14 W. R., Cr., 46	
	v. Bindu	۰	•	•		٠	14 W. R., Cr., 46	4533
	v. Binod	•	•		•		8 W. R., Cr., 60	4749
-	v. Bipro Dass	•	•		•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 66	6069
	v. Bipro Doss	•	•	•	•		19 W. R., Cr., 43	5599
_	v. Bishendharee Kahar		•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 45	1532, 279
		•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 100	4060
_	v. Bisheshur Pershad					{	2 N. W., 441: Agra, F. B., ?	20, 618
	v. Bishnooram Surma					- (Ed. 1874, 236.	
-	v. Bishonath Bunneca	•	•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 9	2580, 258
		•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R. Cr., 53	4060
-	v. Bishonath Pal .					€ (3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 20: 12 W.	1790
	e. Bishoo Manjee .					(R., Cr., 3.	
	v. Bissen Nath	•	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 16	1807, 588
	v. Bissessur Roy	•	•	•	•	٠	7 W. R., Cr., 31	1805
_	v. Bissessur Sein .	•	٠	•	•		11 W. R., Cr., 51	1260
	v. Bissonath Mitter	•	•	•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 62	1988
	v. Bissorunjun Mookeri	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 6	5578
	v. Bistoo Churn Chucker	·J···· † 5.		•	•		6 W. R., Cr., 75	1796
		outt,	y .	•	•		10 W. R., Cr., 27	4108
-	v. Biswambhar Das					- {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 122:15 W.?	1789
	v. Bocha Chowkeedar					(R., Cr., 49.	
	v. Bodhee Khan .	•	•	•	•		22 W. R., Cr., 33	6263
	v. Boidnath Singh .	•	•	•		. /	5 W. R., Cr., 79	2733
	v. Boidonath	۰	•	•	•	.	3 W. R., Cr., 29	2766, 599
	v. Bojjigan	•		•	•		7 W. R., Cr., 48	5496
	v. Bokoo Sheikh	•					I. L. R., 5 Mad., 22	1669
			•	•		•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 21	5291
-	v. Bolaki Jolahad .					- 51	1 B. L. R., S. N., 8: 10 W. ?	4625
_	v. Bolaki Lall .		-	•	•	1	R., Cr., 9.	
	v. Bommaya	•	٠.			.	19 W. R., Cr., 7	4447
	v. Bonnmaya	. •	•	•			I. L. R., 5 Mad., 26	391
_	a Ropomella Calai	•		•			W. R., 1864, Cr., 8	1349
	v. Bonomally Sohai v. Boodhoo	•	•				5 L. R., Cr., 32	1954
		•	•			-	8 W. R., 38	1003, 133
-	v. Boodhooa					5	B L. R., Sup. Vol., 869. 9 7	5575
	-	•	•	•	•	1	W. R., Cr., 6.	
	v. Boodhun Ahir v. Boodhun Mooshur	•	•			.	17 W B., Cr., 32	711
							8 W. R., Cr., 78	18

		Nan	ne of Ca	156.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
ueen	v.	Boolakee .							14 W. R., Cr., 81	6254
	v.	Borodakant Mool	khopad	lhva					15 W. R., Cr., 17	4447
		Boron Dhoom							16 W. R , Cr., 1	1530
		Bowka .			:	•	***			1293
		Bowka Hari	•	•	•	•	•	•		1293
		Boya Linga	•	•	•	•	٠	•		3628
		Boydonath Mock		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 268	253
	0.	Boystum Mooche	erjee	•	•	•	•	•	17 W. R., Cr., 11.	1261
				•	•	•	•	٠,	17 W. R., Cr., 11	1973
		Brij Mohan Lal		•	•	•	•	۰	7 N. W., 134	
			•	•	•	*	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 86	4107
		Brojokishore Du		•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 17	1989, 438
		Brojo Lall Mitte		:			. •		8 W. R., Cr., 45	504
-		Broond Shahoo	ilias (hune	tra (Charter	jee	•	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4533
_		Budderoodeen							11 W. R., Cr., 20	4061
		Budhu Nanku			•				I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	1022
-	v.	Budla .							2 N. W., 455	5538
	v.	Budri Roy .			:				23 W. R., Cr., 65	2583, 27
	v.	Buldeo .							2 N. W., 286	2936
	v.						:		2 N. W., 453	5832
	υ.	Buloram .							7 W. R., Cr., 104	3619
	υ.	Buloram Doss			:				4 W. R., (r., 20	5576
		Bulsingh .					- 1		4 N. W., 8	5547
		Bundhoo Banon	66	-	Ĭ.	•	•			1316
	an an	Bunwaree Lall		•	•	•	•	•	01 TIT TO (0. FO	1021
			•	•	•	•	٠.	•	21 W. K., Cr., 55	
	0.	Bussiruddi	•	•	•	•	•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 21	399, 18
	υ,	Dussiruddi Dustra IZhan	•	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 35	1796, 18
		Bustee Khan	•	•	:		•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 17	722
		. Busti Singh	•	•	٠	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 76	5600
		Buzleh Ali	٠.		•		•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 65	5910
		. Bykunt Nath Be	ınerjec		٠				5 W. R., Cr., 72	1964
	v								10 W. R., Cr., 17	344, 17
	v	. Bysagoo Noshyo	٠.	•					8 W. R., Cr., 29	1797, 25
	υ,	. Callachand							7 W. R., Cr., 60	5556
_	v.	. Cassy Mul							3 W. R., Cr., 10	1349
	v,	. Chakor Huree							6 W. R., Cr., 16	2583
	v,	. Chakrasahu							I. L. R., 7 Mad., 185	3569
		. Chand Bagdee							7 W. R., Cr., 6	1151,56
	v,	. Chand Manal							19 W. R., Cr., 1	4070
<u> </u>		. Chando Chandal	inee						24 W. R., Cr., 55	25
						•	٠		9 B. L. R., 6: 17 W. R., Cr.,	1
	v	. Chandra Jugi	•	•				ં	47.	. 262
	v.	Chandrakant Ch	mekerl	butty				્ટે	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 8: 10 W.	4628
								(R., Cr., 14.	
	v.	Chandra Sekhar	Roy					- 2	5 B. L. R., 100: 13 W. R., }	1048
								ı	Cr., 66.	1
		Chappu Menon		•	•	•	٠		4 Mad., 146	259
		Chedee Koonjra	•		•	•			14 W. R., Cr., 76	6250
		Cheit Ram	•		٠		٠		5 N. W., 110	400
	v.		•						2 N. W., 113	2595
		Cheyt Singh	•						22 W. R., Cr., 70	4728
		Chidda .							3 N. W., 327	1953
	v.	Chinna Vedagiri	Chetti	i					I. L. R., 4 Mad., 227	6260
		Chirag Ali							12 W. R., Cr., 5	343
		Chocha Rai				-	-		e M W Dee	4718
		Choda Atchenah		٠.	•	•	•	•		
		Choonee .		•	•	•	•		3 Mad., 318	1004
-		Chooramoni San	·	•	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 13	723
		Caronamon San	U	•	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 25	1326, 474

-	Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	A)					0.37 707 021	707, 6261
Queen	v. Chotey Lal			•	. 1	3 N. W., 271	4722
	v. Chowdhry		•	٠	•	2 B. L. R., Ap., 28	1511
	v. Chrestien		•	٠	. 1	2 N. W., 473	2939
	v. Chubboa				.	5 N. W., 189	4056
-	v. Chullundee Poramanick		4		. 1	3 W. R., Cr., 55	21
	v. Chumroo Roy		•	٠		7 W. R., Cr., 35	4238
-	v. Chundee Churn Banerjee					C W. R., Cr., 94	
	v. Chundee Churn Dass					22 W. R., Cr., 71	5495
	v. Chunder Bhuttacharjee					24 W. R., Cr., 42	1009, 1011
	b. Onunder Bhastachange	•	•	•	•		1021, 1268
	v. Chunder Coomar Sen .				{	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 97: 5 W.	3604
	o. Chander Coomar Ben .	•	•	•	- 7	R., Cr., 4.	1
	v. Chunder Kant Lahoree					12 W. R., Cr., 2	5549
	v. Chunder Koomar Mozoomda	r				24 W. R., Cr., 77	724
	v. Chunder Kumar Muzoomdar					25 W. R., Cr., 54 · · ·	719
	v. Chunder Prosaud Singh		•			10 W. R., Cr., 30	3625
						(464, 1046
*************	v. Chunder Seekur Roy .					12 W. R., Cr., 18	3623, 4360
	•						5565
	v. Chundi Churn Nauth .					8 W. R., Cr., 5	1970
	v. Chundro Mohun Bhooya					22 W. R., Cr., 82	5495
-	v. Chupput Khyrwar .			- 1		15 W. R., Cr., 83	1799
	•	•	•	٠			23, 400
-	v. Chutterdharec Singh .	•		•		5 W. R., Cr., 59 · · {	2762
	v. Chytun Bowra					5 W. R., Cr., 49	5553
	v. Clarke	•		٠	•	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 137	3780
<u>.</u>	v. Dabee	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 27 · ·	5573, 5578
	a. Dalan Cimal	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 55	737
	v. Dalapati Rau	•	•	•	•	1 Mad., 83	4509
	v. Damodur Dass	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 452	4104
-	v. Damoo Singh	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 36	4451
	v. Damu Haree	•	•	•		21 W. R., Cr., 35	5570
	v. Daria Khan	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 128	4356
	o. Dance Mandage	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr. 46	727
	v. Dassee Mosulmany .	•	•	•	•	8 W. R. Cr. 71	4058
	v. Dasser Bhooyan .	•	•	. •	•	0 111 2013 011 1	975, 4719
	v. Dassoo Manjee	•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 39	452
	v. Dayal Bawri	•	•	•	•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 55	4532, 5649
	v. Dedar Nushyo	•			•	14 W. R., Cr., 81	1985
,		•	•	•		7 W. R., Cr., 32	1671
	v. Degumber Ahir .	•	•			21 W. R., Cr., 22	1794
	v. Degumber Thakoor .	•	•			19 W. R., Cr., 44	1975
				٠		9 W. R., Cr., 52	
-	v. Denoo Bundhoo Biswas		•			12 W. R., Cr., 1	3865
	v. Deo Nundun Singh .					11 W. R., Cr., 16	4730
	v. Desilva					5 N. W., 120	5884
	v. Dhaumun Teli					20 W. R., Cr., 36	4625
	v. Dhona Bhooya				(5 B. L. R., 658:14 W. R.,)	256
		•	•	•	i	Cr., 33.	
	v. Dhoonda Bhooya .					8 W. R., Cr., 85	5545
	v. Dhununjai Poly					14 W. R., Cr., 68	4623
	v. Dhunput Ojhab .					7 W. R., Cr., 51	.737
	v. Dhurrani Dutt Rai .					8 W. R., Cr., 7	5545
	v. Dhurum Dutt Ojha .					8 W. R., Cr., 13	1807
	v. Digambur Shaha .					24 W. R., Cr., 3	1268
	v. Dina Bundhoo Roy .					24 W. R., Cr., 4	1790
	•				(8 B. L. R., Ap., 58: 17 W. 7	4448
-	v. Dinanath Gangooly .	•	•	•	- 3	R., Cr., 12.	Caratar
						,,,	1

	- Nam	e of Case					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
uee	n v. Dina Sheikh .					{	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15, note : } 10 W. R., Cr., 63.	5552
	v. Dinobundo Rai .						9 W. R., Cr., 19	6065
_	v. Dinoo Roy .						16 W. R., Cr., 21	1853, 625
	v. Doma Ram						24 W. R., Cr., 66	5910
	v. Domun Kahar .	• .					12 W. R., Cr., 82	1008
	v. Doorga Churn She	ome					24 W. R., Cr., 30	2923
	v. Doorga Doss .						7 W. R., Cr., 104	2940, 555
	v. Doorgagutty .						11 W. R., Cr., 55	6251
	v. Doorga Nath Roy						8 W. R., Cr., 9	967
	v. Doorgessur Suring	ih .	•	. •			7 W. R., Cr., 97	17
-	v. Doorjodhun Sham	ionto a	lias D	eejobor			19 W. R., Cr., 26	727
	v						19 W. R., Cr., 45	5548, 616
_	v. Dowlat	•			•		8 W. R., Cr. 95	710
	v. Doyal Shilydar .	•					6 W. R., Cr., 87	5884
	v. Dudraj Dosadh	•		•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 83	972, 153
	v. Dukaran v. Duleelooddeen She		•	•	•	•	1 7 N. W., 196	252
	v. Dullee	eikn	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 19 6 N. W., 307	1950
_	v. Durbaroo Dass Sir		•	•	•	•		6045
_	v. Durbarro Polie .	car	•	•	•	٠	13 W. R., Cr., 14	1020
			•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 30	712
	v. Durgadas Bhuttac	narjee	•	•	•	•	7 B. L. R., Ap., 37	4719
_	v. Durzoolla .						9 W. R., Cr., 33	706, 71
_	v. Dushrutto Roy .					•	5	5556
	v. Dussorut Dass .	•	•	•	•	٠	7 W. R., Cr., 58	6068
		•	•	•	•	•	18 W. R., Cr., 63	5883
-	v. Dwarka					{	5 W. R., Cr., 18: 1 Ind. Jur., ?	23
	v. Dwarka Aheer .					(N. S., 100.	
	v. Dwarkanath Bose	•	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 49	4379
_	v. Dwarkanath Ghose		•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 31	5511
			•	•	•	·	20 W. R., Cr., 49 8 B. L. R., Ap., 9:16 W. R.,	2009, 469
	v. Dwarka Nath Haz	era .	•	٠		ે	Cr. 70	504
	v. Dwarka Nath Mul	lick					Cr., 70. 21 W. R., Cr., 45	490
-	v. Dwarkanath Sen				:		13 W. R., Cr., 34	721
	v. East Indian Railw	a		•	•	ċ	Bourke O C 205. 3 Tad	42L
		ay Con	opany	•	٠	{	Bourke, O. C., 395: 1 Ind. } Jur., N. S, 258.	3782
_	v. Eduljee Byramjee						3 Moore's I. A., 468	285, 597
_	v. Elahi Bax .					- (B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 459: 57	23, 34
		•	•	•	•	{	W. R., Cr., 80.	5164, 557
	v. Emoo						11 W. R., Cr., 20	396
_	v. Enayet Hossein						5 W. R., Cr., 43	4119
-	v. Esan Chunder Des					.		708, 72
	•		•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 40 . {	6191
	v. Eshan Meah .	•	•			•	12 W. R., Cr., 52	17
_	v. Etwaree Dharee	•	•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 12	1838, 6264
_	v. Fata Adaji .	•		•		•	11 Bom., 247	1794
-	v. Fatik Biswas .					.	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 13 . {	709, 1801
_	v. Feojdar Roy .				-	1		1964
	v. Firman Ali	•	•	•	•	• 1	9 W. R., Cr., 14	711, 713
_	v. Flood	•	•	•	•		16 W. R., Cr., 73	5653
	,	•	•	•		:	8 W. R., Cr., 43	4702
-	v. Foktu Shah .					{	2 B. L. R., S. N., 6:10 W.?	978
_	v. Fukeera Chamar					(R., Cr., 49.	
		•	•	•	•	* 1	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4058
_	v. Futteali Biswas		•			.	10 W. R., Cr., 37	709, 1802
								1964

	-	Namo	of Ca	50,			4	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
ueen	v. Fyzo							D. 1 0 0 000	
,	-		•	•	•	•		Bourke, O. C., 269	5575
-	v. Gabind Cl	andra Gi	iose				્રે	7 B. L. R., 28, note: 10 W. R., Cr., 41.	5515
	v. Gagalu						ì	6 B. L. R., Ap., 50: 12 W.	1792, 42
	_		•	•	•	•	1	K., Cr. 80.	6249
******	v. Gajraj							3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 43	725
	v. Ganga	•, •						7 N. W 131	1292
	v. Ganga Sin	gn .		•				5 N. W., 44	4057
_	v. Ganni v. Gaub Gora	i. •	•	•	•		•	7 N. W., 249	5541
	v. Gendoo Kl		•	•		•		8 W. R., Cr., 6	99
_	v. Ghasee	ian .	•	•				7 W. R., Cr., 14	4722
_	v. Ghasseerar	n .	•	. •	•	•	•	4 N. W., 50	5604
	v. Ghasoo Kh	າຄກໍ່.	•	•	•	•	•	3 N. W., 90	5605
	v. Ghisa		:	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 49 7 N. W., 375	2910
-	v. Gholam Is	mail .	·	:	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 1	4740
	v. Gholam M	ahomed		- :	•		•	22 W. R., Cr., 17	5156
-	v. Gholam M	ustuffa			·		•	3 W. R., Cr., 29	5289
-	v. Ghurbhurn						•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 1	5545
-	v. Girdharee	Singh						6 N. W., 26	5548 1335
_	v. Girish Cha	ndra Gha	60				(7 B. L. R., 513: 16 W. R., ?	
	GINER ODE	nuia Ono	se .	•	•		- {	Cr., 40.	970, 36
-	v. Gobardhan	Bhuvan	_				6	4 B. L. R., Ap., 101: 13 W.	
		-	•	•	•	•	- 1	R., Cr., 55.	713, 465
_	v. Gobind Do		•	•				21 W. R., Cr., 35	16
_	v. Gobin Tew v. Goburdhun		•					I. L. R., 1 Calc., 281	253
_	v. Goodranun	Bera						6 W. R., Cr., 80	4367
_	v. Goburdhun	Down	•	٠				12 W. R., Cr., 65	1534
_	v. Godai Rao	rari	•	۰	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 74	4624
			•	•		•		5 W. R., Cr., 11	23
-	v. —	• •					- {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 436: 5 }	5145
-	v. Gogun Seir						(2 W. R., Cr., 57	
-	v. Gokool Boy	vree .	:	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 33	957
-	v. Gokool Kal	har .	:	•	۰	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 36	4058
-	v. Golab Sing	h.			Ţ.	•	•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 10	6165
-	v. Golam Ara	bee .						25 W. R., Cr., 20	5512
	v. Golam Darl	haeh				•	6	1 B. L. R., S. N., 27: 10 W.	4449
	- Comming Diff	ocan .	•		•	•	- 3	R., Cr., 36.	4099
	v. Golam Hos	sein Chow	dhee				Č	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 88: 7 W.	
			umy	•	•	•	- ž	R. Cr., 10.	258
	v. Goluck Chu	inder	. •	•				13 W. R., Cr., 16	2015
	v. Goluck Chu v. Goluck Dos	inder Goo	цо.				.	12 W. R., Cr., 38.	4097
	v. Gonowri .	s .	•	•				1 Hyde, 282	1989
		•	•	•	•	•	.	22 W. R., Cr., 2	1799, 197
-	v. Goojree Par	ıday.				۰	5	11 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 20 W.	5577
. ,	v. Gooroodoss	Raibunes	Α.				(R., Cr., 21.	
. ,	v. Gopai .		•	•	•	•		4 W. R., Cr., 7 2 N. W., 430	2937
. :	v. Gopal Doss		:	:	•	•		I. L. R., 3 Mad., 271	958
. 1	v. Gopal Hajja	am .			•	•		15 W. R., Cr., 16.	1851
٠ 1	v. Gopal Prosa	ud Sein		:	:			8 W. R., Cr., 16	4533
. 1	v. Gopal Thak	oor .	_		Ċ	:		6 W. R., Cr., 72	5545
٠ 1	v. Gopee Moh	ın Mitter				:		22 W. R., Cr., 26	1788
. 1	v. Gopeenath 1	Kollu			:	:	1	13 W. R., Cr., 69	986 1796
	. Gopi Noshy	0 .		:		:			1796 $1154, 276$
							- 1		1104, 47

•	Name of Case				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Gorachand Gope		• -	{	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 443: 5 W. R., Gr., 45: 1 Ind. Jur.,	4056, 5148 5159
	v. Goreebooliah			-	N. S., 177. 5 W. R., Cr., 42	4058
	v. Goshto Lal Dutt		•	{	7 B. L. R., Ap., 62: 15 W. ?	1855
	v. Gossain Luchmee Narain Pooree	•	•	- (R., Cr., 68.	
	v. Gossain Munraj Pooree .	:	:	3	24 W. R., Cr., 23	4733
	v. Goar Benode Dutt			{	13 B. L. R., 308, note: 21 } W. R., Cr., 10.	4333
	v. Gour Chunder Das				24 W. R., Cr., 5	4059
	v. Gour Chunder Roy				8 W. R., Cr., 2	5574
	v. Gour Chund Polie				1 W. R., Cr., 17	1332
	v. Gour Churn Doss				11 W. R., Cr., 53 19 W. R., Cr., 38, note	956
	v. Gource Churn Doss				19 W. R., Cr., 38, note	5883
	v. Gour Mohan Sen			5	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6: 11 W.	5826
		•	•	į	R., Cr., 48.	
-	v. Gour Mohun Singh				16 W. R., Cr., 44	1958, 1960
	v. Gowree Singh				8 W. R., Cr., 28	396
	v. Greedhary Manjee	٠			7 W. R., Cr., 39	720
	v. Grish Chunder Sirkar	•	•		19 W. R., Cr., 33	5520
	v. Gugun Misser	•		•	8 W. R., Cr., 61	2006, 3626
	v. Gulam Hussain		•		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 71	5853
	v. Gullie Mullick		•		W. R., 1864, Cr., 10	1980.
	v. Gumbheer	٠	•		2 N. W., 234	984
	v. Gunesh Lushkar	٠	•		9 W. R., Cr., 72	725
-	v. Gunesh Mana	•	•	٠	20 W. R., Cr., 54	4217
	v. Gunesh Sircar	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 38	4622
	v. Gunga Bishen	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 26	721
	v. Gunga Govind Palit	•	•	٠	28 W. R., Cr., 21	6165
-	v. Gungaram Potdar · .	٠	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 10	5541
	v. Gunga Singh	٠	٠	•	20 W. R., Cr., 36	4726
	v. Gunsha Moonda		•		20 W. R., Cr., 38	2048
	v. Gur Baksh	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 193	1315
	v. Gurib Shek	٠	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 4	1223
-	v. Gurjoon Aheer	•	•	:	7 W. R., Cr., 37	5545
	v. Guru Charan Chang			ž	6 B. L. R., Ap., 9: 14 W. R., Cr., 69.	4625
	v. Gyance Ram				6 W. R., Cr., 78	2008
	v. Hakim Sirdar			{	6 W. R., Cr., 78	
	o, manim ondar	•	•	(R., Cr., 35.	1304
	v. Hanmanta		•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 610 . {	1726, 179 2757
	v. Hardewa				5 N. W., 217	1797
	v. Hardyal				3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 35	1970, 500
	v. Hargobind Datta Sirkar .				8 B. L. R., Ap., 12	2571
	v. Hargobind Pal				7 B. L. R., Ap., 57	2924, 292
	v. Haridas Kundu			{	4 B. L. R., Ap., 69:13 W.)	4855
		•	-		R., Cr., 21.	4000
-	v. Hari Giri			{	1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11:10 W.	1330
					R., Cr., 26. 8 B. L. R., 557: 14 W. R., }	
	v. Hariprosad Gangooly	٠	•	{	Cr., 59.	6162
	v. Haroo Rujwar				13 W. R., Cr., 27	5563
-	v. Harrakchand Nowlaka .		•		8 W. R., Cr., 12	977, 445
	v. Haru				9 B. L. R., 146	971
-	v. Harvey				20 W. R., Cr., 68	4730
						1

	Name of	Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
)ueen	v. Hazar Mir Khan						7 N. W., 237	4449
	v. Hearn					. 1	3 N. W., 128	4447
	v. Heera Lall Ghose					. 1	13 W. R., Cr., 37	980
-	v. Heeramun Hulwye					(5 W R., Cr., 5: 1 Ind. Jur., ?	735
	v. Heeramun Singh	-			-	5	N. S., 97. 8 W. R., Cr., 30	5603
	v. Hekmut Ali .	:	•	•			24 W. R., Cr., 72	5577
	v. Hicks	•	•	•	•		10 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1005
	v. Hiddun Khan .	•	•	•	•		2 N. W., 285	5603
	v. Hiralal Das .				Ĭ.	•	8 B. L. R., 422	3604, 485
		•	•	•	•	(5 B. L. R., Ap., 48: 14 W. R., }	
	v. Hiralal Sing .	•	٠	•	•	{	Cr., 8.	3620
	v. Hoolas	•	•	•			23 W. R., Cr., 32	1961
	v. Hossain Ali Chowdh	ry					8 W. R., Cr., 74 {	1151, 617 6263
	v. Hossein Ali .						5 N. W., 49	1152
	v,				•		8 B. L. R., Ap., 25	1976
	v. Hossein Ally .			·			7 W. R., Cr., 47	5546
	n Hosseinee						8 W. R., Cr., 60	727
	v. Hossein Manjee .					٠.	9 W. R., Cr., 70	969, 43
	v. Hossein Sirdar .						13 W. R., Cr., 17	6259
	v. Hulkoree Singh .						21 W. R., Cr., 61	6251, 626
	v. Hullodhur Ghose						5 W. R., Cr., 40	260
	v. Hunooman Lall .						19 W. R., Cr., 5	1955
-	v. Hurdeen Sahoy .						23 W. R., Cr., 27	1728
	v. Hurdnt Surma .						8 W. R., Cr., 68	1805, 43
-	v. Hurgobind .						2 N. W., 336 3 N. W., 174	1796
	v			٠.		٠.	3 N. W., 174	5291
	v. Hurish Chunder Bo	se.					W. R., 1864, Cr., 22	2011
	v. Hurkumari Dassia						24 W. R., Cr., 10	4729
	v. Hurnath Roy .		•				2 W. R., Cr., 50	1853, 46
	v	•				•	3 W. R., Cr., 54	5289
	v. Hurnath Singh .	•	٠		• 1	•	20 W. R., Cr., 22	5160
	v. Huroo Saha .	•				•	16 W. R., Cr., 20	2922
	v. Hurpaul	•	•		۰	٠	4 W. R., Cr., 9	5570
		•	•	•	•	•	4 N. W., 23	983
	v. Hurree Ram .	•	•	•	•	٠,	3 N. W., 194	4357
	v. Hurribole Chunder	Ghose				{	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 207: 25 W.	1015, 18
	v. Hurri Kishen Doss						R., Cr., 36. 15 W. R., Cr., 62	1047
		•	•	•	•	6	14 B. L. R., Ap., 2, note: 21	
	v. Hurro Manji .		•	•	•	5	W. R., Cr., 4.	6164
-	v. Hurry Paramanick	l'ante	٠.				15 W. R., Cr., 5	1047
	v. Hursookh		٠		•	•	2 N. W., 479	5604
	v. Hurynath Chowdhry		٠	•	•		7 W. R., Cr., 58	6237
	v. Hushrut	•	•		•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 85	5294
	v. Hussun Ali .	•			• •	•	5 N. W., 49	5547
	.v. Huttooa	•		•	.•	•	3 N. W., 2	5538
	v. Ichabur Dobey .		•	•	• •	•	4 W. R., Cr., 11	5602
	v. Imambandee .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 26	4494,45
	v. Imamdi Bhooyah	•	•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 8	4737
	v. Imamoodeen Bhina	•	•	•	٠		13 W. R., Cr., 73 6 B. L. R., Ap., 88:15 W.)	
	v. Ishan Dutt .		•	. •		į	R., Cr., 34.	6252, 62
	v. Ishree Pershad .						24 W. R., Cr., 49	5990
	v. Ishwar Chandra Jos	ree					W. R., 1864, Cr., 21	5551

	Name	of Cas	o.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
ueer	n v. Isree Pershad Sing	gh .				{	9 B. L. R., Ap., 44: 18 W. }	4737
	v. T	•					20 W. R., Cr., 18.	4728, 473
	v. Issen Mundle						3 W. R., Cr., 8	343
	v. Issur Chunder Ghe	ose .					14 W. R., Cr., 53	1980
	v. Issur Raut						8 W. R., Cr., 63	5153
	v. Itwaree Dome	- 4					6 W. R., Cr., 83	2756
	v. Itwarya						14 B. L. R., Ap., 1	6164
	v · ·			•		{	14 B. L. R., 54: 22 W. R., } Cr., 14.	1267, 411
-	v. Jackson					٤	13 B. L. R., 474: 22 W. R.,	2903
	v. Jadub Chunder Bi	swas			_			1005
_	v. Jaffir Ali			:	:	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 15 19 W. R., Cr., 57.	1965 1020, 129
-	v. Jaganath			·	:	•	7 N. W., 133	1317
-	v. Jaga Poly .				:	•	11 W. R., Cr., 39	
-	v. Jagatmal			-		•	I. L R., 1 All., 162	399, 180
	v. Jageshur Pershad				Ī	•	6 N. W., 56	1315, 131 2009
	v. Jaha Bux			·	•	•		
_	v. Jaichand Mundle			•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 81	2011 4055
	v. Jaipal Koiree .				•	•	11 W . R., Cr., 60	
_	v. Jakir				•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 6	4431
	v. Jaloo Sirdar .			- :	•	•	22 W. R., C., 89 W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	708
_	v. Jamal				•	•	W. R., 1804, Cr., 18	. 464
_	v. Jamurha		•	•	•	•	16 W. R., Cr., 75.	5548 710, 115
	v. Jan Mahomed .	•	·	•	•	•	7 N. W., 137	1284
	v. Jeebun Sheikh	•	•	•	•	- {	R., Cr., 41.	3619
	v. Jeenut Bebee	•	•	•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 4	4720
	v. Jeetoo Chowdry .	•	. •	•	٠		1 W. R., Cr., 46	1327
	v. Jeetun Khan	•	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr. 23	5545
-	v. Jema	•	•	•	•		11 W. R., Cr., 45	5603
	v. Jeolall	•		•	•		8 W, R., Cr., 40	1007
_	v. Jetoo			•			7 W. R., Cr., 34	4626
	v. Jhantah Noshyo	•	•	•	•		23 W. R., Cr., 16	1011
_	. 11	•	•		•		6 W. R., Cr., 59	5546
	v. Jhapoo v. Jhurree		•	•	•		20 W. R., Cr., 37	4723
_	v. Jhurrup	•	•	•			7 W. R., Cr., 41	1003
	v. Jodoonath Shaha	۰	•	•	•		5 N. W., 133	2938
		•	•	•	•		23 W. R., Cr., 33	1270, 591
_	v. Joge Poly v. Jogeshur Bagdee	•	•	•	•	{	7 B. L. R., 67, note: 11 W. R., Cr., 39.	399, 180
_	Jogo Mohne M	•					7 W. R., Cr, 109	5882
_	v. Jogo Mohun Mala v. Johrie Singh	•					24 W. R., Cr., 5	2623
_	v. Joomnee	•	•				22 W. R., Cr., 28	5909
_	v. Jotee Ghorace	•					8 W. R., Cr., 16	1809
_	v. Joyah Mohun Chun	· · ·	•				1 W. R., Cr., 7	2581
	v. Joygopal .	aer	•	•		. !	14 W. R., Cr., 19	5551
		•					4 W. R., Cr., 4	2581
	v. Joykishen Lall .	•	•				12 W. R., Cr., 46	4750
_	v. Joynarain Patro v. Judoo	•	•				20 W. R., Cr., 66	2600
		•	•			.	6 W. R., Cr., 6)	587
	v. Juggurnath Singh	•				.	2 W. R., Cr., 55	5996
	v. Juggut Chunder Dr	itt .				.	2 W. R., Cr., 55 6 W. R., Cr., 81	1968
_	v. Jugroop Ugrabee							972
_	v. Jumdem Singh .					.		793, 6260
	v. Jumiruddin .						23 W. R., Cr., 58	1290

		Name of C	ase.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
) Jueen	21	Junghoo Khan .						3 W. R., Cr., 36	619
		Jungle Lall .	:	:	:	•	:	19 W. R., Cr., 40	1973
		Jungli Beldar .	:	÷	:	·		8 B. L. R., Ap., 47	7:
		_	c	7-1			(1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 41: 18 W.).	115
	v.	Justices of the Peace	tor (Jaicutta		. •	- £:	R., Cr., 44, note.	1102
	v.							2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 182	378
		Kabil Cazee .					•	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1	6069
		Kabil Manji .	•				•	2 B. L. R., A. C., 23	4117
		Kabul Pattur .	•			•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 4	3865
		Kadar Khan .	٠	•	•	•	۰	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 380	472
		Kadar Ravuttan	•	•	•	•	•	4 Mad., 18	1983
		Kadir Bux	. 31	. 1	•	•	•	3 N. W., 16	734 551
		Kadir Bux alias Kad	(r 2)	anomeu		•	•		5564
		Kalachand Boidyo	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 18	100
		Kala Chand Pal Kalai	•	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 29	262
		Kala Khan	•		•	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 23	709
		Kalaram Singh .	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 8	706, 7
	21	Kaleechurn .	:	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 8 3 W. R., Cr., 10	2590
			•	•	•	•		7 B. L. R., 322: 16 W. R., ?	1
	v.	Kali Chandra Shah	•		•	•	۶٠.	Cr., 13.	4499
	v.	Kali Charan Das					- {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 86: 15 W.)	728
	21	Kali Charan Misser						R., Cr., 17. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 55	980, 59
			•	•	•	•	5		1802, 5
	v.	Kali Churn Gangooly	•		•		3	7 W. R., Cr., 2	626
	v.							21 W. R., Cr., 11	1:
	υ.	Kalichurn Lahooree					٠.	9 W. R., Cr., 54	711, 1:
		Kalikaprasad .					{	5 B. L. R., Ap., 82, note: 11 }	410
		-	•	•	•	•	(W. R., Cr., 5.	1
	v.	Kalil					ċ	11 W. R., Cr., 18	411
	22.	Kalinath Biswas					- 3	6 B. L. R., Ap., 116: 15 W.	472
				•	•	•		R., Cr., 18.	132
	v.	Kalinath Nag Chowd	ary		•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 1	
		Kalipuddo Poramanie	K	•	•	•		23 W. R., Cr., 43	73 555
	о.	Kalisankar Sandyal	•	•	•	•		3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 14 .	968, 3
		Kali Sirkar .					5	4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W.	6183, 6
	υ.	man Sirkar .	•	•	•	•	ζ	R., Cr., 1.	625
	21	Kalla Chand Doss						11 W. R., Cr., 21	34
_		Kallachand Moitree	:	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 99	469
		Kally Bhyrub Sandya		•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 52	473
	22.	Kally Churn Gangool	v	•	:	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 92	179
	8	Kalu Mal .	٠.	:	-	:	:	7 W. R., Cr, 103	627
		Kalundar Doss .			:	:	:	2 N. W., 100	626
		Kaly Kishore Roy						25 W. R., Cr., 68	450
		Kamal Fukeer .					:	17 W. R., Cr., 50	180
		Kaminee Dossee						12 W. R., Cr., 25	1804, 2
	e.	Kanhai Shahu .						23 W. R., Cr., 40 . {	460, 4
		Kanjamalai Padayach		-	-	•		I. L. R., 6 Mad , 372	604 130
		77		•	•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 24	618
		Kantiram . Kanye Sbeikh .	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 38	626
		Kareem Bux .	•	•	•	•	•	3 N W 30	126
		Karoo	•	•	•	•		3 N. W., 30 6 W. R., Cr., 44	7:
		Karri Papayamma	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 230	374
	٠.	z wpwj wanzud	•	•	•	•	•		1

	Nan	ne of Case.	w				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
O	a Ventick Chander	. Ualdan					9 W. R., Cr., 58	1792, 1969
Queen	v. Kartick Chunde		•	•	•	•		1989, 5514
	v. Kashee Nath Ch	ungo :	•	٠	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 84	5551
	v. Kasim Ali		•	•	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 67	4534 2779
-	v. Kasim Shaikh		•	•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 32 4 W. R., Cr., 38	1331
	v. Kasseemoddeen	• •	•	•	•		11 W. R., Cr., 10	5575
	v. Kassim Ally v. Kassimuddin	• •	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 55	1154
	v. Kassy Singh	• •	•	•	Ċ		21 W. R., Cr., 61	6251, 6264
	v. Kazım Mundle						17 W. R., (r., 49	143, 4436
			•	-		(2 B. L. R., F. B., 25: 10 W. 7	
	v. Kazim Thakoor		•	•	•	£	R., Cr., 45.	264
	v. Keifa Singh						3 W. R., Cr., 16	5573
	v. Keilasum Putte	r .					5 Mad., 373	1969
	v. Kendra Kamar			٠.		•	7 W. R., Cr., 97	1349
	v. Keshub Bhoonis					٠,	25 W. R., Cr., 8	1021
-	v. Kewal Dosad					•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 36	4058
	v. Khader Moidin		•		•	•	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 235	3576, 4371
	v. Khadim Sheik		•	•	. •	•	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7	14
	v. Khan Mahomed		•	•		•	24 W. R., Cr., 53	3622
	v. Khedun Misser		•	•	•		7 W. R., Cr., 54	1335
	v. Khemee Singh		•	٠	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 19	6065
	v. Kheraj Mullah		•	•	•	•	11 B. L. R., 33	5913
	v. Khetter Nath G		•	•	•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 56	118
	v. Khetter Nath S	nana .	•		•	•	6 W D 0 00	73
	v. Khoab Lall v. Khoaz Sheikh		•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 20	709, 1980
	v. Khodabux Fake		•	•	•	•	10 W. R., Cr., 52	5573 4057
	v. Khooderam		•	٠.	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 39	2901
-	v. Khotub Sheikh		•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 17	724
	v. Khoyrat Ally B		•	:	÷	:	3 W. R., Cr., 60	1348
-	v. Khukree Ooram		:				21 W. R., Cr., 48	1021
	v. Khyroo .	. :	:				2 N. W., 289	2028
	-					(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 11:6)	
	v. Khyroolla	• •	•	•	•	{	W. R., Cr., 21,	1802
	v. Kidar Nath						7 N. W. 233	4730
-	v. Kishen Pershad						2 N. W., 202	2008, 2897
	v. Kishto Doba						14 W. R., Cr., 16	3603
	v. Kishtoram Doss						13 W. R., Cr., 59	2923
	v. Kissoree Pater						7 W. R., Cr., 35	1349
	v. Kisto Chunder	Ghose	•		•		2 W. R., Cr., 58	6029
	v. Kisto Mundul		•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 8	1004, 1790
	v, Kisto Soonder I	Jeb .	•	•			2 W. R., Cr., 5	2015
-	v. Koa		٠	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 48	24
	v. Kodai Kahar	• . •	٠	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 6	1004
	v. Kola .					- 5	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 4: 12 W. R., Cr., 66.	1976
	v. Komul Kishen						11 W. R., Cr., 35	5539
-	v. Komul Manjee						7 W. R., Cr., 13	3627
	v. Komurooddee S	ikhdar					13 W. R., Cr., 17	5602
	v. Koonee .		:	. •			7 W. R., Cr., 48	453
	v. Koonjo Leth					{	11 B. L. R., 14: 20 W. R., }	6166
100			-			(Cr., 1. 3 W. R., Cr., 15	
	v. Koordan Singh		•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 8	2938
,	v. Koylas Boona v. Kripamoyee Ch	· ·	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 35	900=
	v. Krishnama	HOGHIEC	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 230	2005 3607

_	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Que	en v. Krisno Churn v. Kristendro Roy	:	16 W. R., Cr., 66	2922
	v. Kristna Rau	•	7 W. R., Cr., 30	4725, 5541
-	v. Kudum	•	·	5513, 5520 5527
	v. Kulum Sheikh	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 13	16, 4384
	v. Kullian Singh	:	10 W. R., Cr., 39 2 N. W., 468	1788
	v. Kullimoodeen v. Kultaran Singh		7 W. R. Cr. 52	6027
	v. Kumarasami		1. L. B., 1 All, 120	19 1314, 1318
			1 2 Mad. 331	4385
	v. Kumodinikant Banerjee Chowdhry		9 B. L. R., Ap., 30: 18 W.	4724
	v. Kunee Sonar		R., Cr., 44. 7 W. R., Cr., 57	
-	v. Kunhiya v. Kunj Beharce		4 N. W., 154	5537 4722
	v. Kureem	٠.	5 N. W. 187	1222
-	v. Kyamut		11 W. R., Cr., 16	709
	v. Lachmipat Singh	. ;	W. B., 1864 Cr 7	6265
		. }	5 B. L. R., Ap., 81: 14 W.	4095, 4107
	v. Lahai Mundul	. ' .	R, Cr., 17. 7 W. R, Cr., 29	
	v. Lakkun Santhal		21 W R of 50	2599
	v. Lalchand Kowrah	. (B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 147 . 13	1839
	- TOWIAN	. 3	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 147:1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 83:5 W.	1980
	v. Lal Gumul	.6		2000
	v. Lalla Chowbey		2 N. W., 11 2 N. W., 49	2010
	v. Lall Beharee Singh		2 N. W., 49	1151,6263
	v. Lall Mahomed		11 W. R., Cr., 50	4730
	v. Lalloo Singh		6 N. W., 284 8 W. R., Cr., 54	6271
	v. Lall Singh	•	10 W. R., Cr., 54	957, 958
	v		10 W. R., Cr., 39 6 N. W., 270	956
	v. Lal Mahomed	•	22 W. R., Cr., 82	6270
	v. Lekhraj			736
		{	2 N. W., 132: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 206.	3620, 5512
	v. Lokenath Shaha	100	W P 1904 C 19	5514, 5518
	v. Luchmee Pershad		W. R., 1864, Cr., 12 19 W. R., Cr., 43	4383
	v. Luchoo		5 N. W., 86	24
	v. Luckhee Singh		12 W. R., Cr., 23	1014
-	v. Luckhinarain Agoori		23 W. R., Cr., 61.	4354
-	v. Luckny Naram Nagory		24 W P C. 10	6163
	v. Lucky Naraiu Dutt		24 W. R., Cr., 18. 20 W. R., Cr., 50	148, 2923
	v. Lukhini Agradanini	•	22 W. R., Cr., 27	1854
	v. Lukhun Doss	• 1	2 W. R., Cr., 52	4350
-	v. Luntro Singh		14 W. R., Cr., 18.	2583
	v. Luteef Hossein	- 1	10 W. R., Cr., 1	256
	v. Luthi Bewa		2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25	4094
	v. Macdonald		10 B. L. R., Ap., 2	1982
	v	1	22 W. R., Cr., 6	1832
	v. Madan Mohun		6 N. W., 302	6030
	v. Madan Mundul		16 W. R., Cr., 71	984
	v. Madaree	. 1	3 W. R., Cr., 2	2732
	v. Madhoo Churn	- 1		5992
	. Madhoosurun	: 1	7 W. R., Cr., 40	104, 4505
	v. Madhub Chunder Giri	.		20
		-	21 W. R., Cr., 13 .	149, 263
_	Madhub Chunder Misser		13 W. R., Cr., 45	1270
- '	Magenee Behara	.	11 W. R., Cr., 3	1048
		- 1		1335

	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Queen	v. Mahadeo v. Maharaj Misser v. Maha Singh v. Mahbub Khan v. Mahendra Narayan Bangabhushan v. Mahendranath Chatterjee v. Mahima Chandra Chuckerbutty v. v. Mahima Chandra Dass v. Mahim Chandra Chuckerbutty v. Mahamod Hossein v. Mahmod Hossein v. Mahmod Hossein v. Mahmod Hossein	W. R., 1864, Cr., 15	722 709, 1962 3603 4450 463 5579 6262 5512, 5516 263, 343 1788 970, 1270 1258 4733
	v. Mahomed Akhir alius Totah Meeah v. Mahomed Ali v. Mahomed Elim v. Mahomed Hoomayoon Shaw	12 W. R., Cr., 17. 13 W. R., Cr., 50. 3 W. R., Cr., 40. 13 B. L. R., 324: 21 W. R., 7	2005 2778 4055 1977
	v. Mahomed Hossain v. Mahomed Hossain v. Mahomed Hossein v. Maichya Gazce v. Majohur Roy v. Malapa bin Kapana v. Marimuttu v. Man v. Man v. Man v. Man Singh v. Maphool v. Mata Dyal v. Matam Mal v. Mati Khowa v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan v. Meajan Sheikh v. Meares v. Megha Meeah v. Mehar Dowalia	16 W. R., Cr., 37 W. R., 1864, Cr., 81 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 298 6 W. R., Cr., 42 24 W. R., Cr., 11 11 Bonn, 196 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 243 5 N. W., 235 7 W. R., Cr., 103 5 N. W., 240 4 N. W., 6 22 W. R., Cr., 34 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 36: 12 (W. R., Cr., 53 20 W. R., Cr., 5 20 W. R., Cr., 5 20 W. R., Cr., 5 20 W. R., Cr., 5 20 W. R., Cr., 5 20 W. R., Cr., 5 20 W. R., Cr., 5 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 21 (14 B. L. R., 106: 22 W. R., 7 21 22 W. R., Cr., 50	1963, 5517 4055 5291. 1331 1289 24 6046 1335 6068 4703 1953, 1960 298 1789, 1976 2781 1950 6161 2902 2588 2711, 509
	v. Menar Dowals v. Meriam v. Methi Mullah v. Mewa Lall v. Mina Nuggerbhatin v. Misee Lall v. Misee Lall v. Misee Beikh v. Mitto Singh v. Mittun Chowdhry v. Mobariek v. Modhoo Paul v. Modoosoodun Day v. Modoosoodun Day	16 W. R., Cr., 63 2 W. R., Cr., 83 1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 10 W. R., Cr., 10 13 W. R., Cr., 49 13 W. R., Cr., 53 3 W. R., Cr., 65 3 W. R., Cr., 66 3 W. R., Cr., 19 4 N. W., 117 14 W. R., Cr., 9 3 W. R., Cr., 41 11 W. R., Cr., 53 7 W. R., Cr., 58 3 W. R., Cr., 28 3 W. R., Cr., 28 3 W. R., Cr., 28 3 W. R., Cr., 9	711, 5994 5564 5575 397 4718 399 5555 5540 1801 4626 4432 1951 2938 2008 1990

	· Name	e of Case				,	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Que	en v. Modun Mohun Pa	d Chox	dher				22 W D Q 8	
	Monamed Asger		ding		٠, ٠		. 23 W. R., Cr., 7	• 5496
	v. Mohanundo Bhun	darv	•				. 23 W. R., Cr., 11	4059
		au. J	•				5 W. R., Cr., 16	5574
	v. Mohesh Biswas						10 B. L. R., 455, note: 19	1022
	v. Mohesh Chunder	A ahari	20				W. R., Cr., 16.	1022
	v. Mohesh Chunder	Butto		. •	•		6 W. R., Cr., 20	711
	v. Mohesh Chunder	Don	pauni	ι.	•		2 W. R., Cr., 13	257
	v. Mohesh Chunder	itoy itaana	•	•	•		24 W. R., Cr., 67	4488
	v. Mohima Chunder	ot a	, :.	•			3 W. R., Cr., 13	5546
	v. Mohim Chunder Si	эписке	rbutt	у.			o W. R., Cr., 77	1980
	v. Mohit Pandey		•				16 W. R., Cr., 42	737, 293
	" Mohan D	•					3 N. W., 316	18
	v. Mohun Banfor v. Mohuree						22 W. R., Cr., 38	6265
	e. Mondree						2 N. W., 393	6254
	v. Moizudin					٠.	11 W. R., Cr., 41,	4624
	v. Mokee	1						
_	v. Moneeah				· ·		11 W. R., Cr., 38	333, 462
	v. Mon Mohun Roy							5560
	v. Mookhtaram Mund	lle .				•	17 W. R., Cr., 45	1807,588
	v. Mooktaram Sirdar			•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 18	727
	v. Mookun		· .	•	•	•	12 W. R., Cr., 22	1350
_	v. Moondee			•	•	•	19 W D C 22	6262
-	v Moonee Doobey		•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 23	2582
-	v. Moonsai Bibee .	٠,	•	•	•	٠,٠	2 N. W., 189	4728
_	v. Moorut Lall	•	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 54	1798
-	v. Moorut Mahton .	•	•	•	•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 93	1989
-	v. Moosubroo	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 3	5546
	v. Mootkee Kora	•	•	•	•		8 W. R., Cr., 37	732
-	v. Morly Sheikh	•	•				2 W. R., Cr., 1	5574
-	v. Motee Jolaha	•	•	•	•		6 W. R., Cr., 51	259, 460
	v. Mothoora Nath Roy	• '	•	•			5 W. R., Cr., 66	1349
_	v. Mowjan alias Nane	:		•			22 W. R., Cr., 72	4385
	v. Mozafar Khalifa	Khan					20 W. R., Cr., 69	1838
_	v. Muddun Ally	•					9 B. L. R., Ap., 36	4100
	Maddan Ally						1 W. R., Cr, 27	5560
_	v. Muddun Mohun Bos	e						4366
_	v. Muddun Mohan Poo	lar					3 W. R., Cr., 34	20
_	v. Mudhoo Dutt .						14 W. R., Cr., 71	
_	v. Mudhoosoodun Roy						16 W. R., Cr., 49	254
-	v. Mudsooddeen .							6249
-	v. Mukta Singh .						4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 15: 13)	90, 6253
		•	•	٠		- {	W. R., Cr., 60.	68, 6248
•	v. Mullick Jeechoo					- 1	23 W. R., Cr., 12	
	v. Mungroo		•	•	•	.		345
	v. Mungul Dass .		•	•	•	٠,	6 N. W., 293	2939
	o. mungui Dass .					.	23 W. R., Cr., 28	10, 1799
	v. Muppen					- 1	1,3	1961
. 1	v. Mustafa	Ť	•		•	.	7 Mad., 233	5884
	v. Muthocrapershad Pa	ndar		•	•	.	1 W. R., Cr., 15	2623
	. Muthoora Singh	many	•	•	•	.	2 W. R., Cr., 10	460
2	. Muttee Nushvo .	•	•	•			18 W. R., Cr., 66	5165
,	Mutturaman Chetti	•	•	•			W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	1789
,	. Muttu Reddi	•	•			.	1. L. R., 4 Mad., 325	895
,	Muzhur Hossein		•			.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 199	3569
	aznur mossem	•			•	.	5 N. W., 208	5290
	Nobodmin C.						- 1 134	96, 1807
	v. Nabadwip Goswami					(32, 2896
	. Naki 2.1.1					5	R., Cr., 71, note.	6028
ı	. Nabi Saheb					. !	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 247	3583

	Name of Case.	-			•	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Jue 0	en v. Nachimuttu					I. L. R., 7 Mad., 18	469 i
	v. Nadi Chand Poddar ,					24 W. R., Cr., 1	1264, 360
_	v. Naga			•		1	4854. 58
	v. Nagardi Paramanik	•	•	•		23 W. R., Cr., 24	1021
		٠	•	. •		1 B. L. R., A. Or., 3: 10 W.	259
_	v. Naiada					I. L. R., 1 All., 43	
	v. Naimiddi Sheikh alias A v. Nakori Paroee	bbas	Sheikl	١.		14 W. R., Cr., 7	5574 5570
	v. Nakur Sircar			•		25 W. R., Cr., 31 .	2926
	v. Nandkumar Bose	•	•	•		6 B. L. R., 729	1767
	v. Narain					3 B. L. R., Ap., 149	4361
	v. Naraina	:	•	•			6067
	v. Narain Acharj		:	•		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241 8 W. R., Cr., 80	3569
	v. Narain Singh :			- 3			1983
_		•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 20	1046, 129 6258
_	v. Narapureddy v. Narayan Naik					4 Mad., 242	5160
		•	•	٠		5 B. L. R., 660	967
	v. Narsing Narayan .				- 1	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note: }	4732
	v. Nawab Jan				•	10 W. R., Cr., 1. 8 W. R., 19	
	v. Nawab Khan			•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 25	343, 72
_	v. Nawab Singh			•	:	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9	721
	v. Neela Bibee					10 W. R., Cr., 33	5523
_	v. Neetie Dulal v. Nehal Mahtee					0 W/ T) G 43	2937 1534, 560
		•,	•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 13	905
_	v. Nga Cho				5	6 B. L. R., Ap., 98: 15 W.	
-	v. Nga Shoay-De .				Ś	R., Cr., 2.	120
_	v. Niaz Ali	i	÷	:	•	19 W. R., Cr., 68 5 N W., 80	5548
_	v. Nidamarti Nagabhusbanar	n		÷	:	7 Mad., 119	4731
=	v. Nidheeram Bagdee v. Nijabut Hossein					18 W. R., Cr. 45	1336
_	v. Nijanund	•				1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 304 .	6165 4727
		•	4	•		3 W. R., Cr., 60	958, 198
-	v. Nim Chand Mookerjee					20 W. R., Cr., 41 .	17, 196
	v. Niruni				•	20 11. 11., 01., 41	2582, 516
		•				7 W. R., Cr., 49	15, 1800
_	v. Nirunjun Singh					2 N. W., 431	1855, 1960
	v. Nittar Mundle					3 W. R., Cr., 38	4730
_	v. Nitto Gopal Paulit v. Nityo Gopal Dass Byragee					13 W. R., Cr., 69	$\frac{4121}{4621}$
	or Mayo Gopai Dass Byragee	•				24 W. R., Cr., 80	1003
	v. Nobin Chunder Banerjee				5	13 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 20 W.	
-	v. Nobin Chunder Holdon				- (R., Cr., 70,	2623, 6164
-	v. Nobin Chunder Sirler	:	•	•	- 1	6 W. R., Cr., 79	5995
-	v. Nobocoomar Banerjee .		:	:	.	12 W. R., Cr., 39	260, 1261
-	v. Nobokisto Ghose			•	.	14 W. R., Cr., 12	6030
	v. Nodiar Chand Koondoo	•	•			3 1 1	720, 1790
-	v. Nokul Nushyo				.	14 W. R., Cr., 70	805, 1956 5567
		• •			.	7 W. R., Cr., 27	1331
	v. Nomal				5	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 9: 12 W. 7	
	v. Noorkhan Chowdhry .				5	R., Cr., 69.	1976
	v. Notobur Bera		:	•		1 W. R., Ct., 11	2623
	v. Notabur Nundy			:	:	15 W. R., Cr., 87	6257
3	. Noujan					1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 43 7 Mad., 375	706
					-	,	5551

		Name o	f Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	•								
uee	n v. Nourjan .	٠.						6 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 14 W.	4377
	v. Nowabdee		_		*				
-	v. Nubas Muhtor		-			•		W. R., 1864, Cr., 11 8 W. R., Cr., 65	4623
-	v. Nujeebutoollah		÷		•	•			972
-	v. Nujnah .				· ·	·	:		2009
_	v. Nujum Ali					:			258
-	v. Nunda .	. '						4 N. W., 133	791, 20
_	v. Nundmohun Si	rkar						12 W. R., Cr., 33	$\frac{453}{6045}$
_	v. Nunhoo							9 W. R., Cr., 28	23
	v. Nunkoo Doss			,				2 W. R., Cr, 48	2583
	v. Nurput Singh							4 N. W., 86	1049
-	v. Nursingh Narai	in					-	2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 7, note:	
			•	•	٠	•	1	10 W. R., Cr., 1.	5543
_	v. Nusseer-ood-dee	en	٠.					2 N. W., 461	4733
_	v. Nussurooddeen v. Nussuruddin	Shazv	rat					11 W. R., Cr., 24	967, 560
_	v. Nuzee Nushyo	•	•					21 W. R., Cr., 5	1289
	v. Nuzur Ali	•	•			,			806, 619
-	v. Nyaz Ali .		•	•		٠		6 N. W., 39	1152
	·=	•	•	•	٠	٠		25 W. R., Cr., 47	461
-	v. Nyn Singh						9	2 N. W., 117: Agra, F. B., 7 3	100, 514
	v. Nytaram Mytee					•	ŧ	Ed. 1874, 196.	5148
	v. Obhoy Churn C	ha al-		•	•	٠		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 171	343
_	v. Ofatulla	писке	routty		•		•	3 W. R., Cr., 19 18	02, 259
٠		•	•	•	•	٠.	٠.	6 B. L. R. 381	5494
_	v. Okhoy Coomar S	Shaw					. {	13 B. L. R., 307 : 21 W. R., 3	4332
••	v. Oman .			_			Ć	Cr., 58.	
-	v. Omerto Lall			-	:	•	•	5 N. W., 130 19 W. R., Cr., 32	1297
	v. Oojeer			:	:	•	1	6 W. R., Cr., 17	4729
٠.	v. Ooma Moyce De	bea				•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 25	2938
-	v. Oomrao Singh					:	•	3 N. W., 317	5514
-	v. Ooram Sungra					Ċ		6 W. R., Cr., 82	965
-	v. Oottum Dhoba					·		10 W D O. 20	5573
-	v. Oottur Narain S	ingh						8 W. R., Cr., 79	6167
	v. Ootum Chund							2 N. W., 287	1975 5520
_	v. Oozeerun						. /	7 W. R., Cr., 98	2937
	v. Pagaree Shaha	•						19 W. R., Cr., 51	1808
	v. Palany Chetty	•						4 Mad., 51	1976
. 1	v. Panhee Aurut							15 W. R., Cr., 66	5548
	v. Panna							7 N. W., 371	461
	v. Panna Lall Mook	erjee.							65, 4510
1	. Parasurama Nail	ar .				1	i	c 0	81, 6254
	. Parbutty Churn				•	•			6259
,	Parbutty Churn	onnek	erbutt	3	:			14 W. R., Cr., 13 12	61, 1789
2	. Parks	orcar					. [3 W. R., Cr., 57	504
	Pattachi .	•	•		•	•		10 W R Cr 6	2904
	Paun Pandah	•	•		• •			I. L. R., 7 Mad., 161	3569
	Peari Raur	•	•					15 W. K., Cr., 28	17
27	Pelkoo Nushyo .	. •	•		•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 40	5158
22	Pemkoer .	•	•		•			2 W. R., Cr., 42	37, 4625
v	. Periannau	•	•		•	٠		5 N. W., 38	1336
v	. Peterson	•	•		•	•		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 241 356	39, 4356
	Petumbur Dhoobe	٠,	•		•	•	.	1. L. R., 1 All., 316	586
v	. Phomonee Ahum		•		•	•		14 W. R., Cr., 10	98, 1800
	a	•	•		•	•	. 1	8 W. R., Cr., 78	4057

		Name of	f Case,					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Quee	n v	. Phoolchand alias P	holeel A	hir				8 W. R., Cr., 11	1788, 180
		. Phoollee Misser .				•		0 11. 10., C1., 11	6263
	2	Piran	•	•	•			12 W. R., Cr., 72	5546
		Pittambur Sirdar	•	•	•	•		13 B. L. R., Ap., 4	2912
	2	. Pitti Singh .	•	•	•			7 W. R., Cr., 25	1802, 626,
	2	Pochun Churg	•	•	•	•		8 W. R., Cr., 37	4106
	2	Poholee Mullick		•	•			2 W. R., Cr., 35	149
	,	Pooran Jolaha	•					12 W. R., Cr., 28	2925
			•	•				11 W. R., Cr., 47	4717
	r	. Poorno Chunder Do	SS .						256, 1151
		Poorun		•	٠.	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 59 {	1154
		Doorun 1 1 Cu 1 1						5 N. W., 219	1530
		. Poorusoolah Sikhda	r.					7 W. R., Cr., 14	4060
		Poosaram .						6 W. R. Cr., 11	
		Pooshoo						4 W. R., Cr., 33	5524
	v	Poran Mal						23 W. R., Cr., 49	4059
	4	. Poromeshur Aheer	٠.			:		1 22 W P C 12	1296
	* v	Powell					•	2 N W 0c	5882
=	4	. Prankissen Bid				•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 15	4727, 4735
_	21	. Prankisto Pal .				•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 41	1955.
		. Prem Chund Ousow	al		•	•	•	W D 1004 C 07	3629, 5160
	v	Prosonno Bose .			•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 35	5574
	v	. Prosonno Chunder G	ossami		•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 96 22 W. R., Cr., 36	2011
	- 0	· Prosunno Coomar M	nitro		•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 36	4785
	51	. Protab Chandra Bar	noah	•	•	•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 56	6253
	v	. Puban	OULL	•	•	•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 2	4494
	v	Pubon	•	•	•	٠.	•	7 W. R., Cr., 1	5562, 5576
		-	•	•	:	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 66	5571
	77	. Punai Fattama .					- {	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 25: 12 W.	1000
	ø	. Punamalai					(R., Cr., 7.	4060
		Punchanun Tantee			•		•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 199	4353
	77	Puriag Singh .	•	•	•		•	5 W. R., Cr., 97	2581
		· and a second	•	•	•			13 W. R., Cr., 20	4734
	'n.	. Purna Chandra Bane						4 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 13 W. R.,	968, 3603
		Ondidita Dilli	rjee	•	•		{	Cr., 1.	6183, 6251
	n.	Purnanund Barick						1 1	6259
	22.	Pursoram Doss	•	٠				11 W. R., Cr., 13	1804
	27.			•				2 W. R., Cr., 36	1509
		Radhakanth Paul	•		•			7 W. R., Cr., 42	2624
	. 27	Radhakishen Sein	•	•				9 W. R., Cr., 12	5549
	n	Radhanath Dosadh	•					W. R., 1864, Cr., 18	2103
			•					8 W. R., Cr., 53	1797
	v.	Radhu Jana	_				(3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 59: 12 W.	1101
		· ·	-	•	•		1	R., Cr., 44.	1800, 5154
_	v.	Radhu Sing					Ì	8 B. L. R., Ap., 60: 17 W.	
			•	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 34.	4448
	<i>v</i> .	Raj Coomar Banerjee				1	,	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 105 .	
	v.							W. R., 1864, Cr., 25	15, 1971
	v_{\bullet}	Rajcoomar Bose					6	10 B T. D. A. 90 10 TF	735
		THE SOUTH THE	•	•	•		{	10 B. L. R., Ap., 36: 19 W.	720
-	77.						- 1	R., Cr., 71. 19 W. R., Cr., 41	
	v_{\bullet}	Rajcoomar Mookerjee				:		16 W D C. 14	708
_	v.	Rajcoomar Singh				•		16 W. R., Cr., 14	6254
 .	v.	Rajkishore Roy	. :		-	•	. 1	8 W. R., Cr., 17	1151,6263
-	v.	Rajkisto Doss			•		.	15 W. R., Cr., 55 .	5529
	v.	Rajkrishna Biswas			•	•		12 W. R., Cr., 43	6068
	v.	Rajkrishna Mitter		•	•	•		8 B. L. R., Ap., 1	1256
	v	Rajoo Ghose .			•	•	.	1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 37	1790
		-					.	7 W. R., Cr., 106	1335

	Name of Cr	ise.		.*			Volume and Page.	Côlumu of Digest.
	v. Rakhma		-				10 Воп., 373	707
teen		•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 249	1046
	v. Ramachandrappa	•	•	•	•	•	25 W. R. Cr., 5	5509, 591
	v. Ramaotar Panre		•	•	•	•	4 Mad., 152	1670
	v. Ramasamy .	•		•	•	•		3568
-	v. Ramayya	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 131	4100
	v. Ram Chandra Mookerj	ee	•		•	•	5 B. L. R., 131	4100
	v. Ram Charan Kairi					- {	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 488: 6 W. R., Cr., 39,	5161
	v. Ram Chunder Roy					•	21 W. R., Cr., 28	4725
	v. Ramchunder Sircar	•	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 18	6268
	v. Ramehurn	•	•	•	•		3 N. W., 272	978
		•	•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 36	1017
	v. Ramchuru Chung	•	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 33	5284, 616
	v. Ram Churn Ghose		•	٠.	•	•		2762, 557
	v. Ram Churn Kurmokar		•	•	•	•		5993
_	v. Ram Churn Singh			•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 57	1792
	v. Ramcomul Mitter	•	•			•	1 Hyde, 195	4056
	v. Ram Coomar Chung		-•	•			1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 108	74
	v. Ram Dass .					•	16 W. R., Cr., 69	
	v. Ramdass Boistub						11 W. R., Cr., 35	6263
-	v. Ramdharry Singh						10 W. R., Cr., 5	4855, 551
	v. Ramdhone Mundul						4 W. R., Cr., 15	5578
	v. Ramdhun Dey .						13 W. R., Cr., 77	- 1261
	v. Ramdhun Singh						11 W. R., Cr., 22	6263
	v. Ramdoyal Mahara						21 W. R., Cr., 47	1154
	v. Ram Dutt Chowdhry						23 W. R., Cr., 35	1836, 292
	v. Ramdyal Mundle		-				7 W. R., Cr., 28	1326
	v. Ramdyal Singh .		•	•		5	8 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 16 W. R., }	117
	v. Kamujai singa .	•	•	•	•	į	Cr., 65. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 11 W. §	
	v. Ram Gobind Chuckerb	utty	•			- 3	R., Cr., 13.	118
-	v. Ramgolam Singh			_			11 W. R., Cr., 22	4356, 551
	v. Ramgopal Dhur						10 W. R., Cr., 7	722, 200
	v. Ramiai Mazumdar	•	•	•	•		6 B. L. R., Ap., 46 . :	4435, 462
	v. Ramkishan Halwai	•	•	•	•	•	25 W. R., Cr., 48	6272
	v. Ramkishen .	•	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 4	74
	v. Ramkisto Doss .	•	•	•	•	•	16 W. R., Cr., 27	4692
	v. Ramkrishna Das	•	•	•	, •	•	7 B. L. R., 446	4692
	v. Ramkrishna Das	•	•	- 0	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 51	4625
	v. Ram Lall Singh	• to	•	•	•	•		4854
	v. Ram Lochun Singh	a .	•	•	•	•	18 W. R., Cr., 15	19
	v. Ram Lugun Lall	•	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 54	726
	v. Rammoni Sein .	•				6	7 W. R., Cr., 105	1260
		•				•	3 C. L. R., 32	
	v. Ram Narain Ghose						8 W. R., Cr., 5	5166
	v. Ramnarain Josh						4 W. R., Cr., 37	5545
	v. Kamnath						7 W. R., Cr., 45 {	977, 11 4505, 62
	v. Ramnath Surma Bisw	ns.					21. W. R., Cr., 9	4692
	v. Ram Panda .				-		9 B. L. R., Ap., 16	17
	v. Ram Rutton Doss		•	•	•		9 W. R., Cr., 23	2624
	v. Ram Rutton Mookerje		•	•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 8	464
		C	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 57	343
	v. Ram Sagor .	•	•	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 243	2733
_	v. Ram Sahoy Bhar	•	•	•	•	•		1670
	v. Ram Saran Tewary			•	•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 45	
	v. Ramsarun Chowbey	•	•		•		4 N. W., 46	45
	v. Ramsodoy Chuckerbu	ttv					20 W. R., Cr., 19	$\{\begin{array}{c c} 25, 15 \\ 2922, 40 \end{array}$
		5	•	•	•	•		
-	v. Ramtahal Kahar						3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 33	. 133:

	, K	ame of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oneen	v. Ramtohul Singl	h				5 W. R., Cr., 12	2583, 5606
- Cuccon	v. Ramtonee Sing	h			. 1	12 W. R, Cr., 49	4359
	_				(6 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W.?	1000
	v. Ramzan .			•	1	R., Cr., 53.	1806
	v. Rasookoollah					12 W. R., Cr., 51	16, 1801
	v. Rassul Nushy					2 B. L. R., Ap., 9	4506
	v. Reaz Ali alias	Dulloo Khan				6 W. R., Cr., 77	343
	v. Reazoodeen					3 W. R., Cr., 33	1039
	v. Reddon .					I. L. R., 6 Mad., 286	956
	v. Revu Pothadu					I. L. R., 5 Mad., 390	5995
	v. Rewat Rajwar					W. R., 1864, Cr., 39	1349
	v. Rezza Hossein					8 W. R., Cr., 55	1855
	v. Rhiday Patro					26 W. R., Cr., 1	253
	v. Roghoo Dome			- 3		04 707 70 0 10	257
	v. Roheem .				- 13	24 W. R., Cr., 12	5577
	v. Rohimkant Gh		•	•	•	1 W. R., Cr., 15	4446
	v. Roopa Gowalla			•	•		4431
	v. Ross .	• • • •		•	•		1975
	v. Rubbeecolah	• •		•	•	6 Mad., 342	5552
	v. Ruchee Ahen			•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 13	4056
				•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 39	
	v. Rughoo . v. Rughoo Bariel		٠.	•	•	W. R., 1864, Cr., 30	5552, 5575
				•		11 W. R., Cr., 44 25 W. R., Cr., 1	2011
	v. Rughoobur Sir v. Rughoonundu		• •	•	٠		5160
			8	•	•	15 W. R., Cr., 19	4383
	v. Rugonath Pers		•	•	•	3 N. W., 275	4450
	v. Rugobnath Da			•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 59	2763, 5600
	v. Rungatoonee			•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 52	1316
-	v. Runjeet Molla	٠٠. ٠		•		2 W. R., Cr., 31	4490
	v. Rupan Rai				ે	6 B. L. R., 296 : 15 W. R., }	961
	" Duncals Mone				(Cr., 9.	000
	v. Russeek Mone v. Russick Doss				٠	11 W. R., Cr., 54	973
	v. Ruthee Ram			•	•	24 W. R., Cr., 76	6263
	v. Rutton Dass	• • •		•	•	2 N. W., 21	709, 3614
-	v. Rutton Dass	• •		•	•	16 W. R., Cr., 19	5164
-	v. Rutton Sahoo			•	•	8 W. R., Cr. 57	4533
	v. Editon Sanoo			•	. :	11 W. R., Cr., 49	1048
	v. Sabed Ali .			٠.	- 3	11 B. L. R., 347: 20 W. R.,]	6069
	v. Sachee alias S	5-1 D.1			(Cr., 5. *	1001
-	Sadhu Mundu			•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 112	4624
				•	•	21 W. R., Cr., 69	24, 1021
	v. Sageena .			•	•	7 W. R., Cr. 56	1809
	v. Cagur Dewan	,		•	•	11 W. R., Cr., 20	396
	v. cana Manome	α		•	•	3 W. R., Cr., 70	2623
-	v. Sanrae .			•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 31	5560
,	v. Sajowpa .	• •		•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 100	4238
	v. oakiya			•	•	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 137	3569
	v. Salamut Ali			•	•	23 W. R., Cr., 59	719
	v. Saiim Sneik			•	٠	2 W. R., Cr., 57	986
	v. Sankara .			•		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 381	1510
	v. Sarod Koy					5 W. R., Cr., 28	19
-	v. Seebchurn Ha	ree .		•		11 W. R., Cr., 12	5560
	v. Seetanath Gho	osai .				2 W. R., Cr., 60	726
	v. Seetul Pershad	i				5 N. W., 168	5604
7.7						6 Mad., 25	5569 .
	v. Seliam .						
Ξ	v. Sellam v. Setul Chunder	Bagchee				3 W. R., Cr., 69	712
\equiv	o. Sageena v. Sagnr Bewah v. Saha Mahome v. Sahve v. Sahve v. Sakiya v. Sakiya v. Salamit Ali v. Salim Sheik v. Sankara v. Sarob Roy v. Seebahur Ha v. Seetanath Gh v. Seetanath v. Seetanath v. Seetan v. Seetan v. Seetan	Bagchee	:	•		3 W. R., Cr., 69	

	Name of Case.	4				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen	v. Shadhuchurn Ghose .	_				23 W. R., Cr., 63	1988
	v. Shadry					1 W. R., Cr., 34	3629
	v. Shahabut Sheikh .	i.				13 W. R., Cr., 42	2756
	v. Shahon					11 W. R., Cr., 19	2785
	v. Shama Churn Roy .		·			8 W. R., Cr., 27	1967, 1973
	v. Shama Khankee .					7 W. R., Cr., 22	726
	v. Shama Sheikh					8 W. R., Cr., 35	5556
					- (917, 5156
-	v. Shama Sunkur Biswas	•	•	•	- 3	10 W. R., Cr., 25 . {	6271
	Cl D!!				- (13 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 20 W.)	6164
-	v. Sham Bagdi	•	•	•	5	R., Cr., 73.	
	v, Sham Chand Chowdhry					1 W. R., Cr., 34	3882
	v. Sham Kishore Holdar					13 W. R., Cr., 36	5154, 6261
	v. Shamshere Beg	٠.				9 W. R., Cr., 51	720, 727
	v. Sham Soonder Dass .					25 W. R., Cr., 18	255
	v. Sham Soonder Shootar	٠.				7 W. R., Cr., 52	4364
	v. Shamsoondur					2 N. W., 475	1260
	v. Shamsunder					1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 310 .	3790
	v. Sham Sunder Chowdry					2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 11	4740
	v. Sharoda Peshagur .					2 W. R., Cr., 32	5564
	•				ſ	2 W. R., Cr., 44 {	918, 5156
	v. Sheetaram Chowdhry	•	•	•		2 W. Iv., Cr., 445	5605
	v. Sheo Buksh ·					2 N. W., 295	5541
	v. Sheobun alias Sheopershad					3 N. W., 150	1222
	v. Sheo Churun					3 N. W., 314	710
	v. Sheodurshun Dass .					3 N. W., 17	736
	v. Sheogolam Das				. {	6 B. L. R., 692: 15 W. R., }	4855,5597
	n Chanamhan D.				(Cr., 58.	389
	v. Sheopershun Roy .	•	• -	•	•	3 N. W., 1	2028
	v. Sheosunkur Singh .		•	•	•	4 N. W., 4	4622
	v. Sheoumber Lal	•	•	۰.	•	13 W. R., Cr., 23	740
	v. Sheppard	•		•	•	6 W. R., Cr., 13	1532, 1720
	v. Shib Chandra Das	•	•	•	•	6 B. L. R., 730, note	1767
	v. Shib Chunder Mundle	•	•	•	•	8 W. R., Cr., 59	5993
	v. Shib Chunder Mundle	•	. •	•	٠.	18 W. R., Cr., 46	6165
	v. Shib Chunder Rai .	•	•	•	•	9 W. R., Cr., 57	5603
	v. Shib Dyal	•	•	•	•	5 N. W., 188	1971
	v. Shibprosad Giri	•	•	•	•	19 W. R., Cr., 69	
	•	•		•		19 W. R., Cr., 69 . 2 B. L. R., A., Cr., 12 : 10 W. R., Cr., 61.	2000
	v. Shifait Ali				- 3	R., Cr., 61.	2006
	v. Shona Dagee				(24 W. R., Cr., 13	. 5547
	v. Shonaullah	•	•	•	•	5 W. R., Cr., 44	5574
	v. Shukur Mahomed .	•	•	•	•	22 W. R., Cr., 68	4728
	v. Shumeeruddeen	•	•	•	•	2 W. R., Cr., 40	18
	at 1	•		•		2 N W 312	5881
	v. Shunkur	•	•	•	•	2 N. W., 312 2 N. W., 406	5543
	v. Shurroop Chunder Doss	• -	•	•		2 W. R., Cr., 65	1223
	v. Shurruffooddeen .	•	•	•	•	13 W. R., Cr., 26	5883
	v. Sib Narain Palodhee .	•	•	•	•	7 W. R., Cr., 33	5548
	e. Siddama	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 60	390
	v. Siddappa	•	•	•	•	20 W. R., Cr., 16	6166
	v. Sikundur Bhukut	•	•	•		3 N. W., 146	2939, 5653
	v. Sitwa alias Sitaram .	•	•	•	•	14 W. R., Cr., 66	721
	•	•	•	•		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 8: 4 W. R.,	
-	v. Smith				3	Cr., 31.	148, 149
	v. Sobeel Mahee				•	5 W. R., Cr., 32	4.058
	o. Boreel Blance	•	•	•	•	0 11.15., 01., 02	3000

	1	Name o	f Case					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Quee	n v. Sobrai Gowalah			٠.				20 W. R., Cr., 70	2755, 555
	v. Soffiruddi Palw	ar	•				{	13 B. L. R., Ap., 23: 22, W. 7	5577
	v. Sohraie					_		R., Cr., 5. 13 W. R., Cr., 33	
	v. Soleemooddeen						•	7 W. R., Cr., 99	· 5164
	v. Solim					·	:	5 W. R., Cr., 41	1982
	v. Somiruddin							24 W. R., Cr., 48	4057
	v. Sonaoollah								1331
	v								4186, 4493
-	v. Sonoo .	•	•					10 W. R., Cr., 48	1003, 4432
_	v. Soobhoi							12 W. R., Cr., 26	4121
	v. Soobjan .						Ĭ.		99
	v. Sookee	•						7 W. R., Cr., 36	1003, 1792
	v. Sookmoy Ghose	:					Ĭ.		2938
	v. Soonder Mohoo	re e					i.	9 W. R., Cr., 25	1791, 2009
_	v. Soondur Putnai	ek						3 W. R., Cr., 59	711, 1975
	v. Soorut				٠.			4 N. W., 62	5563
	v. Soumber Ahir	•						20 W. R., Cr., 57	1222
	v. Soyumber Singl	ı						9 W. R., Cr., 2	4489
	v. Sreemunt Adup							2 W. R., Cr., 63	1796
	v. Sreenath Dey							15 W P C	5560
	v. Sreenath Mookh	opadh	ıya.					15 W. R., Cr., 61 7 W. R., Cr., 45	1533
	v. Sreeputt Giri G	ossain						11 W. R., Cr., 23	6260
	v. Srikant Charal						5	2 B. L. R., F. B., 22 : 10 W.	683, 4499
	v. Srimonto Kotal	•	•	•	•	•	į	R., Cr., 43.	1151
	v. Sristidhur Shah							7 W. R., Cr., 31	
	o. Stistianur Shahi	3.						25 W. R., 42	5566
_	v. Stephenson	:	٠. '					3 Moore's I. A., 488	74
_	v. Subal Chunder (iango	oly				.	22 W. R., Cr., 16 .	5971
	v. Subbanna Ganno v. Subbayya Gauno	lan						I Mad 80	5520
_	o. Subbayya Gaunc	lan					a.	1 Mad., 138	1954
_	v. Subbramanya Pi v. Subdar Meeah	Hai	•	•				3 Mad., 251	5148
_	v. Subramanyam	•	•				. 1	3 W. R., Cr., 44	4366
_	v. Subsookh	•	•					I. L. R., 5 Mad., 377	1256
_	v. Subun Singh	•	•					2 N. W., 476	1045
_	v. Suffurudee	•	•		٠			23 W. R., Cr., 57	2028
	a Spiriod All	•	•		•		.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 122	4359
	v. Sukhimonee Rau	•	•		٠		.	3 N. W., 134	1970
_	v. Suleem	r .	•					12 W. R., Cr., 55	2028
-	v. Sundara Dass Te		•	•			.	1 W. R., Cr., 23	4686
	v. Suppi	van .	•	•				4 Mad., 20	1331
			•	•	•			I. L. R., 5 Med 150	4385
7	v. Surendranath Ro	v .					(5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R.,	390
~	v. Suroop Chunder			•	•	•	{	Cr., 27.	68, 6182
_	v. Surroop Chunder	որու		•				7 W. R. Co. 20	
_	v. Surwan Singh .	Paul		•			.		73, 1987
-	v. Sustiram Mandal	•		•				11 W. R., Cr., 11	66, 6268
-	v. Sutherland			•	•			21 W. R., Cr., 1	1326
									6162
•	v. Tajumaddi Lahor	у .			_		(1 B. L. R., A. Cr., 1: 10 W.)	95, 6258
	v. Talukanam .				•	•	€ /	R. Cr. A. 21.10	44, 3611
. ,	v. Tamma Ghantaya							L. L. R., 7 Mad., 131	
				•	•		. 1	L. L. R., 4 Mad., 228	101
. 1	v. Tarinee Churn Bo	se .							5996
- 4	Tarinee Churn Ch	-10		•	•	•	. 1 3	21 W. R., Cr., 31	53, 2767
. ;	Tarinee Mytee .	uttops	adhy	a.				W. R., Cr., 3	19, 4114
- 3				• `	٠.		. 1	W. R., Cr., 13	19
	The state of the s							, 04., 10	5546

D. B. L. R., 285: 19 W. R., C., 30		Name of Co	ase.	,		•		Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
D. B. L. R., 285: 19 W. R. Cr., 30 Cr.,	ieen	v. Tarinicharan Dev						9 B. L. R., Ap., 42	1834
2. Tasuduk Hossein							5	10 B. L. R., 285: 19 W. R., }	1304
Description								Cr., 50.	6045
V. Tepro Fakeer			•	•	•	•	.	5 W D C. 02	
v. Tepra Fakeer 5 W. R., Cr., 78 1330 v. Thakoor Chund Surma 13 W. R., Cr., 22 1805 v. Thakoor Choses 5 W. R., Cr., 22 254 v. Thakoor Chund 25 W. R., Cr., 35 1533 v. Thakoor Chund 25 W. R., Cr., 35 1533 v. Thakoor Ram 25 W. R., Cr., 35 1533 v. Thakoor Ram 6 N. W., 86 1511 v. Thakoor Ram 6 N. W., 86 1511 v. Thakoor Ram 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 1 366, 41 v. Thompson 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 1 396, 41 v. Thompson 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 1 396, 41 v. Thouse W. R., 1864, Cr., 81 5540 v. Thouse W. R., 1864, Cr., 81 5554 v. Torlozal Ali 7 W. R., Cr., 66 1534, 36 v. Torlozal Ali 7 W. R., Cr., 61 1534, 36 v. Tonooram 3 W. R., Cr., 61 5553, 61 v. Tonooram 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130 1955 v. Toria Gujar 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130 1955 v. Toria Gujar 1 Ind. R., Cr., 15			•	•	•	•	- 1	9 W. D. Cr., 99	
18			•		•	•	.	5 W. R., Cr., 10	
Thakoor Doss	-		•	•	•	•	.		
v. Thakoordoss Chootur 7 W. R., Cr., 7 1806 v. Thakoor Ram 25 W. R., Cr., 35 1533 v. Thakur Dass 6 N. W., 86 1513 v. Thompson 1 B. L. R., 1 All., 151 462 v. Thompson 1 B. L. R., O. Cr., 1 396, 41 v. Thing 1 L. R., 7 Mad., 163 5436 v. Tincouree W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 5554 v. Torocaree W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 5554 v. Tonoakoch 2 W. R., Cr., 63 5573, 61 v. Tonoob 6 W. R., Cr., 46 5572 v. Tonobane Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1955 v. Toolshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Toolshee Rai 3 N. W., 126 5548 v. Toolshee Rai 3 N. W., 126 5548 v. Toti Chengan 6 Mad., 349 261 v. Toti Chengan 6 Mad., 349 261 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 64 344 v. Tulsi Dosadh 1 B. W. R., Cr., 50 20 v. Tulsi Dosadh 2 W. R., Cr., 64 344	-		D.	•	•	•	•]		
v. Thakoor Ram 25 W. R., Cr., 35 1533 v. Tbakur Dass 6 N. W., 86 1511 v. Thakur Pershad I. L. R., 1 All., 151 432 v. Thompson 1. L. R., 7 Mad., 163 5486 v. Tilkoo Goala 8 W. R., Cr., 61 1534, 38 v. Tincouree W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 5544 v. Toocore W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 5554 v. Tonooakoch 2 W. R., Cr., 46 5578 v. Tonooakoch 2 W. R., Cr., 44 5578 v. Tonooram 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5574 v. Toobana Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130 1955 v. Tooloshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Toolshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Todaram 6 Mad., 349 5154, 63 v. Toti Chengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 4506 v. Toti Chengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 4506 v. Tulis Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 57 620 v. Tulis Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 56 620 v. Tulis Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 56 620		v. Thakoor Doss .	•	•	•	•			
Takkur Dass	_		•		•		•		
Tankur Pershad	-						•		
v. Thompson 1 B. L. R., C. Cr., 1 3996, 44 v. Thikoe Goala 8 W. R., Cr., 61 1534, 36 v. Tilkoe Goala 8 W. R., Cr., 61 1534, 36 v. Tincouree 7 W. R., Cr., 109 4067 v. Tonackoch 2 W. R., Cr., 46 5553, 63 v. Tonoo 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5577 v. Tonobana Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1955 v. Torola Guiar 3 N. W., 126 3566 v. Torola Guiar 3 N. W., 126 3568 v. Torda Guiar 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Torda Guiar 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Toti Chengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 261 v. Totylackonath Sircar 2 W. R., Cr., 64 364 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 344 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 406 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 406 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 402 v. Udai Patnaik 1 R., Cr., 63 290 v. Udai Patnaik								6 N. W., 86	
- v. Thunji	-	v. Thakur Pershad						I. L. R., 1 All., 151	
-v. Tilkoc Goala 8 W. R., Cr., 61 1534, 36 v. Tincouree W. R., B64, Cr., 31 5554 v. Toncouree 7 W. R., Cr., 109 4067 v. Toncochech 2 W. R., Cr., 109 4067 v. Toncochech 2 W. R., Cr., 63 5553, 61 v. Toncochech 2 W. R., Cr., 44 5573 v. Toncochech 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5573 v. Torolan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1954 v. Torolan 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Torolan 6 Mad., Jur., O. S., 136 1954 v. Todraran 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Totrichengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 4506 v. Tolis Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 344 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 346 v. Tulsi Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 64 4506 v. Tulsi Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 64 420 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 422 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 422 v. Udai Patnaik 4 B. L. R., Cr		v. Thompson .							
v. Tilkoe Goala 8 W. R., Cr, 61 1534, 36 v. Tincouree W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 5554 v. Tofuzzal Ali 7 W. R., Cr., 109 4067 v. Tonochen 2 W. R., Cr., 46 5553, 61 v. Tonochen 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5572 v. Tonochan Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1954 v. Torolshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Torol Gujar 9 N. W., 126 5566 v. Totaram 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Totaram 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Totil Chengan 6 Mad., 349 261 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 64 450 v. Tulsi Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 64 450 v. Tulsi Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 65 442 v. Tulsi Dosad 18 W. R., Cr., 61 260 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 40 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 40 v. Udai Patnaik 4 B. L. R., Cr., 50 466 v. Udai Patnaik 4 B. L. R., Cr., 6		v. Thunji						I. L. R., 7 Mad., 163	
v. Tincouree W. R., 1864, Cr., 31 5554 v. Touackoch 2 W. R., Cr., 109 4067 v. Touackoch 2 W. R., Cr., 63 5553, 61 v. Tonoo 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5578 v. Tonobann Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1954 v. Toolshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Toral Gujar 3 N. W., 126 5578 v. Toti Chengan 6 Mad., 349 25154, 62 v. Toti Chengan 6 Mad., 349 25154, 62 v. Toti Chengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 4366 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 344 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 280 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 18 462 v. Turnbull 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 6169 v. Udhan Eind 1 W. R., Cr., 50 6169 v. Udhan Eind 25 W. R., Cr., 68 2 v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 50 6169 v. Udya Changa 20 W. R., Cr., 73 6160		v. Tilkoc Goala .						8 W. R., Cr., 61	
p. Tofuzzal Ali 7 W. R., Cr., 109 4067 v. Tonachoch 2 W. R., Cr., 46 5553, 61 v. Toncoram 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5573 v. Toncoram 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5574 v. Tochan Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1954 v. Torol Gujar 3 N. W., 126 5666 v. Tord Gujar 9 N. W., 126 5566 v. Totichengan 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Totichengan 6 Mad., 349 2 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 64 450 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 64 450 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 65 626 v. Tulsi Dosadh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 10 462 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 10 462 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 60 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 10 462 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 10 462 v. Udai Patuaik 1 W. R., Cr., 50 6160 v. Udai Patuaik <td< td=""><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>W. R., 1864, Cr., 31</td><td>5554</td></td<>	_							W. R., 1864, Cr., 31	5554
v. Tonackoch 2 W. R., Cr., 63 5553, 65 v. Tonoo 6 W. R., Cr., 44 5578 v. Tonooam 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5578 v. Toobana Gaundan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 136 1955 v. Toolshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Toral Gujar 3 N. W., 126 558 v. Totram 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 62 v. Toti Chengan 6 Mad., 349 260 v. Toti Chengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 486 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 344 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 67 220 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R., Cr., 56 462 v. Turnbull 6 Mad., 7 200 v. Uckor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udai Patnalik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R. 619 v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 50 619 v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udya Changa 20 W. R., Cr., 73 616 v. Udya Changa 20 W. R., Cr., 73 616 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7 W. R., Cr., 109</td> <td>4067</td>								7 W. R., Cr., 109	4067
v. Tonoo 6 W. R., Cr., 44 557* v. Tonooram 3 W. R., Cr., 44 557* v. Toobana Gunudan 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 130 1955 v. Toobshee Rai 5 N. W., 186 4366 v. Toral Gujar 3 N. W., 126 556 v. Totaram 11 W. R., Cr., 15 5154, 63 v. Totaram 12 W. R., Cr., 15 6154, 63 v. Toti Chengan 2 W. R., Cr., 64 450 v. Tulsi Dosad 3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 66 34 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 620 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 620 v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 620 v. Tulsi Singh 2 E. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. R., Cr., 57 620 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 64 v. Udekoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udekoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udekoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udekoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udekoor Ghose 2 W. R., Cr., 50 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2 W. R., Cr., 63</td><td>5553, 618</td></td<>								2 W. R., Cr., 63	5553, 618
2. Tonoram 3 W. R., Cr., 44 5574	_	a Tomos	•	•	-	•		6 W. R., Cr., 46	5573
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3		a Tonogram	•	•	•	•			5574
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3		v. Tonooram	•	•	•	•	•		. 1954
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3	_	v. 1000ana Gannuan	•	•	•	•			
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3		v. Toolshee Rai	•	•	•	•	•	9 N W 196	
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3		v. Torai Gujar	•	•	•	•	•		
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3		v. Totaram	•	•	•	•	•		0104,02
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3	_	v. Toti Chengan .	•	•	•	•	•		
v. Tulsi Dosadh 18 W. R., Cr., 57 6266 v. Tulsi Singh 2 B. L. R., A. Cr., 16: 10 W. 1 462 v. Turnbul 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uckoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 1 W. R., Cr., 50 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 1 6 R., Cr., 68 2. v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 4. 3. 3. 4. 3		v. Toyluckonath Sircar		•	•	•	•		
Turnbull R. Cr., 64 200.									
- ē. Turibull		v. Tulsi Dosadh .	•		•		٠.		
v. Turnbull 6 Mad., 7 290 v. Uekoor Ghose 1 W. R., Cr., 50 616 v. Udai Patuaik 4 B. L. R. A. Cr., 5: 12 W. 616 616 v. Udhan Eind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2 v. Udix Singh 25 W. R., Cr., 68 70° v. Udya Changa 20 W. R., Cr., 73 610° v. Umbica. Tantinee 4 W. R., Cr., 21 258 v. Umbica. Tantinee 5 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 3 70° v. Umeschandra Chowdry 5 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 3 97° v. Ungun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303 104 v. Vaylapuri Gaundan 1 L. R., 1 Calc., 356 602 v. Vaylapuri Gundan 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 382 132 v. Vasuthappa 1 L. L., 5 Mad., 383 132 v. Vasuthapa 5 B. L. R., 418 2094, 3 v. Vedamuth 4 M. M., 185 186 v. Velayudam 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 146 552 v. Venyudam Pillai 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 25 158 v. Venyuvayangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 158 v. Venkatachalam Pillai		v. Tulsi Singh .					{	R., Cr., 64.	4624
- v. Udai Patnaik		v. Turnbull						6 Mad., 7	
- v. Udai Patnaik	-	v. Uckoor Ghose .						1 W. R., Cr., 50	6163
R. Cr., 98. 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3							5	4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 5: 12 W.)	6190
v. Udhan Bind 19 W. R., Cr., 68 2 v. Udit Singh 25 W. R., Cr., 46 70 v. Udya Changa 25 W. R., Cr., 43 610 v. Ujrail 30 W. R., Cr., 73 179 v. Umbica Tantinee 4 W. R., Cr., 21 268 v. Umeschandra Chowdry 5 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 5 27 v. Ungun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303 104 v. Upendronath Doss 1 L. R., 1 Calc., 356 602 v. Vaiyapuri Gaundan 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 382 132 v. Vasuntappa I. L. R., 5 Mad., 383 132 v. Vasuntappa I. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 356 v. Vadamutu 4 Mad., 185 196 v. Vedawutu 4 Mad., 185 196 v. Vedyudam Pillai I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 v. Velayudam Pillai I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146 552 v. Venguvayayangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 158 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 196 v. Vitti Chokkan I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 388		v. Udai Patnaik .	•	•	•	•		R., Cr., 68.	1
"". Udya Changa" 20 W. R., Cr., 73 610 "". Ujyal" 3 N. W., 212 179 "". Umbica Tantinee 4 W. R., Cr., 21 258 "". Umeschandra Chowdry { 5B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., Cr., 12 268 "". Ungun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303 104 "". Upgun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 308 104 "". Upgun Gaundan 1 Mad., 4 560 "". Varyapuri Gaundan 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 383 132 "". Vavasantappa I. L. R., 5 Mad., 383 132 "". Vasantappa 5 E. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 356 "". Vaughan 5 E. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 365 "". Velayudam I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 "". Velayudam I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 "". Velayudam Pillai I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146 552 "". Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 153 "". Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 196 "". Vetkatachalam Pillai I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 "". Vetkatachalam Pillai I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Udhan Pind .						19 W. R., Cr., 68	24
"". Udya Changa" 20 W. R., Cr., 73 610 "". Ujyal" 3 N. W., 212 179 "". Umbica Tantinee 4 W. R., Cr., 21 258 "". Umeschandra Chowdry { 5B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., Cr., 12 268 "". Ungun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303 104 "". Upgun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 308 104 "". Upgun Gaundan 1 Mad., 4 560 "". Varyapuri Gaundan 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 383 132 "". Vavasantappa I. L. R., 5 Mad., 383 132 "". Vasantappa 5 E. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 356 "". Vaughan 5 E. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 365 "". Velayudam I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 "". Velayudam I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 "". Velayudam Pillai I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146 552 "". Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 153 "". Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 196 "". Vetkatachalam Pillai I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 "". Vetkatachalam Pillai I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386								25 W. R., Cr., 46	707
-v. Ujrāl 3 N. W., 212 179. v. Umbica Tantinee 4 W. R., Cr., 21 258 v. Umeschandra Chowdry Ch. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 3 97. v. Ungun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 303 104 v. Upendronath Doss I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356 602 v. Vaispuri Gaundan 1 Mad., 4 560 v. Vasantappa I. L. R., 5 Mad., 382 132 v. Vasantappa I. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 356 v. Vademuth 4 Mad., 185 196 v. Vedamuth I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 v. Velayudam I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 v. Vencauna I. L. R., 6 Mad., 185 196 v. Vencauna I. L. R., 6 Mad., 253 361 v. Vencauna I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 153 v. Vencauna I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 163 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 63 196 v. Vitt Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 201 386 v. Vitt Chokkan I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386			•	•	•			20 W. R., Cr., 73	6169
v. Umbica. Tantinee 4 W. R., Cr., 21 258 v. Umbica. Tantinee 5 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 167., 1873, 308 104 v. Ungun Lall 1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 308 104 v. Upqun Conath Doss I. L. R., 1 Calc., 356 602 v. Vaiyapuri Gaundan 1 Mad., 4 560 v. Varthapa I. L. R., 5 Mad., 382 132 v. Vasantappa I. L. R., 4 Mad., 231 356 v. Vaughan 5 B. L. R., 44B 2094, 3 v. Vedaumuth 4 Mad., 185 196 v. Velayudam I. L. R., 4 Mad., 233 361 v. Velayudam Pillai I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146 552 v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 296 v. Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 196 v. Vetkatachalam Pillai 1. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vitti Chokkan I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386			•	•	•	•	•		1798
v. Umeschandra Chowdry Ch.		•	•	•	•	•	4 W. R., Cr., 21	2584	
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386	_		rv			:	•		970
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar J. I. R. B., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 190 v. Vitit Chokkan J. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri J. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		77 7 11	2		-			1 N W TA 1079 909	1045
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Ungun Lali	٠	•	:	•		T T D 1 (1), 270	
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. ∪pendronath Doss	•	•	•			1. 1. K., I Calc., 396	
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Vaiyapuri Gaundan			•		. •		
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Varthappa .			•		٠.		
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Vasantappa .	•1					1. L. R., 4 Mad., 231	
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalan Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitti Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Vaughan						5 B. L. R., 418	
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 154 v. Venkatachalan Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitti Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Vedamuttu .							196
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 154 v. Venkatachalan Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitti Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Velayudam .						L. L. R., 4 Mad., 233	361
v. Vencanna 3 Mad., 354 290 v. Venguvayyangar I. I. R., 6 Mad., 25 156 v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 159 v. Vitit Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad., 229 437 v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386		v. Velayudam Pillai	٠.					I. L. R., 6 Mad., 146	552
- v. Venguvayyangar I. L. R., 6 Mad., 25 158 - v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 196 - v. Vitti Chokkan J. L. R., 4 Mad, 229 437 - v. Vyapuri I. L. R., 5 Mad., 401 386									290
- v. Venkatachalam Pillai 2 Mad., 43 199 - v. Vitti Chokkan				·			1		153
- v. Viti Chokkan I. L. R., 4 Mad , 229 437 - v. Vyapuri		v. Venkatachalam Pilla	;		·		1		196
- v. Vyapuri			•	•	•		•		437
			•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R. 5 Med. 401	900
	-	v. Vyapuri Kangani	•	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 70	39

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen v. Wahed Ali v. Waris Ali v. Wazira v. Willans v. Woodurnul Singh v. Woodurnul Singh v. Yar Ali v. Yasin Sheikh v. Yelianandu v. Yelianandu v. Yelianandu v. Yelianandu v. Yelianandu v. Zahir v. Zahir v. Zahir v. Zahir Aliy v. Zalim Rai v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zamiran v. Zuhir Aliy v. Zuliukar Khan Queen on the information of Narain Koer v. Ri Lail. Queen on the prosecution of Dowlath Bee v. Ali Queen on the prosecution of Gocal Chand v.	oshun }	13 W. R., Cr., 42 3 N. W., 337 8 B. L. R., Ap., 63: 17 W. } R., Cr., 5. 1 Mad., 31: 1 Ind. Jur., 0. } 5 W. R., Cr., 71 10 W. R., Cr., 74 25 W. R., Cr., 24 25 W. R., Cr., 26 13 W. R., Cr., 70 4 B. L. R., A. Cr., 6: 12 W. } R., Cr., 68, 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 157 1 L. R., 7 Mad., 189 10 W. R., Cr., 11 4 N. W., 97 8 W. R., Cr., 4 1 W. R., Cr., 3 1 W. R., Cr., 5 1 W. R., Cr., 12 1 U. R., Cr., 12 1 L. R., 1 Calc., 219: 25 W. } R., Cr., 27 25 W. R., Cr., 64 8 B. L. R., Ap., 21: 16 W. } R., Cr., 36 4 N. W., 123 5 Mad., 478 2 N. W., 123 5 Mad., 478 2 N. W., 82	461 715 263, 4384 708, 713 4363 5529 6160 5581 1331, 4056 5508 4055 4055 4055 4055 4056 4057 1794 6027 1794 6027 1525 1790, 2733 3742 4377
Queen on the prosecution of Madras Railway (Jones, Queen on the prosecution of Madras Railway C Malony.	0. v.	l Mad., 193	1325 2912
Queen on the prosecution of Rookia Banu v. Robe Queen on the prosecution of Ward v. Turner Queen-Empress v. Abdull Latib valad Abdul Rahin v. Abdul Latib valad Abdul Rahin v. Abran Umar v. Adam valad Shaik Farid v. Ademma v. Ahmed v. Ala Eakhsh v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Amerika v. Appa Subhana Mendre v. Appashorai v. Appava v. Atar Ali v. Autal Muchi v. Bai Rukshmoni v. Ealdeo v. Bandhu	rts . 1 pan . I pan . I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	L. R., 8 Bom., 200	4236 959 1796 2911 5887 5895 3862 282,5888 48,5149 395 1310 49,5285 3577 1954 49,5285 3577 1954 49,5285 344,5149 3577 1954 49,5285 3577 1954 49,5285 3577 1954 49,5285

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empress v. Bapuji Dayaram	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 288	802, 850
v. Batesar Mandal		1970, 4368
	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 604 .	1968 344, 721
v. Bepin Biswas	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 970 .	1004, 185
v. Burke	. I. L. R., 6 All., 224	914, 1839
v. Chenchugadu		5884
	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 421 .	4070
v. Dala Jiva	. I. L. R., 10 Boin., 190 .	1798, 196, 5511, 551;
v. Dau Sahai	. I. L. R., 7 All., 862	6265
v. Dhananjai Chaudhuri	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 931 .	6258
v. Dhum Singh	. I. L. R., 6 All., 220	1511
v. Dhundi v. Dorabji Hormasji	I. L. R., 8 All., 304	. 454
v. Dorasami	. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 131 .	1311
v. Dosa Jiva	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 284	707
v. Dungar	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 231	1025 4364
v. Dungar Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 29	5559
v. Durga Charan	I. L. R., 7 All., 672	5147
v. Durga Sonar.	. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 580	1798
c. Edwards	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 333	1952, 2107
v. Erramreddi		6024
v. Fox	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 296	1299, 1308
v. Gangaram	. I. L. R., 10 Bom 176	5147
v. Gangaram Santram	. I. L. R., 8 All., 38 I. L. R., 9 Bom., 135	1960
v. Ganpat Tapidas	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 256	$\frac{5992}{1258}$
- v. Ghnlet	I. L. R., 7 All., 44	1980
v. Girdhari Lal.	I. L. R., 8 All., 653	1974, 2014
v. Gonndadu	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 350	655
v. Govinda Punja	. I. L. R., 8 Bom., 295	3867
v. Grees Chunder Bancrjee . v. Gujria .	. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1024.	1726, 5207
v. Gustadji Barjorji	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 568	618
v. Hasnu.	° . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 181 .	462,2897
v. Havia Tellapa	I. L. R., 6 All., 367	1309
v. Honkerapa	I. L, 10 Bom., 196 I. L. R., 8 Bom., 534	3614
v. Husain	I. L. R., 7 All., 403	619 2014
v. Husein Gaibn	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 307	1269, 1298
v. Imdad Khan .		262, 1258
v. Ishri Singh	1. 2. 1., 0 111., 120 .	6288
	I. L. R., 8 All., 672	1793, 3604
v. Ishwar Chandra Sur v. Ismail Khan	. I. L. R., 11 Cate., 13	5538
v. Jacquiet	. I. L. R., 8 All., 649	2584
v. Jagaunath Bhikaji Bhave		5163, 6169
v. Jagrup	. I. L. R., 10 Bom., 183	3866
v. Janki Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 646 I. L. R., 8 All., 293	1003
v. Jethmal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 27	4626, 6180 5854
v. Jokhu .	I. L. R., 8 All., 99	4113
v. Joti Rajnak	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 338	5886
v. Juala Prasad	. I. L. R., 7 All., 174	2758
v. Jugal Kishore	, ,	1954, 4357
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. I. L. R., 8 All., 382 . }	5510
v. Juggernath	. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 267	5861
v. Kallu	. I. L. R., 7 All., 160	346, 4534

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Queen-Empress v. Kamalia		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 595	107.0
v. Kandhaia	•		1019
v. Kethigadu	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 67	. 1670
v. Khairati		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 373	. 3573
v. Kharga	•	I. L. R., 6 All., 204	. 6070
	•	L. L. R., 8 All., 665	718, 559
v. Khasim		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 202	390
v. Kishan Bapu		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 93	1045
v. Krishna		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 347	
v. Krishnabhat		1	3631 919, 1025
v. Krishnappa	Ţ,	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 276	1324, 6287
v. Kuppu .			4689
v. Lakshmana	•	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 560	5523
v. Lakshman Dagdu .		I. L. R , 9 Mad., 42	6169
v. Lalla	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 512 .	2623
v. Lalli		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 428	655
v. Laskari	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 749	4365
		I. L. R., 7 All., 853	1307
v. Lingaya		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 258	3146, 3178
v. Lochan		I. L. R., 8 All., 635	
v Madho		I. L. R., 8 All., 291	4057
v. Maheshri Bakhsh Singh.		T T. D 9 All 990	4688
v. Mancherji Kavasji Shapurji	- 11	I. L. R., 8 All., 380	4363
v. Mangal Tekchand	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 97	3560
v,	•	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 259	253, 2909
v	•	1. L. R., 10 Bom., 263 .	2909
v. Mania Dayal	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 274	3555, 6024
		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 497	4750
v. Mathews		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1022	1017
v. Mathura Das	.	I. L. R., 6 All., 491	18
v. Mehrban Sing		I. L. R., 6 All., 626	
v. Mitthu Lal	. 1	1 1, 12 8 All 10	1969
v. Mohan		I. L. R., 8 All., 622	6287
v. Morton			4056
	.	I. L. R., 9 Bon., 288 . {	2106, 2789 5528
v. Nandkishore	. 1	I. L. R., 6 All., 248	1338
v. Nanjappa		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 432	
v. Náráyanasámi		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 36	3568
v. Nathu			258
v. Nga Tha Monng		I. L. R., 6 All., 214	4734
v Nowab Jan		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 643	6023
v. Nur Mahomed		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 551	985, 1310
v. O'Shaughnessy	.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 223	1025, 1223
v. Palani	- 1	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 429	3575
v. Pandu valad Gopala		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 537	5854
D. Pandu vanid Gopala		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 199	961
v. Papadu "		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 454	1809
v. Parmeshar Dat		I. L. R., 8 All., 201	4691
v. Parshram Raysing .	.	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 216	
v. Pershad			1966
v. Pestanji Barjorji		2. 21 20 , 21 , 414 .)	716, 3611 5560
v. Pillala	. []	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 456	604
v. Pir Mahomed	. 1	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 101	850
	. 1	I. L. R., 10 Fom., 254	5553
v. Pirya Gopal	. 1	I. L. R., 9 Bour., 100	1307
v. Podiathal	. I	L. R., 8 Mad., 342	
v. Polavarapu	, Î	L. R., 7 Mad., 563	5497
v. Purshotam Kala	. 1	L. R., 9 Bom., 269	963
v. Ramakka	7	T. D. O.M. J. "	1514
v. Ramji Sajabarao	. 1	L. R., 8 Mad., 5	5906
	· 1	L. R., 10 Bom., 124	1979, 4357

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Oneon Empress a D. Tr		
Queen-Empress v. Ram Kuria	I. L. R., 6 All., 622	5159
v. Ram Narain	I. L. R., 8 All., 514	5149, 515
v. Ram Sahai Lall	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1070 .	6261
v. Ram Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 306	6288
v. Ram Sarup	I T. P 7 All 757	5559
o. Ramzan	I. L. R., 7 All., 461	
v. Raya Lakhma	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 230	4861
v. Sadhee Kasal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 936	165
v. Sakharam Bhau	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 493	4239
n Salianum	I. L. R., 6 All., 495	5555
v. Sama Papi		4447
v. Saminada	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 287	1017
v. Seshaya	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 274	4450
v. Shaik Raju	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 97	3569
v. Sheo Dayal	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 173	3865
v. Sheo Dayai	I. L. R., 7 All., 459	2014
v. Sheodihal Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 487	22
v. Shib Chunder Mitter	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1079	723
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 591	3625
v. Sinha	I. L. R., 7 All., 135	
v. Subbanna	I. I. D. 7 Mad 100	5159
v. Subbarna v. Subbarayan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 197	1045
v. Sukha	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 9	4384
v. Taki Husain	I. L. R., 8 All., 14	919
v. Tafaullah	I. L. R., 7 All., 205	1510
v. Thaku	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 190	1668
	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 312	1270
v. Tribhovan Manekchand	I T. P. 9 Pom. 191	1017, 1800
	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 131 . }	. 5887
v. Tulla	I. L. R., 7 All., 904	6261
v. Uzeer v. Viran	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 775	1006
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 224	1009
o, ritalina .	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 377	
v. Yusuf Khan .	I. L. R., 8 All., 677	3614
niros. In the matter of the petition of	I I D e C.I. on a c T :	4080
Arros, In the matter of the petition of "	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 83 : 6 C. L.	1262, 1312
lurban Ali v. Ashraf Ali	R., 463.	
utab Husain v. Abul Hasan	I. L. R., 4 All., 219	445
	I. L. R., 4 All., 134	5671
R.	-	
abbaha Khanum v. Noorjehan Begum alias Dalim	T.T. D. 10.01	
	I. L. R., 13 Cale., 90	4276, 5955
abia Khanum v. Wise	23 W. R., 329	4136
abutty Dossee v. Radhanauth Sein	6 Moore's I. A., 346	4642
g. Sih Chundon Malliala	6 Magne's I A 1	
ichapa v. Amineovda	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 283	2505
churi Venkubaiyanna a Cudaa D.	e M. J. 201	2100
	6 Mad., 391	4829
	Marsh., 293: 2 Hay, 157	1708
	Marsh., 644	2322
v. Koor	6 N W., 279	2493
udhahai a Anantan Di	W R., 1864, 148	2105
adhabai v. Anantrav Bhagvant Deshpande	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 198	5592
D. Ivanarav	I. L. R., 3 Bom, 151	2330
v. Shamrav Vinayak	4 Bom., A. C., 181	3825
V. Shamray Vinavel-	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 168	3947
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
ndhabai bin Ramji v. Ganesh Tatya Gholap ndhabai kom Chimnaji Sali v. Chimnaji bin Ramji Sali	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 7 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 27	2536 522 5

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radha Ballab Ghose v. Peharilal Mookerjee	6 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 12 W. R., 537.	1627
Radhabenode Misser v. Kripamoyee Dabee	10 B. L. R., 386: 17 W. R., 262: 14 Moore's I. A., 443.	4026
Radhabhai v. Shama	4 Bom., A. C., 155	3395, 3441
Radha Bibee v. Government	2 Hay, 562	4474 2002
v. Mucksoodun Doss	21 W. R., 204 {	213, 1718 2825
Radha Binode Chowdhry v. Dignmburce Dossee .	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 947: 9 }	759, 3447
v. Juggut Surnokar v. Mudhoo Soodun Sircar	6 W. B., 300	196
Radha Binode Dutt v. Kootabode Mundul	7 W. R., 198	3447
Radha Binode Misser. In the matter of the petition of	15 W. R., 363 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 730	6096 279
v. Kripa Moyee Debia	7 W. R., 531	279
Radha Bullub Gossain v. Kishen Gobind Gossain	9 W. R., 71	4192
Radha Bullubh Chuckerbutty v. Banee Madhub Chuckerbutty.	23 W. R., 230	341
Radhabullubh Sil. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 300: 11 C. } L. R., 274.	4580
Radha Bullubh Singh v. Dheraj Mahtab Chand	2 W. R., Mis., 51	2879
Radhabullub Surma v. Anundmoyee Debea Radha Chowdhrain v. Gireedharee Sahoo	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39 20 W. R., 243	235, 4910 1749
Radha Charan Ghatak v Zamirunissa Khanum .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 67: 11 W.	1731, 5813
Radha Churn Chowdhry v. Chunder Monee Shikdar	R., 83. 9 W. R., 290	140
Radha Churn Dass v. Kripa Sindhu Dass	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 474 : 4 C. }	2355, 2455
Radha Churn Dass Gossamee v. Akran Khootea	20 W. B. 420	5985
Radha Churn Gangooly v. Anund Sein	15 W. R., 444 15 W. R., 166	1748, 1768
Radha Churn Roy v. Moran & Co.	15 W. R., 166	5701
Radha Churn Shaha v. Parbuttee Churn Dutt	13 W. R., 342	6274 3907
Radhagobind Dass v. Prokash Chunder Dass	14 W. R., 108	4463
Radha Gobind Gossami v. Ooma Soonduree Dossia .	24 W. R., 363	2800
Radha Gobind Koer v. Rakbaldas Mukerji	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 82 . {	1742, 3049
Radha Gobind Kur v. Ram Kishore Dutt		5195
Radha Gobind Roy v Inglis	8 W. R., 340 7 C. L. R., 364	2774
v. Kyamutoollah .	21 W. R., 401	4174 1616
v. Shama Sounduree Dahoe	21 W. R., 403	1613
Radha Gobind Shah v. Oozeer	15 W. R., 219	5419
Radha Gobind Shaha v. Bank of Bengal	2 C. L. R., 565	631
v. Brijendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 527	1906
v. Brojender Coomar Roy Chowdhry	7 W. R., 372	805, 3830
v. Brojendro Coomar Chowdhry	15 W. R., 207	3512
v. Chunder Nath Dass Shaha v. Inam Buksh Ostagur	6 W. R., 301	2574
Radhagobind Surmah v. Umber Ali	15 W: R., 217	1369
Radhajeebun Moostaffee. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 8: 1 Ind. Jur., 7	3918, 3919 4119
Radha Jeebun Mostoofee v. Bissessur Mostoofee .	N. S., 97.	
Radhajeebun Mustafee v. Denonath Banerjee	2 Hay, 178 . :	1500
Radha Jeebun Mustofee v. Tara Monce Dossee	3 W. R., Mis., 25	4931 1919
0.31.50 - M 4.6 - M 15 -	2 B. L. R., P. C., 79: 11 W.	2010
Radhajiban Mustafi v. Taramani Dasi	R., P. C., 31: 12 Moore's }	990, 1914

	and the second s	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Radhajibon Mustofee v. Forlong Radha Kant Deb v. Khema Jossee Radha Kant Doss v. Ayesh Ali Shaha Radha Kant Doss v. Ayesh Ali Shaha Radha Kant Roy v. Sadafut Mahomed Khan Radhakat Shaha v. Abhoy Churn Mitter Radhakant Shuba v. Radhakishan Hakumji v. Balvant Ramji Radha Kishen v. Ameeroodeen v. Bukhtawur Lall v. Chotey Lall v. Shureefunnissa Radha Kishen Chowdhry v. Komul Shaw Radhakishen Man v. Bachhaman Radhakishen Manjee v. Ram Mundul Radhakishore bebia v. Ram Coomar Chowdhry Radha Kishore Mookerjee v. Mittoonjoy Gow Badha Kishore Mookerjee v. Mittoonjoy Gow Badha Kishore Roy v. Ameer Chunder Mookhoty	2 Hay, 122 7 W. R., 105 8 W. R., 109 21 W. R., 86 1. L. R., 8 Calc., 721 11 C. L. R., 310 1. W. R., 204 1 Agra, 1 3 N. W., 155 W. R., 1868, 11 25 W. R., 128 1. L. R., 3 All., 118 6 W. R., 147 13 W. R., 167 12 W. R., 179 7 W. R., 23 20 W. R., 28	1196 1615, 6245 997 - 5426 5856 409 226 337, 2484 5665 1697 1696 2183, 2466 4292 2905 4988 288, 4156 557
v. Sittoo Sirdar Radhakishore Talookdar v. Goluck Chunder Roy Radhakissen Mahapatter v. Sreekissen Mahapatter	24 W. R., 172 11 W. R., 366 1 W. R., 62	3064 2744, 4162 3348, 3796
Radhakissen Rowra Dakna v. Chooneeloll Dutt .	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 445 : 5 C. L. R., 172.	4266, 4849
Radhakissore Bose v. Aftab Chandra Mahatab . Radhakissoree Dossee v. Guthee Kissen Sircar . Radha Kisto Mytee v. Bhugwan Chunder Bose . Radha Kisto Singh Deo v. Gudadhur Banerjee . Radha Koonwar v. Jankee Koonwar .	. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 61 { W. R., 1864, 272 1 W. R., 248 8 W. R., 453 9 W. R., 199	1915, 1940 3520 3348 3373 4214, 6247 3256
Radha Krishna v. O'Flaherty	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 277 : 12 W. } R., 145.	2998
Radhakvishna Sett v. Harakrishna Das Radha Kristo Balo v. Rup Chunder Nundy Radha Kristo Chakkanuvis v. Kaleeprossono Roy Radha Kristo Dutt v. Gunga Namin Chafterjee Radha Kristo Panjal v. Bamasoondurce Dossee Radhanadhub Dutt v. Ramrunjun Chnekerbutty Radhamadhub Ghose v. Khirudnauth Roy Radhamadhub Gossain v. Radhaballub Gossain	1 B. L. R., O. C., 1 3 C. L. R., 480 15 W. R., 208 23 W. R., 328 13 W. R., 9 17 W. R., 461 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 84 (1 Hay, 311: 2 Ind. Jur., O. () S. 5.	1466 3331 1212 167 1908 1139 907 1506, 2110 2114
Radha Madhub Pal v. Kalee Churn Pal Radha Madhub Panda v. Juggernath Dooab Radha Malkar v. Srishtee Narain Shaha Radha Malkar v. Srishtee Narain Shaha Radhamohan Dhar v. Ramdas Dey	18 W. R., 41	3036 1426, 3042 4267 2208, 2488
Radha Mohan Naskar v. Jadunath Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 261: 12 W. ?	544, 6278
Radhamohun Deb v. Nund Lal Dey Radha Mohun Dutt v. Bissessur Bundopadhya Radhamohun Gowee v. Mohesh Chunder Kotwal Radha Mohun Mundul v. Buekshee Begum v. Jadoomonee Dossee v. Neel Madhab Mundul v. Shoshi Bhoosun Biswas Radhamohun Roy v. Raj Chunder Dass	R, 68. 9 9 7 W. R., 363 6 W. R., 90 1 7 W. R., 3 1 1 1 2 Hay, 595 2 3 W. R., 369 2 4 W. R., 200 3 C. L. R., 530 2 C. L. R., 530 2 W. R., 522 1 2 W. R., 522 1 2 W. R., 522 1 2 W. R., 522 1 2 W. R., 522 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6124 1394 3161 566 2019, 6113 1991 2520 4229 5922

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Radhamonee v. Ram Narain Dey Radhamonee Chowdhrain v. Gray	. 22 W. R., 440	4267 2989
Radhamonee Dossia v. Shibessurree Debia	6 W. R., Act X, 25	1439
Radha Monee v. Kishna	. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 188	539
Radhamoye Dey Chowdhry v. Aghore Nath Biswas	. 1 25 W R 384	1612
Radhanath Banerjee v. Jodoonath Singh	(1030, 2770
tradianach Daneijee v. soudonach Dingh		3243, 4141
v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee . v. Kangalee Mollah	· 1 W. R., 288	51
Radha Nath Bose v. Bama Churn Mookerjee	Marsh., 407: 2 Hay, 538	5523
. Spittoppegno Chese	25 W. R., 415	3294
- v. Suttoprosono Ghose	· 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 269 .	2092 5289
v. Joy Soonder Moitra	· 7 C. L. R., 289	2931
o. ooj boonaci mollis .	· 2 C. L. R., 302 · ·	3326, 336
Radhanath Das v. Elliott .	· 6 B. L. R., 530	3385, 6125
	O D. M. M., 550	6145
Radhanath Dhoopee v. Luckhee Kant Pal	· 12 W. R., 224, note	302
Radhanath Dhubi v. Ram Gobind Pal	· 3 B. L. R., A. C., 218	302
		3326, 3364
Radhanath Doss v. Gisborne & Co	14 Moore's I. A., 1: 15 W.	3386, 6126
777 17	{ R., P. C., 24.	6145
v. Khellut Chunder Ghose	. 17 W. R., 558	1737
Radhanath Dutt v. Gobind Chunder Chatterjee .	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 19	3322
Radhanath Kundu v. Land Mortgage Bank of India	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 559: 8 C.]	5014
Radha Nath Mozoomdar v. Purikhit Bodrik	{ L. R., 10.	
Radhanath Panja v. Wooma Churn Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60 .	531
Radhanath Sein v. Bungo Chunder Sein	. 22 W. R., Cr., 12	962
Radhanath Shaha v. Kameenee Soonderee Dossee .	. W. R., 1864, 157	3985 5285, 574
Madhanath Sugracharu v. Baidonath Scol	2 W. R., 37 3 B. L. R., Ap., 118	5282
Radhaparshad Singh v. Balmukand Oiba	7 N. W., 318	2865, 518
Radhapearee Dossee v. Doorga Monee Dossia	5 W. B. 181	2302
Radhapershad Misser v. Monohur Das	5 W. R., 131 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 317: 7 C.)	3895
	1 L. K., 295.	9090
Radha Pershad Singh v. Bajhawun Oopadhya	24 W. R., 116 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 402	2990
v. Phuljuri Koer v. Ramjeewun Siagh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 402	5980
	. 11 W. E., 389	5983
v. Ramparmeswar Singh .	[I. L. R., 9 Calc., 797: 13 C.]	1211
v. Ramparmeswar Singh v. Sansar Roy v. Talook Raj Kooer	L. R., 22.	5117
v. Talook Rai Koner	20 W. R., 38	2277
Radha Prasad Singh v. Bhagwan Rai		3310, 3312
Andrea I rasad bingh v. Dhagwan Rai	. I. L. R., 5 All., 289	3533
v. Bhajan Rai v. Bajandra Kishore Singh v. Salik Rai Radha Prosad Singh v. Suldur Itall	. I. L. R., 7 All., 677	636, 418
v. Rajendra Kishore Singh	I. L. R., 5 All., 209 I. L. R., 5 All., 245	998
Rodho Proced Cind v. Sahk Rai	. I. L. R., 5 All., 245	5086
	. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 644	3135, 3471
Radha Proshad Singh v. Ram Coomar Singh .	[I. L. R., 3 Calc., 796: 1 C.]	44
	L. R., 259.	
Radha Proshad Wasti v. Esuf	[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 414: 9 C.]	1178, 1471
Radha Pyari Dabi a Makin Chandar Cl	L. R., 76. 5 B. L. R., 708: 13 W. R., }	
Radha Pyari Debi v. Nabin Chandra Chowdhry .	F. B., 80.	4956
Radha Ram Deb v. James	. 20 W. R., 410	5613
Radha Rumon Koondoo v. Phool Koomaree Bibee	. 10 W. R., 28	2342
Radhay Kishan v. Kali Misser Radheeka Chowdrain v. Ram Mohun Ghose	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 151	579
Ram Mohun Ghosa	. I W. R., 367	1593

Parameter and the second secon	Control and the Control of the Contr	and the second second second
,		Column
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	of Digest.
n. 11 17 1 12 Th 1	2 4 20	5129
Radhey Koonwer v. Ajoodhya Pandey	3 Agra, 69	1713
Radhey Lal v. Mahesh Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 864	4548
Radhey Pandey v. Munsaram	2 Agra, 85	3268
v. Nund Koomar Pandey	2 Agra, Pt. II, 164	4003
Radhey Tewari v. Bujha Misr	I. L. R., 3 All., 413	
Radhia v. Beni	I. L. R., 1 All., 560	5011
Radhika Chowdhrain v. Bama Sundari Dasi .	4 B. L. R., P. C., 8: 13 Moore's I. A., 248.	1595,4150
· ·		
v. Lukhee Chunder Ghose	18 W. R., 513	3472
Radhika Churn Sen v. Judoonath Gossamee	20 W. R., 412	687
Radhika Mohan Sett. In the goods of ,	7 B. L. R., 563	4665
Radhika Mohun Roy v. Gunga Narain Chowdhry .	21 W. R., 115	1747
7,	22 W. R., 23	47
Radhika Pershad Chatterjee v. Ramdhun Pooroheet .	6 W. R., Act X, 27	556
Radhika Pershad Shadhoo v. Gooroo Prosunno Roy .	20 W. R., 125	3434
Radhikaprasad Chunder v. Ramsunder Kur	1 B. L. R., A. C., 7	1253
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8 B. L. R., A. C., 124: 11 W.)	00.00
Radhika Prastad Dey v. Dharma Dasi Debi . }	R., 499.	2350
Radhika Prosunno Chunder v. Nehalee Churn Dey .	15 W. R., 410	3071
v. Urjoon Majhee	20 W. R., 128	2691
		3493
Radhoo Chowdhrain v. Heet Lall Roy	11 W. R., 209	2893
Radhoo Nissee v. Ram Junoo Nissee	2 Hay, 83	
Radho Panday v. Rup Kuar	7 N. W., 223	, 3379
Radoinath Shaha. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 195	715, 1287
Rae Damodhur Doss v. Bholanath	2 N. W., 413	1926
Rae Manick Chund v. Beharee Lall	2 N. W., 263	3888
Raesoonissa Begum v. Sharoda Soouduree Chowdhrain.	16 W. R., 25	3823
Rafautoollah Chewdhry v. Shushee Shikhur Banerjee .	14 W. R., 57	4228
Raffee Mahomed v. Abbas Khan	8 W. R., Cr., 67	1955
Raffin v. S. S. "Chilka"	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 196	5500
Ragava v. Rajagopal	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 39	2886
Ragava Chariar v. Vedanta Chariar	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 259	915
Ragava Pishardi v. Ayumanjiri Mankal Thupan alias (3472
Valia Thambrakle.	4 Mad., 261	0912
Ragavendra Rau v. Mahomed Kanitaraganar	1 Mad., 230	3584
Raghab Chandra Banerjee v. Brajanath Kundu (9 B. L. R., 91, note: 14 W. 7	7000
Chowdhry.	R., 489.	5830
Raghapa bin Hanmapa v. Parapa bin Shiyapa	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 217	760
	1 Bom., 52	5819
Raghia v. Dharma Jhatu		4022
Ragho Bagaji v. Anaji Manaji Patil	5 Bom., A. C., 116	2865
Raghobar Misser v. Sital	7 N. W., 228	1233
Raghobir Singh v. Dharam Kuar	I. L. R., 3 All., 108	1400
Ragho Govind v. Balvant Amrit	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 101 ".	
Ragho Govind Paranjpe v. Dipchand	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 96 . {	635, 1074
-		2714
Raghoji Bhikaji v. Abdul Karim	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 590	3209
Raghoobur Dyal v. Dwarka Dass	3 N. W., 343	2818
Raghoonadha Perya Oodya Taver v. Katama Nauchear	10 W.R., P.C., 1:11 Moore's ?	1700, 4962
	I. A., 50.	4988
Raghoonath v. Luchmun Singh	1 Agra, 132	4026
Raghoonath Narain Singh v. Ram Churn Sahoo	2 W. R., Mis., 48	209
Raghoonath Pershad v. Surjoo Pershad Singh	22 W. R., 162	3193
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 73: 13 C. 7	9149
Raghoo Pandey v. Kassy Parey	L. R., 263.	3443
	10 B. L. R., Ap., 26: 19 W.	050
		978
Raghoo Parirah. In the matter of the petition of		310
Raghoo Parirah. In the matter of the petition of Ragho Salvi v. Balkrishna Sakharam	R., Cr., 28. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 128	1174,396

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Raghubai Ram Chandra. In the matter of	. 6 Bom., O. C., 86	2661
Raghubans Gir v. Sheosaran Gir	. I. L. R., 5 All., 243	3462
Raghubans Kuar v. Mahpal Singh	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 744: 6 C. L. R., 593: L. R., 7 I. A., 63	1841
Raghubanund Doss v. Sadhu Churn Doss	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 425 : 3 C. L. R., 534.	2451,2466
Raghubar Chowdry v. Bhaikdhari Singh	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41:11 W.	1408
Raghubar Dayal v. Bank of Upper Iudia	I. L. R., 5 All., 364	5194
v. Budhu Lal	· I. L. R., 8 All., 95	3979
v. Ilahi Buksh	· I. L. R., 7 All., 450	5459 3381
v. Lachmin Shankar Raghubar Dyal Sahu v. Bhikya Lal Misser	. I. L. R., 5 All., 461 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 69	2076, 3429
Raghumoni Audhicary v. Nilmoni Singh Deo	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 393	3293
Raghunandan Rai v. Mahabir Rai	I. L. R., 7 All., 720	4545
Raghunandan Singh v. Majbuth Singh	6 B. L. R., 387, note: 10 W. R., 379.	3720
Raghu Nandan Thakur v. Ramcharan Kapali .	1 B. L. R., F. B., 55: 10 W. R., F. B., 39.	5828
Raghu Nath v. Thakuri	L L. R., 4 All , 16	2481
Raghu Nath Bali v. Maharaj Bali	{ I. L. R., 11 Calc., 777 : L. }	3371
Raghunath Das. In the matter of the petition of	· I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952
v. Ashraf Husain Khan	. I. L. R, 2 All., 252	5615
v. Badri Prasad	· I. L. R., 6 All., 21	5952 5435,6017
v. Kakkan Mal v. Raj Kumar	I. L. R., 3 All., 568 I. L. R., 7 All, 276	233, 5951
v. Raj Kumar	I. L. R., 7 All., 876	5951
Raghunath Ganesh v. Gangadhar Bhikaji	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 60 .	1227
Raghunath Gopal v. Nilu Nathaji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 452	190, 2774
Raghunath Prasad v. Gobind Prasad	. I. L. R., 8 All., 76	2180 3939
Raghunath Shaw v. Rajkrishna Deb	. I. L. R., 8 All., 105 1 B. L. R., S. N., 2	158
Raghunath Singh v. Ram Kumar Mandal	6 5 B. L. R., Ap., 64: 14 W.	4974
Raghu Ram v. Dannu Lal	R., 81. I. L. R., 2 All., 285	3508
Raghu Ram Biswas v. Ram Chandra Dobay	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34: W.	
	(R., F. B., 127.	
Ragunada Rau v. Nathamuni Thathamayyangar Rahi v. Govinda valad Teja	6 Mad., 423 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 97	2786 2319, 2440
Rahiman Khan Samoji Sahib v. Patcha Miyah	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 285	810
Rahimathai v. Hirbai		2226, 2312
	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 34	4133
Rahim Bibi. In the matter of the petition of Rahmani Bibi v. Hulasa Kuar	· I. L. R., 6 All., 59	4236 3213, 3214
Rammani Dioi v. Huinsa Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 642	1420 4812
Rahmatulla v. Sariutulla Kagchi	{ 1 B. L. R., F. B., 58: 10 W. R., F. B., 51.	4829, 4851 5800
Rahoman v. Fuzuloonissa	W. R., 1864, 326	5789
Rai Balkishen v. Rai Sitaram	I. L. R., 7 All., 731 I. L. R., 6 All., 560: L. R.,	437, 2402
Rai Bishen Chand v. Asmaida Koer	{ I. L. R., 6 All., 560: L. R., 11 I. A., 164.	2212, 2000
Rai Chand v. Mathura Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 292	193
Raichand Mangal v. Abdulla Amruddin Kotval .	. 5 Bom., A. C., 99	5655 2486
Raicharan Pal v. Pyari Mani Dasi	3 B. L. R., O. C., 70 9 B. L. R., 197, note: 18 W.	
Rai Dhanpat Singh Bahadur v. Madhumati Debi	R., 316.	498

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
•		
nie Churn Paul v. Suroop Chunder Mytee	9 W. R., 598	2514
ai Gobind Singh v. Soonder Pal	2 Agra, Pt. II, 177	5617
aiji Manor v. Desai Kallianrai Hukmatrai	6 Bom., A. C., 56	3377
	9 Pom 944 Pul EJ 909	
	2 Bom., 244 : 2nd Ed., 233	5630
ii Kishen Chand v. Sheo Baram Rai	7 N. W., 121	4603
ikisori Dasi v. Bonomali Charan Maiti	1 B. L. R., S. N., 14: 10 W.	2693, 492
ui Komul Dossee v. Laidley	I. L. R., 4 Cale., 957	5178
uloo Mull v. Nanuk	1 N. W., 171 : Ed. 1873, 250 3 B. L. R., P. C., 5: 11 W.	4111
ni Manik Chand v. Madhoram	R., P. C., 42: 13 Moore's I. A., I.	36, 134
i Mahan Powa Wice	16 W. R., Cr., 24	4501
ii Mohun Roy v. Wise	4 N. W., 122	
i mooraree poss v. Ducha Singii	6 N. W., 43	4589
i Narain Dass v. Newton	T T D 401 000 400	2808
v. Nownit Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 809 : 4 C. L. ?	2396
€.	R., 67.	
iney. In re	6 B. L. R., 725, note	901
e. Ishur Chunder Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 333	901
v. Nobo Coomar Mookerjee	5 C. L. R., 543	3157
i Purdimun Kishen v. Roushun Singh	1 Agra, 111	3253
i Seeta Ram v. Kishun Dass alias Kishnaram	3 Agra, 402	1714
ti Sri'Kishen v. Rai Huri Kishen	5 Moore's I. A., 432	1729
isuddin Chowdhry v. Khoda Newaz Chowdhry .	12 C. L. R., 479	3007, 399
isunnissa Begum. In the matter of {	2 B. L. R., A. C., 129: 10 W. }	677
v. Khujjminissa {	4 B. L. R., A. C., 149: 13 W. ?	679
	R., 143.	
ja v. Subbaraya jabai v. Ismail Ahmed	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 253	2466
ijabai v. Ismail Anmed	7 Bom., O. C., 27	3674
gagopal. In re		3091
ajagopala Ayyangar v. Collector of Chingleput	7 Mad., 98	3035
ijagopalashari v. Subbaraya Mudali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 31	5302
ingopal Takkaya Naiker v. Muttupalem Chetti .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 103	997
jah of Cherakal Kovilagom v. Kottayath Kiyaki		
Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah.	7 Mad., 210	3753
ijah of Kautit. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 All., 668	6257
ijah of Pittapur v. Buchi Sitayya Garu	L. R., 12 I. A., 16	4661, 502
v. Venkata Mahipati Surva		4878
njah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the petition of		5508
ijah of Venkatageri. In the matter of the perition of		223
v. Pitchana	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 13 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 27	
ijah Ram v. Bainee Madho		3592
	5 N. W., 81	5400
ijan v. Basuva Chetti	2 Mad., 428	55
ajan Harji v. Ardeshir Hormusji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 70 . {	1096, 14
		6131
ajanikanth Biswas v. Ram Nath Neogy	I. L. R , 10 Calc., 244	1902, 53
ajanikanth Nag Rai Chowdhuri v. Hari Mohan Guha.		6039
ijaram v. Bansi	I. L. R., 1 All., 207	3163, 37
ajaram Banerjee v. Sonatum Roy	23 W. R., 404	2518, 60
transmi Dingvat v 510m	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 151	4293
ajaram Chowdhry v. Seetola Buksh Misser	7 W. R., 113	239
ajaram Govindram v. Brown	7 Bom., O. C., 97	5644, 55
ajaram Kalita v. Roopa Kagatee Kalita	13 W. R., 113	. 1758
ajaram Lala v. Kaliappen	5 Mad., 129	906
	1 . T. D. A. C. 220 40 777 5	1455, 17
ajaram Tewari v. Lachman Prasad {	4 B. L. R., A. C., 118:12 W.)	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajaram Tewary v. Luchmun Persad	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 731:2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 216:8 W. R., 15.	2188, 4032
Raja Singh v. Sulka Raja valad Hussein. In re	I. L. B., 6 All., 398 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 174	216 5540
Raja valad Shivapa v. Krishnabhat	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 232 . {	1358, 2610
•	I. L. R., 3 All., 85	4134 2863, 5082
Raj Bahadur v. Birmha Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 343	499
Raj Bahadur Singh v. Achumbit Lal	L. R., 6 I. A., 110 : 6 C. L. R., }	3348
Raj Begum v. Reza Hossein	12. 2 W. R., 76	1353, 3686
Raj Beharee Roy v. Tarapershad Roy	20 W. R., 188	5283 *
Rajballab Saha v. Ramsaday Ghose	5 B. L. R., Ap., 65: 14 W.	837
Raj Bullub Addhya v. Gobindo Chunder Moitro	R., 155. 12 W. R., Cr., 66	4097
Rajbullub Buye v. Taranath Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 2	3496
Raj Bullub Gope v. Issan Chunder Hajrah	7 W. R., 355	1366, 543
Raj Bullubh Mitter v. Sreeram Sircar	25 W. R., 109	5315
Rajbullubh Sen v. Oomesh Chunder Rooz	R., 384.	2196
Raj Bullub Shaha v. Gossain Dass Shaha	13 W. R., 400	1878
Rajbullub Shammee v. Waris Mahomed	8 W. R., 450 9 W. R., 285	4479 1417
Rajbunsee Lall v. Judoobuns Suhaye Raj Chandra Bose v. Dharma Chandra Bose	2 B. L. R., A. C., 77: 8 B. L. R., 510, note: 10 W. R., 416.	5690
Dei Chandar Dadden a Meneneme	3 B. L. R., Ap., 148: 12 W.	3999
Raj Chandra Poddar v. Manorama	R., 353.	i .
Raj Chookun Duswandi v. Busjeet Tewaree Raj Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Meertunjoy Sheeromoney	20 W. R., 165	782 666
Raj Chunder Bundoo v. Rajessory Dossee	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 240	4799
Raj Chunder Burmun v. Roma Kant Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 324	2772
Raj Chunder Chatterjee v. Modhoosoodun Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 395: 10 C. L. R., 435.	3140
Raj Chunder Chowdhry v. Busheer Mahomed .	24 W. R., 476	98
v. Rajnath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 222	6117
Rajchunder Chuckerbutty v. Kinoo Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 329	3260
dhry.	{ 4 W. R., 7	5738
Raj Chunder Deb Biswas v. Sheeshoo Ram Deb .	7 W. R., 146	2199
Raj Chunder Ghose v. Joy Chunder Dutt	12 W. R., 197	4162
v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	4 W. R., 76	3286 778
v. Koylash Chunder Banerjee Raj Chunder Gope v. Joogal Gope	18 W. R., 172	4068
Raj Chunder Mojoomdar v. Rajaram Gope	22 W. R., 385	1187
Raj Chunder Roy v. Chundee Churn Naik	8 W. R., 437	5828
v. Isser Chunder Roy	Bourke, O. C., 139	425
v. Kishen Chunder	4 W. R., Act X, 16	3799 393,43
v. Shama Scondari Debi	. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 583 . }	4237
Raj Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Brojendro Coomar Roy	21 W. R., 182	178
Chowdhry. v. Greesh Chunder Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 45	201, 592
v. Unnoda Pershad Moo-	1	13 (0) (1)
kerjee.	17 W. R., 221	4336
Raj Chunder Shaha v. Gobind Chunder Koolal	13 W. R., 102	5824
v. Hur Mohun Roy	22 W. R., 98	3919, 541

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Raj Chunder Surma Gossain v. Ali Newaz Khau Raj Coomar v. Kirthu Ojha	W. R., 1864, Act X, 133 13 W. R., Cr., 67	538 5510
Raj Coomar Baboo v. Judoo Bungshee Raj Coomar Baboo Deo Nund Singh. In the matter?	14 W. R., 112	3496
of the petition of. Raj Coomaree Dassee v. Gopal Chunder Bose	7 W. R., 90	280 4312
Raj Coomar Goopto. In the matter of	11 W. R., 354	3846
Raj Coomar Lall v. Bissessur Dyal	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 688 . 8 C. L. R., 419 .	2134 3745, 6065
v. Assa Bebee	3 W. R., Act X, 170	1610
v. Kadumbiny Debi	13 W. R., F. B., 63 7 W. R., 337	899 3152
Raj Coomar Roy Chowdhry v. Debendronarain Roy .	15 W. R., 41 2 C. L. R., 62	1352 6068, 6251
Raj Coomar Singh. In the matter of the petition of	W. R., 1664, Act X, 12	6114
v. Dinonath Ghuttuck	1 C. L. R., 352 W. R., 1864, Act X, 108	5945 539
v. Rajbunsee Kooer v. Ram Suhaye Roy	11 W. R., 151	4145, 5822
Raj Coomar Singh alias Nanhoo Lall v. Lalljee Sahoo . Raj Dharee Lall v. Mohadeo Singh	18 W. R., 333	5451 5119
Rajdhur Chowdhry v. Kalikristna Bhattacharjya	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 963: 11 C.?	5813
Raj Doollab v. Mohessur Bhutt	L. R., 330.	1611
Raj Doollub Sircar v. Ooma Churn Biswas Rajeeb Lochun Saha Chowdhry v. Masseyk	21 W. R., 109 18 W. R., 193	4962 3497, 3526
Rajeeb Lochun Sawunt v. Mobessuree Dossee	10 W. R., 365	5485
Rajeeb Ram Doss v. Mahomed Hossein	6 W. R., Mis., 51 W. R., 1864, 65	1887 6129
v. Mahomed Aynooddeen .	W. R., 1864, 143	4591 2475
Rajender Dutt v. Sham Chund Mitter	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 106	3125
Rajender Nath Roy v. Putto Soondery Dassee Rajender Pertab Saha v. Beer Pertab Saha	5 C. L. R., 18 W. R., 1864, 111	2414 2345
Rajendra Kishore Singh v. Pershad Sen	2 C. L. R., 183	2702
v. Radha Prasad Singh	I. L. R., 3 All., 854 3 B. L. R., Ap., 3	233 912
	7 B. L. R., 216: 15 W. R.,	
Rajendra Nath Haldar v. Jagendra Nath Haldar	P. C., 41: 14 Moore's I. A., 67.	2537
Rajendra Rau v. Sama Rau Rajendro Chunder Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of)	1 Mad., 436	2820
the petition of.	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 737	4721
Rajendro Kishore Narain Singh v. Doorga Koonwar Rajendro Kishore Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of	7 W. R., 154	5344 4732
Rajendro Kishore Singh v. Bulaky Mahton	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 367	3194
v. Hyabul Singh	17 W. R., 379 L. L. R., 5 Calc., 188 : 4 C. L.	641 1166, 6083
Rajendro Lall Gossami v. Shama Churn Lahori . Rajendro Narain Biswas v. Mohendro Lall Mitter	R., 417.	1188
Rajendro Narain Lahoree v. Saroda Soonduree Debia .	3 C. L. R., 21	2114, 2110
Rajendro Narain Neogi v. Kumud Narain Bhup	3 C. L. R., 569	6234 4251
Rajendronath Mookhopadhya v. Bassider Ruhman	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 146: 25 W. \	3045
Khondkhar. Rajendronath Roy Bahadoor v. Chunnoomul	R., 329. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 448	1913
Rajendro Protab Sahee v. Bhowabul Singh	14 W. R., 105	5139

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
The Pile of Change of the Chan	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 273: 5 W. R., P. C., 65: 10 Moore's	4042
ajendur Kishwur Singh v. Sheopurshun Missur .	I. A., 438.	
Rajendur Pertab Sahee v. Lalljee Sahoo	20 W. R., 427	. 4181
Rajeshuree Debia v. Raj Coomaree Dossee	15 W. R., 182	3514
Rajessuree Debia v. Brindabutty Debia	7 W. R., 212	4198, 4483
v. Jogendro Nauth Roy	23 W. R., 278	86, 93
v. Shibnath Chatterjee	4 W. R., Act X, 42	1752, 4394 1411
Rajessuree Koonwar v. Inderjeet Koonwar Rajeswara Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maharajulungaru v.) Virapratapah Rudra Gajapaty Naraina Deo Maha- }	6 W. R., 1	2325, 3366
rajulungaru. Raj Gobind Dey v. Rajessuree Dossee	4 W. R., 10	2293
Rajhuni Siugh v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455: 11 C. L. R., 569.	1804
Rajiblochan v. Bimalamani Dasi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 82	5485, 5487
Raijo v. Lalman	I. L. R., 5 All., 180	4558
Rai Kishen Mookeriee v. Dusruth Sootrodhur	15 W. R., 234	5314
v. Huro Mohun Mookerjee	5 W. R., 248	5776
v, Modhoo Soodun Mundle	17 W. R., 413	2889 1162
v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee		4192
v. Huro Mohun Moskerjee v. Modhoo Soodun Mundle v. Pearee Mohun Moskerjee v.	· 20 W. R., 421	584
		3550, 477
v. Radhamadhub Haldar .	· 21 W. R., 349	4819
	. 23 W. R., 408	584
Raj Kishen Roy v. Prankishen Roy	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 89	1637
Raj Kishen Singh v. Huro Soonduree Dossee	4 W. R., 79	2895 279
Rajkishen Sirgar v. Chowdhry Jaheeoorul Huq .	. 15 W. R., 255	2514
Rajkishor Dutt Roy v. Girish Chandra Roy Chowdhry	4 B. L. R., A. C., 136	3396
Rajkishore Bhuddur v. Huree Mohun Bhuddur .	. 19 W. R., 195	6106
Raj Kishore Dutt v. Bydonath Shaha	. 12 W. R., 365	5588
Rajkish oree Dossee v. Budden Chunder Shaw .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 49: 6 W.)	3223
Rajkishore Lahoory v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory .	R., 298. I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27: 24 W.	2295
	R., 234. 1 B. L. R., S. N., 8: 10 W.)	
Raj Kishore Mookerjee v. Hureehur Mookerjee .	R., 117.	1618
Rajkishore Mozoomdar v. Heeraloll Bukshee .	. Marsh., 188	4934
Raj Kishore Mullick v. Brindabun Chunder Poddar	. 15 W. R., 119	2883
Rajkishore Shaw v. Bhadoo Nashoo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 78 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 605: 6	3926
Rajkissen Singh. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 605: 6 W. R., Mis., 111.	284
	TT TO 2 OF 100 10 117 5	
v. Ramjoy Surma Mozoomdar .	R., 8.	2228
Rajkisto Majee v. Nobace Seal	. 1 W. R., 351	2892
Rajkisto Paul v. Nittyanund Paul	. 20 W. R., Cr., 50	4749
Raj Kisto Roy v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	. 6 W. R., 308	3188
Raj Koomar Singh v. Sahebzada Roy	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 20	2856, 474
Rajkrishna Mookerjee v. Kali Charan Dobain	{ R., 109.	1004, 411
Raj Krishna Singh v. Collector of Mymensingh v. Pronoda Dabee Raj Coomaree	. 19 W. R., 232 . 21 W. R., 74	1899 1454
Rajkristo Banerji v. Bama Soonduree	23 W. R. 296	166
Rajkristo Moitro v. Koylash Chunder Bhuttacharjee	. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 24	1104
Raj Kristo Mookerjee v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	. 23 W, R., 90	5981

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 360: 3 ?	01.45
Rajkristo Roy v. Dinobundoo Surma	W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5.	3147
v. Kishoree Mohun Mojoomdar	3 W. R., 14	2514
Rajkristo Singh v. Bungshee Mohun	14 W. R., 448, note	5378
v. Huro Soondnree Chowdrain	13 W. R. 313	628
v. Sefatoollah	7 W. R., 85	2660
Rajkumar Banerji v. Rajlakhi Dabi	I. L. R., 12 Cale., 441	3524
Rajkumar Mookerjee v. Prannath Mookerjee 🔹 🧜	7 B. L. R., Ap., 41; 15 W. }	5691
Rajkumar Nobodip Chundro Deb Burmun v. Bir }	25 W. R., 404	2894
Chundra Manikya Bahadoor. Rajkumar Paramanik v. Stewart	5 B. L. R., Ap., 23	5721
Raj Kumar Ramgopal Narayan Singh v. Ram Dutt ?	5 B. L. R., 264: 13 W. R., 7	
Chowdry.	F. B., 82.	3891
Raj Kumar Roy v. Kadambini Debi	4 B. L. R., F. B., 175	899
v. Kalikrishna Roy	7 B. L. R., 197	4776
Rajkumar Sing v. Kali Charan Sing	1 B. L. R., Ap., 20: 11 W. R., 57.	177 .
D : 17 T -3:-111 17	5 B. L. R., 585: 13 W. R.,	331, 3397
Raj Kunwar v. Indirjit Kunwar	52.	5047, 5138
Rajlakhi Debi v. Taramani Chowdhrain }	2 B. L. R., A. C., 281: 11 W.	1138
(3 B. L. R., P. C., 57: 12 W.)	
Rajlakhi Debia v. Gakul Chandra Chowdhry . {	R., P. C., 47: 13 Moore's	1750, 219
Raj Luckhee Chowdhrain v. Shib Dyal Tewaree Chow-	I. A., 209.	
dhry	18 W. R., 520	840
Rajlnckhee Debia v. Mohesh Chunder Mojoomdar	14 W. R., 191	1202
Raj Lukhee Debia v. Chunder Kant Chowdhry	14 W. R., 173	2856
Rajlukhee Dassee v. Pearnn Bibee	23 W. R., 82	5867
Rajlnkhy Dassee v. Rash Munjury Chowdrain	5 C. L. R., 515	3508
Raj Mahomed v. Banoo Rasmah	12 W. R., 34	1754
Raj Manick Singh v. Ruttun Manick Bose	15 W. R., 46	5282
Rajmoheeney Dabee v. Muddoosoodun Dey	Bourke, O. C., 24	913
Raj Mohinee Chowdhrain v. Dino Bundhoo Chowdhry	17 W. R., 566	183
Rajmohun Bose v. East Indian Railway Company	10 B. L. R., 241	2824, 470
Rajmohan Gossain v. Gourmohun Gossain	4 W. R., P. C., 47: 8 Moore's ?	1718, 201
	I. A., 91.	2366
Rajmohan Mitter v. Commissioner of the Soonderbuns .	1 W. R., 344	5984
v. Gooroo Churn Aych	6 W. R., Act X, 106	3018
Rajmohun Mookerjee v. Nilmonee Mitter	11 W. R., 222	4758 2691
Rajmohun Neogee v. Anund Chunder Chowdhry .	10 W. R., 166	1193, 429
Rajmonee Dabee v. Chunder Kant Sandel	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 440: 10 C.	4291
	L. R., 487.	4465
Rajmungul Roy v. Anundmoyee		2018, 280
Rajnarain v. Rowshun Mull	22 W. R., 124 I. L. R., 7 Cale., 594: 10 C.	1697, 268
Rajnarain Bose v. Universal Life Assurance Company	L. R., 561.	2705, 586
Rajnarain Deb Chowdhry v. Kassee Chunder Chow-	10 B. L. R., 324: 18 W. R.,	1
dhry.	404.	2089
Raj Narain Dutt v. Gourmonee Dossee	6 W. R., 215	1730, 456
Raj Narain Koer v. Inder Chunder Baboo	W. R., 1864, Mis., 39	220
Rajnarain Koomwar v. Lala Tamoli Raut	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 91	2759
Raj Narain Roy v. Juggessur Mookerjee	14 W. R., 247	356
v. Modhoo Soodun Mookerjee v. Woomesh Chunder Goopto	20 W. R., 19	534
Rajnarain Roy Chowdhry v. Atkins	8 W. R., 444 1 W. R., 45:5 W. R., Act X, 30	3392 1613

. Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rajnarain Sein. In the matter of the petition of robes of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 42 . 14 W. R., Cr., 22 . 1. L. R., 5 Cale, 142 . 7 W. R., 67 . 18 B. L. R., Ap., 25 . 12 W. R., 136 . 7 W. R., 137 . 15 W. R., 400 . 1 W. R., 26 . 4 N. W., 10 . L. L. R., 2 All., 40 . I. L. R., 2 All., 40 . I. L. R., 10 Cale., 52: 24 W. } . R., 206 . 7 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 15 W. } . R., 426 . 1 I. d. Jur., O. S., 98: 1 . Hyde, 155 . 2 W. R., 258 . L. L. R., 6 Cale., 394: L. R., } . L. R., 6 Cale., 394: L. R., }	1509 1509 2465 5393 2661 1755 2775 3367 753, 765 1940 4766 4662 5918 2572 2234
Rajrup Koer v. Abdul Hossein	7 I. A., 240: 7 C. L. R., 529.	4577 1030, 1353 3229, 4763
Rajub Mundle v. Lochun Mundle Rajunder Narain Rae v. Bijai Govind Singh Rajvi Narayan Mandlik v. Dadaji Bapuji Rakal Doss Tewaree v. Kinooram Haldar Rakhah Doss. In re Rakhal Chandra Rai Chowdhuri v. Seeretary of State for India. Rakhal Chunder Bose v. Dwarka Nath Misser Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Mahtab Khan Rakhal Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Mahtab Khan Rakhal Chunder Tewaree v. Kinooram Haldar Rakhal Chun Mundul v. Watson & Co. Rakhal Das Bundopadhya v. Indurmonee Dabee Rakhaldas Madak v. Madhusudhan Madak Rakhal Das Mookerjee v. Tunoo Poramanic Rakhaldoss Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh Rakhaldoss Singh v. Sheo Pershad Singh Rakhaldoss Bose v. Golam Surwar Rakhaldoss Moduci v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia Rakhaldoss Moduci v. Bindoo Bashinee Debia	W. R., 1864, Cr., 73 2 Moore's I. A., 181 2 Moore's I. A., 253	4776, 4794 4813 969 902, 4643 2694, 4437 4388, 4359 1608 430, 5897 5452 1183 4912 1992, 4195 1170, 1762 6061 215 4504 4997 1708 333, 5786
Rakhal Doss Mundul v. Protab Chunder Hazrah Rakhaldoss Singhe v. Reora Motee Dossee Rakhaldoss Singhe v. Roy Chunder Dutt Rakhal Monee Dossee v. Brojendro Gopal Roy Rakhi Bibi v. Rahat Bibi Rakhmalai v. Radhabai Rakhmaji. In re the patition of Rakub Doss v. Sooraj Mull Raku Bibi v. Mahomed Musa Khan	12 W. R., 455	6239 6239 5028 5820 4336 3729 2120 398 1201, 5126 5130 3092

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ralli v. Fleming	{ L. L. R., 3 Calc., 417: 2 C. } L. R., 93.	6012
	} L. R., 93.	1780
Rama v. Chengalvaraya	. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 939	3595
9 0		
v. Jan Mahomed	{ 3 B. L. R., A. C., 18: 11 W. }	53
v. Knnji	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 375	4441, 59
	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 552	2198
v. Shivram · · · · ·	. I. L. R., 6 Bom., 116	5244
v. Venkatachalam	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 576	3588
v. Venkatesa	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 171	3216
Ramabai v. Trimbak Ganesh Desai	. 9 Bom., 283 {	2422, 568 5927
Ramabai Saheb Patvardhan v. Appa	. 12 Bom., 13	321, 27
Ramabhai Girdharbhai v. Ali Akbar Kajrani	1 Bom., 6	2684
Ramachandra v. Bhimrao	. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 577	2499
v. Krishna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 495	4840
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 434	3568
Ramachandra Mankeshwar v. Bhimrao Raoji .	. 1. L. R., 1 Bom., 577	4865
Rama Chandra Shastry v. Papu Aiyan	. 3 Mad., 27	6227
Kama Chandra Surya Arichandrana Deo v. Darvac	ia } 3 Mad., 207	5007
Ramanna Chandiri. Ramadhar v. Ram Dayal)	792
Ram Adheen Pandey v. Goordial Pandey	. I. L. R., 8 All., 536 2 N. W., 434	4545
	T T D 0 111 487	172
	I. L. R., 2 All., 471	269
Ramadhin Mahton v. Ganesh Ramagopal v. Majeti Mallikkarjanudu	1 Mad., 396	5607
Ramaisher Singh v. Saiva Zalim Singh	2 Agra, 8	3281, 34
	2 Agra, 8 3 Mad., 209	1062
Ramaiya v. Narayanasamy Ramakistnam v. Ragavachari Rama Koer v. Lalla Bhugwan Lall	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 406	4.052
	. 22 W. R., 224	4904
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 295	5248
Ramakrishna Chetti v. Palanyandi Kudambar .	. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 262	3866 488
Ramakrishnappa v. Adinarayana Ramakristuacastrulu v. Darba Lakshmidevamma	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 511	3191
Ramalakshamma v. Ramanna	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 482	3422
Ramalakhshmi Ammal v. Sivananantha Perumal Seth	n. (12 B. L. B., 396 : 17 W. R.)	2223, 23
raver.	u- { 12 B. L. R., 396: 17 W. R., 553: 14 Moore's I. A., 570 }	2323
Ramalinga Pillai v. Satteasiva Pillai	· 7 Mad., 97	3828
v. Sudasiva Pillai	1 W. R., P.C., 25: 9 Moore's)	1723, 21
o. Sudasiva I iliai	(I. A., 506.)	1
Ramamani Ammal v. Kulanthai Nauchear	[17 W. R., 1: 14 Moore's I.]	55, 17
	A., 346.	2445
Raman v. Hassan v. Kurunetha Tharakan	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 247	3584 5125
D 1 111	. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 10	3584
V. I akrichi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 385 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 392	3203, 46
v. Fakrichi — v. Vairavan Ramanda Butt v. Bithee Ramanadamisaraiyar v. Ramabhattar	4 Mad., 263	326
Ramanadamisaraiyar v. Ramabhattar	. 2 Mad., 412	, 181
Ramanadan v. Srinivasa Murthi	. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 30	3593, 65
Ramanadan Chetti v. Kunnappu Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 80 6 Mad., 304	819
Ramanadan v. Srinivasa Murthi Ramanadan Chetti v. Kunnappu Chetti Ramanadan Chetty v. Vijiasami Ramanada Sastri v. Minatchi Ammal	. 4 Mad., 425	480
	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 236 .	345
Ramanagra Singh v. Ramyad Singh	. 5 C. L. R., 176	190
Raman Ammal v. Subhan Annavi alias Subramaniy	an } 2 Mad., 399 ·	1568, 2
Annavi.) '	16
Ramanand v. Bank of Bengal	. I. L. R., 1 All., 377	10

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
amanandan Chetti v. Periatambi Shervai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 250	3499
amanand Kuar v. Raghunath Kuar	I. L. R., 8 Calc. 769: 11 C. L. R., 149: L. R., 9 I. A., 41.	1418, 42
amanand Singh v. Gobind Singh	R., 149 : L. R., 9 I. A., 41. 5 I. L. R., 5 All., 384	6052 2392
amanatha Mudali v. Vaithalinga Mudali	2 Mad., 238	3114
amanath Dass v. Boloram Phookun	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 677: 9 C. } L. R., 233.	3925
amanath Dutt v. Joykishen Mookerjee v. Matunginee Dossee	11 W. R., 3 12 B. L. R., 110	1630, 625 1194
amanath Rakhit v. Chand Hari Bhuya	6 B. L. R., 356	330, 118
v. Muchiram Paramanik.		2927 3810
amanihi Nayar. In the matter of	3 B. L. R., Ap., 63 I L. R., 1 Mad., 266	1271, 43
amanjem Naidoo v. Rungiah Naidoo	8 Mad., 192	2955
amanoogra Chobey v. Putmoorta Chobayan .	. 7 W. R., 205	172
amanoogra Chobey v. Putmoorta Chobayan amanoogra Narain. In the matter of the petition of	13 W. R., P. C., 17	275
amanoogra Sahoy v. Byjnath Lal	{ 10 B. L. R., 291, note: 15 } W. R., 164.	498
amanoogro Singh v. Kishen Kishore Narain Singh	23 W. R., 265	807, 19
amanooj Doss v. Government	2 W. R., Mis., 36	223
amansar Pandey v. Raghubar Jati	I. L. R., 5 All., 490	3406
amanugra Narain v. Mahasundur Kunwar	12 B. L. R., 433	2022
amanuja v. Devanyaka	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 361	1441, 387
amanuja Aiyangar v. Venkata Charry amanuja Ayyangar v. Virappa Tevan	4 Mad., 260 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 90	3490 4303
amanuja Chariyar v. Venkata Varadh Aiyangar	4 Mad, 54	3157
amanui Sewak Singh v. Hingu Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 157	3540
amanui Sewak Singh v. Hingu Lal	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 331	1909
amanund Mahton v. Koylash Mahton	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 236	3614, 59
amapa v. Umanna	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 123	4784
amappa Naicken v. Sithammal	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 182	2297 3334
ama Rai v. Sridhur Pershad Narain Sahai	4 C. L. R., 397	163
amaraja v. Arunachala	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 248	4836
ama Raju v. Subbarayudu	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 387	3951
ama Rao v. Suriya Rao		4980, 49
·	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 84 . {	5052
· · · · ·	3 C. L. R., 265	5052
amasami v. Bhaskarasami v. Collector of Madur	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 67 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 67	3588 3588
v. Kadar Bibi	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 492	4332
" Kandasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 379	100
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 387	1511
v. Narassama	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 133	2312
v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 292	1960
v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 115	4794 305
amasami Aien v. Manjeya Pillar	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 220 6 Mad., 61	3589
amasami Aiyan v. Venkataramiyan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 91: L. R.,	2137
	6 I. A., 196.	3769, 44
amasami Ayyan v. Ramu Mupau	3 Mad., 372 {	6230
v. Seshayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 181	3500
Ramasami Ayyangar v. Bagirathi Ammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 180	4290 6143
Ramasami Chetti v. Angappa Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 220	4052

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramasami Chetti v. Saluckai Tevar alias Oyya Tevar Ramasami Mudaliar v. Sellattammal . Ramasami Padelyatchi v. Virasami Padelyatchi Ramasami Sastrigal v. Samiyappanayakan . Ramasamy Sastrigal v. Samiyappanayakan . Ramasamy Chetty v. Venkatachettapaty Chetty . Ramasamy Kon v. Bhavanni Ayyar . v. Sinthiwaiyau alias Chinna Bhavani Ayyar . Ramasamy Kon v. Venkata Achari .	2 W. R., P. C., 21: 9 Moore's 1. A., 344.	2518 *2164, 2204 *2164, 2204 2213, 5020 3897 2214 3146 1072 1072 5263
Ramasekara Pillai v. Dharmaraya Goundan Ramashesharaya Panday v. Bhagavat Panday	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 113	3451 2370, 2148
Ramasyami Chetti v. Pappa Reddi	1 Mad., 190 1 Mad., 211	2455 5820 2707
Ramaswamy Mudali v. Valaynda Mudali alias Aiyatho- ray Mudali.	4 Mad., 266	3327
Ram Autar v. Ajudhia Singh v. Dhanauri v. Dhuneeram v. Sheo Dutt Ram Autar Rai v. Talimoudi Kuar Ram Avtar Singh v. Tulsi Ram Rama Varar Rai v. Talimoudi Kuar Rama Varar Raine v. Tulsi Ram Rama Varar v. Krishnen Nambudri Ramaya Papa v. Muhamadbhai Ramaya Papa v. Muhamadbhai Ramaya Papa v. Muhamadbhai Ramaya v. Chandra Sekara Ram Baksh Chatlangi v. Hridoy Mani Debi Rambaksh Chetlangi v. Hridoy Mani Debi Rambaksh Chetlangi v. Hama Lal v. Ram Baksh Singh v. Madat Ali v. Ram Lall Doss Ram Baks Lal v. Kishori Mohan Shaha	15 C T. R. 481	3963, 3980 4258 4584, 6243 6001, 6187
Ram Baadhu v. Kusu Bhattu Ram Baran Rai v. Aiurli Pandey Ram Baran Ram v. Salig Ram Singh Ram Beharee Singh v. Sitara Khatoon	I. L. R., 3 All., 505 I. L. R., 2 All., 896 10 W. R., 315 2 B. L. R., A. C., 260: 11 W., R., 140.	4830 3021 3691
Rambhanjan Bhakat v. Srikrishna Bhakat	7 N. W., 300	171 1405
Rambharose Lal v. Gopi Bibi Rambhat v. Lakshman Chintaman Rambhat v. Lakshman Chintaman Rambhat Bapushet v. Bhai Bapushet. Ram Bhisto Acharjee v. Cheyt Lall Tewary Ram Bhido Acharjee v. Cheyt Lall Tewary Ram Bhujan Bluukut v. Ketaye Ram Chowdhry Ram Bhurosee Chowdhry v. Hunconan Singh Ram Bhurosee Chowdhry v. Hunconan Singh Ram Bhurosee Singh v. Bissessur Narain Mahata - v. Mahomed Asguree Khau - Ram Bhurose Singh v. Jewa Mahatoou Rambhuros Lall v. Gopee Beebee Rambrem Lall v. Ablackh Singh Rambromo Chuckerbutty v. Bunsi Kurmokar	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 630 I. L. R., 1 Bom., 592 2 Bom., 582: 2nd Ed., 333 15 W. R., 451 6 W. R., 451 21 W. R., 240 18 W. R., 454 19 W. R., 205 11 W. R., 319 6 N. W., 66 Marsh., 267; 2 Hay, 148 11 C. L. R., 122	2274 4462 4561 544 538 557 4481 1612, 163 4162 6229 328 5055

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Bromo Pandah v. Kaminee Soonduree Dossee .	6 W. R., 295	2284
Rambuddun Singh v. Sree Koonwar	W. R., 1864, Act X, 22 .	1390, 1813
Ram Buksh v. Ram Sookh	3 Agra, 253	2853
v. Sookh Deo	1 N. W., 111 : Ed. 1873, 159 .	3891
Ram Buksh Singh v. Purdumun Kishore	2 Agra, Part II, 202	3029, 6284
Ram Buksh Thakoor v. Ajoodhya Lal	2 W. R., Mis., 45	6088
Rambundhoo Chatterjee v. Modhoo Soodun Patra	21 W. R., 134	1433
	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 193	2275, 385
Ram Bunsee Koomaree v. Soobh Koomaree	2 Ind. 5 dr., N. S., 195	
Ram Bunsee Koonwar v. Moheshur Koonwar	1 W. R., 338	2486
Ram Bunsee Koonwaree v. Soobh Koonwaree	7 W. R., 321	2275, 3851
Ramburun Singh v. Junkee Sahoo	22 W. R., 473	1393
Ram Bushan Mahto v. Jebli Mahto	1. L. K., 8 Calc., 853	4456, 6004
Ram Butty Kooer v. Kamessur Pershad	22 W. R., 36	896, 3242
Ram Bux v. Girdharee Lall	1 Agra, 178	6015
(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 675:27	
Rambux Chittangeo v. Modoosoodhun Paul Chowdhry	Ind. Jur., N. S., 155: 7	5674
. (W. R., 377.	
Ram Chand v. Collector of Mirzapore	3 Agra, 7	2063
	I. L. R., 6 All., 112	5362
v. Fateh Siugh	3 N. W., 323	31
Ram Chandar Bahadur v. Kamta Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 300	5466
Rom Chand Bern v Government	6 C. L. R., 365	2883, 5630
Ram Chand Chuckerbutty v. Madhub Narain Roy	1 C. L. R., 359	800
v. Nuddiar Chand Ghose	23 W. R., 230	1359, 5287
Ram Chand Dutt v. Mosai Santal		
	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 738	5697
Ram Chand Koomar v. Modhoosoodun Mozoomdar .	7 W. R., 119	4823
Ram Chand Mookerjee v. Kamenee Debea	10 W. R., 236 {	910, 912
		4903
Ram Chandra v. Bhikibai	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 477	4975
	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 305, note .	3133
Ram Chandra Apaji v. Balaji Bhanrav	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 137	4186
Ram Chandra Babaji v. Sitaram Vinayak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 68	5117
Ram Chandra Ballal v. Baba Esgonda	12 Bom., 163	807
Ram Chandra Bapuji Gokhle v. Vasudev Morbhat Kale.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 451	6113
Ram Chandra Bhikaji v. Collector of Ratnagiri	10 Bom., 305	5687
Ram Chandra bin Madhavrav v. Abaji	1 Bom., 64	4563
Ram Chandra Pose v. Suead	7 B. L. R., Ap., 58	5587
Ramchandra Chintaman v. Kalu Raju	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 362	1106
Ram Chandra Chowdhry v. Brajanath Surma	3 B. L. R., Ap., 109	4461
(117)	3 B. L. R., Ap., 74: 11 W. R., 7	
v. Subal Patro {	539.	543
Ramchandra Dabhalkar v. Anant Sat Shenvi	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 25	2851
Ram Chandra Dada Naik v. Dada Mahadev Naik	1 Bom., Ap., 76	2460, 5972
	,	1752, 2471
v. Lakshman Dada Naik .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 561 . }	2534
Ram Chandra Das. In the goods of	9 B. L. R., 30,: 18 W. R., 153	1237
		2428, 568
Ramchandra Dikshit v. Savitribai	4 Bom., A. C., 73 . {	
Ramchandra Ganesh v. Devba	TTD C Pom COC	5742
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 626	3221
Ramchandra Goswami v. Matilal Bagchi	2 B. L. R., A. C., 169	1198
Ram Chandra Jana v. Jiban Chandra Jana	1 B. L. R., A. C., 203	1360
Ram Chandra Jivaji Tilve v. Khatal Mahomed Gori	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 28	757
Ramchandra Kolatkar v. Mahadaji Kolatkar	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 141	3974
Ramchandra Kulkarni v. Vithoji valad Malharji Patil .	4 Bom., A.C., 178	663
Ramchandra Lakshmanji. In re will of	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 118	1238
Ramchandra Mantri v. Venkatrao Mantri	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 598	2057
Ramchandra Narsinha v. Collector of Ratnagiri	7 Bom. A. C., 41	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Ram Chandra Pal v. Becharam Dey }	8 B. L. R., Ap., 28, note: 10 } W. R., 329.	1410 **
Remchandra Raghunath v. Abaji bin Rastya	6 Bom., A. C., 12	5746
Ramchandra Sakharam v. Keshav Durgaji	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 100	5584
Ram Chandra Tantra Das v. Dharmo Narayan Chuck-	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 346 7 B. L. R., 341: 15 W. R., ?	2419, 4388
erbutty.	F. B., 17.	413
Ramchandra Vasudev v. Nanaji Timaji	7 Bom., A. C., 26	2109 2426
Ramchandra Vishnu Bapat v. Sagunabai	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 261 . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 2: 4 C. L.)	
Ram Chand Seal. In the goods of	R., 290.	3086
Ram Chand Sen v. Audaito Sen	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1054 1 W. R., 287	1101, 2847 5064
Ram Charan v. Narbir Mahton	4 B. L. R., A. C., 216: 13 }	3723
Ram Charan Buhardar v. Reazuddin	W. R., 259. I. L. R., 10 Calc., 856	5091
Ram Charan Bysak v. Harvey	2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W. ?	6221
nam Charan Dysak v. Harvey	R., 373.	
v. Lakhikant Bannik	7 B. L. R., 704 : 16 W. R., F. B., 1.	3542
Ram Charan Lal v. Jhatu Sahu	12 B. L. R., 413, note: 14}	438
Ramchhaibar Misr v. Bechu Bhagat	W. R., 25. I. L. R., 7 All., 641	816, 5458
Ram Chund v. Zahoor Ali Khan	1 Agra, 134 {	1344, 3277
	1	4969 1344, 4969
Ram Chunder v. Choonee Lall	1 Agra, 135 12 B. L. R., 35	120, 4408
v. Mohendronath Bose	21 W. R., 141	1882, 5622
v. Nund Lall Bose	19 W. R., 132	417
Ramchunder Adhikaree v. Ramjeebun Adhikaree .	W. R., 1864, 355	992 6007, 6282
Ramchunder Banerjee v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree Ram Chunder Biswas. In the matter of	16 W. R., 180	4799, 4846
Ram Chunder Bysack v. Dino Nath Surma Sirkar .	5 C. L. R., 470	470
Ram Chunder Chatterjee v. Kanye Laha	25 W. R., Cr., 19	5910
v. Ram Jeebun Dass	14 W. R., 141 19 W. R., 196	5776 1586, 1587
Ram Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurish Chunder Chowdhry .	21 W. R., 57	891, 5015
v. Mariott	15 W. R., 465	1210, 1379
Ram Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Projo Nath Mozumdar {	1. L. R., 4 Calc., 929 : 4 C.)	76, 88
v. Gooroo Churn Chuck-	L. R., 247.	
erbutty.	6 W. R., 305	2250
v. Kashinath Moetro	W. R., 1864, 66	5342
Ram Chunder Dey v. Kissen Mohun Shaha	6 W. R., 68	1676
Ram Chunder Dutt v. Chunder Coomar Mundul	13 Moore's I. A., 181	4652 534
v. Din Doyal Poramaniek	2 W. R., Act X, 16	
v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	353.	1000, 100
Ram Chunder Geer Gossain. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 40	4102
Ram Chunder Ghosaul v. Joggutmonmohiney Dabee	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 283: 3 C. L. R., 336,	3234, 3235 3439
Ram Chunder Ghuttuck. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 18	971
Ram Chunder Goopta v. Bhagessur Surma	W. R., 1864, 357	4905
Ram Chunder Gossain v. Mutty Lall Bagchee	11 W. R., 19	1198
Ram Chunder Haldar v. Govind Chunder Sen	1 C. L. R., 542	4816 4008
Ram Chunder Lalla. In re	17 W. R., 230	4739
Train Ondings Thus. Thus	1 0. 11. 11., 10.2	1 2,00

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
am Chunder Misser v. Kally Prosonno Singh .	. 2 Hay, 625	3918
am Chunder Mitter v. Kistoo Kaminee Dossee .	. 10 W. R., 194	4216
v. Ramzanee Bebee	. 2 W. R., Act X, 4	5206
am Chunder Mundle. In the matter of	9 W. R., Cr., 19	4533
am Chunder Paul v. Omora Churn Deb	. 16 W. R., 155	4034
nam Changer 1 and 0. Othors Charm 1900	. 18 W. R., 26	879
am Chunder Poddar v. Haridas Sen	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 463	2197, 504
am Chunder Roy v. Ram Churn Bukshee		1896
	9 W. R., 372	3157, 333
v. Umbica Dossea	7 W. R., 161 22 W. R., 200	5194
am Chunder Roy Chowdhry v. Bholanath Lushkur		1844
am Chunder Sao v. Bunseedhur Naik	. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 741	
am Chunder Sen v. Doorga Nath Roy	. 2 C. L. R., 152	1197, 147
9		1869.
am Chunder Shaha v. Collector of Mymensingh .	. 22 W. R., 48	5106, 510
v. Manick Chunder Banikya	5 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 428: 9 C.	1132, 120
	L. R., 157.	4332
v. Manick Chunder Manikya	[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 428: 9 C. L.	4400
s of taumon officially a	₹ R., 157.	,
am Chunder Shaw v. Empress	5 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 575 : 8 C.	500
an Changer Chan of Empress	l I. R., ?50.	000
am Chunder Singh v. Joher Jumma Khan .	6 14 B. L. R., Ap., 7: 23 W. R.,	2038
am Obulact Singh o. o oner o anima izhan	2 376.	2000
p. Madho Kumari	5 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 484 : L. R.,	3423, 50
p. madno Kumari	12 I. A., 188.	3425, 50
am Chunder Sircar v. Prangobind Boishnub .	. 25 W. R., 71	2090
am Chunder Surmah v. Ram Chunder Pal .	. 23 W. R., 226	3819
am Chunder Surmah Chowdhry v. Dagoo Khan	. 10 W. R., 339	4912
am Chunder Surmah Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Chunder		
Singh.	{ 7 W. R., 307	5339
am Chung v. Gora Chand Chung	. 24 W. R., 344	3085
am Churn Banerjee v. Kisto Doogar	. 3 W. R., Act X, 132	1643
v. Muddun Mohun Tewaree	. Marsh., 269: 2 Hay, 198	5257
v. Torita Churn Paul .	10 777 17 040	4928
am Churn Bundopadhya v. Dropo Moyee Dossee	. 17 W. R., 122	5327, 533
am Churn Bysack v. Lucas	1 10 W D 000	2
am Churn Dey v. Ina Sheik	. 24 W. R., 463	3174
v. Jannu		960
am Churn Lall v. Hatee Mahtoon	. 17 W. R., Cr., 6	4210
v. Koondun Koomaree	. 13 W. R., 247	
v. Rughoobeer Singh	. 14 B. L. R., 423, note .	843
am Churn Mahtoon v. Surubjit Mahtoon .	11 W. R., 481	843
am Churn Pattuck v. Khoor Pandey	9 W. R., 494	157
am Churn Pooree v. Nunhoo Mundul	· 10 W. R., 176	4476
	· 14 W. R., 147	2254
am Churn Raba Bakshee v. Mungal Sircar	· 16 W. R., 232	1452
am Churn Singh Khettree v. Meadhun Durjee .	. 8 W. R., 90	4926
am Churn Tewaree v. Jussoda Koonwer	• 2 Agra, 134	2428
am Churun v. Gunga Pershad	2 N. W., 228	2917
am Comul Chuckerbutty v. Nund Ram Koolal .	10 W. R., 262	6105
am Comul Mistry v. Gopeekisto Gossamee	. 1 Hay, 563	5311
amcomye Mitter. In re	Bourke, O. C., 149	2626
am Connoy Audicarry v. Johur Lall Dutt .	1 I. L. R., 5 Cale., 867: 7 C. L. ?	2498
	R., 294.	
am Coomar Bhuttacharjee v. Ram Coomar Sein	. 7 W. R., 132	1075
am Coomar Chowdhry v. Brojessuree Chowdhrain	. 6 W. R., Mis., 14	3512
am Coomar Chunder Roy v. Faqueeroonissa Begum	. W. R., 1864, Mis., 33	180

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Coomar Coondoo v. Chunderkanto Mookerjee	;	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 233 : L. R.,)	704, 121
Ram Coomar Dey. In the matter of the petition of	•	4 I. A., 23.	
Ram Coomer Dhara a Bhoumh Charles .		I. L. R., 9 Cale., 626	5442
		6 W. R., Act X, 33	1645
	•	15 W. R., 31 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 340	498
Ram Coomar Ghosal v. Debce Pershad Chatterjee	:	6 W. R., Act X, 87	6182 4151
Ram Coomar Ghose v. Gobind Nath Sandyal		7 W. R., 480	5618
	٠.	12 W. R., 391	416, 562
v. Kali Krishna Tagore .	3	L. R., 13 I. A., 116: I. L. R., 14 Cale., 99.	1661, 380
v. Prosunno Coomar Sannyal	٠. ۲	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 106 .	1207
	Ċ	11 B. L. R., 46: 18 W. R.,	1407
Ram Coomar Koondoo v. McQueen	3	166 : L. R., I. A., Sup. Vol., {	6134
Para Constant	(. 40.	
Ram Coomar Kur v. Jakur Ali	í	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 716: 10 C. }	3217
Ram Coomar Mitter v. Ichamoyi Dasi	ò	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 36: 6 C. L.	
Jam Conmar Mockeying D. 1 1 21	1	R., 429.	2199
am Coomar Mookerjee v. Raghub Mundul v. Ragoonath Mundul		2 W. R., Act X, 2	1608
am Cooper Paul . T	:	1 W. R., 356	589, 1618
am Coomar Paul v. Jogender Nath Paul	3	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 56:2 C. L.	2239
am Coomar Roy v. Bejoy Gobind Bural .		7 W. R., 535	4164
am Coomar Sein v. Prosonno Coomar Sein		5 W. R., 80	4439
		W. R., 1864, 375	3388
a Pan Alam III		7 C. L. R., 223 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 388	4845
am Coomar Shana v. Dwarkanath Hagan		5 W. R., 207	875, 5700 3143
		21 W. R. 395	364
an Coomar Shame v. Gunga Pershad Sein		14 W. R., 109, note ."	4477
		17 W. R., 362	5588
andan v. Beharee Lall .		10 W. R., 279	464, 5778
umdas v. Birjnundun Das alias Laloo Baboo	:	1 N. W., 114: Ed. 1873, 200 . L. L. R., 9 Calc., 616: 12 C.)	2291
un Des Paii C 1	1	L. R., 284.	3215
un Das Brij Govandas. In re the petition of am Das Maghi. In the matter of	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 107	670
amdas Saha v. Man Mahini Dasi		I. L. R., 13 Calc., 110	2779
inuas pakuariai v. Generalban Dambaa at r	.	7 B. L. R., Ap., 4	5756
	٠í	2 Bom., 186 : 2nd Ed., 178	4904
imulas Sen v. Collector of Manual 11	٠	W. R., 1864, 371	3191
		2 B. L. R., S. N., 6	4403
		16 W. R., 297 2	701, 2712
	٠,	19 W. R., Cr., 27 2 Agra, Rev., 9	$\frac{396}{2974}$
		W. R., 1864, 275	1993
m Dayar v. Mantap Smoh	:	I. L. R., 7 All., 506	6185
v. megn Lan	.	I. L. R., 6 All., 452	1905
m Dayal Singh v. Latchmi Narayan	5	6 B. L. R., Ap. 25 : 14 W. R.,	1615
mdehnl Lall a Mittani t co .	1	388.	
an Deenii v. Chukhoo		17 W. R., 420	1171
undee Loonwaree v Shib D1 C 1		1 N. W., 208 : Ed. 1873, 291 . 7 W. R., 334	5265
	٠.	7 W. R., 334 14 W. R., 232	1816
un Deye Kower v. Bishen Dyal Singh		8 W. R., 339	540 1816
NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	٠. [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1010

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Dhan Biswas v. Kefal Biswas	1 B. L. R., S. N., 10: 10 W.	5663
Ramdhan Chuckerbutty v. Jainarayan Panja	W. R., 536.	5130
v. Komaltara , ,	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99, note: 11 } W. R., 301.	4477
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 337 : 9 C. L.	5468
Ramdhan Khan v. Haradhan Paramanick	9 B. L. R., 107, note: 12 W. R., 404.	1591, 5170
Ramdhan Mandal v. Rajballab Paramanik	6 B. L. R., Ap., 10	5199 6231
v. Rameswar Bhattacharjee .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 235 : 11 W. }	3456
	4 B. L. R., A. C., 20: 12 W.	440
Ramdhan Rakhit v. Panchanan Chuckerbutty .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 9: 10 W. R.,	827
Ramdhone Acharjee. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., Cr., 17	715
Ramdhone Bhuttacharjce v. Ishanee Dabee . Ram Dhone Shaw v. Nobumony Dossee	2 W. R., 123	4154
Ramdhul Singh v. Purmessuree Pershad Narain Singh	Bourke, O. C., 218	2828
Kamunun v. Bhowanee Singh	3 Agra. 139	3833 3128
Ramdhun Banerjee v. Ram Narain Mookerjee	11 W. R., 311 16 W. R., 96 21 W. R., 280	5766
Ram Dhun Bhuggut v. Guneshee Mahtoon	16 W. R., 96	3386
Ram Dhun Dey v. Ram Monee Dey	21 W. R., 280	157
Ramdhun Dhur v. Mohesh Chunder Chowdhry	1. L. R., 9 Calc., 406 : 11 C \	3952, 5408
Ram Dhun Doss v. Ram Rutton Dutt Ramdhun Ghose v. Anund Chunder Ghose	L. R., 565. 10 W. R., 425	80, 3114
v. Eshan Chunder Ghose	2 Hyde, 93	2473
Ramdhun Goor v. Gooroodossee Dossee	17 W. R., 34 13 W. R., 40	1847
Ramdhun Mundle. In the matter of	18 W. R., Cr., 39	3496
Mamuliun Roy v. Abdool Gunee	9 W. R., 390	4750
Ramdhun Satra v. Nobin Chunder Chowdry	12 W. R., 250	3498
	12 11. 11., 200	4174
Ramdhyan Koonwar v. Phoolbas Kooer		902, 2346 2445, 2515
	14 W. R., 340 }	3160, 4254
	(4261
Ram Din v. Kalka Prasad	I. L. R., 7 All., 502: L. R., }	_
Ram Dial v. Gulab Singh	12 1. A., 12.	3381
v. Mahtah Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 26	$\cdot 2870$
	I. L. R., 3 All., 701 I. L. R., 1 All., 181	5274
	1 Agra, 15	220, 3099
iam Doolal Sen v. Radha Nath Sen	23 W. R., 167	4471
S	16 W. R., 156	1822 1247
Ramdoolary Koeer v. Thacoor Roy	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 61 : 2 C. L. R., 547.	4795
Ram Doollub Sandyal v. Ram Naran Moitro	7 W. R., 455	
Main Doss v. Lallah Nundocoomar	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 189	3396 1881
v. Mohesur Deb Misree	7 W. R., 446	2255
Ram Doss Bhuttacharjee v. Ramjeebun Podday	6 W. R., Act X, 103	2927
Ramdoss Byragee v. Gunga Doss Ramdoss Koondoo v. Nilkanto Dhur	3 Agra, 295	2332
Mill Doss Lushkur a Hungahan Mashania	8 W. R., 6	3556, 5777
Perodona Na. 11. D. Litteenur Mookerjee	23 W. R., 86	5172
Ramdoss Nushkur v. Rash Monee Dossee , Ram Doss Sen v. Stephenson .	25 W. R., 189 10 W. R., 366	5075 4403

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
amdoyal Khan v. Ajoodhia Ram Khan	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 1:25 W. R., 425.	2739, 3196 4404
	8 B. L. R., 455: 17 W. R.,	3710, 3724
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 452: 12 C. } L. R., 47.	2177
am Dutt Misr. In the matter of the petition of	1 Agra, Gr., 29	4500
D. H. Ct. 1 TT. 11 17 . 1 Ct. 1	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 549 : 8 C. L. 7	1161, 3383
	R., 209	4504
am Dyal v. Chinta Monee	3 N. W., 14	5830
v. Jankey Dobey	3 Agra, 274	533
v. Mushtak Ahmad	. 6 N. W., 326	566
v. Ramdhun Dass	3 Agra, 43	5614 1825
tam Dyal Bajpie v. Heera Lall Paray tamdyal Banerjee v. Court of Wards	3 C. L. R., 386	4932
lamdyal Deb v. Magnee	12 W. R., 269 1 W. R., 227	2309
lam Dyal Dutt v. Ram Doolal Deb	. 11 W. R., 273 {	333, 4033
	10 11 0 000	4046, 4300
tamdyal Gangooly v. Huro Soonduree Dossia . tam Dyal Gooye v. Amrit Lall Khamaroo	10 W. R., 272	5748 84, 92
lam Dyal Gossain v. Kattyanee Debia	9 W. R., 555	3397
Ramdyal Koondoo v. Gooroo Dass Sen	. 18 W. R., 450	3341
Ramdyal Singh. In the matter of	. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 89	3604, 485
Ramendra Bhuttacharjee v. Collector of Rajshahyo	. 14 W. R., 113	2075 3763
Ramen Nayar v. Kandapuni Nayar	. I Mad., 445	3286
Rameshar Pershad Narayan Sing. In the matter of the petition of.		389
Rameshar Singh v. Bisheshar Singh	. I. L. R., 7 All., 734	14, 345
v. Kanhia Sahu	. I. L. R., 3 All., 653	3987
Rameshur Kulwar v. Beharee Seth	{ 3 N. W., 208: Agra, F. B., } Ed. 1874, 254.	5266, 5680
Rameshur Panda v. Soujharee Coomar	. 4 W. R., 32	3929
Rameshur Rai v. Subhoo Rai	. 1 N. W., S1: Ed. 1873, 134 .	4318 3343
Rameshwar Mandal v. Ramehand Roy	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 1033 7 W. R., 2	2928
Ramessur Bhuttacharjee v. Bhanoo	. 12 W. R., 272	2773
v. Shib Narain Chuckerbutty	. 14 W. R., 419	397, 623
Ramessur Dutt v. Lootfunnissa	6 W. R., Mis., 130	164 307
Ramessur Dyal Sing v. Rajkishore Singh	. 13 W. R., 325	5064, 573
		1878, 545
Ramessuri Dassee. In the matter of the petition of	R., 85.	1010,040
v. Doorgadas Chatterjee	[I. L. R., 6 Calc., 103: 7 C.]	1878, 545
Ramessur Mahatah v. Kullyanessuree Debia .	L. R., 85. 9 W. R., 283	166
Ramessur Misser v. Brojo Bhookuu Misser	. 25 W. R., 271	4577
Ramessur Mookerjee v. Ishan Chunder Mookerjee	. 10 W. R., 457	1388
Ramessur Nath Singh v. Golamee Sahoo	. 24 W. R., 309 · · ·	416 5591
v. Huro Lal Singh	6 W. R., 87 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 633 : L. R.,	4575
Ramessur Persad Narain Sing v. Koonj Behari Pattul	' { 6 I. A., 33.	1
Ramessur Pershad Narain Singh v. Doolee Chand	. 19 W. R., 422	3960 5103
Rameswar Nath Sing v. Haralal Sing Rameswar Nath Singh v. Mewar Jugjeet Singh	1 B. L. R., A. C., 170 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 341	4004
	. 1, 11, 11, 11, 11 Outo, Oza	440

	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
1	Ramey v. Broughton Ramfal Shaw v. Biswanath Mandal	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 652 5 l'. L. R., Ap., 28	3177 6230
	(1 B. L. R., S. N., 20: 10 W. ?	6241
	Ramgati v. Imitari Banu	R., 280.	186
	Ram Gholam v. Sheo Tahal Ram Gholam Sahoo v. Jankee Pershad Sahoo	I. L. R., 1 All., 266 25 W. R., 31	673
	Ram Gholam Singh. In the matter of the petition of .	6 W. R., Cr., 59	3862
	Ram Ghose v. Greedhur Ghose	14 W. R., 429	3158 3006
	Dom Chulem a Choter Lol	I. L R., 2 All., 46	4851
	v. Hazaru Koer	I. L. R., 7 All., 170	8831 833
	v. Hazaru Koer	I. L. R., 7 All., 547 I. I. R., 7 All., 124	1037
	Rangoding Jna v. Mungarram Chowdify	13 C. L. R., 83	5068
	Ramgobind Jugodeb v. Denobundhu Srichundun Moha-	9 C L. R., 281	242, 2031
	Ram Gobind Koond v. Hossein Ali	7 W. R., 90	2355 2978
	Ram Gobind Roy v. Dushoobhoojah Debee	18 W. R., 195	5350
	v	15 W. R., 141	5350, 5367
	Ram Gobind Singh v. Gheenoo Singh	20 W. R., 406	3878 1388, 1988
	v. Magistrate of Ghazeepore	4 N. W., 146 }	2842
	Ram Golam Dobey v. Ayma Begum	12 W. R., 177	4947
	Ram Golam Singh v. Heet Narain Sahoo	2 C. L. R., 292 25 W. R., 43	6103
	Ram Gooal v. Khiali Ram	I. L. R., 6 All., 448	828
	Ramgopal Barick v. Shibpershad Sircar	12 W. R., 483	6102 5596
	Ramgopal Chuckerbutty v. Chundernath Sein v. Poorno Chunder Banerice	10 W. R., 289 12 W. R., 475	4953
	Ram Gopal Ghose v. Bullodeb Bose	W. R., 1864, 385	4156
	Ramgopal Law v. Blaquiere	1 B. L. R., O. C., 35 2 W. R., P. C., 43 : 8 Moore's }	2819, 3813
	Ram Gopal Mookerjee v. Masseyk {	I. A., 239.	2069
	Ram Gopal Roy v. Chunder Coomar Mundul	2 W. R., 65	3122
	v. Gordon, Stuart, & Co {	14 Moore's I. A., 453: 17 W. R., 285.	641, 1755 1782, 4652
	v. Nnudo Gopal Roy	4 W. R., 42	3255
	v. Oma Soundry Dassee	2 Hay, 41	67 3075
	Ram Gopal Sein v. Allum Mullick	9 W. R., 136	5692
	Ramgopal Chatteriee v. Bhobunmohun Baneriee	Cor., 126	1197
	Ram Gopaul Singh v. Teluckdharee Sahie	W. R., F. B., 97	2228 1430
	Ram Gunga Doss v. Gobind Chunder Doss	16 W. R., 284	5279
	Ramgut Singh v. Ram Sarnn Singh	3 N. W., 141	2915
	Ram Guttee v. Ibrahim Ismailjee Seedat Ramguttee Acharjee v. Kristo Soonduree Debia	7 W. R., 353 20 W. R., 472	$\frac{1816}{2566}$
	Ramguttee Doss v. Gholam Ahmed Khondkar .	W. R., F. B., 84	5131
	Ram Guttee Mundul v. Thakoor Doss Mundul	22 W. R., 418	371
	Ram Gutty v. Goonomonee Dabee	11 W. R., 177	· 307, 4429 2329
	Ramgutty Gangooly v. Knralee Pershad Gangooly	17 W. R., 446	5678
	Ramgutty Kurmokar v. Boistub Churn Mozoomdar	7 W. R., 167	2193
	Ramgutty Nag Chowdhry v. Buroda Churn Bose Ramgutty Surma v. Kasi Chunder Surma	1 W. R., 120 W. R., 1864, 317	67 3710
	Ramhari Sarma v. Trihiram Sarma	7 B. L. R., 337 : 15 W. R., 412	2299

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest, .
Ramhit Rai v. Satgur Rai	. I. L. R., 3 All., 247	3208, 3216
Ramhurry Mondul v. Mothoor Mohun Mondul .	. 20 W. R., 450 {	4967, 4879 5140
Ramia v. Bhagi	, 1 Bom., 66	2315, 2526
Ramiengar alias Ramanuja Chariyar v. Gnanasam- banda Pandarasanuada.	} 5 Mad., 53	109
Rami Reddi. In the matter of the petition of .	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 48 . {	1296, 1301 1837, 5527
Ramireddy Narsareddy v. Mumareddy Papireddy	. 5 Mad., 404	171
Ramiadoo Gangooly v. Luckhee Narain Mundul .	8 W. R.; 488	1616, 1753
Ram Jadub Chatterjee v. Rash Monee Dossee .	. 8 W. R., 321	5734
Ramjadub Sircar v. Ameeroonissa Bibee	13 W. R., 27	890
Ramjai Mazumdar. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 67: 14 W. R., Cr., 65.	3623
	6 B. L. R., Ap., 91: 14 W.	##00°
Ramjan Beg v. Cook	R., 428.	5702
Ramjan Khan v. Raman Chamar	. 11 C. L. R., 480	739
Ramjas v. Baij Nath	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 89 I. L. R., 2 All., 567	1731, 5726 198
,		
Ramjay Dey v. Srinath Singh	{ 2 B. L. R., A. C., 170 : 11 W. } R., 24.	3330
Ram Jeawan v. Ram Lall	. 2 Agra, 190	448
Ramjeeawan Rai v. Deep Narain Rai	Agra, F. B., 78; Ed. 1874, 60	33, 1734
Ramjeebun Bose v. Tripoora Dossee	Marsh., 396: 2 Hay, 449: } W. R., F. B., 93.	515, 2691
Ramjeebun Chowdhry v. Peary Lall Mundul .	4 W. R., Act X, 30	5301
Ram Jeebun Chuckerbutty v. Khooderam Chatterjee	17 W. R., 338	2931 4208
Ramjeebun Doobey v. Luchmonee Dabea	7 W. R., 458 W. R., 1864, Cr., 5	4494, 5885
Ram Jeebun Doss v. Doyalce Dossee	11 W. R., 246	5117
Ramjeebun Koyee v. Shahazadee Begum	. 9 W. R., 336	5701, 5936
Ramjeebun Mookerjee v. Wooma Churn Hajrah	. 7 W. R., 117	1862
Ramjee Lall v. Anderson	7 W. R., 141 10 W. R., 303	1785 4913
Ram Jewun Lall v. Sham Lall Misser	20 W. R., 123	5439
Ram Jewun Singh v. Collector of Shahabad .	. 19 W. R., 127	65, 2877
v. Collector and Magistrate of Shaha-	} 15 W. R., 132	1985
bad	16 W. R., 109	2884, 6232
Ramji v. Dharma	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 683	1108, 3210
- v. Ghaman	I. L. R., 6 Bon., 498	2123
Ramji bin Tukaram v. Chinto Sakharam	· 1 Bom., 199	3442, 3974 4022
Ramji Madauji v. Rangayya Chetti	1 Mad., 168	1367, 4676
Ramji Manar v. Little	· 10 Bom., 57	3795
Ramjisdas v. Bhagwan Bax	· L. R., 5 I. A., 197	4228
Ramjiwan Mal v. Chand Mal	. 1. L. R., 7 All., 227	2852 2462
Ram Joshi v. Lakshmibhai	1 Bom., 189	4305
Ramjoy Goopto v. Jugodessuree	. 22 W. R., 399	5142
Ramjoy Kurmokar. In the matter of the petition of	. 25 W. R., Cr., 10	5150. 5882
Ramjoy Mojoomdar v. Kedar Narain Roy	25 W. R., 75	5661 4588
Ramjoy Mundul v. Kallymohun Roy Chowdhry .	Marsh., 282	535
Ramjoy Muzoomdar v. Russell	W. R., 1864, 370	1379
Ram Joy Sein v. Nundomoyee Dabea	. 10 W. R., 374	4904
Ramjoy Singh v. Nagur Gazee	. 5 W. R., Act X, 68	1183

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ramjoy Surmah v. Joynath Surmah	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 395: 12 C. L. R., 314.	5672 461
v. Mirza Ali	18 W. R., Cr., 10	296, 178
v. Prankishen Singh	2 W. R., 80	2228
Ramjoy Surmah Mojoomdar v. Puran Kishen Siugh Ramjuu v. Lachee	W. R., F. B., 124 1 Agra, 49	299 11
Ram Jus Rae v. Ram Narain	2 N. W., 382; Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 226.	. 5242
Ramjuthun Singh v. Mehdee	3 N. W., 282: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 258.	1658, 300
Ram Kanai Roy Chowdry v. Trilochan Chuckerbutty .	1 B. L. R., S. N., 13	3397
Ram Kanaye Chuckerbutty v. Prossuno Coomar Sein	13 W. R., 176	312
Ram Kanaye Doss v. Kishen Chunder Roy	Marsh., 22: 1 Hay, 55	3113 3827
Ram Kanaye Ghose v. Gooroo Prosunno Roy Ram Kanaye Shaha v. Bykuntuath Shaha	15 W. R., 89	5693
Ram Kannye Gossamee v. Meernomoyee Dossee .	2 W. R., 49	5212
Ram Kant Chowdhry v. Bindobun Chunder Gope	2 W. R., Act X, 71	4
v. Brindabun Chunder Doss.	16 W. R., 246	3910, 443
v. Brojo Mohun Mozoomdar	6 W. R., Act X, 20	556 2198
Ram Kant Chuckerbutty v. Chunder Narain Dutt v. Mohesh Chunder Singh	2 C. L. R., 474	1640
Ramkauth Chowdhry v. Bhubun Mohun Biswas	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 25: W.)	2930, 47
v. Kalee Mohun Mookerjee	R., F. B., 183.	3255
Ram Kanth Roy Chowdhry v.	. 22 W. R., 310 · · · ·	4018, 577
Ram Kant Nandi v. Shib Nanda Rai	2 C. L. R., 166	4440
Ram Kant Pandey v. Guneshee Koonwur Ramkant Roy v. Rajkishore Deb	6 W. R., 47	5780 3918
Ramkant Sircar v Jadub Chunder Dass	24 W. R., 94	967
Ram Kanye Dutt v. Haroo Chunder Mojoomdar .	17 W. R., 229	6223
Ram Keran Deo v. Fuhima Bebee	7 W. R., 37	2945
Ram Khelawan Rai v. Shiva Dass	2 Agra, 76	3706
Ram Khelawun Singh v. Oudh Kooer	21 W. R., 101	1406, 603
Ram Khilowan Ram v. Fox	7 W. R., 152	2994
Ram Kinkur Sein. In the matter of	7 N. W., 239	5304 4445
	I. L. R., 6 All., 269 : L. R., 11)	
Ram Kirpal v. Rup Kuari	L. R., I. A., 37.	1694
Ram Kirpal Shukul v. Rup Kuat	I. L. R., 8 All., 633	274
Ram Kishan v. Bhawani Das	I. L. R., 1 All., 333	3294
Ram Kisheu v. Doolee Chand v. Sedhu	22 W. R., 547 I. L. R., 2 All., 275	3546 789
Ramkishen Doss v. Hurkhoo Singh	7 W. R., 329	5977
Ramkishen Sircar v. Deler Ali	W. R., 1864, Act X, 36	1605
Ramkishen Singh v. Cheet Banoo	W. R., 1864, 102	2503
Ram Kishore Acharjee Chowdhry v. Krishno Monee Debia.	23 WR., 106	3014
Chowdhrain. v, Lukhee Debce	W. R., 1864, 290	3156
Chowdhrain. Ramkishore Bhuttacharjee v. Biseshur Bhuttacharjee	1	5267
Ramkishore Chuckerbutty v. Kallykanto Chuckerbutty	Marsh., 231. I. L. R., 6 Calc., 479: 8 C. L.	1458, 252
Ramkishore Gangopadhya v. Bandikaratan Tewari	R., 1.	
Chowdhry.	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 203	3422
Ram Kishore Lall v. Nand Ram Ramkishore Mundle v. Moorad Mundle	W. R., 1864, Act X, 67	1820 6224

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Kishore Mundul v. Chand Mundul Ram Kishore Narain Singh v. Anund Misser Ram Kishore Sein. In the matter of the petition of Ram Kishore Singh v. Ramsurbo Chatterjee	5 W. R., Act X, 84	1614 51 20 4132 3466
Ramkissen Doss v. Luckeynarain Ram Kissen Singh v. Kundun Lall v. Sheonundun Sing Ramkisore Achurjee Chowdhry v. Arip Khau Ramkisto Biswas. In the matter of Ramkisto Paul Chowdhry v. Hurry Dass Kooudoo Ramkisto Paha v. Nemy Churn Cholhai Ram Koomar Kyburto Dass v. Sonatun Dass Pora-	W. R., 1864, 177 23 W. R., 412 21 W. R., Cr., 6 16 W. R., Cr., 52 Marsh., 219: 1 Hay, 569 W. R., 1864, 110	· 4013 2446 4731 1256 1725, 33 11 4980 5206, 5790
manick. Ram Koomar Singh v. Kishari	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 68: 11 C. } L. R., 318.	4764
Ramkrishna. In re Ramkrishnabhat v. Vithaba bin Malharji	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 47	5849 2498 323, 449
Ramkrishna Das Surrowji v. Surfunnissa Begum Ramkrishna Gopal v. Vithu Shivaji Ram Krishna Kine v. Norshiva Shanbog	{ I. L. R., 6 Calc., 129 : L. R., } 7 I. A., 157.	4189 5788, 5818 2968
Ram Krishna Mahadev v. Bayaji Santaji Ramkrishna Roy v. Madan Gopal Roy	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 478, note 5 Bom., A. C., 35 6 B. L. R., Ap., 103: 12 W. 3 R., 194	633, 3309 3334
Rama Kristna v. Ranga	R., 194.	2848
Ram Kristo Dass v. Harain	{ I. L. R., 9 Cale., 517: 12 C.}	2977
Ram Kristo Deb v. Rajchınder Surmalı Ram Kristo Maujhee v. Ameeroonissa Bibee Ramkristo Roy v. Naik Tara Dass Ram Kristo Sirear v. Kaloo Ramkullee Koer v. Court of Wards Ramkumar. In the mather of	11 W. R., 246 7 W. R., 314 12 C. L. R., 449 18 W. R., 284 18 W. R., 474 10 C. L. R., 521	4520 8963 5952 2845 1491 1151, 4447
Ramkumar Mandal v. Brajahari Mridha	1 2 B. L. R., A. C., 75: 10 W.	4788
Ramkuvarbai v. Damodhar Narbheram Ram Lakhan Rai v. Bakhtaur' Rai v. Bandan Rai Ram Lakhi v. Durga Charan Sen Ramhd v. Harrison v. Luncaster v. Luncaster v. Radhey Lal	R., 410. 6 Bonn, A. C., 146 1 L. R., 6 All., 623 1 L. R., 6 All., 623 1 L. R., 2 All., 711 1 L. R., 11 Calc., 680 1 L. L. R., 2 All., 832 3 N. W., 114 5 B. L. R., 677, note L. L. R., 7 All., 330	5133, 5792 851, 1704 6132 3391 1814, 3144 5613 2854 1936 5089, 5237
v. Tula Ram	· I. L. R., 4 All., 97 . ¿	5258
Ram Lal Chowdhry v. Surdaree Jah Ram Lall. In the matter of the petition of v. Chummon Ghuttuck v. Dalganjan	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 875 24 W. R., 271	758 4748 1584, 4925 5253
v. Koondun Lall v. Rung Lall	I. L. R., 5 All., 369 3 Agra, 97	5614 5127
Ram Lall Agarwallalı v. Moonia Bibee Rum Lall Ginckerbutty v. Tara Soondari Burmonya Rum Lall Ghose v. Lalla Pecumlall Doss Ram Lall Jha v. Issur Chunder Dey Ram Lall Kurfurma v. Ram Tarun Koondoo	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 79 8 W. R., 280 Marsh., 403: 2 Hay, 526 10 W. R., 454	460 1745 1605- 297 4245
Eam Lall Misser v. Chundrabullee Dabee .	. 16 W. R., 254 13 W. R., 228°	8005

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Lall Moitra v. Bama Sundari Dabia	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 307 .	. 1894
Ram Lall Mookerjee v. Chittro Coomaree	. 15 W. R., 277	2826
v. Jodu Nath Chatterjee	9 C. L. R., 337	5303
v. Komola Kaminee Debee		* 5150
	· 24 W. R., 92	2986
Ram Lall Shaw v. Joggendro Naraiu Roy	· 18 W. R., 328	5986
Ram Lall Singh v. Janki Mahatoon	• 4 C. L. R., 14	1570
v. Lill Dhary Muhton	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 776 .	
v. Thakoor Dyal	9 W. R., 576	4849
	{ 3 B. L. R., O. C., 130: 1	401, 221
Ramlal Mookerjee v. Haran Chandra Dhar .	W. R., O. C., 9.	
		4682
v. Secretary of State for India .	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 304: 10 C	2569
· -	L. R., 349 : L. R., S I. A., 46	5. 4.1
v. Tara Soonduree Debia	· W. R., 1864, 3	248, 242
Ramlal Sett v. Kanai Lal Sett	· I. L. R., 12 Calc., 663 .	2272, 256
Ram Lal Sircar v. Gopal Doss	· 7 W. R., 154	2577
•	1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W	100
Rain Lal Tewari v. Supharam	{ 1 B. L. R., S. N., 26: 10 W R., Cr., 34.	2376, 426
Ramlal Thakursidas v. Lakmichand Muniram	· 1 Bom., Ap., 51	4325, 580
Ram Lapit Ram v. Chooaram	4 C. L. R., 97	1867; 190
Ramlinga Khanapuri v. Virupakshi Khanapuri	. I. L. R., 7 Bom., 538 .	2472
Ram Lochan v. Munsoor Ali Chowdhry	· 11 W. R., 339	3823
	1 B. L. R., A. C., 65: 10 W	
Ramlochan Das v. Mansur Ali	R., 96.	1467
Ram Lochan Sircar v. Kamini Debi	F D T D 400	1667
Ram Lochun Bubra v. Madhub Chunder Bubra	5 B. L. R., 460, note	5798
	· 3 W. R., 118	4175
Ram Lochun Chowdhry v. Joy Doorga Dossia .	. 11 W. R., 283	4179
Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty v. Ram Soonder Chucker	20 W. R., 104	6006
butty.	,	7107 700
Ram Lochun Dutt v. Petumber Paul	W. R., 1864, Act X, 111	1187, 163
Ramlochun Lall v. Goorpershad	• 5 N. W., 172	. 4874
Ram Lochun Pattuck v. Rughoobur Dyal	· 15 W. R., 111	2456
Ram Lochun Patuk v. Kunhya Lat	· 6 W. R., 84	. 4016
Ram Lochun Paul v. Brojo Mohinee	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 118	4149
Ram Lochun Shaha v. Unno Poorna Dossee .	· 7 W. R., 144	. 1813, 5380
Ram Lochun Sircar v. Denonath Paul	. 2 W. R., 279	4206, 5104
v. Hogg	· 10 W. R., 430	4715
D.::41 D (th1)	. 2 W. R., Mis., 37 .	4526
v. Ram Narain	. 1 C. L. R., 296	6134, 6137
Ramlochun Soor v. Nittya Kallee Debia	. 12 W. R., 211	385, 5588
Ram Loll Mookerjee v. Debender Nath Chatterjee	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 8	5909
Ramloll Thackoorseydass v. Soorjunmull Dhoondmull	4 Moore's I. A., 339	1067, 1579
Ram Maniek Roy v. Asgur		4562
Ram Manick Shah v. Brindabun Chunder Potdar	· 11 W. R., 112	1256
	• 5 W. R., 230	3822
Ram Manickya Dey v. Juggunnath Gope	. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 563 .	
Ram Mohan Das v. Lakhi Narayan Roy	4 B. L. R., A. C., 207	. 2888
Ram Mohinee Debia v. Jabed Sircar	. 6 W. R., Act X, 82	. 882
Ram Mohinee Dossee v. Pran Koomaree	3 W. R., 88	1708
Ram Mohun Doss v. Jhupproo Doss	. 14 W. R., 41	4194, 4480
Ram Mohun Mookerjee v. Nursing Deb	W. R., F. B., 54:1 Ind. Jur O. S., 63:1 Hay, 379.	4406, 6246
Ram Monee v. Aleemoodeen	20 W. R., 374	4192
Rammonee Chuckerbutty v. Alla Buksh		1635
	4 W. R., Act X, 46	
Rammoney Dossee v. Gobind Chunder Lahoory .	[I. L. R., 1 Calc., 27: 24 W	2295
Ram Money Dossia v: Pearce Mohun Mozoomdar	R., 234.	5674, 5737
man money Dossia v: Fearce Monun Mozoomdar	. 6 W. R., 325	. 1 00/4, 0/3

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Monohur Singh v. Kooldeep Narain Singh .	11 W. R., 514	9,170
Ram Mundur v. Jankipershad		2478
Pom Munaul Chara a Talahanania Chaha	12 C. L. R., 139	1424
Ram Mungul Ghose v. Lukheenarain Shaha	1 W. R., 71	5176
Ramnad Zamindar v. Dorasami	1. L. R., 7 Mad., 341	3376
Ram Naffer Khara v. Degumber Chatterjee	W. R., 1864, 259	6108
Ram Nandan Rai v. Lal Dhar Rai	I. L. R., 3 All., 775	1480
Ram Narain v. Heera Lall	1 Agra, 226	2852
v. Huree Dass	1 Agra, 226 3 Agra, 81 2 Hay, 79 2 C. L. R., 5	3204
Ramnarain Bhuttacharjee v. Mohesh Chunder Roy	2 Hay, 79	241
Rammarain Chuckerbutty v. Poolin Behari Lall Singh .	2 C. L. R. 5	2945
Ram Narain Doss v. Mohesh Chunder Banerjee	2 C. L. R., 5 19 W. R., 202 12 W. R., 161 9 W. R., 498	1767
v. Tweedie	19 W P 161	3012
	0 W D 400	
Ram Narain Doss Biswas v. Sreemanth Poddar		4757
Ramnarain Kallia v. Gopal Doss Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 613	1835
v,	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 735	4530
v. Monee Bibee	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 613	1835
v	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 735	4530
Ram Narain Koer v. Mahabir Pershad Singh	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 208	97,4687
Ram Narain Lal v. Bhawani Prasad	I. L. R., 3 All., 443	2162
g Gambeer Singh	19 W. R., 108	1623
e. Gambeer Singh	23 W. R., 399	1451
Ram Narain Mitter v. Nobin Chander Moordafarash	10 W D 000	
	18 W. R., 208	570,5078
Ramuarain Mookerjee v. Mahtab Chunder	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 48	3816, 5270
Ramnarain Poddar v. Levy	2 Hyde, 183	426
Ramnarain Poramanick v. Dossee	1 W. R., 280	2081
Ram Narain Rai v. Ram Coomar Chunder Poddar .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 562	1738
Ram Narain Roy v. Nil Monee Adhikaree	23 W. R., 169	2741
v	24 W. R., 144	4229
Ram Narain Singh v. Goberdhun Lall Chowdhry .	21 W. R., 2	159
. Horonath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 86	1609
Whyper Harmath Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 92	5193
v. Horonath Roy v. Huronath Roy v. Mahtab Bibi		
o. Biantao Bibi	I. L. R., 2 All., 828 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 830: 13 C.)	5412
		2260
n Datam Circl		02.55
v. Pertum Singh	11 B. L. R., 397 : 20 W. R., 189	
v. Ramoon Paurey	23 W. R., 76	2242
v. Ram Runjun Chuckerbutty	I. L. R., 13 Cale., 245	5724
tam Naram Singh Deo v. Bistoo Thakoor	15 W. R., 299	4207
Ram Narayan Banerjee v. Jayakrishna Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 70	8
Ram Narayan Mookerjee v. Sarada Debi 🔹	6 B. L. R., Ap., 39	5689
	(1488, 5122
lam Nath v. Gowhur	2 N. W., 230 }	6015
Ramnath Chowdhry. In re petition of	A 777 D 311 15	
tannach Chowanty. In to pession of	6 W. R., Mis., 17	281
v. Digumber Roy	3 W. R., Mis., 30	3836
Ramnath Ghose v. Amrit Moyee Dossee	5 W. R., 168	4216
Ramuath Ram v. Deen Dyal Ram	W. R., 1864, 311	3919
Ram Nath Roy v. Saleem Ahmed Khan	3 N. W., 188	4160
Ram Nath Roy Chowdhry v. Arnee Kally Debia	W. R., 1864, 177	2422
n Bhachet Mahanattru	3 W. R., Act X, 140	4973
v. Bhagbut Mohapattur v. Hurri Chunder Roy		0.100
	5 W. R., 50	3286
Chowdhry.	24 W. R., 214	1057
Ramuath Sen Lushkur v. Rash Mohun Mookerjee		6118
v. Wise	25 W. R., 378	1161, 298
Rannath Singh v. Gondee Singh	10 W. R., 441	
v. Huro Lall Pandey	8 W. R., 188	2929
Ramnath Tolapattro v. Durga Sundari Debi .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 550	2341
• "	1	1

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Needhee Koondoo v. Rughoo Nath Narain Mullo Ram Needhy Boyde v. Neamut Ram Newaz Singh v. Kishuu Rai Ram Nidhee Koondoo v. Ajoodhya Ram Khan v. Golnek Chunder Moshanto Ramnidhee Manjie v. Parbutty Dassee Ramnidhy Koondoo v. Ojoodhyaram Khan Ram Nirunjun Singh v. Prayag Singh Ram Nufler Bhattacharjea v. Dhol Gobind Thakoor Ram Nursingh Chuckerbutty v. Dwarkanath Gangooly Ram Nursingh Mitter v. Tripoora Soonduree Dassia	(K., 306. 2 Hay, 487 (6 N. W., 137 (6 N. W., 137 (2 W. R., 123 (1 H. W., 280 (1 L. R., 362 (1 L. R., 362 (1 L. R., 362 (1 L. R., 362 (1 L. R., 123. (1 L. R., 362 (1 L. R	1409 5075 4467, 5267 1213, 2852 3873 2753, 4136 1628, 3016 1213, 2852 3873 990, 1101 334 3023 1733
Ram Onoogroho Singh v. Montorun	6 W. R., 223 1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77: Ed., \	5375
Ram Oomrao Singh v. Sutun Lall Ram Parshad Hazaree v. Soomathra Dabea Ram Paul Singh. In the matter of Rampersad v. Juggernauth	1873, 168. 5 W. R., Mis., 24 8 C. L. R., 14 6 C. L. R., 1	1488 264 2657 354
Rampersaud v. Callachund Doss	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 325; and on ?	2627
Rampersaud Roy v. Callachand Doss . Ram Pershad v. Buljeet Singh . v. Chaineram v. Chaineram v. Kishna v. Kishna v. Mahomed Hashim v. Mews Kooer v. Nazeer Hossein v. Nazeer Hossein v. Seven Pershad Rampershad Chowdhry v. Jokhoo Roy Rampershad Doss v. Sreehuree Doss . Ram Pershad Dutt v. Krishto Mohun Shaw Ram Pershad Misser v. Phoolputtee Rampershad Narain Tewaree v. Court of Wards Rampershad Ojha v. Bhurosa Koonwar Ram Pershad Sahoo v. Rumzauee Rampershad Sahoo v. Rumzauee	\[appeal, Id., 873. \] \[bourke, O. C., 423 \] 2 Agra, 252 2 Agra, 252 1 N. W., 11: Ed. 1873, 10 3 Agra, 146 2 Agra, 249 2 N. W., 12 1 Agra, Rev., 63 1 Agra, 71 1 L. L. R., 10 Calca, 1003 18 W. R., 297 7 W. R., 98 21 W. R., 152 9 W. R., 152 9 W. R., 538 2 Agra, 37 9 W. R., 501 (11 B. L. R., 76: 19 W. R.,) 5	431,5896 4557 2445 4021,4903 1636 4814 352,3882 1719 1416 2240 2740 4235 2458 242,6227 4518 4156
v. Neerbhoy Singh	24.	1143
Rampershad Sookul v. Rajunder Sahoy	. 9 W. R., 262	5792
Rampershad Tewaryv. Sheo Churn Doss	. 10 Moore's I. A., 490 . }	31, 2314 2351
Rampershad Vogut v. Ramtohul Singh Ram Pertab Singh v. Bholabutty Koonwar Ramphal Lal v. Jafir Ali Ramphal Rai v. Rambaran Rai	Marsh., 655 9. W. R., 586 7 N. W., 199 I.L. R., 5 All., 53 I. L. R., 6 All., 116 I. L. R., 7 All., 815 12 W. R., 326 1 N. W., 204: Ed. 1873, 297 14 W. R., 66 24 W. R., 97	529, 3080 2816, 5216 3330 1868 2196 4747 1455 4829, 4852 4016, 6232 1359, 2943 3276

Name of Case.	1	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
amphul Singh v. Deg Narain Singh	{	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 517: 10 C.)	2176, 2343
	(L. R., 489.	2317
ampiyari v. Mulchand		I. L. R., 7 All., 114	102
am Prasad v. Dirgpal	•	I. L. R., 3 All., 744	1778, 1788
v. Raghunandan Prasad	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 738	5891
v. Kai Kishen		I. L. R., 6 All., 36	2577
v. Sitla Prasad		I. L. R., 5 All., 484	1226
v. Sukh Dai		I. L. R., 2 All., 720 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 426 I. L. R., 4 All., 515	264
lamprasad Hazra. In the matter of the petition of		B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 426	1164, 2867
Ramprasad Rai v. Dina Kuar		I. L. R., 4 All., 515 . }	4086
			34
v. Radha Prasad Singh		I. L. R., 7 All., 402	1482
lamprasad Ram v. Raghunandan Ram		I. L. R., 3 All., 239	5760
Ramprosad Das v. Rajo Koer	•	5 C. L. R., 94	3434
	•	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 197	683, 4194
lamprotab Misser v. Abhilack Misser		3 C. L. R., 170	3562
tampurgass Singh v. Ameer Ali		3 Agra, Mis., 3	
Ramputty Koer v. Kalee Churn Singh	٠.	14 W. R., 94	1196, 1198
Rampyari Debi v. Dinanath Mookerjee	{	2 B. L. R., S. N., 13: 10 · W.	5742
	(R., 375.	0001 550
Ramrao Trimbak v. Yeshvantrao Madhavrao		I. L. R., 10 Bom., 327	2231, 559
Ram Rau v. Raja Rau	•	2 Mad., 114	50, 204
Ramrav Khanderav v. Govind Pandshet	•	6 Bom., A. C., 63	317,69
Ram Rewaz Koowar. In the matter of		7 C. L. R., 536	1969
Ram Rooch Chowdree v. Deokee Nundun	,	2 N. W., 169	1360, 522
Ramrooder Surma v. Prankishen Surma	-	2 Hay, 86	5267
Ram Roop Singh v. Sheo Golam Singh		25 W. R., 327	3824
Ram Rotton Mundul v. Netro Kally Dossee		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 339	1569, 304
Ram Rucha Doss v. Deorga Dutt Misser		13 W. R., 453	1481
Ramrndeegowda v. Dessai Sahib		17 W. R., 8 15 W. R., 291	
Ram Rukha Roy v. Gobind Doss Byragee		15 W. R., 291	1755, 379
Ramrunginee Dossee v. Gooroodoss Roy v.	.	17 W. R., Cr., 9	4485, 449
v · ·		18 W. R., Cr., 36	516
		22 W. R., 212	517
Ram Runjun Bhandari v. Madhub Ghose		25 W. R., Cr., 33	5510
Ramrunjun Chuckerbutty v. Anund Coomar Mookerj	ee .	15 W. R., 323	771
v. Banee Madhub Mookerje	ee .	21 W. R., 253	1249
v. Court of Wards		21 W. R., 192	1711
v. Jowhurujumah Khan		23 W. R., 129	842
v. Jowhurujumah Khan v. Ram Lall Mukhopadhya	١. ١	5 C. L. R., 62	3338
v. Ram Lall Mukhopadhya v. Ram Prosad Dass v.		13 C. L. R., 26	366
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.	5 C. L. R., 128	5890
Kamrutno Sircar v. Chunder Mookhee Debia .		2 W. R., Act X, 74	1609, 163
Ramrutton v. Oriental Inland Steam Navigation Con	m- 2	2 Hyde, 89	6275
pany.	- 5	•	1
Ramrutton Rae v. Furrookoonnissa Begum	- 1		4198
Ram Ruttun Audo v. Rum Chunder Pal	. 1	25 W. R., 113	4873
Ram Ruttun Banerjee v. Ameeroolmolk Bunware	ee ?	6 W. R., Mis., 95	3479, 35
Gobind.	5		1
Ram Ruttun Dass v. Gobind Dass			4284
Ram Ruttun Ghose v. Prosunno Nath Bhuttacharjee			325
Ram Ruttun Neogee. In re		6 W. R., Cr., 3	. 965
v. Phool Singh · · ·		W. R., 1864, 147	. 5288
Ramruttun Neogy v. Land Mortgage Bank of India		17 W. R., 193	. 3776
Ramruttun Rae v. Dwarka Doss		2 N. W., 193	. 3815
Ram Ruttun Saha v. Bawool Mundul		23 W. R., Cr., 4	. 1223
Ram Sabuk Bose v. Kaminee Koomaree Dossee .		14 B. L. R., 394	. 4660, 53

		1
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Ram Sabuk Bose v. Monmohinee Dossee	L. R., 2 I. A., 71: 23 W. R., 113.	4660, 532
Ram Sagur Dutt v. Nobogopaul Mookerjee	Bourke, O. C., 367 I. L. R., 8 All., 262	5879 2703
v. Gaya	. I. L. R., 7 All., 107	1485, 455
Ram Sahai Misser v. Bisraj Singh Ram Sahai Singh v. Dhunookdharce Singh .	. 1 Agra, Rev., 19	1675 996
v. Maniram	{ L. R., 5 Calc., 807 : 6 C. } L. R., 223.	3145, 596
v. Sheo Sahai Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 492: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 421: 6	3495, 350 3526
Ramsahaya Singa v. Muzhar Ali Ram Sahay Singh v. Rookhoo Singh	W. R., Mis., 98. 2 B. L. R., Ap., 40 15 W. R., 414	4308 1489
Ram Sahoy v. Balmokund	(L. R. 3 I. A., 259: 26 W.)	2215, 221 2762, 422
v. Seethoo	. 1 N. W., 28: Ed. 1873, 26 .	6096
v. Sheo Dyal	{ L. R., 3 I. A., 259 : 26 W. } R., 55.	2215, 221 2762, 422
Ram Sahoy Singh v. Koldeep Singh	. 15 W. R., 80	2852, 446
v. Mohabeer Pershad	. 25 W. R., 185	2164
Ram Sahye Bhukkut v. Lalla Laljee Sahye . Ram Saran Lal v. Amirta Kuar	L. R., 457.	2337, 239
Ramsaran Mitter v. Rakhal Das Dutt	I. L. R., 3 All., 369 6 B. L. R., 244, note: 11 W.)	6159
namsaran Mitter v. Kaknai Das Dutt	R., 412.	2846,
Ram Saran Sing v. Bhajan Dobay Karpardaz	6 B. L. R., Ap., 155: 11 W. R., 515.	1626
Ram Sarun Singh v. Pran Pearce Ram Sarun Sahoo v. Veryag Mahton	. 1 W. R., 156	1680 305, 51
Ram Sarup v. Belá	I. L. R., 6 All., 313: L. R., I. A., 44.	1097, 20
v. Rukmin Kuar	. I. L. R., 7 All., 884	1443
Ramsay v. Anderson Ramsebak Misser. In the matter of	7 C. L. R., 336	391 2675, 55
In the matter of the petition of	. 6 B. L. R., 119	2665
Ramsebuk v. Ramlall Koondoo	6 B. L. R., 310 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 815 : 8 C.	2660
Ram Sevak Das v. Raghubar Rai	11 L. R., 457. L. L. R., 3 All., 72	3226, 427 3401
Ramsewak Chowdhuree v. Nackchedee Singh .	3 Agra, 357 : Agra, F. B., Ed. }	2885
Ram Sewak Singh v. Nakched Singh	{ I. L. R., 4 All., 261 . {	3871, 487 4998
Ram Shahai Chowdhry v. Sauker Bahadur .	6 B. L. R., Ap., 65: 15 W. B. Cr., 7.	6257
Ramshet Bachashet v. Pandharinath	. 8 Bom., A. C., 236 . {	3442, 397
Ramshet bin Bachashet v. Balkrishna bin Ababhat	. 6 Bom., A. C., 161	4026 5727
Ramshewuk Roy v. Sheo Gobind Sahoo	. 8 W. R., 519	2509, 25
Ram Shewuk Sahoo v. rutto Koy Ram Shodoy Ghose v. Juttadharee Haldar	. 12 W. R., 184	5689 2843
Ram Shunker v. Lalee Baee	2 Agra, 268	3832
v. Sheo Pershad	. 5 N. W., 7	2919
Ram Shurn Shaha v. Bhote Kinkur	. 14 W. R., 268	36
Ram Singh Mohapattur v. Bhottro Manjee Sonthal	. 24 W. R.; 298	3272
Ram Sookh v. Tara Singh	3 Agra, 40	5024

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Ram Soondaree Debee. In the matter of	1 C. L. R., 86	4503
Ramsoondaree Debia Chowdhrain v. Ram Pershad Sadhoo	8 W. R., 288	1733
Ram Soonder Bandopadhya. In the matter of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 21	494
Ram Soonder Doss v. Mahomed Abbed	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 102	5775
Ram Soonder Roy v. Ram Sahye Bhugut	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 919	2337
Ram Soonder Sandyal v. Gopessur Mostofee	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 716: 2 C. L.) R., 220.	3513
Ram Soonder Sircar v. Kistobag Bag	Marsh., 322 : 2 Hay, 421 .	. 5763
Ram Soondar v. Ram Cauto	11 W. R., 8	3496
Ram Soondur Banerjee v. Doorga Churn Barui	19 W. R., 128	494
v. Kalee Pershad Hajrah	19 W. R., 267	5775
Ram Soondur Chuckerbutty v. Kalee Komul Dutt	6 W. R., Act X, 92	5589
v. Ramessur Acharjee	8 W. R., 454	4205
Ram Soondar Dey v. Shoshi Mohun Pal Chowdhry .	11 C. R., 389	2658, 5440
Ram Soonduree Dassia v. Surut Soonduree Debia	17 W. R., 33	5586
Ram Soondur Mundul v. Akima Bibee	8 W. R., 366	1810
Ram Scondur Poddar. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 515	1258, 5163
Ram Soondur Sein v. Krishno Chunder Goopto .	17 W. R., 380	2752, 4892 4867
Ram Soondur Shaha v. Delanney	20 W. R., 103	2144
Ram Soondur Singh v. Surbanee Dossee Ram Soondur Thakoor v. Taruck Chunder Turkoruttun	22 W. R., 121	1458
	I. L. R., 2 All., 622	3186
Ram Subhag Das v. Gobind Prasad	15 W. R., 547	3530
Ram Sukh Bhunjo v. Brohmoyi Dasi	6 C. L. R., 470	3291
Ramsundar v. Nirotam	I. L. R., 6 All., 477	3610
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 382 : 5 }	
Ram Sundar Poramanick v. Prasanna Kumar Bose {	W. R., Act X, 22.	2890 765, 5118
Ram Sundar Singh v. Ram Bandhan Singh	7 N. W., 126 I. L. R., 12 Cale, 558	490
	14 B. L. R., 371, note: 10 }	1734
, ,	W.R., 215.	
Ram Sunker Bhadoory. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 440	3452
Ram Sunker Sanaputty v. Gopaul Kishen Deo	1 W. R., 68	3129, 3180 560
tam Sunker Senaputty v. Bir Chunder Manikya Ram Sunker Sein v. Nilkant Biswas	I. L. R., 4 Cale., 714	4918
Ram Surn Muhton v. Jinonauth Bhuggut	9 W. R., 392	5007
Ram Surohee Singh v. Kashee Roy	6 W. R., 176	1676
Ram Surun Dass v. Ram Chund	1 Agra, 283	4807,6153
Ram Surun Lall v. Lokebas Koger	18 W. R., 39	880, 5380
Ram Surun Singh v. Gooroo Dyal Singh	1 W. R., 83	228, 3334
v. Mahomed Ameer		3251, 3442
*		4287
v. Pran Pearee {	15 W. R., P. C., 14: 13 Moore's 1. A., 551.	1680
Ram Surun Sokool v. Sheerutun Koormee	2 Agra, Pt. II, 166	2291
Raintahal Ram v. Rameshar Ram.	I. L. R., 8 All., 140	761
Ram Tahul v. Madho	2 Agra, 111	5100
Ramtanu Acharji v. Komal Lochan Roy	3 B. L. R., Ap., 37	5064
Ramtarak Karati v. Dinanath Mandal	7 B. L. R., 184	321, 2856
Ram Tarrun Koondoo v. Hossein Buksh	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 785 : 2 C. L. R., 385.	4869
Ram Taruck Barrik v. Sidhessuree Dossee	13 W. R., 60	150
Ram Taruck Ghose v. Biressur Banerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 32	1653
Ram Taruck Ghossal v. Radha Bullub Sirear	15 W. R., 96	4284
		4792, 4820
Ramtonoo Surmah Sircar v. Gour Chunder Surmah Sircar	3 W. R., 64.	

	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Ramton	ı Acharjee v. Pearymohun Acharjee	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 551: 7 C. } L. R., 557.	5693
Ramtuh	ıl Lall v. Sheo Nath Singh		1 N. W., 24: Ed. 1873, 22.	1361
Ramtuh	ıl Singh v. Bisseswar Lal Sahoo	5	15 B. L. R., 208: 23 W. R., 305: L. R., 2 I. A., 131.	5492, 6150
	nl Thakoor v. Oodit Narain Singh		12 W. R., 36	6233
	nnoo v. Sreenath Doss		Marsh., 537: 2 Hay, 659 .	5262 5064
	noo Acharjee v. Radha Gobind		11 W. R., 407	5796
Ram Tu	noo Koloo v. Sharoda Pershad Mullick .		19 W. R., 91	4891
Ramų N	aikan v. Subbaraya Mudali		7 Mad., 229	3939
	d v. Bissessur Bhuttacharjee	. ••	2 W. R., Mis., 23 9 W. R., 441	194
	Sahoo v. Doolar Sahoo		16 W. R., 98	4258
	v. Nirunjun Koer	:	22 W. R., 420	371
Ramzan	Ali v. Anwar Ali	{	2 B. L. R., Ap., 19: 11 W.	536
		(R., 52.	49, 3616
	- v. Durpo Komilla	•	24 W. R., Cr., 58 }	6029
Danaha	- v. Janki Prasad	•	6 B. L. R., 119	2665 606, 5378
Rancho	ldas Dayaldas v. Ranchoddas Nanabhai ldas Krishnadas v. Bapu Narhar	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 581 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 439	1741
	ldas Nathubhai v. Jeychand Khusalchand		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 405 . {	1108, 3210
	od Jamnadas v. Lallu Haribhai	•		3221 2615
	lji v. Lallu		10 Bom., 95	290
Rancho	l Varajbhai v. Municipality of Dakor		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 142	619
Rane v.	Rane		3 Bom., A. C., 173	2365
	inge Coal Association v. Hem Lall	•	24 W. R., Cr., 17	4501 -
-	v. Suba Hedge	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 473 . §	1075, 109
		•	1	2967
	In the matter of		12 C. L. R., 500	5167 2822
	ayaka Ammal v. Venkatachellapati Nayud	n .	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 323	4341
Rangan	maui Dasi v. Kasinath Dutt	. {	3 B. L. R., O. C., 1: 13 W. ?	2373, 4320
Rangan	sary v. Shappani Asary		R., 76, note. 5 5 Mad., 375	813
Rangas	ımi Aiyyangar v. Narasimhulu Nayak	:	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 213	1301
Rangas	ami Mudelliar v. Sirangan		4 Mad., 254	762
Rangas	vami Ayyangar v. Kristna Ayyangar v. Vanjulatammal		1 Mad., 72	1678 2192
Rangas	wami Gounden v. Sabapathy Gounden	:	4 Mad., 162	978
	Das v. Bai Girja		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 559	242
Rangla	Sahu v. Siali Dhar Das	. {		3800
	a Naik v. Collector of Ratnagiri		R., 293. 8 Boin., A. C., 107	3298,559
Rango	Vinayak Dev v. Yamunabai		I. L. R., 3 Bom., 44	2425
Rango	Vithal v. Rikhivadas bin Rayachand		11 Bom., 174	4960
-	ai v. Bhagirthibai	• •	I. L. R., 2 Boin., 377	2119, 213 1448, 287
Ranjit	Singh v. Ilahi Baksh		I. L. R., 5 All., 520 . }	4076
	v. Jagannath Prosad Gupta .			4674
Pannal	v. Sheo Prasad Ram Husain v. Harbans Singh		I. L. R., 2 All., 487	293, 322 985
	v. Kandoji	: :	I. L. R., 3 All., 283 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 557	2418
	dass Bhogilal v. Kesrising Mohanlal.		1 Bom., 229	1348, 208

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Ranu valad Avaji Mali v. Ramabai kom Mahadu Mali .	6 Bora., A. C., 265	3958, 4252
Rao Bahadur Singh v. Jowahir Kuar	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 887 : L. R., 11 I. A., 75.	5104
Rao Baneeram v. Newaz Bibee	8 W. R., 511	5121
	10 B. L. R., Ap., 2: 18 W. ?	568
Raojee Gunesh v. Rao Jalee Pershad .	R., 412. 8 W. R., 91	770
Raoji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	11 Bom., 139	3546
Rao Kurun Singh v. Mahomed Fyaz Ali Khan {	10 B. L. R., 1: 14 Moore's I. (A., 176, 187.	2209, 2301 4155
v. Mehtab Koonwer	3 Agra, 150	1751 . 2155
Raol Gorain v. Teza Gorain	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 77 : Ed)	1488
Rao Oomrao Singh v. Sutun Lall	1873, 168.	
Rasaji bin Davlaji v. Sayana bin Sagdu Rash Bebarce Baboo. In the matter of the petition of	6 Bom., A. C., 7	2719 166
v. Gooroodoss Baboo	7 W. R., 115	167
Rash Beharee Lall v. Burmessur Nanth	10 W. R., 30	3397 375
Rash Beharee Roy v. Doorgabur Roy	11 W. R., 276	2792, 4470
v. Ezud Buksh	W. R., 1864, 223	2300, 238
Rash Beharee Singh v. Koonj Beharee Singh	W. R., 1864, Mis., 31 12 W. R., 76	5121 3556, 577
v. Saheb Roy Rash Behari Dass v. Gopinath Barapanda Mohapatu	11 C. L. R., 352	3249
Rash Behari Lal Sing v. Nabayi Poddar	3 B. L. R., A. C., 99: 11 W. R., 465.	326, 447
Rashbehari Mukherji v. Sakhi Sundari Dasi .	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 644	1190
Rash Behary Bundopadhya v. Peary Mohun Mooker- jee.	L. R., 116.	3014
Rash Behary Bysack v. Buddun Chunder Singh	12 C. L R., 550	3244 3809
Rashbehary Ghose v. Barroda Proshad Mookhopadhya . v. Ram Coomar Ghose	7 C. L. R., 380	1614, 304
Rash Rehary Lall v. Wajan	12 B. L. R., 208, note: 11 W.)	811, 193
Rash Behary Mookerjee v. Khettro Nath Roy	R., 516.	1637, 611
Rashbehary Mookhopadhya v. Surnomoyee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 403 : 9 C. L. ?	211
	R., 79. 24 W. L. R., 301	4476
Rash Dharce Singh v. Nuthoonec Singh	11 B. L. R., 138, note: 11 }	2721
Rashessur Surmah v. Kaleekanath Surmah	W. R., 455.	2100
Rashmohun Goshamy. In the matter of the petition of i	19 W. R., 3	5885
Rash Mohun Goswamy v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee .	9 W. R., 113	3015
Rashmonee Dassee v. Bhubo Nath Bhuttacharjee	12 W. R., 252	34 4150
Rashmonee Debea v. Hurronath Roy	2 Hay, 516	525
Rashmonee Debia v. Rajaram Sircar	15 W. R., 86	5670 1453
Rash Munjooree Chowdhrain v. Radha Soonduree Dossee Rashomonee Dossee v. Chowdhry Junnojoy Mullick	23 W. R., 283 9 W. R., 356	5830, 583
Rashum Beebee v. Bissonauth Sircar	6 W. R., Act X, 57	3018
Rasik Lal v. Gajraj Singh	I. L. R., 4 All., 414 7 W. R., P. C., 66	3354
Rasmonee Debea v. Pran Kishen Das Rasmonee Debia v. Mahomed Hafezzoollah	12 W. R., 29	5741
Ras Muni Dibiah v. Prankishen Das	4 Moore's I. A., 392	4000,40
Rassam v. Douzelle	23 W. R., 121	2982
Rassimonee Dossee v. Monshar Ally	1 Hay, 483	510

The same of the sa		
Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rasul Bibi v. Mobarik Ali		230
	11 W. R., 186.	
Ratanbai v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company .	7 Bom., O. C., 120, note 8 Bom., O. C., 130	1382
Ratan Chand Shri Chand v. Hanmantray Shiybakao .	6 Bom., A. C., 166	1382 1542
Ratan Dabee v. Modhoosoodun Mobapator	2 C. L. R., 328	2317
Ratanji Hormasji v. Edalji Hormasji	8 Bom., O. C., 181	2615, 4313
Ratan Krishen Poddar v. Raghoo Nath Shaha	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 287: 10 C.)	5707
Ratan Kuar v. Jiwan Singh	L. R., 275.	
_	I. L. R., 1 All., 194 4 B. L. R., O. C., 63: 15 W.	4187, 4860
Ratanmani Dasi v. Miller	R., O. C., 18, note.	2663
Ratan Rai v. Hanuman Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 118	4994
Ratan Shankar Revashankar v. Gulabshankar Lalshan-	4 Bom., A. C., 173	4052, 5670
kar.		5933
Ratansi Kalianji. In the matter of the petition of	10 Bom., 21 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 148	5670
Ratan Singh v. Wazir	I. L. R., 1 All., 165	1863 4916
Katansi Pancham v. Saunders	8 Bom., O. C., 159	5586
Rateeah v. Phokondee	5 W. R., Cr., 1	957
Ratıram v. Chiranji Lal	I. L. R., 3 All., 579	5423
Ratnam v. Govindarajulu	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 339	2379
Rau Narasinga. Ex parte	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 69 2 Mad., 164	2294
Rautan v. Ibrahim Rautan	2 Mad., 164 1 Mad., 441	684 2648
v. Kadaugot Shupan	1 Mad., 112	3763
Ravichand Dalichand v. Moti Lal Narbheram	4 Bom., A. C., 77	1494
Ravi Varma v. Koman Ravji bin Keshav. In re	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 223	800
Ravji bin Keshav. In re Ravji v. Sharangpani v. Gangadharbhat	8 Bom., Cr., 18	1952
Ravji Narayan v. Krishnaji Lakshman	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 29 11 Bom., 139	2378
Bavji Narayan Mandlik v. Dadaji Bapuji, Mamlatdar		5397
of Ratnagiri.	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 523	5502
Ravji Raghunath v. Mahadevrav Vishvanath	2 Bom., 237	5591
Ravji Ranchod Naik v. Vishnu Ranchod Naik Ravji Shivram Joshi v. Kaluram	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 241	4664
Ravlojirav bin Tamajirav v. Balvantray Venkatesh	12 Bom., 160 I. L. R., 5 Bom., 437	808 2097, 3363
Ravloji Tamaji v. Dholpa Raghu	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 123	230, 4952
Rav Šaheb V. N. Mandlik v. Kamaljabai Saheb Nim-)	10 Bou., 26	
balkar.		1098, 4511
Rawlius v. Daniel Rawut) Urjun Singh v. (Rawut) Ghunsiam Singh	2 Agra, 56	3811
Rayachand Amichand. Ex parte	5 Moore's I. A., 169	2230 4429, 4678
Rayacharlu v. Venkataramaniah	4 Mad., 60	2367
Rayadur Nallatambi Chetti v. Rayadur Makunda Chetti	3 Mad., 455	2460
layan Krishnamachariyar v. Kuppanayyangar	1 Mad., 180	2138, 2288
Rayappa Chetti v. Ali Sahib	2 Mad., 336	4946
Rayappan v. Virabhadra Raya Raghoba Kamat v. Anapurnabai kom Subalbhat .	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 530 10 Bom., 98	5285
Rayasangji Shivsangji v. Gulam Rasul	0 Dam 902	4855 181
Rayee Monee Dossee v. Puddum Mookhee Dossee	13 W. R., 66	2466
Rayekishoree Dossee v. Bonomallee Churn Mytee	10 W. K., 209	244
Raye Kissory Dassee v. Nilcant Dey	20 W. R., 370	2952
Raye Kumul Dossee v. Jhoroo Mollah	15 W. R., 543	6279
Raynor v. Mussoorie Bank	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 709, note I. L. R., 2 All., 55	186
y	I. L. R., 7 All., 681	6218 832, 5966

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Raynor v. Sungheer Singh	. 5 N. W., 211	1363, 5431
Razabai kom Rangoji v. Sadu bin Bhavani	8 Bom., A. C., 98	1459
Razeeooddeen v. Jhubboo Singh	W. R., 1864, 75	3963
v. Zeenut Bibee	8 W. R., 463	3723
Reade v. Krishna	. L. L. R., 9 Mad., 391	3745
Reardon. In the matter of the petition of	8 Mad., 85	3812
Reasut Ali v. Abbott	. 12 W. R , 132	2745.3667
Reasut Hossein v. Abdoollah	L. R., 1 I. A., 72	4635
neasue mossem v. Abdooman	I. L. R., 2 Cale., 131 : 26 W.	4000
	D 50. T. B 9 1 A	4764, 1849
·	R., 50: L. R., 3 1. A., 3 221.	5115, 5126
v. Chorwar Sing	[I. L. R., 7 Calc., 470: 9 C.]	1177
_	L. R., 260.	
v. Jusmunt Roy	. 15 W. R., 396	2715
Reaz Ali Khan v. Government of India	. 19 W. R., 267	3156
Reazooddeen v. Collector of Cuttack	. 10 W. R., 175	1249, 3207
Reazooddeen Mahomed v. McAlpine	. 22 W. R., 540	5637
Keazoonissa v. Bookoo Chowdhrain	. 12 W. R., 267	1753
v. Dad Ali	. 8 W. R., 326	1660
Reazoonuissa Khanum v. Doyanauth Jha	. Marsh, 638	5081
Rebeiro v. Rebeiro	. 3 W. R., 63	6200
Rednum Atchutaramayya v. Mahomed Amin Khan alias Dada Sahib.	} 5 Mad., 272	3777
Redoy Kissen Dutt v. Ram Coomar Sen	. 3 C. L. R., 231	5305
	. 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 20	4662
Reed. In the goods of	6 Moore's I. A., 490	4641
v. Sreekishen Singh	. 15 W. R., 430	5177
Reeduath Sahoo. In the matter of the petition of	} 19 W. R., 191	272
Reedoy Kisto Muzoomdar v. Puddo Lochun Muzoomda	r 1 W. R., 12	354, 369
Reedoy Nath Dooripa v. Puddo Lochun Chuckerbuty	. 22 W. R., 205	580
Reet Bhunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh	6 W. R., Mis., 31	5477
Reference by Board of Revenue	. I. L. R., 2 All., 654	5846
Reference by Board of Revenue	. I. L. R., 2 All., 664	5845
	. I. L. R., 3 All., 788	5862
• • • •	. I. L. K., 5 Mad., 15	5861
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 155	5858
, , ,	(I. L. R., 8 Calc., 254: 10 C.)	
- In the matter of	L. R., 33.	5847
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 92: 13 C.	
	\ L. R., 164.	5848
Reference by the Chief Presidency Magistrate. In the matter of.	6 C. L. R., 392	985
Reference by Sessions Judge of Surat Reference from District Judge under s. 49 of the Stamp	. I. L. R., 9 Bom., 352	5606
Act, 1879.	} I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 585
Reference under Stamp Act, 1879	. I. L. R., 5 All., 360	5863
	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 18	5848, 585
	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 394	5852
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 176	5853
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 203	5858
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 209	5856
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 349	5846
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 350	5844
	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 385	5845
	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 421	5856
	. L. L. R., 8 Mad., 11	5853

Name of Case.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
eference under Stamp Act, 1879 .				f. L. R., 8 Mad., 15	5844
nerenco under Educip Item 1010	•	•		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 87	5844
	•	•	:	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 104	5860
•	•	•			5861
•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 453	
	•	•	•	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 532	5845
	•	•	•	I L. R., 8 Mad., 564	305, 585
	•	•	•	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 138	5854
		•	•	1. L. R., 9 Mad., 140	5863
		•		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 146	5860
				I. L. R., 9 Mad., 358	5860
eg. v. Abdul Kadar				3 Bom., Cr., 45	1951
- v. Achariys				I. L. R., 1 Mad., 224	1338
- v. Adivigadu				I. L. R., 1 Mad., 171	2911
- v. Ahone Akong	•	•	•	9 Bom., 356	2911
- v. Ajam Dulla	•	•	•		708, 559
- v. Alibhai Mitha	•	•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 115	
	•		•	8 Bont., Cr., 103	1007
- v. Ali valad Faker Muhammad .		•	•	5 Bom , Cr., 9	713
— v. Amba kom Girsoji				4 Bom., Cr., 1	5162
- v. Amrita Govinda				10 Bom., 497	16, 101
	•	•	•	10 Bom., 497 {	1022, 557
— v. Amruta Nathu ,				7 Bom., Cr., 29	1313
- v. Annaji valad Govindram .			- 5	1 Bom., 93	4119
- v. Anta bin Dadoba	•	•	•	1 Bom., 101.	2582, 258
v. Anvarkhan valad Gulkhan	•	•	•		5554
- v. Arjun .	•	•	•	9 Bom., 172	
	•		•	1 Bom., 87	1961, 55
- v. Arjun Megha	•		•	11 Pom., 281	1289, 51
- v. Arunachellam	•			I. L. R., 1 Mad, 164	4376
- v. Atmaram Vaman Bhandarkar				3 Bom., Cr., 8	3630
— v. Auba bin Bhivrav				4 Bom., Cr., 6	1047
- v. Avji bin Naru				8 Bom., Cr., 22	1241
— v. Babaji bin Bhau			Ţ.	4 Bom., Cr., 16	1256, 510
— v. Babji valad Bapu .		•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 5	6190
- v. Bagu valad Oswari	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 34	965, 36
— v. Bahirji bin Krishnaji	•	•	•		
- v. Bai Ratan	•	•	•	1 Bom., 39	5561
- v. Lai Divale	•	•	•	10 Bom., 166	1010
- v. Lai Divaie	•		•	5 Bom., Cr., 48	966
- v. Bai Rupa				2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117 {	149, 58
•	•	•	•	3 Dom., 124: 210 Ed., 117	2229
— v. Balapa bin Dandapa .				I. L. R., 1 Bom., 639	1297
- v. Balvant V. Fendbarkar .				11 Bom., 137	1005, 616
- v. Bapu Parbat				7 Bom., Cr. 81	714
- v. Bapu Yadav		•	•	11 Bom., 172	1222
- v. Bassuji Gungaram	•	•	•		619
- v. Bechar Khushal .	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 2	
- v. Bechar Maya	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 43	5567
- v. Bhagtidas Bhagvandas	•		•	4 Bom., Cr., 38	2910
				5 Bom., Cr., 51	609, 435
— v. Bhagu bin Shabaji				5 Bom., Cr., 47	3618
- v. Bhaishankar Hariram				3 Bom., Cr., 18	262
— v. Bhaishankar Narbheram .				6 Bom., Cr., 75	1789
- v. Bhala Chula				1 Bom., 17	2581
	•	•	•		258, 472
v. Bhaskar Kharkar				3 Bom., Cr., 1	5602
- v. Bhau bin Vithu					
- v. Bhavanishankar	•		•	3 Bom., Cr., 53	4363
	•	•		11 Bom., 3	2008
- v. Bhavanishankar Haribhai			.	5 Bom., Cr., 55	709
 v. Bhikoba Vinoba v. Bhista bin Madanna 			.	4 Bom., Cr., 9	612
				I. L. R., 1 Bom., 308	5874

Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	tolumn of Digest.
Reg. v. Budhu Nanku			-		TTDIE	-
- v. Byha valad Suring	•	•			I. L. R., 1 Bom., 475	343
v. Cassidv	•	•	•		· Bom., 91	5543, 626
- a Chand Non	•	•	•		• 4 Bom., Cr., 17	453, 70
v. Changia valad Shumia	٠	•	•		. 11 Bom , 240	1153
v. Chanviova					7 Bem., Cr., 68	6190
v. Chenappa valad Nagappa					. 1 Bom., 4	
" Oli il Valad Nagappa					5 Bom., Cr., 44	5566
v. Chill			-		8 Bom., Cr., 92	5568
v, Chima			•			2105
	•				8 Bom., Cr., 164 .	15, 451
v. Chouthmal Lachiram .						1805
v. Connon	•	•	•		. 11 Bom., 144	1220
v. Dalpatram Pemabhai	•	•	•			1668
D 1	•	•	•		5 Bom., Cr., 105	4732
- v. Dalsukram Haribhai .						2785, 4110
v. Damo Dhar Dalji .		•	•	•	2 Bom., 407: 2nd Ed., 384	4360
v. Damodhar Ram Chandra					1 Bon., 181.	6189
v. Daya Anand					5 Bom., Cr., 68	
o. Daya Anand				-	111 Rom 44	1963, 5156
- v. Dayabhai Parjaram			•	i.	1 Down 140	1010
v. Dayal Jairaj		•	•	•	9 P C 20	735
v. Dayal Jairaj v. Dayalji Endarji		•	•		3 Bom., Cr., 20	2922, 3097
- v. Deva Dayal.	•	•	•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 21	1967
- v. Devama	•	•	•	•	11 Bom., 237	1011
					I. L. R., 1 Bom., 64 . {	984, 1221
v. Devsauvat bin Shivram Sanva			•	•		4751
	tt	•			3 Bom., Cr., 34	1155
- v. Dharmara l- 1 C	•				5 Rom Cv 50	4374
v. Dharmaya valad Sangapa v. Dhonda Ramchandra					8 Bom., Cr., 12	
- v. Dhori Kullan			_	-	5 Bom., Cr., 100 .	3624
				•		966
- v. Diaz				•	3 Rom Co. #1	4859
- v. Dip Chand Khushal		•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 51	1155
		•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 30	3612
- v. Dod Basaya	•	•	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 14	3607
- v. Donochne	•	•	•	•	11 Bon., 13	5549
v. Dorabji Balabhai. In the ma		:	•		5 Mad., 277	2104
- v. Dossa Sera	tter (ì			11 Bom., 117	251
- v. Durgaram Madhavram	•				1 Bom., 139	2907
	•				7 Bom., Cr., 55	1987
- v. Durwan Geer				(1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 253 : 5 W. ?	1991
•	•	•	•	{	R., Cr., 73.	4058
- v. Dwarka Nauth Dutt				- 2	9 Ind In M (1 all marris	
	•			- 31	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 67 : 7 W.	460
v. Elmstone				(R, Cr., 15.	200
		•		•	7 Bom., Cr., 89	4120
- v. Fattechand Vastachand.					(2)	3, 720, 964
· actachand					5 Bom., Cr., 85	155, 5164
− v. Gaji kom Ranu					(1)	165, 6267
- v. Ganga kom Mhasu					I. L. R., 1 Bom., 311	1318
- a Consultation					5 Bon., Cr , 13	3626
- v. Gangaram Malji			-	. 1	6 Rom On 19	
- v. Gania bin Bapu			ī.	. 1	2 Para C. 70	711
- v. Ganoji bin Pandji		-	•		3 Bom., Cr., 50	3626
- v. Ganu Bapu .		-	•		5 Bom., Cr., 49	1975
					2 Bom., 422 : 2nd Ed., 398	800, 4920
- v. Ganu bin Dharoji				1	2 Domi, 222 . 21d Ed., 595	5153
v. Gann him Kwale o				- 1	6 Bom., Cr., 57	23, 724
				. 1	4 Bom., Cr., 25	5150
v. Ganu Ladu				.	5 Bom., Cr., 38	
o Gand Ladu				1		5518
v. Ganu valad Ramchandra				1		5549, 5572
		-	-		4 Dom., Cr., 3	5162

Name of Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
7) 6 7 77 "					1 Bom., 92	1955
Reg. v. Gapau kom Kusaji .	•	•	•	.	9 Bon., 344	1007
- v. Garbad Bechar		•	•	1		4358
- v. Gazi kom Aba Dore .	•	•	•	•		6191
- v. Genu bin Aku	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 83	1259, 5601
— v. Girdhar Dharamdas .			•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 33	1989
- v. Goluck Doss				•	1 Hyde, 282 · · ·	5602
- v. Gopal Lakshuman			•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 25	1334
v. Govinda			•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 342	3604, 5602
v. Govinda bin Babaji .		•			5 Bom., Cr., 15	,714
v. Govindas Haridas					6 Bom., Cr., 76 · · ·	1020
v. Govind Babli Raul					11 Bom., 278	
- v. Gregory					1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 423	1950
- v. Gulabdas Kuberdas .					11 Bom., 99 · · ·	1317
- v. Gulam Abas					12 Bom., 147	5550
v. Guna bin Regnak					3 Bom., Cr., 29	3619
- v. Gurningapa					7 Bom., Cr., 58	960
- v. Hanmanta					I. L. R, 1 Bom., 610	5994
v. Hanmant Gavda					I. L. R., 1 Bom., 228	1220
- v. Hanmapa bin Malapa .					7 Bom., Cr., 37	5546
- v. Hargovandas		-			9 Bom., 448	735, 5945
- v. Hari bin Vithoji	Ĭ.	-			1 Bom., 139	5600
v. Harishankar Fakirbhat .	•	•	•	•	2 Bom., 136 : 2nd Ed , 130 .	5881
v. Hart	•	•	•	•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 333	4238
- v. Hau Nagji	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 74	2029
TT: T:	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 59	3626
v. Hira Jiva	•	•	•	•	1 Bom., 33	2623
v. Hossein Jan	•	•	•	•	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 190	1989
	•	•	•	•		23
v. Imam valad Baban	•	•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 57	4726, 4733
- v. Irapa bin Basapa	•	•	•	•	8 Bon., Cr., 162	969, 6182
v. Jafar Ali	•	•	•	•	8 Bom., Cr., 113	1256
- v. Jaffer Naik	•	•	•	•	2 Bom., 133 : 2nd Ed., 127 .	1153
v. Jagnnnath Bhat bin Appa Bl	int	•	•		5 Bom., Cr., 103	4376
- v. Jaili Bhavin			•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 60	
- v. Jaimal Shravan			•	•	10 Bom., 69	1047
- v. Jeetaram Shaw					1 Ind Jur., N. S, 404	706
v. Jellapa bin Mudakappa .					1 Bom., 181	958
v. Jetha Bhala					16 Bom., 68	986
v. Jetha Moti					2 Bom., 135: 2nd Ed., 129	5821
- v. Jethya valad Vestya .					9 Bom., 171	101
- v. Jibhai Vaja					11 Bom., 11	4114
v. Jivanji Limji					6 Bom., Cr., 1	4726, 4731
- v. Jivan Usman					3 Bom., Cr., 12	254, 3625
v. Joma bin Balu					4 Bom., Cr., 37	1152, 5547
v. Jora Hasji					11 Bom., 242	1809
- v. Joti bin Satu					1 Bom., 37	5821
- v. Justices of the Peace for Cal-	entta				1 Ind. Jur., N. S , 295	691
					2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 24	3783
- v. Kabhai Raya Bhai					5 Bom., Cr., 40	707
- v. Kadir valad Balu	:				7 Bom., Cr., 50	1802
- v. Kala bin Hari Gama .	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 72	3620
- v. Kala Karsan	•	•	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 55	399
- v. Kalidas Keval	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
	•	•	•	•		1800, 4920
- v. Kalla Lakhmaji					2 Bom., 419 : 2nd Ed., 395	5153
a Valubbai Mashabhai						260
v. Kalubhai Meghabhai .	•		•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 35	1019,6272
- v. Kalu Patil	•				11 Bom., 146	
- v. Kalya bin Fakir					5 Bom., Cr., 34	4353

	Name of (Case.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg.	e. Kandakora						I, L. R., 1 Mad., 223	3627
	v. Karsan Goja .						2 Bom., 124: 2nd Ed., 117 .	587, 2229
	v. Karsanlal Danatram						5 Bom., Cr., 20	6259
	v, Kasamji						5 Bon., Cr., 6	3623
	v. Kashinath Bachaji Pag	ml ling					8 Bom., Cr., 168	1511
							1	150, 1004
							1	1221, 1264
	v. Kashinath Dinkar						8 Bom., Cr., 126	4364, 4532
								4621,5528
							(6249
	e, Kashya Rama .						8 Bom., Cr., 63	2898
	v. Kassamia						1 Bom., 100	3626
	v. Kastya Rama .						8 Bom., Cr., 63	3866, 4121
	v. Kasya bin Ravji .						5 Bom, Cr., 35	อัษ9อ
	v. Katunji Bhukan .						1 Bom., 17	3604
	v. Keru bin Ramshet .						5 Bom., Cr., 100	619
	v. Keshavshet						6 Bom., Cr., 74	5601
	v. Khanderav Bajirav						1 L. R., 1 Bom, 10	6164
	. Khandoji bin Tanaji						5 Bom., Cr., 21	4363
	v. Khatabai						6 Bom., Cr., 9	5993
	v. Khoda Jagta						1 Bom., 137	713
	v. Khushal Hiraman						4 Bom., Cr., 28	2007, 5591
	v. Kikabhai Parbadas						9 Bom., 451	709
	v. Krishnaji Bapuji Gaika	vad					3 Bonn., Cr., 39	5543
	v. Krishna Parashram	-					5 Bom., Cr., 69	3615
	, Krishnashet bin Naraya	neliat	: [5 Bom., Cr., 46	3773
	v. Kuberio Ratno .	·		- 1	•		4 Bom., Cr., 8	3619
	v. Kusa valad Lakshman	:					7 Bom., Cr., 70	.6189,6190
	v. Kushya bin Yesu						4 Bom., Cr., 11	5570
	v. Ladu		•		Ċ		7 Bom., Cr., 87	5518, 5566
	v. Lakhu valad Sakru			•	•		8 Bom., Cr., 118	3626
	v. Lakhya Govind .		:				I. L. R., 1 Bom., 50	2907
	v. Lakshman Balaji .				•		3 Bom., Cr., 10	3630
	v. Lakshuman Ram Chan	dra.	:				3 Bom., Cr., 56	2922
	v. Lala Shambhu .			•	:		10 Bom., 70	3611
	v. Lallubhai Jassubhai	•		•	:		5 Born., Cr., 64	4718
	v. Lallu Karwar .	•			•		5 Bom., Cr., 63	1990
	v. Lalubhai Gopaldas		:	•			I. L. R., 1 Bom., 232	2921
	v. Larkius						4 Eom., Cr., 30	965
	v. Lingana bin Ginbana		:	Ĭ.			4 Bom., Cr., 14	3865
	v. Locha Kala .		Ĭ.	Ċ			I. L. R., 1 Bom., 340	6182
	v. Lukhma Chango .	•			:		9 Eom., 153	619
	v. Mahipya valad Bomya	Maha		•	•		5 Bom., Cr., 99	6182
	v. Malapa bin Kapana		٠.	•	•		11 Bom., 196	1003
	v. Malhari bin Shivji	•	•	•	•		3 Bom., Cr., 41	1986
	v. Malhari Lauji .	•	•	•	•		6 Bom., Cr., 45	254
	v. Malharji bin Nauloji	•	•	•	•	•	3 Bom., Cr., 36	3624
	v. Malhar Ram Chandia	•	•	•	•	•	7 Bonn., Cr., 64	1973, 5521
	v. Mangla Bhulia .	•	•	•	•		7 Bom., Cr., 69	3616
	v. Manikranı Surajram	•	•	•	•		11 Bom., 231	2680
	v. Manohar Raiji	•	•	•	•	:	5 Bom., Cr., 17	149
	v. Mansang Bhavsang	•	٠	•	٠	•	10 Bom., 74	5497
	v. Mansang Dada .	•	•	,	•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 15	15
		•	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 13	3626
	v. Mathur Purshotam			•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 10	3624
	v. Mavji Dayal .	•	•	•	٠		6 Bom., Cr., 6	735, 132
	v. Mehervanji Bejanji	•	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 67	5146
	v. Mehtarji Gopalji 🖫	•	•	•	•		/ Dom., Or., O/	1

Name of C	ase.					Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
N'led Dand						6 Pom., Cr., 10	724
leg. v. Miya valad Daud — v. Moroba Bhaskarji	•	•	•	•		8 Bom., Cr., 101	1259, 2778
v. Muhammad Ali valad	المامطا	Δ1;	•	•		8 Bom., Cr., 9	6189
- v. Muhammad Khan vala	d Tro	om K	han	•	•	6 Bom., Cr., 54 · · ·	5518
- v. Muhammad Saib .	u zm			•	•	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 277	5567
	•		•	•		5 Bom., Cr., 24	5600
v. Muliya Nana .	•	•	•	•	•	0 2011, 01, 22	1154, 5553
v. Murar Trikam .		•	•		•	5 Bom., Cr., 3 {	5602
v. Muthavan						I. L. R., 1 Mad., 191	985
- v. Nabaji valad Vithoji						4 Bom., Cr., 2	5162
- v. Nana Moroji						8 Bom., Cr., 1	2028, 6183
v. Nantamram Uttamran	١.					6 Bom., Cr., 64 · · ·	256, 4691
v, Narainappa Comte						5 Bom., Cr., 39	1044
- v. Narayan Babaji .						9 Bom., 346	6277
v. Narayan Gangaram						9 Bom., 343	396, 3625
- v. Narayan Krishna						2 Bom., 416: 2nd Ed., 392 .	3864
- v. Narayan Sundar						5 Bom., Cr., 1	2029, 6250
- v. Naro Gopal .			,			5 Bom., Cr., 56	2011, 5167
- v. Natha Kalyan						8 Bom., Cr., 11	5993
v. Natha Lalla .						5 Bom., Cr., 67	4371
v. Nathalal Pitambar	٠					10 Bom., 102 · · ·	691, 4532
v. Natha Mula .					•	4 Bom., Cr., 37	1990
v. Navranbeg Dulabeg					•	10 Bom., 73 · · ·	1317
v. Navroji Dadabhai			_			9 Bom., 358 {	1005, 1852
	٠.	•	•	•	•		3098 1957
v. Nevalmal valad Umed	mal		•	•	•	3 Rom., Cr., 16	
- v. Omrito Nauth Jha					- {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 399 : 6 W.	4500
		•	•		ı	k., Cr., 61.	2015
v. Padala Venkatasami	•	•	•	٠	٠	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 4	1047
v. Pandu bin Vithoji	•	•	•	•	•	4 Bom., Cr., 7	1532
v. Pandurang Mayral	•	•	•	•	•	5 Bom., Cr., 41	3613
v. Papidio Muthdo .	•	•	•	•	•	9 Bont., 167	399
v. Parbat	•	•	٠	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 82	1833
v. Parbhudass Ambaram	•	•		•	•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 339	1318
v. Parsapa Mahadevapa	•	•	•	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 61	5512, 5529
v. Parshram Keshav	•	•	•	•	•		18, 286
v. Pestanji Dinsha .						10 Bom., 75 {	722, 3098
						1	1800, 4920
v. Pevadi bin Bassappa						2 Bom., 421: 2nd Ed., 397	5153
v. Pirtal						10 Bom., 356	2906
v. Poshu bin Dhambaji l	20+11	•	•	•	•	2 Bom., 134: 2nd Ed., 128 .	1671, 4358
- v. Prabhakar N. Soman	4011	•	•	•	:	3 Bom., Cr., 11	3624
- v. Prema Bhika .	•	•	•	•	÷	1 Bom., 89	1970
- v. Premji Bhagvan .	•	•	•	•	•	10 Bom., 295	1220
	•	•	•	•	•		1043, 4363
v. Purshotam Valji .	•	•	•	•	٠	5 Bom., Cr., 33 }	4690
- v. Pyla Atchi .						I. L. R., 1 Mad., 278	5496
- v. Ragha Naranji .					·	7 Bom., Cr., 31	4622
- v. Raghoji bin Kanoji						3 Bom., Cr., 42	4354, 516
- v. Rahimat					:	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 147	986
- v. Rama Bhivgowda						I. L. R., 1 Bom., 223	5550
- v. Rama bin Gopal .					:	1 Bom., 107	2761, 471
- v. Rama bin Rabhaji						1 Bom., 34	5565
7						(736, 115
- v. Ramajirav Jiybajirav						12 Bom., 1 }	1317, 197
							4691

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Reg. v. Ramasami Padayachi		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 394	24
- v. Rem Chandra Ekuath		6 Born., Cr., 36	4099
- v. Ramcomul Mitter		1 Hyde, 195	1792
v. Ramdas Samaldas		12 Bom., 217	690, 5886
v. namuas samaidas	•		6025
— v. Ramji valad Daji		5 Bom., Cr., 12	958
- v. Ramlojerio		5 Bon., Cr., 27	984
- v. Ramswami Mudliar		6 Bom., Cr., 47	263, 5152
- v. Ranchoddas Nathubhai		4 Bom., Cr., 35	5602
- v. Ranchhod Dyal		10 Bom., 424	1317
v. Ratanrav bin Mahadevrav Chavan .		3 Bom., Cr., 32	5503
v. Rattanji Bhukan		1 Bom., 17	1220 1806, 1965
v. Ravji valad Tajul		8 Bom., Cr., 37	3630
— v Ravlojirav bin Hanmantrav .		5 Bom., Cr., 7	2902
v. Reay		7 Bom., Cr., 6	285
- v · · · · ·		7 Bom., Cr., 77	4239
- v. Remedios		3 Bom., Cr., 59	966
v. Sada Shibappa Pandurang Gappa .		5 Bom., Cr., 29	3626, 5597
- v. Sadu Dadabhai		9 Bom., 166	3626
- v. Sadu valad Pavadi		3 Bom., Cr., 39	5831
v. Sajjan valad Vithu		5 Bom., Cr., 104	691
v. Sakharam Anatoba		10 Bom., 109, note	5150
v. Sakharam Manohar		11 Bom., 125	1832, 5152
v. Sakharam Mukundji		11 Bom., 166	6269
			5570
— v. Sakya valad Kavji		5 Bom., Cr., 36	586
v. Sambhu Raghu		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 347	2988
v. Samia Kaundan		I. L. R., 1 Mad., 173	955
v. Samsen Babaji		3 Bom., Cr., 43	5568
v. Sangapa bin Bashiapa		2 Bom., 417: 2nd Ed., 394 .	1950
- v. Sankar Bhagvat		5 Bom., Cr., 45	5568
v. Santu bin Lakhappa Kore		1 Bom., 38	1043
v. Sardar Pathu		6 Bon., Cr., 69	6028
v. Shankar Abaji rioshing	•	3 Bon., Cr., 15	259
v. Shankar Venkaji		1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 371	3812
v. Shipping Master of Calcutta		7 Bom., Cr., 73	956, 5601
v. Shivbasapa		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 219	1011
v. Shivya v. Showdar Ghenar		7 Bom., Cr., 39	4217
v. Siddu bin Balnath		1 Bom., 95	713
v. Subhana bin Ganu		9 Bom., 169	3621
v. Subi Sani		8 Bom., Cr., 28	5519
- v. Surkya valad Dhaku		5 Bom, Cr., 31	6183
v. Surya bin Krishna Mandavkar		3 Bom., Cr., 38	6190
		8 Bom., Cr., 24	5516
v. Tai		5 Bom, Cr., 81	5168
v. Thomesit		5 Bom., Cr., 22	5601
- v. Timmi		2 Bom., 131: 2nd Ed., 125 .	1788, 180
v. Tookia		1 Bom., 3	3623
v. Tribhuvan Ishwar		3 Bon., Cr., 54	3630
v. Tukaram Ragho		12 Bom., 234	3618
v. Tukaram Kagno		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 214	5554
		5 Bom., Cr., 14	3624
		7 Bom., Cr., 88	625
v. Ukha San	•	5 Bom., Cr., 8	262
v. Uttamchand Kapurchand	• •	11 Bom., 120	1808
v. Vahala Jetha		7 Bom., Cr., 56	1007, 360
v. vanata setua		, 20.00, 01, 00	

Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Reg. v. Vakhatchand			1 Bom., 50	4217
- v. Vakta valad Lakhu		.	9 Bom., 164	660
v. Venkaji Bhaskar			8 Bom., Cr., 19	1044
v Venku Narsa			9 Bom., 170	5604
v. Venku Narsa			8 Bon., Cr., 32	552953
v. Vinayak Trimbak			2 Bom., 414: 2nd Ed., 391	1267, 55
- v. Virji Kuvarji		•	2 Bon., 135 : 2nd Ed., 129	5821
- v. Vishvanath Daulatrav		•	4 Bom., Cr., 33	2796
- v. Vithaldas Pranjivandas .		•	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 462	2921
- v. Vithal Lakshuman		•	5 Bon., Cr., 13	906
- v. Vithoba bin Soma		•	5 Bom., Cr., 61	5569
		•		1800, 4920
- v. Vithoji			2 Bom., 422 : 2nd Ed., 398	5153
v. Vithu bin Mallu '			5 Bom., Cr., 36	3630
v, viena om stana		•	5 Dolli, C1, 50	2762, 3603
v. Vyankatrav Shrinivas			7 Bom., Cr., 50 }	4358, 4625
· ·				260, 5905
- v. Vyankatsvami			2 Bom., 112 : 2nd Ed., 106	5991
707-11			7 Pour Cu 1	2905
v. Wells	•	•	7 Bom., Cr., 1	
v. Yed All Khan		•	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 424	3097
v. Yella valad Parshia			3 Bom., Cr., 37	6191 3624, 5298
— v. Yenku Bapuji		٠	8 Bom., Cr., 39	
v, i essappa bin Ningappa	• . •	•	5 Bom., Cr., 41	955 5549
v. Zora Karubeg	1.5	•	4 Bom., Cr., 12	
Reg. on the prosecution of Shallard v. Wat			2 Mad., 444	2904
Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedas Nu	indy v. Es	ist {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 244	3783
Indian Railway Company. Reg. on the prosecution of Toolseedoss Nu:				
	ina s. is:	ase	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 258: }	925
Indian Railway Company.		Ç	Bourke, O. C., 395.	
Registration Act, 1866. In the matter of		ì	2 B. L. R., O. C., 151:11 W.	4757
		-	R., O. C., 24.	
·		{	3 B. L. R., O. C., 60: 12 W. ?	4800
			R., 386, note.	4764
Positoralia to 1971		•	6 B. L. R., 576	
Registration Act, 1871.		•	11 B. L. R., 20	4797 3792
Reid v. Scott Thomson & Co	•	•	2 Hyde, 172	4166
Keny v. Damasoondaree Dossee .		٠,	25 W. R., 398	4100
v. Hur Chunder Ghose		- }	1. L. R., 9 Cale., 122: 12 C. 1	1174, 5309
- v. Judoo Nath Ghuttnek		(L. R., 398.	545
Remfry v. DePenning v. Shillingford Rennic v. Gunganarain Chowdhry Reotee Singh v. Ramjeet		•	25 W. R., 175	5904
welling of Derenning		-		4072
Danie - Concessorie Chardhar			I. L. R., 1 Calc., 130 3 W. R., 10	3849, 6134
Rennie v. Gunganaram Chowdhry .		•		2201
D. th. benium Singh a Mitturiest Singh		•	2 N. W., 50	5455
Rethbunjun Singh v. Mitturjeet Singh Retoo Raj Pandey v. Lalljee Pandey			4 W. R., Mis., 9	2484
Revji Patil v. Sakharam			I. L. R., 8 Bom., 615	
•		:	L. R., 13 I. A., 106 : I. L. R., 7	4337
Rewa Mahton v. Ram Kishen Singh .		{	14 Calc., 18.	5623
Rewal Mahton v. Penum Mundar			22 W. R., 9	1435
Rewunpersad v. Radha Beeby		1	7 W. R., P. C., 35: 4 Moore's 1. A., 137.	2502, 2545
Rewun Pershad v. Jankee Pershad .		·	11 Moore's I. A., 25	2748
Rewut Konwur v. Omrao Bahadoor Singh		•	21 W. R., 148	1879
Reza Ali v. Bhikun Khan		•	7 W. R., 334	4814
		,	6 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 14 W.)	
v. Purnanand Chuckerbutty		ì	R., 474.	4400
		(,, ±1 ±1	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rezaooddeen Hossein v. Fuzloonissa	5 W. R., Mis., 12	5618 3650
Rhidoy Krishna Ghose v. Kailas Chandra Bose . {	4 B. L. R., F. B., 82: 13 W.	572
Rhidoy Kristo Mestri v. Nobin Chunder Sen	R., F. B., 3. 12 C. L., R., 457	4128
Riasat Ali alias Babu Miya alias Bodiuzzuma. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 352 : 8 C. L. R., 572.	452, 2015
Riayatullah Khan v. Nasir Khan	I. L. R., 6 All., 616	4879
Riazunissa v. Tekun Jha	1 B. L. R., S. N., 18: 10 W. R., 246.	1615
Ribban v. Partab Singh	I. L. R., 6 All., 81	2864
Richardson v. Government	1 W. R., P. C., 47	4650
Ricks. In the matter of	3 Mad., 151	2656 4300
Ridhuath Sahoy v. Gopee Sahoo	14 W. R., 90	227
Rigordy v. Smith	I Ind. Jur., N. S., 290	3787
Rindamma v. Venkataramappa	3 Mad., 268	2316
Ritchie, Stewart, & Co., v. Gladstone, Wyllie, & Co.	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 125	4676
Ritchie v. Stokes	2 Mad., 255	132 245
Ritooraj v. Oojagur Singh	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 40: Ed.)	
Rittoo Raj Rae v. Juggeshur Rac }	1873, 98.	536, 541
Rivett-Carnac (Administrator General, Bombay) v. 7	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 478	2504
Robarts v. Harrison	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 333: 9 C. } L. R., 209.	3456
Robert v. Jaquehim	Bourke, O. C., 112	5276
v. Lombard	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 192	3101,3197 1389
Robert and Charriol v. Isaac	6 B. L. R., Ap., 20 Bourke, O. C., 92	425
v. Shircore	7 B. L. R., 510	5839
Robertson, Gladstone, & Co. v. Kasturymull	3 B. L. R., O. C., 103	1055, 1071
Robertson's case. In the matter of Act XIX of 1857 .	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	3146 2633
Robinson. In the matter of	2 Ind Jur., N. S., 273 7 Mad., 37	4578
Robson v. Maseyk	3 W. R., Mis., 2	4465
Darlie Walanta Taraba matter of the motition of	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 42:8 C. L. ?	722, 183
- (R., 273.	2922
Rodeshwar v. Manroop Koer	L. R., 13 I. A., 20 I. L. R., 7 All., 711	1199, 150 3951
Roe v. Roe	3 B. L. R., Ap., 9	1547
Rogers v. Montriou	6 B. L. R., 550	3199
a Paiandra Dutt	2 W. R., P. C., 51 : 8 Moore's)	1354, 463
- (I. A., 103.	5880 5927
Rogho Nundun Iall v. Mohesh Lall	3 C. L. R., 137	1629
Roghoobun Tewaree v. Bishen Dutt Dobey	2 W. R., Act X, 92	1619
Roghooburdyal Mundur v. Christian	3 W. R., 123	4598
Roghoonath Dos v. Alladeen Pattuck	5 W. R., 9	1924
Roghoonath Doss Mohapattur v. Bydonath Doss Maharatha.	8 W. R., 201	1144 292, 324
	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 214 : 8 C. L. ?	5004
Roghoonath Mundul v. Juggut Bundhoo Bose	R., 393.	
Roghonath Singh v. Mohun Lal Mitter	7 W. R., 296	5728
Roghoo Nundun Lali Sahoo v. Bunwaree Lali Sahoo .	3 W. R., Mis., 27	355

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
oghooram Biswas v. Ram Chunder Dobey {	W. R., F. B., 127 : B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 34.	5682
oghuni Singh v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 455 : 11 C. } L. R., 569.	6266, 620
ohan Singh v. Surat Singh	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 318 : L. R., 12 I. A., 25.	4227
ohee Lall v. Dindyal Lall	21 W. R., 257	1783, 575
pheemolla v. Palmer	Cor., 8	6276
philkhand and Kumaon Bank v. Row	Cor., 133	656 889
onikhand and Kumaon Dank v. Row	I. L. R., 7 All., 490	3750
1: D 31:1 1 17:2: 1 1	13 B. L. R., 91: 21 W. R.,	213, 282
ohima Bye v . Mahomed Hadjee Joosub \cdot . $\left\{ ight.$	303.	4713
ohimoni Dabi v. Zamiruddin	8 C. L. R., 597	315
himoonissa v. Abdoollah Khan	22 W. R., 319	4806 5810
ohimusissa Begum v. Mohamed Mirza	22 W. R., 506	2504
phinee Debia v. Digambur Chatterjee	23 W. R., 422	158, 234
v. Shib Chunder Chatterjee	23 W. R., 422	4765
ohinee Kant Roy v. Tripoora Soonduree Dassia	8 W. R., 45	6286
ohini Chowdhrain v. Kishen Gobind Dass	4 C. L. R., 125 8 B. L. R., 514, note: 10 W.)	273, 28
phini Kant Ghose v. Mahabharat Nag {	R., 258.	5690
ohini Nandan Gossain v. Ratneswar Kundu . 🧜	8 B. L. R., Ap., 89, note: 15 \\ W. R., 345.	2973
ohini Nundun Mitter v. Bhogoban Chunder Roy	14 B. L. R., 144, note: 22 } W. R., 154.	3527
ohoman Sirkar. In the matter of the petition of	10 B. L. R., Ap., 4: 18 W. } R., Cr., 67.	5945
oikunt Nath Kundu v. Lukhun Majhi	9 C. L. R., 425	1846
ojoni Kant Bhoomick. In the matter of	13 W. R., Cr., 24	344, 554
ollo v. Smith	1 B. L. R., O. C., 10	3751, 385
omanath Bal v. Behari Bag Bagdi	13 C. L. R., 303	976 3866, 593
omanath Dutt v. Joy Kishen Mookerjee	6 W. R., Act X, 80	1635
omanath Jha v. Luchimput Singh	19 W. R., 418	3511
omanath Rakheet v. Dhookee Sham Bhooya	19 W. R., 418	3810
omanath Roy Chowdry v. Kally Proshad Roy Chowdhry	18 W. R., 346	1747
oma Nath Thakoor v. Chunder Narain Chowdhry	Marsh., 136: W. R., F. B.,	44
omanauth Gossain's case	45: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 44. 5 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 296	986
ona Prosad Roy v. Shorap Paramanick	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 712	585
oma Prosunno Singhee v. Boykauto Nath Ghosal .	3 C. L. R., 504	1900
omesh Chunder Banerjee v. Jadub Chunder Chatterjee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 14	5463
omesh Chunder Dutt v. Gooroo Doss Nundee	W. R., 1864, 204	1582
omon Audheekaree. In the matter of	10 W. R., Cr., 37 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 513: 12 C.)	2624
ongai v . Empress	L. R., 500.	255, 516
oocha Ram Misr v. Naga Doss	2 N. W., 92	56,692 1617
odro Narain Roy v. Nittyannud Doss	8 W. R., 195	1919
oodurmun Misser v. Damoodur Misser	1 Hay, 365	5262
oodurnarain Roy v. Coomarnarain Patnaik	13 W. R., 320	1202
ook Begum v. Walagowhur Shah	3 W. R., 187	3633
ooke v . Pyari Lal	10., 404.	2795, 284
	13 B. L. R., 246, note: 20	6114

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rookho v. Madho Doss	1 N. W., Ed. 1873, 59	2215
Rookminee v. Choonee Lal	1 Agra, Mis., 6	679 4873
Rookminee Koer v. Ram Tohul Roy	21 W. R., 223	5182
	5 N. W., 155	
Rookminy Bullub Roy v. Mulk Jamania Begum .	L. R., 534.	4065
Rookmonee Debia v. Krishno Churn Misser .	20 W. R., 147	2531
Rooknee Kant Chowdhry v. Nund Lall Chowdhry	25 W. R., 120	1910
Rooknee Roy v. Amrith Lall	14 W. R., 254	5931
Rookonissa v. Woolfut Ali	3 W. R., 232	4598 3839
Rookumee Kooer v. Ram Tuhul Roy	. 17 W. R., 156	1160
Roopa v. Sahib Singh Roopa Bewa v. Ram Coomar Sundyal	. 1 Agra, Rev., 58	5278
Roopa Koonwar v. Juggoo Lall Oopadhya	. 11 W. R., 257	4476
Roopchand Aswal v. Karfool	25 W. R., 54	480
Roopchand Bhukut v. Hur Kishen Doss	. 23 W. R., 162	1739
Roopchand Roy v. Ram Kant Kobeeraj	. W. R., 1864, 98	5775
Roop Debee v. Gungoo Mull	. 3 N. W., 66	1709
Roop Kalee Kooer v. Doolar Pandey	. 20 W. R., 101 · · ·	3151
Rooplell Khettry v. Mohima Churn Roy	. 10 B. L. R., 271, note .	2533, 6008
attopion actions of the quinta canna attor		6151 1750, 1770
Roopmonjoree Chowdranee v. Ram Lall Sirkar .	. 1 W. R., 144	2080, 2146
Roop Moonjuree Kooeree v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	0 W D 000	2063, 2230
Roop Mungul Singh v. Anund Roy	9 W. R., 308	4142, 4932
(1)	. 16 W. R., 182	3458
Roopnarain Dutt. In the matter of	, 18 W. R , Cr., 38	5162
Roopnarainee Kooer v. Ressal Tewaree	. 24 W. R., 119	5760
Roopnarain Misr v. Kashee Ram Singh Timbiram	. 2 N. W., 67	780, 1081
Roop Narain Singh v. Chatooree Singh	. 12 W. R., 283	1036
v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain .	9 W. R., 297 10 W. R., 304	4159, 4233 3074
v. Juggoo Singh . v. Madho Singh . v. Ramayee Singh . v. Ramayee Singh .		3985
v. Brauno Singh	Marsh., 617 3 C. L. R., 192	1919, 4293
Roopo Bewah v. Kekaroo	. 21 W. R., Cr., 41	3882
Roop Ram Doss v. Saseeram Nath Kurmokur .	23 W. R., 141	4213
Roopun Roy v. Purdeep Singh	. 22 W. R., 10	1586
Roopun Tewaree v. Buckle	. 10 W. R., 142	5659
Roostum Singh v. Alum Singh	. 1 Agra, 291	6149
Roshan Singh v. Harkishan Singh	. I. L. R., 3 All., 535	2277
Roshun Doosadh v. Empress	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 768: 6 C. } L. R., 219.	1788, 1806
	D. 16., 215.	2080, 3633
Roshun Jahan v. Enact Hossein	. 5 W. R., 5 }	3634, 3679
	(3680, 3747
	(W. R., F. B., 41: Marsh.,)	
Roshun Jehan v. Enayut Hossein	127:1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 44: 1 Hay, 269.	4408, 4412
		0714
Roshun Mahomed v. Mahomed Kuleem	. 7 W. R., 150	3714
Rosik Lall Nundi v. Karlik Shaut	22 W. R., Cr., 48 8 W. R., 163	4505 1478
Rossool Mahomed v. Bassoo Fewah	8 W. R., 499	294
Rotee Rumun Oopadhya v. Greeja Nund Oopadhya	5 W. R., 160	5615
Rotty Rumun Oopadhya v. Chunder Binode Oopadhya		3159
	(1119, 1744
Roushan Bibee v. Hurraykristo Nath	. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 926 . {	6110, 6114
	6 B. L. R., 377, note: 12 W.	3770

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest
Roushun Jahan v. Euset Hosseiu	W. R., 1864, 83	2080, 3748
Roussac v. Thacker & Co	1 Hyde, 9	1156
Rousseau v. Nuboo Kishore Bhudro	12 W. R., 302	5206
v. Pinto	7 W. R., 190	2767, 6248
v	10 W. R., 54	4529
Rowshun v. Bholanath Doss	5 W. R., Act X, 67	6279
Rowshun Beebee v. Kureem Buksh		2020
Rowshun Bibee v. Chundermadhnb Kur	4 W. R., 12	4937
	16 W. R., 177	1251
Rowshun Jehan v. Collector of Furneah	14 W. R., 295	3721
Rowshun Koer v. Ram Dihal Roy	13 C. L. R., 45	
Rowson v. Hanama Mestri	I. L. R., 1 Mad, 280	100
Rowthakonni. In re	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 431	3572, 3613
Royal Bank of India v. Eastern Bengal Indigo Company	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 281	5638
v. Hormasji Kharsedji	3 Pom., O. C., 153	168, 5823
Royal Reddi v. Linga Reddi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 1	884
Roy Chunder Roy v. Bampton	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188	2629
Roychurn Dutt v. Ameena Bibi	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 385	5641
Roy Dhunput Singh v. Lekraj Roy	1 C. L. R., 525	3319
v. Prem Bibee	24 W. R., 72	776
Roy Dinkur Doyal v. Sheo Golam Singh	22 W. R., 172	4028, 5013
Roy Kally Prosonno Sein v. Kisto Nund Dundee	W. R., 1864, Act X, 13	3180
		4164
Royes Mollah v. Mudhoosoodun Mundul	9 W. R., 154	5132
Roy Goodur Suhaye v. Achebur Lall		3904
Roy Gowree Sunkur v. Bholee Pershad	17 W. R., 211	3314
Roy Huree Kishen v. Asmedh Koonwar	W. R., 1864, 57	2885
v. Nursing Narain	6 W. R., Act X, 63	
Roy Jung Bahadoor v. Jugdeo Roy	25 W. R., 247	581
Roy Luchmeeput Singh v. Jowahur Ali	18 W R., 497	1912
v. Moshurnff Ali	25 W. R., 80	803
Roy Meghraj v. Beejoy Gobind Burral	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 197: 23)	5123
	W. R., 438.	
Roy Mohan Lall Mittra v. Bishnu Chandra Chatterjee .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 100	4408
Roy Mohun Lall Mitter v. Enhoo Soonduree Debia .	10 W. R., 145	4408
v. Choolun Singh	1 Hay, 433	5485
Roymoney Dossee. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 150	6197
v. Roghunath Sen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 14	2289
Don Nondinsk M. L. L Tr	4 B. L. R., A. C., 181: 137	860, 3096
Roy Nandipat Mahata v. Urquhart	W. R., 209.	4445, 5461
Roy Nundeeput Mobatoon v. Stewart	23 W. R., 20	5612
Roy Odyte Narain Singh v. Ubhurun Roy	AW R. Act X. 1	4171
Roy Preconath Chowdhry v. Prannath Roy Chowdhry .	8 W. R., 100	3535
	2 B. L. R., A. C., 237: 117	
Roy Priyanath Chowdhry v. Bepinbehari Chuckerbutty	W. R., 120.	4272, 4938
v. Prasanna Chandra Roy)		155 1105
Chowdhry.	2 B. L. R., A. C., 249	177, 1195
Roy Sooltan Bahadoor v. Laloo Kooer	17 W. R., 300	3557
Rozeeoodeen v. Jehangeer	5 W. R., Mis., 22	5622
Rucha Rae v. Permeshur Dyal	2 N. W., 252	4951
Ruchpaul v. Johuree	1 Agra, 147	4295
-		1578, 3112
Ruckmaboye v. Lulloobhoy Mottichund	5 Moore's I. A., 234 . }	5877, 5972
Ruddravarapu Vissam Raz alias Konamraze. Ex parte	3 Mad., 287	3825
Ruder Perkash Misser v. Hurdai Narain Sahu	5 C. L. R., 112	5049
High history of transmission of		2283
V	9 C. L. R., 16	2403
Rudrakant Surma Sircar v. Nobokishore Surma Biswas {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C.	3163
(L. R., 269.	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rudra Prokash Misser v. Bhola Nath Mukherjee	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 612	3745
Rudr Narain Singh v. Rup Kuar	I. L. R., 1 All., 734	2264, 5057
Ruff n Stokee	9 W. R., 213	2986
Rughobur Suhai v. Chuttrapat Rughonath Dass v. Ram Gopal Rugho Nath Dobey v. Huttee Dobey Rugho Math Dobey v. Huttee Dobey Rughoobar Doyal Singh v. Bhekaree Singh	1 Agra, 73	2771
Rughonath Dass v. Ram Gopal	5 N. W., 29	3991
Rugho Nath Dobey v. Huttee Dobey	1 Agra, Mis., 17	3836
Rughoobar Doval Singh v. Bhekaree Singh	22 W. R., 472	2487
Rughoo Bibee v. Noor Jehan Begum	12 W. R., 459	-3092
Rughoobur Dyal v. Maina Koer	12 C. L. R., 564	172,371
Rughoobur Dyal Sahoo v. Kishen Pertab Sahee	L. R., 6 I. A., 211	37
Rughoobur Byal Singh v. Ram Narain Kolya	22 W. R., 312	1429, 5267
Rughoobur Singh v. Hurree Pershad	6 W. R., 75	3281
Rughoobur Thakoor v. Syefoollah Khan	23 W. R., 289	5305
Rughoomohinee Dossee v. Kashee Nath Roy Chowdhry .	10 W. R., 156	564, 5170
Rughoonath Bose v. Oomed Ali	W. R., F. B., 177	769
Rughoonath Coondoo v. Hurish Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, 326	3076
Rughoonath Dass v. Chuckerdhun Raut	15 W. R., Cr., 49	5161
Rughoonath Dass v. Halle	16 W. R., 60	3794
		3133, 3221
v. Shiromonee Pat Mohadebee .	24 W. R., 20	3228, 3527
Rughoonath Dobey v. Puresh Ram Mahata ,	10 W. R., 9	4165
Rughoonath Doss v. Luchmee Narain Singh	10 W. R., 407	4143
Rughoonath Mitter v. Woomanath Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, Act X, 47	5919
Rughoonath Panjah v. Luckhun Chunder Dullal Chowdhry.		1902, 2459
Rughoonath Pershad v. Huree Mohunt	10 W. R., 37	327
Rughoo Nath Pershad Singh v. Byjnath Sahoy	24 W. R., 349	4269
Rughoo Nath Rai v. Chundoo Lall	2 Agra, Pt. II, 195	4187, 4459
Rughoonath Roy v. Anundo Pauray	10 W. R., 387	5140
v. Baraik Geereedharee Singh	7 W. R., 244 1 W. R., 36	4021
Rughoonath Sahoy v. Boondir Mundir	1 W. R., 36	2915
Rughoonath Singh v. Toodey Singh	5 N. W., 19	5478, 5730
Rughoonath Sonar v. Mokoond Doss	25 W. R., 213	5185
Rughoonath Surmah v. Gobind Chunder Roy	14 W. R., 170	5634
Rughoonauth Sahai Chotayloll v. Manick Chand	6 Moore's I. A., 251	1068
Rughoo Nundun Pershad Singh v. Chuttur Paul Singh .		4912
Rugheo Nundun Singh v. Arcott	19 W. R., 46	2709
v. Cochrane	20 W. R., 16	5275, 593
v	24 W. R., 372	3135
v. Gopal Chund Chowdhry	20 W. R., 7	5275
v. Wilson	23 W. R., 301	690
Rughunath Panjah v. Issur Chunder Chowdhry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 153	5070
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 635 : 13 C. L.)	3115
Rughu Nath Singh Manku v. Pareshram Mahata .	R., 89.	3110
	13 B. L. R., 489: 22 W. R.,	5621
Rughu Nundun Rain v. Sumessar Panday	235.	
Ruheemuddy Akun v. Poorno Chunder Roy Chowdhry .	22 W. R., 336	3000
Ruhmoonissa v. Soopun Jan	18 W. R., 244	3026
Ruhmun v. Shumsooddeen Hyder	777 0 7004 100	3985
Ruhmut-oollah v. Tuffuzzool Hossein	1 Agra, Rev., 67	533
Rujabai v. Ismail Ahmed	7 Bom., O. C., 27	4235
•	. 2011, 0: 0:, 2::	1146, 145
Rujaput Rai v. Mahomed Ali Khan	5 N. W., 215 {	4041
Rujjomoney v. Sibchunder Mullick	0 TT 3, TOO	2420
	34 1 043 1 TT 219	2507
Rujoneekant Mitter v. Premchand Bose		2413
Ruka Bai v. Ganda Bai	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 308	5474
Rukhinee Bullubh v. Brojonath Sirear	1. 1. h., o Canc., occ	1

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Rukhun v. Ahmed Hossein Rukhyakur Ehuttacharjee v. Kuroona Moyee Dabee Rukkini Dusi v. Kader Nath Ghose Rakmin. In the matter of Rukmini Burmonia v. Foodun Koomaree Burmor.ia Rukmadawla Nowah Ahmed Ali Khan v. Hurdwari Mull Rummeezooddeen Bhooyan v. Joymala v.	1.	22 W. R., 443 25 W. R., 280 5 B. L. R., Ap., 87 1. L. R., 1 All., 287 23 W. R., 408 5 B. L. R., 578 15 W. R., 303	4232 3857 2309 183, 5115 312, 316 478 5752
Rummun Singh v. Eshree Pershad		2 Agra, 144. 11 B. L. R., 336, note: 14 W.)	2980
Rumonee Debea v. Baluck Doss Mohant	į	R., 101.	2252
Rumonee Soonduree Dossia v. Punchanum Bose Rumazan Ali v. Soorujbhan Rum Bahadoor v. Poodhee Roy Rum Bahadoor Singh v. Hardoyal Singh v. Lucho Coowar		4 W. R., 105 1 W. R., 160 7 W. R., 403 W. R., 1864, 319 21 W. R., Cr., 32 4 C. L. R., 270	3178 2248 4346 5285 1544 1411
v. Lucho Koer	{	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 406: 7 C. L. R., 251.	1206, 5062
v. Muloorum Tewaree		8 W. R., 149 7 C. L. R., 82	3798 2727
v. Tilessuree Kooer		22 W. R., Cr., 79	4728, 4731 4735
Run Bahadur Singh v. Lucho Koer	{	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 301 : L. R., 5 12 I. A., 23.	5022, 5027 5062, 5067
Rundle v. Secretary of State		4 C. L. R., 498 I Hyde, 37 2 Hyde, 25, 36	4663, 4666 2804 4586
Rungama v. Atchama	5	7 W. R., P. C., 57: 4 Moore's)	2135
Rungiah Pillai v. Chinnasami Pillai		I. A., 1. 3 Mad., 374. I. L. R., 11 Calc., 166. 10 W. R., 285 9 W. R., 169	5660 847, 5295 878 4457, 4477
v. Tokhun Misser	{	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 114: 25 W. } R., 304.	761
Rungo Bujaji v. Babaji Rungo Debee Boistobee v. Huree Narain Oopadhya Rungo Kopohooa v. Dehassur Mussulman		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 83 11 W. R., 138 18 W. R., 302	3230 884 514
Rungolall Deo v . Deputy Commissioner of Beerbhoom	{	Marsh., 117: W. R., F. B., 34:1 Ind Jur., O. S., 34:1 Hay, 200.	2039
Rungo Lall Mundul v. Abdool Guffoor	{	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 314 : 3 C. L. ? R., 119.	2990
Rungo Monee Debia v. Raj Coomar Bebee		6 W. R., 197 12 W. R., 111	143 1640
. Unnopowna Debia . Rungrav Ravji z. Sidhi Mahomed Ebrahiu . Rungumoney Dossee z. Brijo Lall Day . Runjeet z. Choonnee Lall . Runjeet Narain Singh z. Shureefoonissa . Runjeet Ram Koolal z. Mahomed Waris . Runjeet Ram Panday z. Goverdhun Ram Panday . Runjeet Singh z. Gugraj Singh . z. Madd Ali		12 W. R., 149 1. L. R., 6 Bom., 482 Cor., 25 1 Agra, 78 10 W. R., 478 21 W. R., 49 20 W. R., 25 L. R., 1 1. A., 9 3 Agra, 222	4162 4978 5284 804 3211, 3996 2203 6001 3367 2359
Runjit Singh v. Bunwari Lal Sahu		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 993	4466 161, 497
v. Meherban Koer	1	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 662: 2 C. L. R., 391.	740, 742 2031, 3097

-		-		
	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
E E E	unjit Singh v. Schoene, Kilburn, & Co. unnoo Pandey v. Buksh Ali unnoo Roy v. Kudoo Lali unnoo Singh v. Kalchurn Misser tunpal Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh tun Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh tun Singh v. Mahomed Abid tupabai v. Parbhuram Kirpashankar tupa Jagshet v. Krishnaji Govind tup Chand Chowdhry v. Latu Chowdhry tupchand Dagdusa v. Davlatrav Vithalrav		4 C. L. R., 390	4182 2088, 6031 571 980, 5994 4908 5081 1125 1574, 2238 3430 2342 4841, 5402
1	tupchand Hindumal v. Rakhmabai	:	8 Bom., A. C., 114 3 B. L. R., A. C., 325 : 12 W. R., 274.	2121 4561
	Supennessa Bibi Chowdrani v. Abeduddin Mahomed		8 C. L. R., 73	3801, 3802 3805
- J	łup Kishore v. Mohin łup Kusri v. Ram Kirpal Shukul łupidi Khettry v. Mahima Chandra Roy łup Narain v. Awadh Prasad łup Narain Singh v. Junko Bye	:	I. L. R., 3 All., 415 I. L. R, 3 All., 141 5 B. L. R., 254 I. L. R., 7 All., 478 3 C. L. R., 112	3138 4982 2603 4551 1573, 2250
3	Rup Singh v. Baisni	Ş	I. L. R., 7 All., 1: L. R., 11 }	2328
	v. Mukhraj Singh dushton. In the goods of v. Atkinson v. Girdhuree Tewaree dussick Chunder v. Ram Lall Shaha	•	I. L. R., 7 All., 887 I. L. R., 2 Cale., 736 11 W. R., 485 Marsh., 331: 2 Hay, 394 22 W. R., 301	3488 1238 332, 3012 1583 1429, 2797
	Russickdas Bairagy v. Preonath Misrce	5	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 102: 12 C. L. R., 405.	3856
]	Russick Lall Chatterjee. In the matter of Russick Lall Day v. Jadubram Day	:	15 W. R., 518	5918 5532 979, 1959
	Russickloll Mudduck v. Lokenath Kurmokar .	{	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 688 : 5 C. L. R., 492.	2971, 3056
	Russik Lal Shaha Chowdhry v. Prem Dhun Bural Russool Bibee v. Jan Ali Chowdhry	{	24 W. R., 279	1767 292
]	Russool Nushyo. In re Aussoolun v. Fuzeelntoonnissa Austamji Adesir Davar v. Ratangi Rustamji Wadia Rustomji Burjorji v. Kessowji Naik		11 W. R., Cr., 3 W. R., 1864, Mis., 36 7 Bom., O. C., 9 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 161	4506 4941 1032 743, 4522 201, 744
3	Rustomji Eduljee Croos v. Cursetjee Sorabjee Croos		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 349	5835
	Rustum Ally v. Ameer Ally Soudagur		10 W. R., 487 {	1448, 1467 4263
	Rustum Singh v. Mahurban Singh Rutcheputty Dutt Jha v. Rajundur Narain Rac Rutna Dobain v. Purladh Dobey Rutnec v. Roghober Dyal Rutnessur Biswas v. Hurish Chunder Bose Rutnessur Dass. Iu the matter of Rutnessur Koondoo v. Majeda Bibee Rutnessur Kingh v. Ram Tunoo Ghose		5 N. W., 179 2 Moore's I. A., 132 7 W. R., 450 2 Agra, 278 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 221 14 W. R., 381 7 W. R., 252 12 W. R., 491	3239 2281 2318 79 1373 4036 3256 440
	Rutta Bebee v. Dumree Lal	٠	2 N. W., 153	2754, 4038 6128

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
uttan Chand Shooree v. Huree Monee	5 W. R., 225 ·	2420
uttanjote Kooer v. Ram Dass	10 B. L. R., 290: 19 W. R.,	498
uttee Sirdar v. Sajoo Poramanick	11 B. L. R., 345: 20 W. R., 3235.	1146
uttonjee Eduljee Shet v. Collector of Thanna .	10 W. R., P. C., 13: 11 } Moore's I. A., 295.	2960, 307
uttonmonee Dabee v. Komolakanth Mookerjee	12 B. L. R., 283, note: 12 W.	3117
utton Monee Debia v. Gunga Monee Debia Chowdhrain	R., 364. 3 W. R., 94	3441
uttonsey Lalji v. Pooribai	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 304 .	999, 451
uttonsey Morarji v. Jamnadas Pitamberdas	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 692	1088
utton Singh v. Greedharee Lall	8 W. R., 310	534, 307 3804
utto Singh v. Bajrang Singh	13 C. L. R., 280	480
uttun v. Dhoomee Khan	3 Agra, 21	3689
uttun Bebee v. Makarum Ali	2 Agra, 309	4459
uttun Bewa v. Buhur	14 W. R., Cr., 7	6191
uttun Chand Bysack v. Bocha Bibee	12 W. R., 529	4125
uttunessur Chatterjee v. Gooroo Churn Chatterjee uttun Kristo Bosoo v. Bhugoban Chunder Bosoo	9 W. R., 296 18 W. R., 32	1199 2329
uttun Monee Dassee v. Kaleekissen Chuckerbutty	W. R., F. B., 147	2891, 527
attunmonee Dossee v. Jotendromohun Tagore	6 W. R., Act X, 31	4929
ittun Monee Dutt v. Brojo Mohun Dutt	22 W. R., 11	4310
v	22 W. R., 333	6101
uttun Singh v. Oomrao Singh	4 N. W., 13	4548
utty Kant Bose v. Gungadhur Biswas {	W. R., F. B. 13: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 6: Marsh., 40.	6175
uznudeen Hossein v. Fuzalun	3 W. R., 120	1357
yall v. Sherman	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 287	774
yasutulla v. Doogachurn Pal	15 B. L. R., 294: 24 W. R.,	4825
yaw Petec. In the matter of	121. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 15	4742
ychurn Paul v. Pearymonee Dassee	Marsh., 622	2485
yesunuissa Begum v. Bydonath Saha	17 W. R., 354	1631
yrappan Nambiar v. Kelu Kurup	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 150	3757
s		
aadoollah Shaikh v. Kaleechurn	12 W. R., 358	839
bapathi v. Subraya	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 58 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 250	5126, 514
bapathi Chetti v. Subraya Chetti	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 250 .	5813
bapati Chetti v. Chedumbara Chetti	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 397 .	3137
bapati Mudaliyar v. Narayansvami Mudaliyar	1 Mad., 115 {	1208, 309 5875
beer Khan v. Kallidoss Dey	1 W. R., 199	4868
betoollah Meah v. Rohim Dewan	9 W. R., 273	245
blamakka Dikabatan a Cubb Talalani A	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 80	3492, 524
	1 Mad., 103	5660
sbhapati Mudali v. Muttusvami Mudali		
bhapati Mudali v. Muttusvami Mudali bharam bin Vithoji v. Sadashiv bin Sayaji	1 Bom., 96	153 4490, 449
bhanatha Dikshatar v. Subba Lakshmi Ammal bhhapati Madali v. Muttusvani Mudali bharam bin Vithoji v. Sadashiv bin Sayaji bhee Sing. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 50	
bbhapati Mudali s. Muttusvami Mudali bbharam bin Vithoji v. Sadashiv bin Sayaji bbhee Sing. In re abir Khan v. Ram Luckhee Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4490, 449 4500 4900
bhapati Mudali ». Muttusvami Mudali bharam bin Vithoji ». Sadashiv bin Sayaji abhee Sing. In re	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4490, 449 4500
bbhapati Mudali s. Muttusvami Mudali bbharam bin Vithoji v. Sadashiv bin Sayaji bbhee Sing. In re abir Khan v. Ram Luckhee Chowdhrain	6 W. R., Cr., 50	4490, 449 4500 4900

The second secon		17
Name of Ca-e.	Volume and Page.	Column "of Digest.
Sabuktulla v. Hari		
Sachit v. Budhua Kuar	10 C. L. R., 199	1687
	I. L. R., 8 All., 429 . }	1422, 2523 5045
Sadabart Prasad Sahu v. Foolbash Koer	3 B. L. R., F. B., 31: 12 W.	2391
Sadagopa Ayyangar v. Dorasami Sastri	R., F. B., 1. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 214	
Sadagonacharvar a Postathna Mudali	5 Mad., 457	4767 3029, 4823
Sadagopa Edintara Maha Desika Swamiar v. Jamuna) Bhai Ammal	I. L. R., 9 Mad, 282	971
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 54	2104, 3253 5414, 5447
Sadakat Hossein v. Mahomed Yusuf .	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 663 : L. R., }	3635
Sadashiv Anaut v. Vithal Anaut Sadashiv Bhaskar Joshi v. Dhakubai	11 I. A., 31. 11 Eom., 32	4023
	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 450	6130
	1. L. K., 6 Bom., 520	2180
	5 Bom., A. C., 156 11 Bom., 190	416
Sadashiv Yeshwant v. Atmaram Sakharam . Sadasiva v. Muttu Sabapathi Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 535	1711, 2126 1243
Sadasiva Pillai v. Ramalinga Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad 106	3553
Sadasiyayyar a Muttu C. L.	15 B. L. R., 383 : 24 W. R., 193 : L. R., 2 I. A., 219.	1684, 3828
	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 106	5437
	1 Agra, 109	364
Sadaya Pillai v. Chinni	3 B. L. R., Ap., 101 : 12 W. }	532
Sadayappa v. Phujama	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 352	4985
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 554 8 B. L. R., 280: 16 W. R.,	2628, 2659
Sadeeronnissa Bibi a Shoumbh D	289.	526
Take of Diag wall o	25 W. R., 459 7 N. W., 53	1780 •
Charan Changra Bose v. Guru Charan Pose	8 B. L. B., 6. note 15 W 1	3407, 3986
Sadhoojunna v. Ramhuray Mandal	R., 99.	3000, 3011
	l Hay, 461 3 N. W., 318	4601
Sadhu Sarun Singh v. Dwarka Singh Sadik Ali Khan v. Imdad Ali Khan	12 C. L. R., 45	3796 13
n. Muhammad fi	L. L. R., 3 All., 286	360
	I. L. R., 2 All., 384 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 437	791
Sadu v. Baiza v. Sambhu		337, 2659 2330, 50 ₀₉
Saduck Sirear v. Wahamaya D.L.	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 592	1521
	5. W. R., Act X, 16	1606
Sadut Ali v. Ramdhone Misser	9 W. R., 142 2 C. L. R., 43	4141 3245
Sadut Ali Khau v. Abdool Gunney	1 B f. P 202, 10 W D .	0240
dunney	171: L. R., I. A., Sup. 5	1439
v. Manikarnika Chowdhrain	Vol., 165. V. R., 1864, 119	4610
Saefoollah Khan a Tush	W. R., 290	525
Saem Sirdar v. Kamaluddy Sirdar	3 W. R., 58	5321
Safdar Ali Khan a Lashman D	2 W. R., 424	4874
Sagangowda bin Basangowda a D'	. L. R, 2 All., 554	306, 2025 4780
Sage v. Mackay & Co.	Bom., 62	4175
V. Nirmiun Chattanian	Hay, 463 . W. R., Cr., 12 .	5753
Cagore Chunder Chaeleaghatta - Ci	Sourke, O. C., 103	1255 1933
		, ,

		· Proceedings
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Sagore Dutt v. Ramchunder Mitter Sagore Monee Dossee v. Biprodoss Dey Sagur Dutt. In the matter of Sahadeo Pandey v. Nokhid Pandey Sahai Nand v. Mungniram Marwari Sahai Pandey v. Sham Narain Sahebdi v. Kuran Saheb Jahan v. Asudoollah Saheb Ram Jha v. Asudoollah Saheb Ram Jha v. Kusheenath Jha Saheb Ram Jha v. Himmut Bahadorah v. Gujadhur Pershad Narain Singh Saheb Ram v. Mahomed Abdul Rahaman Sahib Rattan v. Ibrahim Rattan Sahib Rattan v. Ibrahim Rattan Sahib Rattan v. Ibrahim Rattan Sahib Singh v. Put Ram Sahi Kantan v. Ibrahim Rattan Sahib Singh v. Put Ram Sahi Kondun Lall v. Makhun Lall Sahodra v. Rai Jang Bahadur Sahoo Chund v. Geetum Singh Sahoo Gokul Pershad v. Zynub Saikappa Chetti v. Kulanda Puri Nachiyar alias Kattanan Nachiyar. Saimal Ranchhod v. Dullabh Dvarka Sajani v. Roopram Sajani Valad Godaji v. Anaji valad Lakshman Sajibullah Sirkar v. Hazi Khosh Mohamed Sirkar Sajiwan v. Gopal	1 Hyde, 136 1 W. R., 249 1 R. L. R., O. Cr., 41: 18 W. } R., Cr., 44, note. 15 W. R., 573 1. L. R., 12 Cale., 542 1. L. R., 12 Cale., 542 1. L. R., 2 All., 142 21 W. R., 288 5 W. R., Mis, 28 21 W. R., 295 9 W. R., 285 22 W. R., 221 12 W. R., 512: 4 B. L. R., } A. C., 13. 6 N. W., 268 4 Mad., 115 1 Mad., 441 1 Agra, Rev, 17 1 N. W., 168, Ed. 1878, 247 1 L. R., 8 Cale., 224: L. R., } 8 I. A., 210. 2 Agra, 206 1 N. W., 176: Ed. 1873, 255 3 Mad., 84 10 Bom., 360 2 Agra, 61 4 Bom., A. C., 142, note 1 L. R., 13 Cale., 204 1 B. L. R., S. N., 15: 10 W. R., 149, 4 Bom., A. C., 70 1 L. R., 3 Bom., 193 1 L. R., 8 Bom., 193 1 L. R., 5 Bom., 232	of Digest. 2103, 2823 5106 1152 4880 78, 1225 393 393, 3704 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395 395
Sakharam Govind Kale v. Damodar Akharam	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 468	815, 3451

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Salamat Ali v. Budh Singh	. L. R., 1 All., 303	2020
v. Minahan	L. R., 4 All., 337	2649
Salamat Hossein v. Luckhi Ram	. L. R., 10 Calc., 521	415
Salamut Bebee v. Bhugwan Doss	N. W., 33	2918
	7 W. R., 452	1180, 162
	B. L. R., 126: 15 W. R.,	
the petition of.	F. B., 1.	5139
Bibee.	F. B., 1.	5139
Salgram Misser v. Janki Koer	W. R., 122	168
	O Moore's I. A., 114	3120
	N. W., 38	1691, 455
I Jhunna Kuan	L. R., 4 All., 546	5814
at Inggun Noth	Agra, 137	4603
	N. W., 329	2153
n Mahaan Lall	2 Agra, 235	4464
v. Pursidh Ram		4543
	Agra, 186	1925
	Agra, Mis., 14	1920
(1	12 B. L. R., 167: 18 W. R.,	58, 259
v. Secretary of State	389: L. R., I. A., Sup. }	50, 200
Saligram Singh v. Barun Rai	I N. W., 92	3964
v. Gheenoo Singh 1	6 W. R., 19	4270
v. Gobind Sahai	B. L. R., Ap., 64	819
	W. R., 1864, 36	4011
, 1	Ind. Jur., O. S., 117: 8	
Salikram v. Azim Ali Beg	Moore's 1. A., 270.	4635
Li Wandi a Daireadi Talanandi	D 100 0 171 169	310, 311 4398, 441
Saluji Kesraji v. Rajsangji Jalmsangji	2 Bom., 169: 2nd Ed., 162	5774
alu kom Raghuji v. Ravaji bin Ramjee 1	Bom., 41	3126
Salur Zamindar v. Pedda Pakir Raju I	. L. R., 4 Mad., 371	2062
	. (3545, 354
am v. Appundi Ibrahim Saib 6	Mad., 75 }	5796
amachurn Audhicaree Byragee v. Roopdoss Byragee . 6	W. R., 68	2265
	Mad., 14	6134
	. L. R., 5 Bom., 38	2377, 432
amal Nathu v. Jaishankar Dalsukram I.	L. R., 9 Bom., 254	385
	B. L. R , 390, note	2447
amantha Pandara v. Sellappa Chetti	. L. R., 2 Mad., 175 .	2258
amar Ali v. Karim-ul-lah	. L. R., 8 All., 402	3989
	. L. R., 5 Mad., 47	4981
ama Rayar v. Annamalai Chetti	L. R., 7 Mad., 164	1998
1 . 7 . 7 . 11 . 22	B. L. R., Ap., 120: 12 W.]	1204
	R., 160. 5 L. R., 6 Boin., 391	2295
amathal v. Mathoosri Kamatchi Amma Boyi Saib 🕽 🦼	Mad., 395	3955
Avergut.	Mad., 298	2254
	. L. R., 5 Mad., 378	971
	. L. R., 4 Bom., 89	4195,600
	Hay, 142	411
ami Achari v. Somasundram Achari	L. R., 6 Mad., 119	4995
ami Amangan a Gonal Arrangan	Mod 176	2821
	Mad., 176	4034
ami Chetti v. Ammani Achy	Mad., 260	3046, 40
	. L. R., 1 Mad., 333	4703
aminadha Mudali v. South Indian Railway Company . I	. L. R., 6 Mad., 420	41(19)

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Saminathaiyan v. Saminathaiyan Saminatha Pillay v. Varisai Mahomed Ravattan	4 Mad., 153	2972, 5828 5657
Samiraddi Khalifa v. Haris Chandra	3 B. L. R., A. C., 49: 13 W. }	5411
Samiruddin. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 211: 10 C.] L. R., 11.	1794
Samod Ali v. Mahomed Kassim	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 663: 12 C. } L. R., 269.	3163
Samshere Khan v. Empress	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 154: 8 C. L. (R., 158.	1334
Samsuddin Pirjade v. Ganpatrav Jagannath Samsuddin Sultan v. Ramji Bhika Samuel Pillai v. Ananthanatha Pillai	7 Bom., A. C., 19. 5 Bom. A. C., 151 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 351	312 5826 1031
Samy Aiyangar alias Ramasawmy Aiyangar v. Alaga- singa Aiyangar.	'3 Mad., 33	991
Sandes v. Jomir Shaikh v. Suroop Chunder Biswas Sandys v. Setul Mundul	9 W. R., 899 2 W. R., Act X, 11 10 W. R., 420	5242, 5682 2915 1058
Sangamram v. Sheobart Bhagat	I. L. R., 3 All., 112 . {	1467, 4541 4543, 5278
Sangapa bin Baslingapa v. Gangapa bin Niranjapa .	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 476 .	5243 2793, 5258
Sangapa Malapa v. Bhimangowda Mariapa	10 Bom., 194	6004
Sangappa bin Chanbasappa v. Sahebanna bin Kenged- appa.	7 Bom., A. C., 141	2378
Sangappa bin Ningappa v. Basappa bin Parappa Sangaralingam Pillai. In the matter of Sangili Vira Pandia Chinnatambiar v. Queen Sangili Virapandia Chinnathambiar v. Alwar Ayyan	7 Bom., A. C., 1	4810 292 5525 198, 800
gar. Sangoovien v. Kollathoorayen Sangram Singh v. Bujharat Singh	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 116	2234 1676 3485
v. Rajan Bahi	1. L. R., 12 Calc., 219: L. R., 12 I. A., 183.	1835
Sanioollah v. Aftabooddeen Mahomed Sanjeeviyah v. Nanjiyah Sanjivi v. Errapa	23 W. R., 245 4 Mad., 453 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 290	5348 804 3354
v. Ramasami Sanjoogee Kooer v. Hur Pershad	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 494	828 2084
Sankana Kalana v. Virupakshapa Ganeshapa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 146 .	3958, 402 4614
Sankara Naraina v. Vijaya Raghunadha Mattayan Pannikondar.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 134	1247
Sankaranarayana v. Kunjappa	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 411 3 Mad., 231	3597 1395
Sankara Subbaiyan v. Subba Rau	2 Mad., 1	1741, 342 6084
Sankaravadiyammal v. Kumarasamya Sankar Dobey. In the matter of the petition of Sanniyasi Razu v. Jaganadha Narayana Ramachandra	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 473 4 B. L. R., A. C., 65	829, 517 4852
Razu.	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 268	. 5595
Sansar Roy v. Indrasun Roy Santaiya v. Rannaraya Santaya Mangarsava v. Narayan Santee Ram Jana v. Modoo Mytee	25 W. R., 6	4476 180, 36 4802 4002
		1

Name of Case.	· Volume and Page.	· Column of Digest.
Santiram Panja v. Bykunt Panjah	10 B. L. R., 397 : 19 W. R.,	3801
Saut Kumar v. Deo Saran	I. L. R., 8 All., 365 . {	314, 1421 2292, 2523 5046
Santoo Mundle v. Abdool Biswas Sanwul Singh v. Makhun Pandey	13 W. R., Cr., 35 2 N. W., 143	5154 5471, 5482
Sarabjit Singh v. Chapman	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 81 . L. R., 13 I. A., 44.	3567
Saradamayi Chowdhrain v. Nabin Chandra Roy Chow- 9	2 B. L. R., A. C., 333:11 W.)	4957
dhyy. dasarda Sundari Debi v. Gobindmani Debi Sarada Sundari Debi v. Gobindmani Debi Sarangapani v. Narayanasami Sarapu Venkadesau v. Malai Isvaraiyya Sarasibala Debi v. Nand Lal Sen Sarasnti v. Mannu	R., 255.	2476, 2544 5124 916 3993 338, 2320
Sarasvati v. Pachanna Setti	3 Mad., 258	- 3114
Saraswati Dasi v. Dhanput Singh	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 431: 12 C. } L. R., 12.	1840, 4456 6004
Saraswati Debi v. Nabadwip Chandra Gossain	5 B. L. R., 380 2 B. L. R., Ap., 3	1666 1757
Sarat Soondary Dabea v. Anund Mohun Surma Ghut- (I. L. R., 5 Calc., 273 : 4 C. L)	1189, 1620
Sarat Sundari Debi v. Paresnarain Roy	B. L. R., 113: 16 W. R., P. C., 9	4654
v. Prosonno Coomar Tagore	6 B. L. R., 677: 15 W. R., P. C., 20: 13 Moore's I. A., 607.	293, 740 1754
v. Secretary of State for India	I L. R., 11 Calc., 784	65
v. Surjakant Acharji Chowdhry	2 B. L. R., Ap., 53:11 W. R., 397.	4032
Sarat Sundari Debya v. Soorjya Kant Acharjya Saravana Tevan v. Muttayi Ammal Sarawak and Hindustan Banking and Trading Com-'(2 B. L., A. C., 159 25 W. R., 242 6 Mad., 371 1. L. R., 4 Calc., 704: 3 C. L.	. 1180 44 2381 . 946
pany. Iu the matter of. Sarboree Kanto Bhuttacharjee v. Anadya Kanto Bhutta- 5	R., 581. 12 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 20 W. R., 226.	170, 171
charjee. Sardhari Lal. In the matter of the petition of	13 B. L. R., Ap., 40: 22 W. ?	1317, 1831
Sardowan v. Hurbuns Narain Singh	R., Cr., 10.	4855 1442
Sarifunnissa v. Inayet Hossein	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 415:5} W. R., 88.	4186
Sarju Prasad v. Beni Madho	I. L. R., 6 All., 6	2726
Sarkies v . Bundhoo Baee	1 N. W., Pt. VI, p. 81: Ed. } 1873, 172.	424, 442 2630
v. Kali Coomar Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 98 .	528 1559, 1576
v. Prosonomoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 794: 8 C. L. R., 76.	1709, 1751 5900
v. Sangram Singh	6 B. L. R., 578, note : 14 W., (R., 194.	166
Sarnam Tewari v. Sakina Bibi	I. L. R., 3 All., 37	5668
Saroda Churu Chuckerbutty v. Mahomed Isuf Meah Saroda Mohun Roy Chaodhri v. Dakhina Mohun Roy Chaodhri.	I. L. R., 3 All., 417 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 376 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 308: L. R., 13 I. A., 84.	5961 5448 3349

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
aroda Mohun Roy Chowdhry v. Shama Soonduree). Dossee.	7 W. R., 209	478
v. Shibopooree Dossee	24 W. R., 35	1663
arodapersad Roy Chowdhry v. Nobinchand Dutt arodapershad Chattopadhya v. Brojonath Bhutta- (Marsh., 417: 2 Hay, 527 ° . I. L. R., 5 Calc., 910: 6 C. L. \	568 3173
charjee. aroda Pershad Ganguli v. Pahali Mahanti	R., 195	546
arodapershad Mitter v. Kylash Chunder Banerjee aroda Prasad Mullick v. Lachmipat Singh Dugar	7 W. R., 315 9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 18	4283 1207, 2696
aroda Prosad Gangooly v. Prosonno Coomar Sandial	W. R., 89. I. L. R., 8 Calc., 290: 10 C.	1352, 1390
v. Taruck Chunder Bhutta-)	L. R., 223.	5310
charjee.	2 C. L. R, 325	434, 1892
Saroda Prosaud Mullick v. Lutchmeeput Sing Doogur	289: 14 Moore's I. A., 529)	5481
Saroda Soondery Debea v. Wooma Churn Sircar	3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 17 1 Hyde, 70	6286 2835
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 Hyde, 223	287, 2531
Saroda Soonduree Debee v. Hazee Mahomed Muudul Saroda Soonduree Dossia v. Muddun Mohun Shaha	5 W. R., Act, X, 78	3036 4665, 4666
Saroda Soondury Dossee v. Doyamoyee Dossee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 938	3370, 3399
Sarstedt v. Agra Bank	12 Bom., 268	4752
Sarsuti v. Kunj Behari Lal Sarubai v. Bapu Narhar	I. L. R., 5 All., 345	4470, 4877 4569
Sarupi v. Mukhram	2 N· W., 227	2285
Sarwan Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149	2033 1812
Sashachellum Chetty v. Gobindappa	5 Mad., 451	2075
Sashannah Chetti v. Ramasamy Chetti	4 Mad., 7	1093
Sashi Bhusan Banerjee v. Tarachand Kar {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 329: 11 W. R., 553.	5823
Sashi Bhusan Dutt v. Jadu Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 552	3849, 3850
Sashti Charan Chatterjee v. Tarak Chandra Chatterjee 🖇	8 B. L. R., 315: 15 W. R., F. B., 9.	169
Sasta Kooer v. Kundun Lal	8 W. R., 369	6100
Satapa valad Dau Dongre v. Karbasapa	7 Bom., A. C., 21	483
Satcowree Singh v. Kristo Bangal	11 W. R., 529	3288, 3289
Satcowrie Sein v. Gobind Chunder Sein	2 Ind. Jur, N. S., 56	2547
Satish Chunder Rai Chowdhuri v. Thomas	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 658	5483
Satku valad Kadir v. Ibrahim valad Mirza. Satnarain Singh. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 457 I. L. R., 3 All., 392	5262 6258
Satoor v. Satoor	2 Mad., 8	5210
Satooram Mojoomdar v. Peonath Baneriee	10 W. R., 424	336
Satracherla v. Setarama	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 61	635
Satrakumaji v. Visram Hasgavda	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 97	4794
Satto Saran Ghosal v. Tarini Charan Ghose	3 B. L. R., A. C., 287	5135
Sattyanand Ghosal v. Zahir Sikdar Satyamoni Dassi v. Bhuggobutty Churun Chattopadhya.	6 B. L. R., Ap., 119	2691 479
Satyanon Dassi v. Bhaggobutty Churun Chartopadhya. Satyasaran Ghosal v. Bhairab Chandra Brahmo	2 B. L. R., A. C., 196: 11 W. R., 80.	3507
Sa	2 B. L. R., P. C., 23: 12)	1581, 306
v. Mahesh Chandra Mitter . }	Moore's L A., 263: 11 W. R., P. C., 10.	5357
	[10-5 I - U-5 IU-	3

	Name of Case.	•		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
audamini Deb	ee v. Anand Chandra	Haldar	. {	7 B. L. R., 178, note: 13 W R., 37.	3839
audamini Deb	v. Sarup Chandra R	loγ .	. }	8 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 17 W	2973
aunders. In	he goods of			R, 363. 6 N. W., 62	. 181
v. Ng	a Shoay Geen . manath Paul .			8 W. R., 3 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 395	. 2835, 308 4523
avaklal Karsa	idas v. Ora Nizmudd			8 Bom., O. C., 77	52, 613
avalgiapa Vir	pasapa v. Basvanapa .	Basapa		10 Pom., 399	5279
avanta. In r ava Ramii o	adhavji Nathu. Ex	narte Gamble		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 137 2 Bom., 150 : 2nd Ed., 142	5512 424
avi v. Chand S	ickdar		. :	Marsh., 348: 2 Hay, 438	563
v. Issur C	under Mundul .		• :	20 W. R., 146	4928
v. Jeetoo	Meeah		. {	Marsh., 186: W. R., F. B. 59: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 80	1641
	v		(1 Hay, 451.	567
v. Monesr	Chunder Bose .	: :	: :	W. R., 1864, Act X, 29 2 W. R., Act X, 27	307
v. Puncha			•	25 W. R., 508	3813, 517
avitriava v. A	•	•		12 Bom., 224	5755 5593
avitribai v. Lı				I. L. R., 2 Bom., 573	. 2412, 242
avji bin Satu				8 Bom., A. C., 139	4144 541, 481
awantee v. Se awruth Pande	va Kam		: :	2 N. W., 35 2 Agra, 168	2291
	Bheenuck Sahoo		: {	14 B. L. R., 422 note: 12 W	3919
ayaji v. Umaji				R., 522. 3 Bom., A. C., 27	. 2993, 334
ayaji bin Nim	baji v. Ramji bin La	ngapa		I. L. R., 5 Bom., 446 .	5818 4742
aya Loo v. Ng			٠ .	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 4 4 B. L. R., F. B., 134: 13 W	
•	Roy v. Jan Mahome		. {	R., F. B., 21.	31
	tt v. Saudamini Dasi		• a •	5 B. L. R., 362	6120
	homed Jowad Ali an v. Chaya Khakoor			18 W. R., 532	. 1632
canlan v. Her	old			10 W. R., 295	5615
chiller v. Finl		•	• •	8 B. L. R., 544 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 283	4070
chillizi & Co.	v. Cox, Steel, & Co.			17 W. R., 545	. 5648
	tz, & Co. v. Eastern	n Bengal Ra	ilway }	21 W. R., 380	4707
Company.	b, and Delhi Bank	v. Mudoos	oodun 3	Danala O (1 999	. 1689
Chowdary.			}	Bourke, O. C., 322	598
cott v. Finlay — v. Ricket		•	: .	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 386 . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 356 .	6023
- v. Scott		: :	: :	7 Mad., 394	4441
eale v. Brown	Poss	•		I. L. R., 1 All., 710 . 4 W. R., Cr., 22	1948
ealy v. Ramn: ecretary of Fe	rain Bose	v. Ward		Marsh., 89: 1 Hay, 155	4612
	ate for India. In	the matter	of the z	2 C. L. R., 461	. 1492
		etition of. dul Hakkim	Khan	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 294	4392
		ministrator 6			1672,59
		of Bengal.	3	1 B. H. M., O. C., O.	522
	v. AI	iandomovi D	tem .	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 95	1060

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Secretary of State for India v. Bombay Landing and Shipping Company. v. Hari Bhanj v. Jaunadas v. Jagan Prasad v. Jadah v. Kannachee Boye Sahiba v. Khanzadi v. Khanzadi v. Khemeland Jeychand v. Marjim Hosein Khan v. Muta Sawny v. Marayanan v. Niamekam Pillai v. Nundun Lall v. Nundun Lall v. Rundun Lall v. Rundan v. Rashbehary Mookerjee v. Rashbehary Mookerjee v. Sassoon v. Sham Bahadoor v. Sheo Singh Rai v. Vira Rayan Seebsau gor Tea Co. In the matter of Seedee Nazeer Ali Khan v. Ojoodhya Ram Khan Seeda Kidwar v. Jugurnath Sees Ram v. Chait Ram Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kudwar v. Jugurnath Seesta Kidwar v. Jugurnath	Volume and Page. 5 Bom., O. C., 23 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 273 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 737 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 737 I. L. R., 6 Bom., 737 I. L. R., 12 Cales, 652 7 Moore's I. A., 476 5 B. L. R., 312 I. L. R., 312 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 432 I. L. R., 312 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 439 I. Mad., 360 4 B. L. R., Ap., 84: 13 W., R., 245 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 130 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 130 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 408 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 133 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 133 I. L. R., 7 Cale, 435 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 131 I. L. R., 10 Cale, 435 I. L. R., 27 I. L. R., 10 Cale, 740 J. L. R., 241 I. L. R., 10 Cale, 769 I. L. R., 241, 756 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 175 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 257 S. W. R., 399 Bourke, O. C., 418 Bourke, O. C., 418 Bourke, O. C., 391 2 Agra, 266 3 Agra, 170 Marsh., 465	of
Seetanath Bose v. Sham Chand Mitter Seetanath Ghose v. Madhub Narain Roy Cliowdhry Seetanath Mundul v. Anund Chunder Roy Seetanath Mundul v. Anund Chunder Roy Seetaram In the goods of Seetaram In the goods of v. Dhunnook Dharee Sahy v. Juggobundhoo Bose v. Kishun Dass adias Kishnaram v. Kummer Ali Seetaram Gossain v. Fakeer Chand Chackerbutty Seetaram Gower v. Golucknath Dutt Seetaram Gower v. Golucknath Dutt Seetaram Sahoo v. DaCosta v. Sheo Gholam Sahoo Seetan Sahoo v. Sheo Golam Sahoo v. Sheo Golam Sahoo Seetul Bahoo v. Hurkishen Doss Seetul Bahoo v. Hurkishen Doss Seetul Pershad v. Birj Mohun Dass v. Doolhin Badam Konwur v. Hur Chund Sahoo v. Mahomed Kureem Khan	17 W. R., 418 1 W. R., 329 15 W. R., 5 24 W. R., 1 4 N. W., 60 1 Hay, 260 2 W. R., 167 3 Agra, 402 15 W. R., 253 15 W. R., 253 15 W. R., 253 18 W. R., 254 18 W. R., 244 18 W. R., 325 18 W. R., Cr., 34 19 W. R., 183 18 W. R., Cr., 62 5 W. R., P. C., 76 1 Agra, 263 5 N. R., P. C., 22: 11 Moore's 1 1 Agra, 263 5 N. W., 164	4 482 8495 797, 1981 679 2128 1788 1714 1361 2361 268 1755 4616 773 668 782, 5526 551, 5526 4624 4624 763, 5526

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
	1	
eetul Pershad Mitter v. Junmejoy Mullick	12 W. R., 244	325
eetul Pershad Singh v. Gour Dyal Singh	1 W. R., 283	2087, 218
	1	5213
eetul Singh v. Luchmun Singh	3 N. W. 23 6 W. R., 318	2919 3378
	1 N. W., Part II, p. 31 : Ed. ?	
o, Inakoor lewary	1873, 89.	567
eith Gobin Dass v. Ranchore alias Rughobeer eith Hur Lall v. Manickpal	3 N. W., 324 3 N. W., 176	2203
eith Kishen Chand v. Kour Askunder Gir	1 N. W., 95: Ed. 1873, 145	4012 3495
ekunder Mondul v. Nocowri Biswas	11 C. L. R., 547	4610
elam Sheikh v. Baidonath Ghatak	3 B. L. R., A. C., 312 : 12)	4458, 485
elamut v. Mowla Buksh	W. R., 217. 5 W. R., 194	3658
engamalathammal v. Valaynda Mudali	3 Mad., 312	2492
engotha v. Ramasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 241	2732
ennandan v. Kollkiran	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 208	1771
ennay Poorasay Hindu Janonookoola Nidhi v. Thayar Ammal.	o mau., 195	921
enra Disai Venra Jagath Virarama Dhikku Vijaya) Sethurayar v. Annasami Ayyar.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 359	793
enuk Kachee v. Oree Doobey	2 N. W., 241	371
epahee Singh v. Chundun	2 N. W., 160 : Agra, F. B.,)	1429, 48
erajguuge Jute Company v. Sorabdee Akoond	Ed. 1874, 213. 3 25 W. R., 252	1104
eraj Mundul v. Bistoo Chunder Roy	7 W. R., 459	535
erajool Huq v. Keramatoollah	19 W. R., 88 20 W. R., 242	298
v. Roy Luchmeeput Singh	20 W. R., 242	1143
erumah Umah v. Palathan Vitil Marya Coothy Umah	15 W. R., P. C., 47 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 602	1341, 22 5250
eru Mohun Bania v. Bhagoban Din Pandey	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 192	5962
eshadri Ayyangar v. Sandanam	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 146	3589
eshaiya v. Gouramma	4 Mad., 336	2241
v. Kandaiya	2 Mad., 249	2022
eshathri Ayyengar v. Sankara Ayen	5 Mad., 345	4809 2787
eshathri Ayyengar v. Sankara Ayen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 66	4345, 45
v. Sesnayyangar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 143	5269
eshayyar v. Pappuvaradayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 185	1714
etarama Krishna Rayudappa Ranga Rao v. Vijaya Rama Gajapaty	3 Mad., 31	4402
eta Rama Kristna Rayudappa Ranga Rao v. Jagunti Sitayamma Garu.	Janua, or	3374, 35
eth Dhunraj v. Secretary of State for India .	1 N. W., 118 : Ed. 1873, 7	3790, 44
con Diamin, o Secretary or State for ruth	204.	07 00, 23
eth Gokul Dass Gopal Dass v. Murli	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 602: 2 C. L. R., 156: L. R., 5 I. A., 5	1076, 10 2708, 40
eth Gujmul v. Chahee Kowar	L. R., 2 I. A., 84	4649
eth Jaidial v. Seth Sitaram	L. R., 8 I. A., 215	4225
eth Lakhmi Chand v. Seth Indra Mull	4 B. L. R., P. C., 31: 13 W. R., F. C., 36: 13 Moore's	1728
eth Mulchand Badharsha v. Bai Mancha	I. A., 365. I. L. R., 7 Born., 491	2511
eth Oodey Kurrun v. Chait Ram	2 Agra, 125	5378

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Seth Samur Mull v. Choga Lall . Sethu v. Nayana	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 421 : L. R., } 6 I. A., 238. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 577 . I. L. R., 9 Mad., 112 . 6 Moore's I. A., 204 . 8 B. L. R., 255 : 17 W. R., 165	2067 3310 3554 4645 430, 4127
Setrucherla Ramabhadra Raju Bahadur v. Vairicherla	} I. L. R., 2 Mad., 314	3979
Surianarayana Raju Bahadur. Setiappan v. Sarat Singh Seva Lall v. Mahomed Seva Ram v. Ali Bakhsh Sevinthia Pillay v. Mootoosawmy Sevvaji Vijaya Raghunadha Valoji Kristnan Gopaldar	3 Mad., 220 2 Agra, 160 1. L. R., 3 All., 805 8 W. R., 2	3242 6157 1688 668
v. Chinna Nayana Chetti. Sewak Ram v. Ram Bhawan Ojha	1 Agra, 212	4193, 6001 538
Sewa Ram v. Risal Chowdhry	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 225	4537 5418, 5962
Shaboo Majee v. Noorai Mollah	{ B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 691: 7 } W. R., 386.	5675
Shabudin Mahomed v. Hirnak Rajnak Shadal Khan v. Amin ulla Khan Shadee Lal v. Bhawanee Shadee Ram v. Surma	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 47 I. L. R., 4 All., 92 2 N. W., 52 2 Agra, 110	5846 5054 3271, 3331 4902
Shadhoo Jha v. Bhugwan Chunder Opadhia .	[1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 75: 5 W.]	3076, 518
Shadhoo Singh v. Ramanoograha Lall	R., Act X, 17.	140, 158
Shadhoo Suran v. Bhuggoo Lall	. 12 W. R., 98 . I. L. R., 3 All., 538	1644 4950 823
Shadulla Howladar v. Empress	J. L. R., 9 Calc., 875: 12 C. 7	399
Shafi-uddin v. Lochan Singh	L. R., 506.	4954
Shafkatunissa v. Shib Sahai	I. L. R., 4 All., 171 (B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 646: 2)	4878
Shahabooddeen v. Futteh Ali	Ind. Jur., N. S., 135: 7	5314
Shahaboodeen v. Naduroqiuma Shahaboodeen Chowdhry v. Ramgutty Chuckerbutty Shah Almed Sujad v. Taree Rai "Shah Callander." In the matter of the ship Shaheb Tewaree v. Kishoree Sahoy Singh	12 W. R., 44 9 W. R., 556 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 343 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 263 24 W. R., 330	3419 4468, 5411 319 3782, 5642 4911
Shahebzadi Begum v. Himmat Bahadur	{ 4 B. L. R., A. C., 103: 12 } W. R., 512.	2735, 3637 3693, 3700
Shahiram v. Shib Lal	. I. L. R., 7 All., 378	4836, 5430
Shahjan Bibee v. Shib Chunder Shaha	. 22 W. R., 314	5700 3679
Shah Makhanlal v. Srikrishna Singh	B. L. R., P. C., 44: 11 W. R., P. C., 19: 12 Moore's	510, 157 4020, 418
Shah Muhammad v. Kashi Das Shah Sahib. Iu the matter of . Shahunshah Begum v. Fergusson Shahye Royre v. Barton Shahw Bu v. Singaravelu Mudali Shakaram Mahadev v. Hari Krishna Shakur Mahomed v. Chunder Mohun Sha	I. A., 157. I. L. R., 7 All., 109 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 19 1 L. L. R., 7 Calc., 499 Marsh., 250: 2 Hay, 14 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 294 I. L. R., 6 Eom., 113 21 W. R., Cr., 88 3 B. L. R., A. C., 61: 11 W.	. 5238 6178 741, 4123 3076 1665 4314 3864
Shalgram v. Kubirun	R., 400.	4928

Name of Case	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Shama Charan Chatterji v. Madh ub Chandra Mookerji.	I. L. R., 11 Cale, 93	813, 4467
Shamacharan Das v Joyenoolah	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 750 . {	4798, 4799
hama Charan Ghose v. Taraknath Mukhopadhya	3 B. L. R., Ap., 115	4850 5777
Shama Charan Neogi v. Nabin Chandra Dhoba . {	6 B. L. R., Ap., 1: 15 W. R., }	4824
Shama Churn Audhicaree Byragee v. Roop Doss Byragee	6 W. R., 68	2338
hama Churn Bhutt v. Payne & Co.	18 W. R., 292	5891
hama Churn Bose v. Bhola Nath Dutt hama Churn Chatterjee v. Boidonath Banerjee	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 9	5277 5287
v. Prosono Coomar Santikaree .	5 C L R., 251	5025
hama Churn Chuckerbutty v. Bindabun Chunder Roy	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 892: 9 } W. R., 181.	5131, 5133 5142
hama Churn Dey v. Chunder Coomar Mookerjee	I. L. R., 8 Cale., 677	4571
hama Churn Ghose v. Radha Kristo Chaklanuvis .	14 W. R., 210	243
Shama Churn Halder v. Beharee Lall Koilay Shama Churn Lall v. Collector of Tirhoot.	14 W. R., 443 1 W. R., 308	1380 3288
	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 52: 24 W. 7	4662
Chama Churn Mullick. In the goods of	R., 206.	2732
Shama Churn Singh v. Protab Coomar Ghossal	20 W. R., 292 2 C. L. R., 23	4991
Shama Kant Bundopadhya. In the matter of	14 W. R., Cr., 69 .	2925
hama Keshee v. Raj Kishore	14 W. R., 179	485
hamanund v. Nund Koomar	3 Agra, 290: Agra, F. B., Ed. }	5745
v. Ramavatar Pandey	1 Agra, Rev., 1	4902
hamanund Surma v. Shumbhoo Chunder Doss	7 W. R., 205 3 W. R., P. C., 11: 10 Moore's I. A., 203	795 3877
Shamapuddo Dutt v. Nobin Chunder Bose	15 W. R., 283	796
hama Puddo Moitro v. Dinonath Bagehee	25 W. R., 108	4294
hama Sankar Biswas. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 16	1853 1806, 4489
of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 45 }	6255
hama Soondary v. Hurro Soondary	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 348: 8 C. L. R., 528.	309
hama Soonderee Dabee v. Prosonno Coomar Tagore .	1 W. R., 114	67
hama Soonderee Debea v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	7 W. R., 376	1907
hama Soonderee Dossee v. Bindabun Chunder Mo-	Marsh., 199: 1 Hay, 574 .	4933
hama Soondery Debia v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	6 W. R., Cr., 10	4501
Shamasoondery Debea v. Bejoy Gobind Bural	W. R., 1864, 331	5267 3418
Shama Soondery Dossea v. Tarinee Churn Singh	Bourke, O. C., 326	2457
hama Soonduree v. Shurut Chander Dutt	8 W. R., 500	2507
hama Soonduree Chowdhrain v. Jumoona Chowdhrain	24 W. R., 86	2208, 2488
Shamasoonduree Debia v. Binode Lall Pakrashee .	14 W. R., 396 12 W. R., 164	1889 1994, 4195
v. Degumburee Debia	21 W. R., 368	325
v. Collector of Maldah v. Degamburee Debia v. Digamburee Debia v. Digamburee Debia v. Kristo Chunder Roy v. Mallyut Mundul v. Puresh Narain Roy v. Rohimooddeen Sirdar	13 W. R., 1	161,5171
v. Kristo Chunder Roy	13 W. R., 316 11 W. R., 101	2974 3789
v. Puresh Narain Rov	20 W. R., 182	2879
v. Rohimooddeen Sirdar	24 W. R., 71	4409
hamasundari Debi v. Dukhu Mandal	2 B. L. R., A. C., 227	3789
v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co.	3 B. L. R., Ap., 120: 12 W. R., 160.	4299

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digdst,
ihamasundari Delii v. Sital Khan	ç	8 B. L. R., Ap., 85, note: 157	2973 .
Shamasunduri Dabi v. Nobin Chunder Kolya .		W. B., 474. 6 C. L. R., 117	3047 3818
hama Sunker Chowdhry v. Sreenath Banerjee hamasunkur Mozoomdar v. Anundmoyee Dassya		12 W. R., 854	1806, 4489 6255
ham Bagdee v. Fukeer Chand Bagdee hambhu Nath Nath v. Ram Chandra Shaha		6 W. R., 222 I. L. R., 12 Calc., 267	5280 3211
ham Chand Bysack v. Bungo Chunder Chatterjee		Marsh., 556: 2 Hay., 663 18 W. R., 4:14 Moore's I. A., ?	5765
v. Kishen Prosaud Surma v. Lucas	į	595.	41, 294 3526
Sham Chand Ghose v. Ram Krishto Bewrah. Sham Chand Giree. In the matter of the petition of	:	5 W. R., Mis., 5 19 W. R., 309 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 243	1768 5928
Sham Chand Koondoo v. Kally Kanth Roy Sham Chand Kundu v. Brojonath Pal Chowdhry Sham Chand Mitter v. Juggut Chunder Sircar	:	Marsh., 336 : 2 Hay, 314 12 B. L. R., 484 : 21 W. R., 94 22 W. R., 50	3143 5305, 530 4336, 531
Sham Chund Doss v. Cox Sham Chunder Adhicary v. Sobin Bhoopal Sing	· ·	Cor., S2 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 397: 10 C.)	4587 739
Sham Chund Ghose Mundul v. Doyamoyee Mundulnee		L. R., 419.	1715
Sham Chund Mitter. In the matter of	· {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106 . I. L. R., 1 Calc., 422: 25 W.	2921 4570
Sham Churn Koondoo v. Dwarkanath Kubeeraj Sham Coomar Roy v. Juttun Bibee Shamdanee v. Bhojoo Ram Sham Doss v. Bhola Doss Shamee Mahomed v. Prodhan Palee Shamee Mahomed Sircar v. Brinda Mudle		R., 228.) 19 W. R., 100	1615 5378 5927 2843 5767 3130
hami Mohammed v. Mohammed Ali Khan	{	2 B. L. R., Ap., 22: 11 W.	3446, 347
Shamjee Nashyo. In the matter of Shamjha v. Doorga Roy Shamkant Banerjee v. Gopal Lal Tugore Shamkaran v. Piari		R., 67. 1 C. L. R., 481 7 W. R., 122 1 W. R., 328 I. L. R., 5 All., 596	4344 5570 4924 67, 3186 3460
Sham Kishore Mundle v. Shoshibhoosun Biswas .	5	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 707: 5 C. L. R., 509.	769, 452
sham Kishore Shaw v Cowie sham Kuar v. Gaya Din sham Lai v. Bahadoor Ali v. Bunna v. Hira Chand v. Kanahia Lai	:	2 Ind. Jur., 9. S., 7 I. L. R., 1 All., 255 7 W. R., 156 I. L. R., 4 All., 296 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 367 I. L. R., 4 All., 316	1395 2288 1471 2433 1516 3533
Sham Lall alias Shama v. Anuntee Lall Sham Lall Ghose v. Boistub Churn Mozoomdar v. Muddun Gopal Ghose	•	5 B. L. R., Ap., 21 24 W. R., 312 7 W. R., 407 6 W. R., Act X, 37	685 5767 1614 1610
V. Sekunder Khan Bham Lall Sahoo v. Afsuroonissa v. Hady Bunjara	•	3 W. R., 182 22 W. R., 184 2 Hay, 522	4206 3725 5185
Sham Lall Sein v. Hurosoondry Gooptea	{	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36: 5 W. R., 29.	1136, 223
Shamlall Set v. Huro Soonduree Goopta	{	5 W. R., 29:1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 36.	1136, 223
Sham Lall Singh v. Radha Bibee Sham Manna v. Ramdyal Goohoo		4 C. L. R., 173 1 W. R., Mis., 3	1834 677

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shamnarain v. Administrator General of Bengal	23 W. R., 111	4189 1567, 2298 5762 5183 4812
Sham Narain Singh v. Rughoobur Dyal	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 508: 1 C. L. ?	2366, 3997
Sham Narayan Lal v. Khimajit Matoe	R., 343. 4 B. L. R., F. B., 1 I. L. R., 10 Bonn, 200 .	4807, 4812 5727, 5958
Shamrav Pandurang v. Trustees of Bhugvan Das Pur- shotom Das.	5 Bom., O. C., 163	458
Shamsonnessa Begum v. Love Sham Soonder Bhuttacharjee v. Monee Ram Doss Sham Soonder Kooer v. Junna Kooer Sham Soonder Kooer v. Rajendur Misser Sham Soondur Koonwar v. Rughoonath Shhaye Sham Soudur Koonwar v. Rughoonath Shhaye Sham Sunder Dass v. Raheem Buksh Shand & Co. v. Atmakuri Adinarayana Chetti Shankara v. Hanna	L L. R., 11 Calc., 527	433 5282 2164, 3255 3820 225 5457 1061 5224
Shankar Abaji Hoshing. In the matter of the petition	6 Bom., Cr., 69	3611, 6028
of. Shankarapa Dargo Patel v. Danapa Virantapa Shankar Bapu v. Vishnu Narayan Shankar Daha Vishnu Narayan Shankar Dhan Vishnu Narayan Shankar Dhan Vishnu Narayan Shankar Baharati Srami v. Venkapa Naik Shankar Bav v. Jegraf Singh Shankar Dal v. Amir Haider Shankar Lal v. Sukhrani Shankar Lal v. Sukhrani Shankar Ramchandra v. Vishnu Anant Shanka v. Savage Shanmuga v. Meddleton Shannon. In the matter of the petition of Shantapa v. Belapa Shanti Lakshminarasamma v. Vepa Venkatramadas Shattomone Gooptah v. Sutto Bhama Gooptah Shanto Teorni v. Belilios Shapurji Jahangirji v. Superintendent of the Poona City Jail. Shapurji Jehangir v. Morgan Shapurji Jivanji v. Collector of Bombay Shapurji Nowroji Pochaji v. Bhikaiji Sharafatumissa v. Laclani Narain	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 604 4 Bom., A. C., 79 9 Bom., 69 1 L. R., 9 Bom., 429 1 L. R., 5 All., 599 1 L. R., 2 All., 752 1 L. R., 2 All., 752 1 L. R., 2 All., 442 1 L. R., 1 Bom., 67 1 L. L. R., 7 Calc., 177 1 Mad., 443 2 N. W., 79 1 L. R., 6 Bom., 561 3 Mad., 237 7 W. R., 34 3 B. L. R., Ap., 151 12 Bom., 238 4 Bom., A. C., 187 1 L. R., 10 Bom., 483 1 L. R., 10 Bom., 242 7 N. W., 288	1520, 4012 4616 3442, 3975 2257 4802 226 5851 4517 3845, 6086 3851 3933 5691 4184 976 3328 655, 5659 2901 3367 3256, 3257
Sharat Chunder Burmon v. Hurgobindo Burmon	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 510 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 810 : 12 C.	1177, 2879
Sharat Chunder Ghose v. Kartick Chunder Mitter Sharat Sundari Dabia v. Bhobo Pershad Khan Chowdhur Sharnan v. Gour Shah Bangally Sharo Bibi v. Baldeo Das Sharoda Koomaree Dossee v. Mohinee Mohun Ghose Sharoda Moyee Burmonee v. Wooma Moyee Burmonee Sharodapersaud Mookerjee v. Bengal Indigo Co.	I. R., 455. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 101 Marsh., 542 1 B. L. R., 0. C., 24 20 W. R., 272 8 W. R., 9 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 32	998 3421 1367 4671 5323 333, 410 1880 2817
Sharoda Pershad Gangooly v. Raj Mohun Roy Sharoda Pershad Mookerjee v. Sreenath Mookerjee Sharoda Pershad Mulike v. Dhunput Singh Sharoda Pershad Roy v. Bholanath Bauerjee Sharoda Prosunno Mookerjee v. Bipeen Beharee Bose	18 W. R., 165 15 W. R., 520 19 W. R., 219 11 W. R., 441 13 W. R., 71	3803 4935 4958 1099 1596

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Sharoda Soondari Debia v. Gonee Sheik Sharoda Soonduree Debee v. Gobind Monee alias Brojo	10 W. R., 419	3020
Sonduree Dabee. Shashi Bhusan Chuckrabutty. In the matter of the ?	24 W. R., 179	249, 317 1272
petition of.	1 B. L. R., A. C., 45:10 W. R., ?	
Shashi Bhushan Bhadury. In re	82.	4434
Sha Sufi Sufila v. Official Trustee of Bengal . Shathuk Paul v. Gudadhur Roy Shatyanundo Ghosal v. Camperdown Pressing Co. Shaunkara Subbien v. Vellayan Chetty Shaw v. Bill v. Subramier	L. R., 8 I. A., 197 4 W. R., 100 21 W. R., Cr., 43 5 Mad., 179 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 38 5 Mad., 108	3326 2772 1267, 2924 5675 1090 2647
Shayama Sunduri Dasi v. Watson & Co	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 720 : 11 C. L. R., 98.	1242
Shearman v. Fleming	5 B. L. R., 619 . '. }	1730, 3200
Shebosoonduree Debea v. Mahomed Ali Sheeb Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Saadut Ally Khan Sheeb Chunder Mahnesah v. Brojonath Aditiya Sheeb Dyal Fauleet v. Dwarkanath Sookul Sheeb Narain Roy v. Chidam Doss Byragee Sheebpershad Chuckerbutty. In the matter of the pe-	W. R., 1864, 137	5644 2018 4101 3071, 5073 3024, 4171 4150
tition of.	17 W. R., Cr., 46	5508
Sheelabutty Debia v. Tarinee Churn Chuckerbutty Sheen v. Johnson	9 W. R., 597 I. L. R., 2 All., 368	763 4334
Sheero Coomaree Dabee v. Keshub Chunder Bose	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 188 : 5 W.)	4956
Sheeroo Coomaree Dabee v. Mahatab Chund	R., 224. W. R., 1864, 380	878
Sheetanath Mookerjee. In the matter of the petition of (I. L. R., 6 Cale., 303: 7 C.)	681
Sheetul Pershad v. Mahomed Kureem Khan Sheetul Pershad Mitter v. Junnejoy Mullick Shekari Varma Valia Rajah v. Mangalom Amugar Shekhara Paniker v. Ravu Nayar	L. R., 475. 5 N. W., 164 12 W. R., 244 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 57 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 193	765 1723 3767 3763
Shémsulosman v. Bansidhar Dutt Sheobaran v. Bhairo Prasad Sheobharos Rai v. Jiach Rai Sheobhurut Jall v. Ram Purtap Singh Sheobhurut Singh v. Lalljee Chowdhry	7 B. L. R., Ap., 32 I. L. R., 7 All., 880 I. L. R., 8 All., 462 3 W. R., Act X, 20 13 W. R., 202	1627 1346, 1443 4546 4394 80
Sheoburt v. Dharee Thakoor	2 Agra, Pt. II, 194	2500, 3985
Sheochurn v. Bussunt Singh $\{$	3 N. W., 282 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 258.	1658, 3003
Sheo Churn Doss v. Rampershad Tewarry Sheo Churn Kandoo v. Goodur Burnwar Sheo Churn Lall v. Heera Lall	10 Moore's I. A., 490	2351 1346
v. Ram Surun Sahoo Sheo Churn Narain Singh v. Chukraree Pershad Narain }	16 W. R., 49 15 W. R., 436	886 1143, 1925 4255
Singh. Sheo Churn Sahoo v. Curtis	3 W. R., 139	4604
Shee Churn Singh v. Gorachand Ghose	3 W. R., Act X, 125 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 91 : 7 C. L.	5190
Shee Churun Singh v. Fakera Doobay	R., 69.	5061
Sheo Dayal Mal v. Hari Ram	I. L. R., 7 All., 590	4798, 6136
petition of. Sheo Dial Chaubey v. Collector of Gorakhpur	I. L. R., 3 All., 229	4811
	I. L. R., 5 All., 264	2091

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
•	•	
Sheo Disht Narain Singh v. Rameshar Dial.	I. L. R., 7 All., 188	2869
Sheodut Ram Tewary a Ramadhran	1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 35; Ed.)	4535
Sheodyal Aheer v. Gool Mahomed Khan	1873, 93.	
Sheo Dyal Pooree v. Mohabeer Pershad	2 N. W., 296	4826
Sheodyal Singh v. Girban Singh	10 W. R., 477 6 W. R., Cr., 73, 79	1736, 4473 19
v. Hodgkinson .	24 W. R., 342	1755, 5765
v. Mahomed Kamil	3 Agra, Mis., 2	5916
Sheo Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree		1209, 2444
v. Judoonath Tewaree	9 W. R., 61 }	2454, 2466
Sheogholam v. Buddree Nath	4 N. W., 36	$\frac{2467}{4789}$
Sheo Gholam v. Ramjeawun Singh	5 N. W., 114	4915
Sheo Gholam Sahoo v. Rahut Hossein	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 6	5449
Shee Ghelem Sahu v. Khub Lall	2 C. L. R., 206	5449
Sheo Gholam Singh v. Nursingh Sheo Gobind v. Sham Narain Singh	4 N. W., 120 7 N. W., 75	161, 5171
Sheo Gobind Rawut v. Abhai Narayan Singh	5 B. L. R., Ap., 17	1464, 2454 322, 6089
Sheo Golam Lall v. Beni Prosad	I. L. B., 5 Calc., 27: 4 C. L.	
,	R., 29.	1686
Sheogolam Sahoy v. Mohadeo Lall Sahoo	18 W. R., 110	5755
Sheo Golam Singh v. Ramroop Singh . ,	15 B. L. R., 34, note: 23 W.	4004
v. Roy Dinker Dyal	R., 25. 21 W. R., 226	3907
v. Roy Dinkar Dyal	12 W. R., 215	4929
Sheogolam Tewaree v. Faquera Misser	'3 Agra, 400	46
Sheo Jalun v. Gunesh Sheojuttun Roy v. Anwar Ali	2 Agra, 237 13 W. R., 189	3530 2737, 3710
Sheo Lall Bohree v. Mahomed	13 W. R., P. C., 4	2005
Sheo Lall Chowdhury v. Chunder Benode Oopadhya	9 W. R., 586	1408
Sheo Lall Sahoo v. Rumzanee	2 Agra, 35	4536
Sheo Narair v. Hira	I. L. R., 7 All., 525	4545
v. Joogal Kishen Ram	I. L. R., 4 All., 281 7 W. R., 327	6101: 3181
Sheo Narain Bhuggut v. Budh Singh .	11 W. R., 423	158
Sheo Narain Singh v. Bishen Prosad Singh	10 C. L. R., 337	2508, 3400
v. Choonee Bhuggut	24 W. R., 299	5623
Sheo Narayan Sing v. Harbans Lal	10 C. L. R., 337	2508, 3400 795
	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 161:5 W.)	100
Sheonath alias Burray Kaka v. Ramnath alias Chotay S	R., P. C., 21: 10 Moore's	353
	I. A., 413,	
Sheonath Singh v. Ram Thul Rae	1 N. W., Pt. II, 39 : Ed. 1873, }	567, 5119
Sheo Nauth Singh v. Bishonath Singh	2 Agra, Pt. II, 191	4579
Sheo Nund Rai v. Mahanund Ram	1 Agra, 45	388
Sheo Nundun Pershad v. Mukdoom Buksh	20 W. R., 364	6105
Sheo Nundun Singh v. Ghunsam Kooeree Sheonundun Singh v. Kahsa Kooer	21 W. R., 143 6 N. W., 179	87
Sheopal v. Deen Dyal	5 N. W., 145	· 2078 3958
v. Khadim Hossein	7 N. W. 220	3443
Sheopalul Singh v. Nabee Ashruf Khan	3 N. W., 342	567
Sheopal Singh v. Rai Seetaram .	3 N. W., 18	4927
Sheo Partab Narain Singh v. Sheo Gholam Singh Sheo Pergah Roy v. Injore Tewaree	I. L. R., 2 All., 875 21 W. R., 433	3144 5810
Sheo Persad Jha v. Gunga Ram Jha	5 W. R., 221	2392

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sheopersad Singh v. Leela Singh	{ 12 B. L. R., 188 : 20 W. R., 160.	1166
Sheopershad, Lall v. Thakoor Rai	3 Agra, 254: Agra, F. B., Ed. } 1874, 153.	1471
Sheo Pershad Narain v. Collector of Monghyr .	. 7 W. R., 5	23,38, 3565 3566
Sheopershad Ram v. Thakoorpershad	. 5 W. R., 103	2084
Sheopershad Singh v. Gopal Lall	. 14 W. R., 276 [I. L. R., 5 Calc., 543 : 5 C.]	5271
v. Kally Dass Singh	(L. R., 138.	519, 3069
Sheo Pershad Sookool v. Shunkur Sahoy	. 24 W. R., 281 . 16 W. R., 190	4157 1434, 4309
Sheo Pershad Tewary v. Moleema Beebee	. 1 N. W., 53: Ed. 1873, 108 . 5 W. R., Mis., 23	1539 3496
Sheo Pertab Narain Singh v. Hurshunker Pershad Sing	h 5 N. W., 40.	* 2919.
Sheo Prasad v. Anrudh Singh	. I. L. R., 2 All., 273	3481 295, 4906
v. Miller	. I. L. R., 6 All., 440 I. L. R., 2 All., 474	2643
v. Miller v. Udai Singh Sheoprokash Doss v. Joyram Doss	. I. L. R., 2 All., 718	3437
Sheo Prokash Misser v. Fukeer Roy	5 W. R., Mis., 57.	2332
v. Hurdai Narain	. 22 W. R., 550	. 5482
v. Ram Sahoy Singh .	8 B. L. R., 165: 17 W. R.,	5189
Sheoproshad v. Jung Bahadoor	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 389: 12 C. L. R., 494.	2176
Sheoprosun Misser v. Rajender Kishore Singh .	. W. R., 1864, 100	4531
Sheo Prosunno Chobey v. Gopal Surn	15 W. R., 529 13 W. R., 232	1490, 1884
Sheo Prosun Singh v. Brojoo Sahoo	7 W. R., 232	3912
Shee Purshun Chobey v. Collector of Sarun .	. 13 W. R., 256 {	163, 686 1487
Sheo Purshun Pandey v. Brun Pandey	. 24 W. R., 251	5766
Sheopurshun Singh v. Rajendro Kishore Singh Sheoraj Kooer v. Nuckchedee Lall	. 12 W. R., 123 14 W. R., 72	5342 4155
Sheoraj Nuudun Singh v. Rajcoomar Baboo Deo Nun-	24 W. R., 23	4998, 6223
dun Singh. Sheoraj Rai v. Kashi Nath	I. L. R., 7 All., 247	5031
Sheoraj Singh v. Banwari Das	· I. L. R., 6 All., 172	5956
v. Collector of Moradabad	2 N. W., 379	1248, 3352
v. Legge	3 Agra, 293 7 N. W., 354	5190 4398
Sheoram Singh v. Sewak Ram	. 20 W. R., 83	4764
Sheo Ratan v. Lappu Kuar	. I. L. R., 5 All., 14	5126, 5788
Sheo Ratan Kuar v. Mahipal Kuar	. I. L. R., 7 All., 258 . }	1501, 3898 4552
Sheo Ratan Lal v. Chotey Lal	. I. L. R., 3 All., 647	5398
Sheo Ratan Singh v. Sheo Sahai Misr Sheoruttungir v. Doorga	. I. L. R., 6 All., 358 6 N. W., 36	5030
Sheo Ruttun Koonwar v. Gour Beharee Bhukut	7 W. R., 449	4194 2150, 2342
Sheo Ruttun Singh v. Phool Koomaree	W. R., 1864, Act X, 68.	3044
Sheo Sahae Panday v. Rutta Beebee	2 N. W., 180	3196
Sheo Sahai v. Ata Hossein Sheo Sahoy Pandey v. Ram Bhunjun Singh	2 Agra, Rev., 10	2975 1922
Sheo Sahoy Singh v. Bechun Singh	23 W. R., 127 22 W. R., 31	2993, 4899
v. Biri Behary Singh	. 23 W. R.; 195	3526
Sheo Sahoy Tewaree v. Rampershad Narain Tewaree	. 24 W. R., 333	4910

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
heo Saran Tato v. Empress	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 877	5571
heo Saran Tato v. Empress heo Shunkur Lall v. Dhurm Joy Pooree	8 W. R. 360	4589
beo Shunkur Sahoy v. Hirdey Narain Sahn .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 25:5 C. L.	4845
	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 25 : 5 C. L. R., 194. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 143 : 12 C. L. R., 34.	4871
v. Hridhoy Narain	L. R., 34.	
heo Singh Rai v. Dakho	6 N. W., 392	2125, 222 2313
		1405, 140
V	I. L. R., 1 All., 688 : 2 C. L. R., 193 : L. R., 5 I. A., 87.	2125,464
neo Sohve Roy v. Luchmeshur Singh	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 577 .	3406
neo Soondary v. Pirthee Singh	L. R., 4 I. A., 147	2296
heo Soonduree v	21 W. R., 89	2280, 228 2841
aco Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon	3 W. R., 33 8 W. R., 328	1745, 181
teo Sunaye Roy v. Goodur Roy	3 C. L. R., 280	4730
neo Surn Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh	3 W. R., 33 8 W. R., 328 3 C. L. R., 280	135
v. bee Sohye Roy v. Luchmeshur Singh bee Soondary v. Pirthee Singh bee Soondurev v. bee Suhaye Dhamee v. Bhooree Mahtoon bee Suhaye Roy v. Goodur Roy bee Surn Lail. In the matter of bee Surn Singh v. Ram Khelawun Singh bee Surn Lail v. Chumun Lail beessurun Lail v. Chumun Lail beessurun Chin v. Goolbanee Kooer beessurun Sahai v. Mohomed Fazil Khan beothul Ram v. Ram Marain Singh beo Tuhul Singh v. Ram Kooer beoumbur Iki v. Sheebhung Rai	24 W R 220	4476
neosurun Ojha v. Goolbanee Kooer	W. R., 1864, 264 . ·	1778
neo Surun Šahai v. Mohomed Fəzil Khan	W. R., 1864, 264 10 W. R., Cr., 20 5 C. L. R., 291 W. R., 1864, 311	4451,628 2268
heotuhul Ram v. Ram Narain Singh	. 5 C. L. R., 291	3717
heo Tuhul Singh v. Ram Kooer	W. R., 1864, 311	3041
neoumbur Kai v. Sheobhung Rai heoumber Sahoo v. Bhowaneedeen Kulwar		3388
nomband at Home	Cor 67	132
v. Trustees of the Port of Bombay	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 132	2619
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 1. 1. D., 1 Dom., 4//	3102
herafutoollah Chowdhry v. Abedoonissa Bibee .	. 17 W. R., 374	1899
heraj Ali Chowdhry v. Ramjan Bibee	8 W. R., 204 : 2 Ind. Jur., N. 3 S., 249.	3707
herajooddeen Ahmed v. Horel Singh	25 W. R., 116	2357
here Ali a Prendangust	. I. L. R., 13 Calc., 143	5663
here Bahadur Singh v. Dariao Kuar	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 645	4222, 464 553
heriff v. Dina Nath Mookerjee heriff of Bombay. In re	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 258	5641
hera Bahadur Singh v. Dariao Kuar heriff v. Dina Nath Mookerjee heriff of Bombay. In re herif Saib v. Usanabibi Ammal her Mahomed. In the matter of herman v. Schorn hero Coomarce Dabes v. Shifaram Hazra	6 Bom., O. C., 22 6 Mad., 452	3646
her Mahomed. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 511	1287,558
herman v. Schorn	. 24 W. R., 124	3562
	24 W. R., 124 21 W. R., 346	3878
hero Koomaree Debee v. Keshub Chunder Bose .	8 W. R., 131 13 B. L. R., Ap., 17	· 4957 829
herokumari Debi v. Shitaram Hazdra heroccoomaree Debia v. Keshub Chunder Bosoo .	13 B. L. R., Ap., 17 18 W. R., 1	4457
heshgiri Shanbhog v. Salvador Vas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 5	1720, 54
hetabdee Biswas v. Molamdee Mundul	25 W. R., 30	288
1.41 72.1 1 . 27 1	* * D 0 D 100	590, 31
neem kanangas Marangas v. Damadhai		4260
neon Anananas Avaranas v. Daniaonai hedilif v. Scott hetul Shaikh v. Hills hewab Singh v. Asgur Ali hewak Mahtoon v. St. Joseph	. 22 W. R., 39	592, 68 3805, 386
herral Singh at Agent Ali	24 W. R., 184 6 W. R., 267	1828
hewak Mahtaan a St. Jasanh	9 C. L. R., 21	4325
Shewak Ram v. Bhowani Buksh Singh		2205
hewak Ram Roy v. Mohammed Shamsul Hoda .	6 3 B. L. R., A. C., 196: 12 }	1415
Shew Narain Singh v. Miller	W. R., 26.	449, 26
Shewram v. Rohomutoollah	. W. R., 1864, 94	4331
Shew Sukov Lall v. Wajed Ali Khan	. 13 W. R., 205 · · ·	2737
Shiam Lall v. Narain Dass	. 2 Agra, 106	4902

Shibba v. Hulasi - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlan - v. Ramlan - v. Ramlan - v. Ramlam	2 Agra, 343. I. L. R., 5 All., 518 3 N. W., 216 I. L. R., 2 All., 619 I. L. R., 2 All., 619 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 570 9 C. 3 B. L. R., 30 I. L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis., 51 13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 298 15 W. R., 193 5 L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 360 3 W. R., 1844, 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1884, Mis., 3	79 5694 1176 217 4832 2666 377 3448 1144 1928, 353; 3811, 564; 299 5718 5624 4910, 610. 528 3055, 581 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shibba v. Hulasi - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlal - v. Ramlan - v. Ramlan - v. Ramlan - v. Ramlam	I. L. R., 5 All, 518 3 N. W., 216	5694 1176 217 4832 2666 377 3448 11344 1928, 583 500, 884 299 5718 4910, 610 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 22412, 242
Bhibban Lal v. Tiloke Chand Shib Chandra Chakravati v. Johobux Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of Shib Chandra Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain Shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain Shib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee Shib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Dassia Shib Chunder Ghuttack v. Collector of Tipperah Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind Shib Chunder Sirear v. Journal Dasi Shib Churan Sen v. Journal Dasi Shib Churan Sen v. Journal Dasi Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dasyee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Dean Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshuree Debia v. Motbooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Debia v. Leekvith Shibessuree Debia v. Leekvith Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Gopal v. Baltlee Sahai	3 N. W., 216 1. L. R., 2 All., 619 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 570: 9 C. } 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 570: 9 C. } 1. L. R., 7 Calc., 570: 9 C. } 1. L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis., 51 1. 3 W. R., 298 1. 13 W. R., 298 1. 13 W. R., 29 1. 13 W. R., 29 1. 14 W. R., 29 1. 15 W. R., 139 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 476 1. W. R., 212 1. C. L. R., 103 1. C. L. R., 397 1. L. R., 2 All., 443 4. N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	1176 217 4832 2666 377 3448 1144 1928, \$53; 3811, 564; 381, 564; 299 5718 5624 4910, 610: 528 3055, 531, 3209, 353, 2412, 242;
Shibban Lal v. Tiloke Chand Shib Chandra Chakravati v. Johobux Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of Shibcharan v. Ratiram Shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain Shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain Shib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee Shib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. Shib Chunder Ooss v. Cochrane. Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Dassia Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indux Bunwaree Gobind Shib Chund Estrear v. Juggut Indux Bunwaree Gobind Shib Chund Sirear v. Juggut Indux Bunwaree Gobind Shib Chund sen v. Joanalhon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibesuree Debia v. Motbooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharje Shib Golam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Glam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Glam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce	I. L. R., 2 All., 619 1. L. R., 7 Cale., 570: 9 C. 3 L. R., 224 8 B. L. R., 30 1. L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis., 51 13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 29 16 W. R., 29 15 W. R., 246 11 L. R., 1 Cale., 476 12 W. R., 242 12 W. R., 245 12 W. R., 245 12 W. R., 245 12 W. R., 245 12 W. R., 247 13 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 397 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 1 C. L. R., 241, 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	217 4832 2666 377 3448 1144 11928, 5837 3811, 5644 299 5718 5624 4910, 6100 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Chandra Chakravati v. Johobux	1. L. R., 7 Calc., 570: 9 C. 1 L. R., 224. 8 B. L. R., 30	4832 2666 377 37448 1144 1928, 353/ 3811, 564/ 299 5718 5624 4910, 610/ 528 3055, 531 3209, 353/ 2412, 242/
Shib Chandra Mullick. In the matter of chibcharan v. Ratiram hib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain shib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain shib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee Shib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. Shib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Passia Shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Passia Shib Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indux Bunwaree Gobind. Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indux Bunwaree Gobind. Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi Shib Chund Ese v. Josepa Landon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Dae v. Doorga Pershad. Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh. Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibeadra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass. Shibesuwee Debia v. Motbooranath Acharjee Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Motbooranath Acharje Shib Golam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce	L. R., 224. S. B. L. R., 30 I. L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis, 51 13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 29 16 W. R., 29 10 W. R., 29 10 W. R., 245 1. L. R., 1 Calc, 476 1. W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 397 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 1. L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	2666 377 3448 1144 1928, 353/ 3811, 564/ 3509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610/ 528 3055, 531 3209, 353/ 2412, 242/
hibicharan v. Ratiram hibi Chunder Bhadoorev v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain hibi Chunder Bhadoorev v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain hibi Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee hibi Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar hibi Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. hibi Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. hibi Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Passia hibi Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Passia hibi Chunder Mahitoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar hibi Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya hibi Chunder Sircar v. Juggat Indur Bunwaree Gobind hibi Chunder Sircar v. Juggat Indur Bunwaree Gobind hibi Chund Eahir v. Joymala Dasi hibi Chund Ese v. Jouandhon Dey hibi Churun See v. Jouandhon Dey hibi Daya t. V. Kalka Prasad hibi Daya t. V. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh hibi Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree hibi Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree hibi Dyal Tewaree v. Mothooranath Acharjee hibicssuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharje hibicssuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Saboo v. Ganga Koonwaree hibi Golam Saboo v. Ganga Koonwaree	8 B. L. R., 30 L. L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis., 51 . 13 W. R., 298 . 16 W. R., 298 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 .	377 3448 1144 1928, 353 3811, 564 38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
hiboharan v. Ratiram hib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain hib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain hib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee hib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. hib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. hib Chunder Googto v. Allad Monee Passia hib Chunder Googto v. Allad Monee Passia hib Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar hib Chunder Mulick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya hib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind hib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind hib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind hib Chund Eahlir v. Joymala Dasi hib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind hib Chund San v. Jonandhon Dey Shib Das v. Kalka Prasad hib Dat v. Kalka Prasad hib Dat v. Kalka Prasad hib Dat v. Conorga Pershad hib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass hibesuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce	I. L. R., 7 All., 20 6 W. R., Mis., 51. 13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 29 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., Mis., 22 12 W. R., 245 1. L. R., 1 Cale., 476 12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 287 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 1. L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	377 3448 1144 1928, 353 3811, 564 38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
hib Chunder Bhadooree v. Lukhee Debia Chowdhrain . hib Chunder Bidyaruttun w. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee . hib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar hib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane . hib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane . hib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah . hib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah . hib Chunder Mahtoo v. Kasheenath Kurmokar . hib Chunder Mahtoo v. Kasheenath Kurmokar . hib Chunder Sicrar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind . hib Chund Esirar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind . hib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi . hib Chund Esirar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind . hib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi . hib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi . hib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi . hib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi . hib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi . hib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . hib Dat v. Kalka P	6 W. R., Mis., 51. 13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 29 Bourke, O. C., 388 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., Mis., 22 12 W. R., 2445 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 476 12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 237 1 B. L. R., 237 1 L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	3448 1144 1928, 353/ 3811, 564/ 38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610/ 528 3055, 531 3209, 353/ 2412, 242/
hib Chunder Bidyaruttun v. Hureedoss Bhuttacharjee chib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar chib Chunder Dass v. Cochrane. Thib Chunder Gootto v. Cochrane. Thib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah chib Chunder Gootto v. Allad Monee Passia chib Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar chib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya chib Chunder Sircar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind chib Chunder Lahir v. Joynala Dasi Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dave v. Ralka Prasad . Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . Shib Dayal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree . Shibeshurea Dabia v. Bukwith Shibesaurea Dabia v. Bedwith Shibessurea Debia v. Ladly . v. Mothooranath Acharjo . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce .	13 W. R., 298 16 W. R., 29 Bourke, O. C., 388 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., Mis., 22 12 W. R., 245 11 U. R., 1 Calle, 476 12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 207 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 1 L. R., 2 All, 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	. 1144 1928, 3537 3811, 5647 38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
hib Chunder Dass v. Ram Chunder Poddar hibit Chunder Doss v. Cochrane. hib Chunder Gost v. Cochrane. hib Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah hibit Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah hibit Chunder Mahtoon v. Allad Mones Dassia hibit Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar hibit Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya hibit Chunder Sircar v. Juggat Indua Bunwaree Gobind hibit Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi Shibi Churun Sen v. Jouradhon Dey Shibit Churun Sen v. Jouradhon Dey Shib Dast v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Daen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh . Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass . Shibesnure Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharje . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree .	16 W. R., 29 Bourke, O. C., 388 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., Mis., 22 12 W. R., 246 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 476 1. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 237 1 L. R., 237 1 L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	1928, 353, 3811, 564, 38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610: 528 3055, 531. 3209, 353, 2412, 242.
hib Chunder Doss v. Cochrane, hib Chunder Ghuttude v. Collector of Tipperah bib Chunder Goupto v. Allad Monee Dassia bib Chunder Goupto v. Allad Monee Dassia bib Chunder Goupto v. Allad Monee Dassia bib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya hib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya bib Chunder Siraer v. Juggar Indur Bunwaree Gobind bib Chunder Siraer v. Jugara Indur Bunwaree Gobind bib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Den Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Aclurjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Gopal v. Baltee Sahai	Bourke, O. C., 388 5 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., 22 1 12 W. R., 245 1. L. R., 1 Cale, 476 1. 2 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 237 1 5 W. R., 360. L. R., 241, 443 4 M. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	3811, 564, 38 509, 884, 299 5718 5624, 4910, 610, 528 3055, 531, 3209, 353, 2412, 242,
hibi Chunder Ghuttuck v. Collector of Tipperah. bibi Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Passia bibi Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar bibi Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar bibi Chunder Millei v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya bibi Chunder Siricar v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind bibi Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi bibi Churun Sen v. Jouradhon Dey bibi Churun Sen v. Jouradhon Dey bibi Churun Sen v. Jouradhon Dey bibi Churun Sen v. Jouradhon Dey bibi Dayee v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayee v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayee v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Doorga Pershad bibi Dayen v. Mothooranath Acharje bibi Solah v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce bibi Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce	5 W. R., 139 5 W. R., 1818, 22: 12 W. R., 245 1. L. R., 1 Cale, 476 1. W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 397 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360, 1. L. R., 2 All, 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	38 509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 6103 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
shib Chunder Goopto v. Allad Monee Passia shib Chunder Maltoo v. Kasheenath Kurmokar shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggat Indur Bunwaree Gobind shib Chund Lahiri v. Joyanala Dasi shib Chund Lahiri v. Joyanala Dasi shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya shibdas Bandapadhya v. Basanadas shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad shib Dat v. Lada Prasad shib Dat v. Lada Prasad shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass shibessuree Debia v. Motbooranath Acluarjee shibessuree Debia v. Eackwith Shibessuree Debia v. Eackwith Shibessuree Debia v. Ladaly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce	5 W. R., Mis., 22 12 W. R., 245 1. L. R., 1 Calc., 476 12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 397 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 1 L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	509, 884 299 5718 5624 4910, 610 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
hith Chunder Mahtoon v. Kasheenath Kurmokar shith Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya shith Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indar Bunwaree Gobind shith Churun Sen v. Joynala Dasi Shith Churun Sen v. Jouardhon Dey Shith Churun Sen v. Jouardhon Dey Shith Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shith Dayea v. Doorga Pershad Shith Dayea v. Shitheshurea Dabia v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shitheshurea Dabia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shitheshurea Dabia v. Eachwith Shithessurea Dabia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shith Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree Shith Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree	12 W. R., 245 I. L. R., 1 Cale, 476 12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 108 1 C. L. R., 397 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360 I. L. R., 2 All, 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	299 5718 5624 4910, 610 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Chunder Mullick v. Kissen Dyal Opadhya Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indux Bunwaree Gobind . Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad . Shib Dean Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh . Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass . Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Aclurjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly . v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce	I. L. R., 1 Calc, 476 12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103 1 C. L. R., 193 1 C. L. R., 287 1 S. L. R., 287 1 L. L. R., 2 All, 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	5718 5624 4910, 6103 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Chunder Sirear v. Juggut Indur Bunwaree Gobind . Shib Chund Lahri v. Joynala Dasi Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad . Shib Date v. Doorga Pershad . Shib Date v. Bolar v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh . Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass . Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly . v. Mothooranath Acharjo . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce . Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce .	12 W. R., 212 7 C. L. R., 103	5624 4910, 6103 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Chund Lahiri v. Joymala Dasi Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree	7 C. L. R., 103	4910, 6103 528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shib Churun Sen v. Jonardhon Dey Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad Shib Dayal Tewarev v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewarev v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshureo Debia v. Mothooranath Aduarjee Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly Shib Solam Shoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce	1 C. L. R., 397 8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360. I. L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	528 3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shibdas Bandapadhya v. Bamandas Mukhapadhya Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Dave v. Doorga Pershad Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass. Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree Shib Gopal v. Balteo Sahai	8 B. L. R., 237: 15 W. R., 360. 1. L. R., 2 All., 443	3055, 531 3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Den Teware v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Den Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibeshuree Debia v. Leady v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shibessuree Debia v. Leady v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baltleo Sahai	360. I. I. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	3209, 353 2412, 242
Shib Dat v. Kalka Prasad. Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Den Teware v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Den Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibeshuree Debia v. Leady v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shibessuree Debia v. Leady v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baltleo Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 443 4 N. W., 63	2412, 242
Shib Dayee v. Doorga Pershad Shib Deen Teware v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibeshurea Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Aclarice Shibessuree Dabia v. Eeckwith Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly W. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Balteo Sahai	4 N. W., 63 W. R., 1864, Mis., 3	2412, 242
Shib Deen Tewary v. Bukshee Ramprotab Singh Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Eishonath Tewaree Shibeshuree Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibeshuree Debia v. Ladly Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly W. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Balteo Sahai	W. R., 1864, Mis., 3 (
Shib Dyal Tewaree v. Bishonath Tewaree Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshureo Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwaree Shib Gopal v. Baltleo Sahai	(
Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass. Shibeshureo Debia v. Mothooranath Acluarjee Shibessureo Dabia v. Eackwith Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Eliib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baltleo Sahai	9 W. R., 61	1209, 244
Shibendra Narain Chowdhuri v. Kinoo Ram Dass Shibeshureo Debia v. Motboranath Acluarjee Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Motbooranath Acharjo v. Motbooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Balteo Sahai	9 W. K., 61	2454, 246
Shibeshureo Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessureo Dabia v. Beckwith Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Balteo Sahai		2467
Shibeshureo Debia v. Mothooranath Acharjee Shibessureo Dabia v. Bedwith Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Saboo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Golam v. Balteo Sabai	TT D 18 0-1- 00"	195, 886
Shibessuree Dabia v. Beckwith Shibessuree Debia v. Ladly v. Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunza Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 605	5265
Shibessureo Debia v. Ladly Mothooranath Acharjo Mothooranath Acharjo Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai	5 W. R., 202	2255
v. Mothooranath Acharjo { Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce Shib Gopal v. Baltleo Sahai	3 W. R., Act X, 152	2698
Shib Golam Sahoo v. Gunga Koonwarce	17 W. R., 71	
Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai	13 W. R., P. C., 18: 13 } Moore's I. A., 270.	2254, 604
Shib Gopal v. Baldeo Sahai		677
	1 W. R., Mis., 32	1184, 427
	3 B. L. R., Ap., 55: 11 W.)	
Shib Jaton Roy v. Panchanan Bose		1433, 170
Shib Kishen Doss v. Abdul Sobhan Chowdbry	R., 467.	4792, 579
Shib Koonwer v. Sadho Singh	2 Agra, 255	5212
Shib Kristo Dah v. Abdool Sobhan Chowdhry	15 W. R., 408	4866
	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 150: 13 C.)	2020
Shib Kristo Shaha Chowdhry v. Miller {	L. R., 433.	2629
	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 692 : 5 C. L. ?	4418
	R., 455.	l
Shib Kumar Joti v. Kali Prasad Sen	1 B. L. R., A. C., 167	3816
Shib Lal v. Ganga Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 551	3440
v. Hira Lal	I. L. R., 1 All., 622	1410
v. Radha Kishen	I. L. R., 7 All., 898	3512
	3 Agra, Rev., 7	5376
Shib Lall v. Lochun Singh Shib Lall Singh v. Moorad Khan	9 W. R., 126	5590
Shib Narain Banerjee v. Government.	W. R., 1864, 138	5981
v. Ram Narain Lushkur	20 W. R., 142	4403
Shib Narain Bose v. Ramnidhee Bose	9 W. R., 87	2329, 244
Shib Narain Dutt v. Ekramoonissa Begum	17 W. R., 356	1628, 164
Shib Narain Ghose v. Aukhil Chunder Mookerjee		1625
v. Kashee Pershad Mookeriee	22 W. R., 485	1613, 165
Shib Narain Pal v. Koonjo Kaminee Dabee	22 W. R., 485 1 W. R., 226	5422

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Shib Narain Shaha e, Bipin Behary Biswas	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 512: 1 C.?	1882
		1894
Shib Narain Singh v. Gobind Dass Bhukut	23 W. R., 154	
Shib Narayan Pohraj v. Kishor Narayan Pohraj .	1 B. L. R., A. C., 146: 10 W. R., 131.	3524
Shib Nath Banerjee. In the matter of the petition of	24 W. R., Cr., 58	1325
Shib Nath Chatterjee v. Nubokishen Chatterjee	21 W. R., 189	-5025
Shib Nath Roy v. Watson & Co	8 W. R., 374	5189
Shibnundun Roy v. Sreenarain Roy	11 W. R., 415	1035 3280
shibo Doorga Chowdhrain v. Hossein Ali Chowdhry .	6 W. R., 218	1414. 2120
hibo Kooeree v. Joogun Singh	8 W. R., 155 }	2141
	TT D # 0-1- 000 0 0	3242, 5246
hiboo Narain Singh v . Mudden Ally	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 608: 9 C. \ L. R., 8.	5431, 5666
(1	5681
hiboo Roy v. Kashee Roy	25 W. R., 394	5587
Shiboo Soondurce Dabi v. Beckwith	9 W. R., 580	1424 5266
hiboo Soonduree Deben v. Secretary of State	7 W. R., 373 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 124: 3 C.?	707, 1512
hibo Prosad Pandah. In the matter of the petition of {	L. R., 122.	1849
hibo Soondery Dossee v. Rakhal Doss Sirkar	1 W. R., 38	2359
hibo Soonduree Debia v. Sowdaminee Debia	25 W. R., 78	4809
hibo Soonduree Dossee v. Chunder Kant Ghose	21 W., R., 217	5760
v. Panchcowree Chundra .	14 W., R., 158	2889, 5334 3166
hibo Sundari Dasi v. Kali Churan Rai	W. R., 1864, 296	4502
hib Persad Roy v. Rughoonath Singh	17 W. R., Cr., 38	1046
v. Gungamonee Debee	16 W. R., 291	1210, 2343
v. Muddun Mohun Chuck-	W. R., 1864, Act X, 80 .	532
erbutty.		5076
hib Pershad Panah v. Muddun Mohun Doss	15 W. R., 415 3 B. L. R., A. C., 451: 12 W. ?	
hib Prasad Das v. Anna Purna Dayi	R., 435.	4807
	6 B. L. R., Ap., 59: 14 W.	4622
hib Prasad Panda. In the matter of the petition of	R., Cr., 77.	4022
hib Prokash Singh v. Sardar Doyal Singh	1. L. R., 3 Cale., 544 : 2 C. L.	5468
	R., 260.	1746 .
hib Prosad Doobey v. Promothonath Ghose	10 W. R., 193 11 W. R., 117	3523
hib Ram Dey v. Banee Madhab Mitter	4 B. L. R., Ap., 89: 13 W.	2931
hib Ram Ghose v. Pran Piria	R., 280.	
hib Ram Lall v. Raj Coomar Mitter	6 W. R., 48	513
hib Ram Mundul v. Makhun Lall Biswas	7 W. R., 179	1401 432
v. Ruhumtoollah	15 W. R., 68	2993
hib Sahae v. Mukbool Ahmed	2 N. W., 204 7 N. W., 97	4538
hib Sahai v. Thika Ram	22 W. R., 352	339
hib Shankar Lal v. Banarsi Das	I. L. R., 7 All., 891	4.087
hib Shunkur Neogy v. Huro Soonduree Goopta	13 W. R., 209	5005
hib Singh v. Bhoop Singh	2 Agra, 303	1585 2018
hibsoonduree Debia v. Mahomed Ali	W. R., 1864, 137	5743
hib Suhaye Sookool v. Beer Chunder Joobraj	11 W. R., 30	973
hibu Manjee v. Noshee Mookerjee	17 W. R., Cr., 3	1714, 2098
hiddeshvar v. Ram Chandrarav	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 463 . (3751, 4596
hidhojirav v. Naikjirav	10 Bom., 228	2230, 3360
		4785

Name of Case.	e.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
nidmal Gura v. Anderson			11 Bom., 39	2858
nimbhoo v. Narain Singh			5 N. W., 153	5268
nimbhu Narain Singh v. Bachcha			I. L. R., 2 All., 200	5086
rinam Gounden v. Coomara Gounden			1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 115	2129
hiponessa Bibee v. Kaminee Bibee .			2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 121	4340
hirajdee Pramanick v. Emam Buksh Bis	was .		13 W. R., 104	5812
hircore v. Administrator General .			1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 50	6210
hirekuli Timapa Hegda v. Mahablya			I. L. R., 10 Bom., 435 .	1478
hiro Kumari Debi v. Govind Shaw Tant			I. L. R., 2 Calc., 418	6005
histee Pershad Chuckerbutty v. Kumlak	ant Roy	•	17 W. R., 348	3840
histidhur Parui. In the matter of the		•	9 B. L. R, Ap., 19	1328
hitanath Biswas v. Kishen Mohun Mook	erjee .	•	5 W. R., 130	365
hitaram v. Ramanund		•	16 W. R., Cr., 66	257
hiudas Narayan Singh v. Bhagwan Dut		{	2 B. L. R., Ap., 15: 11 W.	2740, 578
hin Dayal Puri v. Mahabir Prasad .		;	2 B. L. R., Ap., 8	4460
hiu Golam Singh v. Baran Singh hiu Suhai Mullick v. Lala Hari Suhai S	· ·	{	1 B. L. R., A. C., 164: 10 W.	2348 4415
hivalingaya v. Nagalingaya	ngu .	•	3 B. L. R., Ap., 142	5007
hiva Charan Dass v. Roostum .	•	•	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 247 Agra, F. B., 69: Ed. 1874, 59	3891
hiva Nathaji v. Joma Kashinath .	: :	:	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 341	5949
hivaramhari v. Arjun			I. L. R., 5 Bom., 258	1106
hivasundari Debi v. Ramshamayat Kurr	ni .		1 B. L. R., S. N., 3	3832
hivji Hasam v. Datu Mavji Khoja .			12 Bom., 281	2226, 375
hivlal bin Khubchand v. Balvantrav Vi	ayak .		2 Bom., 75: 2nd Ed., 70 .	5220
hiylal Khubchand v. Apaji Bhivrav .			I. L. R., 2 Bom., 654	5975
hivram v Genu		. •	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 515 .	3945, 484 5403
v. Narayan		•	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 27	3285,500
hivram Narayan v. Ravji Sakharam		•	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 254	5414
hivram Udaram <i>v.</i> Kondaji Muktaji		•	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 340	2032, 587
hivram Vithal v. Bhagirthibai .		•	6 Bom., A. C., 20	
hivrudrappa v. Kashinath Vishnu . hiv Shankar v. Govindlal Parbhudas		•	6 Bom., A. C., 141	5581 1356
hivshankar Govindram v. Justices of	he Peace f	ior i	i i	1
Bombay.	2 0400 2	{	5 Bom., O. C., 145	5260
hoay Att v. Shoay Doang			14 W. R., 218	2006
hodone Mohaldar v. Halalkhore Mohald	0.0	5	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 645 : 3 C. L.	
		į	R., 462.	669
hohrat Singh v. Bridgman			I. L. R., 4 All., 376	1873*
hoindoo Noshyo v. Rung Lall Jhah .		•	25 W. R., Cr., 21	1303, 448
hojonee Dossia v. Dhuronee Dhur Ghose		٠	16 W. R., 226	5707
hokhee Bewa v. Mehdee Mundul homaed Ali Sowdagur v. Eusoof Khan C		•	9 W. R., 327	4972
home Dutt Chowdhry v. Surb Narain Cl		•	15 W. R., 80 24 W. R., 242	3452
honai Bewa v. Monoram Mundul	Owder	•	11 CLT D 12	294, 430 3855
honai Poramanick. In re		•	1 C. L. R., 486	4111, 515
v. Jogendro Shaha			1 C. L. R., 486	4111, 515
hoobhunkuree Dossee v. Chand Monee I			7 W. R., 335	2200
hoobul Chunder Kulleah v. Koylash Chu	nder Mal		14 W. R., 23	5753, 624
hoo Chand Chunder v. Grant			7 W. R., 10	3497
hoodan Kurmokar v. Ram Churn Pal		. •	2 W. R., 137	3036
hoodhun Mundle. Iu re hooghury Koer v. Boshisht Narain Sing		•	5 W. R., Cr., 58	1534
hook Deb Shaha v. Alladi		•	8 W. R., 331	79
Los Chang of Linua		•	2 C. L. R., 13	5349

	Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
			T. 70. 00. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1	
hashur - Cl 1		(I. L. R., 7 Cale., 269: 8 C. L.)	
mookmoy Chunde	Dass v. Monohari Dasi .	. {	R., 473; and on appeal, I.	2562, 25
		- 1	L. R., 11 Calc., 684: L. R.,	1
hookoomar Singh	v. Cruise	_	12 I. A., 103.	0 - 40
hokoor Ali v. Um	ola Abolea		6 W. R., Act X, 105 8 W. R., 504	2742
nookran Shaikh e	Nund Coomer Benerice	• •	25 W. R., 246	901
HOOKIMIN COOKIII A	Kam toll Sodenl	• •	0.377 D 0.10	301 1782
hoorut Soondry D	bee v. Binny (Jardine, Skinner	& Ca) 3	25 W. R., 347	3008, 30
haoshi Bhacana I	ola Carrotta	,,	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 89 : 8 C. L. 7	5000, 50
	al v. Guru Churn Mookhopadl	ıya }	R., 285.	4400
hoovankurry Dab	ee v. Dwarka Nath Mookerjee		25 W. R., 344	5924
			W. R., 1864, Act X, 53	528
horendro Mohun I	loy v. Bhuggobutty Churn Gu	men-(10 B. L. R., 403, note: 18 W.	
		50	R., 332.	3801
hornomoyee v. W.	utson & Co		20 W. R., 211	1568
noroop Chunder P:	ulv. Mothoor Mohan Paul Cho	wdbry	4 W. R., 109	291,40
		dail	13 W. R., 364	3993
norosutty Dassee	v. Goneesondery Dagge		Marsh., 423: 2 Hay, 512	482
nort v. Pickering			I. L. R., 6 Mad., 138	4717
hortt v. Abdul Ra	himan .		6 Bom., O. C., 53	5713
horussoti Dasi v.]	Parbutti Dasi		6 C. L. R., 362	1623, 30
			0 0.1 2.1 10., 002	88, 19
hosheebhoosun Mo	zoomdar v. Muddomutty Gupt	ee .	14 B. L. R., 21	2234, 23
			.)	3209, 41
hoshee Kishen Mo	okerjee. In the matter of		14 W. R., 328	224
uosnee Monun Shi	ha v. Sheer Sirear		5 W. R., Act X, 13	554
nosnee wooknee i	urmonya v. Dwarkanath Biom	as I	6 W. R., Mis., 84	5470
noshee Shekhoresv	ar Roy v. Haro Gobind Bose .		13 C. L. R., 356	428
hoshi Bhooshun P	al v. Guru Churn Mookhopadh	vn 5	I. L. R., 7 Cale., 89 : 8 C. L.)	559
hoshimohnn Pal C	howdhry v. Nobe Krishto Pede		R., 285.	
oshimukhi Dahia	v. Nobin Chunder Roy .	ar .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 801	1126
11	o. Nooin Changer Roy .		4 C. L. R., 92	388
ioshinath Ghose v	Krishna Sunderi Dasi .		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 381 : 7 C. L.	2113
			R., 313 ; L. R., 7 I. A., 250.	
1 : 01 !!			I. L. R., 6 Calc., 121; and on	
ioshi Shikuressur	Roy v. Tarokessur Roy .	2	appeal, I. L. R., 9 Calc., (2567, 256
	,	/	952: 13 C. L. R., 62: L.	
ostee Churn Roy	v. Collector of Chittagong .	`	R., 10 I. A., 51. 13 W. R., 155	1.400
ioteenatii Mooker	ee v. Ohhov Nund Rov	• 1	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 331	1492 $3451,446$
owdaminee Dosse	e v. Haran Chunder Surma .	: [6 W. R., Act X, 103	1659
		- 11	0 MZ D 900	5930
	v. Ram Roodro Gangooly . v. Shookool Mahomed .		8 W. R., 367	306, 429
	v. Shookool Mahomed .		7 W. R., 94.	1653
owers v. Goding 1	Jass		I. L. R., 1 All., 400 .* .	5365
owkut Ali v. Ahn	ud Ali	. 1	8 W. R., 39	3694
oylendro Geer Su	myasee v. Patoo Doss Busanes		23 W. R., 304	5680
reesh Chunder Da	oss v. Assimonissa		7 W. R., 234	1654
reesteedhur Chuc	cerbutty v. Sreenath Riemas	.	18 W. R., 332	878
reesteednur Dev	Doorga Narain Nag		17 W. R., 462	568
rewsbury. In th	matter of the netition of	.	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 313	2659
ridhar Hari v. Ch	ıma valad Ladı	.	10 Bom., 17	4425
ridhar Narayan v	Atmaram Govind	. 1	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 455	2654
ridhar Vinayak v	. Babaji bin Jivaji		6 Bom., A. C., 220	1696
	. Narayan valad Babaji .	.	11 Bom., 224	4994
ingarpure v. Peth				

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Columu
		Digest,
Shrinivas v. Radhabai Shrinivas Udpirav v. Reid Shrinam Venkatasami v. Queen Shri Shailapa v. Balapa Lokanna Shrish Chunder Mookhopadhya. In the matter of the ?	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 570 9 Bom., 266 6 Mad., 120 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 446	5424 2855 725 4889
petition of. Shudanud Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss. Shudek Sirear v. Mohamoya Dabee Shagan Chand v. Government, North-Western Provinces Shabochuree Dossee v. Showdaminee Dossee	6 W. R., 256	2366 1606 1124 2740 5701
Shumbhoo Chowdhry v. Combs	13 W. R., 105 4 W. R., P. C., 55 : 8 Moore's)	5678 4215
Shumbhoo Mohun Roy v. Tripoora Sunkur Roy	I. A., 1. 3 12 W. R., 126 6 6 W. R., Act X. 38 5 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 8 8 W. R., 272	3824 543 3188 2771 425 5673 5424 3135, 3140
Shumbhoonath Shaha v. Guruchurn Lahiri	R., 437.	3491
Shumbhu Nath Mookhopadhya v. Hurro Sundari Dabia Chowdrain.	11 C. L. R., 140	5293
Shumbhu Nath Sarkar v. Ram Komul Guha Shumboo Chunder Chowdry v. Modhoo Kyburt Shumboo Chunder Holdar v. Ram Lall Ghose Shumboo Chunder Mullick v. Prankristo Mullick Shumboo Chunder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debea Shumboo Chunder Singh v. Ram Narain Doss Shumboo Chunder Singh v. Ram Narain Doss Shumboo Geer Gossain v. Ram Jewan Lall Shumboonath Roy v. Monowar Ali Shumboonath Shaha v. Bunwaree Lall Roy Shumbool Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumboo Shumba	13 C. L. R., 212 10 W. R., 56 14 W. R., 436 14 W. R., 322 5 W. R., 45 9 W. R., 217 15 W. R., 346 8 W. R., 509 W. R., 1864, 109 11 W. R., 102 24 W. R., 469 14 W. R., 226 3 N. W., 153 18 W. R., 504 2 W. W., 174 2 W. W., 174 8 C. L. R., 150 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 236 : 6 C. L. }	490, 1325 1760 3448 3246 3344 4962 4903 1733, 4169 4012 3894, 581 4164 1682 4552 3824 5130 3032 5705
Shumsher Bahadoor v. Mahomed Ali Beg	R., 549. 5 2 Agra, 158	6224
Shumsher Khan. In the matter of the petition of Shumshoolnissa v. Zohra Beebee	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 624 6 N. W., 2 : Agra, F. B., Ed. 7	5563 3672
Shumshurooddy v. Jan Mahomed Sikdar Shumsool Osman v. Beusheedhur Dutt Shums-oon-nissa Khauum v. Rai Jan Khauum Shundhabun Mohunt v. Shurut Chunder Roy Shunguny Menon v. Kalampully Valia Nair Shunker v. Hur Narain Shunker Lall v. Poorun Mull	1874, 286. \$21 W. R., 260 15 W. R., 366 6 W. R., 7 C., 52 23 W. R., 160 6 Mad, 117 1 Agra, Rev., 49 2 Agra, 150 23 W. R., Cr., 25 1 N. W., 177: Ed. 1873, 256.	3672 2774, 5767 1626 3702 5760 1425 352,*3882 1500 6066 2860, \$832

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shunkur Patukh v. Lala Sheochurn Lal {	2 N. W., 443: Agra, F. B., }	5778
The state of the s	Ed. 1874, 238.	5198
Shunkurputtee Thakoorain v. Saifoollah Khan	18 W. R., 507	5287
Shunkur Sahoo v. Gurbhoo Sahoo	15 W. R., 216	3196, 4714
Shumugam v. Moidin	11 W. R. 48	1203
Shunt Buksh v. Lalla Nund Ram	20 W. R. 368	2929
Shunto Doss Autith v. Hurechur Mookerjee	15 W. R., 130	2772
Shurbessur Ghose v. Sadhoo Churn Ghose	2 Hay, 415	3660
	25 W. R., 447	3661
Shurfoomissa v. Koolsoom	12 B. L. R., 66, note: 10 W. ?	
Shurfun Bibee v. Collector of Sarun	R., 199.	799, 4132
Shurfunnissa Bibee Chowdhrain v. Kylash Chunder	25 W. R., 53	4472
Gungopadhya,	· ·	4412
Shurfuraz Mollah v. Dhnnoo	16 W. R., 257	139, 6243
Shurno Moyee v. Kashee Kant Bhuttacharjee	7 W. R., 511	342
v. Pattarri Sirkar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 625	812, 3336
v. Suttes Chunder Roy	2 W. R., P. C., 14	1724, 5356
Shurnomoyee Dasi v. Srinath Das	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 614	3387, 3993
Shurnomoyee Dassee v. Uma Soondery Chowdhrain .	2 C. L. R., 138	634
Shurnomoyee Dossee v. In the matter of the petition of	8 W. R., 421	2476
Shurnomoyee Dossee v. Gopal Lall Doss	Marsh., 497	2424
Shurnomoyee Dossia v. Joy Narain Bose	8 W. R., 481	2771
Shuroop Chunder Bhoomick v. Pertab Chunder Singh .	7 W. R., 219	5335
Shuroop Chunder Shuttacharjee v. Kasheeshuree Dossia	6 W. R., Act X, 55	2889
Shuro Soonduree Debia v. Buloram Gooho	24 W. R., 423	3798 1894
Shurroop Chunder Goolio v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 703	89
Shurrut Chunder Chuttopadhya v. Rajkissen Mookerjee	15 B. L. R., 350	5693
Shurrut Chunder Kur v. Ram Shunkur Surmah	10 W. R., 214	2972
Shuruf Jan v. Futtelı Ali	22 W. R., 389	4626
Shurufooddin v. Kashinath	9 W. R., 533	2884
Shurufoonissa Bebee v. Hushmut Ali	4 C. L. R., 410	4102
Shurut Chunder Banerjee v. Bama Churn Mookerjee .	1 W. R., 90	3817
Shurutchunder Dey Sircar v. Jadubnarain Nundee Shurut Chunder Mookerjee v. Thakoormonee Debia	9 W. R., 240	666
hurnt Chunder Mookerjee v. Thakoormonee Debia	7 W. R., 303	1415
burnt Chunder Sen v. Abdool Khye Mahomed Mahu-)		
tessur Billah.	23 W. R., 327	3474, 3527
Shurutoollah Merdha v. Gooroo Churn Dass	8 W. R., 310	434, 5458
la ia i ni n intara	12 W. R., 85	811
hurut Soonduree Dabee v. Puresh Narain Roy	13 W. R., 464	6095
v	7 W. R., 42	67, 313
hurut Soonduree Debee v. Government	7 W. R., 221	1249
v. Khemunkuree Debia	5 W. R., Act X, 87	4424
thurwar Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 720:2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 200.	91
uryutoollah Mirdha v. Teeta Gazee Howladar	21 W. R., 82	1939
iliusee Mookhee Dossee v. Bissessuree Dabee	10 W. R., 343	1748, 176
shushee Bhoosun v. Muddun Mohun Chattopadhya	2 C. L. R., 297 · · ·	4289, 617
Shusnee Bhoosun Banerjee v. Nubo Coomar Chatterjee	8 W. R., 94	3802
Shushee Mohun Pal Chowdhry v. Aukil Chunder	25 W. R., 232 · · ·	2343
Banerjee.	40 W. F., 404	2040
v. Ram Koomar	22 W. R., 193	629
Koondoo.		
Shushee Shekhur Audhikaree v. Suleem Biswas	22 W. R., 191	30

	, Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Shustee Dhur M Shustee Ram Pa Shutt Churn Gh Shyam Chand India	atuck v. Aukhil Chunder Sen ozoomdar v. Nuteeja Bibee ul v. Nobo Kant Roy Chowdhry osal v. Mahomed Ally Koondoo v. Land Mortgage Bank	T D 440	4666 4480 4166 5696 420
Shyam Churn C dar.	huckerbutty v. Heera Chand Mozoo	om- } Marsh., 48: 1 Hay, 113	1456
Shyam Singh v. Shyam Soonder Sia Dasi v. Gur Sibboosoondery Sibchunder Kur	Sahai Dabia v. Bussoomutty Dabia	2 Agra, 243 3 N. W., 71 I. L. R., 3 All., 362 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 191 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 93, note	4926 3886 1710, 2490 2467 2651
Sibnarain Ghese	v. Hullodhur Doss al v. Bir Chandra Jubaraj	1 W. R., 88 5 Moore's I. A., 322 6 Moore's I. A., 207 2 B. L. R., A. C., 172 I. L. R., 3 All., 134	1993 4633 4632 5743 2291
	opal Chandra Chowdhry	I. L. R., 6 All., 479 13 B. L. R., 423, note: 19 W.	1347
	dhoo Khan v. Nund Lall Dey .	R., 200. 4 W. R., Mis., 8	5183
	e v. Doorga Churn Sett	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 22 : Bourke,	2646 2113, 2136
Siddessuree Cho Siddha v. Biligi	wdhrain v. Kissoreekant Gossain . ri	O. C., 360. W. R., 1864, Act X, 101 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 354	2542 1590
Siddhessur Duti	v. Sham Chand Nundun	[15 B. L. R., 9, note : 23 W.)	2907 3162
Siddhessurree I Sideshury Chow	ebia v. Denobundhoo Koondoo dhrain. In the matter of	R., 285. 6 W. R., 65 16 W. R., Cr., 50	6231 6181
Sidhee Nazir Al	i Khan v. Ojoodhyaram Khan	5 W. R., P. C., 83: 10 Moore's	1698, 3997 4431, 5334
Sidhee Nuzeer A	li Khan v. Woomesh Chunder Mitte	I. A., 540.	5346, 5971
Sidhee Nuzur A	lly Khan v. Oojoodhyaram Khan.	r . 2 W. R., 75 10 Moore's I. A., 322: 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 185.	4173 4640
	v	{ 10 Moore's I. A., 540 : 5 W. R., P. C., 83.	1698, 3997 4431, 5334
Sidh Gopal v. A Sidhoo Lal. In	judhiaprasad the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 5 All., 392 2 N. W., 398	5846, 5971 1403
	ava kom Sidlingapa	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 624	86 2411, 2434
Sieveking, Droo	p, & Co. v. Focke	9 W. R., 215	5684 4743
	Dulputty Singh	{ I. L. R., 5 Calc., 363 : 5 C. L. }	90, 1750
Sikur Chund v. i	Purwurush Ali .	8 Mad., 144 3 N. W., 132 1 Hyde, 272*	3433, 4159 · 2436 2895 3089
Simpson. In the		I. L. R., 3 Mad., 265	2304 132
Simroo Kareegu Simson v. Gorac	v. Anund Chunder Roy	6 C. L. R., 91 Marsh., 57: 1 Hay, 130 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 473	4871 6109 1054, 5712
v. Virav	tagopalam 7a ninistrator General of Madras	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 475 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 359	374, 5953 1061

Name of Case.			Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sinclair. In the matter of the petition of			6 N. W., 177	1270, 3559
v. Broughton	•	. }	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 341: 13 C. L. R., 185: L. R., 9 I. A., 152.	2783
Sinde v. Sinde			4 Bom., A. C., 51	3409
Singamma v. Vinjamuri Venkatacharlu	:	: :	4 Mad., 165	2111
Sinia Tevar v. Rangasami Aiyangar .			7 Mad., 112	4760
Sinthayee v. Thanakapudayen v. alias Pon	dile II	Javan	4 Mad., 183	1903, 2414
	unj c	anyan.	(3530
Siraj-ood-dowlah v. Noor Ahmud .	•		5 N. W., 194	6149 187, 5533
Siraj-ul-huq v. Khadim Husain Sirbadh Rai v. Raghunath Prasad .	•		I. L. R., 5 All., 380 . I. L. R., 7 All., 568	3937
Sirdar v. Molungo Sirdar	•		1. L. R., 7 All, 568	3368
Sirdar Begum v. Izzut-ool-nissa	•	: :	2 N. W., 149	4438
Sirdar Dyal Singh v. Ram Buddun Singh		: :	17 W. R., 454	2089
Sirdar Khan v. Buldeo Singh			6 N. W., 99	3129
Sirdar Kuar v. Chandrawati			I. L. R., 4 All., 330	1773
Sirdar Sainey v. Piran Singh			I. L. R., 3 All., 458	6055
Sital v. Madho			I. L. R., 1 All., 394	2265 1414
Sitalparshad v. Jagdat Misser	•		7 N. W., 254	5205
Sital Prasad v. Amtul Bibi		• ;	I. L. R., 7 All., 633 2 B. L. R., Ap., 10: 11 W. R., ?	,
Sitanath Bose v. Mathura Nath Roy .		. }	68.	2711
Sita Nath Das v. Luchmiput Singh .			11 C. L. R., 268	3639, 5407
v. Mohesh Chunder Chuck	erbutt	, .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 627	1836
Sitanath Ghose v. Shamasundari Dasi	-		8 B. L. R., Ap., 37, note: 14 ?	5130
Distilled Guose v. Danmastudari Dasi	•	•	W. R., 26.	
Sitanath Koer v. Land Mortgage Bank of	India	-	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 888: 12 C. L. R., 574.	5248
Sitanath Mookerjee v. Haimabutty Dabee			24 W. R., 377	2434
Sitanath Shaha v. Nobin Chunder Roy			5 C. L. R., 102	4247
Sitapathi Nayudu v. Queen			I. L. R., 6 Mad., 52	5874, 6027
Sitapershad Dass v. Thakurdass .	•		5 C. L. R., 73	2249
Sitaram v. Aheeree Heerahnee			11 B. L. R., 129 : 20 W. R., 49	1099 3691, 5054
v. Amir Begam	•	•	I. L. R., 8 All., 324	416
v. Atmaram Baji v. Bhagwan Das	•		I. L. R., 4 Bom., 227, note . I. L. R., 7 All., 733	833
v. Dasrath Das	•		I. L. R., 5 All., 492	850
	:		I. L. R., 3 All., 533	823
- v. Zalim Singh	÷		I. L. R., 8 All., 231	2187
v. Mahipal v. Zalim Singh Sitarama v. Narayanan			I. L. R., 8 Mad., 130	3598
Sitaram Abbaji, In re			10 Bom., 58	2665
Sitaramaiyar v. Alagiry Iyer	٠,		4 Mad., 285	4302, 505
Sitarama Krishna Rayadappa Ranga Ra	z v. :	Sanyası	3 Mad., 4	5650
Razu Pedda Baliyara Simbulu. Sitaram Amrut v. Bhagvant Jaganath			6 Bom., A. C., 109	3825
Sitaraman v. Susa Pillai	:	:	2 Mad., 254	5676
Sitarama Razu v. Jaganada Narayana Razu Pedda Baliyar Simbulu.	Ramac	heudra		5595
Sitarambhat v. Sitaram Ganesh .			6 Bom., A. C., 250 .	2246, 386 5265
The second secon	•			3985
Sitaram Dandekar v. Ganesh Gokhle .	•	•	6 Bom., A. C., 121	1074
Sitaram Krishna v. Daji Devaji	•	•		s I
Sitaram Sahu v. Mohan Mandar .			B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 345: 3 W. R., 90.	2026
Sitaram Vasudev v. Khanderav Balkrishn	a .		I. L. R., 1 Bom., 286 .	3135
Sithalakshmi v. Vythilinga			I. L. R., 8 Mad., 548	4950, 601

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
State Thomas a Bunganami Ivangan	. 5 Mad., 385	3385, 6127
Sitha Ummal v. Rungasami Iyengar	. 5 Mad., 385	4010
Sitla Din v. Sheo Prasad	I. L. R., 4 All., 60	3522
Sittaram Atmaram. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur. N. S., 23	1049
Sittaram Atmaram. In the matter of	(I. L. R., 10 Calc., 30: 13 C.)	1049
Situl Purshad v. Luchmi Purshad	L. R., 382 : L. R., 10 I. A., 129.	3899
Siva Bhagiam v. Palami Padiachi	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 401	2520
Siva Bux Savuntharam. In re	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 317	393
Sivaganga v. Lakshmana	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 188	5390
orvagauga v. maasiimana	(I. L. R., 1 Mad., 312 : L. R., 5)	2394, 2450
Sivagnana Tevar v. Periasami	{ I. A., 61.	2518
Sivanananja Perumal Sethurayer v. Muttu Ramaling	5a 3 Mad., 75	2264, 2318
Sethurayer.)	2323
v. Meenakshi Amma		2422
Sivappachari v. Mahalinga Chetti	· 1 Mad., 50 · · · ·	5261
Sivarajadhani Nilakantham Pillai v. Kuppa Gantu	lu } 2 Mad., 311	759
Ramiah Pantulu.)	
Sivarama v. Rama	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 99	5487
v. Subramanya	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 57	3264, 5430
Sivaramaiyar v. Samu Aiyar	. 1 Mad., 447	4143
Sivarama Pillai v. Turnbull	. 4 Mad., 43 · · · ·	3237
Sivasankara Mudali v. Parvati Anni	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 96	2170
Sivasubramanya v. Secretary of State for India	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 285 .	1728, 4230
	. 1. L. P., 9 Mau., 200 .	4455
Sivu v. Chenamma	. 5 Mad., 417	5115
Skinner v. Aldwell	. 2 N. W., 3	1479
v. Jager	. I. L. R., 6 All., 138	465
v. Mahtab	. 4 N. W., 160 · · ·	3001
	(10 B. L. R., 125: 14)	
v.Orde	Moore's I. A., 309: 17 {	1340, 3785
· ·	(W. R., 77.	
v	. I. L. R., 1 All., 230	4338
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(I. L. R., 2 All., 241 : L. R., (9145 0010
	(6 I. A., 126.	3145, 6016
v. Rujub Ali Khan	. 2 W. R., Act X, 105	554
v. Skinner	. 2 Agra, 128	683
kinner alias Nawab Mirza v. Orde	6 N. W., 225	4339
v		
	{ I. L. R., 2 All., 241: L. R., } 6 I. A., 126.	3145, 6016
kinner alias Nowshaba Begum. In the matter of	. 13 Moore's I. A., 532 .	4635
Skinner & Co. v. Shama Soonduree	. 19 W. R., 429	4202
mallwood v. Parry	. Cor., 39	6276
bmith v. Boggs	. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 21	2649
Smith v. Boggs	. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 22	2651
- v. Bulwant Singh	. 2 W. R., Mis., 24	228
- v. Dinonath Mookerjee	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 213	1123
v. Gopal Sheikh	. 3 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 14 .	5656
- v. Guneshee Lal	. 3 N. W., 83	468
- v. Mokhum Mahton	. 18 W. R., 526	1708
- v. Nundun Lal	. 6 W. R., Act X, 13	3799, 6090
v. Secretary of State	. I. L. R., 3 Calc., 340	4969, 5115
v. Dona Dibee	. 2 W. R., Mis., 10	3835
v. Stewart	Bourdes O C 909	6061
Smyth v. Smyth	5 B. L. R., Ap., 153	1555
v. Stewart Smyth v. Smyth Smydden v. Mahwine	2 B. L. R., A. C., 292	1360
v. Todd, Findlay, & Co.	. 7 W. R., 286	2776, 4215

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Snadden v. Todd, Finlay, & Co		7 W. R., 313	300, 4898
Snaith v. McQuhae		7 Mad., 332	3599
Snell v. Queen		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 201	4704
Sobbee Jha v. Shosheenath Jha		15 W. R., 150	770, 773
Sobha Bibee v. Sakhamut Ali	{	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 371: 1 C. ?	1917
obia piece v. cariana An	ŧ	L. R., 331.	
Sobhagchand Gulabchand v. Bhaichand		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 193 .	4838,5401 6141,6142
Sobha Kooeree v. Hurdey Narain Mohajun		25 W. R., 97 }	79, 80 2444
Sobha Mahton v. Paharoo		2 N. W., 310	1630
Sobhan v. Shubraton	. {	I. L. R., 5 Cale., 558: 5 C.?	3740
	(L. R., 21.	
Sobha Pandey v. Sahodra Bibi Sobharam v. Gungapershad Sobha Sah v. Inderjeet Sobil Dass v. Chundra Deb Sobratun v. Toova	•	I. L. R., 5 All., 322	3324 1177
sonaram v. Gungapershad	•	2 N. W., 260 5 N. W., 148	3964
Sobna San v. Inderjeet	•	5 N. W., 148	5160
Sobii Dass v. Chungra Deb	•	20 W. R., Cr., 15	4478
		7 W. R., 273	
Fodamini Dasi v. Jageswar Sur	{	R., 339.	1898
Sofaoll Khan v. Woopean Khan		9 W. R., 123	5812
Sofaoll Khan v. Woopean Khan		2 W. R., 286	1984
Sofiruddeen v. Emoress		2 C. L. R., 132	1007
Sohan v. Mathura Das		I. L. R., 6 All., 449	5688
Sohan Lal v. Aziz-unnissa Begam		I. L. R., 7 All., 136	4918
v. Karim Bakhsh		I. L. R., 2 All., 281	789
Sofan Anda v. Wookishore Softruddeen v. Empress Sohan v. Mathura Das Sohan Lal v. Aziz-unnissa Begam — v. Karim Balkhsh Sohodea Bibee v. Deendyal Lal			2721
Schodwa v. Smith	{	12 B. L. R., 82: 20 W. R.,	5197
Sohudra v. Roy Kaliha Sahoy Sohun Lail v. Lala Gya Pershad Sohun Patuk v. Omoola Koowur Sohun Singh v. Keola Bibee		W. R., 1864, Mis., 23	187
Sohun Lall v. Lala Gva Pershad		6 N. W., 265	484
Sohun Patuck v. Omoola Koowur		Marsh., 7	5267
Sohun Singh v. Keola Bibee		16 W. R., 169	3055
Sojan v. Roop Ram		2 Agra, 61	1149,369
Sojan v. Roop Ram Sokabai v. Lakshmibai		12 Bom., 9 .	1215
Sokhina Bibi. In the matter of the petition of .	. 8	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 87: 8 C. L. R., 387.	1958
Solah Bibee v. Keerun Bibee		16 W. R., 175	3675
Solano v. Ahmeida		10 C, L. R., 573	817
v. Doolhin Umrit Koer		W. R., 1864, Act X, 65 .	2989
v. Hoormut Bahadoor v. Moran & Co. v. Soobrun Roy	•		3076
v. Moran & Co	•	4 C. L. R., 11	1483
v. Soobrun Roy	•	6 W. R., Act X, 4	3803
Soldar Mondul v. Nilcomul Chatterjea	•		1696 5722
Solicitor. In re a		4 B. L. R., P. C., 29	3154, 513
Solomon. In the matter of the petition of	•		1
v. Abdool Azeez	-	I. L. R., 6 Cale., 687 : 8 C. L. R., 169.	
v. Abdool Aziz		4 C. L. R., 366 {	2817, 440 4407
v. Lalla Ram Lall			1705
Somangouda bin Dajamangouda v. Bharmangouda		1 Bom., 43	2356
Somarimull v. Bhairodas Johnry		7 B. L. R., 431	589, 221
Somashekhara v. Subhadramaji		I. L. R., 6 Bom., 524	328, 21
Somasundaram Chetti v. Administrator General .		I. L. R., I Mad., 148	133, 309
Somasundara Tambiran v. Sakkarai Pattan .		4 Mad., 369	3942
Somasundara Tambilan v. Sakkarai Tattan .		11 W. R., 159	4393

Name of Case.	Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Somkali v. Bhairo	F.F. D. C.A.II. See	
Somree v. Jitun Sonar	. I. L. R., 5 All., 55	1431
Somu v. Queen	· 22 W. R., Cr., 30	3606, 3740
Somu Gurukhal v. Rangammal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 316 7 Mad., 13	962
Sona v. Leelanund Singh	7 Mad., 13 5 W. R., 290	4817
Sona Beebee v. Lall Chand Chowdhry,	9 W. R., 242	2035
Sonachala v. Manika	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 516	5329
Sonai Sardar v. Bukhtar Sardar	1 25 W. B. Co. 40	6083
Sonaka Chowdrain v. Bhoobunjoy Shaha Sonamail v. Sudaram Rotti	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 311	3864
Sonamonee Dossee v. Joy Doorga Dossee	. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 28	240, 5726 4398
Sonamonee Dossia v. Motee Singh	. 17 W. R., 551 14 W. R., 385	498, 1885
Sonamoni Dasi v. Lilanund Singh	. 14 W. R., 385	5730
Sonaoollah v. Imamooddeen	. 11 C. L. R., 30	5723
	. 24 W. R., 273	1683
Sonatan Roy v. Ananda Kumar Mookerjee .	{ 2 B. L. R., Ap., 31: 11 W.}	
Sonatun Bukshee a Gonal Chundan Ct		2928
	. 15 W. R., 31	5582
Sonatun Doss v. Kalee Pershad Doss .	. 8 Moore's 1. A., 66	2531
Country Cl	. 13 W. R., 146	4434
	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 109: 2}	1
	(W. K., 91.	5106
Sonatun Misser v. Ruttun Mollah	. 2 W. R., 105	4203
Sonaya Pillai v. Kalamegam	W. R., 1864, 95	2283
Sonbai v. Ahmedbhai Haribhai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 130	3598
G	9 Bom., 398	268, 3090
Sonet Kooer v. Himmut Bahadoor	R., 239: L. R., 3 I. A.,	0000 0010
Sonkally Koonwar. In re		2057, 3046
Sonnum Sookul v. Elahee Buksh	6 W. R., Mis., 53 .	0=
Soobah Doorgah Lal Thom Martin 10	7 W. R., 453	85 1105
Soobah Pirthee Lal Jha v. Soobah Doorga Lal Jha	7 W P 70	
		1719, 2275
Soobba Tevan v. Moothookoody	13 C. L. R., 86	3565
Soohhudua Danna D.1	6 Mad., 40	5221
Soobhudra Dossee v. Boloram Dewan .	W. R., F. B., 57: 1 Ind. Jur.,	
Soobhul Chunden Ponlas Nite or	7 U. S., 8%.	2352
Soobhul Chunder Paul v. Nitye Churn Bysack	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 663: 7 C.	90.55
Soobhul Singh v. Meeto Singh	11. 10., 201.	3957
Soobramania Telaver a Sokka Tolomon	W. R., 1864, Act X, 12	4931
Sucobuura v. Kamprokash Singh	5 Mad., 437. 16 W. R., 5.	6176
	7 W. R., 375	6085
Coopul Uninder Gooks & Tamasagas II of 31	14 W. R., 414	2709
Soobul Chunder Paul v. Attur Ali	11 W D 20	5117
Sooburnomonee Dabea v. Petumber Dobey	March 991 . 7 TT. 405	019, 5300
Sooda Ram Doss v. Joogul Kishore Goopto	24 W. R., 274	3348, 3796
Soodha Monee Dossee v. Brojonath Mozoomdar	4 W. R., Mis., 14.	2586
Southa Mockhas Darra Gooroopersaud Dutt	W. R., 1864, 176	209
Soodha Mookhee Dossee v. Ram Guttee Kurmokar	20 W. R., 419	194
padhya	10 B. L. R., 380: 19 W. R.,	1619
Soodheekeena Chowdhasin a G. 27	210.	4827
	1 W. R., 41.	
Soodukhina Chowdhrain v. Issur Chunder Mozoondar	8 W. R., 487	1395 1611
. resur Chunder Mozoondar .	19 W D 34	38, 1433
v. Raj Mohun Bose		338, 339
o. red monda Dose		71, 1768
	11.	4458
		-100

Name of Caso.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
S (I) I - (I - I (I)		0000
Soogun Chund v. Gopal Gir Soojaat Ali v. Huree Thakoor	4 N. W., 101 6 W. R., Act X, 44	2332 1628
Soojan Bibee v. Achmut Ali	14 B. L. R., Ap., 3: 21 W.?	1837
Soojan Mull v. Hyder Jung Bahadoor	R., 414. 1 Ind. Jur., O.S., 58	3112, 618
Soojat Ali v. Zumeerooddeen Soojat Mahomed v. Mahomed Torab	5 W. R., 158	3670 6158
Sociaut Hossein. In the matter of the petition of .	25 W. R., 281	4105
Soojun Mundul v. Woozeer Mundul	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 20	5232 6255
Sookhan Sahoo v. Government Sookhanundamoyee Debia v. Baney Madhub Mookerjee .	18 W. R., Cr., 5 1 W. R., 73	317
Sookhee Monee Debia v. Brijoraj Mookerjee	17 W. R., 228	2700
Sookheemonee Dossee v. Mohendro Nath Dutt	13 W. R., P. C., 14	1697
Sookh Lall Phoojwalla v. Goolzar Bhoojwalla	14.W. R., 228	4473
Sookhmoyee Chowdhrain v. Raghubendro Narain Chow- dhry.	24 W. R., 7	3163
Sookh Moyee Dossee v. Nurmooda Dossee	15 W. R., 210 ·	759, 344
Sookhmun v. Chooramun	1 Agra, 172	4008
Sockhnund v. Nundoo Singh	2 Agra. 221	4965 684
Sookhraj Bahadoor v. Jureena Bibee	18 W. R., 255 11 W. R., 341	681
Sookh Raj Singh v. Tuffazzool Hossein	2 N. W., 142	2772, 5452
Sookhram v. Nirput Singh	3 Agra, 67	1078
Sookna Medhee v. Gundhoo Ram Mundul	12 W. R., 264	1823, 202 5940
Sookram Doss v. Nund Kishore Doss	13 W. R., 250 22 W. R., 21	* 114
Sookram Misser v. Crowdy	19 W. R., 284	4216
Sookyaboye Ammal v. Latchmi Ammal	13 W. R., P. C., 3.	4234 138
Sooltan Ali v. Chand Bibee	9 W. R., 130 17 W. R., 300	3557
Sooltan Chund v. Schiller	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 252: 3 C.	
Soomar Jaffer v. Abdool Kurreem	L. R., 287.	600
Soomar Jaffer v. Abdool Kurreem Soomrun Rai v. Mahesh Dutt	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 230 . 4 N. W., 33	3168
Soomrun Thakoor v. Chunder Mun Misser	3 C. L. R., 282 : 5 C L. R.,	2157, 239
Soomingul Kooer v. Court of Wards	26.	1252
Soomut Doss v.	17 W. R., 560 21 W. R., 292	203, 188
Soomut Doss v.	24 W. R., 151	1889
Soonar v. Khuderun	2 N. W., 251	3021
Soonatun Saha v. Ramjoy Saha	Marsh., 549	4327, 480
Soonder Koomaree Debea v. Gudadhur Pershad	4 W. R., P. C., 116: 7	2105, 214
Tewaree.	Moore's I. A., 54.	2318
Soonder Lall v. Baillie	24 W. R., 287	4047 2277
Soonder Narain v. Bennud Ram Soonder Narain Pandah v. Namdar	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 76 21 W. R., 407	2738
Soondur Kooer v. Lalla Rughoobur Dyal	10 W. R., 246	3705
Soondur Koomaree Debia v. Kishoree Lal Sein	5 W. R., 246	4233
Soondur Monee Chowdhraiu v. Bhoobun Mohun Chowdry Soondur Singh v. Buhooria Alum Bashee	11 W. R., 536 24 W. R., 36	1387 451
Soonea v. Ram Suha		183
Sooney Ram Marwaree v. Byjnath Kooer	10 W. R., 88	3929
Soonjharee Koonwur v. Ramessur Pandey	5 W. R., Mis., 47	5827
Soonut Dass v. Bhoban Lall	20 W. R., 478	2645, 26
Soopersaud. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 91 .	2040,20

Name of Case.	Volume and Page. *	Column of Digest.
Soophul Singh v. Methoo Singh	1 W. R., 163	383
Scopromonian Setty v. Heilgers	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 71: 4 C. L. R., 377.	4606
Soopun Khan v. Huro Pershad Paul	4 W. R., Act X, 50	778
Sooraj Buksh v. Ramjeeawun	4 N. W., 5	5379
ooraj Kant Acharje v. Khoodee Narain Manna	22 W. R., 9 12 W. R., 296	$\frac{5756}{282}$
	15 B. L. R., 125, note: 19 }	1598, 1663
Socrasoondery Dabee v. Golam Ally	W. R., 142.	4148
Soorendronath Roy v. Bhyrub Mundul	14 W. R., 462	145 291
v. Rughoobur Dyal Awustee	15 W. R., 392	337
Soorendro Nath Roy Chowdhry. In the matter of .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 106	393
Soorendro Pershad Dobey v. Jugobundhoo Pandey .	22 W. R., 426	2749 1038, 2765
v. Nundun Misser	21 W. R., 196	4155
Sooriah Row v. Cotaghery Boochiah	5 W. R., P. C., 127: 2 Moore's I. A., 113.	3843, 6246
v. Enoogunty Sooriah	5 W. R., P. C., 125: 2 Moore's I. A., 72.	3842
Soorja Kant Acharj Chowdry. In the matter of the petition of.	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 383	219, 5924
Soorja Koer v. Nath Buksh Singh Soorj Buksh Singh v. Sreekishen Doss	9 W. R., 500	2433 5409
Scorjee Kanto Roy v. Forlong	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 382 : 6 W. R., Act X, 44. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 37 : 4 W.	5074
Soorjeemoney Dossee v. Deuobundo Mullick . {	R., P. C., 114: 6 Moore's I. A., 526.	2550
v. Denobundoo Mullick	9 Moore's I. A., 123	2552
Soorjmonee Dossee v. Suroop Chunder Shah	W. R., 1864, 198 I. L. R., 2 Calc., 272	1677 277
Soorjo Coomar Bhuttacharjee v. Bhugwan Chunder Roy	24 W. R., 328	4784
Soorjo Coomar Surma Roy v. Krishto Coomar Chow-	12 B. L. R., 224 : 14 W. R. ?	5734
dhry. Soorjo Kant Banerjee v. Kristo Kishore Poddar	F. B., 30.	322, 4007
Soorjo Koomar v. Gungadhur Roy	14 W. R., 423	6112
Soorjomonee Dayee v. Suddanund Mohapatter .	12 B. L. R., 304 : 20 W. R.,	2344, 2367
Socijonionee Dajee of Buddanding Monaphites .	377 : L. R., I. A., Sup. }	5026
Soorjomonee Dossee v. Pearce Mohun Mookerjee	25 W. R., 331	1603
Soorjo Narain Panda v. Bissumbur Singh	23 W. R., 311	1833. 2301
Soorjoo Pershad v. Krishau Pertab Bahadoor .	1 N. W., 49 : Ed. 1873, 46	2197
Soorma Khatoon v. Attaffoonnissa Khatoon	2 Hay, 210	3653
Soornum Butty v. Boodhessuree Sooruj Buksh Singh v. Sreekishen Doss	10 W. R., 313	4848 220, 5409
Soornj Komar Pershad v. Mahadeo Dutt (5 N. W., 50	2331
Sooruj Pershad Tewary v. Saheb Lall Tewary .	3 W. R., 25	4879
Sorabjee Vacha Gauda v. Koonwarjee Manickjee 🗼 🥈	5 W. R., P. C., 29 : 1 Moore's I. A., 47.	1726
Sorabji Fardunji v. Dulabhbhai Hargovandas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 65	1131, 1541
Sorabji Nassarvanji Dundas v. Justices of the Peace { for the City of Bombay.	12 Bom., 250 {	612, 1570 5876
Sorabji Ratanji v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company.	7 Bom., Q. C., 119, note .	1382
Sorbojit Roy v. Gonesh Prosad Misser ,	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 761	2103

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Soroop Chunder Hazra. In the matter of the petition ?	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 938 .	1144
Soroop Chunder Paul v. Durup De Dombal	1 W. R., 72	529
Soroop Narain Pandah v. Soondur Porya	11 W. R., 505	237
Soruth Soondery Dabee v. Brodie	1 W. R., 274	2089
Soshi Bhusan Chand. In the matter of the petition of } v. Grish Chunder Taluqdar .	I. L. R., 11 Cale., 694	3454, 4291
Soshikishore Bundopadhya v. Chooramonee Putto?	W. R., 1864, 107	2240
Sotish Chunder Lahiry v. Nil Comul Lahiry	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 45 . {	4944,5404 5965
Soudaminec Dossee v. Bholanath Shaha	9 W. R., 363 8 W. R., 323	2890 3437
v. Bistoo Narain Roy	B. L. R., Sap. Vol., 585: 6)	276
Soudaminey Dossee v. Jogesh Chunder Dutt	W. R., Mis., 102. I. L. R., 2 Cale., 262	2463, 2560
v. Juggomohun Sen	1 Hyde, 172	1208
Soudamonee Dossee v. Poorno Chunder Roy	W. R., 1864, Act X, 113 .	3180
Soujharee Coomar v. Rameshur Panda	4 W. R., 32	3929
Southern Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of Southo Pursad Dass v. Parasu Padhan v. Rughoo Padhan	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 180	953 4789
v. Rughoo Padhan	26 W. R., 98	2932
Sowdamini Chowdhrain v. Krishna Kishor Poddar	4 B. L. R., F. B., 11: 12 W. 7	5486
Sowdamonee Debya v. Spalding. In the matter of .	R., F. B., 8. 12 C. L. R., 163 .	1826
		338, 2880
Spencer v. Kadir Buksh		4308 338, 2880
v. Puhul Chowdhry	471.	4308
Spence's Hotel Company v. Anderson	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 294, note .	427 929
Speyer v. Janssen	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 295 Bourke, O. C., 28	2093, 5898
Spink v. Moran	21 W. R., 161	4594
	4 Moore's I. A., 353 .	4122, 4634
•		5973
Sreechand v. Budhoo Singh Sreechand Baboo v. Mullick Choolhan	13 W. R., 301	2975 3279
Sreedhessury Chowdry v. Muddun Koowar Jha	9 W. R., 564	4997
Sreedhur Churn Nundee v. Juggobundoo Pau	W. R., 1864, Mis., 37	195
Sreedhur Jha v. Dabee Dutt	9 W. R., 170	1581
Sreegobind Singh v. Odit Narain Singh	W. R., F. B., 4	6111
Sreegopal Mullick v. Dwarkanath Sein	15 W. R., 520 {	325, 1629 2978
v. Ram Churn Nuskur	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 856 : 12 C. L. R., 125.	4771, 612
Sreehuree Bukshee v. Gopaulchunder Samunt	15 W. R., 500	1997
Sreehuree Roy v. Hill	9 W. R., 156	1381
Sreehurry Paul v. Nilmoney Sen	1 Hyde, 169	402 5251
Sreehurry Roy v. Hills	6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 21	6030
Sreekant Bhuttacharjee v. Rajuarain Chatterjee	10 W. R., 1	1845
Sreekant Ghose v. Bhugwan Chunder Sen	24 W. R., 13	1635, 576
Sreekant Roy. In the matter of the petition of	7 W. R., 74	279
Sreekant Roy Chowdhry v. Kitabooddeen Sirdar	10 W. R., 49	333, 54
Sreekant Shaha v. Kaltoo Doss	10 W. R., 135	1434
Sree Kishen v. Eshree Purtaub Rai	2 Agra, 299	4249

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Sree Kishen Chowdhry v. Ramkisto Bhuttacharjee		Digest.
Sreekissen v. Juglal . Lamkisto Bhuttacharjee	10 W. R., 317	0.324
Sreekristo Rieuros a T. IZ	9 W. R. Cr. 5	3225
	24 W. R., 304	5556
Sreemauchanden Most	18 W. R., 342	4985
Sreemanchunder Mookerjee v. Mutty Loll Mookerjee Sree Misser v. Crowdy	Cor., 57	5479
Stee Mohn Chutte 1 7	15 W. R., 243	6128
Sree Mohun Ghuttuck. In the matter of	19 Mague's T 4 949	1183, 3804
Debee v. Goberdhone Rerman-	13 Moore's I. A., 343	265
Sreemunchunder Dey v. Gopal Chunder Chuckerbutty	2 Ind. Jur., N. S. 319	3425
Green with The Churcher Chuckerbutty	7 W. R., P. C., 10: 11 Moore's ?	299, 300
Sreemunt Dutt a Krish and Streemunt Dutt a Krish		477, 6127
Sreemunt Dutt v. Krishnanath Roy	9 W. R., 499	877, 4320
	25 W. R., 10	3979
Sreemunt Kowar v. Akbur Mundul	8 W. R., 197	83
	8 W. R., 438	1784, 4752
Sreemunto Benaich Rao Imrit. In the goods of .	12 W. R., 276	1467, 5367
Sreemunto Duloui v. Ramchand Aduck	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10	4526
	5 W. K., Cr., 57	
	21 W. R., 409	4506
Sreemunto Puramanick v. Abhoy Churn Manna	16 W R 20g	3245
Sreemunt Ram Dey v. Kookoor Chand	11 W. R., 297	2928
	15 W R 491	5271
Sreemunt Sen v. Gorai Gazee	22 W D 2007	56, 5351
Sreemutty v. Lukhee Narain Dutt	18 W. R., 199	4115
	22 W. R. 171	5694
	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 807: 4 C.	4157
Gree Narain Barabai Com To	L. R., 148.	5340
Sreenarain Bysack. In re	6 W. R., 219	
Greenaran Chuckerbutty a Mill	2 Hyde, 180	2467
	15 W. R. O C 7	2661
Sreenarain Mitter v. Dheraj Mahtab Chund	5 B. L. R., 254, note	6105
Just and Lancar Chund	17 W. R., 72	2603
v. Kishen Soondery Dassee	11 B T. D. 181 W	3511
	A., Sup. Vol., 149.	411, 2112
	3 W. R., 3	2113
v. Doorgadoss Nundy	L. R. 9 Colo 991	220
v. Purrum Sookh Chunder	W. R., 216	6277
		1034
	W. R., P. C., 43: 10 Moore's	4050
reenath Bose v. Nobin Chunder Bose	I. A., 220.	4753
	W. R., 473	
	7 W. R., 374	3841
	2 C. L. R. 970	1614
rechain Chowdhry a Gran	I W. R. 949	3166
		175
reenath Chunder Chowdhry a Make 1 Co		79, 5232
		23, 5132
reenath Chun Dan D	C. L. R., 453	1186
reenath Churn Soor v. Nilkanto Dey	W. R., 318	
reenath Doss. In the goods of	W. R. 300	4829
echaon Dull v. Dwave Diant.	W. R. 440	4782
v. Nandkishore Doss	W. R. S. C. C. Rof. 9	1237
v. Ramgonal Chattering	W. R. 208	5668
v. Unneda Churn Dutt	W. R., 108	4312
manath Cl 23	W. R. Cr. 24	5117
Change Glosal V. Bissonath Change	L. R., Sup. Vol., Ap., 10: 2	5946
eenath Ghose. In the motter c		3123
	W. R., Cr., 33	100
		1045

Sreenath Ghose v. Rajchunder Paul	8 W. R., 171 12 B. L. R., 504, note: 12 W. 3 R., 304.	172, 371
Sreenath Kur. In the matter of the petition of Sreenath Lall w. Jumneyjoy Mulliek Sreenath Mookerjee v. Kound Kurmokar v. White Sreenath Nag v. Chunder Nath Ghose Sreenath Nag v. Chunder Nath Ghose Sreenath Nag Mozoomdar v. Mon Mohinee Dossia Sreenath Nay Mozoomdar v. Mon Mohinee Dossia Sreenath Nay. In the matter of the petition of v. Ainooddeen Shaha v. Endood Bashinee Debia v. Cally Doss Ghose v. Goluck Chunder Sein	3 W. R., Act X, 11 L. R., 7 Cule., 556: 9 C. } L. R., 334. 4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 4 7 W. R., 399 L. L. R., 421. Marsh, 550: 2 Hay, 649 16 W. R., 83 13 W. R., 126 24 W. R., Cr., 62 17 W. R., 192 6 W. R., 35 17 W. R., 405 25 W. R., 103 20 W. R., 103 20 W. R., 103 21 L. R., 5 Calc., 82 15 W. R., 58 21 W. R., 35	1924 4316 793 5323 81 2759 4266 981, 5154 1708 143, 234 44, 232 581 146, 202 2815, 282 2815, 282 2815, 282
	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 773 4 W. R., S. G. C. Ref., 13 7 W. R., 462 10 W. R., 449 4 W. R., Mis., 4 12 W. R., 375	206 4604 5829 6141 5588 4436
Sreenibash Lack v. Prosunno Coomar Mitter Sreepershad v. Rajgooroo Treeumbuknath Deo Sreepershad Surmah Bhuttacharjee v. Shuroopa Dossia Sreeputty Mirdha v. Kartick Singha Sreeputty Roy v. Ioharam Roy	25 W. R., 218 10 W. R., 44 9 W. R., 452 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 10: 11 C. L. R., 181. B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 687: 7	1456 3158 5383 903 5672
Sreeram v. Holtoom Singh Sreeram Bhuttacharjee v. Puddomookhee Debia Sreeram Chandra Lerkan v. Bipindass Sreeram Chatterjee v. Lakhun Magilla Sree Ram Chowdhry v. Denobundhoo Chowdhry	W. R., 384.) 2 N. W., 467	4760 2413, 2424 2029 1641, 1659
Sreeram Chunder Banerjee v. Mudloo Soodun Roy Sreeram Ghose v. Molook Chand Deb v. Sreenath Dutt Chowdhry Sreeram Hazuh v. Gyaram Hatee Sreeram Roy Chowdhry v. Kolemooddee Mollah Srees h Chunder Poss v. Assimonissa Sreeshteedhur Shaha v. Woomeelmath Roy Sreestee Dhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreemth Biswas Sreestee Dhur Chuckerbutty v. Sreemth Biswas Sreestee Dhur Dey v. Doorga Narain Nag Sri Bullov Bhattacharji v. Baburam Chattopadhya Srichand v. Nim Chand Sahu Sridhar Nan di v. Brajanath Kundu Chowdhry	R., 147. 24 W. R., 147. 4 W. R., Act X, 3 7 W. R., 451 11 W. R., 567 9 W. R., 477 7 W. R., 234 5 W. R., Mis., 1 18 W. R., 332 17 W. R., 462 1 L. R., 11 Calc., 169 5 B. L. R., Ap., 25: 13 W. 3 R., 337 2 B. L. R., A. C., 211: 14 W. 4 R., 286, note. 1 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 1 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10	218 1636 2856 3870 4758 1654 2708 878 568 167, 5735 1168 4151

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
		Digest.
T	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 257	3116
Srihary Mundul v. Murari Chowdhry . Srikant Bhuttacharji v. Kedar Nath Mookerjee	6 C. L. R., 242	1993
	11 C. L. R., 131	2774
Srikant Dey v. Huri Das Pal	3 C. L. R., 181	5660
Srikant Roy v. Satcori Shaha	3 Mad., 226	4272
Srikhanti Narayanappa v. Indupuram Ramalingam Sri Kishen v. Huri Kishen	5 Moore's I. A., 432	1729
Srikishen v. Secretary of State for India	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 143 : L. R., 12 I. A., 142.	2070
Srinarayan Mitter v. Krishna Sundari Dasi	{ 2 B. L. R., A. C., 279:11 W. } R., 196.	2112
Srinath Bhattacharji v. Ram Ratan De	. I. L. R., 12 Calc., 606 .	543
Srinath Chuckerbutty v. Srimanto Lashkar	8 B. L. R., 240, note: 10 W. R., 467.	3013, 5317
Srinath Das v. Park Pittar	{ 5 B. L. R., 550: 14 W. R., }	3319
Srinath Dutt v. Gopal Chunder Mittra	{ I. L. R., 9 Calc., 511 : 12 C. } L. R., 445.	410
Srinath Gang opadhya v. Mahesh Chandra Roy .	4 B. L. R., F. B., 3: 12 W. R., F. B., 14.	3364, 3399
v. Sarbamangala Debi .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 144:10 W. R., 488,	2268, 2496
Srinath Ghose v. Haronath Dutt Chowdhry	9 B. L. R., 220: 18 W. R., 240.	5316
Srinath Kur v. Prosunno Kumar Ghose		8400
Srinath Mazumdar v. Brajanath Mazumdar	6 4 B. L. R., Ap., 99: 13 W.	3511
Srinath Saha v. Saroda Gobindo Chowdry	R., 309. 5 B. L. R., Ap., 10	304
Srinivasa v. Emperumanar Pillai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 42	3595
v. Ponnambalam	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 376	102
v. Venkatramana	T T T P F 37 3 301	3349
Srinivasa Ayyangar v. Kuppan Ayyangar		2138, 2288
v. Peria Tambi Nayakar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 420	240
v. Queen	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 393	906, 4353
v. Rengasami Ayyangar .	T. R. 2 Mad 304	2300
Srinivasa Bhatta v. Malayachan Mannadi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 304 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 211	4348
Srinivasachari v. Queen	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 336 .	2032
Srinivasa Chetti v. Nunjunda Chetti	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 174	3046
Srinivasammal v. Vijayammal	. 2 Mad., 37	2534
Srinivasa Nayudu v. Yelaya Nayudu	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 251 .	2405
Srinivasa Rau Saheb v. Secretary of State for India	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 226	4572
Sripivasa Sastri v. Seshayyangar	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 37	4775
Srinivasayyar v. Laksmamma	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 206	5265
Srinivassa Chariyar v. Venkatasa Naikar	. 4 Mad., 440	114
Brinivassiengar v. Srinivassaranga Chariyar .	. 4 Mad., 10	3414
Brinivas Udpirav v. Reid	9 Bom., 266	2855
Srinop v. Empress	. 12 C. L. R., 226	346
Sriongo Kooer v. Maheswar Buksh Singh	B. L. R., Sup. Vol 13 : Marsh. 527 : W. R., F. B., 116.	210
Sripoti Churn Dey v. Mohip Narain Singh	I. L. R., 9 ('alc., 643: 13 C.) L. R., 119.	4004
Sri Prasad v. Raj Guru Triambuknath Deo	6 B. L. R., 555: 14 W. R., 386.	2002, 0
Sri Ram v. Bhagirath Lal	I. L. R., 4 All., 227	4833
Sriram Biswas v. Juggernath Doss	{ I Ind. Jur., N. S., 187: 5 W.; R., Act X, 45.	563

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Sriram Chunder Haldar. In the matter of the petition		-
of. Srivam Chunder Haldar v. Chairman of the Howrah Municipality.	20 W. R., Cr., 65	505
Sriram Ghatak v. Brajamohan Ghosal	3 B. L. R., Ap., 41: 11 W. R., 449.	881
Sriramulu v. Ramayya	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 15 . §	2126, 2126 2134
Srish Chunder Sirear Chowdhry v. Shib Narain Pal brishteedhur Biswas v. Mudan Sirdar Srishteedhur Bose v. Shama Churu Ghose Brishteedhur Mundul v. Gobind Suruckar bristeedhur Buttacharjee v. Kaleedoss Dey Bristeedhur Paroee v. Indrobhosun Chuckerbutty	22 W. R., 466	5422 5196 554, 566 5320 1449 1328
risteedhur Samunt v. Romanath Rokhit	6 W. R., 58 12 B. L. R., 197 : 20 W. R.,	3373
talkart v. Gopal Panday.	168.	1169 56
Staves v. Dias	W. R., 1864, Act X, 115 10 W R., 444 I, L. R., 13 Calc., 111	6186 205
v. Roberts	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 809 : 8 C. L. }	355
Stephen. In the goods of	1 B. L. R., S. N., 3: 10 W. }	3087
v. Gasper	1 W. R., 265	3129
v. Stephen	I. I. R., 8 Calc., 714: 10 C. } L. R., 533.	77
······································	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 901: 13 C. } L. R., 430.	* 78
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 C. L. R., 536	4254
tephenson v. Baumgartner	3 Agra, 104 {	1399, 4250 6078
v. Unnoda Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 18 {	161, 486 3540, 517
terling v. Cochrane	1 B. L. R., O. C., 114	2635
tewart. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., P. C., 55: 10 W. } R., P. C., 43.	454
v. Scinde, Punjab, and Dehli Railway Company	17 W. R., 201	3105, 468 928
towell v. Ajudhia Nath	I. L. R., 6 All., 255	5433, 544
v. Billings	I. L. R., 1 All., 350	1704, 3519
triman Sada Gopa v. Kristna Tatachariyar	1 Mad., 301	2849
trimathoo Moothoo Vijia Ragoonadah Ranee Ko- landapuree Natchiar alias Kattama Natchiar v. Dora-	15 B. L. R., 83: 23 W. R., (314: L. R., 2 I. A., 169.	1413
singa Taver.) triuarasimma Chariyar v. Narasimma Chariyar	5 Mad., 183	231
trinivasa v. Narayanasami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 1	3591
truthers v. Wheeler	6 C. L. R., 109	912
tudd v. Brij Nundun Pershad Singh	9 C. L. R., 350	5389
uba Bibi v Balgobind Das	I. L. R., 8 All., 178	1398
ubad Domni v. Katiram Dome	20 W. R., Cr., 58	3741 306
Subah Roy v. Baldeo Singh	24 W. R., 225 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 83	6269
bubba v. Queen-Empress	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 460	4486
v. Venkata	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 9	3586
v	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 21	432, 864
Subba Aitala. In re	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 304	261, 388

	(Martin	
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
7.12 (C) 11 W- 4: T	3 Mad., 303	3756
Subba Chetty v. Masti Immadi		3366, 3367
Subbaiya v. Rajesvara Sastrulu	4 Mad., 354 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 383	4279
lubbaiyar v. Kristnaiyar	. I. L. R., I Mad., 505	2026, 5968
mbbaiyar v. Kristnaiyar mbbaij Rau v. Srinivasa Rau	. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 264	
dipoliticalism of reminantiful reminar	. 2 Mad., 129	2132
ubbarama v. Eastulu Muttusami	. 3 Mad., 378	3201, 3300
Subbaramien v. Ponnusawmy Chetty	. 5 Mad., 298	6222
Subba Rau v. Rama Rau	. 3 Mad., 376 · · ·	2830
v. Virappa	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 162	3773
Subbaraya v. Devandra	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 301	1097
v. Srinivasa	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 580	3595
Subbaraya Gurukal v. Chellappa Mudali	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 315	5252
	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 159	3028
Subbaraya Kamti v. Krishna Kamti		2853, 3041
Subbaraya Mudali v. Collector of Chingleput .	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 303 . {	3059
v. Government	. 1 Mad., 286	2805, 4253
Subbarayana v. Subbakka	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 236	2418
Subbarayulu Nayak v. Rama Reddi	. 1 Mad., 141	2062, 3579
Subba Venkatara Maiyan v. Subraya Aiyan .	. 4 Mad., 257	3823
Subbaya v. Buthaya	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 380	3564
v. Yarlagadda Ankinidu	. 1 Mad., 255	41
v. Yellamma	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 130	5960
Subbayya v. Chellamma	. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 477	2345, 2534
v. Venkatesappa	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 49	4870
Subhayyan v. Ruppa Nagasami Ayyan	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 155	5389
Subbiom Dillor at Amarch la Inquest Dillor	5 Mad., 444	6176
Subbiem Pillay v. Aruachala Irungol Pillay		5702
Subbiramaniya Ayyan v. Velayuda Devar		2429, 3372
Subbramania Mudaliar v. Kaliani Ammal	. 7 Mad., 226	214, 3597
Subbramaniya Pillay v. Perumal Chetty	. 4 Mad., 251	5117
Subbramanyian v. Ganapathi	. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 123	5217
Subbroya Oodian v. Queen	. 5 Mad., 326	1967
Subbu v. Vasanthappan	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 351	3585
Subbu Hegadi v. Tongu	. 4 Mad., 196	2435
Subbuviyan. In the matter of the petition of .	. 4 Mad., 233	4757
Subdul v. Buldeo	2 N. W., 23	4908
Sabhabhat Vasudevbhat	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 113	3898
Subhaiyan v. Sankara Subhaiyan		3365
Subhagi v. Muhammad Ishak	. 2 Mad., 347	4542
	. I. L. R., 6 All., 463	
Subhan Ali v. Sufdar Ali	. 24 W. R., 227	3526
Subhanji bin Bahirji v. Bhayanrav bin Anandrav	. 1 Bom., 173	1560,5091
Subharaya Mudali v. Queen	, 4 Mad., 81	6257
		802, 81
Subjan Bibi v. Sariutulla	5 3 B. L. R., A. C., 413:	836, 136
and just a tot or Darittolitie	12 W. R., 329.	1385, 193
		5431
Subjan Ostagur. In the matter of the petition of	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 531:	5918
	6 W. R., Mis., 77.	1 }
Suboorun v. Golam Nujee	. 2 W. R., 55	3253
Subramania Ayyan v. Venkata Rayar	. I. L. R., 6 Mad., 254	4140
Subramania Ghanapati v. Queen	. I. L. R., 3 Mad., 261	
Subramanian Chetti v. Prince of Arcot	. I. L. R., 2 Mad., 146 .	5676
Subramanian Pattar v. Panjamma Kunjiamma .	. I. L. R., 4 Mad., 324	1869
Subramaniya Ayyar v. Ramachandra Rau	. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 335 .	3233
Subramaniyayyan v. Subramaniyayyan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 125	F00#
Subramanya v. Appasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 355	070
v. Ponnusami	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 92	2479
	. 1. 11. 10., O MINU., 52	2210

Name of Case,		Volume and Page,	Column of Digest,
			F 7 FF
Subramanya v. Gnanasambantha		I. L. R., 7 Mad., 374 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 573	5177 446, 5309
v. Rajaram		I. L. R., 8 Mad., 573 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 75	2189, 403
v. Secretary of State for India		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 361	2852
and the second s	• •	T. T. D. 0.35 1, 200	4533, 5911
Subramanya Ayyar v. Queen			6184
Subramanyan. Ex parte v. Mandayan Subraya Gounden v. Venkatagiri Aiyar		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 187	$\frac{2072}{3971}$
Salara Carala a Variate sin Aires		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 453	5917
Subraya Gounden v. Venkatagiri Aiyar		6 Mad., 22	304
Subraya Pillai v. Srmivasa Pillai	•	4 Med 14	1102
v. Subraya Mudali Subupalayi Ammel v. Appakutti Aiyangar		4 Mad., 14	1033
anabara'i manana a mitanana and angar		(902, 1172
		\	2346, 239
Sudaburt Pershad Sahoo v. Lotf Ali Khan .		14 W. R., 339	2445, 251
		1	3160, 425
3 1 37 7 70 1 01 1		0 N W 104	4261 3042
Suda Nund v. Dwarka Singh	٠.	2 N. W., 194 Marsh., 317: 2 Hay, 205	2135, 213
Sudanund Mohapattur v. Bonomalee Doss .	٠.	(2344, 236
v. Soorjoo Monee Dayee	٠.	11 W. R., 436 }	2369
v. Soorjoomonee Debee		8 W. R., 455	5026
Sudaram Majee v. Mirtunjoy Dey	. :	12 W. R., 509	4959
Sudder Golab Singh v. Ram Buddul Singh	. {	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 1: 4 }	5920
odina sanga at zama zaman sanga		W. R., Act X, 28. 5 I. L. R, 3 Calc., 694: 2 C. L.)	
Suddurtonnessa v. Majada Khatoon	. {	R., 308.	2229
Sudduruddeen Sircar v. Ramjoy Mojoomdar		14 W. R., Cr., 51	4435, 462
Suddye Purira v. Boistub Purira	• . {	12 B. L. R., 84, note: 15 W. R., 261.	5206
Suderooddeen v. Huronath Sein		8 W. K., 87	5728
Sudharam Patar v. Sudharam	. {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 91:11 W.}	2837,289
Sudharam Patar v. Sudharam	. (R., 457. I. L. R., 9 Mad., 80	809
	٠.,	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 245 : L. R., 8)	4233, 459
Sudisht Lal v. Sheobarat Koer	. {	1. A., 39.	1
Sudukhina Chowdhrain v. Raj Mohau Bose.		3 B. L. R., A. C., 377	4591
Sudurooddeen v. Bhetoo Pulee		5 W. R., Act X,70	1642
Sudurooddeen Mahomed. In the matter of		7 W. R., 316	1318
Sufatoollah. In the matter of the petition of	٠.,	22 W. R., Cr., 49 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 703 : 10 C.	
Sufdar Reza v. Amzad Ali	. {	L. R., 121.	4791, 611
Sufdur Ali v. Woolfut Ali		3 W. R., 232	4598
Sufdur Ali Khan v. Jeo Narain Singh .	: :	16 W. R., 161	3005
Sufeehun v. Mahomed Hubeeboollah Khan .		8 W. R., 379	241, 391
Sufeecollah Sircar v. Begum Bibee		25 W. R., 219	2022, 601
Suffer Ali v. Futteh Ali		W. R., 1864, Act X, 2	2930
Suffer Ali Khan v. Golam Hyder Khan		6 W. R., Cr., 60	3790 1251
Sufferoonissa Beebee v. Gholam Hossein Chowdhr	у .	W. R., 1864, Mis., 2	480
Sufferuddin v. Ibrahim Suffuroonisa Bibee v. Noorul Hossein		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 754 17 W. R., 419	3158
Sufi Sufila v. Official Trustee of Bengal .	•	L. R., 8 I. A., 197	3326
Sufroo Shaikh Durjee v. Futteh Shaikh Durjee		15 W. R., 505	4562
Sufur Ali v. Mohesh Chunder Kaug	. :	4 W. R., Mis., 16	836
Sufuroonissa v. Saree Dhoopee		8 W. R., 384	5304
Suganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal		2 Bom., 270	2818

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column
Name of Orace,	volume and rage.	Digest.
	10 D 110	1035, 2105
uganchand Shivdas v. Mulchand Joharimal .	· 12 Bom., 113	2576, 2819 3089
ugeeram Begum v. Juddobuns Suhaye	. 9 W. R., 284	2206
ugra Bibi v. Masuma Bibi	. I. L. R., 2 All., 573	3649
Suhai v. Bishun Dyal	. 1 Agra, 269	1093
Suhodara Bibee v. Mohendro Nath Bose	. 2 W. R., 271	3397
Suhoodera v. Ram Rutton Tewaree	. Marsh., 3:1 Hay, 24	1812
Suhuj Narain Sahee v. Ram Pershad Misser .	. 21 W. R., 146	3776
Sujan Singh v. Gungaram	[I. L. R., 8 Calc., 337 : L. R.,]	1091
Sujawut Ali v. Busheerooddeen	9 I. A., 58. 2 W. R., 189	2255
Sujeewun Singh v. Runpal Singh	10 W. R., 351	628
Sujjad v. Sahit Ali	. 3 Agra, 140	3278
Sujjad Ali v. Bholaram	. 5 N. W., 24	5745
Sujun Kooer v. Heitoo	· 1 N. W., 165 : Ed. 1873, 244 .	1187, 1758
Sujun Koonwer v. Pirbhoo Lall	. 2 Agra, Pt. II, 211	1394
Sukee Monee Debia v. Huree Mohun Mookerjee .	• 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 6	5656
Sukeenaah Banco v. Huro Churn Buruj	6 W. R., 34	2235
Sukhai v. Daryai Sukhawat Ali v. Kesho Tewaree	. I. L. R., 1 All., 374	5480
Sukhawatoollah v. Puthoo Goldar	6 N. W., 208	3872
Sukhawut Hossein v. Dhajah Roy	. 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 7 2 Hay, 597	5268
Sukhbasi Lal v. Guman Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 366	2148
Sukhdaik Misr v. Karim Chaudhri	. I. L. R., 3 All., 521	2863, 508
Sukhdeo Rai v. Sheo Ghulam	. I. L. R., 4 All., 382	5446
Sukhee Monee Debia v. Erojoraj Mookerjee .	. 17 W. R., 69	1933
Sukhimani Dasi v. Mahendra Nath Dutt	. 4 B. L. R., P. C., 16	1697
Sukhi Mani Haldar v. Gunga Gobind Mundle Sukh Nandan v. Rennick	. W. R., 1864, Act X, 126	1641
Sukhnandan Lal. In the matter of the petition of	· I. L. R., 4 All., 193	5902 269
Sukho v. Durga Prasad	I. L. R., 6 All., 163 I. L. R., 2 All., 448	5148
Sukho Bibi v. Ram Sukh Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 268	3339
Sukoomut Bibee v. Warris Ali	· 22 W. R., 400	3732
Sukram v. Kala Kahar	. 3 B. L. R., A. C., 105	1392, 447
Sukrit Narain Lal v. Reghunath Sahai	· I. L. R., 7 All., 445	2650
Sukry Kurdeppa v. Goondakull Nagireddi	· 6 Mad., 71	4772
Sule v. Dhundiraj Venayak	3 Bom., A. C., 55	56
Suleman Kadr v. Dorab Ali Khan	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 1 : L. R., 8	3679, 621
Suleman Vadu v. Trikamji Velji	12 Pom., 10	6153
Sultan Ackeni Sabib v. Bava Malimiyar	· I. L. R., 4 Mad., 295	164
Sultan Ahmad v. Maula Bakhsh	· I. L. R., 4 All., 21	5043
Sultan Chand v. Smyth	[12 B. L. R., 358 : 21 W. R.,	5900
Sultanji T. Patil Sirvale v. Raghunath R. Marathe	221. 2 Bom., 48: 2nd Ed., 45	2396
Sultan Kuar a. Gulzari Lal	I. L. R., 2 All., 290	411
Sumar Ahmed v. Ismail Haji Habib	· I. L. R. 1 Bom., 158	1474, 452
Sumarmal Joharimal v. Nahanubai	. 7 Bom., O. C., 183	424
Sumat Das. In the matter of the petition of .	7 Bom., O. C., 183 13 B. L. R., Ap., 27	203, 188
Sumbhonauth Ghose v. Jodoonauth Chatterjee	. Cor., 88	2111, 257
Sumbhoo Chunder Chowdhry v. Naraini Debia . Sumbhoo Chunder Khan v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee	. 5 W. R., P. C., 100	2139
	. W. R., 1864, Mis., 24	663
Sumbhoo Chunder Shahe at Bornda Soundarios Daher		
Sumbhoo Chuuder Shaha v. Baroda Soonduree Debea	. 5 W. R., 45	632
Sumbhoo Chuuder Shaha v. Barola Soonduree Debea Sumbhoo Lall Girdhur Lall v. Collector of Surat .		632 4634

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Sumboo Chunder Holder. In re		Bourke, O. C., 59 {	430, 1860
	•		5897
Sumboonauth Ghose v. Juddoonauth Chatterjee .		2 Hyde, 259	1577, 2572
Sumeena Bebee v. Koylash Chunder koy	•	6 W. R., Act X, 79	525
Sumeera Khatoon v. Gopal Lall Tagore	•	1 W. R., 58 2 W. R., Act X, 93	4147
Sumeeroodeen Lushkur v. Huronath Roy	•	2 W. R., Act X, 93	$\frac{1617}{4311}$
Summun Jha v. Bhooput Jha		18 W. R., 498	
Sumrun Thakur v. Chundermun Misser	3	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 17: 9 C. L.	2471
Sumundra Koonwar v. Kalee Churn Singh .	۲	R., 415. 13 W. R., 199	2447
Sundar v. Khuman Singh	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 614	2870
Sundara v. Subbanna	- 1	I. L. B., 9 Mad., 354	1493
	٠,		1160, 3289
Sundaram v. Sankara		I. L. R., 9 Mad., 334 . {	4716
Sundaramurti Mudali v. Vallinayakki Ammal .	!	1 Mad., 465	5591
Sundar Das v. Mansa Ram		I. L. R., 7 All., 407	1886, 5957
Sundardas Jagjivandas v. Mohandas Ticumdas .	. 1	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 454	655
Sundardas Mulji. Ex parte		10 Bom., 58 · · ·	2665
Sundar Jagjivan v. Gopal Eshvant		4 Bom., A. C., 68 .	4828, 6144
Sundar Lal v. Yakub Ali		I. L. R., 6 All., 362	5387
Sunder Bahu v. Monohur Lall Upadhya		10 C. L. R., 79	2464, 2471
Sunder Dass. In re		I. L. R., 11 Calc., 42	5423
Sundhya Mala v. Dabi Churn Dutt		I. L. R., 6 Calc., 715	5005
Sundhyamula v. Devi Churn Dutt		9 C. L. R., 216	5005
Sundram Chetti v. Queen		I. L. R., 6 Mad., 203 . {	4099, 5262
-	•		5269
Sundraraja Ayyangar v. Jaganada Pillai		I. L. R., 4 Mad., 111	2169 1320
Sundriah v. Queen	•	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 254	810
Sungara Narayana Pillay v. Sandira Pillay .	•	6 Mad., 13	5685
Sungram Singh v. Juggun Singh	•	2 N. W., 18	342, 2729
Sungut Lal v. Baijnath Roy	,	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 164 . 1 N. W., Pt. II, p. 5 : Ed. \	1
Sunj Baree v. Payag Patuk	3	1873, 65.	1678
Sunker Lall v. Juddoobuns Suhaye		9 W. R., 285	1407, 1751
•	i	6 W. R., P. C., 39: 3 Moore's }	5244
Sunkur Bharti Swami v. Sidha Lingayah Charanti	{	I. A., 198.	
Sunkur Dobey v. Obhoy Churn Mohaputtur .		12 W. R., 385	4852
Sunkur Dyal Singh v. Purmessur Dyal Singh .		6 W. R., 44	4991
		1	5669, 5701
Sunkur Lall Pattuck Gyawal v. Ramkalee Dhamiu	•	18 W. R., 104 · · {	5747
Sunkur Pershad v. Goury Pershad		I. L. R., 5 Calc., 321 .	2352, 2374
-	•	,	3292 4820
Sunkur Sahoy v. Sheo Pershad Sookool		16 W. R., 270	568
Sunkur Singh v. Huree Mohun Thakoor	•	22 W. R., 460 · · ·	403
Sunnooburnessa Khanum v. Meher Chund	•	W. R., 1864, 313	0 410 400
Sunnud Ali v. Kurimoonissa		9 W. R., 124 · {	5215
Sunoo Khan v. Oodea		2 Agra, 140 · ·	647
Sunraj Kuari v. Ambika Prasad Singh	•	1. L. R., 6 All., 14	3499
Sunt Lall Misser v. Bhurosee Issur	•	18 W. R., 57	50
Suntokhee Thakoor v. Belassee Koonwur	•	10 W. R., 276	3395
Suntokh Rai v. East Indian Railway Company	•	2 Agra, 200	4707
Suntosh Ram Doss v. Geru Pattuck	•	23 W. R., 22	5096
Suppakon. Ex parte		1 Mad., 450	1982
Suppanachari v. Chakkara Pattan		1 Mad., 411	1145, 114
Suput Singh v. Imrit Tewari		(I. L. R., 5 Calc., 720: 6 C.	1147, 32
police pingu at milit reasts		L. R., 62.) ,

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surahutoonissa Khatoon v. Gyanee Buktoor	11 W. R., 142	1646
Suraj Bansi Kunwar v. Mahipat Singh	7 B. L. R., 669 : 16 W. R., 7	1415
, , ,	18. I. L. R., 5 Calc., 148 : 4 C. L. ?	2160
Suraj Bunsi Koer v. Sheo Persad Singh	R., 226 : L. R., 6 I. A., 88.	
Suraj Din v. Chattar Surajpal Singh v. Jairangir	I. L. R., 3 All., 755 I. L. R., 7 All., 855	4918 5689
Suraneni Venkata Gopala Narasimha Roy v. Suraneni { Lakshmi Venkama Roy. }	3 B. L. R., P. C., 41: 12 W. R., P.C., 40: 13 Moore's I. A., 113.	2446, 244
Suraneny Lakshmy Venkama Row v. Suraneny Venkata	3 Mad., 40	2446, 244
Gopala Narasimha Row. Surat Dhobni. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 302	1832
Suraya v. Lakshminarasamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 291	2293, 229
Surbanund Pandey v. Ruchia Pandey Surbeshur Mustofee v. Ram Lochun Chuckerbutty	4 W. R., Act X, 32	3810 2352
Surbessur Dey v. Mahomed Sircar	2 Hay, 81 7 W. R., 243	545
Surbessur Methoor v. Gossain Doss Methoor	17 W. R., 210	2358
Surbeswar Ghose v. Choto Arizollah Mandal . {	8 B. L. R., Ap., 78: 17 W. }	5754
Surbhai Dayalji v. Raghunathji Vasanji	10 Bom., 397	3150
Surbo Chunder Doss v. Woomanund Roy	11 W. R., 412	530, 568
Surbo Mohun Roy v. Surut Chunder Roy Surbomongala Dassi v. Shashibhooshun Biswas	16 W. R., 34	5812 4670
Surbomungola Dabee v. Mohendronath Nath	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 413 I. L. R., 4 Calc., 508	6201
Surbomungula Dossee v. Suttish Chunder Roy	2 W. R., 231	1592
Surdar Khan v. Chundoo	1 Agra, 228	3281
Surdharee Lall v. Girindur Chunder Ghose	1 C. L. R., 475	3517 3720, 372
Surdharee Loll v. Mansoor Ally Khan	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 298	2103
Surender Nath Pal Chowdhry v. Brojonath Pal Chow-	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 352	1737, 496
dhry. Surendra Nath Banerjee v. Chief Justice and Judges of the High Court, Calcutta.	I. L. R., 10 Cale., 109	1043
Surendranath Roy. In the matter of the petition of	5 B. L. R., 274: 13 W. R., Cr., 27.	968, 618
	1 B. L. R., P. C., 26: 10 W.)	1
Surfoji v. Kamakshiamba	R., 35: 12 Moore's I. A., 81.	2230, 228 2285, 258
Surfraz Khan v. Tasawur Ali	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 452	671 1766
Surgee. In the matter of	3 C. L. R., 281	3609
Suri Bhatta v. Sitarama Bhatta	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 195	13
Suriutollah Chowdhry v. Madur Bux Chowdhry	W. R., 1864, 363 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 499 : L. R., 7	1380
Carrya Mad O. Maja of L tompar	13 I. A., 97.	2118
Surjanarain Dass. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 88	2897
Surjan Chowdhry v. Imambandi Begum	6 B. L. R., 506, note: 12 W. R., 527.	3978
Surjan Singh v. Jagan Nath Singh	I. L. R., 2 All., 313	4001
Surjokant Nundi v. Mohesh Chunder Dutt Surjo Narain Ghose v. Hurri Narain Mollo	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 70	2289
Surjoo Pershad v. Kashee Rawut	1 C. L. R., 547 21 W. R., 121	1781 535, 610
Surju Prasad v. Bhawani Sahai	I. L. R., 2 All., 481	4782
v. Mansur Ali Khan Surju Prasad Singh v. Khwahish Ali	I. L. R., 5 All., 463	3903
wallar meet Smgn of minamism wit	I. L. R., 4 All., 512	3164

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Surjya Narain Singh v. Sirdhary Lall Surm Rae v. Ubhman Rae Surmust Khan v. Kadir Dad Khan Surno Moyee v. Adoito Churn Roy v. Blumhardt v. Bykunt Chunder Mustofee v. Collector of Rungpore v. Dinonath Gir Sunnyasee v. Daorga Monee Dossee v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. v. Luchmeeput Doogur v. Poolin Behary Mundul v. Ramchurn Singh v. Shoshe Mokhee Burmonia v. Singhroop Bebee v. Suttees Chunder Roy v. Watson & Co. Surnomoyee Dassya v. Land Mortgage Bank of Iudia Surnomoyee Desse v. Khan Mundul Surnomoyee Desia v. Guuga Gobind Roy Surnomoyee Dossee v. Khan Mundul v. Kishen Koomaree Surnomoyi v. Johur Mahomed Nashyo Saroop Chunder Chowker butty	I. L. R., 9 Calc., \$25: 12 C. \ L. R., 400. \ 2 N. W., 209 1 Agra, F. B., 39: Ed. 1874, \ 29. W. R., 552 5 W. R., 55 1 Hay, 37. \ L. L. R., 9 Calc., 908: 13 C. \ L. R., 69. \ 10 W. R., 355 20 W. R., 378 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 694: 7 W. R., 52, 512. \ 3 C. L. R., 16 3 Agra, 251 12 Moore's I. A., 244: 11 W. \ R., P. C., 5. \ R., 12 Calc., 258 W. R., 12 Calc., 258 W. R., 12 Calc., 258 I. L. R., 16 (10 Moore's) I. A., 164, 165, 166. \ 10 Moore's I. A., 123 20 W. R., 276 L. R., 341. \ 11 L. R., 7 Calc., 178: 8 C. \ L. R., 346 1 L. R., 264 9 W. R., 270 1 W. R., 264 1 W. R., 224 10 C. L. R., 545 1 W. R., 270 1 W. R., 284 1 W. R., 264 1 W. R., 284	2499 1030, 5785 1149 1583, 1659 1585, 1593 4290, 6275 3404, 5111 2997 4424 476, 5754 3091 4408 4390] 550, 4657 6044 653 2989, 3129 4638 55366 42 5293 5322 1701 1614 2762
Surnomoyee Dassya v. Land Mortgage Bank of Iudia Surnomoyee Debia v. Gunga Gobind Roy Surnomoyee Dossee v. Khan Mındul v. Kishen Koomaree Surnomoyi v. Johur Mahomed Nashyo	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 178: 8 C. L. R., 341. 2 W. R., 264	5322 1701 1614 2708 1639, 1745
Suroop Chunder Mozoondar v. Jardine, Skinner, & Co. Suroop Chunder Patre v. Jadoo Moytee Suroop Chunder Patre v. Jadoo Moytee Suroop Chunder Roy v. Goluck Chunder Dhur v. Mohender Chunder Roy Suroop Manna v. Bouomalee Churn Mytee Suroop Ram Deb v. Gobind Ram Deb Suroop Sirdar v. Beer Chunder Manikhya Surossutty Dossee v. Umbika Nund Biswas Surot Sondry Dabee v. Onwar Persad Narain Dey	Marsh., 334: 2 Hay, 468 9 W. R., 116 5 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 7 14 W. R., 477 22 W. R., 539 15 W. R., 240 7 W. R., 13 25 W. R., 370 24 W. R., 102 12 B. L. R., 207, note	1992 4002 5708 3480 3821 1646 353 5056 5761 811
Surratoolla v. Dasee Beebee Surreshoollah v. Ram Coomar Goopta Surroop Chunder Sircar Chowdry v. Ramrutton Mullick. Surrut Soondery Dabea v. Watson Surta v. Ganga	11 W. R., 25 I. L. R., 7 All., 411 I. L. R., 7 All., 875	1499 2989 4644 1180 233, 1494 5950 1494, 5950 354, 366 376, 388

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Surub Narain Chowdhry v. Shew Gobind Pandey	11 B. L. R., Ap., 28 2 Agra, Pt. II, 180	2190 826
Suruj Mookhi Konwar v. Bhagwati Konwar	10 C. L. R., 377	142
Surut Chunder Chatterjee v. Ashootosh Chatterjee .	24 W. R., 46	2077
Surutram Bhaya v. G. I. P. Railway Company	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 96	4709
Surut Soondari Debya v. Prangobind Moozoomdar .	5 C. L. R., 262	1662
Surut Soonduree Debia v. Rajendur Kishore Roy		1736, 1810
Chowdbry.	9 W. R., 125 }	5122
Surut Soonduree Debia v. Sumeeroodeen Talookdar .	22 W. R., 530	5305
Surwan Hossein Khan v. Gholam Mahomed	9 W. R., 170	3378
Surwar Hossein Khan v	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 879	3378
Surwun Singh. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 149 .	2033
Survaji v. Tukaram	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 358	1518
Survanna v. Durgi	T T. D 7 Mad 920	3261
Suryanna v. Durgi	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 401	5733, 5956
Sushee Mohun Shaha Chowdhry v. Tara Purshad Mo- }		'
joomdar.	25 W. R., 478	1055
Sushteebur Mookerjee v. Mackenzie	2 W. R., Act X, 76	2794
Susman Gossain v. Ram Churn Bhukut	5 W. R., Mis., 48	685
Sustee Churn Dey v. Ishan Chunder	22 W. R., 383	1597
Sutees Chunder Roy v. Gunes Chunder	3 W. R., P. C., 14: 8 Moore's }	4638
Sutherland. In the matter of	1. A., 164, 165, 166.	
	9 W. R., 478	4743
In the matter of the petition of	9 B. L. R., 229	4488, 4489 4494, 4497
a. C	12077 70 00 00	4488, 4489
v. Crowdy	18 W. R., Cr., 11 }	4494, 4497
v. Shaw	Bourke, A. O. C., 92	3789
v. Singhee Churn Dutt	I. L. R., 10 Calc., 808	2679
Sutrooghun Dutt v. Brojogopal Ghose	3 W. R., 143	144
Sutroogun Sutputty v. Sabitra Dye	5 W. R., P. C., 109	2109, 2147
Sutteeschunder Roy v. Modhoosoodun Paul Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 91.	527, 5304
Sutti Churn Ghosal v. Mudden Kishore Indoo	5 Moore's I. A., 107	4641
Sutto Bhama Dossea v. Jameeruddy Khan	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 12	6082
Sutto Churn Ghosal v. Bhyrub Chunder Brohmo	9 W. R., 565	3507
v. Gource Pershad Roy	13 W. R., 117	525
v. Mohesh Chunder Mitter	.3 W. R., 178	4151, 5357
v. Obhoy Nund Doss	2 W. R., Act X, 31 . {	2752, 3011
v. Suroop Chunder Doss		4866, 4892
v. Sarroop Chunder Doss v. Tarinee Churn Ghose	12 W. R., 465	4409, 4433
Sutto Surn Ghosal v. Dhone Kristno Sircar	3 W. R., 178	4151, 5357
Sutto Surrun Ghosal v. Mohesh Chunder Mitter	1 W. R., 88	1567, 1736
	12 Moore's I. A., 263: 11 W.	1581, 3067
v. Tarinee Chunder Ghose	R., P. C., 10, 12 W. R., 154	5357
Suttya Ghosal v. Suttyanund Ghosal		5135
Suttya Krishna Ghosal. In the goods of	I. L. R., 1 Calc., 388 I. L. R., 10 Calc., 556	3745
Suttyanund Ghosaul v. Huro Kishore Dutt .	15 W. R., 474	3086
Suttya Nundo Ghosaul v. Suroop Chunder Doss	14 W. R., 76	1593
Sutyabhama Dassee v. Krishna Chunder Chatterjee {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 55: 6 C. L.	3818
	K., 375,	1679, 3032
Suyeedun v. Zuhoor Hossein	W. R., 1864, 44	4019
Svami Nayudu v. Subramania Mudali Svamiyar Pillai v. Chokkalingam Pillai	2 Mad., 158	3769, 4069
	1 Mad., 105.	5268
Swarnamayi v. Gauri Prasad Das	3 B. L. R., A. C., 270	1641, 2934
v. Pratap Chandra Burua	4 B. L. R., F. B., 113 : 13 ?	3825
	W. R., F. B., 15.	0020

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Swarnamayi v. Shashi Mukhi Barmani {	2 B. L. R., P. C., 10: 11 W. R., P. C., 5: 12 Moore's I. A., 244.	550, 4657 6044
Swarnamayi Raur v. Srinibash Koyal. Swayne v. Brojo Mobun Roy Syadin v. Allah Ahmed v. Velayet Ali Khan Syfoollah v. Bhutton adias Buttessur Syefoollah Khan v. Forbes Syefoollah Khan v. Kalee Pershad Sahoo Syfoollah v. Budder Sohay Syefoolah than v. Kalee Poshad Sahoo Syefun v. Rudder Sohay Sylhet and Cachar Tea Co. In the matter of Syud Khan v. Jumal Bibee Syud Khan v. Jumal Bibee	6 B. L. R., 144	2737, 4136 6(02 3792 1107, 194 3669, 6085 3689 34 572 1626 4170 943 945 3509
ryudoonissa v. feda Hossein	12 W. R., 182	5011
Tabbur Singh v. Motee Singh v. Tabira v. Government Ladiya v. Hasanebiyari Tafuzzal Hossein Khan v. Bahadur Singh Tahal v. Bisheshar Taig Ali v. Golab Chowdhree Taig Ali v. Golab Chowdhree Taig Mull v. Comrao Taij Singh v. Kousilla Tay v. Gungapershad Tajamun Hissin v. Uda. Tajeh Mahomed Purdhan v. Jogendro Deh Roykut Tajim v. Wahed Ali Tajon Seebee v. Noorun Beebee Tajuhai v. Sub-Collector of Kolaba Tajudain v. Sub-Collector of Kolaba Tajudain Khan v. Ram Parshad Bhagat Tajudain Khan v. Gold Mahamed Khan Takhuroodeen Mahomed Eshan Chowdhry v. Kurimbux	8 W. R., 306	3495, 3526 3495, 3497 3495, 3497 3650 3542 5814 4415 1643 2349 4554 3656 3643 2936 2917 3141 4440 3141, 5248
'aki Mahomed Mandal v. Krishna Nath Rai { aki Mahomed Mandal v. Krishna Nath Rai }	7 B. L. R., 7: 15 W. R., 3 Cr., 53. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 82: 15 W. 3	975
Aleb Hossein v. Ameer Baksh. Aleb Hossein Khan v. Gooroo Pershad Roy Alemand Singh v. Rukmina Alim Mundal v. Watson & Co. Alluri Yenkayya v. Queen Alub Hossein v. Walker amaconnissa v. Woejjulunonee Dossee amacosherri Sivithri Andarjanom v. Maranat Vasude- yan Nambudripad.	R., 65. \$\} 22 W. R., 529	5742 1063 4951 2259 1376, 4747 6270 5623 4247 1101, 4234 3079

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Down a Bowlet Hossein	9 N W **	
Cameez Begum v. Furhut Hossein	. 2 N. W., 55	3733
	. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 215	5541
Pamizuddin Mirdha v. Gaffur Khan	. 7 B. L. R., Ap., 40	5672
amizuddin Mulla v. Nyanutulla Sirkar	5 B. L. R., Ap., 73, note: 11 ?	# 40a
	W. R., 528.	5432
amizuddin Munshi v. Huro Nath Pal	. 9 C. L. R., 253	543
ammirazu Ramzogi v. Pantina Narsiah	. 6 Mad., 301	3362
'amor Sing v. Kalidas Roy	. 4 B. L. R., O. C., 51	751
'amvaco v. Skinner	. 1 B. L. R., O. C., 39	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 221 .	275
andavarya Mudali v. Valli Ammal	. 1 Mad., 398	1064
anji v. Nagamma	3 Mad., 137	2374
Canoo. In the matter of	. 15 W. R., Cr., 11	3441
Canuviyan v. Valaganada		1794
apidas Gobindbhai v. B. B. and C. I. Railway Compan	- 1 Mad., 3	2984
		1380
Caponidi Hordanund Bharati v. Mathura Lali Bhagat		3251, 542
ara Banu v. Abdul Guffer Chowdhry	. 12 C. L. R., 486	3403
'ara Bebee v. Ghasiram	. 3 C. L. R., 247	2262
Carachand v. Reeb Ram	. 3 Mad., 50	2216, 2340
v. Reebram	. 3 Mad., 177	1469
ara Chand Banerjee v. Boistub Churn Bhudro .	. 16 W. R., 196	781
ara Chand Biswas v. Nafar Ali Biswas	. 1 C. L. R., 236	2607 701
v. Radha Jeebun Mustofee .	. 24 W. R., 148	2697, 5340
v. Ram Gobind Chowdhry .	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 778 : 4 C. L. 7	3094
v. Main Gooda Chowanty .	R., 20.	2970, 5340
- v. Ram Jeebun Moostafee	R., 20. 22 W. R., 202	
Parachand Bose v. Nobeen Chunder Mitter	3 W. R., 138	2777, 5331
Para Chand Chuckerbutty v. Deb Nath Roy .	. 10 C. L. R., 550 .	2535
Parachand Coondoo Chowdhry. In the goods of .	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 10:)	4665
Carach and Dutt v. Wakenoonissa Bibee	Bourke, Test., 3.	4662
tarachand Dutt v. Wakehoonissa Dibee	. 7 W. R., 91	2981, 5313
Tarachand Ghose v. Abdul Ali	§ 8 B. L. R., 24: 16 W. R., O.	3147, 317
	(0,1.	3290, 330
v. Anand Chandra Chowdhry .	2 B. L. R., A. C., 110: 10 W.	
	(R., 450.	5622, 5727
v. Pudum Lochuu Ghose	(5 W. R., 249 : 1 Ind. Jnr., N.)	
	(S., 207.	2298
v. Radhamouee Dossee	. 7 W. R., 469	5074
Tara Chand Hajrah v. Doorga Churu Hajrah	. 10 W. R., 205	825
Parachand Megraj v. Kashinath Trimbak	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 62	
arachand Mookerjee v. Jadoonath Mookerjee	. Marsh., 79: 1 Hay, 141	3494, 6229
arachand Mytee v. Nilambur Mundul	. 3 W. R., 227	1210
arachand Pirchand v. Lakshman Bhabani	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 91	5073
arachand Roy v. Keenaram Kurmokar	W D 1004 A. S. 710	4864
v. Nobia Chunder Roy	91 W D 199	1524
aracharlu v. Konadala Ramachandra Reddi .	T T D F 37 3 3 70	6094
arachunder Banerjee v. Ameer Mundul		3529
Carachund Mundul v. Bhyrub Chunder Chuckerbutty	15 W. R., 551	2992, 4280
Carachurn Mookerjee v. Joyuarain Mookerjee	8 W. R. 226	5919
arada Baladu v. Queen	8 W. R., 226 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 112	2345
faradoss Bhuttacharjee v. Bhaloo Sheikh	Q W D C. 60	2763, 5600
Tarafdi Mundul v. Chunder Bhoosun Banerjee	8 W. R., Cr., 69	100
	16 W. R., Cr., 74 5 W. R., P. C., 63: 10)	4488, 4490
Tarakant Bannerjee v. Puddmoney Dossee	Moore's I. A., 476.	2749, 4661
Tarakant Bhuttacharjee v. Luckhee Dabea	2 Hay, 8	5404
v. Wise	1 # Hay, O	539114

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
arak Chandra Bhuttacharjee v. Baikantnath Sannial	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 107: 10 C., L. R., 281: L. R., 8 I. A.,	444, 597
araknath Mookhopadhya v. Collector of Hooghly	4 B. L. R., A. C., 37: 13 W. 7	O.t.o.t.
ara Kunwar v. Mangri Meeah	R., 13. 6 B. L. R., Ap., 114	2787
aramani Dasi v. Radha Jiban Mustafi	6 B. L. R., Ap., 142: 14 W.	3716
ara Mohun Bhuttacharjee v. Kripa Moyee Debia aramonee Chowdhrain v. Rajlukhee Chowdhrani	R., 485. 9 W. R., 423 2 Hay, 575	817, 383 2139, 228
aramonee Dassee v. Birressur Mozoomdar	1 W. R., 86	79 532, 519
aramonee Dassia v. Mackintosh ara Monee Debia v. Shibnath Tulapattur aramonee Dossee v. Kally Chura Surnah v. Puuchanun Bose	9 W. R., 272 6 W. R., 191 W. R., 1864, Act X, 14 18 W. R., 508	5193 2702 1813 342 3007
aramonee Gooptea v. Lukheemonee Dassee	Marsh., 29: 1 Hay, 67, 1 Ind. ?	2290
aramonee Koonwaree v. Jeebun Munlar . aramoney Dabee v. Hurro Mohun Chatterjee aranath Chuckerbutty v. Joy Soonduree Dabee .	Jur., O. S., 22. 3 6 W R., Act X, 98 11 B. L. R., Ap., 23 21 W. R., 93	560 4340 689
aranath Dutt v. Collector of Sylhet	5 W. R., Waste Land Court	6188
aranath Roy v. Rajbullub Bhunje	Ref., 1. 7 W. R., 464	5121
arapersad Roy Chowdhry v. Gopal Dass Dutt .	Marsh., 72: W. R., F. B., 24: 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 79:	1971
ara Pershad Tangee v. Lukhee Narain Paurai .	1 Hay, 235. 21 W. R., 6	1843
araprasad Mittra v. Ram Nrising Mittra	6 B. L. R., Ap., 5: 14 W. R.,	5312
araprasad Mytee v. Nund Kishore Giri {	283. I. L. R., 9 Calc., 842; 12 C. L. R., 448.	5414
araprasad Roy v. Surjokanto Acharjee Chowdhry	13 B. L. R., 281, note: 15 \	5192
araproshad Sahoo. In the matter of	W. R., 152. 15 W. R., Cr., 88	1048
araprosunno Mojoomdar v. Bishonath Sircar	23 W. R., 144	5751, 578 5762
ara Prosunno Sircar v. Koomaree Bebee arasingh v. Chaida Mull	23 W. R., 389	2752 4179
ara Soondaree Chowdhrain v. Collector of Mymensingh	13 B. L. R., 495: 20 W. R.,	701,60
ara Soondery Debya a. Shanaa Soondery Debya arasoonduree v. Rash Munjuree ara Soonduree Burmonya v. Shibeshur Chatterjee ara Soonduree Dabee v. Oojul Monee Dossee ara Soonduree Dabee v. Oojul Monee Dossee ara Soonduree Dabia v. Radha Soondur Roy arasoonduree Kuloonee v. Bhoobun Chunder Ghose ara Sundari Burmoni v. Behari Lal Roy arasounduree Kuloonee v. Bhoobun Chunder Ghose arasoundari Burmoni v. Behari Lal Roy arasounduree Kuloonee v. Bhoobun Chunder Ghose arasounduree donee v. Kallee Churn Ghose areeny Persand Ghose v. Kallea Churn Ghose areeny Persand Ghose v. Bengal Indigo Company areknarain Singh v. Puncha Singh arif Biswas v. Kalidas Banerjee arinee v. Bamundoss Moakhef arinee v. Bamundoss Moakhef	4 W. R., 58	5268 1899, 238 1617 485 5335 3204 1929 325 4209 1398, 466 4927 5239 842 5076 1120

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Faringe Churn Banerjee v. Nund Coomar Banerjee .	1 W. R., 47	2192
Farinee Churn Banerjee v. Nund Coomar Banerjee Farinee Churn Bose v. Kashinath Singh	W. R., 1864, Act X, 37 .	2930
v. Ramjee Pal	23 W. R., 298	3001
v. Shumbhoo Nath Panday	3 W. R., Act X, 139	577
'arinee Churn Brohmo v. Roma Soonduree Dossee .	20 W. R., 312	182
arinee Charl Mondio v. Roma Soundaree Dosee		5283
Carinee Churn Ghose v. Hunsman Jha	20 W. R., 240	333
Tarinee Churn Mozoomdar. In the matter of the	19 W. R., Cr., 29	5542
petition of. Stringer Churn Nyaba Chusputty v. Taranath Gooho .	12 W. R., 449	1224, 5829
Farinee Churn Roy v. Gopal Kisto Roy	2 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 5	5696
Farinee Churn Sannyal v. Mohima Chunder Shaha	22 W. R., 426	4404
Parinee Churn Shah v. Bonomali Nag	19 W. R., Cr., 33	4105
Farinee Kant Bhuttacharjee v. Ganoda Soonduree Debee		4024
Tarinee Kant Lahiree Chowdhry v. Rajkishore Tontry .	04 707 0 004	6279
Tarinee Kant Lahooree v. Koonj Beharee Awastee .	12 W. R., 112	1596, 4910
Tarinee Kant Lahoory v. Hance Mundul	7 W. R., 203	5985
Tarineekant Lahoory Chowdhry. In the matter of the petition of.	8 W. R., Cr., 79	4725
Tarineepersad Ghose v. Sreegopal Paul Chowdhry .	Marsh., 476: 2 Hay, 593	1692, 2976
Tarinee Pershad Sein v. Dwarkanath Rukheet	15 W. R., 451	137, 3746
Tarinee Prosaud Banerjee. In the matter of the peti-		19,5162
tion of. Tariney Churn Bose v. Municipal Commissioners of)	10 W. D., Cr., 6	5163
Serampore. Tariney Churn Goho. In the matter of	24 W. R., Cr., 25	4048 2656
Tariney Churn Nundy v. Abdur Rohoman	2 C. L. R., 346 }	3219, 3300 5840
Tarini Charan Bose v. Debnarayan Mistri	8 B. L. R., Ap., 69	4167
Tarini Charan Chowdhry v. Saroda Sundari Dasi . {	3 B. L. R., A. C., 145: 11 W. R., 468.	2109, 2348 4153, 6248
Tarini Charan Ganguli v. Watson	3 B. L. R., A. C., 437: 12 W. R., 413.	2507, 3397 4335
Tarini Charan Mookerjee v. Purna Chandra Roy	6 B. L. R., 717: 15 W. R., 397.	5931
Tarini das Bandyophadya v. Bishtoo Lal Mukhopadaya . Tarini Kant Lahiri v. Krishna Moni Chowdraini .	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 608 5 C. L. R., 179	3524 4269
Tarini Pershad Misra v. Mahamud Chowdhry	12 C. L. R., 588	1186 5724
Tarini Prasad Ghose v. Khudumani Debi	5 B. L. R., 184; 13 W.R., 261.	4872
v. Raghab Chandra Bandopadhaya	ED T. D. 107 mate. 19 W/	4872
v. Ramkrishna Banerjee Tarini Prosad Misser v. Hurrish Chunder Chowdhry	6 B. L. R., 160: 14 W. R., 224 8 C. L. R., 548	3290, 3291 5724
Tariny Debi v. Shama Churn Mitter	6 C L. R., 555	219, 5637 5327
Tarokessur Roy v. Soshi Shikuressur Roy	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 952: 13 C. L. R., 62: L. R., 10 I. A.,	2568
Tarruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Divendro Nath		838, 1933
Sanyal.	L. R., 566.	
Tarrucknath Paulit v. Gladstone	1 Hyde, 178	1395
Tarruck Nauth Ghose v. Kaleepershad Khettry	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 210	1060
Tarruck Nauth Paulit v. Sherbourne	Cor., 62	1051
Tarsanah v. Kadharey Lall	5 N. W., 1	529, 387
Tarsi Ram v. Man Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 492	1493, 3489

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Taruck Chunder Bhuttacharjee v. Huro Sunkur Sandyal	22 W. R 267 · · ·	50, 2942
Taruck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Huro Chunder Chuck-	15 W., 473	753, 3496
Taruck Chunder Mookerjee v. Panchu Mohini Debya	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 791 : 8 C. L.	4893
Taruck Chunder Nundee v. Modhoosoodun Nundee	R., 297. 5 W. R., Act X, 80 .	1597
Taruck Chunder Poddar v. Jodeshur Chunder Koondoo	11 B. L. R., 193: 19 W. R., }	2347
Taruck Chunder Sen v. Doorga Churn Sen	178. 20 W. R., 2	3160
Tarucknath Mookerjee v. Goureechurn Mookerjee	3 W. R., 147	910, 2699 6018
v. Meydee Biswas	5 W. R., Act X, 17	215, 3809
a Mahandaa Noth Chaga	13 W. R., 56	1769
Tamak Nuth Mullish v. Poorno Chunder Chatterjee .	8 W. R., 137	3159 754, 1852
Taruck Nath Mullick v. Jeamat Nosya Tarucknath Poramanick v. McAllister	6 W. R., Act X, 34	1589
Tarucknath Roy v. Taboorunissa Chowdhrain	7 W. R., 39	247
Tarucknath Sirkar v. Prosunno Coomar Ghose	19 W. R., 48	2533 3046
Tarukrodo Ghosal v. Shyama Churn Napit Tarunginee Dassee v. Chowdry Dwarkanath Mussant .	8 C. L. R., 50	2428
Taru Patur v. Abinash Chunder Dutt	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 76	1843
Tasaduk Hossain v. Beni Singh	13 C. L. R., 128	510, 4017
Tasouwar Ali v. Koonj Beharee Lal	3 N. W., 8, note 6 N. W., 272	4155 4540
Tata Chariar v. Singara Chariar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 219	1900
Tata Charlu v. Konadula Ramachandra Reddi	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 152	1495
Tatia v. Sadashiv	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 40 7 B. L. R., Ap., 14: 15 W.	2991, 3421
Tatur Khawas v. Jagannath Prasad	R., 131.	2772
Tatya Vithoji v. Bapu Balaji	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 330	1519 3650
Taufik-un-nissa v. Ghulam Kambar	I. L. R., 1 All., 506 3 Mad., 187	1453
m 11 170 1 7 1 70 1	TT D CAN 944 5	3992, 4202
Tawakkul Kai v. Lachman Kai · · · ·	7	4555 3992, 4202
v. Sheo Ghulam Rai · · ·	1	4555
Tawangar Ali v. Kura Mal	I. L R., 3 All , 394	3323 4656
Tayammaul v. Sashachalla Naiker	10 Moore's I. A., 429 . 2 Hyde, 63	3686
Tayler v. Asmedh Koonwar	4 W. R., 86	456, 1040
Taylor. In re	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 101	1157
v. Brooke	1 Bom., Ap., 48	5648 680
v. Nundee Jan v. Phillott v. Phillott	W. R., 1864, Mis., 25 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 375	6216
v. Virasami	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 108	6012
Tayubunissa Bibi v. Sham Kishore Roy	7 B. L. R., 621 : 15 W. R., 228.	318, 178 2252
Tayumana Reddi v. Perumal Reddi	228.) 1 Mad., 51 · · ·	2218, 253
Tazoonnissa v. Wassil	24 W. R., Cr., 64	982
Tazun Bibi v. Shib Chunder Dhur Teacotta Shekdar. In the matter of the petition of	19 W. R., 170 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 393: 10 C. L. R., 239.	4003, 400 6027
	L. R., 239. I. L. R., 1 Mad., 264	3220
Teagaraya Mudali v. Mariyappa Pillai Teekadharee Singh v. Mohur Singh	7 W. R., 260	3714
Teekaram Kybutt v. Rajkishen Roy	. Marsh., 495 · · ·	1380, 574 2314
Teeknoo v. Mooniali	. 7 W. R., 440	4562
Teekram v. Doorga Pershad	. 1 Agra, 196 · · ·	1

Name of Case,		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Teekum Lall Dass v. Macarthur		1 W. R., 279	2799
Ceekum Singh v. Dullo	. 1	2 Agra, 324	2004
l'eelottuma Debee v. Brojo Lall Shamunt		8 W. R., 478	5318
Ceeluck Chunder v. Shama Churn Prokash		1 W. R., 209	2340
Feeluck Chunder Oswal v. Gour Chunder Shaha .	.	2 W. R., Act X, 100	531
Feeluckdharee Roy v. Mooraleedhur Roy		13 W. R., 284	3557
Celucko Kooer v. Nirban Singh	•	9 W. R., 439	1723
Feeluk Rai v. Ramjus Rai	•	5 N. W., 182	1178
Teenaram v. Ramrutton	.	2 Hyde, 181	427
Leencowree Chatterjee v. Dinonath Banerjee .	.)	3 W. R., 49	2140, 249 2510
Teen Cowree Dossee v. Hureehur Mookerjee	- 1	8 W. R., 308	4667
Pegh Singh v. Amin Chand	. 1	I. L. R., 5 All., 269	824
Peil v. Gordon		2 Ind., Jur., N. S., 142	2641
Teil & Co. In the matter of the petition of	1		436, 377
v. Abdool Hye	5	19 W. R., 37 · · }	4716
Teja Singh v. Pokhun Singh		10 W. R., 95	1881, 353
v. Rajnarayan Singh	. \	1 B. L. R., A. C., 62	1881, 353
Tej Chund v. Sree Kanth Ghose	5	6 W. R., P. C., 48: 3 t	3062, 413
	3	Moore's I. A., 261.	
Tej Narain Chatterjee v. Ram Tunco Mojoomdar .		12 W. R., 370	1926
Tejpal v. Kesri Singh	.	I. L. R., 2 All., 621	2724
Tej Protap Singh v. Champa Kalee Koer	•]	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 96	2452
Tejram v. Harsukh		I. L. R., 1 All., 101	5928
v. Mooltan Mull	•	3 N. W., 70	3845
Tejram Jagrupaji v. Kusaji bin Gangji	•	7 Bom., A. C., 110	421
Tej Singh v. Gobind Singh	3	I. L. R., 2 All., 850 Agra, F. B., 125 : Ed. 1874,)	4539
	{	94.	3028
Tekaet Bhao Narain Deo v. Court of Wards .		15 W. R., 59	2891
Tekaet Khood Narain Singh v. Toolsee Roy		15 W. R., 9	5145
Tekaetne Goura Coomaree v. Saroo Coomaree	•	19 W. R., 252	3431, 507
Tekait Chooramun Singh v. Dunraj Roy		I. L. R., 5 Calc., 56	1657
Tekait Megraj Singh v. Joy Mungul Singh		1 Ind Jur., N. S., 78	6118
Tekaitnee Goura Coomaree v. Bengal Coal Company	-)	13 W. R., 129: 5 B. L. R., 667, note: 12 B. L. R.,	3118, 343
resartnee dours commaree v. Dengar coar company	7	282, note.	5076
	7	5 B. L. R., 667, note: 12 B.	
Tekaitni Gowra Kumari v. Bengal Coal Company)	L. R., 282, note: 13 W.	3118, 343
	- (R., 129.	5076
Telokdharee Sahoo v. Bissendro Narain Sahee .		Marsh., 418: 2 Hav., 528	4980
Teluck Chand Baboo v. Soudaminee Dossee		23 W. R., 108	3836
Teluck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddon Moh	un 🤾	15 B. L. R., 143, note : 12 W.)	999 951
Jongee.	١	R., 504.	333, 251
Teluckdharee Sahie v. Rajender Protaub Sahie .	•	W. R., F. B., 97	2228
Temmakal v. Subbamal	•	2 Mad., 47	2082
Temulji Rustamji v. Fardunji Kavasji	•	5 Bom., A. C., 137	2794
Terietput Singh v. Gossain Sudersan Das Tetai Abom v. Gagai Gura Chawa	•	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 46	6104
Tetley v. Administrator General of Bengal .	•	3 B. L. R., Ap., 30	5822
- v. Jai Shankar	•	2 N. W., 418	1224 270
v. Peet Singh	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 726 Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 117 .	3506
Tewaree Loll v. Kasseenauth		W. R., F. B., 79	3955
Tewaree Raghoonath Sahai v. Mahomed Nazeer		4 N. W., 108	4034
Thackoor Doss Sett v. Hogg		Cor., 68	27, 4244
Thacoordass Nundee v. Shunkur Roy Thacoor Prosad v. Baluck Ram		3 W. R., Cr., 53	394

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column
	Totale and I ngo,	Digest.
Thaker Madhavji. In re	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 460	4663
Thakersey Devraj v. Hurbhum Narsey	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 432 . {	3170, 4272 5229
Thakoorain Sahiba v. Mohun Lall	7 W. R., P. C., 25: 11 Moore's I. A., 386.	2300, 2479
Thakooranee Dossee v. Bisheshur Mookerjee	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 202: 3 W. R., Act X, 29. 3 W. R., Act X, 142	1653, 2930 5184 1654
Thakoor Chunder v. Chowdhry Chotee Singh Thakoor Chunder Paramanick. In the matter of the petition of.	Marsh., 261: 2 Hay, 112 B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 595: 6 W. R., 228.	3775, 3778 1169, 3054
Thakoor Churn Roy v. Collector of 24-Pergunnahs .	13 W. R., 336	2891, 5368 5370
Thakoor Dass Chuckerbutty v. Ram Jeebun Chucker- buttv.	14 W. R., 150	358
Thakoor Dass Goshamee v. Gopee Kristo Goshamee Thakoordass Roy Chowdhry v. Nubeen Kishen Ghose Thakoor Dass Singh v. Luchmeeput Doogur	15 W. R., 18 15 W. R., 552 7 W. R., 10	241 5108, 5352 434, 1927 2264, 2495
Thakoor Dayhee v. Balack Ram . Thakoordeen Tewaree v. Ali Hossein Khan .	10 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 139. 8 W. R., 341	2511 1424, 1811
Thakoordeen Tewarry v {	13 B. L. R., 427: 21 W. R., 340: L. R., 1 I. A., 192.	1247, 1456 1811, 4139 4233
Thakoor Deyhee v . Balukram $\left\{ \right.$	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 106: 10 W. R., P. C., 3: 11 Moore's I. A., 139,	2264, 2495 2511
Thakoor Doss Acharjee Chuckerbutty v. Shosbee Bhoosun Chatterjee.	17 W. R., 208	3334, 3842
Thakoor Doss Gossain v. Kashee Nath Mundul v. Pearee Mohun Mookerjee	12 W. R., 73	3541 561
Thakoor Doss Mookerjee v. Ameer Mundul. Thakoor Doss Mozoomdar v. Radha Soonderee Dossee Thakoor Doss Roy v. Bhyrub Chunder Bhuttacharjee	14 W. R., 168 2 W. R., Act X, 3 11 W. R., 509	4520 538 3043
v. Hurry Doss Roy Phakoor Doss Roy Chowdhry v. Nobin Kristo Ghose Phakoor Dutt Singh v. Gopal Singh Thakoor Dyal Sen. In the matter of	W. R., 1864, Mis., 21 22 W. R., 126 14 W. R., 4	382 3335, 3835 145, 1634 6270
Thakooree v. Dhuleep Singh Thakoor Kapilnath Sahai v. Government Thakoor Kapilnauth Sahai Deo v.	2 N. W., 261	5636 277 6020
Phakoor Lall Misser v. Brohmo Moyee Dabee	17. 15 W. R., 253	2003 778, 780
Thakoor Lall Missree v. Kanye Lall Tewaree Chakoor Luleet Narain Deo v. Juggurnath Misser	7 W. R., 510 8 W. R., 476	839 1378
Thakoor Mahatab Deo v. Leelanund Singh	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 613: 9 C. } L. R., 398.	5466
Chakoor Pathuk v. Ram Soomrun Lal Chakoor Patuck v. Ramsoomrun Lall Chakoor Pershad v. Bashmutty Koer Chakoor Pershad v. Bashmutty Koer Chakoor Pershad v. Bashmutty Koer	2 N. W., 433 1 N. W., 17: Ed. 1873, 16 24 W. R., 428 2 Agra, 104.	3323, 608 4428, 608 1845 5070
Thakoor Pershad v. Bashmutty Koer v. Kalika Pershad v. Kalika Pershad v. Kalka Pershad v. Mahomed Baker v. Mahomed	6 N. W., 210 8 W. R., 170	4511 1582, 161
Thakoor Pershad Singh v. Mahadeo Singh	5 N. W., 210	498
v. Mohesh Lall	24 W. R., 390	3292

Thakur Das v. Futteh Mull	Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Thakurd	Thakor Singb v. Sheopershad Ojhar	7 B. L. R., 275 : 16 W. R., O.	
Thakur of Masuda v. The Widows of the Thakur of Mandwara. Nandwara. Nand	Thakurdas Manjhi v. Namdar Mundul Thakur Doyal v. Ram Narain Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 56 24 W. R., Cr., 12 I. L. B., 8 Calc., 375	1294
Thakurya v. Sheo Singh Rai	Nandwara.	I. I. R., 2 All., 819	
Thanakoti v. Muniappa	v. Partab Thakurya v. Sheo Singh Rai "Thalatta." In the matter of the "Thalatta," The, v. The "Anne" Thama Sing v. Kalidas Roy Thambu Chetti Subraya Chetti v. Arundel	I. L. R., 6 All., 442 I. L. R., 2 All., 872 Bourke, Ad., 1 Bourke, A. O. C., 87 5 B. L. R., 386 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 287	3297 3303 5645 5645 415 3574
Thayammal v. Venkatarama	Thanakoti v. Muniappa Thandraya Gounden v. Sith Aiyan Thangathanni v. Ramu Mudali Than Sigh v. Chundun Singh	I. L. R., 7 All., 442 I. I. R., 8 Mad., 496 4 Mad., 254 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 358 I. L. R., 11 Calc., 296	4558 5094 762 2112, 2112 5731
Theodorus v. Abool Burkut Ameenollah W. R., 1864, Act X, 106 1934	Thayu Kunjiama v. Shungunni Valia Kymal Thekmee Beldar v. Ram Kishen Lall	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 401 . { I. L. R., 5 Mad., 71 .	1411, 2145 4271
Thir Sing v. Venkataramier	Theodorus v. Abool Burkut Ameenoollah Thesikam Iyengar v. Ganapathy Iyer Thewaram v. Empress Thiagaraja v. Guanasambantha	W. R., 1864, Act X, 106 4 Mad., 320 10 C. L. R., 187 L. L. R., 7 Mad., 374	1934 3075 1260, 1975 5177
I. L. R., 1 Mad., 65 1427 1			3146, 3156
σ. Manager of the Pioneer Press 2 Agra, Mis. 1 3795 π. Ogle Bourke, A. O. C., 100 601 Thompson σ. Jehangir Hormasji 3 Bounke, A. O. C., 100 601 Thompson σ. Jehangir Hormasji 3 Bounke, Mat., 1 2884 Thompson S. Policy. In the matter of I. L. R., 3 Cale., 347 5838 Thomson. In the matter of I. L. R., 8 Cale., 347 5838 Bourke, A. O. C., 421 5896 68. L. R., 180: 14 W. R., 257 7473, 593 Thookra σ. Rampershad Tewarry 10 Moore's I. A., 490 { 2431, 231 2851 Thornhill σ. Taylor 1 Agra, 215 1 Agra, 215 12, 747 Thornbray and sa Harjivan. In the matter of Thukrain Sookraj Koowar σ. Government 1 L. R., 4 Bom., 488 2662, 512 Thukree Roy σ. Heeramun Singh 14 Moore's I. A., 112 1028 Thumbusamy Moodelly σ. Hoosain Rowthen { 1. A., 241 3896 Thumbus and Solah Rae 1 A., 244 2 N. W., 89 329	nathumbiar.	31	
Thornbill e. Taylor 10 Moore's 1. A., 489 2251 12, 747 11, 12, 747 12, 747 12, 747 13, 747 14, 12, 15 12, 747 14, 12, 16, 16, 16, 16, 17, 17, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18	Thomas. In the matter of the petition of v. Manager of the Pioneer Press v. Ogle v. Ogle Thompson v. Jehangir Hornasji v. Thompson Thompson Policy. In the matter of Thomson. In the matter of In the matter of In the matter of	2 Agra, Mis., 1 Bourke, A. O. C., 100 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 352 3 Bom., O. C., 66 Bourke, Mat., 1 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 347 Bourke, O. C., 421 6 R. L. R., 180: 14 W. R., 257	3795 601 2664 4678 2834 5838 5896 4743, 5938 3739
Thukree Roy v. Heeramun Singh Marsh., 470 : 2 Hay, 597 577 Thumbusamy Moodelly v. Hoosain Rowthen { I. L. R., 1 Mad., 1: L. R., 2 } 3896 Thummun v. Golab Rae 1 A., 241 2 N. W., 59 329	Thornbill v. Taylor Thornton v. Thornton Thucker Bhagvandas Harjivan. In the matter of Thukrain Sookraj Koowar v. Government	. 1 Agra, 215 . I. L. R., 10 Bom., 422 . I. L. R., 4 Bom., 489	2351 12, 747 1546, 1547 2662, 5120
Thummun v. Golab Rae	Thukree Roy v. Heeramun Singh	. Marsh., 470 : 2 Hay, 597	577
	Thummun v. Golab Rae	I. A., 241.	329

	Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	Thyila Kandi Ummatha v. Thyila Kandi Cheria Kun-	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 308	5036
	hamed. Piery v. Kristo Mohun Bose	L. R., 1 I A, 76	1567, 608
	lietkins. In the matter of	1 B. L. R., O. C., 84	2656
	likamdas Javahirdas v. Gangakom Mathuradas	11 Bom., 203	1072, 273
•	l'ika Ram v Khuda Yar Khan	I. L. R., 3 All., 191	2872
	l'ikaram Singh v. Sandes	22 W. R., 335	1645
	l'ikdey v. Lalla Hurce Lall	W. R., 1864, 133	2129
F	Filak Patak v. Mahabir Panday {	7 B. L. R., Ap., 11: 15 W. R., }	4170, 486
,	Filkoer v. Roy Anundkishore	10 C. L. R., 547	2078
,	Fillakehand Hindumal v. Jitamal Sudaram	10 Bom., 2-6	1034, 139 1945
r	Tillessuree Kooer v. Asmedh Kooer	24 W. R., 101	1682, 220
			4268
	Pilock Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Gourmonee Tilok Chand v. Sada Ranı	2 Hay, 364 7 N. W., 113	3009 3242
	Tiluck Chand v. Soudamini Dasi {	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 566 : 3 C. ?	1124, 628
•	v. Sowdamine Dassee	L. R., 456.	5613
•		12 W. R., 504: 12 B. L. R., }	333, 25
	Filuck Chunder Chuckerbutty v. Muddun Mohan Joogee {	143, note.	
:	Filuck Chunder Dutt Chowdhry v. Brojo Soondur Mitter	24 W. R., 121	4909
	iluck Chunder Gooho v. Gour Monee Debee	6 W. R., Mis., 91	3131, 349
	Filuckdhares. In the matter of	2 C. L. R., 1	6167 2771
1	Filnckdharee Singh v. Samoodra Singh	6 W. R., 9	2200, 33
1	Tiluck Roy v. Phoolman Roy	7 W. R., 450	3181
	Timal Kuari v. Ablakh Rai	I. L. R., 1 All, 254	864
	Timapa Shanbhog v. Maneshvar Kashi	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 181	3951
	l'immappa v. Lakshmamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 385 5 Bom., A. C., 130	2424, 33
÷	Timmappa Bhat v. Parmeshriamma	4 Mad., 28	2454
	limmappa Heggade v. Mahalinga Heggade	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 284	2171
	Timmappaya v. Lakshminarayana	2 Bom., 70: 2nd Ed., 66	3031
7	'immarsa Puranik v. Badiya		246
-	l'immaya Mada v. Lakshmana Bhakta	I. L. R., 7 Mad, 215	2448
	limmi Reddy v. Achamma	2 Mad., 325	4835, 55
	Timmu v. Deva Rai		2110
	Pincowrie Chatterji v. Denonath Banerjee	W. R., 1864, 155	3530
	Cincowrie Dossee v. Umbika Churn Roy Chowdhry		1407
	Finnappa Chetti v. Mnrugappa Chetti	I. L. R., 7 Mad, 107 I. L. R., 9 Calc., 154: 12 C.?	
1	Finumoni Dasi v. Nibarun Chunder Gupta . }	L. R., 376.	2300
9	Pippayya Holla v. Venkata	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 74	3581
1	Pipperah Loan Office v. Gour Chunder Barman	2 C. L. R., 349	2698
	Firbhoban Singh v. Jhono Lal	18 W. R., 206	566
Ť	Pirthanund Thakoor v. Herdu Jha	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 252	1607
	v. Mutty Lall Misser	I. L. R., 3 Cale., 774	5200
	v. Paresmon Jha	10 B. L. R., 142, note : 13 W.	541
	. (R., 449.	163
	Firth Nand Thakur v. Mohur Mundul	17 W. R., 278	5421, 5
	Tiruchittambala Chetti v. Seshayyangar	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 383	299
	Tirnchurna Perumal Nadan v. Sanguvien	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 118	
	Firukrishnama Chariar v. Krishnasawıni Tatachariar 🔹	L. R., 6 I. A., 120	526
	l'irumala v. Lakshmi	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 147 · ·	483
	Tirumala Rau Sahib v. Pingala Sunkara Rau	1 Mad., 312	1558, 50
	Tirumalasami Reddi v. Rama Sami Reddi	6 Mad., 420	
1	Tirumalathamal v. Venkataramanaiyan	2 Mad., 378	. 141

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		000.0
Firumamagal Ammal v. Ramasvami Ayyangar	1 Mad., 214	2336 2835
Tiruvalur Kirustnappa Mudali. In the matter of .	1 Mad., 59	
l'iruvengada v. Rangasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 19	1830
v. Rangayyangar	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 114	110
liruvengada Chari v. Vythilinga Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 418	411
litooram Bose v. Tarineechurn Ghose	15 W. R., 127	3496
litu Bibi v. Ibrahim Mollah	12 C. L. R., 304	5315
v. Mohesh Chunder Bagchi	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 683	5315
Titu Maya v. Queen	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 618, note:	1014
Tiyagaraja Padyachi v. Ramanujam Pillai	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 422	4766
Tod v. Ponambilath Parapravan Kunmahod Hajee .	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 169	3759, 3761
Todal Singh v. Thomson	2 N. W., 237	657
Todd. In the matter of the petition of	5 N. W., 237	3738
Podee Singh v. Gardner	2 Agra, 342	46
Tofail Ahmud v. Banee Madhub Mookerjee	24 W. R., 394	5690
Tohul Roy v. Mahadeo Dutt	W. R., 1864, 327	4930
Tokai Sherob v. Davod Mullick Furcedoon Beglar {	4 W. R., P. C., 87: 6 Moore's ?	5377
Tokat pueton & Davod mutick hateedoon pedita.	I. A., 510.	9977
Tokee Ribee v. Abdool Khan	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 536: 5 C. ?	2662
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L. R., 458.	i .
Comminissa v. Nujeema Banoo	8 W. R., 340	4469
Tommy v. Soobha Kurim Lal	2 W. R., Act X, 73	2993
Tonoo Ram Gossain v. Mohessur Gossain	24 W. R., 302	3257
Toofanee Doss v. Mun Rakhun Roy	15 W. R., 202	4130
Toofeah v. Jussouda	2 Agra, 337	5100
Toog Oung v. British India Steam Navigation Company	24 W. R., 430	4529
Toolsee v. Mohadeb Raot	6 W. R., 197 15 W. R., 175	2303 196
Toolsee Dossee v. Doorga Churn Paul	8 W. R., 311	6072
Toolseedoss Kobeeraj v. Bhyrub Lall Tewaree	2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 133: 7 W. ?	1
Toolsee Doss Seal. In re	R., 228.	3782, 443
Toolsee Dutt Misser v. Brojo Mohun Thakoor	9 W. R., 332	4130, 434
Toolseemoney Debee v. Jogesh Chunder Shaha	1 C. L. R., 425	5282
Toolseemoney Dossee v. Cornelius	11 B. L. R., 144	2585, 259
Toolsee Ram v. Nahar Singh	3 N. W., 43	5630
v. Nahur Singh	3 Agra, 271	3367, 445
v. Narain Singh	3 Agra, 265	5632
v. Nund Kishore Lell	12 W. R., 471	5738
Inolsee Sahoo v. Mohadeo Doss	12 W. R., 471 10 W. R., 483	4851
Toolun v. Ooman Shunker	2 Agra, 117	5111
l'oomy v. Durrup Singh	12 W. R., 473	1735
Toona v. Kureemun	6 W. R., Mis., 31	1487
l'oonanjan v. Mehndee Begum	3 Agra, 13	3695
Toondun Singh v. Pokh Narain Singh	14 W. R., 205	1874
v. Poklee Narain Singh	20 W. R., 54	1479
Toponidhee Dhirj Gir Gosain v. Sreeputty Sahanee	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 832: 6 C. L. R., 305.	5068
Toppya Pillay v. Peddoo Pillay	5 Mad., 383	5679
Torab Ali v. Chooramun Singh Chowdhry	24 W. R., 262	5583
v. Mahomed Ameer Hossein	3 C. L. R., 105	3323, 610
v. President of the Municipal Committee of Allahabad.	6 N. W., 170	5976
Torab Ali Khan v. Nilruttun Lal	· ·	3356
Torab Ally v. Mahomed Tukkee	I. L. R., 13 Calc., 155 19 W. R., 1	4656, 600
Toral Komhar v. Auchhi	9 B. L. R., 253 : 18 W. R., 401	3717
	I U we was the GOO ; TO W. De GOL.	0/1/

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
oree Mahomed v. Mahomed Mabood Bux {	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 730: 13 C. L. R., 91. I. L. R., 4 Calc., 756: 4 C. L.	3216, 3497
orit Bhoosun Bonnerjee v. Taraprosonno Bonnerjee 🗼	R., 161.	2469, 4261
osodookh Hossein v. Meajan	W. R., 1864, 337	1353
otakot Shangunui Menon v. Kurusingal Kaku Varid .	4 Mad., 190	2214
ota Ram v. Har Kishan	I. L. R., 7 All., 224	5079 222
v. Khub Chand	I. L. R., 7 All., 253 2 Agra, 120	1345, 1741
v. Molum Lall v. Sher Singh oulton v. Gwyther oyabo Shaikh. In the matter of oyboon v. Mahomed Wajid	I. L. R., 1 All., 261	2700
oulton v. Gwyther	Bourke, O. C., 273	4399, 4410
oyab Shaikh. In the matter of	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 58	5576
oyboon v. Mahomed Wajid	2 C. L. R., 504 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 245	806, 1475 2102
oyluck Nauth Doss v. Megnauth Doss	1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 128: 1	
oyluckomohun Tagore v. Gobind Chunder Sen .	Hyde, 289.	3961
oyluckonath Sirdar v. Baluckram Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 26 .	5919
railakhanath Roy v. Kashinath Roy	6 B. L. R., 633: 14 W. R.,	1143
	458.) I. L. R., 11 Calc., 539	1848
railokia Nath Nundi v. Shurno Chungoni	11 C. L. R., 454	1372
railokya Nath Ghose v. Chundra Nath Dutt	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 424	2837, 5651
ranquebar Sami Ayyan v. Nathambedu Ammai Ammal	6 Mad., 234	3190
reelochun Chatterjee v. Radhamonee Dossee	6 W. R., Mis., 74	3497 2343, 2358
reelochun Roy v. Rajkishen Roy	5 W. R., 214	668, 3361
reepoorasoondery Dossee v. Debendronath Tagore .	I. L. R., 2 Calc., 45 . {	6220
Pribhovan Gangaram v. Amina	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 516 1 Bom., 34	3302 1069, 4587
Pribhuvandas Jagjivandas v. Motilal Ramdas Priceam Panachand v. Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company.	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 244	5532
Frikam Damodhar v. Lala Amirchand	8 Bom., A. C., 131	4681
Prikam Goverdhun v. Dullabh Kuber	2 Bom., 389: 2nd Ed., 367	225
Prilochan Ghose v. Kailas Nath Sidhanto Bhowmik (3 B. L. R., A. C., 298: 12 W.	6002
Bhattacharji. Frilochun Chattapadhya v. Nobo Kishore Ghuttuck	R., 175.	3428, 4977
Prilochun Chuckerbutty v. Komola Kant Chuckerbutty	25 W. R., 536	5348
v. Umesh Chunder Labiri .	7 C. L. R., 571	2490
Prilochun Doss v. Gngun Chunder Doy	24 W. R., 413	337 2772
Prilochun Dutt v. Ishen Chunder Chowdhry Priloke Chunder Sen v. Aukhil Chunder Sen	3 W. R., 176	885
Friloke chunder Sen v. Aukhii Chunder Sen	9 W. R., 299 · · ·	1455
Frilokinath. In the matter of the petition of .	I. L. R., 4 Calc., 184: L. R., 5 I. A., 171.	4657
Frimalrav Raghavendra v. Municipal Commissioners of }	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 172	6157
Primbak Anant v. Gopalshet bin Mahadshet Mahadu .	1 Bom., 27	2380 2181
Trimbak Balkrishna v. Narayan Damoodar	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 481	2257, 325
Frimbak Bawa v. Narayan Bawa	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 188	5236
Trimbak Dixit v. Narayan Dixit Trimbak Ranu v. Nana Bhavani	12 Bom., 144	4196
Trimbok Ravii a Nana	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 504	5466
Tripoorah Soondoree Chowdhranee v. Kali Chunder	18 W. R., 327	4299
Roy Chowdhry.		1711, 430
Tripoora Soondaree v. Gopal Nath Roy Tripoora Soonduree. In the matter of the petition of	25 W. R., 358	678
v. Juggurnath Dutt	24 W. R., 321	4556

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
		W. 0.00
ripoora Soonduree v. Koylash Chunder Bose	15 W. R., 265	5689
ripoora Soonduree Debia v. Ijjutoonnissa Khatoon	24 W. R., 411 · · ·	3245
v. Promothonath Roy	11 W. R., 533 · · ·	3834
ripp v. Kubeer Mundul	9 W. R., 209	3288
v. Nursing Chunder Mitter	W. R. 1864, Mis., 7	335
ripura Sundari v. Durga Churn Pal	I. L. R., 11 Calc., 74	5464
	6 B. L. R., Ap., 134: 15 W.)	4853, 580
v. Rasik Chandra Kanungni	R., 189.	5642
Priton Insurance Company v. The "Moorhill"	15 B. L. R., Ap., 3 4 W. R., P. C., 111: 7 Moore's)	
Troup v. East India Company	I. A., 104.	514
Troylochotaran Chowdhry v. Muthoora Mohun Dey .	W. R., 1864, Act X, 41	1187
Troylokha Nath Biswas. In the matter of	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 742	1278
Troylokhyanath Mitter	1 C. L. R., 83	5157
Troyluckhuath Chuckerbutty v. Jhabboo Shaikh	10 W. R., 334	3150
Troyluckhonath Banerjee v. Brindabun Chunder Sirkar Chowdhry.	18 W. R., 438	404, 69
Troyluckhonath Chowdhry v. Kaleema Bibee	2 W. R., Act X, 96	2931
Troyluckhonath Roy v. Collector of Beerbhoom	W. R., 1864, 338	353
Troyluckho Tarinee Dossia v. Mohima Chunder Muttuck		2991
Troylukhonath Bose. In re	5 W. R., Cr., 58	4104
Trunath Chowdry v. Manick Chunder Doss	14 W. R., 469	351, 30
		6064
Trustees and Mortgagees Act, 1886. In the matter of .	1. D. R., / Dolli., 501 · ·	4424, 513
Tufaui Singh. In re	6 B. L. R., Ap., 141	4461
Tuffazal Hossein Khan v. Ragbunath Prasad .	7 B. L. R., 186: 14 Moore's ?	412
Tufuzzool Hossein v. Mahomed Hossein	I. A., 40.	4015
Tukaran v. Satvaji Khanduji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 206	3460, 440
v. Sujangir Guru	I, L. R., 8 Bon., 585	3415
Tukaram Ambaidas v. Ramchandra valad Bhimanna		2395
Dhugi.)	3943
Tukaram bin Atmaram v. Ramachandra Budharam .	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 314	
Tukaram bin Ramkrishna v. Gunaji bin Mhaloji	8 Bom., A. C., 129	423
Tukaram Hari Atre. In re	10 Bom., 354	5832
Tukaram Vithal. In re		617
Tukaram Vithoji v. Khandoji Malharji	6 Bom., O. C., 134	4810
Tukee v. Khoshdel Biswas	6 W. R., 151	5650
Tukee Ali v. Saadut Ali	5 N. W., 14 5 N. W., 137	5921
v	. { 5 N. W., 187	1194
Tukhea v. Deo Narain Singh		5059
Tukheya Rai v. Tupsee Koer	15 W. R., Cr., 23	5156, 626
Tukoji Maharaj Holkar v. Titambardas Naranji	9 Bom., 427	748
Tukroonissa Begum v. Mogul Jan Bibee	8 W. R. 370	5812
Tularam v. Harjiwan Das	I. L. R., 5 All., 60	6017
Tuljaram Morarji v. Mathuradas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 662	2302
Tulsee Monee Dassee v. Peary Mohun Baboo	D = 117 () FO	4131
Tulsha v. Gopal Rai	I. L. R., 6 All., 632 . {	333, 140
Tulshi Pershad Singh v. Ramnarain Singh	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 117 : L. R.,)	4045 3070
Pulski Color a Marris		1 .
Tulshi Sahu v. Nursingram	12 C. L. R., 333	2211, 25
Tulsidas Dhunji v. Virbasapa	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 624	1518
Tulsi Panday v. Buchu Lal	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 596 : 12 C. L. R., 223.	578
Tulsi Ram v. Gangaram .		1
	I. L. R., 1 All., 252 · .	4875

Name of Case.	Volume and Page,	Column of Digest.
Tulsiram Das v. Mohamed Afzal alias Mirza . {	1 B. L R., A. C., 12:10 W. }	3280
Tulsi Sahu v. Mahadeo Das	2 B. L. R., A. C., 105: 10 } W. R., 483.	4851, 5800
Tumeezooddeen Chowdhry v. Surwar Khan	7 W. R., 209	3024, 3406
Tumeezooddeen Khondkar v. Mohima Chunder Mooker-	11 W. R., 227	4914
jee. Tumeezooddy v. Busarut	21 W. R., 76	772
Tundan Singh v. Pukh Narayan Sing	5 B. L. R., 546: 13 W. R., ?	432
Tunnoo v. Kureem Buksh	347. 23 W. R., Cr., 2	661
Turibullah. In the matter of	4 C. L. R., 338	1155, 1209
Turif Biswas. In the matter of the petition of	7 W R., 455	5830
Turner, Morrison, & Co., v. Ralli, Mavrojani, & Co. Tusneef Hossein v. Sookhoo	2 B. L. R., O. C., 127	1059
Trutat Syami a Andanya Charanti	14 W. R., 453 6 Bom., A. C., 132	79, 91 4564
Tweedie v. Poonochunder Gangooly	8 W. R., 125	1673
Tweedie v. Poonochunder Gangooly v. Poono Chunder Gangoolee v. Ram Narain Doss	12 W. R., 138	1757
Tyebunnessa v. Kaniz Fatima	9 W. R., 151 13 C. L. R., 247	3802 4512
***		-
\mathbf{U}		
Ubilack Rai v. Dallial Rai	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 557	1847
Ublukh Rai v. Sheo Nundun Singh	3 Agra, 80 24 W. R., 463	2444 667
Uda Begum v. Imamuddin	I. L. R., 1 All., 82	50, 54
· · · · ·	I. L. R., 2 All., 74	189
Udai Chand Mukhopadhya. In the matter of the petition of.	9 B. L. R., Ap., 31	4920
Udai Chandra Mandal v. Ahmedulla	7 B. L. R., 616, note	1410
Udai Ram v. Ghulam Husain	I. L. R., 3 All., 186	1176
Udai Singh v. Bharat Singh	I. L. R., 1 All., 456 I. L. R., 1 All., 135	1871 5611
Udai Tara Chowdhrain v. Abdul Gani	3 B. L. R., Ap., 90	4199
Udaiya Tevar v. Katama Nachiyar	2 Mad., 131	4867, 4962
Cuarya 107ar of Isabama Rachiyar	}	4988 2235, 2409
Udaram Sitaram v. Ranu Panduji	11 Bom., 76 }	5384
v. Sonkabai	10 Bom., 483	2421
Udaya Aditya Deb v. Jadab Lal Aditya Deb	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 199 2 B. L. R., Ap., 45	2150 3953
Udaya Chandra Rana v. Bhajahari Jana Udaya Charan Dhur v. Kalitara Dasi	2 B. L. R., Ap., 52	1180
Udaya Varma v. Nayar Chambithu	1 Mad., 322	4430
Uddoy Adittya Deb v. Jadublal Adittya Deb .	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 113: 4 C. L. R., 181.	5102
Uddoyto Churn Sahoo v. Ramdhun Roy	16 W. R., 296	3495
Udeyadeta Deb v. Gregson	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 624	229, 1940
Udey Kunwar v. Ladu	6 B. L. R., 283: 15 W. R., P. C., 16: 13 Moore's I:	1680, 4748 4863
Udhar Singh v. Ranee Koonwur	A., 585. 1 Agra, 234	2486, 4965
Udit Narain Singh v. Harogouri Prosad	I. L. R., 12 Calc., 590	14, 3454
Udit Singh v. Padarath Singh	I. L. R., 8 All., 54	3354
Udoy Chand Biswas v. Panchoo Ram Biswas	9 C. L. R., 514	2365 1629
Udoytara Chowdhrain v. Shib Nath Surma Bahadoori .	0 0. 1. 10, 20,	1

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Ifzool Begum v. Ladlee Begum garchand Manackchand v. Madapa Somana ggrakant Chowdhry v. Hurro Chunder Shickdar grah Nath v. Lagannali [jagar Singh v. Pitam Singh [jalanayi Dasi v. Chandra Kumar Neogi [jal Mundul v. Namdar Mundul [ji v. Hathi Lala [jiala Bewa. In the matter of Jimuddir Khan v. Ziaul-nissa Begum Jjolla Dasi v. Dhiraj Mahatab Chand [kanda Varriyar v. Ramen Nambudiri Ikha v. Daga [khoy Sunkur Chuckerbutty v. Indro Bhusan Deb Roy	2 N. W., 325 1. L. R., 9 Bom., 324 1. L. R., 6 Calc., 209 1. L. R., 4 All., 83 1. L. R., 4 All., 120: L. R., 8 I. A., 190. 4 B. L. R., F. B., 24 24 W. R., Cr., 12 7 Bom., A. C., 138 1. L. R., 52 3 1. L. R., 52 3 1. L. R., 6 I. A., 137 137. 7 C. L. R., 215 1 Mad., 262 I. L. R., 7 Bom., 182 I. W. R., F. B., 27	3657 6032, 6144 1847 3532 2154 2844 1294 2221 966 5652 5336 3754 4881 1620, 2931
Jkoor Doss v. Chunder Sekhur Doss	3 W. R., 152	2250
Ulfatunnissa alias Elahijan Bibi v. Hosain Khan	L. R., 209.	4807
Ulfutuonissa v. Mohan Lal Sukal Ulman v. Justices of the Peace for Calcutta v. Ulruk Singh v. Beny Persad Ultis Ali Khan v. Ran Lall Uluck Monee Chowdhrain v. Panchoo Coomar Chunder Chowdhry. Umabai v. Bhavu Padmanji	I. L. R., 1 Bom., 557	1218, 2695 5581 650 4659 3308, 3529 757 2335 6041
Umacharan Banerjee. In re	7 B. L. R., Ap., 3 2 B. L. R., S. N., 11: 10 W.	
Umacharan Chowdhry v. Nitambini Debi Umachuran Sett v. Hari Prosad Missry Umachurn Bag v. Ajadannissa Bibee Uma Churn Dutt v. Uma Tara Dabee Uma Churn Muudle v. Jeshein Sheikh Uma Churn Santra v. Beni Madhub Roy Uma Churn Santra v. Beni Madhub Roy Uma Churn Sen v. Gobin Chunder Mozumdar Umaid Bahadur v. Udoi Chand alias Munmun	R., 359. R. L. R., S. N., 7 I. L. R., 12 Cale, 430 S. W. R., 181 I. L. R., 11 Cale, 84 7 C. L. R., 352 I. C. L. R., 460 I. L. R., 66 Cale, 119: 6 C. L. R., 500.	528, 562 5294, 5877 1586 2924 4488, 4508 5382
Umaji valad Manaji Patil Dumale v. Hari Ram Chandra Kulkarni. Uma Kishoree Dassi v. Huro Gobind Shaha Umamewara v. Singaperumal Umamovj Emmonea v. Tarini Prasad Ghose Umanath Mookhopadhya v. Nilmoney Sing Umanud Roy v. Browne — v. Sreekishen Banerjee Umarkhan v. Mahomed Khan Uma Sankar Roy Chowdry v. Mansur Ali Khan	5 W. R., Act X, 95 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 876 7 W. R., 225 I. L. R., 6 Calc., 429 7 W. R., 352 7 W. R., 248 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 41 C B. L. R., Ap., 6:13 W. R.,	4829 532 5389 3817, 4265 4670 5709 1466 1229 4214, 6076
Uma Shankar v. Kalka Prasad Uma Sundari v. Nittyanund Shaha Rai	327. I. L. R., 6 All., 75 3 C. L. R., 157	3393 4944
Uma Sundari Dasi v. Birbul Mandal	3 B. L. R., A. C., 183	5315
v. Dwarka Nath Roy	2 B. L. R., A. C., 284: 11 W. R., 72.	3164, 3368
v. Ramji Haldar	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 242: 9 C. L. R., 13.	3561, 4279

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Uma Sundari Dasi v. Umacharan Sadkhan . Umasundari Debi. In the matter of the petition of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 117 5 B. L. R., Ap., 29	3912 5116, 5939
Uma Sunduri Dabee v. Sourobinee Dabee	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 288: 9 C. L. R., 83.	2145, 2340
Uma Sunker Moitro v. Kali Komul Mozumdar .	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 256: 7 C. L. R., 145.	2141, 2289
Uma Sunkur Sircar v. Tarini Chunder Singh .	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 571 : 11 C. } L. R., 366.	6
Umatara Debia v. Krishna Kamini Dasi 🔹 .	. 2 B. L. R., A. C., 102 .	5005
Umatul Fatima v. Azhur Ali	9 B. L. R., Ap., 23, note: 15 W. R., 356.	1207, 2696
Umbica Churn Banerjee v. Digumburee Dabee Umbica Churn Bhuttacharjee v. Prosonno Coomar Sen Umbica Churn Dey v. Goluck Chunder Chuckerbutty Umbica Churn Pottro v. Boidonath Pottro Umbica Nundun Biswas. In the matter of	. 12 W. R., 429 . 9 C. L. R., 365 . 9 W. R., 596 . 1 W. R., 82 . 1 L. R., 3 Calc., 434: 1 C. \	4478 5061 1756 2931 2663
77 1' D 1M D 01 T 1	L. R., 561. L. L. R., 8 Cale., 898: 10 C.	
Umbica Prosad Tewary v. Ram Sahay Lall	L. R., 505.	2163
Umbica Proshad. In the matter of Umbica Sunduri Chowdrain v. Ajitulla Mondul .	1 C. L. R., 268 8 C. L. R., 148	4722 1313
Umbicka Churn Goopta v. Madhub Ghosal .	[I. L. R., 4 Calc., 870: 4 C.]	4467
Umbika Churn Chuckerbutty v. Dwarka Nath Ghose Umbika Churn Dutt v. Nadir Hossein Umbika Churn Koondoo v. Dhurmo Doss Koondoo Umbika Churn Mundle v. Ramdhun Mohurir Umbika Churn Shet v. Bhuggobutty Churn Shet . Umbika Churn Shet v. Bhuggobutty Churn Shet . Umbika Dassia v. Chirunjeel Pershad Bose Umbika Debia v. Pranhuree Doss Umda Khanum. In the matter of Umda Umda Khanum. In the matter of Umda Umda Khanum. In the matter of Umda Chanum. In the matter	\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	
Umedmal Motiram v. Dava Bin Dhondiba	. I. L. R., 2 Bom., 547 .	1822, 477 6123
Umed Ram v. Daulat Ram Umed Sangji v. Collector of Surat Umer Ali v. Numzan Ali —— v. Safer Ali Umes Chandra v. Shastidhar Mookerjee Umes Chandra Roy v. Nabin Chandra Mazumdar Umesh Chandra Mookerjee v. Sageman Umesh Chunder Bauerjee v. Mohini Mohun Dass Umesh Chunder Roy v. Raj Bullub Sen	. I. L. R., 5 All., 564 7 Bom., A. C., 50. 23 W. R., 347 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 334 3 B. L. R., Ap., 99 5 B. L. R., 327, note 5 B. L. R., 633, note 9 C. L. R., 301 9 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 279: 10 C.	5690 1562 4896 965 3815 5050 1814, 320 1826
Umiashankar Lakhmiram v. Chotalal Vajeram .	L. R., 204. I. L. R., 1 Bom., 19	3118, 348
Umirto Lall Bose. In the matter of	. 18 W. R., 11, note	5451
Umjad Ally Khan v. Mohumdee Begum	Moore's I. A., 517.	3074, 426
Ummer Kutti v. Abdul Kadar	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 165 I. L. R., 7 All., 384	3221 5688

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Umrao Begam v. Land Mortgage Bank of India Umrao Lal v. Behari Singh Umrao Singh v. Fakir Chand. In the matter of the petition of. Umrao Singh v. Fakir Chand. In the matter of the petition of. Umrao Thakur v. Gakul Mandal Umrit Chowdhry v. Hyder Ali Umrith Nath Chowdhry v. Gouree Nath Chowdhry Umrithnauth Chowdhry v. Chunder Kishore Singh Umrit Koweree v. Kidarnath Ghose Umrit Lall Banerjee v. Bhoobun Mohinee Dossee. Umrun-nissa v. Muhammad Yar Khan Uncovenanted Service Bank. In the matter of v. Duffin v. Khettermohun Ghose v. Marshall v. Marshall unicha Kandyib Kunhi Kutti Nair v. Valia Pidigail Kunhamed Kutti Maraceax. Unidi Rajah v. Fuzboomnessa Unkar Das v. Narain Unnole Singh v. Fuzboomnessa Unkar Das v. Narain Unnole Singh v. Fuzboomnessa Unkar Das v. Narain Unnole Ghonder Chowdhry v. Hurry Nath Koondoo Umoda Chunder Chowdhry v. Hurry Nath Koondoo Umoda Chunder Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee Unnoda Gobind Chowdhry v. Surnomoyee Unnoda Pershad Banerjee v. Chunder Schur Deb Unnoda Pershad Banerjee v. Chunder Schur Deb Unnoda Preshad Banerjee v. Chunder Schur Deb Unnoda Preshad Bonerjee v. Chunder Schur Deb Unnoda Preshad Bonerjee v. Chunder Schur Deb Unnoda Preshad Dott v. Shama Soonduree	Volume and Page. I. L. R., 1 All., 547 I. L. R., 2 All., 451 I. L. R., 3 All., 247 I. L. R., 3 All., 749 8 B. L. R., Ap., 34: 16 W. R., 7. W. R., 1864, Act X, 63 15 W. R., P. C., 10: 13 Moore's I. A., 542 21 W. R., 81 W. R., F. B., 34 1 W. R., F. B., 34 1 W. R., F. R., 34 1 L. R., 4 Cale, 829: 3 C. L. R., 597 3 N. W., 99 6 N. W., 366 6 N. W., 366 9 W. R., 480 4 W. R., P. C., 121: 7 Moore's I. A., 128 1 L. R., 4 Cale, 860: 4 C. L. R., 407 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 9 Mad., 451 1 L. R., 9 Mad., 451 2 C. L. R., 384 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 9 Mad., 451 2 C. L. R., 384 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 9 Mad., 451 2 C. L. R., 384 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 415 2 C. L. R., 384 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 451 2 C. L. R., 384 1 L. R., 8 Mad., 518: 1 C. L. R., 68 2 L. R., 809, 18 3 L. R., 800, note: 19 W. R., 68 3 L. R., 809, note: 19 W. R., 68 4 W. R., C., 20 1 W. R., 105 2 4 W. R., C., 20 1 W. R., 105 2 4 W. R., C., 20 1 W. R., 105 2 4 W. R., C., 20 1 W. R., 105	of
Unnopoorna v. Hurbullubh Singh Unnopoorna Dassea v. Gunganarain Paul Unnopoorna Dassee v. Nufur Poddar Unnopoorna Debia v. Dossee v. Ram Lochun Ghose Unnopoorna Dossee v. Radha Mohun Pattro Unnopoorna Dossie v. Ooma Churn Doss	8 W. R., 465 2 W. R., 296 21 W. R., 148 14 W. R., 254 5 W. R., 227 19 W. R., 95 18 W. R., 55	6018 2236 1833 34 10 5176, 5195
Ununtoram Chatterjee v. Protab Chunder Shiromonee Ununtoram Doss v. Ram Lochun Aitch Ununt Ram Hazrah v. Kuralee Pershad Mistree	16 W. R., 231 14 W. R., 0. C., 15 23 W. R., 441	2570 5797 3826

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Jpendra Krishna Deb v. Nobin Krishna Bose Jpendra Lal Mukhopadhya v. Collector of Rejshahye Jpendra Lal Roy v. Prasaunamayi Jpendra Mohan Tagore v. Takaha Bepari v. Thanda Dasi	8 B. L. R., O. C., 118: 17 W.] R., 370, note. I. L. R., 12 Galc., 113 1 B. L. R., A. C., 221 2 B. L. R., A. C., 345	227, 312 2263 3352 2129 3531 2317, 3000
	3 B. L. R., A. C., 349 . {	3011
Jpendra Narain Myti v . Gopee Nath Bera $\{$	I. L. R., 9 Cale., 817 : 12 C.) L. R., 356.	2456, 2479
Jpendra Nath Dhal v. Soudamini Dasi	I. L. R , 12 Calc., 535	3742
Upendro Lall Bose. In the matter of \cdot $\{$	I. L. R , 6 Calc., 675 : 7 C. L } R., 413.	4407
Uporoop Tewary v. Lalla Bandhjee Suhay {	I. L. R., 6 Calc., 749: 8 C. L. R., 192.	2398
Uppalapati Ganakaya Garu v. Palavi Ramudu Upper Assam Tea Company v. Thopoor	2 Mad., 475 4 B. L. R., Ap., 1	5088, 5698 99
Uppu Lakkshmi Bhayamma Garu v. Purvis. Uramkumarath Kanuan Nayar v. Uramkumarath Tenju }	2 Mad., 167	2876 3757, 5044
Nayar. Udhub Churn Debta v. Sookdeb Debta Urjoon Dutt Bonick v. Ram Nath Kurmokar Urjoon Sahoy. In the matter of the petition of .	24 W. R., 45	815 3044
v. Nilmonee Singh Leo }	20 W. R., 90	226 2230
Urjun Singh v. Ghunsiam Singh Uran Churn Chetty v. Gordon Urquhart v. Gilbert . v. Nundeeput Mahaputtur . Usbrufoonnessa Begum v. Gridharee Lall Usmut Koowar v. Tayler . Ussud Ali Khan v. Olfut Beebee Ustoorun v. Mohun Lal	5 Moore's I. A., 169 1 Hyde, 212 1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 224 1 W. R., 492 19 W. R., 118 2 W. R., 307 3 Agra, 237 2 W. R., 333	5501 5914 5461 1507, 1687 3791, 4489 3675 316, 1779
Usudoollah v. Imaman	5 W. R., P. C., 26:1 Moore's I. A., 19.	1722, 6004
Uthur Hossein v. Ramphal Roy. Utshab Narayan Chowdhry v. Chittra Raka Gupta Utsham Chunder Chatterjee v. Ram Chunder Chatterjee Uttamram Maniklar v. Girdharlal Motiram Girdharla Motiram Uttom Chander Gangooly v. Issur Chunder Mookerjee	20 W. R., 75 8 B. L. R., Ap., 92 13 W. R., Cr., 72 6 Bom., A. C., 45 9 Bom., 39 22 W. R., Cr., 76	6109 4759, 5018 4100 3530 1541 6010
Uttom Koondoo. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 8 Calc., 634: 10 C. } L. R., 466.	2757
Uzhar Ali v. Ultaf Fatima	13 Moore's I. A., 232	476
V		
Vadake Vatil Ismal v. Odakel Beyakutti Umah Vadali Ramakristnama v. Manda Appaiya Vadimalai Thiruvana Tevar v. Caruppen Servai Vadip v. Vadju Vadirevu Banganaya Kamma v. Vadrevu Bulli Ramaiya Vaimbilev v. Empress Vairicharla Surya Narayana v. Nadiminti Bhagawat Patanjali Shastri. Vaithelinga v. Saminada Vakatchand Lakhmichand v. Advocate General Vakaty Ramareddy v. Duvvuru Ayappareddy	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 826	

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vaku valad Ram Patil v. Pand valad Malji Patil	6 Bom., A. C., 243	1098
Valaji Isaji v. Thomas	I L. R., 1 Bom., 190	4782
Valamaramayyan v. Virappa Kandian	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 145	3587
Valampudducherri Padmanabhan v. Chowakaren Pudia- } purayil Kunhi Kolendan.	5 Mad., 320	1813
	5 C. L. R., 91	3680
Valiappa Ravuthan v. Mahommed Khasim	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 166	1774
Valia Tamburatti v. Vira Rayan	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 228	3220, 3235 3677
Valimia Alimia v. Gulam Kadar Mohidin	6 Bom., A. C., 25 I. L. R., 2 Mad., 308	1229
Valiya Kesava Vadhyar v. Suppen Nair Valiabh Bhula v. Rama	9 Bom., 65	4987
Vallabhdas Hirachand v. Gokaldas Tejiram .	3 Bom., A. C., 89	2075
Valla bin Hataji v. Sidoji bin Kondaji	5 Bom., A. C., 87	1813
Vallabhram Jagjivan v. Woodhouse	1 Bom., 144	4051
Vallabhram Shibnarayan v. Bai Hariganga	4 Bom., A. C., 135	2336
Vallinayagam Pillai v. Pachche	1 Mad., 326	2529, 2531
Valoo Chetty v. Sooryah Chetty	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 252: L. R., 4 I. A., 109.	2538, 4655
Valu v. Ganga	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 84	2427
Valu v. Ganga Valu Mudali v. Sowerby Vangnaji Kopera. In the matter of	2 Mad., 246	1578, 6238
Vamanaji Konera. In the matter of . Vaman Janardan Joshi v. Collector of Thana	1 Bom., 136 6 Bom., A. C., 191	4442 5502
Vaman Shripad v. Maki	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 424	3064
Vana Jagannathji v. Hata Dipaji	11 Bom., 46	1364
Van Ingen v. Dhunna Lall Lallah	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 108	4683
Vanneri Purushottaman Nambudri v. Patanattil Kanju Menayan.	2 Mad., 382	3424
Varada Chetty v. Vaiyapury Mudali	4 Mad., 151	3497
Varadaraja v. Venkatachala Pillai	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 345	5177, 5186
Varadarajulu Nayudu. Ex parte	1 Mad., 66	3781
Varadha Reddi v. Venkata Subba Reddi	5 Mad., 213	5730
Varadiperumal Udaiyan v. Ardanari Udaiyan	1 Mad., 412	2329
Varajlal Shivlal v. Dalsukh Varajlal	12 Bom., 196	995
Varanakot Narayanan Namburi v. Varanakot Naray-	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 328	3758, 5048
Varathachari v. Balu Naicken	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 255	3590
Vardalaca Charri. In the matter of	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 15	2667
Varden Seth Sam v. Luckpathy Royjee Lallah .	Marsh., 461: 9 Moore's I.)	6133
varden seem sam of Entoxparity 1805 jee Bantan .	A., 303.	
v	9 Moore's I. A., 303 .	1529, 1577 4154
Varikara Vadaka Vittil Valia Parvatthi v. Varikara Vadaka Vittil Kamaran Nayar.	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 341	3762
Varjivan Rangji v. Aji Daji	1 Bom., 165	2777
v. Ghelji Gokaldas	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 563	2196
Varma Valia v. Kottayath Kiyaki Kovilagath Revi Varma Mootha Rajah.	7 Mad., 210	3753
Vasanji Haribhai v. Lallu Akhu	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 285 .	321, 4392 5592
Vassareddy Lutchmeputty Naidoo In re	5 Moore's I. A., 300	4658
Vasudev. v. Vamanji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 80	2839
Vasudeva v. Chinnasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 584	3151, 5955
Vasudeva Bhallu v. Narasamma	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 6	1829, 4163
Vasudevan v. Keshavan	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 309	3767
Vasudev Anant v. Ramkrishna	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 529	70, 607
Vasudeva Patrudu v. Sanyasiraz Peddabaliyara Simhulu Vasudeva Shanbhaga ya Kulaadi Namonai	3 Mad., 1	2992
Vasudeva Shanbhaga v. Kuleadi Narnapai	7 Mad., 290	4033

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vasudeva Shanbog v. Naraina Pai	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 356	4115
Vasudev Balkrishna v. Salubai	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 227	4288
Vasudev Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle	I. L. R., 7 Bom., 131	2261
Vasudev Bhat v. Narayan Daji Damle	10 Bom., 139	1034, 2395
7. J. D.:: D.L.: D	0 D A O 155	1682
asudev Daji v. Babaji Ranu	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 387	6143
Vasudev Hari v. Tatia Narayan	11 Post 140	4765
asudev Moreshvar Gunpule v. Rama Babaji Dange .	11 Bom., 149	70
Vasudev Pandit v. Collector of Puna	10 Bom., A. C., 471	
v. Narayan Joshi · · ·	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 15 I. L. R., 2 Bom., 99 : L. R., 4)	1521
Vasudev Sadashiv Modak v. Collector of Ratnagiri	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 99 : L. R., 4 }	4390
Vasudev Vishnu v. Narayan Jagannath	9 Bom., 289	3861
Vasudev Vishnu Dikshit v. Narayan Jagannath Dikshit	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 642, note .	3859
Vasudev Vithal Samant v. Ramchandra Samant	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 129	2851
Vathiar. In the matter of the petition of	7 Mad., 121	5905
		2445
Vato Koer v. Rowshun Singh	8 W. R., 82 I. L. R., 1 All., 753	3081, 3889
Vaughan v. Heseltine	1. D. W., 1 All., 100	5707
v. Lallchand Ghose	15 W. R., 281	0,0,
Vayalil Pudia Madathemmil Moidin Kuttiayissa v. Udaya Varma Valia Rajah.	2 11444, 515	3763
Vayangara Vadaka Vittil Manja v. Pariyangot Padin- gara Kuruppath Kadugochen Nayar.	21 23 25, 7 24,14, 00	1147
Vavidinada v. Appu	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 44	2128, 2134
Vaythenatha Sastrial v. Sami Pandither	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 116	3587, 3593
	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 14	5988
Vedanta v. Kanniyappa Vedanta Chariar v. Ayyasami Mudali	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 332	
Vedanta Desikacharyulu v. Perindevamma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 249	4342
Vodovolli v Naravana	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 19	2364
Veerapah Chetty. In the matter of	6 B. L. R., Ap., 37: 14 W. R., 405.	5473
Velaet Ali Khan v. Azmun	. 11 W. R., 513	4161
v. Matadeen	. 10 W. R., 402	297
v. Matadeen Ram · · ·	. 13 W. R., 3	1365
	(B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 747: 2)
Velacty Begum v. Rughonath Persad	Ind. Jur., N. S., 263: 8 W. R., 147.	274
Tr. 1 / Tr 1 w William Almand	23 W. R., 207	. 818
Velayet Hossein v. Wulee Ahmed		1,000
Velayuthan v. Laksmana . Veliet Doss v. Bunarussee Roy .	. 1. 1. 1. 10, 6 mau, 600 .	2573
Veliet Doss v. Bunarussee Roy	W. R., 1864, 262	. 2010
Vellai Mira Ravuttan v. Mira Moidiu Ravuttan . v. Varisai Mira Ravuttan .	} 2 Mad., 414	. 3696
Vellanki Venkata Krishna Rao v. Venkata Rama	[I. L. R., 1 Mad., 174 : L. R.,	2122
Lakshmi.	4 I. A., 1:26 W. R., 21.	5
	1	(3518, 35
Vellaya v. Jaganatha	. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 307 .	1 5128
Vellayan Chetty v. Aiyan alias Thundavamurty Chetty	. 4 Mad., 374	. 1677
Vellayan Chetti v. Tiruvakone	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 76 .	. 3586
Vellaya Padyachy v. Moorthy Padyachy	4 Mad., 174	. 4809
True - Chatter Moston Thoran	2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 11 .	. 3350
Velliappen Chetty v. Nootoo Thevan Velli Periya Mira Ravuthan v. Moidin Padsha Ravutha	in I. L. R., 9 Mad., 332 .	. 3596, 59
Vein Periya Mira navutinin v. moidin 1 adana isavutin	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 61	. 2171, 24
Velliyammal v. Katha Chetti		
Vembakum Somayagee Janakee Ammal v. Mooneswam	{ 4 Mad., 176	. 402, 22
Chetty.) 1	. 512
Vencama Shetty v. Pamoo Shetty	. 5 Mad., 323 8 Mad., 134	007/
Vencata Chella Chetty v. Parvatham	. O Mad, 104	1
Vencata Chella Kandian v. Panjanadien	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 213	
Vencata Chella Mudali v. Sashagherry Rau	. 7 Mad., 283	. 3134, 3

6768

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
encata Niladry Row v. Encogoonty Socriah	5 W. R., P. C., 79	4653
v. Vutchavoy Vencataputty Raj	5 W. R., P. C., 80	4211
encataramanier v. Manche Reddy	7 Mad., 298	3134
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 W. R, P. C., 73:8 Moore's?	9194
encataswara Naicker v. Alagoomoohoo Servacaren	I. A., 327.	1848, 357
encataswara Yelliappah Naiker v. Alagoo Mootoo	8 Moore's I. A., 327; 4 W. 7	1040 055
Servagaren.	R., P. C., 73.	1848, 357
encatavarada Iyengar v. Vencata Luchmamal	23 W. R., 91	2722
engama Naikar v. Raghava Chary	2 Mad., 291	3770
engamuthu v. Pandaveswara Gurukal	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 151	2839
engappayan v. Rajapayan	1 Mad., 208	627
engideswara Putter v. Chatu Achen	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 224	1212, 272
enithithan Chetty v. Moothiroolandi Chetty	6 Mad., 4	4757
enkaji Lakshman Deshnande v. Yamunabaj		5692
enkapa v. Chenbasapa		
enkappa Chetti v. Akku	1. L. R., 4 Bom., 21	3244, 326
enkata v. Kannam	7 Mad., 219	3428
v. Muttusami	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 184	3946
v. Rama	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 314	1542
	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 249	5265
v. Subhadra · · · · · ·	L. L. R., 7 Mad., 548 .	2111, 211
enkata Balakrishna Chetti v. Vijiaragunadha Valaji krishna Gopaler.	4 Mad., 32	2129 3175, 578
enkatabala Krishna Chettiyar v. Kaliyana Ramaiyangar		
enkatachala v. Appathorai	5 Mad., 48	109
v. South Indian Railway Company	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 134	3252
enkatachalam v. Thimma Naikan	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 208	4707
enkatachalam Chetti v. Andiappan Ambalam	5 Mad., 64	5702
enkatachala Reddiar v. Narayana Reddy	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 232	6043
enkatachari v. Anantachari	4 Mad., 393	5697
enkatachella Chetti v. Parvatammal	1 Mad., 69	6177
enkatachelia Maniyakarer v. Thathammal	2 Mad., 418	5769
enkatachellam Chetti v. Audian	4 Mad., 460	1579, 226
v. Kadunthusi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 358	4790
enkatachellam Pillay v. Tirumala Chary	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 145	3589
enkatachenam Fillay v. Trumala Chary	2 Mad., 289	3991
enkata Chella Pillay v. Chinnaya Mudaliar	5 Mad., 166	2393
enkatachellasami Chettiar v. Kristnasawmy Iyer	8 Mad., 1	1058
enkatagiri v. Raghava enkatagiri Raja v. Mahommed Rahimtulla Sahib	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 142	4816
enkatagiri Kaja v. Manommed Kanimtulla Sahib	I. L. R , 3 Mad., 13	223
v. Pitchana enkatagopal v. Rangappa	I. L. R., 9 Mad., 27	3592
enkatagopal v. Rangappa enkatakrishna Charya v. Coelho	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 365	3594
enkatakushna Charya v. Coeino enkata Krishnayyar v. Kaveri Shettati	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 170	431
mlata Kustaira a Vankatashalii	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 201	2385
enkata Kristnaiya v. Venkatachalaiyar	5 Mad., 1	3568
enkatalaksmamma v. Narasayya ankata Mahipati Gangadhara Rama Rau v. Buchi ?	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 545	2123
buayya.	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 219	5028
enkatamma v. Chengalrayappa	I. L., R., 7 Mad., 555	686
enkatammal v. Andyappa Chetti	6 Mad., 130	2415, 245
enkatanarasammah v. Ramiah	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 108	3928
enkatanarasayya v. Achemma	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 3	4337
enkata Narasiah v. Subbamma	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 178	3253
enkata Narasimha Appa Row v. Court of Wards	L. R., 7 I. A., 38: 6 C. L. R., 153	5505
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L. R., 13 I. A., 155: I. L. R.,	9909
	10 Mad., 73.	4657
2	L. R., 7 I. A., 38: 6 C. L. R., 3	
v. Narayya Appa Row {		

Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Venkata Narasimha Appa Row v. Venkatakristnia Venkatanarayana v. Subbarayudu Venkata Narasamah v. Ramiah Venkatanaru v. Akkamma Venkatapath v. Subramanya Venkatapath v. Papammah	5 Mad., 410 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 214 1. L. R., 2 Mad., 108 3 Mad., 139 1. L. R., 9 Mad., 457 5 Mad., 132	1935 3580 4827 3243 3261, 3329 1044, 4717
	L. R., 13 I. A., 155 : I. L.	6235, 6258
Venkata Ramalakshmi Garu v. Gopala Appa Row Venkataraman v. Mahalingayyan Venkataramana Hodai v. Bapunna Pai Venkatarama Naik v. Chinnathambu Reddi Venkataramanaiya v. Kuppi Venkataramanaiya v. Srinivasa Rau Venkataramanier v. Ananda Chetty Venkataramanier v. Ananda Chetty Venkataramani v. Chavela Atchiyanma Venkataramanu v. Chavela Atchiyanma	R. 10 Mad., 73	4657 5430, 5969 1400, 1911 4819 243 3211 5196 3590 1491, 4437
Venkata Rama Rao v. Venkata Spriva Rao	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 333 : 8 C.)	2494, 2510
Venkata Rama Ran v. Venkata Suriya Rau Venkataramayyan v. Dikshatar Venkata Rao v. Court of Wards Venkataratnamma v. Ramanujasami Venkatarayau v. Strinivasa Ayyangar Venkatarayar v. Strinivasa Ayyangar Venkatarayar v. Strinivasa Ayyangar Venkata Reddy v. Luister Venkata Reddy v. Luister Venkatasami v. Queen v. Kuppaiyan v. Kuppaiyan v. Stupati Ambalam v. Situpati Ambalam Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Regyranda Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Rasi v. Muthuvijis Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis Rasi v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Muthuvijis v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Venkatasami v. Venkatasamy Naik v. Venkatasamy Venkatasami v. Venkatasamy Venk	L. R., 304. J. L. R., 1 Mad., 281 J. L. R., 1 Mad., 358 J. L. R., 2 Mad., 128 J. L. R., 2 Mad., 128 J. L. R., 2 Mad., 124 J. L. R., 2 Mad., 174 J. Mad., 32 J. Mad., 448 J. Mad., 448 J. L. R., 7 Mad., 102 J. Mad., 401 J. L. R., 7 Mad., 102 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 354 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 354 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 359 J. Mad., 327	2510 2404 5505 2934 3484 2257 8975 916 4574 1025 4795, 4796 2402 3591
Kathama Natchiar alias Kalandapuri Natchiar. SVenkatasa Naidu v. Sadagopasamy lyer	4 35.3 404	109
Venkatasa Naiker v. Srindussa Chariyar Venkatasubbaramayya v. Surayya Venkata Subha Pattar v. Giri Ammal Venkata Subba Row v. Sesha Reddy Venkata Subba v. Siyavamappa	4 Mad., 410	114, 1387 6045 3353 3156 3589 1888, 5829
Venkatasvami Nayakkan v. Subba Rau	2 Mad., 1	1741, 3427
Venkata Viraragavayyangar v. Krishnasami Ayyangar .		6084 2801, 3329
Venkatesa v. Sengoda Yenkatesaiya v. Venkataoharlu Yenkatesaiya v. Venkataoharlu Yenkatesaiya v. Venkataoharlu Yenkatesaiya yadu v. Shagatopa Shri Shagatopa Swami Yenkatesi Narayan Pai v. Krishnaji Arjun Yenkateswara Iyan v. Shekhari Varma Yenkateswara Iyan v. Shekhari Varma Yenkatramana v. Thir Singh Yenkatramana v. Viraya Yenkatrawa ya v. Viraya Yenkatraya v. Viraya Yenkatraya v. Nagadu Yenkatraya v. Nagadu Yenkatraya v. Nagadu	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 344	4889 1774 2126 108 3036, 3038 3907 3755, 4655 104 2150 3266, 3476 3153

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Venkatrayudu v. Papi Venkat Shrinivas v. Armstrong . Venkayya v. Subbarayudu Venkayyar v. Venkatsubbayyar Venkitarama Pattar v. Keshava Menon .	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 182 3 Bom., A. C., 47 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 283 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 53 L. R., 1 Mad., 349	4810 2783 3580 4785 1080
Venkopadhyaya v. Kavari Hengusu Venu v. Coorya Narayan Verasami Nayadu v. Subba Ran Verasut Hossein v. Jughdharee Sing Vericherla Surya Narayana Raju v. Satracherla Jaga-)	2 Mad., 36	2414, 3233 3768 112 4933
nada Raju. Vernede v. Abdul Giri Chinna Swami Verner v. Abdool Ali Vicar-General of the Portuguese Mission in Bengal	I. L. R., 5 Mad., 253 2 Mad., 427	4578 102 2947, 2955 1197
Vice-President of the Municipal Commission, Cuddalore, v. Nelson. Vidyaram v. Chandra Shekharram Vijaya v. Svipathi	I. L. R., 3 Mad., 129 I. L. R., 4 Bom., 163 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 94	3600 743, 1864 2414
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo Sawmy Periya Oodya Taver v. Katama Natchiar (Rajah of Shi- vagunga).	11 Moore's I. A., 50	4962, 4988
Vijaya Raganadha Bodha Gooroo Swamy Perria Woodia 1 Taver v. Anga Mootoo Natchiar. Vijaya Ragava v. Secretary of State for India	6 W. R., P. C., 50: 3 Moore's } I. A., 278. I. L. R., 7 Mad., 466	3583 3599
Vijayasami v. Periasami	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 242 8 Bom., O. C., 244	3418 2118, 2439 2491
Vijkor v. Jijibhai Vaji Vijates Khanum v. Meher Ali Vilayet Ali Khan v. Ameenooddeen Ahmed Vinayak Anaudrav v. Lakshmibai Vinayak Divakar v. Bai Itcha	9 Bom., 310 24 W. R., Cr., 4 23 W. R., 19 1 Bom., 117 3 Bom., A. C., 36	3860 6026 4615 2310 2783
Vinayak Govind v. Babaji	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 230 . {	3133, 3306 3307
Vinayak Joshi v. Janardan Joshi Vinayak K. Dhavle v. Bhau B. Samvat Vinayak Rarayan Jog v. Govindrav Chintaman Jog Vinayak Raghunath v. Great Indian Peninsula Railway ?	7 Bom., A. C., 23 4 Bom., A. C., 39 6 Bom., A. C., 224	6225 4338 2531, 2536 1098, 1382
Co. Vinayakrav Ganpatrav v. Ransordas Pranjivandas Vinayak Sadashiv Voze v. Raghi Vinayak Vasudev v. Ritchie, Steuart, & Co. Virabhadra Pillai v. Hari Rama Pillai Virabhadra Rau v. Ramaiya alias Babpautula Virabhadra Gaud. Ex parte	7 Bom, A. C., 90 4 Bom, A. C., 202 4 Bom, O. C., 139 3 Mad., 38	5253 1033 1075, 2711 1815, 5641 2943, 6135 3492 1853
Virada Pratapa Raghunada Deo v. Brozo Kishoro S	I. L. R., 1 Mad., 69: 25 W., R., 291: L. R., 3 I. A.,	2121
Viramma v. Narayya Virammal v. Kasturi Rungayyangar Virankutti v. Chiyamu Virana v. Nagayyah Vira Pillay v. Muruga Mattayan Virapa Nayak v. Kathana Talayachi Viravagaya v. Ramalinga Viraragaya v. Ramudu Viraragaya Ayyangar v. Varada Ayyangar	154. 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 283 1 L. R., 4 Mad., 381 1 L. R., 7 Mad., 557 1 L. R., 3 Mad., 6 2 Mad., 340 1 L. R., 6 Mad., 428 1 L. R., 9 Mad., 148 1 L. R., 9 Mad., 170 1 L. R., 5 Mad., 123	3743 4790 1298 3768 3157 5302 2127 422 5424

		67
Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column
	volume and Page	Digest.
774		
Viraragavamma v. Samudrala	TTD	
	. I. L. R., 8 Mad., 208	2406
	. I. L. R., 5 Mad., 397	822
Viraramuthi Udayan v. Singarayelu	. 1 L. L. K., 5 Mad 217	814
	· 1. 11. K Med 208	2417
Virasami v. Athi	• 1 L. L. K., R Mod 141	3753
	· 1. 11. IV., 7 Mad., 595	3478
	· 1. 4. Fu., 9 Mad., 144	4354
Layuu v. Arunachella Chetti	. L. L. R., 3 Mad 87	5802
Virasamy Mudali a. M.	I. L. R., 2 Mad., 200	4274
Virasamy Mudali v. Manommany Ammal	4 Mad., 32	3175, 348
virasangappa v. Rudrappa		3510, 573:
Virasvami Chetti v. Appasvami Chetti	I. L., R., 8 Mad., 440 .	2441
Appasvami Chetti	1 Mad., 375	2434, 2215
	1	2410, 5200
Virasvami Gramini v. Ayyasvami Gramini .	1 Mad., 471	2393, 4858
Virasvami Navak a Samondal G 1 1	1	5384
		3288
	1 Mad., 341	2608, 5798
Virgas. In the goods of	I. L. R., 8 Mad., 467	1995
	I Bom., 103	3085
		1569, 4265
Golkhandi. Golkhandi. Appa)	7D. 10 5.	
Visalatchi Ammal v. Annasamy Sastry	7 Bom., A. C., 74	5433, 5453
	5 Mad., 150	2421, 2430
Visitificinii Ammal a Sakka Dat.	I. L. R., 4 Mad., 292	794
	6 Mad., 270	2263
Vishnu Bhan Joshi a Posti Di	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 345, note .	3057
	1. L. R., 3 Bom., 18	179
	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 253	036, 4597
Vishnu Keshav Sathe. In re application of	1. L. R., 6 Bom., 584	5892
Vieles Cl. 11	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 58	5857
Vishnu Shambhog v. Maujamma	T.T. D. O.D 200 ()	460 1909
ishnu Trimbak a Totio alim T	I. L. R., 9 Bom., 108	460, 1903 427, 2527
		5110
ISHVIIIATH Atmanagement D 37	I. L. R., 3 Bom., 147	2595
		815, 5796
ishvanathray Kachesyar a Name of the petition of	7 Bom., A. C., 71.	182
Vishvanathrav Kachesvar v. Narayan bin Gopal Khape	9 Bom., 424	3285
asappa v. namajogi		594, 2859
isvanath Gungadhur v. Krishnaji Gunesh	2 Mad., 341	591, 5876
	3 Bom., A. C., 69	2299
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 16	5418
iswanibhara Rajendra Devu Garu v. Saradhi Charana ?	0.75.7.777	
ithal alias Gonal Geneal D: 11	3 Mad., 111 15	861, 3275
ithal Ambaram v. Dayabhai Murlidhar	4 Bom., A. C., 148	6083
ithaldas Manickdas a Joshahar	10 Bom., 54	5662
	I. L. R., 4 Bom., 219	2308
	5 Bom., O. C., 76 .	4259
	I. L. R., 6 Bom., 586	3459
thal Krishna Joshi v. Anant Ramchandra		70, 6078
Anant Kamchandra	11 Bom., 6	5264
thal Mahadev v. Dand valad Mahomed Husen		
thal Nilkanth Dinialan Yr.	6 Bom., A. C., 90	46, 3638
thal Nilkanth Pinjale v. Vishvasrav bin Bapujirav	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 497	4027
thal Ramchandra v Gangaram Vithoji	I. L. R., 5 Bom., 180	3966
	4 Bom., A. C., 65	4051
	~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	4387

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Vithal Vishvanath Prabhu 'v. Ram Chandra Sadashiv Kirkire. Vithoba Bava v. Hariba Bava Vithoba Bava v. Hariba Bava Vithoba bin Chabu v. Gangaram bin Biramji Vithoba Malani v. Corfeld Vithoba valad Ukba v. Chotalal Tukaram Vithoba valad Ukba v. Chotalal Tukaram Vithu v. Narayan Dabhalkar Vitha Butten v. Yamenamma Vizaganatam Municipaliv v. Walker Viziaramarazu v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Narendra Rau v. Secretary of State for India Viziaramarazu Virabahu Das v. Collector of Ahmedahu Virayakahu Das Khushal Das v. Collector of Ahmedahad. Varella Gangaia. Ex parte Vurmah Valia v. Ravi Vurmah Vyakunta Bapuji v. Government of Bombay Vyakatrav Anandrav Nimbalkar v. Jayavantrav bin Malharrav Rauadive. Vyakataray v. Shivrambhat Vyasara Bajaji v. Subhaji Narayan Vydianathyyan v. Shivrambyan Vydianathyyan v. Sitarmanyan Vydianathyyan v. Subramanyan Vydianathyyan v. Shivramayan Vydianathyyan v. Subramanyan Vydianathyyan v. Minakshi Ammal Vydianatha v. Subramanyan Vydianathyan v. Minakshi Ammal Vydianda v. Subramanyan Vydianathyan v. Minakshi Ammal Vythelinga Mudelly v. Cundasawmy Mudelly Vythilinga Mudelly v. Cundasawmy Mudelly	7 Bom., A. C., 149 6 Bom., A. C., 54 12 Bom., 180 5 Bom., A. C., 122 3 Bom., A. C., 116 6 Bom., A. C., 116 6 Bom., A. C., 116 6 Bom., A. C., 30 7 Bom., A. C., 72 8 Mad., 6 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 252 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 525 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 91 6 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 91 6 1. L. R., 4p., 64 12 Bom., 229 10 Bom., 190 2 Mad., 305 1. L. R., 1 Mad., 235 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 51 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 252 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 255 1. L. R., 8 Mad., 52 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5 1. L. R., 5 Mad., 5 2 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 235 2 W. R., 3 2 W. R., 3 2 W. R., 3 2 W. R., 3 2 Mad., 21 1. L. R., 6 Mad., 167	3117, 3163 2453 3442 2453 3444 712, 2754 312, 4881 2819 2394, 2523 3602 3171 3166 4071 2265, 2266 4071 2265, 2266 379 2130, 213: 3078 5090 4275 2520 6084 3578 2520 6084 3578 2768, 4527 2768, 4527 2768, 4527
Vythilinga Muppauar v. Vijayathammal Vythilinga Pillai v. Thetchanamurti Pillai W	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 43 I. L. R., 3 Mad., 76	1694, 212/ 3346
Wafealı v. Saheeba Waghii Thackersey v. Khatao Rowji Wagji Korji v. Tharia Topan Wahed Ali v. Jumayee v. Mullick Enayet Hossein Ali v. Sadiq Ali Wahedoollah v. Golam Akbur Wahedoolden v. Jhungoree Wahid Ali v. Ashruff Hossain	8 W. R., 307 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 167 I. L. R., 3 Bom., 58 2 B. L. R., F. B., 78: 11 W. R., F. B., 1: 12 B. L. R., 500: 20 W. R., 31 13 F. L. R., 487, note: 17 W. R., 417, 25 W. R., 205 2 N. W., 16 I. L. R., 8 Calc., 732: 10 C. L. R., 528	3332, 5041 4525 1039 827 1924, 3523 5411 644, 2594 4468 3670

· Name of Cas	se.				Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Vahidunnissa v. Shubrattun .				{	6 B. L. R., 54: 14 W. R., 239.	3658
Vaigankar v. Wadekar					5 Bom., A. C., 194	5219, 579
Vaj Bibee v. Azmut Ali	•	:	•	•	8 W. R., 23.	3645
Vajed Ali v. Chundrabutty Koos	11.66		:		22 W. R., 542	2983
Vajed Hossein v. Abdool Kadir			:	:	13 W. R., 418	4958
v. Ahmed Rezah					17 W. R., 480 {	898, 444 5400, 615
Vajeed Ali v. Abdool Ali					W. R., 1864, 121	3678, 368: 3686
7ajibun v. Kadir Buksh					I. L. R., 13 Calc., 292	3205
7ajid Ali Khan v. Lala Hanuma	n Prasad			{	4 B. L. R., A. C., 139: 12 W. R., 484.	308, 372 6076
7ajid Ali Shah v. Dianat-ullah I	Beg .			.`	I. L. R., 8 All., 31	1443, 522
ajid Hossein v. Nankoo Singh					25 W. R., 311	2165
ajoodeen Hossein v. Madhoo Cl	rowdry		. `	٠.	17 W. R., 404	3061
ala Hiraji v. Hira Patel .					I. L. R., 9 Bom., 518	390
alee Mahomed v. Kumur Ali					7 W. R., 428	1828
ali Alam v. Nasran				. [3 B. L. R., Ap., 104: 12 W.)	180, 608
	. •	•	•	5	R., 50.	
ali Muhammad v. Turab Ali .	•	٠.	•	•	I. L. R., 4 All., 497	1900
aliulla v. Ghulam Ali	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 1 All., 535	323, 38
v. Miran Saheb	•		•	•	2 Bom., 301, 2nd Ed., 285 .]	3637
alker v. Atnaram Mundur .		•	•	•	14 W. R., 478	$\frac{4191}{2104}$
allace. In re	•	•	•	•	I. L. R., 2 Bom., 453	2679
	•	•	•		10 B. L. R., Ap., 32: 19 W.)	
allah Allee v. Golam Gous :				{	R., 215.	4172
aller. In re				. 1	I. L. R., 6 Mad., 430	5715
allis v. Taylor					I. L. R., 13 Calc., 37	5714
aman Ramchandra v. Dhondiba	Walahani	:			I. L. R., 4 Bom., 126 . {	1575, 477
			· .	. 1	I. II. II., 4 Dolli, 120 . (4783, 480
aunathan Kandile Chiruthal v Kurup.	. Keyaka	dath	Pyde	13	6 Mad., 194	3755
ard v. Queen					I. L. R., 5 Mad., 33	2905
arden v. Warden					9 B. L. R., Ap., 39	1550
aris Ali v. Muhammad Ismail					I. L. R., 8 All., 552	2876
aris Meah v. Queen-Empress .			•	•	I. J. R., 10 Calc., 584	2007
arrish Ally v. Lalla Ram Sahay	е .		•	•	1 Hay, 197.	4896
aselun Hak v. Gowhurunnissa I	Bibi			§	1 B. L. R., S. N., 7: 10 W.	679
				Š.	R., 105. I. L. R., 7 Calc., 140: 8 C.)	
atkins v. Dhunnoo Baboo .				{	L. R., 433.	3858
v. Roheenee Bullub .				Ç	10 B. L. R., Ap., 11	2651
atson v. Aga Mehedee Sherazee	:	:	•	:	L. R., 1 I. A., 346	917, 331
v. Collector of Rajshahye				Ş	L. R., 1 I. A., 346 3 B. L. R., P. C., 48: 12 W.) R., P. C., 43: 13 Moore's }	519, 622
				(I. A., 160.	3061
v. Dost Mahomed Khan v. Gobind Chunder Mozoo	mdar	•	•	•	W. R., 1864, Act X, 46	4927
	ran Will	• :	•	{	1 B. L. R., S. N., 21: 10 W.)	1257
v. Golab Khan		•	•	į	R., Cr., 28. 5 3 C. L. R., 240	528, 53
	, .	•	•	ċ	B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 182: 3	
v. Government . · ·	•	•	•	{	W. B., 73.	3394, 61
TT I					W. R., 1864, Act X, 25	2792
v. Hedger	•				I. L. R., 8 Calc., 934	4514

Name of Case.		Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
77 J. D. D. J.		ATT D. O.	1000
Watson v. Pokhur Doss Paul	•	4 W. R., 2	1676
- v. Pyari Lal Shaha		7 B. L. R., 175	3839
v. Rutnokant Roy	٠	W. R., 1864, Act X, 19	546
v. Sreemunt Lal Khan	•	5 Moore's I. A., 447	4637, 5350
Watson & Co. v. Anjunna Dossee		10 W. R., 107	1604
v. Bhoonya Koonwar Narain Singh	•	W. R., 1864, Act X, 105	3800
v. Bhoonya Koonwar Narain Singh v. Blechynden		1 W. R., 368	5215
v. Brojo Soonduree Dabee v. Brojosoonduree Debia v.		10 W. R., 395	5627
v. Brojosoonduree Debia		16 W. R., 225	5611
		17 W. R., 376	5627
v. Bykantnath Dass v. Choto Joora Mundul v. Dhonendra Chunder Mookerjee		14 W. R., Cr., 80	1255
v. Choto Joora Mundul		Marsh, 68: 1 Hay, 232 .	1605
v. Dhonendra Chunder Mookerjee.		I. L. R., 3 Calc., 6	552
		21 W. R., 410	5636
v. Dwarkanath Sircar		Marsh., 415: 2 Hay, 533 .	5268
		22 W. R., 35	1214
v. Hurry Nath Sircar		7 W. R., 388	1057
v. Jhukroo Singh		1 W. R., 195	1599
v. Joggeshar Attah		Marsh., 330: 2 Hay, 436 .	1599
v. Kunhye Bahadoor		9 W. R., 294	771, 4913
v. Mohendronath Paul		23 W. R., 436 24 W. R., 176	581, 5634
v. Mohesh Narain Roy		24 W. R., 176	3066
v. Neel Kant Sircar		10 W. R., 330	1621
v. Nidhoo Digwar		10 W. R., 87	5773
v. Nistarini Gupta		I. L. R., 10 Calc., 544	7
v. Nobin Mohun Babu	. •	10 W. R., 72	144
. Kunhye Bahadoor		21 W. R., 420 17 W. R., 496	1617
	٠.	17 W. R., 496	580, 1631
v. Sham Lall Pandah		10 W. R., 73	1604, 1782
v. Shurnomoyee		9 W. R., 259 {	905, 1568
		7 W D 007	4288
v. Shurut Soonduree Debia	•	7 W. R., 395	3117, 5191
v. Surnomoyee		10 W. R., 421	4126
v. Surnomoyee		8 W. R., Cr., 63	4493
v. Tarinee Churn Gangooly		24 W. R., 414	1544
Wazeer Ali v. Dunne	•	17 W. R., 494	2995
v. Kaim Ali		1 Agra, Rev., 15	1587
	;	5 N. W., 196	3688
Wazeer Mahton v. Chuni Singh	- 3	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 727: 9 C. L. R., 377.	4967
Wazir Mahton v. Lulit Singh	,	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 166: 8 C.)	254
	{	L. R., 505.	174
v · · · · ·		I. L R., 9 Calc., 100	3483
Wazir Muhammad Khan v. Gauri Dat		I. L. R., 4 All., 412	2920
Wazir Singh. In the case of	5	3 B. L. R., A. Cr., 65: 12 W.	5603
-		R., Cr., 46.	
Weatherall v. Dillon		6 N. W., 200	3772
Webb v. Rinchiden		14 W. R., 214	3910, 5689
Webbe v. Lester	1	2 Bom., 55: 2nd Ed., 52 {	1575,5817
		. (5986
Webor Ali v. Gaddai Behari	• [2 C. L. R., 165	3293
Webster v. Kecna		9 W. R., Cr., 70	4112
Welsh. In re		I. L. R., 8 Bom., 280	3563
Wemyss. In the goods of Wharton v. Moona Lall		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 721	6200
What tolor Delani Detanii		1 Agra, 96	1356
Whateley v. Palanji Pestanji	•	3 Bom., O. C., 137	2622
White. In the goods of		I. L. R., 4 Calc., 582	4666
•			

White v. Bishto Chunder Bose 2 Hay, 567 w. Tripora Sunkur Mookerjee W. R., 1864, 297 w. Tripora Sunkur Mookerjee W. R., 1864, 297 w. R., 1861, 169 w. R., 1864, 297 w. R., 1864 w. R., 1864, 297 w. R., 1864 w. R., 186	
White v. Bishto Chunder Bose	Column
## W. K. 1864, 297 W. K. 1864, 297 W. K. 1864, 297 W. K. 1864, 297 W. K. 1864, 297 W. K. 1864, 297 L. R. 3 All., 469 W. K. 1864, 297 L. R. 5 All., 514 L. R. 5 All., 514 L. R. 5 All., 514 L. R. 5 All., 583 J. L. R. 1 All., 583 B. L. R., 0. C., 96 G. B. L. R., 488 Williams v. Brown W. Great Eastern Hotel Co. v. Williams v. Williams Williams v. Warbliams Williams v. Brown W. Great Eastern Hotel Co. v. Williams v. Williams Williams v. Williams Williams v. Brown Williams v. Williams Williams v. W	of Digest,
## Whymper & Co. & Bushle & Co. Wilait Begam v. Nur Khan I. L. R., 3 All., 469 Wilayat Husain v. Allah Rakhi I. L. R., 2 All., 531 Vilayat Husain v. Allah Rakhi I. L. R., 5 All., 514 I. L. R., 5 All., 514 I. L. R., 5 All., 513 I. L. R., 1 All., 583 J. L. R., 8 All., 108 G. G. T. G. G. G. G. G. G. G. B. L. R., 486 J. L. R., 8 All., 108 V. Great Eastern Hotel Co.	
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	2379
Whymper & Co. v. Buckle & Co. I. L. R., 3 All., 469 Willait Begam v. Nur Khan I. L. R., 5 All., 514 I. L. R., 5 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 2 All., 514 I. L. R., 1 All., 583 3 B. L. R., 0. C., 96 6 B. L. R., 486 I. L. R., 486 I. L. R., 486 I. L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 I. L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 688 I. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 688 I. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 688 I. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 I. L. R., 1 Calc., 149 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429 I. W. R., 123 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429 I. W. R., 123 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429 I. W. R., 143 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429 I. W. R., 143 I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429 I. W. R., 416 I. L. R., 0 C., 79 I. Mad., 200 Winterscale v. Gopal Chandra Seal I. L. R., 0 C., 90 I. M., 202 I. M., 202 I. M., 203 I. M., 203 I. M., 204 I. M., 204 I. M., 204 I. M., 205 I. R., 4p., 20 I. R., 4p., 20 I. M., 205 I. M., 205 I. R., 205 I. M., 205 I	5744
Wilayat Husain v. Allah Rakhi I. L. R., 2 All., 831 Wilayatunnisas v. Najibunnisas Valibunnisas Valib	1058
Wilayat Husain v. Allah Rakhi I. L. R., 2 All., 831 Wilayatunisas v. Najibunisas I. L. R., 1 All., 583 S. L. R., 0, C., 96 6 B. L. R., 486 Co., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 3 Calc., 688 L. R., 405 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 3 Calc., 688 L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 10 Calc., 688 L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 L. R., 10 Calc., 688 L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 L. R., 10 Calc., 688 L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 L. R., 10 Calc., 684 L. R., 10 Calc., 694 L. R., 10 Calc., 695 L. R., 10 Calc.	5032
Wilayatunnissa v. Najibunnissa I. L. R., 1 All., 583	3651
Williams v. Brown I. L. R., 8 All., 108 Cor., 76 : 2 Hyde, 166 L. R., 486	166
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	460, 153
Williams v. Brown	4248, 47
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	241, 74
- v. Wilkams I. L. R., 3 Cafe., 688 11 B. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 349 48 B. L. R., 0.C., 52 11 L. R., 11 Cafe., 149 12 W. R., 140 14 W. R., 146 14 W. R., 146 15 W. R., 148 14 W. R., 146 15 W. R., 148 15 W. R., 148 16 W. R., 146 16 W. R., 146 17 W. R., 148 18 W. R., 240 18 W. R., 240 18 W. R., 240 19 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 128 19 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 128 19 W. R., 129 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 20 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 136 30 W. R., 249 20 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 136 30 W. R., 240 20 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 136 30 W. R., 240 20 W. R., 128 20 W	5950
- v. Wilkams I. L. R., 3 Cafe., 688 11 B. L. R., 415 : 20 W. R., 349 349 48 B. L. R., 0.C., 52 11 L. R., 11 Cafe., 149 12 W. R., 140 14 W. R., 146 14 W. R., 146 15 W. R., 148 14 W. R., 146 15 W. R., 148 15 W. R., 148 16 W. R., 146 16 W. R., 146 17 W. R., 148 18 W. R., 240 18 W. R., 240 18 W. R., 240 19 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 128 19 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 128 19 W. R., 129 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 20 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 136 30 W. R., 249 20 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 136 30 W. R., 240 20 W. R., 127 19 W. R., 136 30 W. R., 240 20 W. R., 128 20 W	3791
Williamson v. Arab Ismail Khan Willis v. Willis Willis v. Willis " or Government " Kanhya Sahoo " v. Fresident, Municipal Commission, Madras Wingrove v. Wingrove Winter v. Garther " v. Round " v. Way " I Mad., 200 " v. Abdool Ali " v. Ameeroomissa Khatoon " v. Ameerunissa Khatoon " v. Bunse Shaha " v. Bunsee Shaha " v. Boobun Moyee Debia " v. Brojendro Coomar Roy v. Bunsee Hana " v. Brojendro Fackerguage v. Bunsee Hossein Chowdry v. Huro Call Giree Gossain v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain v. Huro Lander Shaha v. Jagabandhu Eose v. Jagabandhu Eose v. Jugbundhoo Bose v. Jugebundhoo Bose v. Jugbundhoo Bose v. Jugbundhoo Bose v. Jugbundhoo Bose v. Jugebundhoo Bose v. Jugebundhoo Bose v. Jugebundhoo Bose v. Jugebundhoo Bose	1549
Willis v. Willis Willis v. Willis Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Villis v. Occurrent v. Villis v. Vil	1949
Willis v. Willis Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. Willis v. V. Gartner v. Gavennent v. Kanhya Sahoo v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. Wingrove v.	5721
Willson. In the goods of v. Government v. Government v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras v. Randy v. Rand	
- v. Government	1550
- v. Kanhya Sahoo	5904
## v. President, Municipal Commission, Madras I. L. R., 8 Mad., 429 14 W. R., 416 1 B. L. R., 0. C., 79 1 Mad., 202 1 Mad., 202 1 Mad., 202 1 Mad., 200 2 W. R., 218 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 B. L. R., 0. C., 90 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 W. R., 127 1	403
Mingrove 9. Mingrove 14 W. R., 4416	1366, 13
Mingrove 9. Mingrove 14 W. R., 4416	3574
v. Round 1 Mad., 202 w. Way 1 Mad., 200 winterscale v. Gopal Chandra Seal 3 B. L. R., O. C., 90 Wise. In the matter of the petition of 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 v. Abdool Ali 2 W. R., 127 v. Ameeronnissa Khatoon 3 W. R., 219 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 32 v. Bansee Shaha L. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Bansee Shaha 16 W. R., 51 v. Brojendro Coomar Roy 18 W. R., 90 v. Burn 4 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1 v. Collector of Backergunge L. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry 12 W. R., 271 v. Hurro Chunder Shaha 16 W. R., 550 v. Hurro Chunder Shaha 6 W. R., Act X., 90 v. Jagabandhu Eose 2 B. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 Moore's I. A., 447 v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 443 v. Jugbundhoo Bose 12 W. R., 229	1545
	2630
	2820, 46
Winterscale v. Gopal Chandra Seal 3 B. L. R., O. C., 90 Wise. In the matter of the petition of 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 2 W. R., 127 7 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 1 W. R., 136 2 W. R., 137 7 W. R., 136 2 W. R., 137 1 W. R., 136 2 W. R., 139 2 W. R., 139 2 W. R., 136 2 W. R., 132 2 W. R., 34 2 W. R., 132 2	656, 281
Winterscale w. Gopal Chandra Scal 3 B. L. R., O. C., 90 Wise. In the matter of the petition of 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 2 W. R., 127 7 w. Ameeronnissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 136 3 W. R., 219 2 w. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 2 w. R., 132 2 w. R., 133 2 w. R., 134 2 w. R	4509
Wise. In the matter of the petition of 10 B. L. R., Ap., 20 v. Abdool Ali 2 W. R., 127 v. Ameeronnissa Khatoon 3 W. R., 219 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Bansee Shaha 16 W. R., 51 v. Bansee Shaha 16 W. R., 51 v. Brojendro Coomar Roy 1 W. R., P. C., 5: 10 Moore's {	4771
	5933
.v. Ameeroonnissa Khatoon 3 W. R., 219 v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 132 v. Bansee Shaha L. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Bhoobun Moyee Debia 3 W. R., P. C., 5 : 10 Moore's {	38
.v. Ameeroonnissa Khatoon 3 W. R., 219 v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 132 v. Bansee Shaha L. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Bhoobun Moyee Debia 3 W. R., P. C., 5 : 10 Moore's {	1922
- v. A meerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 34 v. Ameerunissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 132 v. Eansee Shaha 16 W. R., 51 v. Bansee Shaha 16 W. R., 51 v. Brojendro Coomar Roy 18 W. R., 90 v. Burn 4 W. R., 90 v. Burn 18 W. R., 91 v. Gulector of Backergunge 18 W. R., 91 v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry 18 W. R., 271 v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 271 v. Huro Chunder Shaha 6 W. R., 450 v. Jagabandhu Bose 2 B. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 Moore's I. A., 447 v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 443 12 W. R., 223 13 W. R., 230 14 W. R., 380 15 W. Jagabandho Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 447 v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 443 15 W. R., 230	40,17
-v. Ameerunnissa Khatoon 2 W. R., 132 v. Bausee Shaha 1c W. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Bausee Shaha 1c W. R., 51 v. Bhoobun Moyee Debia 3 W. R., P. C., 5 : 10 Moore's { I. A., 165.	38
V. Bausee Shaha	43
	42, 32
— v. Bhoobun Moyee Debia { 3 W. R., P. Q., 5: 10 Moore's { I. A., 165. — v. Brojendro Coomar Roy 18 W. R., 91 u. Burn 4 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1 - v. Collector of Backergunge L. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry 12 W. R., 271 u. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 150 v. Hurro Chunder Shaha 6 W. R., Act X., 90 v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee 14 W. R., 880 v. Jagabandhu Bose 2 B. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 } v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 437 v. Jugobundoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 431 v. Jugobundoo Bose 12 W. R., 229	
I. A., 165.	3806
- v. Brojendro Coomar Roy	3434, 41
-v. Burn 4 W. R., Rec. Ref., 1 v. Collector of Backergunge L. R., 7 I. A., 73 -v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry 12 W. R., 271 -v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 150 v. Hurro Chunder Shaha 6 W. R., Act X, 90 -v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee 14 W. R., 380 v. Jagabandhu Bose 2 B. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 Moore's I. A., 437. -v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 431 v. Juggobundoo Bose 12 W. R., 229 12 W. R., 229	5630, 60
-v. Collector of Backergunge I. R., 7 I. A., 73 v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry 13 W. R., 271 v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 150 v. Hurro Chunder Shaha 6 W. R., Act X, 90 v. Ishan Chunder Banerjee 14 W. R., 380 v. Jagabandhu Bose 2 B. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 \times Moore's I. A., 447. v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 443. v. Jugobundoo Bose 12 W. R., 229	4458
-v. Gureeb Hossein Chowdry 1 2 W. R., 271 -v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 150 -v. Huro Chunder Shaha 6 W. R., Act X, 90 -v. Jishan Chunder Banerjee 14 W. R., 380 -v. Jagabandhu Bose 2 B. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 Nore's I. A., 477. -v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 431 -v. Juggobundoo Bose 12 W. R., 229	4603
-v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 150 6 W. R., 150 16 W. R. Hurro Chunder Shaha 16 W. R., Act X, 90 14 W. R., 380 12 W. Jagabandhu Bose 2 E. L. R., P. C., 69: 12 Moore's I. A., 447 17 18 W. Juggbundho Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 441 18 12 W. R., 239 12 W. R., 239 18 12 W. R., 239 18 18 18 W. R., 239 18 18 18 W. R., 239 18 18 18 W. R., 239 18 18 18 W. R., 239 18 18 18 W. R., 239 1	42, 32
-v. Huro Lall Giree Gossain 16 W. R., 150 6 W. R., 150 16 W. Hurro Chunder Shaha 16 W. R., Act X, 90 14 W. R., 380 17 Jagabandhu Bose 8 18 H. R., P. C., 69: 12 Moore's I. A., 447 17 18 W. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 441 18 19 Jugbundhoo Bose 12 W. R., 229 18 19 W. R., 229	4035
v. Hurro Chunder Shaha	5125
v, Ishan Chunder Banerjee	540
	4907
v. Jugbundhoo Bose	
— v. Jugbundhoo Bose 7 Moore's I. A., 431	510
v. Juggobundoo Bose	5536
2. Jugobundhoo Baboo 4 W. R., Mis., 10	33, 2749
	3541
- v. Kishen Koomar Bose 4 Moore's I. A., 201	510, 46
- v. Lakhoo Khan	3804
v. Radhagobind Shaha	1503
v. Rajender Coomar Roy	3822
B. L. R., Sup. Vol., 541: 6 W.	283
v. Rajkrishia Roy	200
(1 B L. R. F. B. 258 : 10 W.)	3540
- v. Rajnarain Chuckerbutty	354
	3799
v. Romanath Sen Luskhur 2 Ind. Jur., O. S., 5	3117

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
Wise v. Rubaa Khatoon	19 W. R., 299	136, 576
v. Sunduloonissa Chowdrance	7 W. R., P. C., 13: 11 Moore's I. A., 177.	1723, 370
Viseman v. Gopaul Doss Sen	1 Iud. Jur., N. S., 277	4618
Vishwambhar Pandit v. Prabhakar Bhat	I. L. R., 8 Bom., 269	167, 426
Vodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Abdool Jubbur Chowdhry	24 W. R., 339	3473, 349 3515
Volee Alum v. Misrun	12 W. R., 50 25 W. R., 48	180,608 5123
Womda Khanum v. Rajroop Koer {	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 335 : 1 C. } L. R., 295.	3778
Womes Chunder Chatterjee v. Chundee Churn Roy	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 293	4901
Womesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Collector of 24-Per-	8 W. R., 439	5363
Womesh Chunder Ghose v. Shama Sundari Bai . {	I. L. R., 7 Calc., 98 : 8 C. L.	1777
Womesh Chunder Roy v. Deendyal Poramanick	R., 489. 2 Hay, 12	288
Wood. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., & Calc., 891: 11 C.)	651
Corporation of Town of Calcutta	L. R., 357 I. L. R., 7 Calc., 322 : 9 C.)	651, 276
Corporation of Town of Calcutta	L. R., 193.	001, 210
· · · }	L. L. R., 8 Calc., 891: 11 C. L. R., 357.	651
v. Wood	14 B. L. R., Ap., 6	1555
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 485: 1 C. } L. R., 552.	-1549
Woodhouse v. Calcutta and South Eastern Railway Co.	9 W. R., 73	4070
Woodit Singh v. Buldeo Singh	21 W. R., 12	4859
Woodoy-aditto Deb v. Makoond Narain Aditto Deb Woodoy Chand Halder v. Gooroo Churn Mojoomdar	22 W. R., 225 13 W. R., 148	2061, 510 5655
Woodoy Chand Jana v. Nitye Mundul	9 W. R., 111	4770, 480
Woodoy Chand Mookhopadhya. In re	18 W. R., Cr., 31	4920
Foodoy Chunder Dhur v. Kaleetara Dassia	11 W. R., 393	1180
Woodoy Chunder Mundul v. Ahmedoollah	11 W. R., 393 12 W. R., 467	1410
Woodov Narain v. Durriaho Rov	W. R., 1864, 187	6108
Noodoy Narain Sein v. Tarinee Churn Roy	11 W. R., 496	1611
Voodoy Tara Chowdhrain v. Abdool Gunny	12 W. R., 16	4199
Noodrow v. Schiller	1 Ind. Jur., N. S., 90	5216
Noodwant Mahtoon v. Hunooman Pershad Singh .	22 W. R., 419	6187
Voolfut Hossein v. Jumoona Doss	W. R., 1864, Act X, 60	2930
Vooma Churn Banerjee v. Haradhun Mojoomdar	1 W. R., 347	3364, 415
Vooma Churn Chatterjee v. Kadambini Dabee	9 CL T D 146	573, 301
	3 C. L. R., 146 {	5266
Vooma Churn Chowdhry v. Beharee Lall Mookerjee .	21 W. R., 274	3984
v. Kumolay Kaminee Dabee .	25 W. R., 58	1895
v. Kurralee Churn Chowdhry .	W. R., 1864, 163	898
Vooma Churn Dhole v. Teil	14 B. L. R., Ap., 13	395
Wooma Churn Dutt v. Grish Chunder Bose	17 W. R., 32 · · ·	325, 163
Vooma Churn Mitter v. Bejoy Kishore Roy	W. R., 1864, 130	3181
Vooma Churn Mookerjee v. Hurry Churn Bose	11 W. R., 60	4757
Chowdhry. v. Luckhee Narain Roy	1 W. R., Mis., 10	1918
Wooma Churn Mozoomdar v. Chunder Kant Roy)	16 W. R., 255	494
Chowdhry.	•	
Wooma Churn Roy Mozoomdar. In re	13 B. L. R., 215, note	494

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digests
Vooma Churn Sett v. Huree Pershad Misser	10 W. R., 101	528, 562
	I. L. R., 3 Calc., 587 : 2 C.)	2134, 2148
Vooma Dace v. Gocoolanund Dass	L. R., 51: L. R., 5 I. A., 40.	2304
Vooma Kant Bukshee v. Gunga Narain Chowdhry .	20 W. R., 385	1852
Voomakant Sircar v. Gopal Singh	2 W. R., Act X, 19	5183
Voomamoyee Burmonya v. Bokoo Behara	13 W. R., 333	5178
v. Hills	13 W. R., 333	5361
v. Kunuck Chunder Mookerjee	17 W. R., 418	5752
v. Ram Bukhsh Chetlangee .	16 W. R., 11	829, 5419
Voomanath Roy Chowdhry v. Ashumburee Biswas .	12 W. R., 476	1647
v. Debnath Roy Chowdry .	14 W.*R., 471	1621, 5109
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5175, 5313
v. Roghoonath Mitter .	5 W. R., Act X, 63	5320
v. Sreenath Singh	15 W. R., 260	30, 6221
Voomanath Tewaree v. Koondun Tewaree	19 W. R., 177	5178
Vooma Nundo Roy v. Browne Voomapersad Shaw v. Shumsher Sirdar	6 W. R., Cir. Ref., 30	2999
Voomapersad Shaw v. Shumsher Sirdar	4 W. R., S. C. C. Ref., 10	5695
oomapershad Roy v. Grish Chunder Prochundo .	L. L. R., 10 Calc., 639	2337
Vooma Soonduree Burmonia v. Gooroo Pershad Ray .	15 W. R., 74	2702
Vooma Soonduree Dossee v. Biressur Roy	8 W. R., 289	3210
v. Dwarkanath Roy	11 W. R., 72	3164, 3368
v. Rajkisto Roy	21 W. R., 366	3074,
Vooma Soonduree Dossia v. Beerbul Mundul	11 W. R., 593	5315
Vooma Soonduree Thakooranee v. Kishoree Mohun ?	8 W. R., 238	4210
Banerjee.		
Vooma Sunkory v. Ally Ashruff	W. R., 1864, Act X, 96	538
Vooma Tara v. Bhurosa Ram Dass	24 W. R., 409	4269
Woomatara Dabee v. Unnopoorna Dossee	10 W. R., 426	5005
Woomatara Debea. In re	6 W. R., Mis., 120	5116
Voomatara Debia v. Unnopoorna Dassee	11 B. L. R., 158: 18 W. R.,	4659, 5005
Wooma Tara Goopta v. Kalee Tara Goopta	25 W. R., 93	667
Voomatool Fatima Begum v. Meerunmunnissa Khanum		3332, 3658
Voomesh Chunder Bose v. Matunginee Debia	2 W. R. 170	877
n. Muddun Mohan Sirear	2 W. R., 170 2 W. R., 44	5663
v. Muddun Mohun Sircar v. Soorjee Kanto Roy Chow- 6	I. L. R., 5 Calc., 713:6 C.	
dhry.	L. R., 49,	548
Voomesh Chunder Chatterjee v. Isharutoollah	8 W. R., 439	5363
v. Kumuroodeen Luskur .	7 W. R., 20	564
Voomesh Chunder Goopto v. Rajnarain Roy	10 W. R., 15	3392, 381
Voomesh Chunder Mojoomdar v. Dwarkanath Roy .	4 W. R., 80	4314
Joomesh Chunder Mookerjee v. Bama Dossee	7 W. R., 15	1753
Voomesh Chunder Mookerjee v. Sageman	12 W. R., O. C., 2 11 W. R., 197	1814, 320
Voomesh Chunder Roy v. Bidhoo Mookhee Dossee .	11 W. R., 197	5935
v. Jonardun Hajra	15 W. R., 235	295
v. Jonardun Hajra v. Markund Mookerjee v. Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar	12 W. R., 34	3815
v. Nobin Chunder Mozoomdar .	10 W. R., 457	5050
oomesh Chunder Sootee v. Ram Chunder Mowree	4 W. R., Act X, 40	5072
Joon Chit Poe v. Wee Chang	15 W. R., 185	5976
Voopendro Mohun Tagore v. Takalia Beparee	11 W. R., 570	3531
v. Thanda Dossia	12 W. R., 263	2317, 300
	1	3011
Voopendro Nath Sircar v. Brojendronath Mundul	I. L. R., 7. Calc., 346: 9 C. L. R., 263.	5474
Voosum Ali v. Jan Ali	11 W. R., 394	1428
Voozeer Ali v. Doorga Churn Roy	5 W. R., Act X, 79	554
Voozeer An v. Doorga Churn Roy	9 W. R., 98	4472
	0 11. 10., 00	1 22/4

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Woozeerunnissa Bibee v. Mahomed Hossein Woseeun v. Byjnath Singh Wozeer Begun v. Fuzloonissa Wright v. Seetaram Wuheedun v. Wusee Hossein Wuzeer Ali v. Kalae Coomar Chuckerbutty Wuzeer Ali v. Kalae Coomar Chuckerbutty Wuzeer Mullick Sircar v. Nitumbinee Debee Wuzeer Mullick Sircar v. Nitumbinee Debee Wuzeerounden v. Sheobund Lall Wuzeerun Beebee v. Warris Ali Wuzeurun Beebee v. Saeedun Wyman v. Banks Wyman v. Banks Wyndham. In the matter of Wynne. In the goods of	15 B. L. R., 166, note	2837, 5650 3941 5356 809 3632, 3636 1770, 4895 326, 3248 5671 1423, 5752 3117 3820, 3969 3103 4764 6198
Y	,	
Yachereddy Chinna Bassavapa v. Yachereddi Gowdapa Yacoob. In the unatter of the petition of v. Adamson Yacoob Ali v. Koere Singh Yakoob Ali v. Koere Singh Yakoob Ali v. Kaerenollah v. Luchmun Doss v. Ubdoolrahman Yakob Ali Chowdhry v. Ram Doolal Yakub v. Narasinga Yakub Sahib v. Jaffer Ali Sahib Yanunahai v. Narayan Moreshwar Pendse Yanunahai v. Narayan Moreshwar Pendse Yanunahai v. Narayan Moreshwar Pendse Yanunahai v. Narayan Moreshwar Pendse Yanunula Venkayawa v. Shillakuru Venkata Naraina Reddy. Yanunula Venkayamah v. Yanunula Boochia Ven kondora. Yaraklahmma v. Anakala Naramma Yarakli. In the matter of the petition of Yashawant Subaji Kulkariu v. Gopal Ladko Bhandarar. Yekyamian v. Agniswarian	5 W. R., P. C., 114 I. L. R., 13 Calc., 272 2 N. W., 111 8 W. R., 329 6 N. W., 80 3 Agra, 383: Agra, F. B., Ed. 1874, 172 13 C. L. R., 272 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 572 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 167 I. L. R., 18 Omn, 164 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 131 13 W. R., P. C., 21: 13 Moore's I. A., 333. 2 Mad., 276 13 W. R., Cr., 70 2 Bom., 202: 2nd Ed., 194 4 Mad., 307 1 Bom., 85	2201 4580, 5168 5293, 6108 340, 3704 5243 797, 3953 3592 3592, 5101 3584 2325 2780 5881 1032, 4256 2369 139, 4141
Yeknath Ram Chandra v. Waman Brahmadev Yella Chetti v. Munisani Reddi Yellapa valad Bhimapa v. Mantappa bin Basappa Yenamandra Sitaramasami v. Midatana Sanyasi Yenkoba Balshet Kasar v. Rambhaji valad Arjun Yenumula Gavuridevamma Garu v. Yenumula Raman- dora Garu. Yerabalu Yiraragava Reddi v. Abdul Khadir Yesuji Apaji Patil v. Yesaji Mhaloji Yeshwant Baburav v. Govind Shankar Yesvantrav Amritrav Jamin v. Ismail Ali Khan	I. L. R., 10 Bom., 241 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 101 8 Bom., A. C., 27 3 Bom., A. C., 102 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 400 9 Bom., 12 6 Mad., 93 4 Mad., 26 8 Bom., A. C., 35 I. L. R., 10 Bom., 453 2 Bom., 99: 2nd Ed., 94	4403 3164 850 5239 6155 2171 2826 2314, 2323 881 1441 5415 202

	Name of Case,	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest.
	Yettapa Naikar v. Venkateswara Yettia Young v. Bank of Bengal	5 Mad., 405. 1 Moore's I. A., 87	2417 ° 2655
	v. McCorkindale	19 W. R., 159	3142, 3317
	v. Mangalapilly Ramaiya	3 Mad., 125	3331, 3333 1062, 2814
	Yusaf Ali Khan v. Chubbee Singh Yusan Khatun v. Ramnath Sen Yusoof Ali Chowdhry v. Fyzoonissa Khatoon Chow- drain.	5 N. W., 122	3200 1170, 4472 4955 3700, 4520
	Yusuf Ali v. Collector of Tipperah Yusuf Khan v. Sirdar Khan	I. L. R., 9 Calc., 138 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 83	4901 3680 3528
	${f Z}$		
	Zadah v. Parmeshar Das Zafaryab Ali v. Bakhtawar Singh Zahid v. Perese Zahoor Hossein v. Assud Ali Zahrah v. Bhugwan Doss Zahur v. Nur Ali Zahur khan v. Bakhtawar Zahuran v. Tayler	I. L. R., 1 All., 524 I. L. R., 5 All., 497 3 N. W., 92 2 Agra, Pt. II, 178 16 V. R., 211 I. L. R., 2 All., 99 I. L. R., 7 All., 327 2 B. L. R., A. C., 86: 10 W.)	1464 5226 5220 4929, 5211 295 3716 845, 3534
	Zahurruddin v. Collector of Goruckpore	4 B. L. R., P. C., 36: 13 W.	6151
	Zahurunnissa v. Khuda Yar Khan Zaib-oonnissa v. Adjoodhya Pershad Zaibunissa Bibi v. Kulsum Bibi Zaibunissa v. Elahee Begum Zainah Begam v. Manawar Husain Khan Zainul-Abdin Khan v. Ahmad Raza Khan	R, P, C, Sl. 1, L, R, 3 All, 528 2 N, W, 198 1, L, R, 1 All, 250 19 W, R, 268 1, L, R, 8 All, 277 1, L, R, 2 All, 67; L, R, 51 A, 28	2048 341, 6223 908 3152 1405 885 760
	Zaker Ali Chowdhry v. Jugdessuree Zalem Misser v. Kundun Koor Zalem Roy v. Deb Shahee Zalem Tewaree v. Gobindgeer Gossain Zalim v. Choonee Lall Zalim Rai v. Doorga Rai Zalim Singh v. Bisheshar Kandu v. Ujagar Singh Zameer Sirjar v. Asseanoudlaen Sirjar	1 W. R., 328 11 W. R., 194 Marsh, 167 : 1 Hay, 373 1 Ind. Jur., O. S., 85 3 Agra, 194 1 Agra, Rev., 69	4314 6272 3983 2802 5375 2975, 4314
1	Jaim Singa v. Bisassnar Kandu v. Ujagar Singh Jameer Sirdar v. Asseemooddeen Sirdar Jamin Ali v. Hossein Ali Jamir Husain v. Daulat Ram Jamorin of Calicut v. Narayana	7 N. W., 181 I. L. R., 3 All., 367 23 W. R., 257 2 Agra, Pt. II, 187 I. L. R., 5 All., 110 I. L. R., 5 Mad., 284	3106 2872 815 4005 3709
24.54.54	e. Sitarama Jaukilal v. Jawahir Singh Jaynub v. Baba Cazranee Jearuddin Howaldar. In the matter of the petition of	I. L. R., 7 Mad., 405 I. L. R., 5 All., 94 2 Ind. Jur., N. S., 54 19 W. R., Cr., 48	6086 3598 831 1727 4739
	ebonnissa v. Brojendro Coomar Roy Chowdhry	21 W. R., 352	1079, 1091
2	ebunnissa Bibee. In the matter of , eemut Ali v. Alimoonissa eemutoonissa Khanum v. Khutoo Begum . eenut Bebee v. Rahatoonissa	12 W. R., 376 10 W. R., 277 12 W. R., 239 7 W. R., 243	2718 1001 470 676 5301
	, ,		0001

Name of Case.	Volume and Page.	Column of Digest,
Zemindar of Chikati » Peddakimedi Zemindar of Chikati » Peddakimedi Zemindar of Devaraceta v. Vemuri Venkayya Zemindar of Hurupam v. Zemindar of Merangi Zemindar of Hurupam v. Zemindar of Hurupam v. Zemindar of Pittapur Zemindar of Kottam v. Zemindar of Pittapur Zemindar of Kurapam v. Nademinti Bhagavat Pataizali Shastri. Zemindar of Rurapam v. Nademinti Bhagavat Pataizali Zemindar of Palakonda v. Secretary of State for India Zemindar of Pittapuram v. Proprietors of Kolanka Zemindar of Ramnad v. Dorasavni v. Perianayagaum Pillai v. Ramanany Ammal v. Zemindar of Saitta v. Pedda Pakir Raju Zemindar of Saitta v. Carupen Servai Zemindar of Saitta v. Paddya Tevar Zemindar of Saitta v. Pandya Tevar Zemindar of Sivagiri v. Alwar Ayyangar v. Suhrarama Aiyau Zemindar of Sivagiri v. Alwar Ayyangar v. Queen Zemindar of Venkatagiri v. Rajhava Zerkales Kooer v. Lulla Doorga Pershad Zimutumisas Bibe v. Mudaum Mohnn Pal Zinnut Bibes v. Jaffur Ali Zinulabin Rowten v. Vijien Virapatren Zohrouddeen Sirdar v. Baharoolla Sirear Zohroutoollah Chowdry v. Asalooddeen Chowdry Zoolfekar Ali v. Mahomed Tukee Zooften Begum v. Ran Shrun Roy Zoolfekar Ali v. Mahomed Tukee Zooften Bibes v. Jashica Prosonno Chunder Zorawar Singh v. Jawahir Singh Zoynooddeen Hossein Khan. In the matter of the petition of.	I L. R., 3 Mad., 42 I. L. R., 6 Mad., 29 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 339 I. L. R., 7 Mad., 339 I. L. R., 9 Mad., 142 16 W. R., 149 22 W. R., 537 14 W. R., 172 I. L. R., 1 Mad., 49 W. R., 1864, 185 15 W. R., 129 10 W. R., 30 9 W. R., 438 5 I. L. R., 3 Calc., 560: 1 C. L. R., 388 8 Agra, 167	1376 907, 4231 3591 4578 3598, 4053 665 3383, 4406 1506 3166 4980, 4985 5052 3376 1758, 2219 2242 2058, 5302 4127, 4647 6003 5703 2062 2914 6042 3334 198, 2234 5525 801 4816 5364 766 1457 3586 3672, 3876 3842 4844 5187 80 5864
v. Secretary to the Board	20 W. R., 106	5864
of Revenue. Zoynul Abdeen v. Phoolash Chunder Bothrah Zuburdust Khan v. His wife v. Indurmun	15 W. R., 126 2 N. W., 370 1 Agra, F. B., 71: Ed. 1874, 55.	1474 1545, 5096 2236
Zuheerooden Paikar v. Campbell	4 W. R., 57	3016
Zuhoer Hossein v. Syedun	11 B. L. R., 367, note: 11 W. R., 142.	1488
7 1 a Nninhooddeen	. 11 W. R., 505	3775
Zuhoorun v. Nujubooddeen Zuhoorun Begum v. Mahomed Wajed Zuhuruddeen Hossein. In the matter of the petitio	. 18 W. R., 87 · ·	. 5923 . 4920, 6182
of. Zuleff Ali v. Yeshvadabai Saheb Zumeelooddeen Mahomed v. Russik Chand Addy .	9 Bom., 314 W. R., F. B., 85	. 59 2750

Name of Case.		of an extension, Section 2		Volume and Page	Column of Digest.
Zumeer Ali v. Doorgabun Zumeerooduunissa Khanum v. Philli Zumeer Sirdar v. Asseemooddeen Sii Zumeertunissa v. Gayer Zumurudoonissa v. Radha Churn Gh Zyn ood-deen v. Wright	dar .	•	:	1 W. R., 230 1 W. R., 290 23 W. R., 257 6 W. R., Civ. Ref., 26 9 W. R., 590 3 Agra, 77	 4560 525, 1396 5447 2999 1731, 5813 1374

Government of India Central Printing Office.-No. 56 L. D.-30-7-88.-4,000.-A. McL.